

An encoding of Interval Temporal Logic in Isabelle/HOL

Antonio Cau

Ben Moszkowski

David Smallwood

October 26, 2022

Abstract

These Isabelle theories introduce the semantics and syntax of Finite and Infinite Interval Temporal Logic (ITL). The ITL proof system, as introduced in [6, 9], has been encoded and its soundness has been checked. The encoding is shallow using the Intensional Logic technique of [4]. An extensive library of Finite and Infinite ITL theorems, taken from [8], has been checked. Non-empty coinductive lists are used to denote intervals.

Contents

1 Extra operations on LLists	6
1.1 Auxiliary lemmas	7
1.2 lbutlast	8
1.3 lfuse	13
1.4 ridx and lidx	21
1.5 lsub	35
1.6 llastlfirst	40
1.7 lfusecat	41
1.8 kfilter	74
1.9 Transfer rules	108
2 Coinductive non-empty lists and their operations	109
2.1 Type definition	110
2.2 Code generator setup	111
2.3 Connection with ' <i>a llist</i> '	113
2.4 The <i>nlast</i> element <i>nlast</i>	126
2.5 <i>nset</i>	127
2.6 <i>nmap</i>	129
2.7 Appending two nonempty lazy lists <i>nappend</i>	129
2.8 Appending a nonempty lazy list to a lazy list <i>lappendn</i>	131
2.9 The length of a nonempty lazy list <i>nlengt</i>	132
2.10 The <i>n</i> th element of a nonempty lazy list <i>nnth</i>	133
2.11 <i>ntake</i>	135
2.12 <i>ntaken</i>	139
2.13 Concatenating a nonempty lazy list of nonempty lazy lists <i>nconcat</i>	142
2.14 <i>nellist-all2</i>	156
2.15 From a nonempty lazy list to a lazy list <i>llist-of-nellist</i>	162

2.16	<i>ndropn</i>	163
2.17	<i>nzip</i>	170
2.18	<i>niterates</i>	175
2.19	Filtering non-empty lazy lists <i>nfilter</i>	177
2.20	Setup for Lifting/Transfer	187
2.20.1	Relator and predicate properties	187
2.20.2	Transfer rules for the Transfer package	187
3	Extra operations on nellists	191
3.1	<i>ndropns</i>	191
3.2	Definitions	193
3.3	<i>nbutlast</i>	194
3.4	<i>nsubn</i>	197
3.5	<i>nfuse</i>	202
3.6	<i>nridx</i> and <i>nidx</i>	208
3.7	<i>nlastnfirst</i>	222
3.8	<i>nfusecat</i>	225
3.9	<i>nkfilter</i>	239
4	Finite and Infinite ITL Semantics	280
4.1	Types of Formulas	280
4.2	Semantics of ITL	281
4.3	Abbreviations	282
4.4	Properties of Operators	288
4.5	Soundness Axioms	297
4.5.1	<i>ChopAssoc</i>	297
4.5.2	<i>OrChopImp</i>	301
4.5.3	<i>ChopOrImp</i>	301
4.5.4	<i>EmptyChop</i>	301
4.5.5	<i>ChopEmpty</i>	301
4.5.6	<i>StateImpBi</i>	301
4.5.7	<i>NextImpNotNextNot</i>	301
4.5.8	<i>BiBoxChopImpChop</i>	302
4.5.9	<i>BoxInduct</i>	302
4.6	Quantification over State (Flexible) Variables	302
4.7	Temporal Quantifiers	303
5	Finite and Infinite ITL: Axioms and Rules	303
5.1	Rules	303
5.2	Axioms	304
5.3	Additional Lemmas	305
5.4	Quantification	305
5.5	Lemmas about <i>current-val</i>	306
5.6	Lemmas about <i>next-val</i>	306
5.7	Lemmas about <i>fin-val</i>	307
5.8	Lemmas about <i>penult-val</i>	307
5.9	Basic temporal variables properties	308

6 Finite and Infinite ITL theorems using Weak Chop	309
6.1 Propositional reasoning	309
6.2 State formulas	310
6.3 finite and inf properties	311
6.4 Basic Theorems	314
6.5 Further Properties Di and Bi	327
6.6 Properties of Da and Ba	333
6.7 Properties of Fin	341
6.8 Properties of Halt	368
6.9 Properties of Groups of chops	374
7 Finite and Infinite ITL theorems using strong chop	374
7.1 Strong Chop axioms	374
7.2 ITL operators in terms of SChop	376
7.3 Basic Theorems	377
7.4 Further Properties Df and Bf	382
7.5 Properties of SDa and SBa	390
7.6 Properties of SFin	400
7.7 Properties of Halt	426
7.8 Properties of Groups of strong chops	431
8 Finite and Infinite ITL theorems using Weak Chop	431
8.1 Definitions	431
8.2 Semantic lemmas	435
8.3 Helper lemmas	445
8.4 Properties of Chopstar and Chopplus	446
8.5 Kleene Algebra	453
8.6 WPowerstar	495
8.7 Len	509
8.8 Properties of While	513
9 Omega and variants	525
9.1 Definitions	525
9.1.1 Omega	525
9.1.2 Alternative definition for Omega	526
9.1.3 Weak Omega	539
9.2 Omega algebra	542
9.3 Properties of Omega	563
10 Projection operator	571
10.1 Definitions	571
10.2 Lemmas	573
10.2.1 Misc	573
10.2.2 pfilt Lemmas	575
10.2.3 powerinterval lemmas	585
10.2.4 cppl lemmas	596
10.2.5 lcpppl lemmas	601
10.2.6 lsum lemmas	619

10.3 Soundness of Projection Axioms	658
10.3.1 PJ1	659
10.3.2 PJ2	659
10.3.3 PJ3	659
10.3.4 PJ4	661
10.3.5 PJ5	671
10.3.6 PJ6	671
10.3.7 PJ7	677
10.3.8 PJ8	701
10.3.9 PJ9	702
10.4 Axioms	702
10.5 Theorems	704
10.5.1 Projection	704
10.5.2 fdp, fbp odp and obp	713
11 Infinite and Finite Interval Temporal Algebra	726
11.1 Definition of Set of intervals and Operations on them	726
11.2 Simplification Lemmas	728
11.3 Algebraic Laws	733
11.3.1 Commutative Additive Monoid	733
11.3.2 Boolean algebra	733
11.3.3 multiplicative monoid	733
11.3.4 Subsumption order	737
11.3.5 Helper lemmas	737
11.3.6 Kleene Algebra	747
11.3.7 Omega Algebra	749
11.3.8 ITL specific Laws	751
11.4 Derived Laws	752
11.4.1 Helper Lemmas	752
11.4.2 ITL Axioms derived	758
11.5 Extra Laws	760
11.5.1 Boolean Laws	760
11.5.2 Chop	762
11.5.3 Next	763
11.5.4 SInit	767
11.5.5 SStar	770
11.5.6 Box and Diamond	773
11.5.7 Finite and Infinite	779
11.5.8 Omega	787
11.6 Link between Set of Intervals and ITL	794
12 Until operator for Finite and Infinite Intervals	801
12.1 Definitions	801
12.2 Axioms	802
12.2.1 NextUntil	802
12.2.2 UntilNextUntil	804
12.2.3 NotUntilFalse	805

12.2.4 UntilOrDist	806
12.2.5 UntilRightDistOr	806
12.2.6 UntilLeftDistAnd	806
12.2.7 UntilAndDist	806
12.2.8 untilNotImp	806
12.2.9 UntilUntil	806
12.2.10 UntilRightor	807
12.2.11 UntilRightAnd	808
12.2.12 DiamondEqvTrueUntil	809
12.2.13 TrueUntilImpNotUntil	809
12.2.14 WaitNotDistUntil	810
12.2.15 UntilInduction	811
12.3 Theorems	812
13 Pi operator for infinite and finite ITL	839
13.1 Definitions	839
13.2 Semantic Lemmas	840
13.3 Soundness of Axioms	846
13.3.1 PiK	846
13.3.2 PiDc	846
13.3.3 PiN	846
13.3.4 PiTrueEqvDiamond	846
13.3.5 PiOr	847
13.3.6 UPiFalseEqvBoxNot:	847
13.3.7 BoxEqvImpPiEqv	847
13.3.8 PiDiamondImpDiamond	847
13.3.9 PiAssoc	848
13.3.10 PiNotEqvDiamondAndNotPi	850
13.3.11 PiSChopDist	850
13.3.12 PiProp	853
13.3.13 PiNext	855
13.3.14 PiUntil	858
13.3.15 PiChopstar	869
13.3.16 TruePiEqv	876
13.3.17 BoxImpEqvPi	876
13.3.18 PiEqvDiamondUPI	877
13.3.19 PiEqvUntilPi	877
13.3.20 UPiEqvBoxOrPi	877
13.4 Theorems	877
13.5 SChopstar and Pi	891
13.6 Omega and Pi	903
14 First Order Finite and Infinite ITL theorems	910

1 Extra operations on LLists

the operations kfilter, lleast, lbutlast, lidx, ridx, lfirst, lfuse, lsub, lsubc, llastlfirst, lltl, llbutlast, is_lfirst and lfusecat on coinductive lists are defined together with a library of lemmas.

theory *LList-Extras*

imports

Coinductive.Coinductive-List

begin

definition *kfilter* :: $('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ llist} \Rightarrow \text{nat llist}$
where *kfilter P n xs* = *lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip xs (iterates Suc n)))*

definition *lleast* :: $('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ llist} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$
where *lleast P xs* = *(LEAST na. na < llength xs \wedge P (lnth xs na))*

primcorec *lbutlast* :: $'a \text{ llist} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ llist}$
where *lbutlast xs* =
 (*case xs of LNil \Rightarrow LNil |*
 (*LCons x xs'*) \Rightarrow
 (*case xs' of LNil \Rightarrow LNil |*
 (*LCons x1 xs1*) \Rightarrow (*LCons x (lbutlast xs')*)))

simps-of-case *lbutlast-simps* [*simp, code, nitpick-simp*]: *lbutlast.code*

definition *ridx* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ llist} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *ridx R xs* = $(\forall i. (\text{Suc } i) < \text{llength xs} \longrightarrow R (\text{lnth xs } i) (\text{lnth xs } (\text{Suc } i)))$

definition *lidx* :: $\text{nat llist} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *lidx xs* \longleftrightarrow $(\forall n. (\text{Suc } n) < \text{llength xs} \longrightarrow \text{lnth xs } n < \text{lnth xs } (\text{Suc } n))$

definition *lfirst* :: $'a \text{ llist} \Rightarrow 'a$
where *lfirst xs* = *lhd xs*

definition *lfuse* :: $'a \text{ llist} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ llist} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ llist}$
where *lfuse xs ys* =
 (*if* $\neg \text{lnull xs} \wedge \neg \text{lnull ys}$ *then lappend xs (ltl ys)* *else lappend xs ys*)

definition *lsub* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ llist} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ llist}$
where *lsub k n xs* = *(ltake (eSuc (n - k)) (ldrop k xs))*

definition *lsubc* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ llist} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ llist}$
where *lsubc k n xs* = *(ltake (eSuc (n - k)) (ldrop ((min k (epred (llength xs))) xs)))*

definition *llastlfirst* :: $'a \text{ llist llist} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *llastlfirst xss* = $(\forall i. (\text{Suc } i) < \text{llength xss} \longrightarrow \text{llast } (\text{lnth xss } i) = \text{lfirst } (\text{lnth xss } (\text{Suc } i)))$

```

definition lltl :: 'a llist llist ⇒ 'a llist llist
  where lltl xss = lmap ltl xss

definition llbutlast :: 'a llist llist ⇒ 'a llist llist
  where llbutlast xss = lmap llbutlast xss

abbreviation is-lfirst ≡ (λxs. ∃ b. xs = (LCons b LNil))

```

1.1 Auxiliary lemmas

lemma enat-min:

```

assumes m ≥ enat n'
  and enat n < m – enat n'
shows enat n + enat n' < m
using assms
by (metis add.commute enat.simps(3) enat-add-mono enat-add-sub-same le-iff-add)

```

lemma enat-min-eq:

```

assumes m ≥ enat n'
  and enat n ≤ m – enat n'
shows enat n + enat n' ≤ m
using assms
by (metis add.commute enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same enat-min le-iff-add le-less)

```

lemma llist-eq-lnth-eq:

```

(xs = ys) ↔ (llength xs = llength ys ∧ (∀ i < llength xs. lnth xs i = lnth ys i))
proof auto
  show llength xs = llength ys ⇒ ∀ i. enat i < llength ys → lnth xs i = lnth ys i ⇒ xs = ys
  proof (coinduction arbitrary: xs ys)
    case (Eq-llist xsa ysa)
    then show ?case
      proof –
        assume a: llength xsa = llength ysa
        assume b: ∀ i. enat i < llength ysa → lnth xsa i = lnth ysa i
        have 1: lnull xsa = lnull ysa
          using a by auto
        have 2: ¬ lnull xsa →
          ¬ lnull ysa →
          lhd xsa = lhd ysa
          using b lhd-conv-lnth zero-enat-def by force
        have 3: ¬ lnull xsa →
          ¬ lnull ysa →
          (∃ xs ys. ltl xsa = xs ∧ ltl ysa = ys ∧ llength xs = llength ys ∧
            (∀ i. enat i < llength ys → lnth xs i = lnth ys i))
          by (metis Extended-Nat.eSuc-mono a b eSuc-enat eSuc-epred llength-eq-0 llength-ltl lnth-ltl)
        show ?thesis using 1 2 3 by blast
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

lemma exist-lset-lnth:
  ( $\exists x \in lset xs. P x$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists i < llenth xs. P (lnth xs i)$ )
by (metis in-lset-conv-lnth)

lemma exist-llength-gr-zero:
  assumes ( $\exists x \in lset xs. P x$ )
  shows  $0 < llenth xs$ 
by (metis assms gr-implies-not-zeroI in-lset-conv-lnth)

```

1.2 lbutlast

```

lemma lbutlast-snoc [simp]:
  lbutlast (lappend xs (LCons x LNil)) = xs
proof (cases lfinite xs)
case True
then show ?thesis
  proof (induct rule: lfinite-induct)
  case (LNil xs)
  then show ?case using llist.collapse(1) by fastforce
  next
  case (LCons xs)
  then show ?case
    proof (cases xs=LNil)
    case True
    then show ?thesis by simp
    next
    case False
    then show ?thesis
    proof -
      have 1:  $\exists y ys. xs = (LCons y ys)$ 
        using False llist.exhaust-sel by blast
      obtain y ys where 2:  $xs = (LCons y ys)$ 
        using 1 by blast
      have 3:  $lbutlast (lappend xs (LCons x LNil)) = lbutlast (lappend (LCons y ys) (LCons x LNil))$ 
        by (simp add: 2)
      have 4:  $lbutlast (lappend (LCons y ys) (LCons x LNil)) = lbutlast (LCons y (lappend ys (LCons x LNil)))$ 
        by simp
      have 5:  $lnull ys \implies ?thesis$ 
        by (simp add: 2 lnull-def)
      have 6:  $\neg lnull ys \implies ?thesis$ 
        by (metis 2 LCons.hyps(3) eq-LConsD lappend-code(2) lbutlast-simps(3) lhd-LCons-ltl)
      show ?thesis
        using 5 6 by blast
    qed
  qed
next
case False

```

```

then show ?thesis
proof (coinduction arbitrary: xs)
case (Eq-llist xsa)
then show ?case
proof -
  have 1: lnull (lbutlast (lappend xsa (LCons x LNil))) = lnull xsa
  by (metis Eq-llist lappend-inf lbutlast.disc(2) lfinite.simps lhd-LCons-ltl lnull-imp-lfinite)
  have 2:  $\neg$  lnull (lbutlast (lappend xsa (LCons x LNil)))  $\longrightarrow$ 
     $\neg$  lnull xsa  $\longrightarrow$ 
    lhd (lbutlast (lappend xsa (LCons x LNil))) = lhd xsa
    by (metis Eq-llist eq-LConsD lappend-inf lbutlast.disc(1) lbutlast-simps(3) not-lnull-conv)
  have 3:  $\neg$  lnull (lbutlast (lappend xsa (LCons x LNil)))  $\longrightarrow$ 
     $\neg$  lnull xsa  $\longrightarrow$ 
    ( $\exists$  xs. ltl (lbutlast (lappend xsa (LCons x LNil))) =
      lbutlast (lappend xs (LCons x LNil))  $\wedge$  ltl xsa = xs  $\wedge$   $\neg$  lfinite xs)
    by (metis Eq-llist eq-LConsD lappend-inf lbutlast-simps(3) lfinite-ltl lhd-LCons-ltl lnull-imp-lfinite)
  show ?thesis using 1 2 3 by auto
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma lbutlast-ltl:
  lbutlast (ltl xs) = ltl (lbutlast xs)
proof (cases lfinite xs)
case True
then show ?thesis
  proof (induct rule: lfinite-induct)
  case (LNil xs)
  then show ?case by (simp add: lbutlast.ctr(1))
next
  case (LCons xs)
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: lbutlast.code llist.case-eq-if)
  qed
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
proof (coinduction arbitrary: xs)
case (Eq-llist xsa)
then show ?case
proof -
  have 1: lnull (lbutlast (ltl xsa)) = lnull (ltl (lbutlast xsa))
  by (metis Eq-llist lappend-inf lbutlast-snoc lfinite-ltl)
  have 2:  $\neg$  lnull (lbutlast (ltl xsa))  $\longrightarrow$ 
     $\neg$  lnull (ltl (lbutlast xsa))  $\longrightarrow$ 
    lhd (lbutlast (ltl xsa)) = lhd (ltl (lbutlast xsa))
  by (metis Eq-llist lappend-inf lbutlast-snoc lfinite-ltl)
  have 3:  $\neg$  lnull (lbutlast (ltl xsa))  $\longrightarrow$ 

```

```

 $\neg lnull(ltl(lbutlast xs)) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists xs. ltl(lbutlast(ltl xs)) = lbutlast(ltl xs) \wedge$ 
 $ltl(ltl(lbutlast xs)) = ltl(lbutlast xs) \wedge \neg lfinite xs)$ 
by (metis Eq-llist lappend-inf lbutlast-snoc lfinite-ltl)
show ?thesis using 1 2 3 by auto
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma lbutlast-not-lfinite:
assumes  $\neg lfinite xs$ 
shows  $lbutlast xs = xs$ 
using assms
by (coinduction arbitrary: xs)
      (metis lappend-inf lbutlast-snoc lfinite-ltl)

```

```

lemma lbutlast-lfinite:
lfinite (lbutlast xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  lfinite xs
proof
show lfinite (lbutlast xs)  $\Longrightarrow$  lfinite xs
proof (induct zs $\equiv$ lbutlast xs rule: lfinite-induct)
case LNil
then show ?case using lbutlast-not-lfinite by fastforce
next
case LCons
then show ?case using lbutlast-not-lfinite by fastforce
qed
show lfinite xs  $\Longrightarrow$  lfinite (lbutlast xs)
proof (induct rule: lfinite-induct)
case (LNil xs)
then show ?case by simp
next
case (LCons xs)
then show ?case by (simp add: lbutlast-ltl)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma llength-lbutlast [simp]:
  llength (lbutlast xs) = epred (llength xs)
by (coinduction arbitrary: xs rule: enat-coinduct)
  (simp,
  metis epred-enat-unfold lbutlast-ltl llength-def llength-eq-0 llength-ltl )

```

```

lemma lbutlast-lappend:
  lbutlast (lappend xs ys) = (if ys = LNil then lbutlast xs else lappend xs (lbutlast ys))
proof (cases lfinite xs)
case True
then show ?thesis
proof (induct arbitrary: ys rule: lfinite-induct)
case (LNil xs)

```

```

then show ?case by (simp add: lnull-def)
next
case (LCons xs)
then show ?case
  proof (cases lnull ys )
  case True
  then show ?thesis by (metis lappend-LNil2 lnull-def)
next
case False
then show ?thesis
  proof (cases lnull xs)
  case True
  then show ?thesis
  using LCons.hyps(2) by auto
next
case False
then show ?thesis using LCons by (auto simp add: llist.case-eq-if not-lnull-conv)
  (metis lappend.ctr(2) lbutlast-simps(3) llist.collapse(1) ltl-simps(2))
qed
qed
qed
next
case False
then show ?thesis by (metis lappend-inf lbutlast-snoc)
qed

lemma lappend-lbutlast-llast-id-lfinite:
assumes lfinite xs
   $\neg$  lnull xs
shows (lappend (lbutlast xs) (LCons (llast xs) LNil)) = xs
using assms
proof (induct rule: lfinite-induct)
case (LNil xs)
then show ?case by simp
next
case (LCons xs)
then show ?case
  proof (cases xs)
  case lfinite-LNil
  then show ?thesis using LCons by simp
next
  case (lfinite-LConsI xs x)
  then show ?thesis using LCons
  by (auto simp add: llast-LCons)
    (metis lappend-code(1) lbutlast.ctr(1) llist.collapse(1) ltl-simps(2),
     metis lappend-code(2) lbutlast-simps(3) lhd-LCons-ltl)
qed
qed

lemma lappend-lbutlast-llast-id-not-lfinite:

```

```

assumes  $\neg lfinite\ xs$ 
     $\neg lnull\ xs$ 
shows  $(lappend\ (lbutlast\ xs)\ (LCons\ (llast\ xs)\ LNil)) = xs$ 
using assms
proof (coinduction arbitrary: xs)
case (Eq-llist xsa)
then show ?case
by (auto simp add: lbutlast-ltl llast-linfinite)
    (metis lfinite-LNil lfinite-ltl llist.collapse(1),
     metis lbutlast.simps(3) lbutlast-not-lfinite)
qed

lemma lappend-lbutlast-llast-id [simp]:
shows  $\neg lnull\ xs \implies (lappend\ (lbutlast\ xs)\ (LCons\ (llast\ xs)\ LNil)) = xs$ 
using lappend-lbutlast-llast-id-lfinite lappend-lbutlast-llast-id-not-lfinite by blast

lemma lbutlast-eq-LNil-conv:
 $lbutlast\ xs = LNil \longleftrightarrow xs = LNil \vee (\exists x. xs = (LCons\ x\ LNil))$ 
by (metis lbutlast.disc-iff(1) lbutlast.simps(2) lhd-LCons-ltl llist.collapse(1) llist.disc(1))

lemma lbutlast-eq-LCons-conv:
 $lbutlast\ xs = (LCons\ x\ ys) \longleftrightarrow xs = (LCons\ x\ (lappend\ ys\ (LCons\ (llast\ xs)\ LNil)))$ 
by (metis eq-LConsD lappend-code(2) lappend-lbutlast-llast-id lbutlast.ctr(1) lbutlast-snoc)

lemma lbutlast-conv-ltake:
 $lbutlast\ xs = ltake\ (epred\ (llength\ xs))\ xs$ 
proof (cases lfinite xs)
case True
then show ?thesis
proof (induct rule: lfinite-induct)
case (LNil xs)
then show ?case by simp
next
case (LCons xs)
then show ?case
by (metis enat-le-plus-same(2) gen-llength-def lappend-lbutlast-llast-id-lfinite llength-code
      llength-lbutlast ltake-all ltake-lappend1)
qed
next
case False
then show ?thesis
proof (coinduction arbitrary: xs)
case (Eq-llist xsa)
then show ?case
by (auto simp add: not-lfinite-llength lbutlast-not-lfinite)
    (metis epred-Infty epred-llength lbutlast-not-lfinite llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite ltake-epred-ltl)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma lmap-lbutlast:
  lmap f (lbutlast xs) = lbutlast (lmap f xs)
by (simp add: lbutlast-conv-ltake)

lemma snocs-eq-iff-lbutlast:
  lappend xs (LCons x LNil) = ys  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  (( lfinite ys  $\wedge$  lnull ys  $\wedge$  lbutlast ys = xs  $\wedge$  llast ys = x)
    $\vee$  ( $\neg$  lfinite ys  $\wedge$  lbutlast xs = ys))
by (metis lappend.disc(2) lappend-inf lappend-lbutlast-llast-id lbutlast.ctr(1) lbutlast-snoc
    lfinite-LNil llast-lappend llast-singleton llist.discI(2))

lemma in-lset-lbutlastD:
  x ∈ lset(lbutlast xs)  $\implies$  x ∈ lset xs
by (metis in-lset-lappend-iff lappend-ltake-ldrop lbutlast-conv-ltake)

```

```

lemma in-lset-lbutlast-lappendI:
  x ∈ lset (lbutlast xs)  $\vee$  (lfinite xs  $\wedge$  x ∈ lset(lbutlast ys))  $\implies$ 
  x ∈ lset (lbutlast (lappend xs ys))
by (metis empty-iff in-lset-lappend-iff in-lset-lbutlastD lbutlast-lappend lset-LNil)

```

```

lemma lnth-lbutlast:
assumes n < llength(lbutlast xs)
shows lnth (lbutlast xs) n = lnth xs n
proof (cases xs)
case LNil
then show ?thesis by simp
next
case (LCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis by (metis assms lbutlast-conv-ltake llength-lbutlast lnth-ltake)
qed

```

1.3 lfuse

```

lemma lfuse-conv-lnull:
  lnull (lfuse xs ys)  $\longleftrightarrow$  lnull xs  $\wedge$  lnull ys
by (simp add: lfuse-def)

```

```

lemma lfuse-LNil-1 [simp]:
  lfuse LNil ys = ys
by (simp add: lfuse-def)

lemma lfuse-LNil-2 [simp]:
  lfuse xs LNil = xs
by (metis lappend-lnull2 lfuse-def llist.discI(1))

lemma lfuse-One-a [simp]:
assumes  $\neg$  lnull xs
shows lfuse (LCons (lhd xs) LNil) xs = xs

```

```

using assms by (simp add: lfuse-def)

lemma lfuse-One-b [simp]:
assumes  $\neg lnull\ xs$ 
shows lfuse xs (LCons y LNil) = xs
using assms
by (simp add: lfuse-def)

lemma lfuse-One-a-var:
shows lfuse (LCons x LNil) ys = (if  $\neg lnull\ ys$  then (LCons x (ltl ys)) else (LCons x LNil))
unfolding lfuse-def by simp

lemma lfuse-One-b-var:
shows lfuse xs (LCons y LNil) = (if  $\neg lnull\ xs$  then xs else (LCons y LNil))
unfolding lfuse-def by (simp add: lappend-lnull1)

lemma lfuse-LCons-a [simp]:
lfuse (LCons x xs) ys =
(if lnull xs then (LCons x (ltl ys)) else (LCons x (lfuse xs ys)))
by (metis lappend-code(2) lappend-lnull1 lfuse-def llist.collapse(1) llist.disc(2) ltl-simps(1))

lemma lfuse-LCons-b [simp]:
lfuse xs (LCons y ys) =
(if  $\neg lnull\ xs$  then lappend xs ys else (LCons y ys))
unfolding lfuse-def by (simp add: lappend-lnull1)

lemma lfuse-simps [simp]:
shows lhd-lfuse: lhd (lfuse xs ys) = (if lnull xs then lhd ys else lhd xs)
and ltl-lfuse: ltl (lfuse xs ys) =
(if lnull xs
then ltl ys
else (if lnull (ltl ys)
then ltl xs
else lappend (ltl xs) (ltl ys)))
by (auto simp add: lfuse-def lappend-lnull2)

lemma lfuse-lbutlast:
assumes llast xs = lfirst ys
shows lfuse xs ys = (if lnull ys then xs else lappend (lbutlast xs) ys)
using assms
by (metis lappend-lbutlast-llast-id lappend-snocL1-conv-LCons2 lbutlast.ctr(1) lfirst-def
lfuse-LNil-2 lfuse-def lhd-LCons-ltl llist.collapse(1))

lemma lfuse-llength:
shows llength (lfuse xs ys) = (llength xs) + (if lnull xs then llength ys else epred(llength ys))
unfolding lfuse-def by (simp add: llist.case-eq-if epred-llength)

lemma not-lnull-llength:
 $\neg lnull\ xs \longleftrightarrow 1 \leq llength\ xs$ 
by (metis gr-zeroI ileI1 llength-eq-0 not-one-le-zero one-eSuc)

```

```

lemma lfuse-llength-atmost-one:
  shows llength (lfuse xs ys) ≤ 1  $\longleftrightarrow$  llength xs ≤ 1 ∧ llength ys ≤ 1
  proof (cases lnull xs)
  case True
    then show ?thesis
    by (metis lfuse-LNil-1 llength-LNil lnull-def zero-le)
  next
  case False
    then show ?thesis unfolding lfuse-llength
    by auto
      (meson dual-order.trans enat-le-plus-same(1),
       metis add.commute add-increasing2 co.enat.exhaustsel not-lnull-llength order-antisym-conv
       order-refl plus-1-eSuc(2) zero-le,
       metis add-decreasing2 epred-1 epred-le-epredI)
  qed

```

```

lemma lfuse-llength-less-a:
  assumes 1 < llength xs
    1 < llength ys
    llast xs = lfirst ys
    lfinite xs
  shows llength xs < llength (lfuse xs ys)
  unfolding lfuse-def
  using assms
  by (auto simp add: lfinite-llength-enat)
    (metis co.enat.exhaustsel epred-llength linorder-neq-iff llength-eq-0 one-eSuc)

```

```

lemma lfuse-llength-less-b:
  assumes 1 < llength xs
    1 < llength ys
    llast xs = lfirst ys
    lfinite ys
  shows llength ys < llength (lfuse xs ys)
  proof -
    have 1: lfuse xs ys = lappend (lbutlast xs) ys
    by (metis assms(2) assms(3) lfuse-lbutlast llength-lnull not-less-zero)
    have 2: llength (lappend (lbutlast xs) ys) = epred(llength xs) + llength ys
    by simp
    have 3: 0 < epred(llength xs)
    by (metis assms(1) co.enat.exhaustsel gr-zeroI less-numeral-extra(4) not-one-less-zero one-eSuc)
    have 4: llength ys < epred(llength xs) + llength ys
    using 3 assms(4) lfinite-llength-enat by auto
    show ?thesis
    using 1 2 4 by presburger
  qed

```

```

lemma lfuse-llength-le-a:
  llength xs ≤ llength (lfuse xs ys)
  by (simp add: lfuse-llength)

```

```

lemma lfuse-llength-le-b:
assumes llast xs = lfirst ys
shows llength ys ≤ llength (lfuse xs ys)
by (simp add: assms lfuse-lbutlast)

lemma lfuse-lnth-a:
assumes (enat i) < llength xs
shows lnth (lfuse xs ys) i = lnth xs i
using assms
by (simp add: lfuse-def lnth-lappend1)

lemma lfuse-lnth-b:
assumes llength xs ≤ (enat i)
(enat i) < llength (lfuse xs ys)
shows lnth (lfuse xs ys) i = (lnth ys (i - (the-enat(epred(llength xs))))) 
proof (cases ¬ lnull xs ∧ ¬ lnull ys)
case True
then show ?thesis
proof -
have 1: lfinite xs
using assms(1) lfinite-ldropn lnull-imp-lfinite lnull-ldropn by blast
obtain n where 15: (enat n) = llength xs using 1 by (metis assms(1) enat-ile)
have 16: (the-enat(epred(llength xs))) = n-1
by (metis 15 epred-enat the-enat.simps)
have 17: 0 < n
by (metis 15 True gr0I llength-eq-0 zero-enat-def)
have 2: lfuse xs ys = lappend xs (ltl ys)
unfolding lfuse-def using assms True by presburger
have 3: n-1 ≤ i
by (metis 15 17 Suc-pred' assms(1) enat-ord-simps(2) leD le-imp-less-Suc wlog-linorder-le)
have 4: (Suc (i - n)) = (i - (n-1))
by (metis 15 17 Suc-diff-eq-diff-pred Suc-diff-le assms(1) enat-ord-simps(1))
have 5: lnth (ltl ys) (i - the-enat (llength xs)) = (lnth ys (i - (the-enat(epred(llength xs))))) 
using True 3 lnth-ltl[of ys (i - the-enat (llength xs))] by (metis 15 16 4 the-enat.simps)
show ?thesis using lnth-lappend[of xs (ltl ys)] by (simp add: 2 5 assms(1) leD)
qed
next
case False
then show ?thesis using assms unfolding lfuse-def
using lappend-lnull1 by fastforce
qed

lemma lfuse-lnth-c:
assumes epred(llength xs) ≤ (enat i)
(enat i) < llength (lfuse xs ys)
llast xs = lfirst ys
shows lnth (lfuse xs ys) i =
(if lnull ys then lnth xs (the-enat (epred(llength xs)))
else lnth ys (i - (the-enat (epred(llength xs)))))


```

```

proof (cases  $\neg lnull\ xs \wedge \neg lnull\ ys$ )
case True
then show ?thesis
  using assms
  by (simp add: leD lfuse-lbutlast lnth-lappend)
next
case False
then show ?thesis
  proof (cases lnull xs)
    case True
    then show ?thesis
      using assms
      by (metis epred-0 gr-implies-not-zero lfuse-conv-lnull lfuse-lnth-b llength-lnull)
    next
    case False
    then show ?thesis
      using assms
      by (metis co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq leD lfuse-lbutlast llength-eq-0 llength-lbutlast lnth-lappend nle-le the-enat.simps)
  qed
qed

```

lemma *lfirst-lfuse-1*:
shows $lfirst(lfuse\ xs\ ys) = (\text{if } \neg lnull\ xs \text{ then } lfirst\ xs \text{ else } lfirst\ ys)$
by (simp add: *lfirst-def*)

lemma *llast-lfuse*:
assumes $\neg lnull\ xs$
 $\neg lnull\ ys$
lfinite xs
lfinite ys
 $llast\ xs = lfirst\ ys$
shows $llast(lfuse\ xs\ ys) = llast\ ys$
using assms
by (metis *lfirst-def lfuse-def lhd-LCons-ltl llast-LCons llast-lappend*)

lemma *lfuse-assoc*:
assumes $\neg lnull\ xs$
 $\neg lnull\ ys$
 $\neg lnull\ zs$
shows $(lfuse\ xs\ (lfuse\ ys\ zs)) = (lfuse\ (lfuse\ xs\ ys)\ zs)$
using assms
by (metis *lappend-assoc lappend-ltl lfuse-def lfuse-conv-lnull*)

lemma *lfirst-llast*:
assumes $i < llength\ xs$
shows $llast(ltake(Suc\ i)\ xs) = lfirst(ldropn\ i\ xs)$
using assms
by (simp add: *lfirst-def lhd-ldropn ltake-Suc-conv-snoc-lnth*)

```

lemma ltake-lfuse:
  shows ltake (llength xs) (lfuse xs ys) = xs
  by (metis dual-order.refl lappend-lnull2 lfuse-def ltake-all ltake-lappend1)

lemma llast-lfirst-LNil:
  llast LNil = lfirst LNil
  by (simp add: lfirst-def lhd-def llast-LNil)

lemma ldrop-lappend-either-LNil:
  assumes lnull xs ∨ lnull ys
  shows ldrop (llength xs) (lappend xs ys) =
    (if lfinite xs then ys else LNil)
proof -
  have 1: lnull xs ==> ?thesis
    by (simp add: lappend-lnull1)
  have 2: lnull ys ==> ?thesis
    by (metis dual-order.refl lappend-LNil2 ldrop-eq-LNil lnull-def)
  show ?thesis
  using 1 2 assms by blast
qed

lemma ldrop-lfuse:
  assumes llast xs = lfirst ys
  shows ldrop (if ¬ lnull xs ∧ ¬ lnull ys then epred(llength xs) else (llength xs)) (lfuse xs ys) =
    (if lfinite xs then ys else LNil)
proof -
  have 3: lfuse xs ys = (if ¬ lnull xs ∧ ¬ lnull ys
    then lappend (lbutlast xs) ys
    else lappend xs ys)
    by (meson assms lfuse-def lfuse-lbutlast)
  have 4: ldrop ((if ¬ lnull xs ∧ ¬ lnull ys then epred(llength xs) else (llength xs)))
    (if ¬ lnull xs ∧ ¬ lnull ys
    then lappend (lbutlast xs) ys
    else lappend xs ys) = (if lfinite xs then ys else LNil)
  proof (cases lfinite xs)
    case True
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: ldrop-lappend lfinite-llength-enat)
    next
    case False
    then show ?thesis
      by simp
        (metis epred-Infty llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite lnull-imp-lfinite)
  qed
  show ?thesis
  by (simp add: 3 4)
qed

lemma ldrop-lfuse-a:

```

```

assumes  $\neg lnull\ xs$ 
     $\neg lnull\ ys$ 
     $llast\ xs = lfirst\ ys$ 
shows  $ldrop\ (epred(llength\ xs))\ (lfuse\ xs\ ys) =$ 
     $(if\ lfinite\ xs\ then\ ys\ else\ LNil)$ 
using assms
using ldrop-lfuse by force

lemma lfuse-ltake-ldrop:
assumes  $i < llength\ xs$ 
shows  $lfuse\ (ltake\ (eSuc\ i)\ xs)\ (ldrop\ i\ xs) = xs$ 
using assms
by (metis lappend-ltake-ldrop ldrop-eSuc-conv-ltl ldrop-lnull leD lfuse-def lnull-ldrop
    ltake.disc(2) zero-ne-eSuc)

lemma lset-lfuse:
shows  $lset\ (lfuse\ xs\ ys) =$ 
     $(if\ lfinite\ xs\ then$ 
         $(if\ \neg lnull\ xs\ \wedge\ \neg lnull\ ys\ then\ lset\ xs\ \cup\ lset\ (ltl\ ys)\ else\ lset\ xs\ \cup\ lset\ ys)$ 
         $else\ lset\ xs)$ 
by (simp add: lappend-inf lfuse-def)

lemma mono-llist-lfuse2 [partial-function-mono]:
mono-llist A  $\Longrightarrow$  mono-llist ( $\lambda f. lfuse\ xs\ (A\ f)$ )
by (auto intro!: monotoneI lprefix-lappend-sameI simp add: lfuse-def lnull-lprefix
    llist.case-eq-if fun-ord-def lprefix-ltlI monotone-def dest: monotoneD)
    (metis llist.collapse(1) lprefix-ltlI ltl-simps(1))

lemma mono2mono-lfuse2 [THEN llist.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp]:
shows monotone-lfuse2: monotone (lprefix) (lprefix) (lfuse xs)
by (rule monotoneI)
    (metis Coinductive-List.finite-lprefix-def Coinductive-List.finite-lprefix-nitpick-simps(1)
        lfuse-def lprefix-LNil lprefix-lappend-sameI ltl-simps(1) monotoneD monotone-ltl)

lemma silys:
assumes  $f = g$ 
shows mcont lSup (lprefix) lSup (lprefix)  $f =$ 
    mcont lSup (lprefix) lSup (lprefix)  $g$ 
using assms by auto

lemma lfuse-LNil-eq-id:
lfuse LNil = id
by (simp add: fun-eq-iff llist.case-eq-if)

```

```

lemma mcont2mcont-lfuse2 [THEN llist.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows mcont-lfuse2: mcont lSup (lprefix) lSup (lprefix) (lfuse xs)
proof(cases lfinite xs)
  case True
  thus ?thesis
proof induct
  case lfinite-LNil
  then show ?case using lfuse-LNil-eq-id by simp
next
  case (lfinite-LConsI xs x)
  then show ?case by (simp add:monotone-lfuse2)
qed
next
  case False
  hence lfuse xs = ( $\lambda$ -.. xs)
    by (simp add: fun-eq-iff lappend-inf lfuse-def)
  thus ?thesis by(simp add: ccpo.cont-const[OF llist-cppo])
qed

```

```

lemma lfuse-inf:  $\neg$  lfinite xs  $\implies$  lfuse xs ys = xs
by (simp add: lappend-inf lfuse-def)

lemma llist-all2-lfuseI:
  assumes 1: llist-all2 P xs ys
  and 2:  $\llbracket$  lfinite xs; lfinite ys  $\rrbracket \implies$  llist-all2 P xs' ys'
  shows llist-all2 P (lfuse xs xs') (lfuse ys ys')
proof(cases lfinite xs)
  case True
  with 1 have lfinite ys by(auto dest: llist-all2-lfiniteD)
  from 1 2[OF True this] show ?thesis
    proof (coinduction arbitrary: xs ys)
      case LNil
      then show ?case by (simp add: lfuse-conv-lnull llist-all2-lnullD)
    next
      case LCons
      then show ?case
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) lfuse-def llist.rel-sel llist-all2-lappendI)
    qed
  next
  case False
  with 1 have  $\neg$  lfinite ys by(auto dest: llist-all2-lfiniteD)
  with False 1 show ?thesis
    by (simp add: lfuse-inf)
qed

```

1.4 ridx and lidx

lemma *ridx-lidx*:

$$\text{ridx } (<) \text{ } xs = \text{lidx } xs$$

unfolding *lidx-def ridx-def*

by *simp*

lemma *ridx-expand-1*:

$$\text{ridx } R \text{ } xs \longleftrightarrow \text{lnull } xs \vee \text{llength } xs = 1 \vee$$

$$(1 < \text{llength } xs \wedge (\forall n. (\text{Suc } n) < \text{llength } xs \longrightarrow R (\text{lnth } xs \text{ } n) \text{ } (\text{lnth } xs \text{ } (\text{Suc } n))))$$

unfolding *ridx-def*

by (*metis Zero-not-Suc enat-0-iff(1) gr-implies-not-zero iless-eSuc0 linorder-cases llength-eq-0 one-eSuc*)

lemma *lidx-expand-1*:

$$\text{lidx } xs \longleftrightarrow \text{lnull } xs \vee \text{llength } xs = 1 \vee$$

$$(1 < \text{llength } xs \wedge (\forall n. (\text{Suc } n) < \text{llength } xs \longrightarrow \text{lnth } xs \text{ } n < \text{lnth } xs \text{ } (\text{Suc } n)))$$

using *ridx-lidx ridx-expand-1* **by** *blast*

lemma *ridx-LCons*:

$$\text{ridx } R \text{ } (\text{LCons } x \text{ } xs) \longleftrightarrow$$

$$\text{lnull } xs \vee$$

$$(0 < \text{llength } xs \wedge$$

$$(\forall n. (\text{Suc } n) < \text{eSuc} (\text{llength } xs) \longrightarrow R (\text{lnth } (\text{LCons } x \text{ } xs) \text{ } n) \text{ } (\text{lnth } (\text{LCons } x \text{ } xs) \text{ } (\text{Suc } n))))$$

unfolding *ridx-def*

using *enat-0-iff(1)* **by** *force*

lemma *lidx-LCons*:

$$\text{lidx } (\text{LCons } x \text{ } xs) \longleftrightarrow$$

$$\text{lnull } xs \vee$$

$$(0 < \text{llength } xs \wedge$$

$$(\forall n. (\text{Suc } n) < \text{eSuc} (\text{llength } xs) \longrightarrow \text{lnth } (\text{LCons } x \text{ } xs) \text{ } n < \text{lnth } (\text{LCons } x \text{ } xs) \text{ } (\text{Suc } n)))$$

using *ridx-lidx[of (LCons x xs)] ridx-LCons[of (<) x xs]* **by** *blast*

lemma *ridx-LCons-conv*:

$$(0 < \text{llength } xs \wedge$$

$$(\forall n. (\text{Suc } n) < \text{eSuc} (\text{llength } xs) \longrightarrow R (\text{lnth } (\text{LCons } x \text{ } xs) \text{ } n) \text{ } (\text{lnth } (\text{LCons } x \text{ } xs) \text{ } (\text{Suc } n))))$$

$$\longleftrightarrow (0 < \text{llength } xs \wedge$$

$$R \text{ } x \text{ } (\text{lhd } xs) \wedge$$

$$(\forall n. 0 \leq n \wedge (\text{Suc } n) < \text{llength } xs \longrightarrow R (\text{lnth } xs \text{ } n) \text{ } (\text{lnth } xs \text{ } (\text{Suc } n)))$$

proof -

have 1: $(0 < \text{llength } xs \wedge$

$$(\forall n. (\text{Suc } n) < \text{eSuc} (\text{llength } xs) \longrightarrow R (\text{lnth } (\text{LCons } x \text{ } xs) \text{ } n) \text{ } (\text{lnth } (\text{LCons } x \text{ } xs) \text{ } (\text{Suc } n)))) \longleftrightarrow$$

$$(0 < \text{llength } xs \wedge$$

$$(\forall n. 0 \leq n \wedge (\text{Suc } n) < \text{eSuc} (\text{llength } xs) \longrightarrow R (\text{lnth } (\text{LCons } x \text{ } xs) \text{ } n) \text{ } (\text{lnth } (\text{LCons } x \text{ } xs) \text{ } (\text{Suc } n))))$$

by *blast*

have 2: $(0 < \text{llength } xs \wedge$

$$(\forall n. 0 \leq n \wedge (\text{Suc } n) < \text{eSuc} (\text{llength } xs) \longrightarrow R (\text{lnth } (\text{LCons } x \text{ } xs) \text{ } n) \text{ } (\text{lnth } (\text{LCons } x \text{ } xs) \text{ } (\text{Suc } n)))) \longleftrightarrow$$

$$(0 < \text{llength } xs \wedge$$

$R x (lhd xs) \wedge$
 $(\forall n. 1 \leq n \wedge (Suc n) < eSuc (llength xs) \longrightarrow R (lnth (LCons x xs) n) (lnth (LCons x xs) (Suc n)))$
by (metis Extended-Nat.eSuc-mono One-nat-def eSuc-enat gr-implies-not-zero ldropn-0 less-Suc-eq

lhd-ldropn lnth-0 lnth-Suc-LCons not-le-imp-less zero-enat-def

have 3: $(0 < llengt hs \wedge$
 $R x (lhd xs) \wedge$
 $(\forall n. 1 \leq n \wedge (Suc n) < eSuc (llength xs) \longrightarrow R (lnth (LCons x xs) n) (lnth (LCons x xs) (Suc n))) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(0 < llengt hs \wedge$
 $R x (lhd xs) \wedge$
 $(\forall n. 1 \leq n \wedge (n) < (llength xs) \longrightarrow R (lnth (LCons x xs) n) (lnth (LCons x xs) (Suc n)))$
using Suc-ile-eq **by** auto

have 4: $(0 < llengt hs \wedge$
 $R x (lhd xs) \wedge$
 $(\forall n. 1 \leq n \wedge (n) < (llength xs) \longrightarrow R (lnth (LCons x xs) n) (lnth (LCons x xs) (Suc n))) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(0 < llengt hs \wedge$
 $R x (lhd xs) \wedge$
 $(\forall n. 1 \leq n \wedge (n) < (llength xs) \longrightarrow R (lnth xs (n - 1)) (lnth xs (n)))$
by (metis le-add-diff-inverse llist.disc(2) lnth-ltl ltl-simps(2) plus-1-eq-Suc)

have 5: $(0 < llengt hs \wedge$
 $R x (lhd xs) \wedge$
 $(\forall n. 1 \leq n \wedge (n) < (llength xs) \longrightarrow R (lnth xs (n - 1)) (lnth xs (n))) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(0 < llengt hs \wedge$
 $R x (lhd xs) \wedge$
 $(\forall n. 0 \leq (n - 1) \wedge (Suc (n - 1)) < (llength xs) \longrightarrow R (lnth xs (n - 1)) (lnth xs (Suc (n - 1))))$
by (metis diff-Suc-1 le0 le-add1 le-add-diff-inverse plus-1-eq-Suc)

have 6: $(0 < llengt hs \wedge$
 $R x (lhd xs) \wedge$
 $(\forall n. 0 \leq (n - 1) \wedge (Suc (n - 1)) < (llength xs) \longrightarrow R (lnth xs (n - 1)) (lnth xs (Suc (n - 1))))$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $(0 < llengt hs \wedge$
 $R x (lhd xs) \wedge$
 $(\forall n. 0 \leq n \wedge (Suc n) < (llength xs) \longrightarrow R (lnth xs n) (lnth xs (Suc n)))$
by (metis diff-Suc-1)

show ?thesis
using 2 3 4 5 6 **by** auto
qed

lemma lidx-LCons-conv:

$(0 < llengt hs \wedge (\forall n. (Suc n) < eSuc (llength xs) \longrightarrow lnth (LCons x xs) n < lnth (LCons x xs) (Suc n)))$
 $\longleftrightarrow (0 < llengt hs \wedge$
 $x < lhd xs \wedge$
 $(\forall n. 0 \leq n \wedge (Suc n) < (llength xs) \longrightarrow lnth xs n < lnth xs (Suc n)))$

using ridx-LCons-conv
by metis

lemma ridx-LCons-1 [simp]:

```

 $\text{ridx } R \ (L\text{Cons } x \ xs) \longleftrightarrow \text{lnull } xs \vee (0 < \text{llength } xs \wedge R \ x \ (\text{lhd } xs) \wedge \text{ridx } R \ xs)$ 
unfolding  $\text{ridx-def}$ 
using  $\text{ridx-LCons-conv}[\text{of } xs \ R \ x]$ 
by (auto simp add: enat-0-iff(1))

```

```

lemma  $\text{lidx-LCons-1} \ [\text{simp}]:$ 
 $\text{lidx } (L\text{Cons } x \ xs) \longleftrightarrow \text{lnull } xs \vee (0 < \text{llength } xs \wedge x < \text{lhd } xs \wedge \text{lidx } xs)$ 
using  $\text{ridx-lidx}[\text{of } L\text{Cons } x \ xs] \ \text{ridx-lidx}[\text{of } xs] \ \text{ridx-LCons-1}[\text{of } (<) \ x \ xs]$  by blast

```

```

lemma  $\text{ridx-less}:$ 
assumes  $\text{ridx } R \ xs$ 
 $Suc(n+k) < \text{llength } xs$ 
 $\text{transp } R$ 
shows  $R \ (\text{lnth } xs \ n) \ (\text{lnth } xs \ (Suc(n+k)))$ 
using assms unfolding  $\text{ridx-def}$ 
proof (induct k)
case  $0$ 
then show ?case by simp
next
case  $(Suc \ k)$ 
then show ?case
by (metis Suc-ile-eq add-Suc-right order-less-imp-le transpE)
qed

```

```

lemma  $\text{lidx-less}:$ 
assumes  $\text{lidx } xs$ 
 $Suc(n+k) < \text{llength } xs$ 
shows  $\text{lnth } xs \ n < \text{lnth } xs \ (Suc(n+k))$ 
using assms  $\text{ridx-lidx} \ \text{ridx-less}[\text{of } (<) \ xs \ n \ k]$  transp-less by blast

```

```

lemma  $\text{ridx-less-eq}:$ 
assumes  $\text{ridx } R \ xs$ 
 $k \leq j$ 
 $j < \text{llength } xs$ 
 $\text{transp } R$ 
 $\text{reflp } R$ 
shows  $R \ (\text{lnth } xs \ k) \ (\text{lnth } xs \ j)$ 
proof (cases k=j)
case True
then show ?thesis using assms by (simp add: reflp-def)
next
case False
then show ?thesis using assms
by (metis less-iff-Suc-add order-neq-le-trans ridx-less)
qed

```

```

lemma  $\text{lidx-less-eq}:$ 
assumes  $\text{lidx } xs$ 
 $k \leq j$ 

```

```

 $j < \text{llength } xs$ 
shows  $\text{lnth } xs \ k \leq \text{lnth } xs \ j$ 
using assms  $\text{ridx-lidx } \text{ridx-less-eq}[\text{of } (<) \ xs \ k \ j]$ 
by (metis dual-order.order-iff-strict less-iff-Suc-add lidx-less)

```

lemma *ridx-gr-first*:

assumes $\text{ridx } R \ xs$

$0 < i$

$i < \text{llength } xs$

$\text{transp } R$

shows $R (\text{lnth } xs \ 0) \ (\text{lnth } xs \ i)$

using *assms* $\text{ridx-less}[\text{of } R \ xs \ 0 \ i-1]$ **unfolding** *ridx-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *lidx-gr-first*:

assumes $\text{lidx } xs$

$0 < i$

$i < \text{llength } xs$

shows $(\text{lnth } xs \ 0) < \text{lnth } xs \ i$

using *assms* $\text{lidx-less}[\text{of } xs \ 0 \ i-1]$ **unfolding** *lidx-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *ridx-ltake-a*:

assumes $\text{ridx } R \ xs$

$n \leq \text{llength } xs$

shows $\text{ridx } R (\text{ltake } n \ xs)$

using *assms*

unfolding *ridx-def*

by *simp*

(*metis Suc-ile-eq dual-order.strict-trans1 lnth-ltake order-less-imp-le*)

lemma *lidx-ltake-a*:

assumes $\text{lidx } xs$

$n \leq \text{llength } xs$

shows $\text{lidx } (\text{ltake } n \ xs)$

using *assms*

using *ridx-lidx ridx-ltake-a* **by** *blast*

lemma *ridx-lappend-lfinite*:

assumes $\text{lfinite } xs$

shows $\text{ridx } R (\text{lappend } xs \ ys) \longleftrightarrow$

$\text{ridx } R \ xs \wedge ((\text{lnull } xs \vee \text{lnull } ys) \vee R (\text{llast } xs) \ (\text{lhd } ys)) \wedge \text{ridx } R \ ys$

using *assms*

proof (*induction* *xs arbitrary: ys*)

case *lfinite-LNil*

then show *?case* **by** (*simp add: ridx-expand-1*)

next

case (*lfinite-LConsI* *xs x*)

then show *?case*

proof (*cases lnull xs*)

case *True*

then show *?thesis*

```

by (metis lappend-code(1) lappend-code(2) llast-singleton llength-LCons llist.collapse(1)
      one-eSuc order-neq-le-trans ridx-LCons-1 ridx-expand-1 zero-le)
next
case False
then show ?thesis
by (simp add: lfinite-LConsI.IH llast-LCons)
qed
qed

lemma lidx-lappend-lfinite:
assumes lfinite xs
shows lidx (lappend xs ys)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
      lidx xs  $\wedge$  ((lnull xs  $\vee$  lnull ys)  $\vee$  (llast xs)  $<$  (lhd ys))  $\wedge$  lidx ys
using assms by (metis ridx-lappend-lfinite ridx-lidx)

lemma ridx-ldrop:
assumes ridx R xs
      n  $\leq$  llength xs
shows ridx R (ldrop n xs)
proof -
  have 1: xs = lappend (ltake n xs) (ldrop n xs)
    by (simp add: lappend-ltake-ldrop)
  have 2:  $\neg$  lfinite (ltake n xs)  $\Longrightarrow$  ?thesis
    by (simp add: ridx-expand-1)
  have 3: lfinite (ltake n xs)  $\Longrightarrow$  ridx R (lappend (ltake n xs) (ldrop n xs))  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    ridx R (ltake n xs)  $\wedge$ 
    ((lnull (ltake n xs)  $\vee$  lnull (ldrop n xs))  $\vee$  R (llast (ltake n xs)) (lhd (ldrop n xs)))  $\wedge$ 
    ridx R (ldrop n xs)
  using ridx-lappend-lfinite[of (ltake n xs) R (ldrop n xs)] by blast
  have 4: lfinite (ltake n xs)  $\Longrightarrow$  ?thesis
    using 1 3 assms by metis
  show ?thesis using 2 4 by blast
qed

lemma lidx-ldrop:
assumes lidx xs
      n  $\leq$  llength xs
shows lidx (ldrop n xs)
using assms ridx-ldrop ridx-lidx by blast

lemma ridx-ltake-all:
assumes  $\bigwedge n. n \leq \text{llength } xs \Longrightarrow \text{ridx } R (\text{ltake} (\text{enat } n) xs)$ 
shows ridx R xs
using assms
unfolding ridx-def
by auto
  (metis Suc-ile-eq dual-order.refl eSuc-enat ile-eSuc lessI lnth-ltake)

lemma lidx-ltake-all:
assumes  $\bigwedge n. n \leq \text{llength } xs \Longrightarrow \text{lidx } (\text{ltake} (\text{enat } n) xs)$ 

```

```

shows lidx xs
using assms ridx-ltake-all ridx-lidx by blast

lemma ridx-ltake:
assumes ridx R (ltake n xs)
  n ≤ llength xs
  k ≤ n
shows ridx R (ltake (enat k) xs)
using assms
using ridx-ltake-a by fastforce

lemma lidx-ltake:
assumes lidx (ltake n xs)
  n ≤ llength xs
  k ≤ n
shows lidx (ltake (enat k) xs)
using assms ridx-ltake ridx-lidx by blast

lemma lidx-imp-lsorted:
assumes lidx xs
shows lsorted xs
using assms
by (metis (no-types, lifting) less-imp-le lhd-LCons-ltl lidx-LCons-1 lsorted-coinduct')

lemma lidx-imp-ldistinct:
assumes lidx xs
shows ldistinct xs
using assms
proof (coinduction arbitrary: xs)
case (ldistinct xsa)
then show ?case
proof –
  have 1: lhd xsa ∉ lset (ltl xsa)
  by (metis empty-iff lappend-code(1) lappend-lnull2 ldistinct(1) ldistinct(2) leD lhd-LCons-ltl
    lidx-LCons-1 lidx-imp-lsorted lmmember-code(2) lset-LNil lset-lmmember lsortedD)
  have 2: ((∃ xs. ltl xsa = xs ∧ lidx xs) ∨ ldistinct (ltl xsa))
  unfolding lidx-def
  by (metis Extended-Nat.eSuc-mono eSuc-enat ldistinct(1) ldistinct(2) lhd-LCons-ltl lidx-def
    llength-LCons lnth-ltl)
  show ?thesis
  using 1 2 by auto
qed
qed

lemma ldistinct-Ex1:
assumes ldistinct xs
  x ∈ lset xs
shows ∃!i. i < llength xs ∧ (lnth xs i) = x
using assms
by (metis in-lset-conv-lnth ldistinct-conv-lnth)

```

```

lemma lidx-lset-eq:
  assumes lidx xs
    lidx ys
    lset xs = lset ys
  shows xs = ys
  using assms
  by (simp add: lidx-imp-ldistinct lidx-imp-lsorted lsorted-ldistinct-lset-unique)

lemma ridx-lfuse-lfirst-llast:
  assumes ridx R ys
    (lnth ys 0) = (0::nat)
    ridx R zs
    (lnth zs 0) = 0
    lfinite ys
    lfinite xs
    ¬ lnull xs
    ¬ lnull zs
    llast ys = cp
  shows llast ys = lfirst(lmap (λx. x+cp) zs)
  using assms unfolding lfirst-def
  by (simp add: lnth-0-conv-lhd)

lemma lidx-lfuse-lfirst-llast:
  assumes lidx ys
    (lnth ys 0) = 0
    lidx zs
    (lnth zs 0) = 0
    lfinite ys
    lfinite xs
    ¬ lnull xs
    ¬ lnull zs
    llast ys = cp
  shows llast ys = lfirst(lmap (λx. x+cp) zs)
  using assms
  by (simp add: lfirst-def lnth-0-conv-lhd)

lemma ridx-lfuse-lnth-cp:
  assumes ridx R ys
    (lnth ys 0) = 0
    ridx R zs
    (lnth zs 0) = 0
    lfinite ys
    lfinite zs
    lfinite xs
    ¬ lnull xs
    ¬ lnull zs
    ¬ lnull ys
    llast ys = cp
    llast zs = the-enat(epred (llength xs)) - cp

```

$i < (\text{llength } zs)$
 $cp < \text{llength } xs$
shows $\text{lnth}(\text{lfuse } ys(\text{lmap } (\lambda x. x+cp) \text{ } zs)) (\text{the-enat(epred(llength } ys)) + i) = cp + (\text{lnth } zs \ i)$
proof –
have 1: $\text{llast } ys = \text{lfirst}(\text{lmap } (\lambda x. x+cp) \text{ } zs)$
by (metis assms(11) assms(4) assms(9) lfirst-def llist.map-sel(1) lnth-0-conv-lhd plus-nat.add-0)
have 3: $\text{lfirst}(\text{lmap } (\lambda x. x+cp) \text{ } zs) = cp + (\text{lnth } zs \ 0)$
using 1 assms(11) assms(4) **by** force
have 8: $i \leq \text{epred}(\text{llength } zs)$
using assms
by (metis co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq llength-eq-0)
have 81: $\text{enat}(\text{the-enat(epred(llength } ys)) + i) \leq$
 $\text{enat}(\text{the-enat(epred(llength } ys)) + (\text{the-enat(epred(llength } zs)))$
by (metis 8 add-mono-thms-linordered-semiring(2) assms(6) assms(9) co.enat.exhaust-sel
enat-ord-simps(1) enat-ord-simps(4) enat-the-enat ile-eSuc iless-Suc-eq lfinite-llength-enat
llength-eq-0 order-le-less-trans)
have 9: $\text{epred}(\text{llength } zs) < \text{llength } zs$
by (metis assms(6) assms(9) co.enat.exhaust-sel ile-eSuc iless-Suc-eq lfinite-llength-enat
llength-eq-0 order-neq-le-trans)
have 10: $\text{epred}(\text{llength } ys) \leq \text{enat}(\text{the-enat(epred(llength } ys)) + (i:\text{nat}))$
by (metis assms(10) assms(5) co.enat.exhaust-sel enat-ord-simps(1) enat-the-enat ile-eSuc
infinity-ileE le-add1 lfinite-llength-enat llength-eq-0)
have 83: $\text{enat}(\text{the-enat(epred(llength } ys)) + (\text{the-enat(epred(llength } zs))) =$
 $\text{enat}(\text{the-enat(epred(llength } ys) + \text{epred}(llength } zs))$
by (metis 10 9 enat-ord-code(4) enat-the-enat leD order-less-imp-le plus-enat-simps(1))
have 84: $\text{enat}(\text{the-enat(epred(llength } ys) + \text{epred}(llength } zs)) =$
 $(\text{epred}(llength } ys) + \text{epred}(llength } zs))$
by (metis 10 9 enat-ord-code(4) enat-the-enat leD order-less-imp-not-less plus-enat-simps(1))
have 85: $\text{epred}(llength } ys) < \text{llength } ys$
by (metis 10 assms(10) co.enat.exhaust-sel enat-ord-code(4) enat-the-enat ile-eSuc iless-Suc-eq
leD llength-eq-0 order-neq-le-trans)
have 86: $\text{llength}(\text{lfuse } ys(\text{lmap } (\lambda x:\text{nat}. x + cp) \text{ } zs)) = \text{llength } ys + \text{epred}(llength } zs)$
by (simp add: assms(10) lfuse-llength)
have 87: $(\text{epred}(llength } ys) + \text{epred}(llength } zs)) < \text{llength } ys + \text{epred}(llength } zs)$
by (metis 83 84 85 add.commute enat-le-plus-same(2) enat-less-enat-plusI2 enat-the-enat
infinity-ileE)
have 82: $\text{enat}(\text{the-enat(epred(llength } ys)) + (\text{the-enat(epred}(llength } zs))) <$
 $\text{llength}(\text{lFuse } ys(\text{lmap } (\lambda x:\text{nat}. x + cp) \text{ } zs))$
using 83 84 86 87 **by** presburger
have 11: $\text{enat}(\text{the-enat(epred}(llength } ys)) + i) < \text{llength}(\text{lFuse } ys(\text{lmap } (\lambda x:\text{nat}. x + cp) \text{ } zs))$
using 81 82 order-le-less-trans **by** blast
have 12: $(\text{the-enat(epred}(llength } ys)) + i - \text{the-enat(epred}(llength } ys)) = i$
by auto
have 4: $\text{lnth}(\text{lFuse } ys(\text{lmap } (\lambda x. x+cp) \text{ } zs)) (\text{the-enat(epred}(llength } ys)) + i) =$
 $(\text{lnth}(\text{lmap } (\lambda x. x+cp) \text{ } zs) \ i)$
by (simp add: 1 10 11 assms(13) assms(9) lfuse-lnth-c)
have 5: $(\text{lnth}(\text{lmap } (\lambda x. x+cp) \text{ } zs) \ i) = cp + (\text{lnth } zs \ i)$
by (simp add: assms)
show ?thesis
using 4 5 **by** presburger

qed

```
lemma lidx-lfuse-lnth-cp:
assumes lidx ys
  (lnth ys 0 ) = 0
  lidx zs
  (lnth zs 0 ) = 0
  lfinite ys
  lfinite zs
  lfinite xs
  ~ lnull xs
  ~ lnull zs
  ~ lnull ys
  llast ys = cp
  llast zs = the-enat(epred (llength xs)) - cp
  i < (llength zs)
  cp < llength xs
shows lnth (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x+cp) zs)) (the-enat(epred(llength ys)) + i) = cp + (lnth zs i)
using assms ridx-lidx ridx-lfuse-lnth-cp by blast
```

lemma ridx-lfuse-lnth-cp-a:

```
assumes ridx R ys
  (lnth ys 0 ) = 0
  ridx R zs
  (lnth zs 0 ) = 0
  lfinite ys
  lfinite zs
  lfinite xs
  ~ lnull xs
  ~ lnull zs
  ~ lnull ys
  llast ys = cp
  llast zs = the-enat(epred (llength xs)) - cp
  i < (epred(llength ys)) + (llength zs)
  the-enat(epred(llength ys)) ≤ i
  cp < llength xs
shows lnth (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x+cp) zs)) i = cp + (lnth zs (i - the-enat(epred(llength ys))))
proof -
have 1: i = (the-enat (epred (llength ys)) + (i - the-enat (epred (llength ys)))) by fastforce
have 2: enat (i - the-enat (epred (llength ys))) < llength zs by presburger
by (metis 1 enat-add-mono epred-enat lfinite-llength-enat plus-enat-simps(1) the-enat.simps)
show ?thesis
using 1 2 assms ridx-lfuse-lnth-cp[of R ys zs xs cp (i - the-enat(epred(llength ys)))]
by presburger
qed
```

lemma lidx-lfuse-lnth-cp-a:

```
assumes lidx ys
```

```

(lnth ys 0 ) = 0
lidx zs
(lnth zs 0 ) = 0
lfinite ys
lfinite zs
lfinite xs
¬ lnull xs
¬ lnull zs
¬ lnull ys
llast ys = cp
llast zs = the-enat(epred (llength xs)) - cp
i < (epred(llength ys)) + (llength zs)
the-enat(epred(llength ys)) ≤ i
cp < llength xs
shows lnth (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x+cp) zs)) ( i) = cp + (lnth zs (i -the-enat(epred(llength ys))))
using assms ridx-lidx ridx-lfuse-lnth-cp-a by blast

```

lemma ridx-lfuse-lnth-cp-llast:

assumes ridx R ys

```

(lnth ys 0 ) = 0
ridx R zs
(lnth zs 0 ) = 0
lfinite ys
lfinite zs
lfinite xs
¬ lnull xs
¬ lnull zs
¬ lnull ys
llast ys = cp
llast zs = the-enat(epred (llength xs)) - cp
i < (llength zs)
cp < llength xs

```

shows llast (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x+cp) zs)) = (the-enat (epred(llength xs)))

proof -

have 1: llast (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x+cp) zs)) = llast (lmap (λx. x+cp) zs)

using assms

by (metis add-cancel-left-left lfinite-lmap lfist-def llast-lfuse llist.map-disc-iff
llist.map-sel(1) lnth-0-conv-lhd)

have 2: llast (lmap (λx. x+cp) zs) = cp + (llast zs)

by (simp add: assms(6) assms(9) llast-lmap)

have 3: cp + (llast zs) = (the-enat (epred(llength xs)))

using assms

by (metis add-diff-inverse-nat co.enat.exhaust-sel enat-ord-simps(2) enat-the-enat ileI1
ile-eSuc infinity-ileE leD lfinite-conv-llength-enat llength-eq-0)

show ?thesis **using** 1 2 3 **by** auto

qed

lemma lidx-lfuse-lnth-cp-llast:

assumes lidx ys

(lnth ys 0) = 0

```

lidx zs
(lnth zs 0 ) = 0
lfinite ys
lfinite zs
lfinite xs
¬ lnull xs
¬ lnull zs
¬ lnull ys
llast ys = cp
llast zs = the-enat(epred (llength xs)) - cp
i < (llength zs)
cp < llength xs
shows llast (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x+cp) zs)) = (the-enat (epred(llength xs)))
using assms ridx-lidx ridx-lfuse-lnth-cp-llast by blast

lemma ridx-lfuse-lnth-cp-infinite:
assumes ridx R ys
(lnth ys 0 ) = (0::nat)
ridx R zs
(lnth zs 0 ) = 0
lfinite ys
¬lfinite zs
¬lfinite xs
¬ lnull ys
llast ys = cp
shows lnth (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x+cp) zs)) (the-enat(epred(llength ys)) + i) = cp + (lnth zs i)
proof -
have 1: llast ys = lfirst(lmap (λx. x+cp) zs)
by (metis assms(4) assms(6) assms(9) lfirst-def llist.map-sel(1) lnth-0-conv-lhd
      lnull-imp-lfinite plus-nat.add-0)
have 3: lfirst(lmap (λx. x+cp) zs) = cp + (lnth zs 0)
using 1 assms by auto
have 8: i ≤ epred(llength zs)
by (metis assms(6) enat.simps(3) ldrop-eq-LNil lfinite-ldrop lfinite-ltl linorder-le-cases llength-ltl)
have 10: epred (llength ys) ≤ enat (the-enat (epred (llength ys)) + (i::nat))
by (metis add.right-neutral add-le-same-cancel1 assms(5) assms(8) co.enat.exhaust-sel
      enat-ord-simps(1) enat-the-enat ile-eSuc infinity-ileE le-zero-eq lfinite-llength-enat
      linorder-le-cases llength-eq-0)
have 11: enat (the-enat (epred (llength ys)) + i) < llength (lfuse ys (lmap (λx::nat. x + cp) zs))
using assms
by (metis 1 enat-ile ldrop-lfuse lfinite-conv-llength-enat lfinite-ldrop
      lfinite-lmap not-le-imp-less)
have 12: (the-enat (epred (llength ys)) + i - the-enat (epred (llength ys))) = i
by auto
have 4: lnth (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x+cp) zs)) (the-enat(epred(llength ys)) + i ) =
      (lnth (lmap (λx. x+cp) zs) i)
using assms
by (metis 1 10 11 12 lfuse-lnth-c llist.map-disc-iff lnull-imp-lfinite)
have 5: (lnth (lmap (λx. x+cp) zs) i) = cp + (lnth zs i)
using assms

```

```

by (metis 8 add.commute co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq llength-eq-0 lnth-lmap
    lnull-imp-lfinite)
show ?thesis
using 4 5 by presburger
qed

lemma lidx-lfuse-lnth-cp-infinite:
assumes lidx ys
  (lnth ys 0) = 0
  lidx zs
  (lnth zs 0) = 0
  lfinite ys
  -lfinite zs
  -lfinite xs
  -lnull ys
  llast ys = cp
shows lnth (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x+cp) zs)) (the-enat(epred(llength ys)) + i) = cp + (lnth zs i)
using assms ridx-lidx ridx-lfuse-lnth-cp-infinite by blast

lemma lidx-lfuse-lidx:
assumes lidx ys
  lnth ys 0 = 0
  lidx zs
  lnth zs 0 = 0
  lfinite ys
  -lnull ys
  llast ys = cp
  lfinite zs
  -lnull zs
  lfinite xs
  llast zs = the-enat(epred(llength xs)) -cp
  cp < llength xs
  i < llength zs
  cp < llength xs
shows lidx (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x+ cp) zs)) ∧ (lnth (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x+ cp) zs)) 0) = 0
proof –
  have 1: llast ys = lfirst(lmap (λx. x+ cp) zs)
  by (metis assms(4) assms(7) assms(9) cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel diff-add
    dual-order.refl lfirst-def llist.mapsel(1) lnth-0-conv-lhd)
  have 2: lfirst (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x+ cp) zs)) = lfirst ys
  by (simp add: assms(6) assms(9) lfirst-lfuse-1)
  have 3: llength (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x+ cp) zs)) = llength ys + epred(llength zs)
  by (simp add: assms(13) assms(6) lfuse-llength)
  have 4: ∀j. j < llength ys ⇒ lnth (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x+ cp) zs)) j = lnth ys j
  using lfuse-lnth-a by blast
  have 40: ∃ k1. llength ys = (enat k1)
  by (simp add: assms(5) lfinite-llength-enat)
  obtain k1 where 41: llength ys = (enat k1)
  using 40 by blast
  have 42: (enat (k1 - 1)) = epred(llength ys)

```

```

using 41 epred-enat by presburger
have 43: 0 < k1
  using assms 41
  by (metis gr0I llength_eq_0 zero_enat_def)
have 44: the_enat(epred(llength ys)) = (k1 - 1)
  by (metis 42 the_enat.simps)
have 5: ∀j. epred(llength ys) ≤ j ∧ j < epred(llength ys) + llength zs ⇒
  lnth(lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) j =
  cp + (lnth zs (j - the_enat(epred(llength ys))))
using assms lidx-lfuse-lnth-cp-a[of ys zs xs cp]
  by (metis enat_ord_simps(1) enat_the_enat_gr_implies_not_zero
       infinity_ileE llength_eq_0)
have 45: ∀j. k1 - 1 ≤ j ∧ j < (enat(k1 - 1)) + llength zs ⇒
  lnth(lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) j =
  cp + (lnth zs (j - (k1 - 1)))
  using 5 44 by (metis 42 enat_ord_simps(1))
have 50: llength(lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) = epred(llength ys) + llength zs
  using assms
    by (metis 3 add.commute epred_iadd1 llength_eq_0)
have 51: ∀j. enat(Suc j) < llength ys ⇒
  (lnth(lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) j) <
  (lnth(lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) (Suc j))
  by (metis assms(1) assms(5) eSuc_enat_iless_Suc_eq_lfinite_conv_llength_enat_lfuse_lnth_a
       lidx_def not_le_imp_less not_less_iff_gr_or_eq)
have 52: ∀j. k1 - 1 ≤ j ∧ (Suc j) < enat(k1 - 1) + llength zs ⇒
  cp + (lnth zs (j - (k1 - 1))) <
  cp + (lnth zs ((Suc j) - (k1 - 1)))
  using assms(3) unfolding lidx_def
  by simp
    (metis Suc_diff_le add_Suc_right assms(8) enat_ord_simps(2) leD lfinite_llength_enat
     nat_add_left_cancel_le not_le_imp_less ordered_cancel_comm_monoid_diff_class.add_diff_inverse
     plus_enat_simps(1))
have 6: ∀j. enat(Suc j) < llength(lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) ⇒
  (lnth(lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) j) <
  (lnth(lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) (Suc j))
proof -
  fix j
  assume a: enat(Suc j) < llength(lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs))
  show (lnth(lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) j) < (lnth(lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) (Suc j))
  proof -
    have 61: enat(Suc j) < llength ys ⇒
      (lnth(lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) j) < (lnth(lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) (Suc j))
      using 51 by blast
    have 62: k1 - 1 ≤ j ∧ (Suc j) < llength(lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) ⇒
      (lnth(lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) j) < (lnth(lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) (Suc j))
      by (metis 42 45 50 52 Suc_ile_eq_nle_le not_less_eq_eq_order_less_imp_le)
    show ?thesis
      by (metis 41 43 61 62 Suc_diff_1 Suc_mono a enat_ord_simps(2) leI)
  qed
qed

```

```

show ?thesis unfolding lidx-def
by (simp add: 41 43 6 assms(13) assms(2) lfuse-lnth-a)
qed

lemma lidx-lfuse-lidx-infinite:
assumes lidx ys
    lnth ys 0 = 0
    lidx zs
    lnth zs 0 = 0
    lfinite ys
    ~ lnull ys
    llast ys = cp
    ~lfinite zs
    ~lfinite xs
shows lidx (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) ∧ (lnth (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) 0) = 0
proof –
have 1: llast ys = lfirst(lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)
    by (metis assms(4) assms(7) assms(8) lfirst-def llist.map-sel(1) lnth-0-conv-lhd
        lnull-imp-lfinite plus-nat.add-0)
have 2: lfirst (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) = lfirst ys
    by (simp add: assms(6) lfirst-lfuse-1)
have 4: ∀j. j < llength ys ⟹ lnth (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) j = lnth ys j
    using lfuse-lnth-a by blast
have 40: ∃ k1. llength ys = (enat k1)
    by (simp add: assms(5) lfinite-llength-enat)
obtain k1 where 41: llength ys = (enat k1)
    using 40 by blast
have 42: (enat (k1 - 1)) = epred(llength ys)
    using 41 epred-enat by presburger
have 43: 0 < k1
    using assms 41
    by (metis gr0I llength-eq-0 zero-enat-def)
have 44: the-enat(epred(llength ys)) = (k1 - 1)
    by (metis 42 the-enat.simps)
have 5: ∀j. epred(llength ys) ≤ j ∧ j < epred(llength ys) + llength zs ⟹
    lnth (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) j =
    cp + (lnth zs (j - the-enat(epred(llength ys))))
using assms lidx-lfuse-lnth-cp-infinite[of ys zs xs cp ]
    by (metis 42 44 enat-ord-simps(1) ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse)
have 45: ∀j. k1 - 1 ≤ j ∧ j < (enat (k1 - 1)) + llength zs ⟹
    lnth (lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) j =
    cp + (lnth zs (j - (k1 - 1)))
using 5 44 by (metis 42 enat-ord-simps(1))
have 46: llength ys + epred (llength zs) = epred (llength ys) + llength zs
    by (metis add.commute assms(6) assms(8) epred-iadd1 llength-eq-0 lnull-imp-lfinite)
have 50: llength(lfuse ys (lmap (λx. x + cp) zs)) = epred(llength ys) + llength zs
    using lfuse-llength[of ys (lmap (λx::nat. x + cp) zs) ]
        llength-lmap[of (λx::nat. x + cp) zs]
    using 46 assms(6) by presburger
have 51: ∀j. enat (Suc j) < llength ys ⟹

```

```


$$(lnth (lfuse ys (lmap (\lambda x. x + cp) zs)) j) <$$


$$(lnth (lfuse ys (lmap (\lambda x. x + cp) zs)) (Suc j))$$

by (metis assms(1) assms(5) eSuc-enat iless-Suc-eq lfinite-conv-llength-enat lfuse-lnth-a
    lidx-def not-le-imp-less not-less-iff-gr-or-eq)
have 52:  $\bigwedge j. k1 - 1 \leq j \wedge (Suc j) < enat (k1 - 1) + llenth zs \implies$ 

$$cp + (lnth zs (j - (k1 - 1))) <$$


$$cp + (lnth zs ((Suc j) - (k1 - 1)))$$

using assms(3) unfolding lidx-def by simp
(metis Nat.add-diff-assoc assms(8) enat-ile lfinite-conv-llength-enat not-le-imp-less
plus-1-eq-Suc)
have 53:  $\bigwedge j. k1 - 1 \leq j \wedge (Suc j) < enat (k1 - 1) + llenth zs \implies$ 

$$lnth (lfuse ys (lmap (\lambda x. x + cp) zs)) j <$$


$$lnth (lfuse ys (lmap (\lambda x. x + cp) zs)) (Suc j)$$

by (metis 45 52 Suc-ile-eq nle-le not-less-eq-eq order-less-imp-le)
have 6:  $\bigwedge j. enat (Suc j) < llenth(lfuse ys (lmap (\lambda x. x + cp) zs)) \implies$ 

$$(lnth (lfuse ys (lmap (\lambda x. x + cp) zs)) j) <$$


$$(lnth (lfuse ys (lmap (\lambda x. x + cp) zs)) (Suc j))$$

by (metis 41 42 43 50 51 53 Suc-diff-1 Suc-eq-plus1 add-less-cancel-right
enat-ord-simps(2) leI)
show ?thesis unfolding lidx-def
by (simp add: 41 43 6 assms lfuse-lnth-a)
qed

```

1.5 lsub

```

lemma lsub-eq-lsubc:
assumes  $k \leq n$ 
 $n < llenth xs$ 
shows  $lsub k n xs = lsubc k n xs$ 
using assms
unfolding lsub-def lsubc-def
by (auto simp add: min-def)
(metis co.enat.exhaust-sel enat-ord-simps(1) iless-Suc-eq ldrop-lnull llenth-eq-0
order-le-less-trans)

```

```

lemma lsub-same:
assumes  $(enat k) < llenth xs$ 
shows  $lsub k k xs = (LCons (lnth xs k) LNil)$ 
using assms
unfolding lsub-def
by (metis LNil-eq-ltake-iff diff-is-0-eq' enat-0-iff(1) ldrop-enat ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn
ltake-eSuc-LCons order.order-iff-strict)

```

```

lemma lsubc-same:
assumes  $(enat k) < llenth xs$ 
shows  $lsubc k k xs = (LCons (lnth xs k) LNil)$ 
using assms
lsub-eq-lsubc[of k k xs] lsub-same[of k xs]
by fastforce

```

```

lemma llength-lsub:
assumes k≤ n
    n < llength xs
shows llength (lsub k n xs) = (eSuc (n-k))
proof –
  have 1: llength (ldrop (enat k) xs) = (llength xs - enat k)
    by (simp add: ldrop-enat)
  have 2: min (eSuc (enat (n - k))) (llength xs - enat k) = (eSuc (n-k))
    by (metis 1 Suc-diff-le assms(1) assms(2) eSuc-enat enat	llength-ldropn ileI1 ldrop-enat min.absorb1)
  have 3: llength (ltake (eSuc (enat (n - k))) (ldrop (enat k) xs)) = (eSuc (n-k))
    by (simp add: 1 2)
  show ?thesis
    by (metis 3 idiff-enat-enat lsub-def)
qed

lemma llength-lsubc:
assumes k≤ n
    n < llength xs
shows llength (lsubc k n xs) = (eSuc (n-k))
using assms lsub-eq-lsubc[of k n xs] llength-lsub[of k n xs] by presburger

lemma llength-lsub-a:
shows llength (lsub k n xs) =
  min (eSuc (n - k))
  (if k = ∞ then 0::enat else llength xs - k)
unfolding lsub-def
using llength-ltake[of eSuc (n-k) (ldrop k xs)] llength-ldrop[of k xs]
using idiff-enat-enat by presburger

lemma llength-lsubc-a:
shows llength (lsubc k n xs) =
  min (eSuc (n - k))
  (if min k (epred (llength xs)) = ∞
  then 0::enat
  else llength xs - min k (epred (llength xs)))
unfolding lsubc-def
using llength-ldrop[of (min k (epred (llength xs))) xs]
using llength-ltake[of (eSuc (n - k)) (ldrop (min k (epred (llength xs))) xs)]
using idiff-enat-enat by presburger

lemma lsub-not-lnull:
assumes k≤ n
    n < llength xs
shows ¬lnull (lsub k n xs)
using assms
by (metis eSuc-enat idiff-enat-enat llength-LNil llength-lsub llist.collapse(1) zero-ne-eSuc)

lemma lsub-llength-gr-one:
assumes k< n
    n < llength xs

```

```

shows 1 < llength (lsub k n xs)
using llength-lsub-a[of k n xs] assms
by (auto simp add: min-def one-eSuc zero-enat-def llength-lsub)

lemma lsub-lfinite:
assumes k ≤ n
    n < llength xs
shows lfinite (lsub k n xs)
using assms
by (simp add: eSuc-enat llength-eq-enat-lfiniteD llength-lsub)

lemma lnth-lsub:
assumes n < llength xs
    k+j ≤ n
shows lnth (lsub k n xs) j = (lnth xs (k+j))
proof –
  have 1: enat (j::nat) < eSuc (enat ((n::nat) - (k::nat)))
    using assms(2) by auto
  have 2: lnth (ltake (eSuc (enat (n - k))) (ldrop (enat k) (xs::'a llist))) j =
    lnth (ldrop (enat k) xs) j
    using 1 lnth-ltake by blast
  have 3: lnth (ldrop (enat k) xs) j = (lnth xs (k+j))
    by (metis add.commute assms(1) assms(2) enat-ord-simps(1) ldrop-enat lnth-ldropn order-le-less-trans)
  show ?thesis unfolding lsub-def
  using 2 3 by presburger
qed

lemma lnth-lsub-a:
assumes n < llength xs
    m ≤ n
    k ≤ m
shows lnth (lsub k n xs) (m-k) = (lnth xs m)
using assms by (simp add: lnth-lsub)

lemma ltake-lsub:
assumes n < llength xs
    m + k ≤ n
shows ltake (eSuc m) (lsub k n xs) = lsub k (m+k) xs
proof –
  have 1: ltake (eSuc m) (ltake (eSuc (n - k)) (ldrop k xs)) =
    ltake (eSuc m) (ldrop k xs)
    using ltake-ltake[of (eSuc m) (eSuc (n - k)) (ldrop k xs)]
    using Nat.le-diff-conv2 assms(2) by auto
  have 2: ltake (eSuc (m + k - k)) (ldrop k xs) = ltake (eSuc m) (ldrop k xs)
    by simp
  show ?thesis
    unfolding lsub-def using 1 2 by presburger
qed

```

```

lemma ldrop-lsub:
assumes n < llength xs
  m + k ≤ n
shows ldrop m (lsub k n xs) = lsub (m+k) n xs
proof -
have 1: (ltake (eSuc (n - k)) (ldrop k xs)) =
  ldrop k (ltake (eSuc n) xs)
  by (metis Suc-diff-le add-leD2 assms(2) eSuc-enat idiff-enat-enat ldrop-ltake)
have 2: ldrop m (ldrop k (ltake (eSuc n) xs)) =
  ldrop (m + k) (ltake (eSuc n) xs)
  by simp
show ?thesis unfolding lsub-def using 1 2
  by (simp add: Suc-diff-le assms(2) eSuc-enat ldrop-ltake)
qed

lemma ltl-lsub:
assumes n < llength xs
  k ≤ n
shows ltl(lsub k n xs) = (if k=n then LNil else lsub k (n-1) (ltl xs))
proof -
have 1: (lsub k n xs) = (ltake (eSuc (n - k)) (ldrop k xs))
  unfolding lsub-def by simp
have 2: k=n ==> ?thesis
  by (simp add: assms(1) lsub-same)
have 25: k ≠ n ==> (epred (eSuc (n - k))) = (eSuc (enat (n - (1::nat) - k)))
  by (metis Suc-diff-1 assms(2) co.enat.sel(2) diff-commute eSuc-enat order-neq-le-trans zero-less-diff)
have 3: k ≠ n ==> ?thesis
  using assms ltl-ltake[of (eSuc (n - k)) (ldrop k xs)]
  ltl-ldrop[of k xs]
  unfolding lsub-def
  using 25 by presburger
show ?thesis
using 2 3 by blast
qed

lemma ltl-ldrop-one:
ltl xs = ldrop 1 xs
by (metis ldrop-0 ldrop-ltl one-eSuc)

lemma lappend-lsub-ltl-lsub:
assumes k ≤ n
  n ≤ m
  m < llength xs
shows lappend (lsub k n xs) (ltl (lsub m n xs)) = lsub k m xs
proof -
have 0: (ltl (lsub m n xs)) = ldrop 1 (lsub m n xs)
  by (metis ldrop-0 ldrop-ltl one-eSuc)
have 1: (lsub k n xs) = (ltake (eSuc (n - k)) (ldrop k xs))
  unfolding lsub-def by simp
have 2: (lsub m n xs) = (ltake (eSuc (m - n)) (ldrop n xs))

```

```

unfolding lsub-def by simp
have 3: lsub k m xs = (ltake (eSuc (m - k)) (ldrop k xs))
  unfolding lsub-def by simp
have 8: ltake (eSuc (enat ((n::nat) - (k::nat))) + enat ((m::nat) - n)) (ldrop (enat k) (xs::'a llist)) =
    (ltake (eSuc (m - k)) (ldrop k xs))
  by (simp add: assms(1) assms(2) eSuc-enat)
have 9: (ltake (enat (m - n)) (ldrop (eSuc (enat (n - k))) (ldrop (enat k) xs))) =
    ltl(ltake (eSuc (m - n)) (ldrop n xs))
  by (metis 0 2 add.commute assms(1) eSuc-minus-1 ldrop-ldrop ldrop-ltake le-add-diff-inverse
    plus-1-eSuc(1) plus-enat-simps(1))
have 10: ltake (eSuc (enat ((n::nat) - (k::nat))) + enat ((m::nat) - n)) (ldrop (enat k) (xs::'a llist)) =
    lappend (ltake (eSuc (enat (n - k))) (ldrop (enat k) xs))
    (ltake (enat (m - n)) (ldrop (eSuc (enat (n - k))) (ldrop (enat k) xs)))
  using ltake-plus-conv-lappend[of (eSuc (n - k)) m-n (ldrop k xs) ] by blast
show ?thesis
using 1 10 2 3 8 9 by fastforce
qed

lemma lsub-lfuse:
assumes
  k ≤ n
  n ≤ m
  m < llength xs
shows lfuse (lsub k n xs) (lsub n m xs) = lsub k m xs
using assms
by (simp add: lappend-lsub-ltl-lsub lfuse-def lsub-not-lnull order-le-less-subst2)

lemma llast-lsub:
assumes lfinite xs
  ¬ lnull xs
  k ≤ n
  n < llength xs
shows llast (lsub k n xs) = (lnth xs n)
using assms
using lnth-lsub[of n xs k ]
by (metis enat-ord-simps(1) idiff-enat-enat llast-conv-lnth llength-lsub
  not-le-imp-less order-less-irrefl ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse)

lemma lfirst-lsub:
assumes ¬ lnull xs
  k ≤ n
  n < llength xs
shows lfirst (lsub k n xs) = (lnth xs k)
using assms
by (simp add: ldrop-enat lfirst-def lhd-ldropn lsub-def order-le-less-subst2)

lemma lsub-lfuse-lidx:
assumes lidx ls
  lfinite ls

```

```

lfinite xs
  ~ lnull xs
  llast ls = epred(llength xs)
  (Suc i) < (llength ls)
shows  lfuse (lsub (lnth ls i) (lnth ls (Suc i)) xs) (lsub (lnth ls (Suc i)) (llast ls) xs) =
       (lsub (lnth ls i) (llast ls) xs)
proof -
have 1: (lnth ls i) ≤ (lnth ls (Suc i))
  by (simp add: assms(1) assms(6) lidx-less-eq)
have 2: llast ls = (lnth ls (the-enat(epred (llength ls))))
  using llast-conv-lnth
  by (metis assms(2) assms(6) co.enat.collapse enat.simps(3) enat-ord-simps(4) enat-the-enat
      gr-implies-not-zero ile-eSuc lfinite-llength-enat not-less-iff-gr-or-eq order-neq-le-trans)
have 3: 1 < llength ls
  by (metis assms(1) assms(6) enat-ord-simps(1) gr-implies-not-zero leD le-add1 lidx-expand-1
      llength-eq-0 one-enat-def plus-1-eq-Suc)
have 4: (lnth ls (Suc i)) ≤ (llast ls)
  using 2 assms(1) assms(2) assms(6) lfinite-llength-enat lidx-less-eq by fastforce
have 5: enat (lnth ls (Suc i)) < llength xs
  by (metis 4 assms(4) assms(5) co.enat.exhaustsel eSuc-enat enat-ord-simps(2) le-imp-less-Suc
      llength-eq-0)
have 6: llast (lsub (lnth ls i) (lnth ls (Suc i)) xs) = (lnth xs (lnth ls (Suc i)))
  using llast-lsub[of xs (lnth ls i) (lnth ls (Suc i))]
  using 1 5 assms(3) assms(4) enat-ord-simps(1) by blast
have 7: lfirst (lsub (lnth ls (Suc i)) (llast ls) xs) = (lnth xs (lnth ls (Suc i)))
  by (metis 4 assms(4) assms(5) co.enat.exhaustsel enat-ord-simps(1) ile-eSuc illess-Suc-eq
      lfirst-lsub llength-eq-0 order-less-le)
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 4 assms(4) assms(5) co.enat.exhaustsel enat-ord-simps(1) ile-eSuc illess-Suc-eq
    llength-eq-0 lsub-lfuse order-neq-le-trans)
qed

```

1.6 llastlfirst

```

lemma llastlfirst-ridx:
  llastlfirst xss = ridx (λ a b. llast a = lfirst b) xss
  by (simp add: llastlfirst-def ridx-def)

```

```

lemma llastlfirst-LNil[simp]:
  llastlfirst LNil
  unfolding llastlfirst-def by simp

```

```

lemma llastlfirst-LOne[simp]:
  llastlfirst (LCons xs LNil)
  unfolding llastlfirst-def by (simp add: zero-enat-def)

```

```

lemma llastlfirst-LCons[simp]:
  assumes ~lnull xss
  shows llastlfirst (LCons xs xss) ↔ llast xs = lfirst (lfirst xss) ∧ llastlfirst xss
  using assms unfolding llastlfirst-def

```

```

by auto
(metis One-nat-def lfirst-def lhd-LCons-ltl lnth-LCons' not-lnull-llength one-enat-def,
metis Suc-ile-eq lnth-Suc-LCons,
metis One-nat-def Suc-diff-le Suc-ile-eq add-diff-cancel-left' le-Suc-eq le-add1 lfirst-def
llist.disc(2) lnth-0 lnth-0-conv-lhd lnth-ltl ltl-simps(2) plus-1-eq-Suc)

```

```

lemma llastlfirst-lappend-lfinite:
assumes lfinite xss
shows llastlfirst (lappend xss yss) ↔
  (llastlfirst xss ∧ llastlfirst yss ∧
   (if lnull xss ∨ lnull yss then True else llast(llast xss) = lfirst(lfirst yss)))
using assms
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) lfirst-def llastlfirst-ridx ridx-lappend-lfinite)

```

1.7 lfusecat

context notes [[function-internals]]

begin

```

partial-function (llist) lfusecat :: 'a llist llist ⇒ 'a llist
where lfusecat xss = (case xss of LNil ⇒ LNil | LCons xs xss' ⇒ lfuse xs (lfusecat xss'))

```

end

```

lemma lfusecat-simps [simp, code]:
shows lfusecat-LNil: lfusecat LNil = LNil
and lfusecat-LCons: lfusecat (LCons xs xss) = lfuse xs (lfusecat xss)
by (simp-all add: lfusecat.simps)

```

declare lfusecat.mono[cont-intro]

```

lemma mono2mono-lfusecat[THEN llist.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp]:
shows monotone-lfusecat: monotone (lprefix) (lprefix) lfusecat
by(rule llist.fixp-preserves-mono1[OF lfusecat.mono lfusecat-def]) simp

```

```

lemma mcont2mcont-lfusecat[THEN llist.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp]:
shows mcont-lfusecat: mcont lSup (lprefix) lSup (lprefix) lfusecat
by (rule llist.fixp-preserves-mcont1[OF lfusecat.mono lfusecat-def]) simp

```

```

lemmas lfusecat-fixp-parallel-induct =
parallel-fixp-induct-1-1[OF llist-partial-function-definitions llist-partial-function-definitions
lfusecat.mono lfusecat.mono lfusecat-def lfusecat-def, case-names adm LNil step]

```

```

lemma llist-all2-lfusecatI:
  llist-all2 (llist-all2 A) xss yss
   $\implies \text{llist-all2 } A \text{ (lfusecat } xss\text{) (lfusecat } yss\text{)}$ 
proof(induct arbitrary: xss yss rule: lfusecat-fixp-parallel-induct)

```

```

case adm
then show ?case by (auto split: llist.split intro: llist-all2-lappendI)
next
case LNil
then show ?case by (auto split: llist.split intro: llist-all2-lappendI)
next
case (step f g)
then show ?case
using llist-all2-lfuseI by (auto split: llist.split intro: llist-all2-lappendI) blast
qed

lemma not-lnull-lset-conv-a:
 $\neg \text{lnull } xss \wedge (\forall xs \in \text{lset } xss. \neg \text{lnull } xs) \longleftrightarrow \text{lset } xss \neq \{\} \wedge \text{LNil} \notin \text{lset } xss$ 
using llist.collapse(1) llist.disc(1) lset-eq-empty by blast

lemma not-lnull-lset-conv-b:
 $\neg \text{lnull } xss \wedge (\forall xs \in \text{lset } xss. \neg \text{lnull } xs) \longleftrightarrow \text{lset } xss \neq \{\} \wedge \text{lset } xss \cap \{\text{LNil}\} = \{\}$ 
using llist.collapse(1) by force

lemma lfusecat-eq-LNil:
lfusecat xss = LNil  $\longleftrightarrow$  lset xss  $\subseteq \{\text{LNil}\}$ 
proof (induction xss)
case adm
then show ?case
by simp
next
case LNil
then show ?case
by simp
next
case (LCons xs xss)
then show ?case
by simp
 $(\text{metis (no-types, lifting) lfuse-conv-lnull llist.disc(1) llist.expand})$ 
qed

lemma lfusecat-lfilter-neq-LNil:
lfusecat (lfilter ( $\lambda xs. \neg \text{lnull } xs$ ) xss) = lfusecat xss
proof (induct xss)
case adm
then show ?case by simp
next
case LNil
then show ?case by simp
next
case (LCons xs xss)
then show ?case by (simp add: lappend-lnull1 lfuse-def)
qed

```

```

lemma lprefix-lfusecatI:
  lprefix xss yss  $\implies$  lprefix (lfusecat xss) (lfusecat yss)
by (meson mcont-lfusecat mcont-monoD)

lemma lset-ltl-llength:
   $(\forall xs \in lset xss. \text{llength } xs \leq 1) \longleftrightarrow (\forall xs \in lset (\text{lltl } xss). \text{lnull } xs)$ 
unfolding ltl-def
by (auto simp add: ltl-ldrop-one)

lemma lset-ltl-llength-var:
   $(\forall xs \in lset xss. \text{llength } xs \leq 1) \longleftrightarrow lset(\text{lltl } xss) \subseteq \{\text{LNil}\}$ 
using lset-ltl-llength
by (metis all-not-in-conv insert-iff lnull-def subsetI subset-singletonD)

lemma lset-ltl-llength-var2:
   $(\forall xs \in lset xss. \text{llength } xs > 1) \implies lset(\text{lltl } xss) \cap \{\text{LNil}\} = \{\}$ 
unfolding ltl-def
by auto
  (metis co.enat.exhaustsel epred-llength less-numeral-extra(4) llength-LNil not-one-less-zero
  one-eSuc)

lemma lfusecat-all-empty-or-LNil-a:
assumes lset(ltl xss)  $\subseteq \{\text{LNil}\}$ 
shows llength (lfusecat xss)  $\leq 1$ 
using assms
proof (induction xss)
case adm
  then show ?case unfolding ltl-def by simp
next
case LNil
  then show ?case by simp
next
case (LCons xs xss)
  then show ?case
    unfolding ltl-def
    by simp
    (metis LCons.prems lfuse-llength-atmost-one llist.set-intros(1) lset-ltl-llength-var)
qed

lemma lfusecat-all-empty-or-LNil-b:
assumes llength (lfusecat xss)  $\leq 1$ 
shows lset(ltl xss)  $\subseteq \{\text{LNil}\}$ 
using assms
proof (induction xss)
case adm
  then show ?case unfolding ltl-def by simp
next

```

```

case LNil
then show ?case unfolding lltl-def by simp
next
case (LCons xs xss)
then show ?case
unfolding lltl-def
by (simp add: lfuse-llength-atmost-one ltl-ldrop-one)
qed

lemma lfusecat-all-empty-or-LNil:
shows llength (lfusecat xss) ≤ 1 ↔ lset(lltl xss) ⊆ {LNil}
using lfusecat-all-empty-or-LNil-a lfusecat-all-empty-or-LNil-b by blast

lemma lfusecat-not-lnull:
¬lnull (lfusecat xss) ↔ ¬lnull xss ∧ (∃ xs ∈ lset ( xss). ¬lnull( xs))
by (metis lconcat-eq-LNil lfusecat-LNil lfusecat-eq-LNil lnull-def lnull-lconcat mem-Collect-eq
subsetD subsetI)

lemma lset-eq-forall-lnull:
lnull xss ∨ (∀ xs ∈ lset ( xss). lnull( xs)) ↔ lset xss ⊆ {LNil}
by (auto simp add: lset-lnull)

lemma lfusecat-not-lnull-var:
assumes ¬lnull xss
∀ xs ∈ lset xss. ¬lnull xs
shows ¬lnull(lfusecat xss)
using assms
using lfusecat-not-lnull llist.setsel(1) by blast

lemma lfirst-lfusecat-lfirst:
assumes ¬lnull xss
¬lnull (lfirst xss)
shows lfirst(lfusecat xss) = lfirst(lfirst xss)
proof (cases xss)
case LNil
then show ?thesis
using assms(1) llist.disc(1) by blast
next
case (LCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis
by (metis assms(2) eq-LConsD lfirst-def lfirst-lfuse-1 lfusecat-LCons)
qed

lemma lfirst-lfusecat:
assumes ¬lnull xs
shows lfirst(lfusecat (LCons xs xss)) = lfirst xs
using assms by (simp add: lfirst-def)

```

```

lemma ltl-lfusecat :
  assumes  $\neg lnull\ xss$ 
     $\neg lnull\ (lfirst\ xss)$ 
  shows  $ltl(lfusecat\ xss) = lappend\ (ltl\ (lhd\ xss))\ (ltl\ (lfusecat\ (ltl\ xss)))$ 
  using assms
  unfolding lfirst-def
  by (metis lappend-lnull2 lfusecat-LCons lhd-LCons-ltl ltl-lfuse)

```

```

lemma lfusecat-lappend:
  assumes lfinite xss
  shows lfusecat (lappend xss yss) = lfuse (lfusecat xss) (lfusecat yss)
  using assms
  proof (induct xss arbitrary: yss)
    case lfinite-LNil
    then show ?case by auto
    next
    case (lfinite-LConsI xss xs)
    then show ?case
    proof -
      have 1: lfusecat (lappend (LCons xs xss) yss) = lfuse xs (lfusecat (lappend xss yss))
        by simp
      have 2: lfinite xss
        by (simp add: lfinite-LConsI.hyps(1))
      have 3: (lfusecat (lappend xss yss)) = lfuse (lfusecat xss) (lfusecat yss)
        by (simp add: lfinite-LConsI.hyps(2))
      have 4: lfuse xs (lfuse (lfusecat xss) (lfusecat yss)) =
        lfuse (lfusecat (LCons xs xss)) (lfusecat yss)
        by (metis lappend-lnull1 lfuse-LNil-2 lfuse-assoc lfuse-def lfusecat-LCons)
      show ?thesis
        by (simp add: 3 4)
    qed
    qed

```

```

lemma lfusecat-split:
  shows lfusecat xss = lfuse (lfusecat (ltake n xss)) (lfusecat (ldrop n xss))
  proof -
    have 1: xss = lappend (ltake n xss) (ldrop n xss)
      by (simp add: lappend-ltake-ldrop)
    show ?thesis using 1 lfusecat-lappend[of (ltake n xss) (ldrop n xss)] by force
  qed

```

```

lemma lfuse-lfinite:
  shows lfinite (lfuse xs ys)  $\longleftrightarrow$  lfinite xs  $\wedge$  lfinite ys
  by (metis lfinite-lappend lfinite-ltl lnull-imp-lfinite ltl-lfuse)

```

```

lemma lfusecat-lfinite-a:
  assumes lfinite xss
     $\forall xs \in lset\ xss. \text{lfinite}\ xs$ 
  shows lfinite (lfusecat xss)

```

```

using assms
proof (induct xss)
case lfinite-LNil
then show ?case by auto
next
case (lfinite-LConsI xss xs)
then show ?case
proof (cases xss=LNil)
case True
then show ?thesis by (simp add: lfinite-LConsI.prems)
next
case False
then show ?thesis
proof -
have 1: lfinite xs
by (simp add: lfinite-LConsI.prems)
have 5:  $\forall xs \in lset xss. lfinite xs$ 
by (simp add: lfinite-LConsI.prems)
have 6: lfinite (lfusecat xss)
using 5 lfinite-LConsI.hyps(2) by blast
have 7: lfinite (lfuse xs (lfusecat xss))
by (simp add: 1 6 lfuse-lfinite)
show ?thesis by (simp add: 7)
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma lfusecat-repeat-LNil [simp]:

```

    lfusecat (repeat LNil) = LNil
by (simp add: lfusecat-eq-LNil)

```

lemma llastfirst-lfusecat-llast:

```

assumes lfinite xss
     $\neg lnull xss$ 
     $\forall xs \in lset xss. \neg lnull xs$ 
    llastlfirst xss
     $\forall xs \in lset xss. lfinite xs$ 
shows llast(lfusecat xss) = llast(llast xss)
using assms
proof (induction xss)
case lfinite-LNil
then show ?case
using llist.disc(1) by blast
next
case (lfinite-LConsI xss xs)
then show ?case
proof (cases xss = LNil )
case True
then show ?thesis
by simp

```

```

next
case False
then show ?thesis
proof -
  have 1: llastlfirst xss
    using False lfinite-LConsI.prems(3) llastlfirst-LCons llist.collapse(1) by blast
  have 2:  $\forall xs \in lset\ xs. lfinite\ xs$ 
    by (simp add: lfinite-LConsI.prems(4))
  have 3:  $\forall xs \in lset\ xs. \neg lnull\ xs$ 
    using lfinite-LConsI.prems(2) by auto
  have 4: lfinite xss
    by (simp add: lfinite-LConsI.hyps)
  have 5: llast (lfusecat xss) = llast (llast xss)
    using 1 2 3 False lfinite-LConsI.IH llist.collapse(1) by blast
  have 6: llast (llast (LCons xs xss)) = llast (llast xss)
    by (metis False llast-LCons llist.collapse(1))
  have 7: (lfusecat (LCons xs xss)) = lfuse xs (lfusecat xss)
    by simp
  have 8:  $\neg lnull\ xs$ 
    by (simp add: lfinite-LConsI.prems(2))
  have 9: lfinite xs
    by (simp add: lfinite-LConsI.prems(4))
  have 10:  $\neg lnull\ (lfusecat\ xs)$ 
    using 3 False lfusecat-not-lnull-var llist.collapse(1) by blast
  have 11: lfinite (lfusecat xss)
    using 2 4 lfusecat-lfinite-a by blast
  have 111: lfirst (lfusecat xss) = lfirst (lfirst xss)
    by (metis 3 False lfirst-def lfirst-lfusecat-lfirst llist.collapse(1) llist.setsel(1))
  have 12: llast xs = lfirst (lfusecat xs)
    using 10 111 lfinite-LConsI.prems(3) lfusecat-not-lnull llastlfirst-LCons by auto
  have 13: llast (lfuse xs (lfusecat xss)) = llast (lfusecat xss)
    using llast-lfuse[of xs (lfusecat xs)] 8 9 10 11 12 by blast
  have 14: llast (lfusecat (LCons xs xss)) = llast (lfusecat xss)
    by (simp add: 13)
  show ?thesis
    using 13 5 6 by auto
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

lemma *lfusecat-llength-lNil*:

llength (*lfusecat LNil*) = 0

by *simp*

lemma *lfusecat-llength-lfinite*:

assumes *lfinite* *xss*

$\neg lnull\ xss$

$\forall xs \in lset\ xs. \neg lnull\ xs$

$\forall xs \in lset\ xs. lfinite\ xs$

```

 $\text{llastlfirst } xss$ 
shows  $\text{llength}(\text{lfusecat } xss) = eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (\text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(\text{llength } xss))) . \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lnth } xss i)))$ 
using assms
proof (induction xss)
case lfinite-LNil
then show ?case by simp
next
case (lfinite-LConsI xss xs)
then show ?case
proof (cases xss = LNil)
case True
then show ?thesis
proof –
  have 1:  $\text{lfusecat}(\text{LCons } xs \ xss) = xs$ 
    by (simp add: True)
  have 2:  $\text{llength}(\text{lfusecat}(\text{LCons } xs \ xss)) = \text{llength } xs$ 
    using 1 by auto
  have 4:  $(\sum i = 0 .. (\text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{LCons } xs \ xss)))) .$ 
     $\text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lnth}(\text{LCons } xs \ xss) i))) =$ 
     $\text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lnth}(\text{LCons } xs \ xss) 0))$ 
    using True by simp
  have 5:  $eSuc(\text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lnth}(\text{LCons } xs \ xss) 0))) = \text{llength } xs$ 
    by (simp add: lfinite-LConsI.prems(2))
  show ?thesis
    using 2 4 5 by presburger
  qed
next
case False
then show ?thesis
proof –
  have 6:  $(\text{lfusecat}(\text{LCons } xs \ xss)) = \text{lfuse } xs (\text{lfusecat } xss)$ 
    by simp
  have 7:  $\text{llastlfirst}(\text{LCons } xs \ xss)$ 
    by (simp add: lfinite-LConsI.prems(4))
  have 71:  $\text{lfirst}(\text{lfusecat } xss) = \text{lfirst}(\text{lfirst } xss)$ 
    by (metis False insert-iff lfinite-LConsI.prems(2) lfirst-def lfirst-lfusecat-lfirst
      llist.collapse(1) llist.setsel(1) llist.simps(19))
  have 8:  $\text{llast } xs = \text{lfirst}(\text{lfusecat } xss)$ 
    using 7 71 False llastlfirst-LCons llist.collapse(1) by auto
  have 9:  $\text{llength}(\text{lfuse } xs (\text{lfusecat } xss)) = \text{llength } xs + \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lfusecat } xss))$ 
    by (simp add: lfinite-LConsI.prems(2) lfuse-llength)
  have 10:  $(\text{llength}(\text{lfusecat } xss)) =$ 
     $eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (\text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(\text{llength } xss))). \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lnth } xss i)))$ 
    using 7 False lfinite-LConsI.IH lfinite-LConsI.prems(2) lfinite-LConsI.prems(3) llastlfirst-LCons
      llist.collapse(1) by auto
  have 11:  $(\text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{LCons } xs \ xss)))) = (1 + \text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(\text{llength } xss)))$ 
    using False
    by simp
    (metis False co.enat.sel(2) eSuc-enat lfinite.cases lfinite-LConsI.hyps lfinite-llength-enat

```

```

llength-LCons the-enat.simps)
have 12: ( $\sum i = 0 .. (\text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(llength(LCons xs xss))))$ ).  $\text{epred}(llength(lnth(LCons xs xss) i))$ ) =
=  $\text{epred}(llength(lnth(LCons xs xss) 0)) + (\sum i = 1 .. (1 + \text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(llength xss)))$ .  $\text{epred}(llength(lnth(LCons xs xss) i))$ )
using 11
by (simp add: sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost)
have 13:  $\text{epred}(llength(lnth(LCons xs xss) 0)) = \text{epred}(llength xs)$ 
by simp
have 14: ( $\sum i = 1 .. (1 + \text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(llength xss)))$ ).  $\text{epred}(llength(lnth(LCons xs xss) i)) = (\sum i = 0 .. (\text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(llength xss)))$ .  $\text{epred}(llength(lnth(LCons xs xss) (\text{Suc } i)))$ )
using sum.shift-bounds-cl-nat-ivl[of  $\lambda k$ .  $\text{epred}(llength(lnth(LCons xs xss) k))$  0 1
(the-enat(epred(llength xss)))]
by simp
have 15: ( $\sum i = 0 .. (\text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(llength xss)))$ ).  $\text{epred}(llength(lnth(LCons xs xss) (\text{Suc } i))) = (\sum i = 0 .. (\text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(llength xss)))$ .  $\text{epred}(llength(lnth(xss) (i)))$ )
by auto
have 16:  $\text{epred}(llength xs) + (\sum i = 0 .. (\text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(llength xss)))$ .  $\text{epred}(llength(lnth(xss) (i)))$ ) =
=  $(\sum i = 0 .. (\text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(llength(LCons xs xss))))$ .  $\text{epred}(llength(lnth(LCons xs xss) i))$ )
using 12 13 14 15 by presburger
have 17:  $llength xs + \text{epred}(llength(lfusecat xss)) = eSuc(\text{epred}(llength xs) + (\sum i = 0 .. (\text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(llength xss)))$ .  $\text{epred}(llength(lnth(xss) (i))))$ )
by (metis 10 eSuc-epred eSuc-plus epred-eSuc lfinit-LConsI.preds(2) llength-eq-0 lset-intros(1))
show ?thesis
using 16 17 6 9 by presburger
qed
qed
qed

lemma llastlfirst-ltake:
assumes n ≤ llength xss
llastlfirst xss
shows llastlfirst (ltake (enat n) xss)
using assms
proof (induction n arbitrary: xss)
case 0
then show ?case
by (simp add: llastlfirst-def)
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
unfolding llastlfirst-def
by auto
(metis Suc-ile-eq enat-ord-simps(2) less-Suc-eq lnth-ltake order-le-less-trans)
qed

lemma lfusecat-ltake:
assumes ¬ lnull xss

```

$n \leq \text{llength } xss$
 $\forall xs \in \text{lset } xss. \neg \text{lnull } xs$
 $\forall xs \in \text{lset } xss. \text{lfinite } xs$
 $\text{llastlfirst } xss$
shows $\text{lfusecat}(\text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) xss) =$
 $\text{ltake}(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lenth } xss i))))$
 $(\text{lfusecat } xss)$
proof –
have 1: $\text{lfinite}(\text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) xss)$
using $\text{enat-ord-code}(4)$ lfinite-ltake **by** blast
have 2: $\text{llength}(\text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) xss) = n$
by ($\text{simp add: assms(2)}$)
have 3: $(\text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) xss)))) = n - 1$
using 2 $\text{epred-enat the-enat.simps}$ **by** presburger
have 4: $\forall xs \in \text{lset}(\text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) xss). \neg \text{lnull } xs$
by ($\text{meson assms(3)} \text{ lset-ltake subsetD}$)
have 5: $\forall xs \in \text{lset}(\text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) xss). \text{lfinite } xs$
by ($\text{meson assms(4)} \text{ lset-ltake subsetD}$)
have 6: $\text{llastlfirst}(\text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) xss)$
by ($\text{simp add: assms(2)} \text{ assms(5)} \text{ llastlfirst-ltake}$)
have 7: $\bigwedge j. 0 < n \wedge j < n - 1 \longrightarrow$
 $\text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lenth}(\text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) xss) j)) =$
 $\text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lenth } xss j))$
by ($\text{metis diff-le-self dual-order.strict-trans1 enat-ord-simps(2)} \text{ lenth-ltake}$)
have 71: $\text{llength}(\text{lfusecat}(\text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) xss)) =$
 $(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lenth}(\text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) xss) i))))$
using $\text{lfusecat-llength-lfinite}[of (\text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) xss)]$
by ($\text{metis 1 2 3 4 5 6 lfusecat-LNil llength-LNil llist.collapse(1)} \text{ ltake-0}$
 $\text{the-enat.simps zero-enat-def}$)
have 8: $(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lenth}(\text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) xss) i)))) =$
 $(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lenth } xss i))))$
using $7 \text{ atLeastAtMost-iff}[of - 0 n-1] \text{ sum.cong}[of \{0..n-1\}$
 $\{0..n-1\} \lambda i. \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lenth}(\text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) xss) i))$
 $\lambda i. \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lenth } xss i))]$
by ($\text{simp add: Suc-ile-eq dual-order.refl lenth-ltake}$)
have 9: $\text{llength}(\text{lfusecat}(\text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) xss)) \leq \text{llength}(\text{lfusecat } xss)$
by ($\text{metis lfuse-llength-le-a lfusecat-split}$)
have 10: $\text{llength}(\text{ltake}(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lenth } xss i))))$
 $(\text{lfusecat } xss)) =$
 $(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lenth } xss i))))$
using $71 8 9$ **by** auto
have 11: $\text{ltake}(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lenth } xss i))))$
 $(\text{lfuse}(\text{lfusecat}(\text{ltake } n xss)) (\text{lfusecat}(\text{ldrop } n xss))) =$
 $(\text{lfusecat}(\text{ltake } n xss))$
using $71 8 \text{ ltake-lfuse}[of (\text{lfusecat}(\text{ltake}(\text{enat } n) xss)) (\text{lfusecat}(\text{ldrop } n xss)))]$
by presburger
have 12: $(\text{lfuse}(\text{lfusecat}(\text{ltake } n xss)) (\text{lfusecat}(\text{ldrop } n xss))) = \text{lfusecat } xss$
by ($\text{metis lfusecat-split}$)
show ?thesis
using $11 12$ **by** auto

qed

```

lemma lfusecat-ldrop:
assumes¬ lnull xss
  n < llength xss
  ∀ xs ∈ lset xss. ¬ lnull xs
  ∀ xs ∈ lset xss. lfinite xs
  llasltfirst xss
shows lfusecat (ldrop (enat n) xss) =
  ldrop (if n = 0 then 0 else (∑ i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength (lnth xss i)))))
  (lfusecat xss)

proof –
have 1: lfinite (ltake (enat n) xss)
  using enat-ord-code(4) lfinite-ltake by blast
have 2: llength (ltake (enat n) xss) = n
  by (simp add: assms(2))
have 3: (the-enat(epred(llength (ltake (enat n) xss)))) = n-1
  using 2 epred-enat the-enat.simps by presburger
have 4: ∀ xs ∈ lset (ltake (enat n) xss). ¬ lnull xs
  by (meson assms(3) lset-ltake subsetD)
have 5: ∀ xs ∈ lset (ltake (enat n) xss). lfinite xs
  by (meson assms(4) lset-ltake subsetD)
have 6: llasltfirst (ltake (enat n) xss)
  by (simp add: assms(2) assms(5) llasltfirst-ltake order.strict-implies-order)
have 7: ∧ j. 0 < n ∧ j < n-1 →
  epred(llength (lnth (ltake (enat n) xss) j)) =
  epred(llength (lnth xss j))
  by (metis diff-le-self dual-order.strict-trans1 enat-ord-simps(2) lnth-ltake)
have 71: llength (lfusecat (ltake (enat n) xss)) =
  (if n = 0 then 0 else eSuc(∑ i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength (lnth (ltake (enat n) xss) i))))
  using lfusecat-llength-lfinite[of (ltake (enat n) xss)]
  by (metis 1 2 3 4 5 6 lfusecat-LNil llengh-LNil llist.collapse(1) ltake-0
    the-enat.simps zero-enat-def)
have 8: (if n = 0 then 0 else eSuc(∑ i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength (lnth (ltake (enat n) xss) i)))) =
  (if n = 0 then 0 else eSuc(∑ i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength (lnth xss i))))
using 7 atLeastAtMost-iff[of - 0 n-1] sum.cong[of {0..n-1} {0..n-1}]
  λi. epred(llength (lnth (ltake (enat n) xss) i))
  λi. epred(llength (lnth xss i))]
  by (simp add: Suc-ile-eq dual-order.refl lnth-ltake)
have 9: llength (lfusecat (ltake (enat n) xss)) ≤ llength (lfusecat xss)
  by (metis lfuse-llength-le-a lfusecat-split)
have 10: llength (ltake (if n = 0 then 0 else eSuc(∑ i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength (lnth xss i)))))
  (lfusecat xss)) =
  (if n = 0 then 0 else eSuc(∑ i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength (lnth xss i))))
using 71 8 9 by auto
have 11: ltake (if n = 0 then 0 else eSuc(∑ i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength (lnth xss i)))) =
  (lfuse (lfusecat (ltake n xss)) (lfusecat (ldrop n xss))) =
  (lfusecat (ltake n xss))
using 71 8 ltake-lfuse[of (lfusecat (ltake (enat n) xss)) (lfusecat (ldrop n xss)))] by presburger

```

```

have 12:  $\text{ldrop } 0 (\text{lfusecat} (\text{ltake } 0 \text{xss})) (\text{lfusecat} (\text{ldrop } 0 \text{xss})) =$   

             $(\text{lfusecat} (\text{ldrop } 0 \text{xss}))$   

      by simp  

have 13:  $0 < n \implies \neg \text{lnull} (\text{lfusecat} (\text{ltake} (\text{enat} (n :: \text{nat})) (\text{xss} :: 'a \text{ llist} \text{ llist})))$   

      using 71 8 by force  

have 14:  $0 < n \implies \neg \text{lnull} (\text{lfusecat} (\text{ldrop} (\text{enat} n) \text{xss}))$   

      by (meson assms(2) assms(3) in-lset-ldropD leD lfusecat-not-lnull-var lnull-ldrop)  

have 15:  $0 < n \implies \text{llast} (\text{lfusecat} (\text{ltake} (\text{enat} n) \text{xss})) = \text{lfirst} (\text{lfusecat} (\text{ldrop} (\text{enat} n) \text{xss}))$   

      proof –  

        assume a:  $0 < n$   

        have 151:  $\text{llast} (\text{lfusecat} (\text{ltake} (\text{enat} n) \text{xss})) = \text{llast} (\text{llast} (\text{ltake} (\text{enat} n) \text{xss}))$   

          using 1 13 4 5 6 a lfusecat-not-lnull llastfirst-lfusecat-llast by blast  

        have 152:  $\text{lfirst} (\text{lfusecat} (\text{ldrop} (\text{enat} n) \text{xss})) = \text{lfirst} (\text{lfirst} (\text{ldrop} (\text{enat} n) \text{xss}))$   

          by (metis assms(2) assms(3) in-lset-conv-lnth ldrop-enat leD lfirst-def lfirst-lfusecat-lfirst  

            lhd-ldropn lnull-ldrop)  

        have 153:  $\text{llast} (\text{llast} (\text{ltake} (\text{enat} n) \text{xss})) = \text{lfirst} (\text{lfirst} (\text{ldrop} (\text{enat} n) \text{xss}))$   

          using assms a  

          by (metis 1 13 lappend-ltake-ldrop leD lfusecat-not-lnull llastlfirst-lappend-lfinite  

            lnull-ldrop)  

        show ?thesis  

          by (simp add: 151 152 153)  

qed
have 16:  $0 < n \implies \text{ldrop} (\text{epred} (\text{eSuc} (\sum i = 0 .. (n - 1) . \text{epred} (\text{llength} (\text{lnth} \text{xss} i)))))$   

             $(\text{lfusecat} (\text{ltake} n \text{xss})) (\text{lfusecat} (\text{ldrop} n \text{xss})) =$   

             $(\text{lfusecat} (\text{ldrop} n \text{xss}))$   

      using 71 8 13 14 15 ldrop-lfuse-a[of (lfusecat (ltake (enat n) xss)) (lfusecat (ldrop n xss))]  

      by (metis 1 5 less-numeral-extra(3) lfusecat-lfinite-a)  

have 17:  $\text{ldrop} (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\sum i = 0 .. (n - 1) . \text{epred} (\text{llength} (\text{lnth} \text{xss} i))))$   

             $(\text{lfusecat} (\text{ltake} n \text{xss})) (\text{lfusecat} (\text{ldrop} n \text{xss})) =$   

             $(\text{lfusecat} (\text{ldrop} n \text{xss}))$   

      using 11 16 by auto  

show ?thesis  

by (metis 17 lfusecat-split)  

qed

```

lemma lfusecat-eq-LCons-conv:

shows $\text{lfusecat} \text{xss} = \text{LCons} \text{x} \text{xs} \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists \text{xs}' \text{xss}' \text{xss}'' . \text{xss} = \text{lappend} (\text{llist-of} \text{xss}') (\text{LCons} (\text{LCons} \text{x} \text{xs}') \text{xss}'') \wedge$
 $\text{xs} = \text{lappend} \text{xs}' (\text{ltl} (\text{lfusecat} \text{xss}'')) \wedge \text{set} \text{xss}' \subseteq \{\text{xs}. \text{lnull} \text{xs}\})$
 $(\text{is} \ ?\text{lhs} \longleftrightarrow ?\text{rhs})$

proof

assume ?rhs

then obtain xs' xss' xss''

where xss = lappend (llist-of xss') (LCons (LCons x xs') xss'')

and xs = (lappend xs' (ltl (lfusecat xss'')))

and set xss' ⊆ {xs. lnull xs} **by blast**

moreover from ⟨set xss' ⊆ {xs. lnull xs}⟩

have lnull (lfusecat (llist-of xss'))

```

by (metis lfusecat-not-lnull lset-llist-of mem-Collect-eq subset-eq)
have 1: lfusecat xss = lfuse (lfusecat (llist-of xss')) (lfusecat (LCons (LCons x xs') xss''))
  by (simp add: calculation(1) lfusecat-lappend)
have 2: lfuse (lfusecat (llist-of xss')) (lfusecat (LCons (LCons x xs') xss'')) =
  (lfusecat (LCons (LCons x xs') xss''))
  by (simp add: <lnull (lfusecat (llist-of (xss'::'a llist list)))> lfuse-conv-lnull llist.expand)
have 3: (lfusecat (LCons (LCons x xs') xss'')) = lfuse (LCons x xs') (lfusecat xss'')
  by simp
have 4: lfuse (LCons x xs') (lfusecat xss'') =
  (LCons x (lappend xs' (ltl (lfusecat xss''))))
  unfolding lfuse-def
  using llist.collapse(1) by force
ultimately show ?lhs
  using 1 2 by auto
next
assume ?lhs
hence  $\neg$  lnull (lfusecat xss) by simp
hence  $\neg$  lset xss  $\subseteq$  {xs. lnull xs} using lfusecat-eq-LNil lnull-def by blast
hence  $\neg$  lnull (lfilter ( $\lambda$ xs.  $\neg$  lnull xs) xss) by (auto)
then obtain y ys where yss: lfilter ( $\lambda$ xs.  $\neg$  lnull xs) xss = LCons y ys
  unfolding not-lnull-conv by auto
from lfilter-eq-LConsD[OF this]
obtain us vs where xss: xss = lappend us (LCons y vs)
  and lfinite us
  and lset us  $\subseteq$  {xs. lnull xs}  $\neg$  lnull y
  and ys: ys = lfilter ( $\lambda$ xs.  $\neg$  lnull xs) vs by blast
from <lfinite us> obtain us' where [simp]: us = llist-of us'
  unfolding lfinite-eq-range-llist-of by blast
from <lset us  $\subseteq$  {xs. lnull xs}> have us: lnull (lfusecat us)
  using lfusecat-eq-LNil lnull-def by blast
from < $\neg$  lnull y> obtain y' ys' where y: y = LCons y' ys'
  unfolding not-lnull-conv by blast
from <?lhs> us have [simp]: y' = x
  xs = (lappend ys' (ltl (lfusecat vs)))
  unfolding xss y
  by (metis < $\neg$  lnull (y::'a llist)> <lfinite (us::'a llist llist)> eq-LConsD lfusecat-LCons
    lfusecat-lappend lhd-lfuse y)
  (metis < $\neg$  lnull (y::'a llist)> <lfusecat (xss::'a llist llist) = LCons (x::'a) (xs::'a llist)>
    eq-LConsD lappend-lnull2 lfusecat-LCons lfusecat-lfilter-neq-LNil ltl-lfuse y ys yss)
from <lset us  $\subseteq$  {xs. lnull xs}> ys show ?rhs unfolding xss y by simp blast
qed

```

lemma not-lnull-expand:

\neg lnull xs \longleftrightarrow (\exists b. xs = (LCons b LNil)) \vee (\exists b xs'. xs = (LCons b xs') \wedge \neg lnull xs')

by (metis lhd-LCons-ltl llist.disc(1) llist.disc(2) llist.expand)

lemma is-LMore-length:

(\exists b xs'. xs = (LCons b xs') \wedge \neg lnull xs') \longleftrightarrow $1 < llengt$ xs

by (metis dual-order.strict-implies-not-eq gr-implies-not-zero lhd-LCons-ltl llength-LCons
 llength-eq-0 lstrict-prefix-code(2) lstrict-prefix-code(4) lstrict-prefix-llength-less one-eSuc)

lemma is-LEmpty-llength:

($\exists b. xs = (LCons b LNil) \longleftrightarrow llensh xs = 1$)

by (metis epred-llength llength-LCons llength-eq-0 llist.disc(1) ltl-simps(2) not-lnull-expand
 one-eSuc)

lemma lfusecat-all-llength-one-lfuse:

assumes $\forall ys \in lset(llist-of xss'). llensh ys = 1$

shows lfuse (lfusecat (llist-of xss')) ys =

lfuse (if $\neg lnull(llist-of xss')$ then (LCons (lfirst (lfirst (llist-of xss')))) LNil) else LNil) ys

proof –

have 1: (lfusecat (llist-of xss')) =

(if $\neg lnull(llist-of xss')$ then (LCons (lfirst (lfirst (llist-of xss')))) LNil) else LNil)

using assms

proof (induction xss')

case Nil

then show ?case **by** simp

next

case (Cons as xss')

then show ?case

proof (cases xss'=Nil)

case True

then show ?thesis **using** Cons

by simp (metis is-LEmpty-llength lfirst-def lhd-LCons)

next

case False

then show ?thesis **using** Cons

by simp

(metis is-LEmpty-llength lfirst-def lhd-LCons llength-lnull zero-one-enat-neq(1))

qed

qed

show ?thesis

using 1 **by** presburger

qed

lemma lfusecat-eq-LCons-conv-all-lset-singleton:

assumes $\forall ys \in lset(llist-of xss). llensh ys = 1$

$\neg lnull(llist-of xss)$

llastlfirst (llist-of xss)

shows lset (llist-of xss) = {lfirst (llist-of xss)}

using assms

proof (induction xss)

case Nil

then show ?case **by** simp

next

case (Cons as xss)

```

then show ?case
proof -
  have 1:  $xss = [] \vee as \in lset(llist-of xss)$ 
    by (metis Cons.prems(1) Cons.prems(3) List.set-insert insert-iff is-LEmpty-llength
      le-numeral-extra(4) lfirst-def lhd-LCons-ltl llast-singleton llastlfirst-LCons llist.setsel(1)
      llist-of.simps(2) lnull-llist-of lset-llist-of ltl-simps(2) not-in-set-insert not-lnull-llength)
  have 2:  $xss = [] \implies ?thesis$ 
    by (simp add: lfirst-def)
  have 3:  $as \in lset(llist-of xss) \implies ?thesis$ 
    by (metis 2 Cons.IH Cons.prems(1) Cons.prems(3) insert-absorb2 insert-iff lfirst-def lhd-LCons
      llastlfirst-LCons llist.simps(19) llist-of.simps(2) lnull-llist-of singletonD)
  show ?thesis
  using 1 2 3 by linarith
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma lfusecat-eq-LCons-conv-all-the-same:
assumes  $\forall ys \in lset(llist-of xss'). llengtys = 1$ 
   $\neg lnull(llist-of xss')$ 
   $llastlfirst(llist-of xss')$ 
   $(llast(llist-of xss')) = (LCons x LNil)$ 
   $ys \in lset(llist-of xss')$ 
shows  $ys = (LCons x LNil)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $lset(llist-of xss') = \{ lfirst(llist-of xss') \}$ 
    using assms lfusecat-eq-LCons-conv-all-lset-singleton by blast
  have 2:  $llast(llist-of xss') = lnth(llist-of xss') (\text{the-enat(epred(llength(llist-of xss'))}))$ 
    by (metis assms(2) co.enat.exhaustsel enat-the-enat ile-eSuc infinity-ileE llast-conv-lnth
      llength-eq-0 llength-llist-of)
  have 3:  $lnth(llist-of xss') (\text{the-enat(epred(llength(llist-of xss'))})) \in lset(llist-of xss')$ 
    by (metis 2 assms(2) co.enat.exhaustsel ile-eSuc in-lset-lappend-iff infinity-ileE
      lappend-lbutlast-llast-id llength-eq-0 llength-eq-infnty-conv-lfinite llength-lbutlast
      llength-llist-of lset-intros(1))
  have 4:  $(LCons x LNil) \in lset(llist-of xss')$ 
    using 2 3 assms(4) by force
  show ?thesis
  using 1 4 assms(5) by auto
  qed

```

```

lemma lfusecat-eq-LCons-conv-all-the-same-a:
assumes lfinite uss
   $\forall ys \in lset(uss). llengtys = 1$ 
   $\neg lnull(uss)$ 
   $llastlfirst(uss)$ 
   $(llast(uss)) = (LCons x LNil)$ 
   $ys \in lset(uss)$ 
shows  $ys = (LCons x LNil)$ 
using assms lfusecat-eq-LCons-conv-all-the-same llist-of-list-of
by (metis (full-types))

```

lemma *lfusecat-eq-LCons-conv-alt*:

assumes *llastlfirst xss*

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall ys \in lset xss. \neg lnull ys \\ & \neg lnull xs \end{aligned}$$

shows *lfusecat xss = LCons x xs \longleftrightarrow*

$$(\exists xs' xss' xss''. xss = lappend (llist-of xss') (LCons (LCons x xs') xss'') \wedge \neg lnull xs' \wedge$$

$$xs = lfuse xs' (lfusecat xss'') \wedge set xss' \subseteq \{xs. lnull (ltl xs)\})$$

(**is** ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)

proof

assume ?rhs

then obtain *xs' xss' xss''*

where *xss = lappend (llist-of xss') (LCons (LCons x xs') xss'')*
and $\neg lnull xs'$
and *xs = (lfuse xs' (lfusecat xss''))*
and *set xss' \subseteq {xs. lnull (ltl xs)}* by *blast*

moreover from *<set xss' \subseteq {xs. lnull (ltl xs)}>*

have 00: *llength (lfusecat (llist-of xss')) \leq 1*
by (*metis is-LMore-llength lfusecat-all-empty-or-LNil-a lset-llist-of lset-ltl-llength-var ltl-simps(2) mem-Collect-eq not-le-imp-less subset-eq*)

have 01: $\forall y \in lset (llist-of xss'). llength y = 1$
by (*metis assms(2) calculation(1) calculation(4) dual-order.strict-iff-order is-LMore-llength lset-lappend1 lset-llist-of ltl-simps(2) mem-Collect-eq not-lnull-llength subsetD*)

have 02: *lfinite (llist-of xss')*
using *lfinite-llist-of* by *blast*

have 03: *llastlfirst (lappend (llist-of xss') (LCons (LCons x xs') xss''))*
using *assms calculation(1)* by *blast*

have 04: *llastlfirst (llist-of xss')*
using *assms(1) calculation(1) lfinite-llist-of llasltlfirst-lappend-lfinite* by *blast*

have 05: (*if lnull (llist-of xss') \vee lnull (LCons (LCons x xs') xss'')*
then *True*
else *llast (llast (llist-of xss')) = lfist (lfist (LCons (LCons x xs') xss''))*)
using 03 02 *llastlfirst-lappend-lfinite[of (llist-of xss') (LCons (LCons x xs') xss'')]]*
by *blast*

have 06: $\neg lnull (LCons (LCons x xs') xss'')$
by *simp*

have 07: $\neg lnull (llist-of xss') \implies$
llast (llast (llist-of xss')) = lfist (lfist (LCons (LCons x xs') xss''))
using 05 06 **by** *presburger*

have 08: $\neg lnull (llist-of xss') \implies (llast (llist-of xss')) = (LCons x LNil)$
using 07 *lappend-lbutlast-llast-id[of (llist-of xss')]*
by (*metis 01 02 eq-LConsD in-lset-lappend-iff is-LEmpty-llength lbutlast-lfinite lfist-def llast-singleton lset-intros(1)*)

have 09: $\neg lnull (llist-of xss') \implies (\forall ys \in lset (llist-of xss'). ys = (LCons x LNil))$
using *lfusecat-eq-LCons-conv-all-the-same*
by (*metis 01 04 08*)

have 0: *(lfusecat (llist-of xss')) = LNil \vee (lfusecat (llist-of xss')) = (LCons x LNil)*
by (*metis 00 09 eq-LConsD is-LMore-llength lfist-def lfist-lfusecat LNil lhd-LCons-ltl*)

```

linorder-not-le llist.collapse(1) llist.setsel(1))
have 1: lfusecat xss = lfuse (lfusecat (llist-of xss')) (lfusecat (LCons (LCons x xs') xss''))
  by (simp add: calculation(1) lfusecat-lappend)
have 2: lfuse (lfusecat (llist-of xss')) (lfusecat (LCons (LCons x xs') xss'')) =
  (lfusecat (LCons (LCons x xs') xss''))
  using 0 by fastforce
have 3: (lfusecat (LCons (LCons x xs') xss'')) = lfuse (LCons x xs') (lfusecat xss'')
  by simp
have 4: lfuse (LCons x xs') (lfusecat xss'') =
  (LCons x ( (lfuse xs' ( (lfusecat xss'')))))
  )
unfolding lfuse-def
using calculation(2) by auto
ultimately show ?lhs
using 1 2 by auto
next
assume ?lhs
hence ¬ lnull (lfusecat xss) by simp
hence ¬ lset xss ⊆ {xs. lnull (ltl xs)}
by (metis `lfusecat (xss:'a llist llist) = LCons (x:'a) (xs:'a llist)` assms(3)
lfusecat-all-empty-or-LNil-a lnull-ldrop lset-ltl-llength-var ltl-ldrop-one ltl-simps(2)
mem-Collect-eq subsetD)
hence ¬ lnull (lfilter (λxs. ¬ lnull (ltl xs)) xss) by (auto)
then obtain y ys where yss: lfilter (λxs. ¬ lnull (ltl xs)) xss = LCons y ys
  unfolding not-lnull-conv by auto
from lfilter-eq-LConsD[OF this]
obtain us vs where xss: xss = lappend us (LCons y vs)
  and lfinite us
  and lset us ⊆ {xs. lnull (ltl xs)} ⊓ lnull (ltl y)
  and ys: ys = lfilter (λxs. ¬ lnull (ltl xs)) vs using lnull-ltlI by blast
from `lfinite us` obtain us' where [simp]: us = llist-of us'
  unfolding lfinite-eq-range-llist-of by blast
from `lset us ⊆ {xs. lnull (ltl xs)}` have us: llength (lfusecat us) ≤ 1
  using lfusecat-eq-LNil
  by (metis lfusecat-all-empty-or-LNil-a lnull-ldrop lset-ltl-llength-var ltl-ldrop-one
mem-Collect-eq subset-eq)
from `¬ lnull (ltl y)` obtain y' ys' where y: y = LCons y' ys'
  unfolding not-lnull-conv
  by (metis `¬ lnull (ltl y)` lhd-LCons-ltl lnull-ltlI)
have 100: llastlfirst us
  using `lfinite us` assms(1) llastlfirst-lappend-lfinite xss by blast
have 101: ¬ lnull ys'
  using `¬ lnull (ltl y)` y by auto
have 102: ¬ lnull (LCons y vs)
  by simp
have 103: ¬ lnull us ==> llast (llast us) = lfirst (lfirst (LCons y vs))
  using llastlfirst-lappend-lfinite[of us (LCons y vs)]
  using assms(1) xss by auto
have 104: (∀ z ∈ lset us. llength z = 1)
  by (metis `lset us ⊆ {xs. lnull (ltl xs)}` assms(2))

```

$\text{dual-order}.\text{strict-iff-order eq-LConsD in-lset-lappend-iff is-LMore-llength mem-Collect-eq}$
 $\text{not-lnull-llength subsetD } xss)$
have 1040: $\neg \text{lnull } us \implies \text{llast } us \in \text{lset } us$
by simp
have 1041: $\neg \text{lnull } us \implies \text{llast } us = LCons x LNil$
using lappend-lbutlast-llast-id[of us] 100 104 1040
 $\text{lfusecat-eq-LCons-conv-all-the-same-a}[of us x \text{llast } us]$
 $\text{lfusecat-eq-LCons-conv-all-lset-singleton}[of us']$
by (metis $\neg \text{lnull } (\text{lfusecat } xss) \langle \text{lfusecat } xss = LCons x xs \rangle \langle us = \text{llist-of } us' \rangle$
 $\text{eq-LConsD is-LEmpty-llength lfirst-def lfirst-lfusecat-lfirst lfusecat-not-lnull}$
 $\text{lhd-lappend lset-eq-empty not-lnull-lset-conv-a singletonD } xss)$
have 105: $\neg \text{lnull } us \implies (\forall z \in \text{lset } us. z = (LCons x LNil))$
using lfusecat-eq-LCons-conv-all-the-same-a[of us x]
using 100 104 1041 ⟨lfinite us⟩ **by** blast
have 106: $\neg \text{lnull } us \implies \text{lfusecat } us = (LCons x LNil)$
by (metis 100 104 1041 ⟨lfinite us⟩ dual-order.antisym is-LEmpty-llength lfinite-LConsI
 $\text{lfinite-LNil lfusecat-not-lnull-var llast-singleton llastfirst-lfusecat-llast llength-LNil}$
 $\text{lset-eq-empty not-lnull-llength not-lnull-lset-conv-a us zero-one-enat-neq(1)}$
from ⟨?lhs⟩ us **have** [simp]: $y' = x$
by (metis 103 1041 $\neg \text{lnull } (\text{ltl } y) \text{ eq-LConsD lappend-lnull1 lfirst-def lfirst-lfusecat}$
 $\text{llast-singleton lnull-ltlI } xss y)$
from ⟨?lhs⟩ us **have** [simp]: $xs = (\text{lfuse } ys' (\text{lfusecat } vs)))$
using lfusecat-lappend[of us (LCons y vs)] lfusecat-LCons[of y vs] y xss
101 ⟨lfinite us⟩ ⟨lfusecat xss = LCons x xs⟩
by (metis 103 1041 106 eq-LConsD lappend-code(1) lappend-lnull1 lbutlast-simps(2) lfirst-def
 $\text{lfuse-LCons-a lfuse-conv-lnull lfuse-lbutlast lnull-ltlI}$
from ⟨lset us ⊆ {xs. lnull (ltl xs)}⟩ ys **show** ?rhs **unfolding** xss y
using 101 **by** auto
qed

lemma lfusecat-eq-One-conv:
 $\text{lfusecat } xss = LCons x LNil \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists xss' xss''. xss = \text{lappend } (\text{llist-of } xss') (LCons (LCons x LNil) xss'') \wedge$
 $LNil = (\text{ltl } (\text{lfusecat } xss'')) \wedge \text{set } xss' \subseteq \{xs. \text{lnull } xs\})$
by (metis lappend-eq-LNil-iff lfusecat-eq-LCons-conv)

lemma llength-lfusecat-eq-one-conv:
 $\text{llength } (\text{lfusecat } xss) = 1 \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists x xss' xss''. xss = \text{lappend } (\text{llist-of } xss') (LCons (LCons x LNil) xss'') \wedge$
 $LNil = (\text{ltl } (\text{lfusecat } xss'')) \wedge \text{set } xss' \subseteq \{xs. \text{lnull } xs\})$
by (metis is-LEmpty-llength lfusecat-eq-One-conv)

lemma lfusecat-lfinite-b:
assumes lfinite(lfusecat xss)
 $\forall xs \in \text{lset } xss. \neg \text{lnull } (\text{ltl } xs)$
 $\forall xs \in \text{lset } xss. \text{lfinite } xs$
 $\text{llastlfirst } xss$
shows lfinite xss

```

using assms
proof (induct zs $\equiv$ (lfusecat xss) arbitrary: xss )
case lfinite-LNil
then show ?case
by (metis lfusecat-not-lnull-var llist.disc(1) lnull-imp-lfinite lset-eq-empty ltl-simps(1)
      not-lnull-lset-conv-a)
next
case (lfinite-LConsI xs x)
then show ?case
proof -
have 1: ( $\exists$  xs' xss' xss''. xss = lappend (llist-of xss') (LCons (LCons x xs') xss'')  $\wedge$ 
           xs = lappend xs' (ltl (lfusecat xss''))  $\wedge$  set xss'  $\subseteq$  {xs. lnull xs})
using lfinite-LConsI.hyps(3) lfusecat-eq-LCons-conv by fastforce
obtain xs' xss' xss'' where 2: xss = lappend (llist-of xss') (LCons (LCons x xs') xss'')  $\wedge$ 
           xs = lappend xs' (ltl (lfusecat xss''))  $\wedge$  set xss'  $\subseteq$  {xs. lnull xs}
using 1 by blast
have 3: (llist-of xss') = LNil
by (metis 2 in-lset-lappend-iff lconcat-eq-LNil lfinite-LConsI.prem(1) llist.collapse(1)
      llist.setsel(1) lnull-lconcat lset-eq-forall-lnull lset-llist-of ltl-simps(1))
have 4: xss = (LCons (LCons x xs') xss'')
by (simp add: 2 3)
have 5: llastlfirst xss
by (simp add: lfinite-LConsI.prem(3))
have 6:  $\neg$  lnull xs'
using 4 lfinite-LConsI.prem(1) by force
have 7: lnull xss''  $\Longrightarrow$  ?thesis
by (simp add: 4)
have 8:  $\neg$  lnull xss''  $\Longrightarrow$  ?thesis
proof -
assume a:  $\neg$  lnull xss''
have 9: llast (LCons x xs') = lfirst (lfirst (xss''))
using a 6 5 llastlfirst-LCons by blast
have 10:  $\forall$  xs  $\in$  lset xss''.  $\neg$  lnull (ltl xs)
by (simp add: 4 lfinite-LConsI.prem)
have 11:  $\forall$  xs  $\in$  lset xss''. lfinite xs
by (simp add: 4 lfinite-LConsI.prem)
have 12: llastlfirst xss''
using 4 5 a by auto
have 13: lfinite(lfusecat xss'')
using 2 lfinite-LConsI.hyps(1) by auto
have 14: xs = lappend (lbutlast xs') (lfusecat xss'')
by (metis 10 2 6 9 a lappend-lbutlast-llast-id lappend-snocL1-conv-LCons2 lfirst-def
      lfirst-lfusecat-lfirst lfusecat-not-lnull-var lhd-LCons-ltl llast-LCons llist.disc(1)
      llist.setsel(1) lset-eq-empty ltl-simps(1) not-lnull-lset-conv-a)
have 15: xs = lfuse xs' (lfusecat xss'')
by (simp add: 2 6 lappend-lnull2 lfuse-def)
have 16: xs = lfusecat (LCons xs' xss'')
by (simp add: 15)
have 17: lnull (ltl xs')  $\Longrightarrow$  ?thesis
by (metis 10 11 12 14 4 lappend-code(1) lbutlast.ctr(1) lfinite.lfinite-LConsI

```

```

lfinite-LConsI.hyps(2) llist.collapse(1))
have 18:  $\neg \text{lnull}(\text{ltl } xs') \implies (\forall xs \in \text{lset}(LCons\ xs' xss''). \neg \text{lnull}(\text{ltl } xs))$ 
  by (simp add: 10)
have 19:  $\forall xs \in \text{lset}(LCons\ xs' xss''). \text{lfinite } xs$ 
  by (metis 11 2 insert-iff lappend-inf lfinite-LConsI.hyps(1) llist.simps(19))
have 20:  $\text{llastlfirst}(LCons\ xs' xss'')$ 
  by (metis 12 6 9 a llast-LCons llasltlfirst-LCons)
have 21:  $\text{lfinite}(LCons\ xs' xss'')$ 
  by (metis 16 17 18 19 20 4 lfinite-LConsI.hyps(2) lfinite-code(2) )
show ?thesis
using 21 4 by auto
qed
show ?thesis
using 7 8 by blast
qed
qed

lemma lfusecat-lfinite:
assumes  $\forall xs \in \text{lset } xss. \neg \text{lnull}(\text{ltl } xs)$ 
 $\forall xs \in \text{lset } xss. \text{lfinite } xs$ 
 $\text{llastlfirst } xss$ 
shows  $\text{lfinite}(\text{lfusecat } xss) \longleftrightarrow \text{lfinite } xss$ 
using assms lfusecat-lfinite-a lfusecat-lfinite-b by blast

lemma ridx-lfusecat-ltake:
assumes ridx R (lfusecat xss)
n ≤ llength xss
shows ridx R (lfusecat (ltake n xss))
using assms
by (metis lfusecat-split ltake-all ltake-lfuse nle-le ridx-ltake-a)

lemma ridx-lfusecat-ldrop:
assumes ridx R (lfusecat xss)
(enat n) < llength xss
llastlfirst xss
 $\forall xs \in \text{lset } xss. \neg \text{lnull } xs$ 
 $\forall xs \in \text{lset } xss. \text{lfinite } xs$ 
shows ridx R (lfusecat (ldrop n xss))
proof -
have 1:  $(\text{lfusecat } (\text{ldrop } n xss)) =$ 
 $\text{ldrop } (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength } (\text{lnth } xss\ i))))$ 
  (lfusecat xss)
using assms gr-implies-not-zero lfusecat-ldrop llength-eq-0 by blast
have 2:  $(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength } (\text{lnth } xss\ i)))) < \text{llength } (\text{lfusecat } xss)$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 add.commute add.right-neutral assms(2) assms(4) in-lset-conv-lnth
    lfusecat-not-lnull llist.setsel(1) lnth-0-conv-lhd lnth-ldrop lnull-ldrop not-less-iff-gr-or-eq
    order.order-strict the-enat.simps zero-enat-def)
have 3:  $\exists k. (\text{enat } k) = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength } (\text{lnth } xss\ i))))$ 
proof (cases n)

```

```

case 0
then show ?thesis by (simp add: zero-enat-def)
next
case (Suc nat)
then show ?thesis by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) 2 enat-iless enat-less-imp-le leD)
qed

have 4:  $\text{ridx } R (\text{ldrop} (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength} (\text{lnth} xss i))))$   

            ( $\text{lfusecat } xss$ ))
using  $\text{ridx-ldrop}[of R (\text{lfusecat } xss)]$ 
using 2 assms(1) order.strict-implies-order by blast
show ?thesis by (simp add: 1 4)
qed

```

```

lemma  $\text{ridx-lfusecat-a}$ :
assumes  $\text{llastlfirst } xss$ 
 $\forall xs \in \text{lset } xss. \neg \text{lnull } xs$ 
 $\forall xs \in \text{lset } xss. \text{lfinite } xs$ 
 $\text{ridx } R (\text{lfusecat } xss)$ 
 $i < \text{llength } xss$ 
shows  $\text{ridx } R (\text{lnth } xss i)$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\text{lsub } i i xss = (\text{LCons} (\text{lnth } xss i) \text{LNil})$ 
by (simp add: assms(5) lsub-same)
have 2:  $\text{lsub } i i xss = \text{ltake} (\text{eSuc} (\text{enat } (i - i))) (\text{ldrop} (\text{enat } i) xss)$ 
by (simp add: lsub-def)
have 3:  $\text{ltake} (\text{eSuc} (\text{enat } (i - i))) (\text{ldrop} (\text{enat } i) xss) = \text{ltake } 1 (\text{ldrop} (\text{enat } i) xss)$ 
by (simp add: eSuc-enat one-enat-def)
have 4:  $\text{ridx } R (\text{lfusecat} (\text{ltake } 1 (\text{ldrop} (\text{enat } i) xss)))$ 
by (metis assms ltake-all nle-le ridx-lfusecat-ldrop ridx-lfusecat-ltake)
have 5:  $(\text{lfusecat} (\text{ltake } 1 (\text{ldrop} (\text{enat } i) xss))) = (\text{lnth } xss i)$ 
by (metis 1 2 3 lfuse-LNil-2 lfusecat-LCons lfusecat-LNil)
show ?thesis
using 4 5 by auto
qed

```

```

lemma  $\text{ridx-lfuse-lfinite}$ :
assumes  $\text{lfinite } l1$ 
 $\text{llast } l1 = \text{lfirst } l2$ 
shows  $\text{ridx } R (\text{lfuse } l1 l2) \longleftrightarrow \text{ridx } R l1 \wedge \text{ridx } R l2$ 
using assms
proof (cases  $\neg \text{lnull } l1 \wedge \neg \text{lnull } l2$ )
case True
then show ?thesis
proof (cases  $\neg \text{lnull } (\text{ltl } l2)$ )
case True
then show ?thesis
proof –
have 1:  $(\text{lfuse } l1 l2) = \text{lappend } l1 (\text{ltl } l2)$ 
unfolding lfuse-def using  $\neg \text{lnull } l1 \wedge \neg \text{lnull } l2$  by simp

```

```

have 2:  $\text{ridx } R \ (\text{lappend } l1 \ (\text{ltl } l2)) = (\text{ridx } R \ l1 \wedge (R \ (\text{llast } l1) \ (\text{lhd } (\text{ltl } l2))) \wedge \text{ridx } R \ (\text{ltl } l2))$ 
  using assms  $\text{ridx-lappend-lfinite}[\text{of } l1 \ R \ \text{ltl } l2]$   $\text{True} \leftarrow \text{lnull } l1 \wedge \neg \text{lnull } l2$ 
    by auto
have 3:  $(R \ (\text{llast } l1) \ (\text{lhd } (\text{ltl } l2)) \wedge \text{ridx } R \ (\text{ltl } l2)) = \text{ridx } R \ l2$ 
  using  $\text{True} \leftarrow \text{lnull } l1 \wedge \neg \text{lnull } l2$   $\text{ridx-LCons-1}[\text{of } R \ \text{lfirst } l2 \ \text{ltl } l2]$ 
    by (simp add: assms lfirst-def)
show ?thesis
  by (simp add: 1 2 3)
qed
next
case False
then show ?thesis
  proof -
    have 4:  $(\text{lfuse } l1 \ l2) = \text{lappend } l1 \ (\text{ltl } l2)$ 
      unfolding lfuse-def using  $\neg \text{lnull } l1 \wedge \neg \text{lnull } l2$  by simp
    have 5:  $\text{ridx } R \ (\text{lappend } l1 \ (\text{ltl } l2)) = (\text{ridx } R \ l1 \wedge \text{ridx } R \ l2)$ 
      using assms  $\text{ridx-lappend-lfinite}[\text{of } l1 \ R \ \text{ltl } l2]$   $\text{False} \leftarrow \text{lnull } l1 \wedge \neg \text{lnull } l2$ 
        ridx-expand-1 by (metis lhd-LCons-ltl ridx-LCons-1)
    show ?thesis by (simp add: 4 5)
    qed
  qed
next
case False
then show ?thesis
by (metis lfuse-LNil-1 lfuse-LNil-2 llist.collapse(1) ridx-expand-1)
qed

```

```

lemma ridx-lfusecat-lfuse:
assumes llastlfirst xss
   $\forall xs \in lset xss. \neg \text{lnull } xs$ 
   $\forall xs \in lset xss. \text{lfinite } xs$ 
   $\forall i. i < \text{llength } xss \longrightarrow \text{ridx } R \ (\text{lnth } xss \ i)$ 
   $(\text{Suc } n) < \text{llength } xss$ 
shows  $\text{ridx } R \ (\text{lfuse } (\text{lnth } xss \ n) \ (\text{lnth } xss \ (\text{Suc } n)))$ 
using assms
by (metis Suc-ile-eq lfuse-inf llastlfirst-def order-less-imp-le ridx-lfuse-lfinite)

```

```

lemma ridx-lfusecat-ltake-a:
assumes llastlfirst xss
   $\forall xs \in lset xss. \neg \text{lnull } xs$ 
   $\forall xs \in lset xss. \text{lfinite } xs$ 
   $\forall i. i < \text{llength } xss \longrightarrow \text{ridx } R \ (\text{lnth } xss \ i)$ 
   $n \leq \text{llength } xss$ 
shows  $\text{ridx } R \ (\text{lfusecat } (\text{ltake } (\text{enat } n) \ xss))$ 
using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: xss)
case 0

```

```

then show ?case
by (metis LNil-eq-ltake-iff gr-implies-not-zero lfusecat-LNil llength-eq-0 llist.disc(1) ridx-def
      zero-enat-def)
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
proof -
have 0: n=0  $\implies$  ?thesis
proof -
assume a0: n=0
have 000:  $\neg$ lnull xss
using Suc.prems(5) a0 one-enat-def by force
have 001: (ltake (enat (Suc n)) xss) = (LCons (lnth xss 0) LNil)
using a0 000
by (metis One-nat-def lhd-LCons-ltl lnth-0-conv-lhd ltake.ctr(1) ltake-eSuc-LCons one-eSuc
      one-enat-def)
have 002: lfusecat (ltake (enat (Suc n)) xss) = (lnth xss 0)
using a0 000 001 lfusecat-LCons[of (lnth xss 0) LNil] by simp
show ?thesis using 002 Suc.prems(4) Suc.prems(5) Suc-ile-eq a0 by auto
qed
have 01: n>0  $\implies$  ?thesis
proof -
assume a: n>0
have 1: (lfusecat (ltake (enat (Suc n)) xss)) =
    ltake (eSuc ( $\sum$  i::nat = 0::nat..Suc n - (1::nat). epred (llength (lnth xss i)))) (lfusecat xss)
using lfusecat-ltake[of xss ((Suc n))]
using Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(2) Suc.prems(3) Suc.prems(5) Suc-ile-eq by force
have 2: ridx R (lfusecat (ltake (enat n) xss))
using Suc Suc-ile-eq order.strict-implies-order by blast
have 20: lfinite (ltake (enat n) xss)
by simp
have 21:  $\neg$ lnull (ltake (enat n) xss)
using Suc.prems(5) a enat-0-iff(2) by fastforce
have 22:  $\forall$  xs $\in$ lset (ltake (enat n) xss).  $\neg$ lnull xs
by (meson Suc.prems(2) lset-ltake subsetD)
have 23:  $\forall$  xs $\in$ lset (ltake (enat n) xss). lfinite xs
by (meson Suc.prems(3) lset-ltake subsetD)
have 24: llastlfirst (ltake (enat n) xss)
using Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(5) Suc-ile-eq less-imp-le llastlfirst-ltake by blast
have 25: llast (lfusecat (ltake (enat n) xss)) = llast (llast (ltake (enat n) xss))
using 20 21 22 23 24 llastlfirst-lfusecat-llast by blast
have 26: lfinite (llast (ltake (enat n) xss))
by (metis Suc.prems(3) Suc.prems(5) Suc-ile-eq Suc-pred' a enat-ord-code(4) in-lset-conv-lnth
      lfinite-ltake llast-lappend-LCons llast-singleton ltake-Suc-conv-snoc-lnth order-less-imp-le)
have 27: n< llength xss
using Suc.prems(5) Suc-ile-eq order-less-imp-le by blast
have 271: (llast (ltake (enat n) xss)) = (lnth xss (n-1))
by (metis 27 Suc-diff-1 Suc-ile-eq a enat-ord-code(4) lfinite-ltake llast-lappend-LCons
      llast-singleton ltake-Suc-conv-snoc-lnth order.strict-implies-order)
have 28: llast (llast (ltake (enat n) xss)) = llast (lnth xss (n-1))

```

```

using 271 by auto
have 29: llast (lnth xss (n-1)) = lfirst (lnth xss n)
  by (metis 27 Suc.prems(1) Suc-pred' a llastlfirst-def)
have 30: ridx R (lfusecat (ltake (enat n) xss)) (lnth xss (n))
  by (metis 2 25 27 28 29 Suc.prems(4) lfusecat-idx-lfusecat-lfinite)
have 31: (lfusecat (ltake (enat n) xss)) (lnth xss (n)) =
  (lfusecat (ltake (enat (Suc n)) xss))
  by (simp add: 27 lfusecat-lappend ltake-Suc-conv-snoc-lnth)
show ?thesis
using 30 31 by auto
qed
show ?thesis
using 0 01 by fastforce
qed
qed

lemma lfusecat-ltake-llength-less-than-llength-lfusecat:
assumes llastlfirst xss
   $\forall xs \in lset xss. 1 < llength xs$ 
   $\forall xs \in lset xss. lfinite xs$ 
   $(enat n) \leq llength xs$ 
shows min
   $(if n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength(lnth xss i))))$ 
   $(llength(lfusecat xss)) =$ 
   $(if n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength(lnth xss i))))$ 

proof -
have 0:  $\forall xs \in lset xss. \neg lnull xs$ 
  using assms(2) by fastforce
have 1:  $lfinite xss \implies \neg lnull xss \implies llength(lfusecat xss) =$ 
   $eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (\text{the-enat}(epred(llength xss))) . epred(llength(lnth xss i)))$ 
using lfusecat-llength-lfinite[of xss] assms 0 by fastforce
have 2:  $\neg lfinite xss \implies \neg lfinite(lfusecat xss)$ 
  using assms lfusecat-lfinite[of xss] 0
  by (metis less-numeral-extra(4) lhd-LCons-ltl llength-LCons llength-LNil llist.collapse(1) one-eSuc)
have 3:  $\neg lfinite xss \implies llength(lfusecat xss) = \infty$ 
  using not-lfinite-llength 2 by blast
have 50:  $\neg lnull xss \implies lfusecat(ltake(enat n) xss) =$ 
   $ltake(if n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength(lnth xss i))))$ 
   $(lfusecat xss)$ 
  using assms lfusecat-ltake[of xss n] 0 by fastforce
have 51:  $lfinite(lfusecat(ltake(enat n) xss))$ 
  by (meson assms(3) enat-ord-code(4) lfinite-ltake lfusecat-lfinite-a lset-ltake subsetD)
have 52:  $lfinite(ltake(if n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength(lnth xss i))))$ 
   $(lfusecat xss))$ 
  using 50 51 llist.collapse(1) by fastforce
have 53:  $\exists m. llength(ltake(if n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength(lnth xss i))))$ 
   $(lfusecat xss)) = (enat m)$ 
  using 52 lfinite-llength-enat by blast
have 54:  $llength(ltake(if n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength(lnth xss i))))$ 
   $(lfusecat xss)) \leq llength(lfusecat xss)$ 

```

```

by auto
have 55:  $llength(ltake(if n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength(lnth xss i))))$   

 $(lfusecat xss)) =$   

 $\min$   

 $(if n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength(lnth xss i))))$   

 $(llength(lfusecat xss))$   

using  $llength-ltake[\text{of } (if n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength(lnth xss i))))$   

 $(lfusecat xss)]$  by auto
have 56:  $\min$   

 $(if n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength(lnth xss i))))$   

 $(llength(lfusecat xss)) < \infty$   

by (metis 53 55 enat-ord-code(4))
have 57:  $(llength(lfusecat xss)) = llength(lfusecat(lappend(ltake n xss)(ldrop n xss)))$   

by (simp add: lappend-ltake-ldrop)
have 58:  $llength(lfusecat(lappend(ltake n xss)(ldrop n xss))) =$   

 $llength(lfuse(lfusecat(ltake n xss))(lfusecat(ldrop n xss)))$   

by (metis 57 lfusecat-split)
have 59:  $lnull(lfusecat(ltake(enat n) xss)) \longleftrightarrow n = 0$   

proof (cases xss)  

case LNil  

then show ?thesis using assms enat-0-iff(2) by force
next  

case (LCons x21 x22)  

then show ?thesis using 1 2 50 by force
qed
have 60:  $llength(lfuse(lfusecat(ltake n xss))(lfusecat(ldrop n xss))) =$   

 $llength(lfusecat(ltake(enat n) xss)) +$   

 $(if n = 0 \text{ then } llength(lfusecat(ldrop(enat n) xss))$   

 $\text{else } epred(llength(lfusecat(ldrop(enat n) xss))))$   

using lfuse-llength[of (lfusecat(ltake n xss))(lfusecat(ldrop n xss))]  

using 59 by presburger
have 62:  $n = 0 \implies llength(lfusecat(ldrop(enat n) xss)) = llength(lfusecat xss)$   

using 57 58 59 llist.collapse(1) by force
have 620:  $n > 0 \implies n < llength xss \implies \neg lnull(ldrop(enat n) xss)$   

using Suc-ileq assms by simp
have 621:  $n > 0 \implies n < llength xss \implies (\exists xs \in lset(ldrop(enat n) xss). \neg lnull xs)$   

by (meson 0 620 in-lset-ldropD lfusecat-not-lnull lfusecat-not-lnull-var)
have 622:  $n > 0 \implies n < llength xss \implies (\neg lnull(lfusecat(ldrop(enat n) xss)))$   

using 620 621 lfusecat-not-lnull[of (ldrop(enat n) xss)] by blast
have 623:  $n > 0 \implies \neg lnull xss$   

using assms 59 by force
have 63:  $n > 0 \implies n < llength xss \implies (llength(lfusecat(ldrop(enat n) xss))) =$   

 $(llength(ldrop((\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength(lnth xss i))))(lfusecat xss)))$   

using lfusecat-ldrop[of xss n] assms
using 623 llength-LNil not-one-less-zero 0 by presburger
have 630:  $n > 0 \implies n < llength xss \implies epred(llength(lfusecat(ldrop(enat n) xss))) =$   

 $epred(llength(ldrop((\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength(lnth xss i))))(lfusecat xss)))$   

using 63 by presburger
have 631:  $n > 0 \implies n < llength xss \implies$   

 $(llength(ldrop((\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength(lnth xss i))))(lfusecat xss))) > 0$ 

```

```

by (metis 622 63 gr-zeroI llength_eq_0)
have 64: llength (lfusecat (ltake (enat n) xss)) ≤
    llength (lfuse (lfusecat (ltake n xss)) (lfusecat (ldrop n xss)))
using lfuse_llength_le_a by blast
have 65: 0 < n ==> n < llength xss ==> 0 < (llength (lfusecat (ldrop (enat n) xss)))
using 63 631 by presburger
have 66: n > 0 ==> llength xss > 0
using 623 gr-zeroI llength_eq_0 by blast
have 67: n > 0 ==> n - 1 ≤ (epred (llength xss))
using assms 66
by (metis epred_enat epred_le_epredI)
have 68: min
    (if n = 0 then 0 else eSuc(∑ i = 0 .. (n - 1) . epred(llength (lnth xss i))))
    (llength (lfusecat xss)) =
    (if n = 0 then 0 else eSuc(∑ i = 0 .. (n - 1) . epred(llength (lnth xss i))))
proof (cases lfinite xss)
case True
then show ?thesis
proof –
have 681: n > 0 ==> n < llength xss ==> eSuc(∑ i = 0 .. (n - 1) . epred(llength (lnth xss i))) ≤
    eSuc (∑ i = 0..the-enat (epred (llength xss)). epred (llength (lnth xss i)))
by (metis 1 631 True gr-implies-not-zero ileI1 linorder-not-less llength_lnull_lnull_ldrop)
have 682: n > 0 ==> n = llength xss ==> eSuc(∑ i = 0 .. (n - 1) . epred(llength (lnth xss i))) ≤
    eSuc (∑ i = 0..the-enat (epred (llength xss)). epred (llength (lnth xss i)))
by (metis dual_order.refl epred_enat the_enat.simps)
show ?thesis
using 1 681 682 True 623 assms(4) min.absorb1 order.order_if Strict by auto
qed
next
case False
then show ?thesis using 3 min_enat.simps(4) by presburger
qed
show ?thesis
using 68 by blast
qed

```

```

lemma lfusecat_ltake_llength_less_than_next:
assumes llastlfirst xss
    ∀ xs ∈ lset xss. 1 < llength xs
    ∀ xs ∈ lset xss. lfinite xs
    (Suc n) < llength xss
shows (if n = 0 then 0 else eSuc(∑ i = 0 .. (n - 1) . epred(llength (lnth xss i)))) < (if (Suc n) = 0 then 0 else eSuc(∑ i = 0 .. (n) . epred(llength (lnth xss i))))
proof –
have 0: n < ∞
by simp
have 1: ∏ i. i < llength xss ==> 1 < llength (lnth xss i)

```

```

by (meson assms(2) in-lset-conv-lnth)
have 01:  $\bigwedge i. i < \text{llength } xss \implies \neg \text{lnull} (\text{lenth } xss i)$ 
    by (metis 1 llength-LNil llist.collapse(1) not-one-less-zero)
have 02:  $\forall xs \in \text{lset } xss. \neg \text{lnull } xs$ 
    using assms(2) by fastforce
have 2:  $\bigwedge i. i < \text{llength } xss \implies 0 < \text{epred}(\text{llength} (\text{lenth } xss i))$ 
    by (metis 1 co.enat.exhaust-sel gr-zeroI less-numeral-extra(4) not-one-less-zero one-eSuc)
have 3:  $n = 0 \implies ?\text{thesis}$ 
    by auto
have 4:  $0 < n \implies (\sum i = 0 .. (n) . \text{epred}(\text{llength} (\text{lenth } xss i))) =$ 
     $(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength} (\text{lenth } xss i))) +$ 
     $\text{epred}(\text{llength} (\text{lenth } xss n))$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc-diff-1 sum.atLeast0-atMost-Suc)
have 5:  $\bigwedge i. i < \text{llength } xss \implies \text{epred}(\text{llength} (\text{lenth } xss i)) < \infty$ 
    using assms(3)
    by (metis enat-ord-simps(4) epred-0 epred-Infty epred-inject in-lset-conv-lnth infinity-ne-i0
        llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite)
have 50:  $\text{llength} (\text{lfusecat} (\text{ltake } n xss)) \leq \text{llength} (\text{lfusecat } xss)$ 
    by (simp add: lprefix-lfusecatI lprefix-llength-le)

have 6:  $0 < n \implies eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength} (\text{lenth } xss i))) < \infty$ 
proof -
    assume a:  $n > 0$ 
    have 60:  $(\text{lfusecat} (\text{ltake } n xss)) =$ 
         $(\text{ltake} (eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength} (\text{lenth } xss i)))) (\text{lfusecat } xss))$ 
        using lfusecat-ltake[of xss n] using assms 02 a by simp
        (metis Suc-ileq gr-implies-not-zero llength-eq-0 order.strict-implies-order)
    have 61:  $\text{llength} (\text{lfusecat} (\text{ltake } n xss)) =$ 
         $\text{llength} (\text{ltake} (eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength} (\text{lenth } xss i)))) (\text{lfusecat } xss))$ 
        using 60 by fastforce
    have 62:  $\text{llength} (\text{ltake} (eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength} (\text{lenth } xss i)))) (\text{lfusecat } xss)) =$ 
         $\min (eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength} (\text{lenth } xss i))))$ 
         $(\text{llength} (\text{lfusecat } xss))$ 
        using llength-ltake by blast
    have 63:  $\min (eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength} (\text{lenth } xss i))))$ 
         $(\text{llength} (\text{lfusecat } xss)) =$ 
         $(eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength} (\text{lenth } xss i))))$ 
        using lfusecat-ltake-llength-less-than-llength-lfusecat[of xss n] a assms
        using Suc-ileq order-less-imp-le by simp blast
    show ?thesis
    by (metis 0 60 62 63 assms(3) enat-ord-simps(4) lfinite-ltake lfusecat-lfinite-a
        llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite lset-ltake subsetD)
qed
have 7:  $0 < n \implies eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength} (\text{lenth } xss i))) <$ 
     $eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength} (\text{lenth } xss i))) +$ 
     $\text{epred}(\text{llength} (\text{lenth } xss n))$ 
    by (metis 2 6 Suc-ileq add.right-neutral assms(4) enat-add-mono less-infinityE
        order-less-imp-le)
have 8:  $0 < n \implies ?\text{thesis}$ 
    using 4 7 eSuc-plus by auto

```

```

show ?thesis
using 3 8 by blast
qed

lemma ridx-lfusecat-b:
assumes llastlfirst xss
   $\forall xs \in lset xss. 1 < llength xs$ 
   $\forall xs \in lset xss. lfinite xs$ 
   $\forall i. i < llength xss \rightarrow ridx R (lnth xss i)$ 
shows ridx R (lfusecat xss)
proof -
  have 0:  $\forall xs \in lset xss. \neg lnull xs$ 
    using assms(2) gr-implies-not-zero llength-LNil llist.collapse(1) by blast
  have 1:  $\bigwedge n. n \leq llength xss \implies ridx R (lfusecat (ltake (enat n) xss))$ 
    using assms 0 ridx-lfusecat-ltake-a by blast
  have 2:  $\bigwedge n. n \leq llength xss \implies$ 
     $ridx R (ltake (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength (lnth xss i))))$ 
     $(lfusecat xss))$ 
    using lfusecat-ltake[of xss] 1 assms using 0 llist.collapse(1) by fastforce
  have 30:  $\bigwedge n. (enat n) \leq llength xss \implies$ 
     $(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength (lnth xss i)))) \leq$ 
     $llength (lfusecat xss)$ 
  proof -
    fix n::nat
    assume a:  $n \leq llength xss$ 
    show  $(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength (lnth xss i)))) \leq$ 
       $llength (lfusecat xss)$ 
    using lfusecat-ltake-llength-less-than-llength-lfusecat[of xss n] 0 assms
      a min.order-iff[of  $(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength (lnth xss i))))$ 
       $llength (lfusecat xss)$ ]
    by presburger
  qed
  have 3:  $\bigwedge n. (enat n) \leq llength xss \implies$ 
     $(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength (lnth xss i)))) \leq$ 
     $llength (lfusecat (lfusecat (ltake n xss)) (lfusecat (ldrop n xss)))$ 
    by (metis 30 lfusecat-split)
  have 6:  $\bigwedge n. (Suc n) < llength xss \implies$ 
     $(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength (lnth xss i)))) <$ 
     $(\text{if } (Suc n) = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n) . epred(llength (lnth xss i))))$ 
    using assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) lfusecat-ltake-llength-less-than-next by blast
  have 61:  $\bigwedge i. i < llength xss \implies epred(llength (lnth xss i)) < \infty$ 
    by (metis assms(3) enat-ord-simps(4) epred-0 epred-Infty epred-inject i0-ne-infinity
      in-lset-conv-lnth llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite)
  have 62:  $\bigwedge n. n \leq llength xss \implies$ 
     $(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength (lnth xss i)))) < \infty$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) 30 6 add-diff-cancel-left' assms(3) eSuc-enat enat-ord-code(4)
      enat-ord-simps(4) ileI1 leD lfusecat-lfinite-a llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite plus-1-eq-Suc)
  have 7:  $\bigwedge k. k \leq (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength (lnth xss i))))$ 
     $\wedge n \leq llength xss$ 
     $\implies$ 

```

```

 $\text{ridx } R \ (\text{ltake} \ (\text{enat } k) \ (\text{lFusecat } xss))$ 

proof -
  fix  $k\ n$ 
  show  $k \leq (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(llength (lnth xss i))))$ 
     $\wedge\ n \leq llength xss$ 
     $\implies \text{ridx } R \ (\text{ltake} \ (\text{enat } k) \ (\text{lFusecat } xss))$ 
  using  $\text{ridx-ltake}[of\ R \ (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(llength (lnth xss i))))$ 
     $(\text{lFusecat } xss)\ k]$ 
  using 2 30 by presburger
qed
have 5:  $\bigwedge k. k < llength xss \implies$ 
   $\text{ridx } R \ (\text{ltake} \ (\text{enat } k) \ (\text{lFusecat } xss))$ 
proof -
  fix  $k$ 
  show  $k < llength xss \implies$ 
     $\text{ridx } R \ (\text{ltake} \ (\text{enat } k) \ (\text{lFusecat } xss))$ 
proof (cases lfinite xss)
  case True
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis 1 lfinite-llength-enat linorder-le-cases ltake-all ridx-ltake-a)
  next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
  proof -
    have 51:  $\neg \text{lfinite}(\text{lFusecat } xss)$ 
    using assms 0
    by (metis False iless-Suc-eq lFusecat-lfinite-b lhd-LCons-ltl
      llength-LCons not-lnull-llength one-enat-def)
    have 52:  $\bigwedge k. (\exists n. \text{enat } k < (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(llength (lnth xss i))))$ 
       $\wedge\ n \leq llength xss \wedge$ 
       $\text{ridx } R \ (\text{ltake} \ (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(llength (lnth xss i)))) \ (\text{lFusecat } xss)))$ 
    proof -
      fix  $k$ 
      show  $(\exists n. \text{enat } k < (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(llength (lnth xss i))))$ 
         $\wedge\ n \leq llength xss \wedge$ 
         $\text{ridx } R \ (\text{ltake} \ (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(llength (lnth xss i)))) \ (\text{lFusecat } xss)))$ 
      using 2 6
    proof (induct k)
    case 0
    then show ?case
    proof -
      have 521:  $(\text{enat } 0) < eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (1-1) . \text{epred}(llength (lnth xss i)))$ 
      using i0-iless-eSuc zero-enat-def by presburger
      have 522:  $(\text{enat } 1) \leq llength xss$ 
      using False
      using lnull-imp-lfinite not-lnull-llength one-enat-def by auto
      have 523:  $\text{ridx } R \ (\text{ltake} \ (\text{if } (\text{enat } 1) = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else }$ 

```

```

eSuc( $\sum i = 0 .. (1-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lnth} xss i)))$ ) ( $\text{lfusecat} xss$ )
using 0.prem(1) 522 one-enat-def by fastforce
show ?thesis using 521 522 523 one-enat-def by force
qed

next
case ( $Suc k$ )
then show ?case
by (metis (no-types, lifting) False antisym-conv2 diff-Suc-1 eSuc-enat enat-ord-code(4)
      ileI1 llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite)
qed
qed

have 53:  $\bigwedge k. (\exists m. (\text{enat } k) < m \wedge \text{ridx } R(\text{ltake}(\text{enat } m) (\text{lFusecat} xss)))$ 
proof -
  fix  $k$ 
  show ( $\exists m. (\text{enat } k) < m \wedge \text{ridx } R(\text{ltake}(\text{enat } m) (\text{lFusecat} xss)))$ 
  proof -
    have 54: ( $\exists n. \text{enat } k < (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else}$ 
       $eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lnth} xss i)))$ )
       $\wedge n \leq \text{llength } xss \wedge$ 
       $\text{ridx } R(\text{ltake}(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else}$ 
       $eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lnth} xss i))) (\text{lFusecat} xss)))$ )
    using 52 by blast
    obtain  $n$  where 55:  $\text{enat } k < (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else}$ 
       $eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lnth} xss i))) \wedge$ 
       $n \leq \text{llength } xss \wedge$ 
       $\text{ridx } R(\text{ltake}(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else}$ 
       $eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lnth} xss i))) (\text{lFusecat} xss))$ )
    using 54 by blast
    show ?thesis
      using 55 62 by force
    qed
    qed
  show ?thesis
  by (metis 51 53 enat-ord-code(4) llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite order.strict-implies-order
        ridx-ltake)
qed
qed
qed
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 5 dual-order.refl enat-ord-code(4) lfinite-conv-llength-enat
      llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite ltake-all ridx-ltake-all)
qed

lemma ridx-lfusecat:
assumes llastfirst xss
   $\forall xs \in lset xss. 1 < \text{llength } xs$ 
   $\forall xs \in lset xss. \text{lfinite } xs$ 
shows  $\text{ridx } R(\text{lFusecat} xss) \longleftrightarrow (\forall i. i < \text{llength } xss \longrightarrow \text{ridx } R(\text{lnth} xss i))$ 
using ridx-lfusecat-a ridx-lfusecat-b assms
using gr-implies-not-zero llength-eq-0 by blast

```

```

lemma lfusecat-split-lsub:
assumes llastlfirst xss
     $\forall xs \in lset xss. 1 < llength xs$ 
     $\forall xs \in lset xss. lfinite xs$ 
shows  $(\forall i. i < llength xss \longrightarrow ridx (\lambda a b. f (lsub a b \sigma)) (lnth xss i)) \longleftrightarrow$ 
         $ridx (\lambda a b. f (lsub a b \sigma)) (lfusecat xss)$ 
using assms ridx-lfusecat by blast

lemma lidx-lfuse-lfinite:
assumes lfinite xs
    llast xs = lfirst ys
shows lidx (lfuse xs ys)  $\longleftrightarrow$  lidx xs  $\wedge$  lidx ys
using assms
using ridx-lfuse-lfinite ridx-lidx by blast

lemma lidx-lfusecat-ltake:
assumes lidx (lfusecat xss)
     $n \leq llength xss$ 
shows lidx (lfusecat (ltake n xss))
using assms ridx-lfusecat-ltake ridx-lidx by blast

lemma lidx-lfusecat-ldrop:
assumes lidx (lfusecat xss)
    (enat n) < llength xss
    llastlfirst xss
     $\forall xs \in lset xss. \neg lnull xs$ 
     $\forall xs \in lset xss. lfinite xs$ 
shows lidx (lfusecat (ldrop n xss))
using assms ridx-lfusecat-ldrop ridx-lidx by blast

lemma lidx-lfusecat-a:
assumes llastlfirst xss
     $\forall xs \in lset xss. \neg lnull xs$ 
     $\forall xs \in lset xss. lfinite xs$ 
    lidx (lfusecat xss)
     $i < llength xss$ 
shows lidx (lnth xss i)
using assms ridx-lfusecat-a ridx-lidx by blast

lemma lidx-lfusecat-lfuse:
assumes llastlfirst xss
     $\forall xs \in lset xss. \neg lnull xs$ 
     $\forall xs \in lset xss. lfinite xs$ 
     $\forall i. i < llength xss \longrightarrow lidx (lnth xss i)$ 
     $(Suc n) < llength xss$ 
shows lidx (lfuse (lnth xss n) (lnth xss (Suc n)))
using assms ridx-lfusecat-lfuse ridx-lidx by blast

```

```

lemma lidx-lfusecat-ltake-a:
  assumes llastlfirst xss
     $\forall xs \in lset xss. \neg lnull xs$ 
     $\forall xs \in lset xss. lfinite xs$ 
     $\forall i. i < llengt h xss \longrightarrow lidx (lnth xss i)$ 
     $n \leq llengt h xss$ 
  shows lidx (lfusecat (ltake (enat n) xss))
  using assms ridx-lfusecat-ltake-a ridx-lidx by blast

```

```

lemma lidx-lfusecat-b:
  assumes llastlfirst xss
     $\forall xs \in lset xss. 1 < llengt h xs$ 
     $\forall xs \in lset xss. lfinite xs$ 
     $\forall i. i < llengt h xss \longrightarrow lidx (lnth xss i)$ 
  shows lidx (lfusecat xss)
  using assms ridx-lfusecat-b ridx-lidx by blast

```

```

lemma lidx-lfusecat:
  assumes llastlfirst xss
     $\forall xs \in lset xss. 1 < llengt h xs$ 
     $\forall xs \in lset xss. lfinite xs$ 
  shows lidx (lfusecat xss)  $\longleftrightarrow (\forall i. i < llengt h xss \longrightarrow lidx (lnth xss i))$ 
  using assms ridx-lfusecat ridx-lidx by blast

```

```

lemma lnth-sum-expand:
  assumes  $\neg lnull xss$ 
    llastlfirst xss
     $\forall xs \in lset xss. 1 < llengt h xs$ 
     $\forall xs \in lset xss. lfinite xs$ 
     $(enat i) < llengt h xss$ 
     $lfinite xss$ 
  shows  $(\sum i = 0 .. (\text{the-enat(epred(llengt h xss)))} . epred(llengt h (lnth xss i))) =$ 
     $epred(llengt h (lnth xss i)) +$ 
     $(\sum j \in \{k. k \neq i \wedge k \leq (\text{the-enat(epred(llengt h xss)))}\} . epred(llengt h (lnth xss j)))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\{k. k \leq (\text{the-enat(epred(llengt h xss)))}\} = insert i \{k. k \neq i \wedge k \leq (\text{the-enat(epred(llengt h xss)))}\}$ 
  using assms by auto
    (metis eSuc-epred enat-ord-simps(1) epred-enat gr-implies-not-zero iless-Suc-eq
     lfinite-llength-enat the-enat.simps)
  have 2:  $\{0.. (\text{the-enat(epred(llengt h xss)))}\} = \{k. k \leq (\text{the-enat(epred(llengt h xss)))}\}$ 
    by auto
  have 3:  $(\sum i = 0 .. (\text{the-enat(epred(llengt h xss)))} . epred(llengt h (lnth xss i))) =$ 
     $(\sum i \in \{k. k \leq (\text{the-enat(epred(llengt h xss)))}\} . epred(llengt h (lnth xss i)))$ 
    using 2 by presburger
  have 4:  $(\sum i \in \{k. k \leq (\text{the-enat(epred(llengt h xss)))}\} . epred(llengt h (lnth xss i))) =$ 

```

```


$$(\sum j \in (\text{insert } i \{k. k \neq i \wedge k \leq (\text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(\text{llength } xss))))\}) . \text{epred}(\text{llength } (\text{lnth } xss j)))$$

using 1 by presburger
have 5:  $(\sum j \in (\text{insert } i \{k. k \neq i \wedge k \leq (\text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(\text{llength } xss))))\}) . \text{epred}(\text{llength } (\text{lnth } xss j))) =$ 
 $\text{epred}(\text{llength } (\text{lnth } xss i)) +$ 
 $(\sum j \in \{k. k \neq i \wedge k \leq (\text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(\text{llength } xss))))\}) . \text{epred}(\text{llength } (\text{lnth } xss j)))$ 
by auto
show ?thesis
using 3 4 5 by presburger
qed

lemma lfusecat-llength-a:
assumes llastlfirst xss
 $\forall xs \in lset xss. 1 < \text{llength } xs$ 
 $\forall xs \in lset xss. \text{lfinite } xs$ 
 $i < \text{llength } xss$ 
 $(\text{enat } j) < \text{llength } (\text{lnth } xss i)$ 
shows (enat j) < llength (lfusecat xss)
proof (cases lfinite xss)
case True
then show ?thesis
proof -
have 1: lnull xss  $\implies$  ?thesis
using assms(4) gr-implies-not-zero llength-eq-0 by blast
have 2:  $\neg lnull xss \implies$ 
 $\text{llength } (\text{lfusecat } xss) =$ 
 $eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (\text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(\text{llength } xss))) . \text{epred}(\text{llength } (\text{lnth } xss i)))$ 
using True assms lfusecat-llength-lfinite by fastforce
have 3:  $\neg lnull xss \implies$ 
 $\text{llength } (\text{lnth } xss i) \leq$ 
 $eSuc(\sum i = 0 .. (\text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(\text{llength } xss))) . \text{epred}(\text{llength } (\text{lnth } xss i)))$ 
using lnth-sum-expand[of xss i] assms
by (metis (no-types, lifting) True co.enat.collapse eSuc-plus gr-implies-not-zero le-iff-add)
have 4:  $\neg lnull xss \implies$  ?thesis
using 2 3
by (metis assms(5) order-less-le-trans)
show ?thesis
using 1 4 by blast
qed
next
case False
then show ?thesis
proof -
have 5:  $\neg \text{lfinite } (\text{lfusecat } xss)$ 
by (metis False assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) gr-implies-not-zero illess-Suc-eq lfusecat-lfinite-b
 $lhd-LCons-ltl llength-LCons llength-eq-0 not-lnull-llength one-enat-def)$ 
show ?thesis
using 5 enat-illess lfinite-conv-llength-enat not-less-iff-gr-or-eq by blast
qed
qed

```

```

lemma lmap-lfusecat:
  lmap f (lfusecat xss) = (lfusecat (lmap ( lmap f ) xss))
proof (induct xss)
case adm
then show ?case by simp
next
case LNil
then show ?case
by simp
next
case (LCons xs xss)
then show ?case
by (simp add: lfuse-def )
  (metis lmap-eq-LNil lmap-lappend-distrib lnull-def ltl-lmap)
qed

```

```

lemma lfusecat-is-lfirst-conv:
assumes ∀ i. i < llength xss —> is-lfirst(lnth xss i)
  is-lfirst xss
shows is-lfirst(lfusecat xss)
using assms
proof (induction xss)
case adm
then show ?case
  by (rule ccpo.admissibleI) (auto, metis lnth-0 zero-enat-def)
next
case LNil
then show ?case by simp
next
case (LCons xs xss)
then show ?case
by (simp add: zero-enat-def)
qed

```

1.8 kfilter

```

lemma kfilter-code [simp, code]:
shows kfilter-LNil: kfilter P n LNil = LNil
and kfilter-LCons: kfilter P n (LCons x xs) =
  (if P x then LCons n (kfilter P (Suc n) xs) else kfilter P (Suc n) xs )
by (auto simp add: kfilter-def lzip.ctr(2))

lemma lmap-fst-imp-a:
assumes lnull (lfilter P xs)
shows lnull (lmap fst (lfilter (P ∘ fst) (lzip xs (iterates Suc n))))
using assms lset-lzipD1 by fastforce

```

```

lemma lmap-fst-imp-b:
assumes lnull (lmap fst (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip xs (iterates Suc n))))
shows lnull (lfilter P xs)
proof -
have 0: lnull (lmap fst (lfilter (λ (x,k). P x) (lzip xs (iterates Suc n))))
  using assms by auto
have 1: lnull (lfilter (λ (x,k). P x) (lzip xs (iterates Suc n)))
  using 0 by auto
have 2: (∀ (x,k) ∈ lset(lzip xs (iterates Suc n)). ¬ P x)
  using 1 by (simp add: prod.case-eq-if)
have 3: (∀ (x,k) ∈ { (lnth xs i , n+i) | i. enat i < llength xs}. ¬ P x)
  using 2 by (simp add: lset-lzip)
have 4: (∀ x ∈ { lnth xs i | i. enat i < llength xs}. ¬ P x)
  using 3 by blast
from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: lset-conv-lnth)
qed

```

```

lemma lmap-snd-lnull:
  lnull (lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip xs (iterates Suc n)))) = lnull(lfilter P xs)
by (metis llist.collapse(1) llist.disc(1) lmap-eq-LNil lmap-fst-imp-a lmap-fst-imp-b)

```

```

lemma kfilter-lnull-conv:
  lnull (kfilter P n xs) ↔ (∀ x ∈ lset xs. ¬ P x)
unfolding kfilter-def using lmap-snd-lnull[of P xs] lnull-lfilter[of P xs] by blast

```

```

lemma kfilter-not-lnull-conv:
  ¬lnull (kfilter P n xs) ↔ (∃ x ∈ lset xs. P x)
by (simp add: kfilter-lnull-conv)

```

```

lemma lmap-fst-lfilter:
  lfilter P (lmap fst (lzip xs (iterates Suc n))) =
    lmap fst (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip xs (iterates Suc n)))
using lfilter-lmap by blast

```

```

lemma lmap-fst-lzip:
  (lmap fst (lzip xs (iterates Suc n))) = xs
by (coinduction arbitrary: xs) (auto, simp add: lmap-fst-lzip-conv-ltake)

```

```

lemma lfilter-kfilter-1:
  (lmap fst (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip xs (iterates Suc n)))) =
    (lfilter P xs)
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) lmap-fst-lfilter lmap-fst-lzip)

```

```

lemma lfilter-kfilter-snd-llength:
  llength (lmap fst (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip xs (iterates Suc n)))) =
    llength (lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip xs (iterates Suc n))))
by simp

```

```

lemma kfilter-llength:
  llength(kfilter P n xs) = llength(lfilter P xs)

```

proof –

have 1: $\text{llength}(\text{kfilter } P \ n \ xs) = \text{llength} (\text{lmap } \text{snd} (\text{lfilter } (P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n))))$
by (simp add: kfilter-def)

have 2: $\text{llength} (\text{lmap } \text{snd} (\text{lfilter } (P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n)))) =$
 $\text{llength} (\text{lmap } \text{fst} (\text{lfilter } (P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n))))$
using lfilter-kfilter-snd-llength by auto

have 3: $\text{llength} (\text{lmap } \text{fst} (\text{lfilter } (P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n)))) =$
 $\text{llength}(\text{lfilter } P \ xs)$
by (metis lfilter-kfilter-1)

from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by auto

qed

lemma ldropWhile-LEAST:

assumes $\exists n < \text{llength } xs. (\text{Not } \circ P) (\text{lnth } xs \ n)$

shows $\text{ldropWhile } P \ xs = \text{ldropn } (\text{LEAST } n. n < \text{llength } xs \wedge (\text{Not } \circ P) (\text{lnth } xs \ n)) \ xs$

proof –

from assms obtain m where
 $m < \text{llength } xs \wedge (\text{Not } \circ P) (\text{lnth } xs \ m)$
 $\wedge \forall n. n < \text{llength } xs \rightarrow (\text{Not } \circ P) (\text{lnth } xs \ n) \implies m \leq n$

and *: $(\text{LEAST } n. n < \text{llength } xs \wedge (\text{Not } \circ P) (\text{lnth } xs \ n)) = m$
by atomize-elim
 (metis (no-types, lifting) dual-order.strict-trans1 enat-ord-code(2) less-imp-le not-le-imp-less
 not-less-Least wellorder-Least-lemma(1))

thus ?thesis unfolding *

proof (induct m arbitrary: xs)

case 0

then show ?case
by (cases xs) simp-all

next

case (Suc m)

then show ?case
proof –

have 1: $\text{ldropWhile } P \ (\text{ltl } xs) = \text{ldropn } m \ (\text{ltl } xs)$ **using Suc**
by (cases xs)
 (simp,
 metis Suc-le-mono ldrop-eSuc-ltl ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn ldropn-eq-LNil llist.simps(3)
 lnth-Suc-LCons ltl-simps(2) not-le-imp-less)

have 2: $P \ (\text{lnth } xs \ 0)$
using Suc.preds(2) by auto

show ?thesis
by (metis 1 2 ldropWhile-LCons ldrop-eSuc-ltl lhd-LCons-ltl llist.collapse(1)
 lnth-0-conv-lhd ltl-simps(1))

qed

qed

qed

lemma ldropWhile-LEAST-not:

assumes $\exists n < \text{llength } xs. P \ (\text{lnth } xs \ n)$

shows $\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P) \ xs = \text{ldropn } (\text{LEAST } n. n < \text{llength } xs \wedge P \ (\text{lnth } xs \ n)) \ xs$

using assms ldropWhile-LEAST[of xs Not o P] by simp

```

lemma ltakeWhile-LEAST:
assumes  $\exists n < \text{llength } xs. (\text{Not} \circ P) (\text{lnth } xs n)$ 
shows  $(\text{ltakeWhile } P xs) = (\text{ltake} (\text{lleast} (\text{Not} \circ P) xs) xs)$ 
proof-
  from assms obtain m where
     $m < \text{llength } xs \wedge (\text{Not} \circ P) (\text{lnth } xs m)$ 
     $\wedge n. n < \text{llength } xs \rightarrow (\text{Not} \circ P) (\text{lnth } xs n) \Rightarrow m \leq n$ 
  and *:  $(\text{lleast} (\text{Not} \circ P) xs) = m$  unfolding lleast-def
  by atomize-elim
  (metis (no-types, lifting) Least-le dual-order.trans enat-ord-code(2) not-less not-less-iff-gr-or-eq
   wellorder-Least-lemma(1))
  thus ?thesis unfolding *
  proof (induct m arbitrary: xs)
    case 0
    then show ?case
    proof -
      have ltakeWhile P (LCons (lnth xs 0) (ldropn (Suc 0) xs)) = LNil
      using 0 by fastforce
      then show ?thesis
      by (metis (no-types) lappend.disc-iff(2) lappend-ltakeWhile-ldropWhile ldropn-0
           ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn llist-collapse(1) lnull-ldropn ltake.ctr(1) not-less zero-enat-def)
    qed
    next
    case (Suc m)
    then show ?case
    proof -
      have 1:  $\text{ltakeWhile } P (\text{ltl } xs) = \text{ltake } m (\text{ltl } xs)$ 
      using Suc by (cases xs)
      (simp,
       metis Extended-Nat.eSuc-mono Suc-le-mono eSuc-enat llength-LCons lnth-Suc-LCons ltl-simps(2))
      have 2:  $P (\text{lnth } xs 0)$ 
      using Suc by auto
      show ?thesis
      by (metis 1 2 eSuc-enat lhd-LCons-ltl lnth-0-conv-lhd ltake.ctr(1) ltakeWhile.code
           ltake-eSuc-LCons)
    qed
    qed
  qed

lemma llength-LEAST-not:
assumes  $\exists n < \text{llength } xs. (\text{Not} \circ P) (\text{lnth } xs n)$ 
shows  $(\text{lleast} (\text{Not} \circ P) xs) < \text{llength } xs$ 
using assms unfolding lleast-def
by (metis (no-types, lifting) LeastI)

lemma llength-LEAST:
assumes  $\exists n < \text{llength } xs. P (\text{lnth } xs n)$ 
shows  $(\text{lleast } P xs) < \text{llength } xs$ 
using assms llength-LEAST-not[of xs Not o P] unfolding lleast-def by simp

```

```

lemma llength-ltakeWhile-LEAST:
assumes  $\exists n < \text{llength } xs. (\text{Not} \circ P) (\text{lenth } xs \ n)$ 
shows  $(\text{llength} (\text{ltakeWhile } P \ xs)) = (\text{lleast} (\text{Not} \circ P) \ xs)$ 
using assms ltakeWhile-LEAST[of xs P] unfolding lleast-def
by (metis (no-types, lifting) dual-order.strict-trans1 enat-ord-simps(2) le-cases llength-ltake
min-def not-less-Least)

lemma llength-ltakeWhile-LEAST-not:
assumes  $\exists n < \text{llength } xs. P (\text{lenth } xs \ n)$ 
shows  $(\text{llength} (\text{ltakeWhile } (\text{Not} \circ P) \ xs)) = (\text{lleast } P \ xs)$ 
using assms llength-ltakeWhile-LEAST[of xs Not o P] unfolding lleast-def by simp

lemma lzip-ldropWhile-fst:
assumes  $\text{llength} (\text{lzip } xs \ ys) = \text{llength } xs$ 
shows  $\text{lzip} (\text{ldropWhile } P \ xs) (\text{lmap} \ \text{snd} (\text{ldropWhile } (P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs \ ys))) =$ 
 $\text{ldropWhile } (P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs \ ys)$ 
using assms
proof -
have f1:  $\text{ldropWhile } P \ xs = \text{lmap} \ \text{fst} (\text{ldropWhile } (P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs \ ys))$ 
by (metis (no-types) llength-lzip assms ldropWhile-lmap lmap-fst-lzip-conv-ltake ltake-all order-refl)
have ltake (min (llength (ldrop (llength (ltakeWhile (P o fst) (lzip xs ys)))) xs))
 $(\text{llength} (\text{ldrop} (\text{llength} (\text{ltakeWhile } (P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs \ ys)))) \ ys)) =$ 
 $(\text{ldrop} (\text{llength} (\text{ltakeWhile } (P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs \ ys)))) (\text{lzip } xs \ ys)) =$ 
 $\text{ldrop} (\text{llength} (\text{ltakeWhile } (P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs \ ys))) (\text{lzip } xs \ ys))$ 
by (simp add: ltake-all)
then show ?thesis
using f1 by (simp add: ldropWhile-eq-ldrop lmap-fst-lzip-conv-ltake lmap-snd-lzip-conv-ltake ltake-lzip)
qed

lemma lzip-ldropWhile-fst-iterates:
 $\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not} \circ P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n)) =$ 
 $\text{lzip} (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not} \circ P) \ xs) (\text{lmap} \ \text{snd} (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not} \circ P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n))))$ 
by (metis llength-lmap lmap-fst-lzip lzip-ldropWhile-fst)

lemma ldropWhile-iterates-split:
assumes  $\exists n < \text{llength } xs. P (\text{lenth } xs \ n)$ 
shows  $((\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not} \circ P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n)))) =$ 
 $(\text{lzip} (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not} \circ P) \ xs)$ 
 $(\text{iterates } \text{Suc } (n + (\text{lleast } P \ xs))))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\exists na. \text{enat } na < \text{llength} (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n)) \wedge$ 
 $(\text{Not} \circ (\text{Not} \circ P) \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lenth} (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n)) \ na)$ 
using lmap-fst-lzip[of xs n]
by (metis assms comp-apply llength-lmap lenth-lmap)
have 2:  $(\text{ldropWhile } ((\text{Not} \circ P) \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n))) =$ 
 $(\text{ldropn } (\text{LEAST } na. na < \text{llength} (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n)) \wedge$ 
 $((\text{Not} \circ (\text{Not} \circ P \circ \text{fst}))) (\text{lenth} (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n)) \ na)) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n))$ 
using 1 ldropWhile-LEAST[of (lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) (Not o P) o fst] by auto
have 3:  $(\text{ldropn } (\text{LEAST } na. na < \text{llength} (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n)) \wedge$ 

```

```

((Not o (Not o P o fst))) (lnth (lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) na)) (lzip xs (iterates Suc n))) =
(ldropn (LEAST na. na < llength(lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) ) ∧
(( (P o fst))) (lnth (lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) na)) (lzip xs (iterates Suc n)))
by auto
have 4: (ldropn (LEAST na. na < llength(lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) ) ∧
(( (P o fst))) (lnth (lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) na)) (lzip xs (iterates Suc n))) =
(lzip (ldropn (LEAST na. na < llength(lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) ) ∧
(( (P o fst))) (lnth (lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) na)) xs)
(ldropn (LEAST na. na < llength(lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) ) ∧
(( (P o fst))) (lnth (lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) na)) (iterates Suc n)))
using ldropn-lzip by blast
have 5: (ldropn (LEAST na. na < llength(lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) ) ∧
(( (P o fst))) (lnth (lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) na)) (iterates Suc n)) =
(iterates Suc (n+(LEAST na. na < llength(lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) ) ∧
(( (P o fst))) (lnth (lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) na))))
by (metis (no-types, lifting) funpow-Suc-conv ldropn-iterates)
have 6: (LEAST na. na < llength(lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) ) ∧
(( (P o fst))) (lnth (lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) na)) =
(LEAST na. na < llength(lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) ) ∧
(( (P o fst))) (lnth (xs) na, lnth (iterates Suc n) na))
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) comp-def fst-conv lmap-fst-lzip lnth-lmap)
have 7: llength(lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) = llength xs
by simp
have 8: (LEAST na. na < llength(lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) ) ∧
(( (P o fst))) (lnth (xs) na, lnth (iterates Suc n) na)) =
(LEAST na. na < llength xs ∧ P (lnth xs na) )
by auto
have 9: (lzip (ldropn (LEAST na. na < llength(lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) ) ∧
(( (P o fst))) (lnth (lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) na)) xs)
(ldropn (LEAST na. na < llength(lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) ) ∧
(( (P o fst))) (lnth (lzip xs (iterates Suc n)) na)) (iterates Suc n))) =
(lzip (ldropn (LEAST na. na < llength xs ∧ P (lnth xs na) ) xs)
(iterates Suc (n+(LEAST na. na < llength xs ∧ P (lnth xs na) ))))
using 5 6 by auto
show ?thesis unfolding lleast-def
using assms 2 3 4 9 ldropWhile-LEAST-not[of xs P] by presburger
qed

```

```

lemma kfilter-ldropWhile :
assumes ¬ lnull(kfilter P n xs)
shows kfilter P n xs =
(LCons (n+ (lleast P xs))
(lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst)
(lzip (ldrop (Suc (lleast P xs)) (xs))
(iterates Suc (Suc (n+(lleast P xs))))))))

```

proof –

```

let ?Least = (lleast P xs)
have 1: lhd(lfilter (P o fst) (lzip xs (iterates Suc n))) =
lhd (ldropWhile (Not o P o fst) (lzip xs (iterates Suc n)))
by simp

```

```

have 2:  $\text{ltl}(\text{lfilter } (P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n))) =$ 
         $(\text{lfilter } (P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{ltl } (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n))))))$ 
by (simp add: ltl-lfilter)
have 3:  $\text{lfilter } (P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n)) =$ 
         $(\text{LCons } (\text{lhd } (\text{lfilter } (P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n))))))$ 
         $(\text{ltl } (\text{lfilter } (P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n))))))$ 
by (metis assms kfilter-llength llength-eq-0 llength-lmap llist.disc(1) llist.exhaust-sel
      lmap-fst-lfilter lmap-fst-lzip)
have 4:  $\text{kfilter } P n xs =$ 
         $\text{lmap } \text{snd } (\text{lfilter } (P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n)))$ 
by (simp add: kfilter-def)
have 5:  $\text{ltl } (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n))) =$ 
         $\text{ltl}((\text{lzip } (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P) xs)$ 
         $(\text{lmap } \text{snd } (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n))))))$ 
by (metis lzip-ldropWhile-fst-iterates)
have 6:  $\text{ltl}((\text{lzip } (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P) xs)$ 
         $(\text{lmap } \text{snd } (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n)))))) =$ 
         $(\text{lzip } (\text{ltl } (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P) xs))$ 
         $(\text{ltl } (\text{lmap } \text{snd } (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n))))))$ 
using lzip-ldropWhile-fst-iterates[of P xs n]
ltl-lzip[of (ldropWhile (Not o P) xs)
        (lmap snd (ldropWhile (Not o P o fst) (lzip xs (iterates Suc n))))]
by force
have 7:  $\text{lmap } \text{snd } ($ 
         $(\text{LCons } (\text{lhd } (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n))))))$ 
         $(\text{lfilter } (P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{ltl } (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n)))))) =$ 
         $(\text{LCons } (\text{snd } (\text{lhd } (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n))))))$ 
         $(\text{lmap } \text{snd } (\text{lfilter } (P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{ltl } (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n))))))$ 
by simp
have 8:  $\exists n. \text{enat } n < \text{llength } xs \wedge P (\text{lnth } xs n)$ 
by (metis assms in-lset-conv-lnth kfilter-llength llength-eq-0 lnull-lfilter)
have 9:  $(\text{snd } (\text{lhd } (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n)))) =$ 
         $(\text{snd } (\text{lhd } (\text{lzip } (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P) xs)$ 
         $(\text{iterates } \text{Suc } (n + ?\text{Least})))))$ 
using 8 ldropWhile-iterates-split[of xs P n] by simp
have 10:  $(\text{snd } (\text{lhd } (\text{lzip } (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P) xs)$ 
         $(\text{iterates } \text{Suc } (n + ?\text{Least}))))) =$ 
         $\text{lhd } (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } (n + ?\text{Least}))$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) 3 comp-assoc iterates.disc-iff lfilter-eq-LCons lhd-lzip
      llist.disc(2) lzip.disc(1) lzip-ldropWhile-fst-iterates snd-conv)
have 11:  $\text{lhd } (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } (n + ?\text{Least})) = (n + ?\text{Least})$ 
by simp
have 12:  $\text{ltl } (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip } xs (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } n))) =$ 
         $\text{ltl } (\text{lzip } (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P) xs)$ 
         $(\text{iterates } \text{Suc } (n + ?\text{Least})))$ 
using 8 ldropWhile-iterates-split[of xs P n] by simp
have 13:  $\text{ltl } (\text{lzip } (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P) xs) (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } (n + ?\text{Least}))) =$ 
         $(\text{lzip } (\text{ltl } (\text{ldropWhile } (\text{Not } \circ P) xs)) (\text{ltl } (\text{iterates } \text{Suc } (n + ?\text{Least}))))$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) 3 comp-assoc iterates.disc-iff lfilter-eq-LCons llist.discI(2)
      ltl-lzip lzip.disc-iff(2) lzip-ldropWhile-fst-iterates)

```

```

have 14:  $(\text{ltl} (\text{iterates} \text{ Suc} (n + ?\text{Least}))) = (\text{iterates} \text{ Suc} (\text{Suc} (n + ?\text{Least})))$ 
  by simp
have 15:  $\text{ltl} (\text{ldropWhile} (\text{Not} \circ P) xs) = \text{ltl} (\text{ldrop} (\text{llength} (\text{ltakeWhile} (\text{Not} \circ P) xs)) xs)$ 
  by (simp add: ldropWhile-eq-ldrop)
have 16:  $\text{ltl} (\text{ldrop} (\text{llength} (\text{ltakeWhile} (\text{Not} \circ P) xs)) xs) =$ 
   $\text{ldrop} (\text{eSuc} (\text{llength} (\text{ltakeWhile} (\text{Not} \circ P) xs))) (xs)$ 
  by (simp add: ldrop-eSuc-conv-ltl)
have 17:  $(\text{llength} (\text{ltakeWhile} (\text{Not} \circ P) xs)) = (\text{llength} (\text{ltake} ?\text{Least} xs))$ 
  using 8 ltakeWhile-LEAST[of xs Not oP] unfolding lleast-def by auto
have 18:  $(\text{llength} (\text{ltake} ?\text{Least} xs)) = \text{enat} ?\text{Least}$ 
  using 17 8 llength-ltakeWhile-LEAST-not unfolding lleast-def by fastforce
have 19:  $\text{ldrop} (\text{eSuc} (\text{llength} (\text{ltakeWhile} (\text{Not} \circ P) xs))) (xs) = \text{ldrop} (\text{Suc} ?\text{Least}) (xs)$ 
  using 17 18 by (simp add: eSuc-enat)
have 20:  $\text{ltl} (\text{ldropWhile} (\text{Not} \circ P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{lzip} xs (\text{iterates} \text{ Suc} n))) =$ 
   $(\text{lzip} (\text{ldrop} (\text{Suc} ?\text{Least})) (xs)) (\text{iterates} \text{ Suc} (\text{Suc} (n + ?\text{Least})))$ 
  using 12 13 15 16 19 by auto
show ?thesis
using 2 3 4 10 20 9 by auto
qed

```

lemma kfilter-eq-LCons:

```

kfilter P n xs = LCons x xs'  $\implies$ 
  x = n + (lleast P xs)  $\wedge$ 
  xs' = (lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst)
    (lzip (ldrop (Suc (lleast P xs)) (xs))
      (iterates Suc (Suc (n + (lleast P xs)))))))
using kfilter-ldropWhile[of P n xs] by auto

```

lemma kfilter-eq-LCons-1:

```

kfilter P n xs = LCons x xs'  $\implies$ 
  x = (n + (lleast P xs))  $\wedge$ 
  xs' = kfilter P (Suc (n + (lleast P xs))) (ldrop (Suc (lleast P xs)) xs)
using kfilter-eq-LCons[of P n xs x xs']
  kfilter-def[of P (Suc (n + (lleast P xs)))
    (ldrop (Suc (lleast P xs)) xs)]
by auto

```

lemma kfilter-eq-conv:

```

kfilter P n xs = LNil  $\vee$ 
  kfilter P n xs =
    LCons (n + (lleast P xs)) (kfilter P (Suc (n + (lleast P xs))) (ldrop (Suc (lleast P xs)) xs))
proof (cases kfilter P n xs)
case LNil
then show ?thesis by simp
next
case (LCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis
proof -
  let ?Least = (lleast P xs)
  have 1: x21 = n + ?Least

```

```

using kfilter-eq-LCons-1[of P n xs x21 x22] by (meson LCons)
have 2: x22= (kfilter P (Suc (n+?Least)) (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs))
  using kfilter-eq-LCons-1[of P n xs x21 x22] by (meson LCons)
  show ?thesis
    by (metis 1 2 LCons)
qed
qed

lemma kfilter-lnth-zero:
  assumes ¬lnull(kfilter P n xs)
  shows lnth (kfilter P n xs) 0 = n+ (lleast P xs)
  using assms
  by (metis kfilter-eq-LCons-1 lhd-LCons-ltl lnth-0-conv-lhd)

lemma length-LEAST-a:
  assumes ¬lnull(kfilter P n xs)
  shows lleast P xs < llength xs
  using assms exist-lset-lnth[of xs P] kfilter-not-lnull-conv[of P n xs]
  llength-LEAST[of xs P] by blast

lemma kfilter-upperbound:
  assumes i < llength(kfilter P n xs)
  shows (lnth (kfilter P n xs) i) < n + llength xs
  proof (cases lfinite (kfilter P n xs))
    case True
    then show ?thesis using assms
    proof (induct zs≡kfilter P n xs arbitrary: xs n i rule: lfinite-induct)
      case (LNil xs)
      then show ?case
      using gr-implies-not-zero llength-lnull by blast
      next
      case (LCons xs)
      then show ?case
      proof -
        let ?Least = (lleast P xs)
        have 1: ∃ x xs'. kfilter P n xs = LCons x xs'
          using LCons.hyps(2) kfilter-ldrop While by blast
        obtain x xs' where 2:kfilter P n xs = LCons x xs'
          using 1 by auto
        have 3: x = n+?Least
          using kfilter-ldrop While[of P n xs] by (simp add: 2)
        have 4: i=0 ⇒ (lnth (kfilter P n xs) i) = x
          by (simp add: 2)
        have 5: enat n+ enat ?Least < enat n + llength xs
          using length-LEAST-a[of P n xs] LCons.hyps(2) enat-add-mono by blast
        have 6: i=0 ⇒ enat (lnth (kfilter P n xs) i) < enat n + llength xs
          using 3 4 5 by auto
        have 7: xs' = kfilter P (Suc (n+?Least)) (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs)
          using kfilter-ldrop While[of P n xs] 2 kfilter-eq-LCons-1 by blast
        have 8: i>0 ⇒ enat (lnth (kfilter P n xs) i) = enat (lnth xs' (i-1))
          by (metis 7 8)
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

by (simp add: 2 lnth-LCons')
have 9:  $i > 0 \wedge \text{lnull } xs' \implies \text{enat}(\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P n xs) i) < \text{enat } n + \text{llength } xs$ 
  by (metis 2 LCons.preds(1) One-nat-def enat-ord-simps(2) llength-LCons llength-LNil
    llist-collapse(1) not-less-eq one-eSuc one-enat-def)
have 10:  $i > 0 \wedge \neg \text{lnull } xs' \implies (i - 1) < \text{llength } xs'$ 
  using 2 LCons.preds(1) Suc-ile-eq by fastforce
have 11:  $i > 0 \wedge \neg \text{lnull } xs' \implies$ 
   $\text{enat}(\text{lnth } xs' (i - 1)) < \text{enat}(\text{Suc } (n + ?Least)) + \text{llength}(\text{ldrop}(\text{Suc } ?Least) xs)$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 10 2 7 LCons.hyps(3) ltl-simps(2))
have 111:  $\text{lappend}(\text{ltake}(\text{Suc } (?Least)) xs)(\text{ldrop}(\text{Suc } (?Least)) xs) = xs$ 
  using lappend-ltake-ldrop by blast
have 112:  $\text{enat}(\text{Suc } (n + ?Least)) = n + (\text{Suc } ?Least)$ 
  by auto
have 113:  $\text{Suc } ?Least \leq (\text{llength } xs)$ 
  using 5 Suc-ile-eq enat-add-mono by blast
have 114:  $\text{llength}(\text{ltake}(\text{Suc } (?Least)) xs) = \text{Suc } ?Least$ 
  using llength-ltake[of (\text{Suc } (?Least)) xs] 113 by linarith
have 12:  $\text{enat}(\text{Suc } (n + ?Least)) + \text{llength}(\text{ldrop}(\text{Suc } ?Least) xs) \leq \text{enat } n + \text{llength } xs$ 
  using llength-lappend[of (\text{ltake}(\text{Suc } (?Least)) xs)(\text{ldrop}(\text{Suc } (?Least)) xs)]
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 111 112 114 eq-refl group-cancel.add1 plus-enat-simps(1))
have 14:  $i > 0 \wedge \neg \text{lnull } xs' \implies \text{enat}(\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P n xs) i) < \text{enat } n + \text{llength } xs$ 
  using 11 12 8 by auto
have 15:  $i > 0 \implies \text{enat}(\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P n xs) i) < \text{enat } n + \text{llength } xs$ 
  using 14 9 dual-order.strict-implies-order by blast
show ?thesis
  using 15 6 by blast
qed
qed
next
case False
then show ?thesis
by (metis enat-ord-simps(4) iadd-le-enat-iff kfilter-llength leD leI llength-eq-enat-lfiniteD
  llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite llength-lfilter-ile)
qed

lemma kfilter-lowerbound:
assumes  $i < \text{llength}(\text{kfilter } P n xs)$ 
shows  $n \leq (\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P n xs) i)$ 
using assms
proof (induct i arbitrary: xs n)
case 0
then show ?case
using kfilter-ldrop While zero-enat-def by fastforce
next
case (Suc i)
then show ?case
proof -
  let ?Least = lleast P xs
  have 7:  $\exists x xs'. (\text{kfilter } P n xs) = \text{LCons } x xs'$ 
    using Suc.preds gr-implies-not-zero kfilter-ldrop While llength-lnull by blast

```

```

obtain x xs' where 8: (kfilter P n xs) = LCons x xs'
  using 7 by auto
have 9: lnth (kfilter P n xs) (Suc i) = lnth xs' i
  by (simp add: 8)
have 10: xs' = kfilter P (Suc (n+?Least)) (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs)
  using kfilter-ldropWhile[of P n xs] 8 kfilter-eq-LCons-1 by blast
have 11: enat i < llength (kfilter P (Suc (n+?Least)) (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs))
  by (metis 10 8 Extended-Nat.eSuc-mono Suc.prem(1) eSuc-enat llength-LCons)
have 12: lnull xs' ==> n ≤ lnth (kfilter P n xs) (Suc i)
  using 10 11 gr-implies-not-zero llength-eq-0 by blast
have 13: ¬lnull xs' ==> (Suc (n+?Least)) ≤ lnth xs' i
  using 10 11 Suc.hyps by blast
have 14: ¬lnull xs' ==> n ≤ lnth (kfilter P n xs) (Suc i)
  using 13 9 by linarith
show ?thesis using 12 14 by blast
qed
qed

```

```

lemma kfilter-mono:
assumes (Suc i) < llength(kfilter P n xs)
shows (lnth (kfilter P n xs) i) < (lnth (kfilter P n xs) (Suc i))
using assms
proof (induct i arbitrary: xs n)
case 0
then show ?case
proof -
let ?Least = lleast P xs
have 1: ∃ x xs'. kfilter P n xs = LCons x xs'
  using 0.prem gr-implies-not-zero kfilter-ldropWhile llength-lnull by blast
obtain x xs' where 2:kfilter P n xs = LCons x xs'
  using 1 by auto
have 3: x = n+?Least
  using kfilter-ldropWhile[of P n xs] by (simp add: 2)
have 4: lnth (kfilter P n xs) 0 = n+?Least
  by (simp add: 2 3)
have 5: lnth (kfilter P n xs) (Suc 0) = lnth xs' 0
  by (simp add: 2)
have 6: xs' = kfilter P (Suc (n+?Least)) (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs)
  using kfilter-ldropWhile[of P n xs] 2 kfilter-eq-LCons-1 by blast
have 7: n+?Least < lnth xs' 0
  by (metis 0.prem 2 6 Extended-Nat.eSuc-mono One-nat-def Suc-le-lessD kfilter-lowerbound
      llength-LCons one-eSuc one-enat-def zero-enat-def)
show ?thesis by (simp add: 4 5 7)
qed
next
case (Suc i)
then show ?case
proof -
let ?Least = lleast P xs
have 8: ∃ x xs'. kfilter P n xs = LCons x xs'

```

```

using Suc.prems gr-implies-not-zero kfilter-ldropWhile llength-lnull by blast
obtain x xs' where 9:kfilter P n xs = LCons x xs'
  using 8 by auto
have 10: xs' = kfilter P (Suc (n+?Least)) (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs)
  using kfilter-ldropWhile[of P n xs] 9 kfilter-eq-LCons-1 by blast
have 11: lnth (kfilter P n xs) (Suc (Suc i)) = lnth xs' (Suc i)
  by (simp add: 9)
have 12: lnth (kfilter P n xs) (Suc i) < lnth xs' (Suc i)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 10 9 Extended-Nat.eSuc-mono Suc(1) Suc(2) eSuc-enat
      llength-LCons lnth-Suc-LCons)
show ?thesis by (simp add: 11 12)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma lfilter-kfilter:
assumes i < llength(kfilter P n xs)
shows (lnth xs ((lnth (kfilter P n xs) i) - n)) = (lnth (lfilter P xs) i)
using assms
proof (induct i arbitrary: xs n)
case 0
then show ?case
proof -
let ?Least = lleast P xs
have 1: lnth (kfilter P n xs) 0 = n + ?Least
using 0.prems kfilter-ldropWhile zero-enat-def by fastforce
have 2: (lnth xs ((lnth (kfilter P n xs) 0) - n)) =
  (lnth xs ?Least)
  by (simp add: 1)
have 3: (lnth (lfilter P xs) 0) = lhd (ldropWhile (Not o P) xs)
  by (metis (full-types) 0.prems kfilter-llength lhd-conv-lnth lhd-lfilter llength-eq-0 not-iless0)
have 4: ∃ n < llength xs. P (lnth xs n)
  by (metis 0.prems dual-order.irrefl in-lset-conv-lnth kfilter-llength llength-eq-0 lnull-lfilter
      zero-enat-def)
have 5: (ldropWhile (Not o P) xs) = ldropn ?Least xs
  using ldropWhile-LEAST-not[of xs P] 4 unfolding lleast-def by blast
have 6: lhd (ldropn ?Least xs) = (lnth xs ?Least)
  by (simp add: 4 lhd-ldropn llength-LEAST)
show ?thesis using 2 3 5 6 by auto
qed
next
case (Suc i)
then show ?case
proof -
let ?Least = lleast P xs
have 7: ∃ x xs'. (kfilter P n xs) = LCons x xs'
  using Suc.prems gr-implies-not-zero kfilter-ldropWhile llength-lnull by blast
obtain x xs' where 8: (kfilter P n xs) = LCons x xs'
  using 7 by auto
have 9: lnth (kfilter P n xs) (Suc i) = lnth xs' i
  by (simp add: 8)

```

```

have 10:  $xs' = kfilter P (\text{Suc } (n + ?Least)) (ldrop (\text{Suc } ?Least) xs)$ 
  using  $kfilter-ldropWhile[\text{of } P n xs]$  by (simp add: 8 kfilter-eq-LCons-1)
have 11:  $\text{enat } i < llength (kfilter P (\text{Suc } (n + ?Least)) (ldrop (\text{Suc } ?Least) xs))$ 
  by (metis 10 8 Extended-Nat.eSuc-mono Suc.prems(1) eSuc-enat llength-LCons)
have 12:  $\text{lnull } xs' \implies$ 
   $\text{lnth } xs (\text{lnth } (kfilter P n xs) (\text{Suc } i) - n) = \text{lnth } (lfilter P xs) (\text{Suc } i)$ 
  by (metis 10 11 llength-LNil llist.collapse(1) not-less-zero)
have 13:  $\neg \text{lnull } xs' \implies$ 
   $\text{lnth } (ldrop (\text{Suc } ?Least) xs) (\text{lnth } xs' i - (\text{Suc } (n + ?Least))) =$ 
   $\text{lnth } (lfilter P (ldrop (\text{Suc } ?Least) xs)) i$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 10 11 Suc.hyps)
have 14:  $(\text{lnth } (lfilter P xs) (\text{Suc } i)) = (\text{lnth } (\text{ltl } (lfilter P xs)) i)$ 
  by (metis 8 kfilter-not-lnull-conv llist.disc(2) lnth-ltl lnull-lfilter)
have 15:  $(\text{ltl } (lfilter P xs)) = lfilter P (\text{ltl } (ldropWhile (\text{Not } \circ P) xs))$ 
  using ltl-lfilter by blast
have 16:  $(\text{ltl } (ldropWhile (\text{Not } \circ P) xs)) =$ 
   $(\text{ltl } (\text{ldropn } (\text{LEAST } n. n < llength xs \wedge (\text{Not } \circ (\text{Not } \circ P)) (\text{lnth } xs n) ) xs))$ 
  using ldropWhile-LEAST[of xs Not o P]
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 8 comp-apply in-lset-conv-lnth kfilter-lnull-conv llist.disc(2))
have 17:  $(\text{ltl } (\text{ldropn } (\text{LEAST } n. n < llength xs \wedge (\text{Not } \circ (\text{Not } \circ P)) (\text{lnth } xs n) ) xs)) =$ 
   $(\text{ltl } (\text{ldropn } ?Least xs))$ 
  unfolding lleast-def by auto
have 18:  $(\text{ltl } (\text{ldropn } ?Least xs)) =$ 
   $\text{ldropn } (\text{Suc } ?Least) xs$ 
  by (simp add: ldropn-ltl ltl-ldropn)
have 19:  $\text{ldropn } (\text{Suc } ?Least) xs =$ 
   $\text{ldrop } (\text{Suc } ?Least) xs$ 
  by (simp add: ldrop-enat)
have 20:  $\text{lnth } (lfilter P xs) (\text{Suc } i) = \text{lnth } (lfilter P (\text{ldrop } (\text{Suc } ?Least) xs)) i$ 
  using 14 15 16 18 19 unfolding lleast-def by auto
have 21:  $\text{lnth } xs (\text{lnth } (kfilter P n xs) (\text{Suc } i) - n) = \text{lnth } xs (\text{lnth } xs' i - n)$ 
  by (simp add: 10 9)
have 22:  $n \leq \text{lnth } xs' i$ 
  using 9 Suc.prems(1) kfilter-lowerbound by fastforce
have 23:  $(\text{Suc } (n + ?Least)) \leq \text{lnth } (kfilter P (\text{Suc } (n + ?Least)) (ldrop (\text{Suc } ?Least) xs)) i$ 
  using kfilter-lowerbound[of i P Suc (n + ?Least) (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs)] 11 by force
have 24:  $(\text{Suc } ?Least) > llength (\text{ltake } ?Least xs)$ 
  by (simp add: min.strict-coboundedI1)
have 25:  $(\text{ldrop } (\text{Suc } ?Least) (\text{lappend } (\text{ltake } ?Least xs) (\text{ldrop } ?Least xs))) =$ 
   $\text{ldrop } ((\text{Suc } ?Least) - llength(\text{ltake } ?Least xs)) (\text{ldrop } ?Least xs)$ 
  by (simp add: ldrop-lappend)
have 26:  $\text{lappend } (\text{ltake } (\text{Suc } ?Least) xs) (\text{ldrop } (\text{Suc } ?Least) xs) = xs$ 
  by (simp add: lappend-ltake-ldrop)
have 27:  $(\text{Suc } ?Least) \leq (\text{lnth } xs' i - n)$ 
  using 10 23 by auto
have 271:  $\text{lnth } xs' i - n - (\text{Suc } ?Least) = \text{lnth } xs' i - (\text{Suc } (n + ?Least))$ 
  by auto
have 28:  $\text{lnth } (\text{lappend } (\text{ltake } (\text{Suc } ?Least) xs) (\text{ldrop } (\text{Suc } ?Least) xs)) (\text{lnth } xs' i - n) =$ 
   $\text{lnth } (\text{ldrop } (\text{Suc } ?Least) xs) (\text{lnth } xs' i - (\text{Suc } (n + ?Least)))$ 
  using lnth-lappend[of (ltake (Suc ?Least) xs) (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs) (lnth xs' i - n)]

```

```

27 271 11 19
by (metis (no-types, lifting) gr-implies-not-zero kfilter-LNil ldropn-eq-LNil
    le-cases llength-lnull llength-ltake llist.disc(1) lnth-lappend2 min-def)
have 29: lnth xs (lnth xs' i - n) =
    lnth (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs) (lnth xs' i - (Suc (n+?Least)))
using 28 by (metis (no-types, lifting) 26)
have 30: ¬lnull xs' ==>
    lnth xs (lnth (kfilter P n xs) (Suc i) - n) = lnth (lfilter P xs) (Suc i)
by (metis 13 20 21 29)
show ?thesis
using 12 30 by blast
qed
qed

lemma in-kfilter-lset:
shows x ∈ lset (kfilter P n xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  x ∈ { n+i | i. i < llength xs ∧ P (lnth xs i) }
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof
assume ?lhs
thus ?rhs
proof (induct zs≡kfilter P n xs arbitrary: n xs rule:llist-set-induct)
case (find)
then show ?case
proof -
    let ?Least = lleast P xs
    have 1: lhd (kfilter P n xs) = n+?Least
        using kfilter-ldropWhile[of P n xs] find by auto
    have 2: P (lnth xs ?Least) unfolding lleast-def
        by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) LeastI exist-lset-lnth find.hyps kfilter-lnull-conv)
    have 3: ?Least < llength xs
        by (metis find.hyps length-LEAST-a )
    have 4: n+?Least ∈ { n + i | i. enat i < llength xs ∧ P (lnth xs i) }
        using 2 3 by blast
    show ?thesis using 1 4 by auto
qed
next
case (step y)
then show ?case
proof -
    let ?Least = lleast P xs
    have 5: ltl (kfilter P n xs) = kfilter P (Suc (n+?Least)) (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs)
        using kfilter-ldropWhile[of P n xs]
        by (metis kfilter-def ltl-simps(2) step.hyps(1))
    have 6: lnull (kfilter P (Suc (n+?Least)) (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs)) ==>
        y ∈ { n + i | i. enat i < llength xs ∧ P (lnth xs i) }
        by (metis 5 gr-implies-not-zero in-lset-conv-lnth llength-eq-0 step.hyps(2))
    have 7: ¬ lnull (kfilter P (Suc (n+?Least)) (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs)) ==>
        y ∈ { (Suc (n+?Least)) + i | i. enat i < llength (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs) ∧
            P (lnth (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs) i) }
        using step.hyps using 5 by blast

```

```

have 8: (Suc (n+?Least)) = n + Suc ?Least
  by auto
have 9:  $\neg \text{lnull}(\text{kfilter } P (\text{Suc } (n + ?Least)) (\text{ldrop } (\text{enat } (\text{Suc } ?Least)) xs)) \implies$ 
 $\exists i. y = n + \text{Suc } (?Least) + i \wedge \text{enat } i < \text{llength } (\text{ldrop } (\text{enat } (\text{Suc } ?Least)) xs) \wedge$ 
 $P (\text{lenth } (\text{ldrop } (\text{enat } (\text{Suc } ?Least)) xs) i) \implies$ 
 $\exists i. y = n + i \wedge \text{enat } i < \text{llength } xs \wedge P (\text{lenth } xs i)$ 
proof -
  assume a0:  $\neg \text{lnull}(\text{kfilter } P (\text{Suc } (n + ?Least)) (\text{ldrop } (\text{enat } (\text{Suc } ?Least)) xs))$ 
  assume a1:  $\exists i. y = n + \text{Suc } (?Least) + i \wedge \text{enat } i < \text{llength } (\text{ldrop } (\text{enat } (\text{Suc } ?Least)) xs) \wedge$ 
 $P (\text{lenth } (\text{ldrop } (\text{enat } (\text{Suc } ?Least)) xs) i)$ 
  show  $\exists i. y = n + i \wedge \text{enat } i < \text{llength } xs \wedge P (\text{lenth } xs i)$ 
  proof -
    obtain i where 10:  $y = n + \text{Suc } (?Least) + i \wedge \text{enat } i < \text{llength } (\text{ldrop } (\text{enat } (\text{Suc } ?Least)) xs) \wedge$ 
 $P (\text{lenth } (\text{ldrop } (\text{enat } (\text{Suc } ?Least)) xs) i)$ 
      using a1 by auto
    have 11:  $\text{Suc } (?Least) + i < \text{llength } xs$ 
      by (metis 10 add.commute ldrop-enat ldrop-ldrop leD le-less-linear lnull-ldropn
          plus-enat-simps(1))
    have 12:  $P (\text{lenth } xs (\text{Suc } (?Least) + i))$ 
      by (metis 10 11 add.commute ldrop-enat lenth-ldropn)
    show ?thesis
      using 10 11 12 ab-semigroup-add-class.add-ac(1) by blast
    qed
  qed
  have 13:  $\neg \text{lnull } (\text{kfilter } P (\text{Suc } (n + ?Least)) (\text{ldrop } (\text{Suc } ?Least) xs)) \implies$ 
 $y \in \{n + i \mid i. \text{enat } i < \text{llength } xs \wedge P (\text{lenth } xs i)\}$ 
  using 7 9 by auto
  show ?thesis
    using 13 6 by blast
  qed
qed
next
assume ?rhs
then obtain i where 15:  $x = n + i \wedge \text{enat } i < \text{llength } xs \wedge P (\text{lenth } xs i)$ 
  by blast
thus ?lhs
  proof (induct i arbitrary: xs n)
    case 0
    then show ?case
    proof -
      have 16:  $\text{lhd } (\text{kfilter } P n xs) = n + (\text{lleast } P xs)$ 
        using kfilter-ldropWhile[of P n xs] using 0.prems
        by (metis in-lset-conv-lnth kfilter-lnull-conv lhd-LCons)
      show ?thesis
        by (metis 0.prems add.right-neutral kfilter-LCons ldropn-0 ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn
            llist.set-intros(1))
    qed
  next
  case (?Suc i)
  then show ?case

```

```

proof -
have 18:  $\text{lnull } xs \implies x \in \text{lset}(\text{kfilter } P \ n \ xs)$ 
  using Suc(2) by auto
have 19:  $\neg \text{lnull } xs \implies P(\text{lnth } xs (\text{Suc } i)) = P(\text{lnth } (\text{ltl } xs) (i))$ 
  by (simp add: lnth-ltl)
have 20:  $\neg \text{lnull } xs \implies x = (\text{Suc } n) + i \wedge \text{enat } i < \text{llength } (\text{ltl } xs) \wedge P(\text{lnth } (\text{ltl } xs) (i))$ 
  by (metis 19 Extended-Nat.eSuc-mono Suc.prems(1) add-Suc-shift eSuc-enat lhd-LCons-ltl
    llength-LCons)
have 21:  $\neg \text{lnull } xs \implies \text{lnull } (\text{kfilter } P \ n \ (\text{ltl } xs)) \implies x \in \text{lset}(\text{kfilter } P \ n \ xs)$ 
  by (metis 20 in-lset-conv-lnth kfilter-lnull-conv)
have 22:  $\neg \text{lnull } xs \implies \neg \text{lnull } (\text{kfilter } P \ n \ (\text{ltl } xs)) \implies x \in \text{lset}(\text{kfilter } P (\text{Suc } n) (\text{ltl } xs))$ 
  by (metis 20 Suc.hyps)
have 23:  $\neg \text{lnull } xs \implies x \in \text{lset}(\text{kfilter } P \ n \ xs)$ 
  using kfilter-LCons[of P n lhd xs ltl xs]
    in-lset-ltlD lhd-LCons-ltl[of (kfilter P n (ltl xs))]
  using 21 22 by fastforce
show ?thesis
  using 18 23 by blast
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma kfilter-lset:
  shows  $\text{lset}(\text{kfilter } P \ n \ xs) = \{ n+i \mid i. i < \text{llength } xs \wedge P(\text{lnth } xs \ i) \}$ 
  using in-kfilter-lset by blast

```

```

lemma lfilter-lnth-exist:
  assumes
     $i < \text{llength } (\text{lfilter } P \ xs)$ 
  shows  $(\exists k < \text{llength } xs. \text{lnth } (\text{lfilter } P \ xs) \ i = \text{lnth } xs \ k)$ 
  using assms lset-lfilter[of P xs]
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) add.left-neutral diff-zero kfilter-llength kfilter-upperbound
    lfilter-kfilter zero-enat-def)

```

```

lemma ldistinct-kfilter:
  ldistinct(kfilter P n xs)
proof (coinduction arbitrary: n xs)
case (ldistinct n1 xs1)
then show ?case
proof -
  have 1:  $\text{lhd } (\text{kfilter } P \ n1 \ xs1) \notin \text{lset}(\text{ltl } (\text{kfilter } P \ n1 \ xs1))$ 
proof -
  have f1:  $\text{kfilter } P \ n1 \ xs1 =$ 
    LCons (n1 + lleast P xs1)
    (lmap snd
      (lfilter (P o fst)
        (lzip
          (ldrop (enat (Suc (lleast P xs1))) xs1)
          (iterates Suc (Suc (n1 + lleast P xs1)))))))
  by (meson kfilter-ldropWhile ldistinct)

```

```

then have lmap snd
  (lfilter (P o fst)
    (lzip (ldrop (enat (Suc (lleast P xs1))) xs1)
      (iterates Suc (Suc (n1 + lleast P xs1))))) =
   kfilter P (Suc (n1 + lleast P xs1)) (ldrop (enat (Suc (lleast P xs1))) xs1)
using kfilter-eq-LCons-1 by blast
then show ?thesis
using f1 by (metis (no-types) in-lset-conv-lnth kfilter-lowerbound leD lessI lhd-LCons ltl-simps(2))
qed

have 2: (( $\exists n \text{ xs. } ltl(kfilter P n1 \text{ xs1}) = kfilter P n \text{ xs}) \vee ldistinct(ltl(kfilter P n1 \text{ xs1}))$ )
  by (metis kfilter-eq-LCons-1 ldistinct llist.discI(1) llist.exhaustsel)
show ?thesis
  using 1 2 by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma kfilter-llength-ltake:
  llength(kfilter P n (ltake k xs))  $\leq$  llength(kfilter P n xs)
by (simp add: kfilter-llength lprefix-lfilterI lprefix-llength-le)

lemma kfilter-ldropn-lset:
assumes k < llength xs
shows lset(kfilter P n (ldropn k xs)) =
  { $n+i \mid i. i < llength \text{ xs} - k \wedge P(\lnth \text{ xs} (k+i))$ }
using assms
kfilter-lset[of P n (ldropn k xs) ]
by auto
  (metis (no-types, lifting) add.commute enat-min lnth-ldropn order.strict-implies-order
plus-enat-simps(1),
metis (no-types, lifting) add.commute dual-order.strict-implies-order enat-min lnth-ldropn
plus-enat-simps(1))


```

```

lemma kfilter-ldropn-lset-a:
assumes k < llength xs
shows lset(kfilter P n (ldropn k xs)) =
  { $n+(i-k) \mid i. k \leq i \wedge i < llength \text{ xs} \wedge P(\lnth \text{ xs} i)$ }
proof -
have 1:  $\bigwedge x. x \in \{n+i \mid i. i < llength \text{ xs} - k \wedge P(\lnth \text{ xs} (k+i))\} \longleftrightarrow$ 
   $x \in \{n+(i-k) \mid i. k \leq i \wedge i < llength \text{ xs} \wedge P(\lnth \text{ xs} i)\}$ 
proof auto
  show  $\bigwedge i. \text{enat } i < llength \text{ xs} - \text{enat } k \implies$ 
    P (lnth xs (k + i))  $\implies$ 
     $\exists ia. i = ia - k \wedge k \leq ia \wedge \text{enat } ia < llength \text{ xs} \wedge P(\lnth \text{ xs} ia)$ 
  using assms
  by (metis add.commute add-diff-cancel-left' enat-min le-add1 less-imp-le plus-enat-simps(1))
  show  $\bigwedge i. k \leq i \implies$ 
    enat i < llength xs  $\implies$ 
    P (lnth xs i)  $\implies$ 
     $\exists ia. n + i - k = n + ia \wedge \text{enat } ia < llength \text{ xs} - \text{enat } k \wedge P(\lnth \text{ xs} (k + ia))$ 

```

```

using assms ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn[of - xs] ldropn-eq-LConsD[of - ldropn k xs]
      ldropn-ldropn[of - - xs]
by (metis Nat.add-diff-assoc le-add-diff-inverse le-add-diff-inverse2 llength-ldropn)
qed

show ?thesis using assms 1 kfilter-ldropn-lset[of k xs P n] by auto
qed

lemma kfilter-ldropn-lset-b:
assumes k < llength xs
shows lset(kfilter P n (ldropn k xs)) =
{ n+i | i. i < llength xs - k ∧ P (lnth xs (i+k)) }
proof -
have 1: ∀x. x ∈ { n+i | i. i < llength xs - k ∧ P (lnth xs (k+i)) } ↔
         x ∈ { n+i | i. i < llength xs - k ∧ P (lnth xs (i+k)) }
  by (auto simp add: add.commute)
show ?thesis using assms 1 kfilter-ldropn-lset[of k xs P n] by auto
qed

lemma kfilter-llength-n-zero:
shows llength(kfilter P n xs) = llength(kfilter P 0 xs)
by (simp add: kfilter-llength)

lemma kfilter-lnth-n-zero-a:
assumes k < llength (kfilter P n xs)
shows n ≤ (lnth (kfilter P n xs) k)
using assms by (simp add: kfilter-lnull-conv kfilter-lowerbound)

lemma kfilter-lnth-n-zero:
assumes k < llength (kfilter P n xs)
shows (lnth (kfilter P n xs) k) - n = (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k)
using assms
proof (induct k arbitrary: xs n)
case 0
then show ?case by (cases (kfilter P n xs))
  (simp,
   metis add.left-neutral add-left-cancel kfilter-ldropWhile kfilter-lnull-conv kfilter-lowerbound
   le-add-diff-inverse llength-eq-0 lnth-0 not-gr-zero zero-enat-def)
next
case (Suc k)
then show ?case
proof -
have 1: lnull(kfilter P n xs) ⟹ lnth (kfilter P n xs) (Suc k) - n = lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) (Suc k)
  using Suc.preds gr-implies-not-zero llength-lnull by blast
have 2: ¬lnull(kfilter P n xs) ⟹ lnth (kfilter P n xs) (Suc k) - n = lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) (Suc k)
  proof -
assume a0: ¬lnull(kfilter P n xs)
show lnth (kfilter P n xs) (Suc k) - n = lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) (Suc k)
  proof -
let ?Least = lleast P xs
have 3: ∃ x xs'. (kfilter P n xs) = LCons x xs'
  
```

```

using a0 kfilter-ldropWhile by blast
obtain x xs' where 4: (kfilter P n xs) = LCons x xs'
  using 3 by auto
have 5: x = n+?Least
  using kfilter-ldropWhile[of P n xs]
  by (simp add: 4)
have 6: ¬lnull(kfilter P n xs) ↔¬lnull(kfilter P 0 xs)
  by (simp add: kfilter-not-lnull-conv)
have 7: ∃ y ys'.(kfilter P 0 xs) = LCons y ys'
  using 6 a0 kfilter-ldropWhile by blast
obtain y ys' where 8: (kfilter P 0 xs) = LCons y ys'
  using 7 by auto
have 9: y = ?Least
  using kfilter-ldropWhile[of P 0 xs] by (simp add: 8)
have 10: x-n = y
  using 5 9 diff-add-inverse by blast
have 11: xs' = kfilter P (Suc (n+?Least)) (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs)
  using kfilter-ldropWhile[of P n xs]
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 4 a0 kfilter-def ltl-simps(2))
have 12: ys' = kfilter P (Suc (0+?Least)) (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs)
  using kfilter-ldropWhile[of P 0 xs]
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 6 8 a0 kfilter-def ltl-simps(2))
have 13: lnth (kfilter P n xs) (Suc k) - n = lnth xs' k - n
  by (simp add: 4)
have 14: lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) (Suc k) = lnth ys' k
  by (simp add: 8)
have 15: ¬(∃ x ∈ lset (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs). P x) ==>
  lnth (kfilter P n xs) (Suc k) - n = lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) (Suc k)
  using 8 Suc by auto
  (metis (full-types) gr-implies-not-zero kfilter-eq-conv kfilter-not-lnull-conv
   ldropn-Suc-LCons ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn ldropn-eq-LConsD llength-LNil llist.disc(2))
have 16: enat k < llength (kfilter P (Suc (n+?Least)) (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 12 8 Extended-Nat.eSuc-mono Suc.prems eSuc-enat
       kfilter-llength llength-LCons)
have 17: (∃ x ∈ lset (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs). P x) ==>
  lnth xs' k - (Suc (n+?Least)) = lnth (kfilter P 0 (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs)) k
  using Suc.hyps using 11 16 by blast
have 18: enat k < llength (kfilter P (Suc (?Least)) (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs))
  by (metis 16 kfilter-llength)
have 19: (∃ x ∈ lset (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs). P x) ==>
  lnth ys' k - (Suc (0+?Least)) =
  lnth (kfilter P 0 (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs)) k
  using Suc.hyps by (simp add: 12 18)
have 20: (∃ x ∈ lset (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs). P x) ==>
  lnth (kfilter P n xs) (Suc k) - n = lnth xs' k - n
  using 13 by blast
have 21: (∃ x ∈ lset (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs). P x) ==>
  lnth xs' k - n = lnth (kfilter P 0 (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs)) k + (Suc (?Least))
  using 11 16 17 kfilter-lowerbound by fastforce
have 22: (∃ x ∈ lset (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs). P x) ==>

```

```

lnth (kfilter P 0 (ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs)) k + (Suc (?Least)) = lnth ys' k
using 12 18 19 kfilter-lowerbound by fastforce
have 23: ( $\exists x \in lset( ldrop (Suc ?Least) xs). P x$ )  $\implies$ 
    lnth (kfilter P n xs) (Suc k) - n = lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) (Suc k)
using 14 20 21 22 by linarith
show ?thesis
using 15 23 by blast
qed
qed
show ?thesis
using 1 2 by blast
qed
qed

```

lemma kfilter-n-zero:

shows (kfilter P n xs) = lmap ($\lambda i. i+n$) (kfilter P 0 xs)

proof –

have 1: llength(kfilter P n xs) = llength (lmap ($\lambda i. i+n$) (kfilter P 0 xs))
 by (simp add: kfilter-llength)

have 2: $\bigwedge k. k < llength(kfilter P n xs) \longrightarrow$
 lnth (kfilter P n xs) k = lnth (lmap ($\lambda i. i+n$) (kfilter P 0 xs)) k
 using kfilter-lnth-n-zero kfilter-lnth-n-zero-a
 by (metis 1 le-add-diff-inverse2 llength-lmap lnth-lmap)
 show ?thesis
 by (simp add: 1 2 llist-eq-lnth-eq)
qed

lemma kfilter-n-zero-a:

shows (kfilter P 0 xs) = lmap ($\lambda i. i-n$) (kfilter P n xs)

proof –

have 1: llength(kfilter P 0 xs) = llength (lmap ($\lambda i. i-n$) (kfilter P n xs))
 by (simp add: kfilter-llength)

have 2: $\bigwedge k. k < llength(kfilter P 0 xs) \longrightarrow$
 lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k = lnth (lmap ($\lambda i. i-n$) (kfilter P n xs)) k
 by (simp add: kfilter-llength kfilter-lnth-n-zero)
 show ?thesis
 using 1 2 llist-eq-lnth-eq by blast
qed

lemma kfilter-holds:

assumes $y \in lset(kfilter P n xs)$

shows $P (lnth xs (y-n))$

using assms in-kfilter-lset[of y P n xs] kfilter-lnull-conv[of P n xs]

using lset-lnull by fastforce

lemma kfilter-holds-not:

assumes $y \in (\{i+n \mid i. i < llength xs\} - (lset (kfilter P n xs)))$

shows $\neg P (lnth xs (y-n))$

using assms kfilter-lset[of P n xs] kfilter-lnull-conv[of P n xs]

by auto

```

lemma kfilter-holds-a:
  assumes i < llength xs
     $(i+n) \in lset(kfilter P n xs)$ 
  shows  $P(\lnth{xs}{i})$ 
using assms kfilter-holds[of  $i+n P n xs$ ] by simp

lemma kfilter-holds-not-a:
  assumes i < llength xs
     $P(\lnth{xs}{i})$ 
  shows  $(i+n) \notin lset(kfilter P n xs)$ 
using assms
by (simp add: in-kfilter-lset kfilter-lnull-conv)

lemma kfilter-holds-b:
  assumes i < llength xs
  shows  $(i+n) \in lset(kfilter P n xs) = P(\lnth{xs}{i})$ 
using assms
by (meson kfilter-holds-a kfilter-holds-not-a)

lemma kfilter-holds-c:
  assumes n ≤ i
     $i - n < llength xs$ 
  shows  $i \in lset(kfilter P n xs) = P(\lnth{xs}{(i-n)})$ 
using assms
by (metis diff-add idiff-enat-enat kfilter-holds kfilter-holds-not-a)

lemma kfilter-holds-not-b:
  assumes n ≤ i
     $i - n < llength xs$ 
  shows  $i \notin lset(kfilter P n xs) = (\neg P(\lnth{xs}{(i-n)}))$ 
using assms by (simp add: kfilter-holds-c)

lemma kfilter-disjoint-lset-coset:
  shows  $(\{i+n \mid i < llength xs\} - (lset(kfilter P n xs))) \cap lset(kfilter P n xs) = \{\}$ 
by blast

lemma lidx-kfilter-expand:
  assumes  $(Suc na) < llength(kfilter P n xs)$ 
  shows  $\lnth(kfilter P n xs) na < \lnth(kfilter P n xs) (Suc na)$ 
using assms kfilter-mono by force

lemma lidx-kfilter:
  shows lidx (kfilter P n xs)
unfolding lidx-def
using lidx-kfilter-expand by blast

lemma lidx-kfilter-gr-eq:
  assumes
     $k \leq j$ 

```

```

 $j < \text{llength}(\text{kfilter } P \ n \ xs)$ 
shows  $\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ n \ xs) \ k \leq \text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ n \ xs) \ j$ 
using assms
using lidx-kfilter lidx-less-eq by blast

lemma lidx-kfilter-gr:
shows  $\forall j . k < j \wedge j < \text{llength}(\text{kfilter } P \ n \ xs) \longrightarrow$ 
 $\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ n \ xs) \ k < \text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ n \ xs) \ j$ 
using less-imp-Suc-add lidx-kfilter lidx-less by blast

lemma kfilter-not-before:
assumes  $0 < \text{llength}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs)$ 
 $i < \text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ 0$ 
shows  $\neg P(\text{lnth } xs \ i)$ 
proof -
have 0:  $(\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ 0) < \text{llength } xs$ 
by (metis assms(1) gen-llength-def kfilter-upperbound llength-code zero-enat-def)
have 1:  $\neg \text{lnull}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs)$ 
using assms(1) by auto
have 2:  $i \in \text{lset}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \implies$ 
 $\neg \text{lnull}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \implies$ 
 $i < \text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ 0 \implies$ 
 $\text{False}$ 
proof (induct zs} \equiv (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) arbitrary: xs rule: lset-induct)
case (find xs)
then show ?case by (metis less-not-refl3 lhd-LCons lnth-0-conv-lhd)
next
case (step x' xs)
then show ?case
proof -
have  $\forall ns \ n. \exists na. ((n::nat) \notin \text{lset } ns \vee \text{lnth } ns \ na = n) \wedge (n \notin \text{lset } ns \vee \text{enat } na < \text{llength } ns)$ 
by (meson in-lset-conv-lnth)
then show ?thesis using step
by (metis (no-types) leD less-nat-zero-code lidx-kfilter-gr-eq llist.set-intros(2) not-le-imp-less)
qed
qed
have 3:  $i \notin \text{lset}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \wedge i < \text{llength } xs \longrightarrow \neg P(\text{lnth } xs \ i)$ 
by (simp add: 1 in-kfilter-lset)
have 4:  $i < \text{llength } xs$ 
using 0 assms(2) dual-order.strict-trans enat-ord-simps(2) by blast
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 4 assms(2) by blast
qed

lemma kfilter-n-not-before:
assumes  $0 < \text{llength}(\text{kfilter } P \ n \ (\text{ldropn } n \ xs))$ 
 $n < \text{llength } xs$ 
 $n \leq i$ 
 $i < \text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ n \ (\text{ldropn } n \ xs)) \ 0$ 
shows  $\neg P(\text{lnth } xs \ i)$ 

```

```

proof -
have 00:  $\neg \text{lnull}(\text{kfilter } P \ n \ (\text{ldrop } n \ xs))$ 
  by (metis assms(1) ldrop-enat less-numeral-extra(3) llength-LNil llist.collapse(1))
have 0:  $\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ n \ (\text{ldrop } n \ xs)) \ 0 < \text{llength } xs$ 
  using assms kfilter-upperbound[of 0 P n (ldropn n xs)]
  by (metis lappend-ltake-enat-ldropn ldrop-enat llength-lappend llength-ltake min.strict-order-iff
    zero-enat-def)
have 1:  $i \in \text{lset}(\text{kfilter } P \ n \ (\text{ldropn } n \ xs)) \implies$ 
   $\neg \text{lnull}(\text{kfilter } P \ n \ (\text{ldropn } n \ xs)) \implies$ 
   $i < \text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ n \ (\text{ldropn } n \ xs)) \ 0 \implies$ 
   $n < \text{llength } xs \implies$ 
   $n \leq i \implies$ 
  False
proof (induct zs $\equiv$ (kfilter P n (ldropn n xs)) arbitrary: n xs rule: lset-induct)
case (find xs)
then show ?case
by (metis lnth-0 nat-less-le)
next
case (step x' xs)
then show ?case
by (metis (no-types, lifting) in-lset-conv-lnth kfilter-eq-LCons-1 kfilter-lowerbound leD
  less-SucI lnth-0 )
qed
have 2:  $i \notin \text{lset}(\text{kfilter } P \ n \ (\text{ldropn } n \ xs)) \wedge n \leq i \wedge i < \text{llength } xs \longrightarrow \neg P(\text{lnth } xs \ i)$ 
  using assms kfilter-holds-not-a[of i] 00
  by (simp add: kfilter-ldropn-lset-a ldrop-enat)
have 3:  $n \leq i \wedge i < \text{llength } xs$ 
  using assms 00 kfilter-ldropWhile[of P n ldropn n xs ]
  by (metis 0 enat-ord-simps(2) ldrop-enat less-trans)
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 assms(1) assms(2) assms(4) kfilter-not-lnull-conv by auto
qed

```

```

lemma kfilter-not-after:
assumes 0 $<$ llength(kfilter P 0 xs)
  lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) (k-1)  $< i$ 
  llength (kfilter P 0 xs) = (enat k)
  i < llength xs
shows  $\neg P(\text{lnth } xs \ i)$ 
proof -
have 0:  $\neg \text{lnull}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs)$ 
  using assms(1) by auto
have 01: 0 $<$ k
  using 0 assms(3) gr0I zero-enat-def by fastforce
have 02:  $i \notin \text{lset}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs)$ 
  by (metis 01 One-nat-def Suc-pred assms(2) assms(3) diff-less enat-ord-simps(2)
    in-lset-conv-lnth leD less-Suc-eq-le lidx-kfilter-gr-eq zero-less-one)
from 0 01 02 show ?thesis
by (metis add.right-neutral assms(4) kfilter-holds-not-a)

```

qed

```
lemma kfilter-n-not-after:
assumes 0 < llength (kfilter P n (ldropn n xs))
         n < llength xs
         lnth (kfilter P n (ldropn n xs)) (k-1) < i
         llength (kfilter P n (ldropn n xs)) = (enat k)
         i < llength xs
shows  ¬ P (lnth xs i)
proof -
have 0: ¬ lnull (kfilter P n (ldropn n xs))
  using assms(1) by auto
have 1: 0 < k
  using assms(1) assms(4) by (simp add: zero-enat-def)
have 2: i ∉ lset(kfilter P n (ldropn n xs))
  using assms
  by (metis 1 Suc-diff-1 enat-ord-simps(2) in-lset-conv-lnth leD less-Suc-eq-le
      lidx-kfilter-gr-eq order-refl)
from 0 1 2 show ?thesis
using assms kfilter-ldropn-lset-a[of n xs P n] kfilter-lowerbound[of - P n (ldropn n xs)]
  by auto (metis One-nat-def diff-less le-trans less-imp-le zero-less-one)
qed
```

```
lemma kfilter-not-between:
assumes lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) (k) < i
         i < lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) (Suc k)
         (Suc k) < llength (kfilter P 0 xs)
shows  ¬ P (lnth xs i)
proof -
have 0: ∃ x ∈ lset xs. P x
  using assms(3) gr-implies-not-zero kfilter-not-lnull-conv by fastforce
have 1: ¬ lnull (kfilter P 0 xs)
  by (simp add: 0 kfilter-not-lnull-conv)
have 2: lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) (Suc k) ≤ llength xs
  using kfilter-upperbound[of Suc k P 0 xs]
  using 1 assms(3) zero-enat-def by auto
have 3: i ∉ lset(kfilter P 0 xs)
  using assms
  by (metis Suc-ile-eq dual-order.strict-implies-order in-lset-conv-lnth leD lidx-kfilter-gr-eq
      not-less-eq-eq)
from 1 2 3 show ?thesis
  by (metis add.right-neutral assms(2) enat-ord-simps(2) kfilter-holds-not-a less-le-trans)
qed
```

```
lemma lfilter-kfilter-ltake-lidx-a:
assumes k < llength(lfilter P xs)
shows lidx (ltake k (kfilter P n xs))
unfolding lidx-def
using assms
by (metis Suc-ile-eq kfilter-mono less-imp-le llength-ltake lnth-ltake min.strict-boundedE)
```

```

lemma lfilter-kfilter-ldropn-lidx-a:
  assumes k < llength(lfilter P xs)
  shows lidx (ldropn k (kfilter P n xs))
using assms unfolding lidx-def
proof auto
fix na
assume a0: enat k < llength (lfilter P xs)
assume a1: enat (Suc na) < llength (kfilter P n xs) - enat k
show lnth (ldropn k (kfilter P n xs)) na < lnth (ldropn k (kfilter P n xs)) (Suc na)
proof -
have 1: enat (k + (Suc na)) < llength (kfilter P n xs)
proof -
have Suc na + k = k + Suc na
  by presburger
then show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types) a1 ldropn-eq-LNil ldropn-ldropn leD leI llength-ldropn)
qed
have 2 : enat (k + na) < llength (kfilter P n xs)
  by (metis 1 Suc-ile-eq add-Suc-right less-imp-le)
have 3: lnth (kfilter P n xs) (k + (na)) < lnth (kfilter P n xs) (k + (Suc na))
  using 1 kfilter-mono by auto
show ?thesis by (metis 1 2 3 add.commute lnth-ldropn)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma lfilter-kfilter-ldropn-lidx-b:
  assumes k < llength(lfilter P xs)
  shows lidx (kfilter P (lnth (kfilter P n xs) k) (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P n xs) k) xs))
using assms using lidx-kfilter by blast

```

```

lemma ltake-lset:
  assumes k < llength xs
  shows lset (ltake k xs) = {(lnth xs i) | i. i < k}
using assms
by (auto simp add: in-lset-conv-lnth lnth-ltake)
(blast, meson less-trans lnth-ltake)

```

```

lemma ldropn-lset:
  assumes k < llength xs
  shows lset (ldropn k xs) = {(lnth xs i) | i. k ≤ i ∧ i < llength xs}
using assms
proof (auto simp add: in-lset-conv-lnth )
fix n :: nat
assume a1: enat n < llength xs - enat k
assume enat k < llength xs
then have enat k ≤ llength xs
  by (meson dual-order.strict-implies-order)
then have enat n + enat k < llength xs
  using a1 by (meson enat-min)

```

```

then show  $\exists na. \text{lnth}(\text{ldropn } k \ xs) \ n = \text{lnth} \ xs \ na \wedge k \leq na \wedge \text{enat} \ na < \text{llength} \ xs$ 
  using a1 by (metis ldropn-ldropn le-add2 lhd-ldropn llength-ldropn plus-enat-simps(1))
next
  fix i :: nat
  assume a1:  $\text{enat} \ i < \text{llength} \ xs$ 
  assume a2:  $k \leq i$ 
  have 1:  $\text{enat} \ (i-k) < \text{llength} \ xs - \text{enat} \ k$ 
    by (metis a1 a2 diff-add ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn ldropn-ldropn llength-ldropn llist.disc(2)
      lnull-ldropn not-le-imp-less)
  have 2:  $\text{lnth}(\text{ldropn } k \ xs) \ (i-k) = \text{lnth} \ xs \ i$ 
    by (simp add: a1 a2)
  show  $\exists n. \text{enat} \ n < \text{llength} \ xs - \text{enat} \ k \wedge \text{lnth}(\text{ldropn } k \ xs) \ n = \text{lnth} \ xs \ i$ 
    using 1 2 by blast
qed

```

```

lemma lfilter-kfilter-ltake-lset-eq:
assumes
   $k < \text{llength}(\text{lfilter } P \ xs)$ 
shows  $\text{lset}(\text{ltake } k (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs)) =$ 
   $\text{lset}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 (\text{ltake}((\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k)) \ xs))$ 
proof –
  have 1:  $(\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) < \text{llength} \ xs$ 
    using kfilter-llength kfilter-upperbound
    by (metis assms gen-llength-def kfilter-llength kfilter-upperbound llength-code )
  have 2:  $\exists x \in \text{lset} \ xs . \ P \ x$ 
    using assms gr-implies-not-zero llength-eq-0 lnull-lfilter by blast
  have 3:  $\{i. i < \text{llength}(\text{ltake}((\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k)) \ xs) \wedge$ 
     $P(\text{lnth}(\text{ltake}((\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k)) \ xs) \ i)\} =$ 
     $\{i. i < (\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \wedge P(\text{lnth} \ xs \ i)\}$ 
    by (auto simp add: lnth-ltake 1 order-less-subst2)
  have 5:  $\{i. i < (\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \wedge P(\text{lnth} \ xs \ i)\} =$ 
     $\{i. i < (\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \wedge i \in \text{lset}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs)\}$ 
    by (auto simp add: 1 kfilter-holds-c order-less-subst2)
  have 6:  $\{i. i < (\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \wedge i \in \text{lset}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs)\} =$ 
     $\{i. i < (\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \wedge i \in \{(\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ j) \mid j. j < \text{llength}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs)\}\}$ 
    by (simp add: lset-conv-lnth)
  have 7:  $\{i. i < (\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \wedge i \in \{(\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ j) \mid j. j < \text{llength}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs)\}\} =$ 
     $\{(\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ j) \mid j. j < k\}$ 
    by (auto simp add: assms lidx-kfilter-gr kfilter-llength)
      (metis kfilter-llength leD lidx-kfilter-gr-eq not-le-imp-less,
       metis assms enat-ord-simps(2) less-trans)
  have 8:  $k < \text{llength}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs)$ 
    by (simp add: assms kfilter-llength)
  have 9:  $\{(\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ j) \mid j. j < k\} = \text{lset}(\text{ltake } k (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs))$ 
    using ltake-lset[of k (kfilter P 0 xs)] 8 by auto
  have 10:  $\text{lset}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 (\text{ltake}((\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k)) \ xs)) =$ 
     $\{i. i < (\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \wedge$ 
       $P(\text{lnth}(\text{ltake}(\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \ xs) \ i)\}$ 
    by (auto simp add: in-kfilter-lset)
      (meson 1 enat-ord-simps(2) less-trans)

```

```

have 11:  $(\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) = \text{llength} (\text{ltake} (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \ xs)$ 
  by (simp add: 1 dual-order.strict-implies-order min-def)
have 12:  $\{i. \ i < (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \wedge$ 
 $P (\text{lnth} (\text{ltake} (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \ xs) \ i)\} =$ 
 $\{i. \ i < \text{llength} (\text{ltake} (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \ xs) \wedge$ 
 $P (\text{lnth} (\text{ltake} (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \ xs) \ i)\}$ 
  using 11 by auto
show ?thesis
  using 10 12 3 5 6 7 9 by auto
qed

```

lemma lfilter-kfilter-ldropn-lset-eq:

assumes $k < \text{llength} (\text{lfilter } P \ xs)$

shows $\text{lset} (\text{kfilter } P (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) (\text{ldropn} (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \ xs)) =$
 $\text{lset} (\text{ldropn } k (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs))$

proof –

have 1: $(\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) < \text{llength} \ xs$
 using kfilter-llength kfilter-upperbound
 by (metis assms gen-llength-def kfilter-llength kfilter-upperbound llength-code)

have 2: $\exists x \in \text{lset} \ xs . \ P \ x$
 using assms gr-implies-not-zero llength-eq-0 lnull-lfilter by blast

have 10: $\text{lset} (\text{kfilter } P (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) (\text{ldropn} (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \ xs)) =$
 $\{(\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) + i \mid i. \ i < \text{llength} \ xs - (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \wedge$
 $P (\text{lnth} \ xs (i + (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k)))\}$
 using 1 kfilter-ldropn-lset-b[of (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \ xs \ P (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k)]
 by linarith

have 5: $\{(\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) + i \mid i. \ i < \text{llength} \ xs - (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \wedge$
 $P (\text{lnth} \ xs (i + (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k)))\} =$
 $\{(\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) + i \mid i. \ i < \text{llength} \ xs - (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \wedge$
 $(i + (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k)) \in \text{lset} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs)\}$
 using kfilter-holds-b[of - xs 0 P] using 1 2
 by auto

(metis ldropn-eq-LNil ldropn-ldropn leD llength-ldropn not-le-imp-less,
 metis gen-llength-def in-lset-conv-lnth kfilter-upperbound llength-code)

have 51: $\{(\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) + i \mid i. \ i < \text{llength} \ xs - (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \wedge$
 $(i + (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k)) \in \text{lset} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs)\} =$
 $\{(\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) + i \mid i.$
 $(\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \leq i + (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \wedge$
 $i + (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) < \text{llength} \ xs \wedge$
 $(i + (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k)) \in \text{lset} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs)\}$
 by auto
 (metis 1 enat-min less-imp-le plus-enat-simps(1),
 metis ldropn-eq-LNil ldropn-ldropn leD llength-ldropn not-le-imp-less)

have 52: $\{(\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) + i \mid i.$
 $(\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \leq i + (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \wedge$
 $i + (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) < \text{llength} \ xs \wedge$
 $(i + (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k)) \in \text{lset} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs)\} =$
 $\{j. \ (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \leq j \wedge j < \text{llength} \ xs \wedge j \in \text{lset} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs)\}$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) add.commute le-iff-add)

have 53: $\{j. \ (\text{lnth} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs) \ k) \leq j \wedge j < \text{llength} \ xs \wedge j \in \text{lset} (\text{kfilter } P \ 0 \ xs)\} =$

```

{j. (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) ≤ j ∧ j < llength xs ∧
  j ∈ { (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) jj) | jj. jj < llength(kfilter P 0 xs) } }

by (simp add: lset-conv-lnth)
have 54: {j. (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) ≤ j ∧ j < llength xs ∧
  j ∈ { (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) jj) | jj. jj < llength(kfilter P 0 xs) } } =
  { (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) j) | j. k ≤ j ∧ j < llength (kfilter P 0 xs) }

by auto
  (metis assms kfilter-llength lidx-kfilter-gr not-less,
  meson lidx-kfilter-gr-eq,
  metis gen-llength-def kfilter-upperbound llength-code)
have 8: k < llength(kfilter P 0 xs)
by (simp add: assms kfilter-llength)
have 9: { (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) j) | j. k ≤ j ∧ j < llength (kfilter P 0 xs) } =
  lset(ldropn k (kfilter P 0 xs))
using ldropn-lset[of k (kfilter P 0 xs)] using 8 by blast
show ?thesis
using 10 5 51 52 53 54 9 by auto
qed

```

```

lemma kfilter-kfilter-ltake:
assumes k < llength(lfilter P xs)
shows (ltake k (kfilter P 0 xs)) =
  (kfilter P 0 (ltake ((lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k)) xs))
using assms
by (simp add: lfilter-kfilter-ltake-lidx-a lfilter-kfilter-ltake-lset-eq lidx-kfilter lidx-lset-eq)

```

```

lemma kfilter-kfilter-ldropn:
assumes k < llength(lfilter P xs)
shows (ldropn k (kfilter P 0 xs)) =
  (kfilter P (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs))
using assms
by (simp add: lfilter-kfilter-ldropn-lidx-a lfilter-kfilter-ldropn-lidx-b
  lfilter-kfilter-ldropn-lset-eq lidx-lset-eq)

```

```

lemma kfilter-lmap-lfilter:
shows lmap (λn. (lnth xs n)) (kfilter P 0 xs) = lfilter P xs
using lfilter-kfilter[of - P 0 xs]
by (metis (no-types, lifting) diff-zero kfilter-llength llength-lmap
  llist-eq-lnth-eq lnth-lmap)

```

```

lemma lfilter-kfilter-ltake:
assumes k < llength(lfilter P xs)
shows ltake k (lfilter P xs) =
  (lfilter P (ltake (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs))

proof –
have 2: lmap (λn. (lnth xs n)) (kfilter P 0 xs) = lfilter P xs
  using kfilter-lmap-lfilter by blast
have 3: ltake k (lfilter P xs) =
  ltake k (lmap (λn. (lnth xs n)) (kfilter P 0 xs))
by (simp add: 2)

```

```

have 4:  $ltake k (lmap (\lambda n. (lnth xs n)) (kfilter P 0 xs)) =$ 
 $lmap (\lambda s. lnth xs s) (ltake k (kfilter P 0 xs))$ 
using ltake-lmap by blast
have 6:  $(lfilter P (ltake (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs)) =$ 
 $lmap (\lambda s. (lnth (ltake (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs) s))$ 
 $(kfilter P 0 (ltake (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs))$ 
by (simp add: kfilter-lmap-lfilter)
have 7:  $lmap (\lambda s. lnth xs s) (ltake k (kfilter P 0 xs)) =$ 
 $lmap (\lambda s. lnth xs s) (kfilter P 0 (ltake (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs))$ 
by (simp add: assms kfilter-kfilter-ltake)
have 8:  $lmap (\lambda s. lnth xs s) (kfilter P 0 (ltake (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs)) =$ 
 $lmap (\lambda s. (lnth (ltake (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs) s))$ 
 $(kfilter P 0 (ltake (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs))$ 
using kfilter-kfilter-ltake[of k P xs]
by (metis gen-llength-def kfilter-upperbound lappend-ltake-enat-ldropn ld़istinct-Ex1
ld़istinct-kfilter llength-code llist.map-cong0 lnth-lappend)
show ?thesis
using 2 4 6 7 8 by auto
qed

lemma kfilter-lmap-shift-ldropn:
shows  $lmap (\lambda s. lnth xs (s + (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k)))$ 
 $(kfilter P 0 (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs)) =$ 
 $lmap (\lambda s. lnth xs s)$ 
 $(kfilter P (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs))$ 
proof –
have 1:  $llength (lmap (\lambda s. lnth xs (s + (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k))))$ 
 $(kfilter P 0 (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs)) =$ 
 $llength (lmap (\lambda s. lnth xs s))$ 
 $(kfilter P (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs))$ 
by (simp add: kfilter-llength)
have 2:  $\bigwedge i. i < llength (lmap (\lambda s. lnth xs (s + (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k))))$ 
 $(kfilter P 0 (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs)) \Rightarrow$ 
 $lnth (lmap (\lambda s. lnth xs (s + (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k))))$ 
 $(kfilter P 0 (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs))) i =$ 
 $lnth xs ((lnth (kfilter P 0 (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs))) i) +$ 
 $(lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k)$ 
by simp
have 3:  $\bigwedge i. i < llength (lmap (\lambda s. lnth xs s))$ 
 $(kfilter P (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs)) \Rightarrow$ 
 $lnth (lmap (\lambda s. lnth xs s))$ 
 $(kfilter P (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k))$ 
 $(ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs))) i =$ 
 $lnth xs ((lnth (kfilter P (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k)) (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs))) i)$ 
by simp
have 4:  $\bigwedge i. i < llength (lmap (\lambda s. lnth xs (s + (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k))))$ 
 $(kfilter P 0 (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs)) \Rightarrow$ 
 $lnth xs ((lnth (kfilter P 0 (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs))) i) +$ 
 $(lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k)) =$ 

```

```

lnth xs (lnth (kfilter P (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k)
                        (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs)) i)
using kfilter-lnth-n-zero[of - P (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs) ]
    kfilter-lowerbound[of - P (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs)]
using 1 diff-add by fastforce
show ?thesis
using llist-eq-lnth-eq[of lmap (λs. lnth xs (s+(lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k)))
                        (kfilter P 0 (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs))]
using 1 2 3 4 by presburger
qed

lemma lfilter-kfilter-ldropn:
assumes k < llength(lfilter P xs)
shows (ldropn k (lfilter P xs)) =
      (lfilter P (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs))
proof -
have 1: ∃ x ∈ lset xs. P x
  using assms gr-implies-not-zero llength-eq-0 lnull-lfilter by blast
have 2: (lfilter P xs) = lmap (λs. lnth xs s) (kfilter P 0 xs)
  by (simp add: kfilter-lmap-lfilter)
have 3: ldrop k (lfilter P xs) =
  ldrop k (lmap (λs. lnth xs s) (kfilter P 0 xs))
  by (simp add: 2)
have 4: (ldrop k (lmap (λs. lnth xs s) (kfilter P 0 xs))) =
  (lmap (λs. lnth xs s) (ldrop k (kfilter P 0 xs)))
  using ldrop-lmap by blast
have 6: (lfilter P (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs)) =
  lmap (λs. lnth (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs) s)
  (kfilter P 0 (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs))
  by (simp add: kfilter-lmap-lfilter)
have 61: ∀z. z ∈ lset ((kfilter P 0 (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs)))
  ⟹ (λs. lnth (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs) s) z =
  (λs. lnth xs (s + (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k))) z
using assms in-lset-conv-lnth[of - ((kfilter P 0 (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs)))]
by simp
  (metis add.commute add.left-neutral kfilter-upperbound ldropn-eq-LNil ldropn-ldropn leD
  lnth-ldropn not-le-imp-less zero-enat-def)
have 7: lmap (λs. lnth (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs) s)
  (kfilter P 0 (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs)) =
  lmap (λs. lnth xs (s + (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k))) )
  (kfilter P 0 (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs))
using 61 by auto
have 8: lmap (λs. lnth xs (s + (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k))) )
  (kfilter P 0 (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs)) =
  lmap (λs. lnth xs s)
  (kfilter P (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs))
  by (simp add: kfilter-lmap-shift-ldropn)
have 9: lmap (λs. lnth xs s)
  (kfilter P (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) (ldropn (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) k) xs)) =
  lmap (λs. lnth xs s) (ldropn k (kfilter P 0 xs))

```

```

by (simp add: assms kfilter-kfilter-ldropn)
show ?thesis
  by (metis 4 7 8 9 kfilter-lmap-lfilter ldrop-enat)
qed

lemma lfilter-lnth-aa:
assumes n < llength (lfilter P xs)
shows P (lnth (lfilter P xs) n)
using assms
by (meson in-lset-conv-lnth lfilter-id-conv lfilter-idem)

lemma exist-one-conv:

$$(\exists i. i < \text{llength } xs \wedge P (\text{lnth } xs i)) \longleftrightarrow$$


$$(\exists k < \text{llength } xs. P (\text{lnth } xs k) \wedge$$


$$(\forall j < \text{llength } xs. j \neq k \longrightarrow \neg P (\text{lnth } xs j)))$$

by blast

lemma lfilter-llength-one-conv-a:
assumes llength(lfilter P xs) = 1
shows  $\exists k < \text{llength } xs. P (\text{lnth } xs k) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall j < \text{llength } xs. j \neq k \longrightarrow \neg P (\text{lnth } xs j))$ 
proof -
  have 1: P (lnth xs (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) 0))
    by (metis assms(1) kfilter-llength kfilter-lmap-lfilter less-numeral-extra(1) lfilter-lnth-aa
          lenth-lmap zero-enat-def)
  have 2: (lnth (kfilter P 0 xs) 0) < llength xs
    by (metis assms(1) gen-llength-def kfilter-llength kfilter-upperbound less-numeral-extra(1)
          llength-code zero-enat-def)
  have 3: ( $\forall j < \text{llength } xs. j \neq (\text{lnth } (\text{kfilter } P 0 xs) 0) \longrightarrow \neg P (\text{lnth } xs j)$ )
    using assms kfilter-not-after[of P xs] kfilter-not-before[of P xs]
    by (metis One-nat-def diff-Suc-1 kfilter-llength linorder-neqE-nat one-enat-def zero-less-one)
  show ?thesis
    using 1 2 3 by blast
qed

lemma lfilter-llength-one-conv-c:

$$(\exists k < \text{llength } xs. P (\text{lnth } xs k) \wedge$$

 $(\forall j < \text{llength } xs. j \neq k \longrightarrow \neg P (\text{lnth } xs j))) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists k < \text{llength } xs. P (\text{lnth } xs k) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall j < \text{llength } xs. j < k \vee k < j \longrightarrow \neg P (\text{lnth } xs j)))$ 
using antisym-conv3 by auto

lemma lfilter-llength-one-conv-d:

$$(\exists k < \text{llength } xs. P (\text{lnth } xs k) \wedge$$

 $(\forall j < \text{llength } xs. j < k \vee k < j \longrightarrow \neg P (\text{lnth } xs j))) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists k < \text{llength } xs. P (\text{lnth } xs k) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall j. j < k \longrightarrow \neg P (\text{lnth } xs j)) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall j < \text{llength } xs. k < j \longrightarrow \neg P (\text{lnth } xs j)))$ 
by (meson enat-ord-simps(2) less-trans)

```

```

lemma exist-one-lfilter-llength-one:
assumes ( $\exists! i. i < \text{llength } xs \wedge P (\text{lnth } xs i)$ )
shows  $\text{llength}(\text{lfilter } P xs) \leq 1$ 
using assms
proof auto
fix  $i :: \text{nat}$ 
assume  $a1: \forall y y'. \text{enat } y < \text{llength } xs \wedge P (\text{lnth } xs y) \wedge \text{enat } y' < \text{llength } xs \wedge P (\text{lnth } xs y') \longrightarrow y = y'$ 
show  $\text{llength}(\text{lfilter } P xs) \leq 1$ 
proof -
have  $f1: \forall e ea. (e :: \text{enat}) \leq ea \vee ea < e$ 
  by (meson not-le-imp-less)
have  $f3: \text{llength}(\text{kfilter } P 0 xs) = \text{gen-llength } 0 (\text{lfilter } P xs)$ 
  by (metis kfilter-llength llength-code)
have  $f4: \text{enat } 0 + \text{llength } xs = \text{llength } xs$ 
  by (metis gen-llength-def llength-code)
have  $\text{llength } xs = \text{enat } 0 + \text{llength } xs$ 
  by (metis (full-types) gen-llength-def llength-code)
then have  $f5: \neg 1 < \text{llength}(\text{lfilter } P xs)$ 
proof -
have  $g1: \text{Suc } 0 = 0 + \text{Suc } 0$ 
  by auto
have  $g2: \bigwedge i. \text{enat } i < \text{llength}(\text{kfilter } P 0 xs) \implies \text{lnth } xs (\text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P 0 xs) i - 0) = \text{lnth}(\text{lfilter } P xs) i$ 
  using lfilter-kfilter[of - P 0 xs] by auto
have  $g3: \bigwedge k. \text{enat } k < \text{llength}(\text{kfilter } P 0 xs) \implies \text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P 0 xs) k - 0 = \text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P 0 xs) k$ 
  using kfilter-mono[of - P 0 xs] by auto
have  $g4: \bigwedge n. \text{enat } n < \text{llength}(\text{lfilter } P xs) \implies P (\text{lnth}(\text{lfilter } P xs) n)$ 
  using lfilter-lnth-aa[of - P xs] by auto
have  $g5: \text{enat}(0 + \text{Suc } 0) = 1$ 
  using one-enat-def by auto
have  $\neg 1 < \text{gen-llength } 0 (\text{lfilter } P xs) \vee \text{enat } 0 < \text{gen-llength } 0 (\text{lfilter } P xs)$ 
  using zero-enat-def by fastforce
then show ?thesis
  by (metis g1 g2 g3 g4 g5 a1 f3 f4 kfilter-upperbound ldistinct-conv-lnth ldistinct-kfilter
    llength-code n-not-Suc-n)
qed
show ?thesis
using f5 not-le by blast
qed
qed

```

```

lemma lfilter-llength-one-conv-b:
assumes  $\exists k < \text{llength } xs. P (\text{lnth } xs k) \wedge$ 
   $(\forall j < \text{llength } xs. j \neq k \longrightarrow \neg P (\text{lnth } xs j))$ 
shows  $\text{llength}(\text{lfilter } P xs) = 1$ 
proof -
have  $l1: \text{llength}(\text{lfilter } P xs) \leq 1$ 
  by (metis assms exist-one-lfilter-llength-one)

```

```

have 2:  $0 < \text{llength}(\text{lfilter } P \text{ } xs)$ 
  by (metis assms gr-zeroI in-lset-conv-lnth llength-eq-0 lnull-lfilter)
show ?thesis
  by (metis 1 2 One-nat-def Suc-ile-eq dual-order.antisym one-enat-def zero-enat-def)
qed

```

```

lemma lfilter-llength-one-conv:
shows ( $\exists k < \text{llength } xs. P (\text{lnth } xs \ k) \wedge$ 
          $(\forall j < \text{llength } xs. j \neq k \longrightarrow \neg P (\text{lnth } xs \ j)) \longleftrightarrow$ 
          $\text{llength}(\text{lfilter } P \text{ } xs) = 1$ )
using lfilter-llength-one-conv-a[of P xs] lfilter-llength-one-conv-b[of xs P] by blast

```

```

lemma lfilter-llength-one-conv-1:
shows ( $\exists k < \text{llength } xs. P (\text{lnth } xs \ k) \wedge$ 
          $(\forall j < \text{llength } xs. j < k \vee k < j \longrightarrow \neg P (\text{lnth } xs \ j)) \longleftrightarrow$ 
          $\text{llength}(\text{lfilter } P \text{ } xs) = 1$ )
using lfilter-llength-one-conv[of xs P] lfilter-llength-one-conv-c[of xs P]
by blast

```

```

lemma lfilter-llength-one-conv-2:
shows ( $\exists k < \text{llength } xs. P (\text{lnth } xs \ k) \wedge$ 
          $(\forall j. j < k \longrightarrow \neg P (\text{lnth } xs \ j)) \wedge$ 
          $(\forall j < \text{llength } xs. k < j \longrightarrow \neg P (\text{lnth } xs \ j)) \longleftrightarrow$ 
          $\text{llength}(\text{lfilter } P \text{ } xs) = 1$ )
using lfilter-llength-one-conv-1[of xs P] lfilter-llength-one-conv-d[of xs P]
by blast

```

```

lemma lfilter-lappend-ltake:
assumes  $k < \text{llength } xs$ 
shows  $\text{lfilter } P (\text{ltake } k \text{ } xs) = \text{ltake} (\text{llength}(\text{lfilter } P (\text{ltake } k \text{ } xs))) (\text{lfilter } P \text{ } xs)$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\text{lfilter } P \text{ } xs =$ 
   $\text{lappend} (\text{lfilter } P (\text{ltake} (\text{the-enat } k) \text{ } xs)) (\text{lfilter } P (\text{ldropn} (\text{the-enat } k) \text{ } xs))$ 
  by (metis enat-ord-code(4) lappend-ltake-enat-ldropn lfilter-lappend-lfinite lfinite-ltake)
have 2:  $\text{ltake} (\text{llength}(\text{lfilter } P (\text{ltake } k \text{ } xs)))$ 
   $= (\text{lappend} (\text{lfilter } P (\text{ltake} (\text{the-enat } k) \text{ } xs)) (\text{lfilter } P (\text{ldropn} (\text{the-enat } k) \text{ } xs))) =$ 
   $\text{lfilter } P (\text{ltake } k \text{ } xs)$ 
  by (metis assms enat-the-enat lfilter-idem lfinite-ltake llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite
        llength-lfilter-ile llength-ltake ltake-all ltake-lappend1 min.strict-order-iff)
show ?thesis using 1 2 by simp
qed

```

```

lemma kfilter-lappend-lfinite:
lfinite  $xs \implies$ 
 $\text{kfilter } P \text{ } n \text{ } (\text{lappend } xs \text{ } ys) =$ 
 $\text{lappend} (\text{kfilter } P \text{ } n \text{ } xs) (\text{kfilter } P \text{ } (n + (\text{the-enat}(\text{llength } xs))) \text{ } ys)$ 
unfolding kfilter-def
proof (induct arbitrary:  $n$  rule: lfinite.induct)
case lfinite-LNil
then show ?case by simp

```

```

next
case (lfinite-LConsI xs x)
then show ?case
proof -
  have 1: (lzip (lappend (LCons x xs) ys) (iterates Suc n)) =
    (LCons (x , n) (lzip (lappend xs ys) (iterates Suc (Suc n))))
  by (simp add: lzip.ctr(2))
  have 3: lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip (lappend (LCons x xs) ys) (iterates Suc n))) =
    (if P x then (LCons n (lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip (lappend xs ys) (iterates Suc (Suc n)))))))
      else lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip (lappend xs ys) (iterates Suc (Suc n)))))
  using 1 by auto
  have 4: (if P x then (LCons n (lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip (lappend xs ys) (iterates Suc (Suc n)))))))
    else lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip (lappend xs ys) (iterates Suc (Suc n)))) =
    (if P x then
      (LCons n (lappend (lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip xs (iterates Suc (Suc n))))))
        (lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip ys (iterates Suc ((Suc n) + the-enat (llength xs))))))))
      else (lappend (lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip xs (iterates Suc (Suc n))))))
        (lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip ys (iterates Suc ((Suc n) + the-enat (llength xs))))))))
    using lfinite-LConsI.hyps(2) by auto
  have 5: (lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip (LCons x xs) (iterates Suc n)))) =
    (if P x then (LCons n (lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip (xs) (iterates Suc (Suc n)))))))
      else (lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip (xs) (iterates Suc (Suc n))))))
  by (simp add: lzip.ctr(2))
  have 6: (lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip ys (iterates Suc (n + the-enat (llength (LCons x xs))))))) =
    (lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip ys (iterates Suc ((Suc n) + the-enat (llength (xs)))))))
  using eSuc-enat lfinite-LConsI.hyps(1) llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite by force
  have 7: lappend (lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip (LCons x xs) (iterates Suc n)))) =
    (lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip ys (iterates Suc (n + the-enat (llength (LCons x xs))))))) =
    lappend (if P x then (LCons n (lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip xs (iterates Suc (Suc n)))))))
      else (lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip xs (iterates Suc (Suc n))))))
    (lmap snd (lfilter (P o fst) (lzip ys (iterates Suc ((Suc n) + (the-enat (llength xs)))))))
  using 5 6 by auto
  show ?thesis using 3 4 7 by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma kfilter-lappend-ltake:
assumes k < llength xs
shows kfilter P n (ltake k xs) = ltake (llength(kfilter P n (ltake k xs))) (kfilter P n xs)
proof -
  have 1: kfilter P n xs = lappend (kfilter P n (ltake (the-enat k) xs))
    (kfilter P (n+ (the-enat k)) (ldropn (the-enat k) xs))
  using kfilter-lappend-lfinite[of (ltake (the-enat k) xs) P n (ldropn (the-enat k) xs)] by (metis assms enat-less enat-ord-code(4) lappend-ltake-ldrop ldrop-enat lfinite-ltake
    llength-ltake min.strict-order-iff neq-iff the-enat.simps)
  have 2: ltake (llength(kfilter P n (ltake k xs)))
    (lappend (kfilter P n (ltake (the-enat k) xs)))
    (kfilter P (n+ (the-enat k)) (ldropn (the-enat k) xs)) =

```

```

kfilter P n (ltake k xs)
by (metis assms enat-the-enat lfinite-ltake llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite llength-ltake
    ltake-all ltake-lappend1 min.strict-order-iff order-refl)
show ?thesis using 1 2 by simp
qed

lemma lfilter-ldropn-llength:
assumes k < llength xs
shows llength (lfilter P ((ldropn k xs))) ≤ llength (lfilter P (xs))
using assms
proof (induct k arbitrary: xs)
case 0
then show ?case by simp
next
case (Suc k)
then show ?case
proof (cases xs)
case LNil
then show ?thesis by simp
next
case (LCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis using Suc Suc-ile-eq by auto
(meson Suc-ile-eq dual-order.trans ile-eSuc)
qed
qed

lemma lfilter-nllength-imp:
shows llength (lfilter (λx. P x ∧ Q x) xs) ≤ llength (lfilter P xs)
proof -
have 0: lfilter (λx. P x ∧ Q x) xs = lfilter (λx. Q x ∧ P x) xs
  by meson
have 1: lfilter (λx. Q x ∧ P x) xs = lfilter Q (lfilter P xs)
  using lfilter-lfilter[of Q P xs] by auto
have 2: llength (lfilter Q (lfilter P xs)) ≤ llength (lfilter P xs)
  using llength-lfilter-ile by blast
show ?thesis by (simp add: 1 2 0)
qed

lemma lnths-kfilter-lfilter:
lnths xs (lset(kfilter P 0 xs)) = lfilter P xs
using lfilter-conv-lnths[of P xs] kfilter-lset[of P 0 xs]
by simp

```

1.9 Transfer rules

context includes *lifting-syntax*
begin

lemma lbutlast-transfer [transfer-rule]:
$$(llist-all2 A ==> llist-all2 A) \text{ lbutlast lbutlast}$$

```

by (auto simp add: rel-fun-def lbutlast-conv-ltake llist-all2-llengthD llist-all2-ltakeI)

lemma lleast-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ((A ==> (=)) ==> llist-all2 A ==> (=)) lleast lleast
  unfolding lleast-def[abs-def]
  by (auto simp add: rel-fun-def)
  (metis (full-types, opaque-lifting) llist-all2-conv-all-lnth)

lemma lfuse-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (llist-all2 A ==> llist-all2 A ==> llist-all2 A) lfuse lfuse
  by (auto simp add: rel-fun-def intro: llist-all2-lfuseI)

lemma ridx-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ((R ==> R ==> (=)) ==> llist-all2 R ==> (=)) ridx ridx
  by (simp add: llist-all2-rsp rel-fun-def ridx-def llist-all2-conv-all-lnth)
  (meson Suc-ile-eq order-less-imp-le)

lemma lsub-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ((=) ==> (=) ==> llist-all2 A ==> llist-all2 A) lsub lsub
  by (auto simp add: lsub-def rel-fun-def intro: llist-all2-ltakeI llist-all2-ldropI)

lemma lsubc-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ((=) ==> (=) ==> llist-all2 A ==> llist-all2 A) lsubc lsubc
  by (auto simp add: lsubc-def rel-fun-def min-def llist-all2-llengthD
    intro: llist-all2-ltakeI llist-all2-ldropI)

lemma lfusecat-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (llist-all2 (llist-all2 A) ==> llist-all2 A) lfusecat lfusecat
  by (auto intro: llist-all2-lfusecatI)

end

end

```

2 Coinductive non-empty lists and their operations

Coinductive lists are formalised by Andreas Lochbihler in [3]. We define coinductive non-empty lists '*a nellist*' as a subtype of coinductive lists using the quotient type construction. The usual operators, like take, drop, length, nth, filter etc. are defined for '*a nellist*'. The formalisation is based on terminated coinductive list defined by Andreas Lochbihler.

```

theory NELLList imports
  LList-Extras
begin

```

Coinductive non-empty lists '*a nellist*' are the codatatype defined by the constructors *NNil* of type '*a* \Rightarrow '*a nellist*' and *NCons* of type '*a* \Rightarrow '*a nellist* \Rightarrow '*a nellist*'.

2.1 Type definition

```
consts nlast0 :: 'a

codatatype (nset: 'a) nellist =
  NNil (nlast : 'a)
  | NCons (nhd : 'a) (ntl : 'a nellist)
for
  map: nmap
  rel: nellist-all2
where
  nhd (NNil _) = undefined
  | ntl (NNil b) = NNil b
  | nlast (NCons - nll) = nlast0 nll
```

overloading

```
nlast0 == nlast0::'a nellist => 'a
```

```
begin
```

```
partial-function (tailrec) nlast0
```

```
where nlast0 nell = (case nell of (NNil x) => x | (NCons y nell') => nlast0 nell')
```

```
end
```

```
lemma nlast0-nlast [simp]: nlast0 = nlast
```

```
proof -
```

```
have 1:  $\bigwedge x. \text{nlast0 } x = \text{nlast } x$ 
by (simp add: nlast0.simps nlast-def)
show ?thesis using 1 by (rule ext)
qed
```

```
lemmas nlast-NNil [code, nitpick-simp] = nellist.sel(1)
```

```
lemma nlast-NCons [simp, code, nitpick-simp]: nlast (NCons x nell) = nlast nell
by simp
```

```
declare nellist.sel(2) [simp del]
```

```
definition nfirst :: 'a nellist => 'a
```

```
where nfirst nell = (case nell of (NNil b) => b | (NCons b nell') => b)
```

```
primcorec unfold-nellist :: ('a => bool) => ('a => 'b) => ('a => 'b) => ('a => 'a) => 'a => 'b nellist
where
```

```
p a ==> unfold-nellist p g1 g21 g22 a = NNil (g1 a) |
- ==> unfold-nellist p g1 g21 g22 a =
  NCons (g21 a) (unfold-nellist p g1 g21 g22 (g22 a))
```

```
declare
```

```
unfold-nellist.ctr(1) [simp]
nellist.corec(1) [simp]
```

2.2 Code generator setup

More lemmas about generated constants

lemma *ntl-unfold-nellist*:

*ntl (unfold-nellist IS-NNIL NNIL NHD NTL a) =
(if IS-NNIL a then NNIL (NNIL a) else unfold-nellist IS-NNIL NNIL NHD NTL (NTL a))*
by(*simp*)

lemma *is-NNil-ntl* [*simp*]:

is-NNil nell \implies is-NNil (ntl nell)
by(*cases nell*) *simp-all*

lemma *nlast-ntl* [*simp*]: *nlast (ntl nell) = nlast nell*

by(*cases nell*) *simp-all*

lemma *unfold-nellist-eq-NNil* [*simp*]:

unfold-nellist IS-NNIL NNIL NHD NTL a = NNIL b \longleftrightarrow IS-NNIL a \wedge b = NNIL a
by(*auto simp add: unfold-nellist.code*)

lemma *NNil-eq-unfold-nellist* [*simp*]:

NNIL b = unfold-nellist IS-NNIL NNIL NHD NTL a \longleftrightarrow IS-NNIL a \wedge b = NNIL a
by(*auto simp add: unfold-nellist.code*)

lemma *nmap-is-NNil*:

is-NNil nell \implies nmap f nell = NNIL (f (nlast nell))
by(*clar simp simp add: is-NNil-def*)

declare *nellist.mapsel(2)*[*simp*]

lemma *ntl-nmap* [*simp*]:

ntl (nmap f nell) = nmap f (ntl nell)
by(*cases nell*) *simp-all*

lemma *nmap-eq-NNil-conv*:

*nmap f nell = NNIL y \longleftrightarrow ($\exists y'$. *nell = NNIL y' \wedge f y' = y*)*
by(*cases nell*) *simp-all*

lemma *NNIL-eq-nmap-conv*:

*NNIL y = nmap f nell \longleftrightarrow ($\exists y'$. *nell = NNIL y' \wedge f y' = y*)*
by(*cases nell*) *auto*

declare *nellist.setsel(1)*[*simp*]

lemma *nset-ntl*: *nset (ntl nell) \subseteq nset nell*

by(*cases nell*) *auto*

lemma *in-nset-ntld*: *x \in nset (ntl nell) \implies x \in nset nell*
using *nset-ntl[of nell]* **by** *auto*

theorem *nellist-set-induct*[*consumes 1, case-names findnil find step*]:

```

assumes  $x \in nset\ nell$ 
and  $\bigwedge_{nell} is\text{-}NNil\ nell \implies P\ (nlast\ nell)\ nell$ 
and  $\bigwedge_{nell} \neg is\text{-}NNil\ nell \implies P\ (nhd\ nell)\ nell$ 
and  $\bigwedge_{nell} \forall y. [\neg is\text{-}NNil\ nell; y \in nset\ (ntl\ nell); P\ y\ (ntl\ nell)] \implies P\ y\ nell$ 
shows  $P\ x\ nell$ 
using assms
proof (induct)
case (NNil z)
then show ?case by force
next
case (NCons1 z1 z2)
then show ?case by (fastforce simp del: nellist.disc(2) iff: nellist.disc(2))
next
case (NCons2 z1 z2 xa)
then show ?case by auto
qed

lemma nset-induct' [consumes 1, case-names findnil find step]:
assumes major:  $x \in nset\ nell$ 
and 0:  $\bigwedge_{nell} is\text{-}NNil\ nell \implies P\ (nlast\ nell)$ 
and 1:  $\bigwedge_{nell} P\ (NCons\ x\ nell)$ 
and 2:  $\bigwedge_{x'} nell. [\forall x \in nset\ nell; P\ nell] \implies P\ (NCons\ x'\ nell)$ 
shows  $P\ nell$ 
using major
proof (induct y≡x nell rule: nellist-set-induct)
case (findnil nell)
then show ?case using 0 1 2 by (metis nellist.collapse(1) nellist.map-disc-iff nellist.map-sel(1))
next
case (find nell)
then show ?case by (metis 1 nellist.collapse(2))
next
case (step nell)
then show ?case by (metis 2 nellist.collapse(2))
qed

lemma nset-induct [consumes 1, case-names findnil find step, induct set: nset]:
assumes major:  $x \in nset\ nell$ 
and findnil:  $\bigwedge_{nell} is\text{-}NNil\ nell \implies P\ (nlast\ nell)$ 
and find:  $\bigwedge_{nell} P\ (NCons\ x\ nell)$ 
and step:  $\bigwedge_{x'} nell. [\forall x \in nset\ nell; x \neq x'; P\ nell] \implies P\ (NCons\ x'\ nell)$ 
shows  $P\ nell$ 
using major
proof (induct rule: nset-induct')
case (findnil nell)
then show ?case by (simp add: assms(2))
next
case (find nell)
then show ?case by (simp add: assms(3))
next
case (step x' nell)

```

```

then show ?case by (metis assms(4) find)
qed

```

2.3 Connection with '*a* llist

```

primcorec llist-of-nellist :: 'a nellist  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist
where llist-of-nellist nell = (case nell of NNil b  $\Rightarrow$  LCons b LNil |
                                NCons x nell'  $\Rightarrow$  LCons x (llist-of-nellist nell'))

```

context

fixes

b :: '*a*

begin

primcorec nellist-of-llist-a :: '*a* llist \Rightarrow '*a* nellist **where**

```

nellist-of-llist-a ll = (case ll of LNil  $\Rightarrow$  NNil b |
                                LCons x ll'  $\Rightarrow$  NCons x (nellist-of-llist-a ll'))

```

end

abbreviation nellist-of-llist == (λ ll. nellist-of-llist-a (llast ll) (lbutlast ll))

simps-of-case nellist-of-llist-a-simps [*simp*, *code*, *nitpick-simp*]: nellist-of-llist-a.code

lemmas nellist-of-llist-a-LNil = nellist-of-llist-a-simps(1)
and nellist-of-llist-a-LCons = nellist-of-llist-a-simps(2)

lemma nlast-nellist-of-llist-a-lnull [*simp*]:

lnull ll \Longrightarrow nlast (nellist-of-llist-a b ll) = b

unfolding lnull-def **by** *simp*

declare nellist-of-llist-a.sel(1)[*simp del*]

lemma lhd-LNil:

lhd LNil = undefined

by(*simp add*: lhd-def)

lemma nhd-NNil:

nhd (NNil b) = undefined

by(*simp add*: nhd-def)

lemma nhd-nellist-of-llist-a [*simp*]:

nhd (nellist-of-llist-a b ll) = lhd ll

by (cases ll)

(*simp-all add*: lhd-LNil nhd-NNil)

lemma ntl-nellist-of-llist-a [*simp*]:

ntl (nellist-of-llist-a b ll) = nellist-of-llist-a b (ltl ll)

by(cases ll) *simp-all*

lemma llist-of-nellist-eq-LNil:

```

llist-of-nellist nell = LCons (nlast nell) LNil  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-NNil nell
by (simp add: nellist.case-eq-if llist-of-nellist.code)

simpsofcase llist-of-nellist-simps [simp, code, nitpick-simp]: llist-of-nellist.code

lemmas llist-of-nellist-NNil = llist-of-nellist-simps(1)
and llist-of-nellist-NCons = llist-of-nellist-simps(2)

declare llist-of-nellist.sel [simp del]

lemma lhd-llist-of-nellist [simp]:
   $\neg$  is-NNil nell  $\implies$  lhd (llist-of-nellist nell) = nhd nell
by(cases nell) simp-all

lemma lhd-llist-of-nellist1 [simp]:
  is-NNil nell  $\implies$  lhd (llist-of-nellist nell) = nlast nell
by (cases nell) simp-all

lemma lhd-llist-of-nellist2 [simp]:
  (case nell of (NNil b)  $\Rightarrow$  lhd LNil | (NCons b nell')  $\Rightarrow$  lhd (llist-of-nellist nell)) = nhd nell
by (cases nell) (simp-all add: lhd-LNil nhd-NNil)

lemma ltl-llist-of-nellist [simp]:
   $\neg$  is-NNil nell  $\implies$  ltl (llist-of-nellist nell) = llist-of-nellist (ntl nell)
by(cases nell) simp-all

lemma ltl-llist-of-nellist1 [simp]:
  is-NNil nell  $\implies$  ltl (llist-of-nellist nell) = LNil
by(cases nell) simp-all

lemma ltl-llist-of-nellist2 [simp]:
  (case nell of (NNil b)  $\Rightarrow$  (LCons b LNil) |
   (NCons b nell')  $\Rightarrow$  ltl (llist-of-nellist nell)) = llist-of-nellist (ntl nell)
by (simp add: llist-of-nellist.code nellist.case-eq-if)

lemma nellist-of-llist-a-cong [cong]:
assumes ll = ll' lfinite ll'  $\implies$  b = b'
shows nellist-of-llist-a b ll = nellist-of-llist-a b' ll'
proof(unfold `ll = ll`)
from assms have lfinite ll'  $\longrightarrow$  b = b' by simp
thus nellist-of-llist-a b ll' = nellist-of-llist-a b' ll'
  by(coinduction arbitrary: ll') auto
qed

primcorec snocn :: 'a nellist  $\Rightarrow$  'a nellist
where snocn nell a =
  (case nell of (NNil x)  $\Rightarrow$  NCons x (NNil a) |
   (NCons x nell')  $\Rightarrow$  NCons x (snocn nell' a))

```

```

simps-of-case snocn-code [code, simp, nitpick-simp]: snocn.code

lemma snocn-simps [simp]:
  shows nhd-snocn: nhd(snocn nell a) = nffirst nell
  and  ntl-snocn: ntl(snocn nell a) = (if is-NNil nell then (NNil a) else snocn (ntl nell) a)
  by (case-tac [|] nell)
    (auto simp add: nffirst-def)

lemma is-NNil-snocn:
  is-NNil(snocn nell a)  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
  by (auto simp add: snocn-def)

lemma nmap-snocn-distrib:
  nmap f (snocn nell a) = snocn (nmap f nell) (f a)
  proof (coinduction arbitrary: nell rule: nellist.coinduct-strong)
  case (Eq-nellist nella)
  then show ?case
  by (auto simp add: nellist.case-eq-if nellist.map-sel(1))
  qed

definition nfinite :: 'a nellist  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where nfinite nell  $\equiv$  lfinite (llist-of-nellist nell)

lemma nfinite-induct [consumes 1, case-names NNil NCons]:
  assumes nfinite nell
  and  $\bigwedge y. P(\text{NNil } y)$ 
  and  $\bigwedge x \text{ nell}. [\![\text{nfinite nell}; P \text{ nell}]\!] \implies P(\text{NCons } x \text{ nell})$ 
  shows P nell
  using assms
  unfolding nfinite-def
  proof (induct llist-of-nellist nell arbitrary: nell rule: lfinite-induct)
  case LNil
  then show ?case by simp
  next
  case LCons
  then show ?case by (metis lfinite.cases lnull-def ltl-llist-of-nellist ltl-simps(2)
    nellist.collapse(1) nellist.exhaust-sel)
  qed

lemma
  shows nfinite-NNil: nfinite (NNil x)
  and nfinite-NConsI: nfinite nell  $\implies$  nfinite (NCons x nell)
  unfolding nfinite-def
  by auto

declare nfinite-NNil [iff]

lemma is-NNil-imp-nfinite [simp]:
  is-NNil nell  $\implies$  nfinite nell
  using lfinite.simps llist-of-nellist-eq-LNil by (auto simp add: nfinite-def )

```

```

lemma nfinite-NCons [simp]:
  nfinite (NCons x nell) = nfinite nell
by (simp add: nfinite-def)

lemma nfinite-ntl [simp]:
  nfinite (ntl nell) = nfinite nell
by (cases nell) simp-all

lemma nfinite-code [code]:
  nfinite (NNil x) = True
  nfinite (NCons x nell) = nfinite nell
by simp-all

lemma nfinite-imp-finite-nset:
assumes nfinite nell
shows finite (nset nell)
using assms
by (induct nell rule:nfinite-induct) simp-all

lemma nfinite-snocn [simp]:
  nfinite(snocn nell a)  $\longleftrightarrow$  nfinite nell
(is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
proof
  assume ?lhs thus ?rhs
  proof (induct zs $\equiv$ snocn nell a arbitrary: nell rule: nfinite-induct)
    case (NNil y)
    then show ?case
    by (metis is-NNil-snocn nellist.disc(1))
    next
    case (NCons x nell)
    then show ?case
      by (cases nell) simp-all
    qed
  next
    assume ?rhs thus ?lhs
    by (induct rule: nfinite-induct) auto
  qed

lemma snocn-inf:
   $\neg$  nfinite nell  $\implies$  snocn nell a = nell
proof (coinduction arbitrary: nell)
  case (Eq-nellist nella)
  then show ?case
    proof -
      have 1: is-NNil (snocn nella a) = is-NNil nella
      using Eq-nellist by auto
      have 2: (is-NNil (snocn nella a)  $\longrightarrow$  is-NNil nella  $\longrightarrow$  nlast (snocn nella a)) = nlast nella)

```

```

by auto
have 3: ( $\neg \text{is-NNil}(\text{snocn nella } a) \rightarrow \neg \text{is-NNil nella} \rightarrow$ 
 $nhd(\text{snocn nella } a) = nhd \text{ nella} \wedge$ 
 $(\exists \text{nell. ntl} (\text{snocn nella } a) = \text{snocn nell } a \wedge \text{ntl nella} = \text{nell} \wedge \neg \text{nfinite nell}))$ 
by (simp add: Eq-nellist nellist.case-eq-if)
from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

lemma nfinite-nmap [simp]:
 $\text{nfinite}(\text{nmap } f \text{ nell}) = \text{nfinite nell}$  (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
proof
assume ?lhs thus ?rhs
proof (induct zs}nmap f nell arbitrary: nell rule: nfinite-induct)
case (NNil y)
then show ?case by (metis nellist.disc(1) nellist.map-disc-iff is-NNil-imp-nfinite)
next
case (NCons x nell)
then show ?case by (metis nellist.sel(5) nfinite-ntl ntl-nmap)
qed
next
assume ?rhs thus ?lhs
by (induct rule: nfinite-induct) simp-all
qed

lemma nset-snocn-nfinite [simp]:
 $\text{nfinite nell} \implies \text{nset}(\text{snocn nell } a) = \text{nset nell} \cup \{a\}$ 
by (induct rule: nfinite-induct) auto

lemma nset-snocn1:
 $\text{nset}(\text{snocn nell } a) \subseteq \text{nset nell} \cup \{a\}$ 
proof (cases nfinite nell)
case True
then show ?thesis by simp
next
case False
then show ?thesis by (auto simp add: snocn-inf)
qed

lemma nset-snocn-conv:
 $\text{nset}(\text{snocn nell } a) = (\text{if nfinite nell then nset nell} \cup \{a\} \text{ else nset nell})$ 
by (simp add: snocn-inf)

lemma in-nset-snocn-iff:
 $x \in \text{nset}(\text{snocn nell } a) \leftrightarrow x \in \text{nset nell} \vee \text{nfinite nell} \wedge x = a$ 
by (metis Un-iff empty-iff insert-iff nset-snocn-conv)

lemma llist-of-nellist-inverse-1:
assumes  $\neg \text{nfinite nell}$ 
shows  $\text{nellist-of-llist-a } b (\text{llist-of-nellist nell}) = \text{snocn nell } b$ 

```

```

using assms
proof (coinduction arbitrary: nell)
case (Eq-nellist nella)
then show ?case
proof -
  have 1: is-NNil (nellist-of-lolist-a b (llist-of-nellist nella)) = is-NNil (snocn nella b)
    by auto
  have 2: (is-NNil (nellist-of-lolist-a b (llist-of-nellist nella))) —>
    is-NNil (snocn nella b) —>
    nlast (nellist-of-lolist-a b (llist-of-nellist nella)) = nlast (snocn nella b))
    by simp
  have 3: ( $\neg$  is-NNil (nellist-of-lolist-a b (llist-of-nellist nella))) —>
     $\neg$  is-NNil (snocn nella b) —>
    nhd (nellist-of-lolist-a b (llist-of-nellist nella)) = nhd (snocn nella b)  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\exists$  nell.
      ntl (nellist-of-lolist-a b (llist-of-nellist nella)) =
      nellist-of-lolist-a b (llist-of-nellist nell)  $\wedge$ 
      ntl (snocn nella b) = snocn nell b  $\wedge$   $\neg$  nfinite nell)
    by (metis Eq-nellist lhd-lolist-of-nellist ltl-lolist-of-nellist nfinite-ntl
      nhd-nellist-of-lolist-a ntl-nellist-of-lolist-a snocn-inf)
  from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

```

```

lemma llist-of-nellist-inverse-2:
assumes nfinite nell
shows nellist-of-lolist-a b (llist-of-nellist nell) = snocn nell b
using assms
by (induct rule: nfinite-induct) simp-all

```

```

lemma llist-of-nellist-inverse [simp]:
shows nellist-of-lolist-a b (llist-of-nellist nell) = snocn nell b
using llist-of-nellist-inverse-1 llist-of-nellist-inverse-2 by fastforce

```

```

lemma llist-of-nellist-inverse-3:
assumes  $\neg$  nfinite nell
shows nellist-of-lolist-a (nlast nell) (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nell)) = nell
using assms
proof (coinduction arbitrary: nell)
case (Eq-nellist nella)
then show ?case

```

```

proof -
  have 1: is-NNil (nellist-of-lolist-a (nlast nella) (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nella))) =
    is-NNil nella
    by (metis Eq-nellist is-NNil-imp-nfinite lbutlast.disc(2) llist-of-nellist.disc-iff
      ltl-lolist-of-nellist nellist-of-lolist-a.disc(2))
  have 2: (is-NNil (nellist-of-lolist-a (nlast nella) (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nella)))) —>
    is-NNil nella —>
    nlast (nellist-of-lolist-a (nlast nella)
      (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nella))) = nlast nella)

```

```

using Eq-nellist is-NNil-imp-nfinite by blast
have 3: ( $\neg$  is-NNil (nellist-of-lolist-a (nlast nella) (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nella)))  $\longrightarrow$ 
 $\neg$  is-NNil nella  $\longrightarrow$ 
    nhd (nellist-of-lolist-a (nlast nella) (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nella))) =
    nhd nella  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\exists$  nell.
        ntl (nellist-of-lolist-a (nlast nella) (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nella))) =
        nellist-of-lolist-a (nlast nell) (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nell))  $\wedge$ 
        ntl nella = nell  $\wedge$   $\neg$  nfinite nell))
by (auto simp add: llist.case-eq-if Eq-nellist)
from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

lemma llist-of-nellist-inverse-4:
assumes nfinite nell
shows nellist-of-lolist-a (nlast nell) (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nell)) = nell
using assms
by (induct rule: nfinite-induct) (simp-all add: llist-of-nellist.code)

lemma llist-of-nellist-inverse-a [simp]:
shows nellist-of-lolist-a (nlast nell) (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nell)) = nell
using llist-of-nellist-inverse-3 llist-of-nellist-inverse-4 by fastforce

lemma nlast-llast:
assumes nfinite nell
shows nlast nell = llast(llist-of-nellist nell)
using assms
by (induct rule: nfinite-induct)
  (simp-all add: llist-of-nellist.code)

lemma llist-of-nellist-inverse-b [simp]:
shows nellist-of-lolist (llist-of-nellist nell) = nell
by (metis lappend-inf lbutlast-snoc llist-of-nellist-inverse llist-of-nellist-inverse-a
  nfinite-def snocn-inf nlast-llast)

lemma nellist-of-lolist-a-eq [simp]:
  nellist-of-lolist-a b' ll = NNil b  $\longleftrightarrow$  b = b'  $\wedge$  ll = LNil
by(cases ll) auto

lemma NNil-eq-nellist-of-lolist-a [simp]:
  NNil b = nellist-of-lolist-a b' ll  $\longleftrightarrow$  b = b'  $\wedge$  ll = LNil
by(cases ll) auto

lemma nellist-of-lolist-a-inject [simp]:
  nellist-of-lolist-a b llx = nellist-of-lolist-a c lly  $\longleftrightarrow$  llx = lly  $\wedge$  (lfinite lly  $\longrightarrow$  b = c)
  (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
proof(intro iffI conjI impI)
assume ?rhs
thus ?lhs by(auto intro: nellist-of-lolist-a-cong)

```

```

next
assume ?lhs
thus llx = lly
  by(coinduction arbitrary: llx lly)(auto simp add: lnull-def neq-LNil-conv)
assume lfinite lly
thus b = c using ‹?lhs›
  unfolding ‹llx = lly› by(induct) simp-all
qed

lemma nellist-of-llist-a-inverse-1:
assumes ¬ lfinite ll
shows llist-of-nellist (nellist-of-llist-a b ll) = lappend ll (LCons b LNil)
using assms
by (coinduction arbitrary: ll) auto

lemma nellist-of-llist-a-inverse-2:
assumes lfinite ll
shows llist-of-nellist (nellist-of-llist-a b ll) = lappend ll (LCons b LNil)
using assms
proof (induct ll rule: lfinite-induct)
case (LNil xs)
then show ?case by (simp add: lnull-def)
next
case (LCons xs)
then show ?case
  by (metis nellist-of-llist-a.disc(2) lappend-code(2) lhd-LCons-ltl lhd-llist-of-nellist
    llist-of-nellist.code llist-of-nellist.simps(2) llist-of-nellist.simps(3)
    ltl-llist-of-nellist nhd-nellist-of-llist-a ntl-nellist-of-llist-a)
qed

lemma nellist-of-llist-a-inverse [simp]:
shows llist-of-nellist (nellist-of-llist-a b ll) = lappend ll (LCons b LNil)
using nellist-of-llist-a-inverse-1 nellist-of-llist-a-inverse-2 by metis

lemma nellist-of-llist-inverse [simp]:
assumes ¬ lnull ll
shows llist-of-nellist (nellist-of-llist ll) = ll
using assms by simp

lemma nmap-nellist-of-llist-a:
  nmap f (nellist-of-llist-a b ll) = nellist-of-llist-a (f b) (lmap f ll)
by (coinduction arbitrary: ll) (auto simp add: nmap-is-NNil)

lemma nmap-nellist-of-llist:
assumes ¬ lnull ll
shows nmap f (nellist-of-llist ll) = nellist-of-llist (lmap f ll)
using assms
by (metis lappend-inf lbutlast-snoc lfinite-lmap llast-lmap lmap-lbutlast nellist-of-llist-a-cong
  nmap-nellist-of-llist-a)

```

lemma *lmap-llist-of-nellist*:

$$lmap f (llist-of-nellist nell) = llist-of-nellist (nmap f nell)$$
by (*metis llist.map-disc-iff llist-of-nellist.disc-iff llist-of-nellist-inverse-b nellist-of-llist-inverse nmap-nellist-of-llist*)

definition *cr-nellist* :: '*a* *llist* \Rightarrow '*a* *nellist* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *cr-nellist* = $(\lambda ll nell. llist-of-nellist nell = ll)$

lemma *llist-of-nellist-not-lnull*:

$$\neg (lnull (llist-of-nellist nell))$$
by *simp*

lemma *not-lnull-eq-lappend-lbutlast-llast*:

$$\neg (lnull ll) \longleftrightarrow ll = lappend (lbutlast ll) (LCons (llast ll) LNil)$$
using *llist.collapse(1)* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *Domainip-help*:

$$\neg lnull ll \implies \exists nell. llist-of-nellist nell = ll$$
using *nellist-of-llist-inverse* **by** *blast*

lemma *not-lnull-conv.llist-of-nellist*:

$$\neg lnull ll \longleftrightarrow (\exists nell. llist-of-nellist nell = ll)$$
using *Domainip-help llist-of-nellist-not-lnull* **by** *blast*

lemma *Domainip-cr-nellist* [*transfer-domain-rule*]:

$$Domainip cr-nellist = (\lambda ll. \neg (lnull ll))$$
unfolding *cr-nellist-def Domainip-iff[abs-def]*
using *Domainip-help* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *bi-unique-cr-nellist-help*:

$$llist-of-nellist nelly = llist-of-nellist nellz \implies nelly = nellz$$
by (*coinduction arbitrary: nelly nellz*)

$$(metis llist-of-nellist-inverse-b)$$

lemma *quotient-help-2*:

$$(\neg lnull (llist-of-nellist nell) \wedge nellist-of-llist (llist-of-nellist nell) = nell)$$
by (*simp add: bi-unique-cr-nellist-help*)

lemma *quotient-help-nellist-1*:

$$cr-nellist ll nell \longrightarrow nellist-of-llist ll = nell$$
by (*metis cr-nellist-def llist-of-nellist-inverse-b*)

lemma *quotient-help-nellist-2*:

$$(cr-nellist (llist-of-nellist nell) nell)$$
by (*simp add: cr-nellist-def*)

lemma *quotient-help-3-nellist*:

$$(\neg lnull llx \wedge llx = lly) =$$

```
(cr-nellist llx (nellist-of-llist llx) ∧
  cr-nellist lly (nellist-of-llist lly) ∧
  nellist-of-llist llx =
  nellist-of-llist lly))
```

by (metis Domainp.DomainI Domainp-cr-nellist cr-nellist-def nellist-of-llist-inverse)

lemma Quotient-nellist:

```
Quotient (λ llx lly. ¬ lnull llx ∧ llx = lly)
  nellist-of-llist llist-of-nellist cr-nellist
```

unfolding Quotient-alt-def

using quotient-help-3-nellist quotient-help-nellist-1 quotient-help-nellist-2 **by** blast

setup-lifting Quotient-nellist

context includes lifting-syntax

begin

lemma bi-unique-cr-nellist [transfer-rule]:

```
bi-unique cr-nellist
```

unfolding cr-nellist-def bi-unique-def

by (auto simp add: bi-unique-cr-nellist-help)

lemma right-total-cr-nellist [transfer-rule]:

```
right-total cr-nellist
```

unfolding cr-nellist-def right-total-def

by simp

lemma NNil-transfer [transfer-rule]:

```
(A ==> pcr-nellist A) (λb. LCons b LNil) NNil
```

by (auto simp add: pcr-nellist-def OO-def cr-nellist-def rel-fun-def)

lemma NCons-transfer [transfer-rule]:

```
(A ==> pcr-nellist A ==> pcr-nellist A) LCons NCons
```

by (auto simp add: pcr-nellist-def OO-def cr-nellist-def rel-fun-def)

lemma nmap-transfer [transfer-rule]:

```
((=) ==> pcr-nellist (=) ==> pcr-nellist (=)) lmap nmap
```

by (auto simp add: cr-nellist-def nellist.pcr-cr-eq rel-fun-def lmap-llist-of-nellist)

lemma is-NNil-transfer [transfer-rule]:

```
(pcr-nellist (=) ==> (=)) is-lfirst is-NNil
```

by (simp add: cr-nellist-def nellist.pcr-cr-eq rel-fun-def)

```
(metis lbutlast-simps(2) llast-singleton llist.disc(2) llist-of-nellist-eq-LNil
```

```
llist-of-nellist-inverse-a nellist-of-llist-inverse)
```

lemma is-NNil-nellist-of-llist-conv-is-lfirst:

assumes ¬ lnull lx

shows is-NNil(nellist-of-llist lx) ↔ is-lfirst lx

```

using assms
by (cases lx)
  (simp, metis lbutlast.ctr(1) lbutlast.disc-iff(2) lbutlast-eq-LNil-conv llist.disc(1)
   nellist-of-lolist-a.disc-iff(2))

lemma nfirst-transfer-a [transfer-rule]:
  (pcr-nellist (=) ==> (=)) lhd nfirst
by ( simp add: cr-nellist-def nellist.pcr-cr-eq nfirst-def rel-fun-def llist-of-nellist.simps(2))

lemma nhd-transfer-a1 [transfer-rule]:
  (pcr-nellist (=) ==> (=)) (λ ll. if is-lfirst ll then lhd LNil else lhd ll) nhd
by ( simp add: cr-nellist-def nellist.pcr-cr-eq rel-fun-def OO-def)
  (metis lbutlast-simps(2) lhd-lolist-of-nellist llist-of-nellist-eq-LNil nhd-nellist-of-lolist-a
   quotient-help-2)

lemma ntl-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (pcr-nellist A ==> pcr-nellist A) (λ ll. if is-lfirst ll then ll else ltl ll) ntl
proof (auto simp add: pcr-nellist-def cr-nellist-def OO-def rel-fun-def intro!: llist-all2-ltlI
   lfinite-LConsI dest: llist-all2-lnullD)
show  $\wedge b y. llist-all2 A (LCons b LNil) (llist-of-nellist y) \Rightarrow$ 
   llist-all2 A (LCons b LNil) (llist-of-nellist (ntl y))
using llist-all2-ltlI
by (metis eq-LConsD is-NNil-ntl llist-all2-LNil1 llist-of-nellist.code llist-of-nellist.simps(3)
   llist-of-nellist-eq-LNil ltl-lolist-of-nellist nlast-ntl)
next
show  $\wedge x y. \forall b. x \neq LCons b LNil \Rightarrow llist-all2 A x (llist-of-nellist y) \Rightarrow$ 
   llist-all2 A (ltl x) (llist-of-nellist (ntl y))
by (metis lhd-LCons-ltl llist-all2-LNil2 llist-all2-lnullD llist-all2-ltlI
   llist-of-nellist.disc-iff ltl-lolist-of-nellist ltl-lolist-of-nellist1)
qed

lemma nfinite-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (pcr-nellist (=) ==> (=)) lfinite nfinite
by (auto simp add: nellist.pcr-cr-eq cr-nellist-def nfinite-def rel-fun-def)

lemma llist-of-nellist-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (pcr-nellist (=) ==> (=)) id llist-of-nellist
by (simp add: pcr-nellist-def cr-nellist-def OO-def rel-fun-def llist.rel-eq)

lemma nellist-of-lolist-a-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ((=) ==> (=) ==> pcr-nellist (=)) (λ b ll. lappend ll (LCons b LNil)) nellist-of-lolist-a
by (auto simp add: pcr-nellist-def cr-nellist-def OO-def rel-fun-def)

lemma nlast-nellist-of-lolist-a-lfinite [simp]:
  lfinite ll \Rightarrow nlast (nellist-of-lolist-a b ll) = b
by (induct rule: lfinite.induct) simp-all

lemma snoocn-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (pcr-nellist (A) ==> (A) ==> pcr-nellist (A)) (λ ll a. lappend ll (LCons a LNil)) snoocn
unfolding rel-fun-def

```

```

by (auto simp add: pcr-nellist-def nellist.pcr-cr-eq cr-nellist-def OO-def)
  (metis LNil-transfer llist.rel-intros(2) llist-all2-lappendI llist-of-nellist-inverse
   nellist-of-llist-a-inverse)

lemma nellist-all2-help-a:
  llist-all2 P (llist-of-nellist nella) (llist-of-nellist nellb) ==> nellist-all2 P nella nellb
by (coinduction arbitrary: nella nellb)
  (metis lhd-llist-of-nellist llist.disc(1) llist-all2-LCons-LCons llist-all2-LNil1
   llist-all2-LNil2 llist-all2-lhdD llist-all2-ltlI llist-of-nellist.disc-iff
   llist-of-nellist-eq-LNil ltl-llist-of-nellist ltl-simps(2))

lemma nellist-all2-help-b:
  nellist-all2 P nella nellb ==> llist-all2 P (llist-of-nellist nella) (llist-of-nellist nellb)
proof (coinduction arbitrary: nella nellb)
case LNil
then show ?case
by simp
next
case LCons
then show ?case
by auto
  (metis llist-of-nellist.simps(2) nellist.case-eq-if nellist.rel-sel,
   metis llist-all2-LNil1 ltl-llist-of-nellist ltl-llist-of-nellist1 nellist.rel-sel)
qed

lemma nellist-all2-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ((=) ==> pcr-nellist (=) ==> pcr-nellist (=) ==> (=)) llist-all2 nellist-all2
unfolding nellist.pcr-cr-eq cr-nellist-def
using nellist-all2-help-a nellist-all2-help-b by blast

lift-definition nappend :: 'a nellist => 'a nellist => 'a nellist
is ( $\lambda llx lly. lappend llx lly$ )
by auto

lemma llist-all2-llist-of-nellist-1:
assumes  $\neg lnull y$ 
  llist-all2 ( $\lambda z. llist\text{-of}\text{-nellist } z = x$ ) llist1 y
  llist-all2 ( $\lambda z. llist\text{-of}\text{-nellist } z = x$ ) llist2 y
shows llist1 = llist2
proof -
have 1: llist-all2 ( $\lambda z. llist\text{-of}\text{-nellist } z = x$ ) llist1 y =
  llist-all2 (=) llist1 (lmap llist-of-nellist y)
using llist-all2-lmap2[of (=) llist1 llist-of-nellist y]
using llist-all2-mono by fastforce
have 2: llist-all2 ( $\lambda z. llist\text{-of}\text{-nellist } z = x$ ) llist2 y =
  llist-all2 (=) llist2 (lmap llist-of-nellist y)
using llist-all2-lmap2[of (=) llist2 llist-of-nellist y]
using llist-all2-mono by fastforce
show ?thesis using assms

```

```

by (metis (full-types) 1 2 llist.rel-eq)
qed

lemma llist-all2-llist-of-nellist-2:
assumes  $\neg \text{lnull } y$ 
    llist-all2 ( $\lambda z. \text{llist-of-nellist } z = x$ ) y llist1
    llist-all2 ( $\lambda z. \text{llist-of-nellist } z = x$ ) y llist2
shows llist1 = llist2
proof -
  have 1: llist-all2 ( $\lambda z. \text{llist-of-nellist } z = x$ ) y llist1 =
    llist-all2 (=) (lmap llist-of-nellist y) llist1
  using llist-all2-lmap1[of (=) ]
  using llist-all2-mono by fastforce
  have 2: llist-all2 ( $\lambda z. \text{llist-of-nellist } z = x$ ) y llist2 =
    llist-all2 (=) (lmap llist-of-nellist y) llist2
  using llist-all2-lmap1[of (=) ]
  using llist-all2-mono by fastforce
  show ?thesis using assms
  by (metis (full-types) 1 2 llist.rel-eq)
qed

```

```

lift-definition nconcat :: 'a nellist nellist  $\Rightarrow$  'a nellist
is ( $\lambda lxs. \text{lconcat } lxs$ )
apply ( simp add: pcr-nellist-def cr-nellist-def OO-def rel-fun-def llist.rel-eq )
using llist.rel-cases mem-Collect-eq llist-all2-llist-of-nellist-1 by fastforce

```

```

lift-definition nfilter :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a nellist  $\Rightarrow$  'a nellist
is  $\lambda P ll. (\text{if } \text{lnull}(lfilter P ll) \text{ then } ll \text{ else } lfilter P ll)$ 
by auto

```

```

lift-definition lappendn :: 'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  'a nellist  $\Rightarrow$  'a nellist
is lappend
by auto

```

```

lift-definition nzip :: 'a nellist  $\Rightarrow$  'b nellist  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'b) nellist
is ( $\lambda llx lly. \text{lzip } llx lly$ )
by auto

```

```

lift-definition niterates :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a nellist
is ( $\lambda f a . \text{iterates } f a$ )
by auto

```

```

lift-definition ndistinct :: 'a nellist  $\Rightarrow$  bool
is ldistinct
by auto

```

```

lift-definition nnth :: 'a nellist  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a
is  $\lambda ll n. \text{lnth } ll (\text{the-enat} (\min (\text{enat } n) ((\text{epred } (\text{llength } ll))))))$ 

```

by *blast*

```
lift-definition nlength :: 'a nellist ⇒ enat
is λ ll. epred(llength ll)
by auto

lift-definition ndropn :: nat ⇒ 'a nellist ⇒ 'a nellist
is λ n ll. ldropn (the-enat (min (enat n) ((epred(llength ll))))) ll
by auto
(metis co.enat.exhaust-sel enat-the-enat iless-Suc-eq infinity-ileE leD llength-eq-0
min.cobounded1 min.cobounded2)
```

```
lift-definition ntake :: enat ⇒ 'a nellist ⇒ 'a nellist
is λ n ll. ltake (eSuc n) ll
by auto
```

```
lift-definition ntaken :: nat ⇒ 'a nellist ⇒ 'a nellist
is λ n ll. ltake (Suc n) ll
using enat-0-iff(2) by auto
```

2.4 The nlast element *nlast*

```
lemma nlast-not-nfinite:
assumes ¬ nfinite nell
shows nlast nell = undefined
unfolding nlast0-nlast[symmetric]
using assms
by (rule contrapos-np)
(induct nell rule: nlast0.raw-induct[rotated 1, OF refl, consumes 1],
auto split: nellist.split-asm)
```

```
lemma nlast-nellist-of-lolist-a:
nlast (nellist-of-lolist-a y ll) = (if lfinite ll then y else undefined)
by (simp add: nfinite-def nlast-not-nfinite)
```

```
lemma nlast-transfer [transfer-rule]:
(pcr-nellist (=) ===> (=)) (λll. if lfinite ll then nlast ll else undefined) nlast
by (auto simp add: cr-nellist-def pcr-nellist-def nlast-nellist-of-lolist-a OO-def
dest: llist-all2-lfiniteD)
(simp add: llist.rel-eq nfinite-def nlast-llast nlast-not-nfinite rel-funI)
```

```
lemma nlast-nmap [simp]:
nfinite nell ==> nlast (nmap f nell) = f (nlast nell)
by (induct rule: nfinite-induct)
(auto simp add: nellist.map-sel(1))
```

```
lemma nset-nlast:
nfinite nell ==> nlast nell ∈ nset nell
by (induct rule: nfinite-induct)
(simp-all add: nellist.set-sel(3))
```

2.5 nset

```

lemma lset-llist-of-nellist-1:
assumes nfinite nell
shows lset (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nell)) ∪ {nlast nell} = nset nell (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof(intro set-eqI iffI)
fix x
assume x ∈ ?lhs
thus x ∈ ?rhs
proof -
have 1: nlast nell = x ⟹ x ∈ nset nell
using assms nset-nlast by blast
have 2: nlast nell = x ⟹ x ∈ nset nell
unfolding nlast0-nlast[symmetric] by (simp add: 1)
have 3: x ∈ lset (lbutlast(llist-of-nellist nell)) ⟹ x ∈ nset nell
proof (induct lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nell) arbitrary: nell rule: llist-set-induct)
case find
then show ?case
by (metis lbutlast.disc(1) llist.disc(1) llist-of-nellist-inverse-b ltl-llist-of-nellist1
nellist.set-sel(2) nhd-nellist-of-llist-a)
next
case (step y)
then show ?case
by (metis lbutlast.disc(1) lbutlast-ltl llist.disc(1) ltl-llist-of-nellist ltl-llist-of-nellist1
nellist.disc(1) nellist.exhaust-sel nellist.set-intros(3))
qed
show ?thesis
using 2 3 ⟨x ∈ lset (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nell)) ∪ {nlast nell}⟩ by blast
qed
next
fix x
assume x ∈ ?rhs
thus x ∈ ?lhs
proof(induct rule: nellist-set-induct)
case (findnil nell)
then show ?case
by (cases nell) auto
next
case (find nell)
thus ?case
by (metis UnI1 lbutlast.disc-iff(2) llist.set-sel(1) ltl-llist-of-nellist
nhd-nellist-of-llist-a quotient-help-2)
next
case step
thus ?case
by (metis Un-iff in-lset-ltlD lbutlast-ltl ltl-llist-of-nellist nlast-ntl)
qed
qed

lemma lset-llist-of-nellist-2:
assumes ¬nfinite nell

```

```

shows lset (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nell)) = nset nell (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof(intro set-eqI iffI)
  fix x
  assume x ∈ ?lhs
  thus x ∈ ?rhs
    proof -
      have 3: x ∈ lset (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nell)) ⟹ x ∈ nset nell
      proof (induct lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nell) arbitrary: nell rule: llist-set-induct)
        case find
        then show ?case
          by (metis lbutlast.disc(1) llist.disc(1) llist-of-nellist-inverse-a ltl-llist-of-nellist1
              nellist.setsel(2) nhd-nellist-of-llist-a)
        next
        case (step y)
        then show ?case
          by (metis lbutlast.disc(1) lbutlast-ltl llist.disc(1) ltl-llist-of-nellist
              ltl-llist-of-nellist1 nellist.collapse(2) nellist.set-intros(3))
        qed
        show ?thesis
        using 3 ⟨x ∈ lset (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nell))⟩ by blast
      qed
    qed
  next
  fix x
  assume x ∈ ?rhs
  thus x ∈ ?lhs
  using assms
  proof(induct rule: nellist-set-induct)
    case (findnil nell)
    then show ?case
      by (cases nell) auto
    next
    case (find nell)
    thus ?case
      by (metis lbutlast-not-lfinite lhd-llist-of-nellist llist.setsel(1) llist-of-nellist-not-lnull
          nfinite-def)
    next
    case step
    thus ?case
      by (metis in-lset-ltlD lbutlast-ltl ltl-llist-of-nellist nfinite-ntl)
  qed
qed

```

lemma lset-llist-of-nellist [simp]:
 $(\text{if } \text{nfinite } \text{nell} \text{ then } \text{lset} (\text{lbutlast}(\text{llist-of-nellist } \text{nell})) \cup \{\text{nlast } \text{nell}\}$
 $\text{else } \text{lset} (\text{lbutlast} (\text{llist-of-nellist } \text{nell}))) = \text{nset } \text{nell}$
using lset-llist-of-nellist-1 lset-llist-of-nellist-2 **by** auto

lemma lset-llist-of-nellist-a [simp]:
 $\text{lset}(\text{llist-of-nellist } \text{nell}) = \text{nset } \text{nell}$
proof (cases nfinite nell)

```

case True
then show ?thesis
by (metis lappend-lbutlast-llast-id-lfinite lbutlast-lfinite llist.simps(19)
      llist-of-nellist-not-lnull lset-LNil lset-lappend-lfinite lset-llist-of-nellist-1 nfinite-def
      nlast-llast)
next
case False
then show ?thesis by (metis lbutlast-not-lfinite lset-llist-of-nellist-2 nfinite-def)
qed

lemma nset-nellist-of-llist-a [simp]:
shows nset (nellist-of-llist-a b ll) = (if lfinite ll then lset ll ∪ {b} else lset ll)
proof (cases lfinite ll)
case True
then show ?thesis
by (metis llist.simps(19) lset-LNil lset-lappend-lfinite lset-llist-of-nellist-a
      nellist-of-llist-a-inverse)
next
case False
then show ?thesis
by (metis lappend-inf lset-llist-of-nellist-a nellist-of-llist-a-inverse)
qed

lemma nset-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (pqr-nellist (=) ==> (=)) lset nset
by(auto simp add: cr-nellist-def nellist.pqr-cr-eq)

end

```

2.6 nmap

```

lemma nmap-eq-NCons-conv:
  nmap f nellx = NCons y nelly <=>
  ( $\exists z$  nellz. nellx = NCons z nellz  $\wedge$  f z = y  $\wedge$  nmap f nellz = nelly)
by(cases nellx) simp-all

lemma NCons-eq-nmap-conv:
  NCons y nelly = nmap f nellx <=>
  ( $\exists z$  nellz. nellx = NCons z nellz  $\wedge$  f z = y  $\wedge$  nmap f nellz = nelly)
by(cases nellx) auto

```

2.7 Appending two nonempty lazy lists nappend

```

lemma nappend-NNil [simp, code, nitpick-simp]:
  nappend (NNil b) nell = (NCons b nell)
by transfer auto

lemma nappend-NCons [simp, code, nitpick-simp]:
  nappend (NCons a nellx) nelly = NCons a (nappend nellx nelly)
by transfer auto

```

lemma *nhd-nappend* [*simp*]:

nhd(nappend nellx nelly) = (if is-NNil nellx then nlast nellx else nhd nellx)

by (*cases nellx*) *auto*

lemma *ntl-nappend* [*simp*]:

ntl(nappend nellx nelly) = (if is-NNil nellx then nelly else nappend (ntl nellx) nelly)

by (*cases nellx*) *auto*

lemma *is-NNil-nappend*:

is-NNil(nappend nellx nelly) \longleftrightarrow False

by (*cases nellx*) *auto*

lemma *nappend-assoc*:

nappend (nappend nellx nelly) nellz = nappend nellx (nappend nelly nellz)

by *transfer (auto simp add: split-beta lappend-assoc)*

lemma *nmap-nappend-distrib*:

nmap f (nappend nellx nelly) = nappend (nmap f nellx) (nmap f nelly)

by *transfer (auto simp add: split-beta lmap-lappend-distrib)*

lemma *nlast-nappend*:

nlast (nappend nellx nelly) = (if nfinite nellx then nlast nelly else nlast nellx)

by *transfer (auto simp add: llast-lappend)*

lemma *nfinite-nappend*:

nfinite (nappend nellx nelly) \longleftrightarrow nfinite nellx \wedge nfinite nelly

by *transfer auto*

lemma *nappend-inf*:

\neg nfinite nellx \implies nappend nellx nelly = nellx

by *transfer (auto simp add: lappend-inf)*

lemma *nappend-snocn-inf*:

assumes \neg nfinite nell

shows nappend nell (NNil a) = snocn nell a

using assms

by (*simp add: nappend-inf snocn-inf*)

lemma *nappend-snocn-finite*:

assumes nfinite nell

shows nappend nell (NNil a) = snocn nell a

using assms

by (*induct rule: nfinite-induct*) *simp-all*

lemma *nappend-snocn*:

nappend nell (NNil a) = snocn nell a

by (*meson nappend-snocn-finite nappend-snocn-inf*)

lemma *split-nellist-first*:

```

assumes  $x \in nset nell$ 
shows  $nell = (NNil x) \vee (\exists ys. nell = nappend (NNil x) ys) \vee$ 
        $(\exists ys. nell = nappend ys (NNil x) \wedge nfinite ys \wedge x \notin nset ys) \vee$ 
        $(\exists ys zs. nell = nappend ys (NCons x zs) \wedge nfinite ys \wedge x \notin nset ys)$ 
using assms
by transfer
  (auto,
   metis eq-LConsD lhd-lappend llist.disc(1) llist.expand split-llist-first)

```

```

lemma split-nellist:
assumes  $x \in nset nell$ 
shows  $nell = (NNil x) \vee (\exists ys. nell = nappend (NNil x) ys) \vee$ 
        $(\exists ys zs. nell = nappend ys (NCons x zs) \wedge nfinite ys) \vee$ 
        $(\exists ys. nell = nappend ys (NNil x) \wedge nfinite ys)$ 
using assms
by (meson split-nellist-first)

```

```

lemma split-nellist-a:
assumes  $nell = (NNil x) \vee (\exists ys. nell = nappend (NNil x) ys) \vee$ 
        $(\exists ys zs. nell = nappend ys (NCons x zs) \wedge nfinite ys) \vee$ 
        $(\exists ys. nell = nappend ys (NNil x) \wedge nfinite ys)$ 
shows  $x \in nset nell$ 
proof –
  have 1:  $nell = (NNil x) \implies x \in nset nell$ 
    by simp
  have 2:  $(\exists ys. nell = nappend (NNil x) ys) \implies x \in nset nell$ 
    by auto
  have 3:  $(\exists ys zs. nell = nappend ys (NCons x zs) \wedge nfinite ys) \implies x \in nset nell$ 
    by transfer auto
  have 4:  $(\exists ys. nell = nappend ys (NNil x) \wedge nfinite ys) \implies x \in nset nell$ 
    by transfer auto
  show ?thesis using 1 2 3 4 assms by blast
qed

```

2.8 Appending a nonempty lazy list to a lazy list $lappendn$

```

lemma lappendn-LNil [simp, code, nitpick-simp]:
  lappendn LNil nell = nell
by transfer auto

```

```

lemma lappendn-LCons [simp, code, nitpick-simp]:
  lappendn (LCons x ll) nell = NCons x (lappendn ll nell )
by transfer auto

```

```

lemma nlast-lappendn-lfinite [simp]:
  lfinite ll  $\implies$  nlast (lappendn ll nell) = nlast nell
by transfer
  (auto simp add: llast-lappend)

```

```

lemma nset-lappendn-lfinite [simp]:

```

lfinite ll \implies nset (lappendn ll nell) = lset ll \cup nset nell
by transfer auto

lemma *nlength-nappend [simp]:*
nlength (nappend nellx nelly) = nlength nellx + nlength nelly + 1
by transfer
(auto, metis co.enat.exhaust-sel epred-iadd1 iadd-Suc-right llength-eq-0 plus-1-eSuc(2))

lemma *nfinite-nlength-enat:*
assumes *nfinite nell*
shows $\exists n. \text{nlength nell} = \text{enat } n$
using *assms*
by transfer (*metis epred-conv-minus idiff-enat-enat lfinite-llength-enat one-enat-def*)

lemma *nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD:*
nlength nell = enat n \implies nfinite nell
by transfer (*metis epred-Infty llength-eq-enat-lfiniteD not-lfinite-llength*)

lemma *nfinite-conv-nlength-enat:*
nfinite nell \longleftrightarrow ($\exists n. \text{nlength nell} = \text{enat } n$)
using *nfinite-nlength-enat nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD by blast*

2.9 The length of a nonempty lazy list *nlength*

lemma [*simp, nitpick-simp*]:
shows *nlength-NNil: nlength (NNil b) = 0*
and *nlength-NCCons: nlength (NCCons x nell) = eSuc (nlength nell)*
by (*transfer, simp*) (*transfer, auto*)

lemma *llength-llist-of-nellist [simp]:*
epred(llength (llist-of-nellist nell)) = nlength nell
by transfer auto

lemma *nlength-nmap [simp]:*
nlength (nmap f nell) = nlength nell
by transfer simp

definition *gen-nlength :: nat \Rightarrow 'a nellist \Rightarrow enat*
where *gen-nlength n nell = enat n + nlength nell*

lemma *gen-nlength-code [code]:*
gen-nlength n (NNil b) = enat n
gen-nlength n (NCCons x nell) = gen-nlength (n + 1) nell
by (*simp-all add: gen-nlength-def iadd-Suc eSuc-enat[symmetric] iadd-Suc-right*)

lemma *nlength-code [code]:*
nlength = gen-nlength 0
by (*simp add: gen-nlength-def fun-eq-iff zero-enat-def*)

2.10 The nth element of a nonempty lazy list nnth

lemma *nnth-NNil* [*nitpick-simp*]:

$$\text{nnth } (\text{NNil } b) \ n = b$$

by *transfer simp*

lemma *nnth-NCons*:

$$\text{nnth } (\text{NCons } x \ \text{nell}) \ n = (\text{case } n \ \text{of} \ 0 \Rightarrow x \mid \text{Suc } n' \Rightarrow \text{nnth nell } n')$$

by (*transfer fixing*: *n*)

(*auto simp add: lnth-LCons Nitpick.case-nat-unfold zero-enat-def min-enat1-conv-enat,*
metis enat-0-iff(1) less-not-refl3 llength-eq-0 min-def min-enat1-conv-enat,
metis enat-min-eq-0-iff min-enat1-conv-enat not-gr-zero the-enat.simps the-enat-0,
metis One-nat-def epred-enat epred-min min-enat1-conv-enat the-enat.simps)

lemma *nnth-code* [*simp, nitpick-simp, code*]:

$$\text{shows nnth-0: } \text{nnth } (\text{NCons } x \ \text{nell}) \ 0 = x$$

$$\text{and nnth-Suc-NCons: } \text{nnth } (\text{NCons } x \ \text{nell}) \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nnth nell } n$$

by (*simp-all add: nnth-NCons*)

lemma *lnth-llist-of-nellist* [*simp*]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lnth } (\text{llist-of-nellist nell}) \ (\text{the-enat } (\text{min } (\text{enat } n) ((\text{epred } (\text{llength } (\text{llist-of-nellist nell})))))) \\ = \text{nnth nell } n \end{aligned}$$

by *transfer auto*

lemma *nnth-nmap* [*simp*]:

$$\text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength nell} \implies \text{nnth } (\text{nmap } f \ \text{nell}) \ n = f \ (\text{nnth nell } n)$$

by *transfer*

(*metis co.enat.exhaustsel illess-Suc-eq llength-eq-0 llength-lmap lnth-lmap min.orderE the-enat.simps*)

lemma *nhd-conv-nnth*:

$$\neg \text{is-NNil nell} \implies \text{nhd nell} = \text{nnth nell } 0$$

by (*metis nellist.collapse(2) nnth-0*)

lemmas *nnth-0-conv-nhd = nhd-conv-nnth* [*symmetric*]

lemma *nnth-ntl*:

$$\text{nnth } (\text{ntl nell}) \ n = \text{nnth nell } (\text{Suc } n)$$

by (*metis nellist.exhaustsel nellist.sel(4) nnth-NNil nnth-Suc-NCons*)

lemma *in-nset-conv-nnth*:

$$x \in \text{nset nell} \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ n. \ \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength nell} \wedge \text{nnth nell } n = x)$$

by *transfer*

(*metis eSuc-epred illess-Suc-eq in-lset-conv-lnth llength-eq-0 min-absorb1 the-enat.simps*)

lemma *nnth-beyond*:

$$\text{nlength nell} < \text{enat } n \implies \text{nnth nell } n = \text{nlast nell}$$

by *transfer*

(*metis co.enat.exhaustsel epred-llength less-enatE lfinite-ltl llast-conv-lnth llength-eq-0 llength-eq-enat-lfiniteD min.absorb4 the-enat.simps*)

lemma *exists-Pred-nnth-nset*:

$$(\exists x \in nset nell. P x) = (\exists n. n \leq nlength nell \wedge P (nnth nell n))$$

by (*metis in-nset-conv-nnth*)

lemma *nset-conv-nnth*:

$$nset nell = \{nnth nell n \mid n. enat n \leq nlength nell\}$$

by (*auto simp add: in-nset-conv-nnth*)

lemma *nnth-nappend1*:

$$\text{enat } n \leq nlength nellx \implies nnth (nappend nellx nelly) n = nnth nellx n$$

proof (*induct n arbitrary: nellx*)

case 0

then show ?case

by (*metis is-NNil-def is-NNil-nappend nellist.sel(1) nhd-nappend nnth-0-conv-nhd nnth-NNil*)

next

case (*Suc n*)

then show ?case

proof (*cases nellx*)

case (*NNil x1*)

then show ?thesis

using *Suc.prems enat-0-iff(1)* **by** *auto*

next

case (*NCons x21 x22*)

then show ?thesis

using *Suc.hyps Suc.prems Suc-ile-eq* **by** *auto*

qed

qed

lemma *nnth-nappend2*:

$$[nlength nellx = enat k; k < n] \implies nnth (nappend nellx nelly) n = nnth nelly (n - Suc k)$$

proof (*induct n arbitrary: nellx k*)

case 0

then show ?case **by** *blast*

next

case (*Suc n*)

then show ?case

by (*cases nellx*)

(*auto simp add: eSuc-def zero-enat-def split: enat.split-asm*)

qed

lemma *nnth-nappend*:

$$\begin{aligned} nnth (nappend nellx nelly) n = \\ (\text{if enat } n \leq nlength nellx \text{ then nnth nellx } n \text{ else nnth nelly } (n - Suc(\text{the-enat}(nlength nellx)))) \end{aligned}$$

by (*cases nlength nellx*)

(*auto simp add: nnth-nappend1 nnth-nappend2*)

lemma *nnth-nlast*:

$$nfinite nell \implies nlast nell = nnth nell (\text{the-enat}(nlength nell))$$

by *transfer*

(*simp,*

metis co.enat.exhaust-sel enat-the-enat ile-eSuc infinity-ileE lfinite-llength-enat llast-conv-lnth llength-eq-0 min.idem)

2.11 ntake

lemma *ntake-NNil* [*simp, code, nitpick-simp*]:

$$\text{ntake } n (\text{NNil } b) = (\text{NNil } b)$$

by *transfer auto*

lemma *ntake-0* [*simp*]:

$$\text{ntake } 0 \text{ nell} = (\text{NNil } (\text{nfirst } \text{nell}))$$

by *transfer (auto simp add: ltake.ctr(2))*

lemma *ntake-Suc-NCons* [*simp*]:

$$\text{ntake } (\text{eSuc } n) (\text{NCons } x \text{ nell}) = (\text{NCons } x (\text{ntake } n \text{ nell}))$$

by *transfer auto*

lemma *ntake-Suc*:

$$\text{ntake } (\text{eSuc } n) \text{ nell} =$$

$$(\text{case } \text{nell} \text{ of } (\text{NNil } b) \Rightarrow (\text{NNil } b) \mid (\text{NCons } x \text{ nell}') \Rightarrow (\text{NCons } x (\text{ntake } n \text{ nell}')))$$

by *(cases nell) simp-all*

lemma *is-NNil-ntake* [*simp*]:

$$\text{is-NNil}(\text{ntake } n \text{ nell}) \longleftrightarrow \text{is-NNil } \text{nell} \vee n=0$$

proof *(cases nell)*

case *(NNil x1)*

then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

next

case *(NCons x nell1)*

then show *?thesis*

proof *(cases n)*

case *(enat nat)*

then show *?thesis*

by *(metis NCons enat-coexhaust nellist.disc(1) nellist.disc(2) ntake-0 ntake-Suc-NCons)*

next

case *infinity*

then show *?thesis*

by *(metis NCons eSuc-infinity i0-ne-infinity nellist.disc(2) ntake-Suc-NCons)*

qed

qed

lemma *ntake-eq-NNil-iff* [*simp*]:

$$\text{ntake } n \text{ nell} = (\text{NNil } x) \longleftrightarrow \text{nell} = (\text{NNil } x) \vee (n = 0 \wedge \text{nfirst } \text{nell} = x)$$

proof *(cases nell)*

case *(NNil x1)*

then show *?thesis*

using *ntake-0* **by** *fastforce*

next

case *(NCons x nell1)*

then show *?thesis*

proof *(cases n)*

```

case (enat nat)
then show ?thesis
by (metis NCons is-NNil-ntake nellist.disc(1) nellist.disc(2) nellist.inject(1) ntake-0)
next
case infinity
then show ?thesis
by (metis NCons eSuc-infinity infinity-ne-i0 nellist.distinct(1) ntake-Suc-NCons)
qed
qed

lemma NNIL-eq-ntake-iff [simp]:

$$(NNIL x) = ntake n nell \longleftrightarrow nell = (NNIL x) \vee (n = 0 \wedge nfirst nell = x)$$

by (metis ntake-eq-NNIL-iff)

lemma ntake-NCons [code, nitpick-simp]:

$$ntake n (NCons x nell) = (case n of 0 \Rightarrow (NNIL x) \mid (eSuc n') \Rightarrow (NCons x (ntake n' nell)))$$

by (simp add: co.enat.case-eq-if)

$$(metis\ eSuc-epred nellist.simps(6)\ nfirst-def ntake-Suc-NCons)$$


lemma nhd-ntake [simp]:

$$n \neq 0 \implies nhd(ntake n nell) = nhd nell$$

unfolding nhd-def
by (simp add: nellist.case-eq-if )

$$(metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ co.enat.case-eq-if\ nellist.collapse(2)\ nellist.sel(3)\ ntake-NCons)$$


lemma ntl-ntake:

$$n \neq 0 \implies ntl(ntake n nell) = ntake (epred n) (ntl nell)$$

by (cases nell) (simp, metis eSuc-epred nellist.sel(5) ntake-Suc-NCons)

lemma ntl-ntake-0:

$$ntl(ntake 0 nell) = (NNIL (nfirst nell))$$

by simp

lemma ntake-ntl:

$$ntake n (ntl nell) = ntl(ntake (Suc n) nell)$$

by (simp add: enat-0-iff(1) ntl-ntake)

lemma nlength-ntake [simp]:

$$nlength (ntake n nell) = min n (nlength nell)$$

by transfer simp

lemma ntake-nmap [simp]:

$$ntake n (nmap f nell) = nmap f (ntake n nell)$$

by transfer simp

lemma ntake-ntake [simp]:

$$ntake n (ntake m nell) = ntake (min n m) nell$$

by transfer simp

lemma nset-ntake:

```

$nset(ntake\ n\ nell) \subseteq nset\ nell$
by transfer (*simp add: lset-ltake*)

lemma *ntake-all*:

$nlength\ nell \leq m \implies ntake\ m\ nell = nell$
by transfer (*auto, metis eSuc-epred eSuc-ile-mono llengh-eq-0 ltake-all*)

lemma *nfinite-ntake* [*simp*]:

$nfinite(ntake\ n\ nell) \longleftrightarrow nfinite\ nell \vee n < \infty$
by transfer (*metis Extended-Nat.eSuc-mono eSuc-infinity lfinite-ltake*)

lemma *ntake-nappend1*:

$n \leq nlength\ nellx \implies ntake\ n\ (nappend\ nellx\ nelly) = ntake\ n\ nellx$
by transfer (*auto, metis eSuc-epred eSuc-ile-mono llengh-eq-0 ltake-lappend1*)

lemma *ntake-nappend2*:

assumes $nlength\ nellx < n$
shows $ntake\ n\ (nappend\ nellx\ nelly) = nappend\ nellx\ (ntake\ (n - nlength\ nellx - 1)\ nelly)$
proof (*cases nellx*)
case (*NNil x1*)
then show ?thesis **using** assms
by (*metis eSuc-le-iff eSuc-minus-1 idiff-0-right ileI1 nappend-NNil nlength-NNil ntake-Suc-NCCons*)
next
case (*NCCons x21 x22*)
then show ?thesis
proof (*cases nfinite nellx*)
case *True*
then show ?thesis
using assms
proof (*transfer*)
fix *llxa* :: '*a* llist
fix *na*
fix *llya* :: '*a* llist
assume *a0*: $\neg lnull\ llxa \wedge llxa = llxa$
assume *a1*: *lfinite* *llxa*
assume *a2*: *epred* (*llength* *llxa*) < *na*
assume *a3*: $\neg lnull\ llya \wedge llya = llya$
show $\neg lnull\ (ltake\ (eSuc\ na)\ (lappend\ llxa\ llya)) \wedge$
ltake (*eSuc* *na*) (*lappend* *llxa* *llya*) =
lappend *llxa* (*ltake* (*eSuc* (*na* - *epred* (*llength* *llxa*) - 1)) *llya*)
proof -
have 1: $\neg lnull\ (ltake\ (eSuc\ na)\ (lappend\ llxa\ llya))$
using *a0* **by** force
have 2: *ltake* (*eSuc* *na*) (*lappend* *llxa* *llya*) =
lappend (*ltake* (*eSuc* *na*) *llxa*) (*ltake* ((*eSuc* *na*) - *llength* *llxa*) *llya*)
by (*meson ltake-lappend*)
have 21: *llength* *llxa* ≤ (*eSuc* *na*)
by (*metis a0 a2 co.enat.exhaust-sel eSuc-ile-mono leD le-cases llengh-eq-0*)
have 3: *lappend* (*ltake* (*eSuc* *na*) *llxa*) (*ltake* ((*eSuc* *na*) - *llength* *llxa*) *llya*) =
lappend *llxa* (*ltake* (*eSuc* *na* - *llength* *llxa*) *llya*)

```

using ltake-lappend2[of llxa (eSuc na) llya] 21 2 by auto
have 4: (eSuc na - llength llxa) = (eSuc (na - epred (llength llxa) - 1))
  by (metis a0 a1 a2 canonically-ordered-monoid-add-class.lessE co.enat.exhaust-sel eSuc-infinity
    eSuc-minus-1 eSuc-minus-eSuc enat-add-sub-same llength-eq-0 llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite)
have 5: (ltake (eSuc na - llength llxa) llya) =
  (ltake (eSuc (na - epred (llength llxa) - 1)) llya)
  using 4 by auto
show ?thesis using 1 2 3 5 by fastforce
qed
qed
next
case False
then show ?thesis using assms
  by (simp add: nappend-inf ntake-all)
qed
qed

lemma ntake-eq-ntake-antimono:
   $\llbracket \text{ntake } n \text{ nellx} = \text{ntake } n \text{ nelly}; m \leq n \rrbracket \implies \text{ntake } m \text{ nellx} = \text{ntake } m \text{ nelly}$ 
  by (metis min.orderE ntake-ntake)

lemma ntake-nnth:
  assumes enat m ≤ n
  shows (nnth (ntake n nell) m) = (nnth nell m)
  using assms
  proof (induct m arbitrary: nell n)
  case 0
  then show ?case
  proof (cases n rule: enat-coexhaust)
    case 0
    then show ?thesis
    using 0.prems
    by (metis nellist.case(2) nellist.collapse(1) nellist.exhaust-sel nfirst-def
      nnth-0-conv-nhd nnth-NNil ntake-eq-NNil-iff)
  next
  case (eSuc n')
  then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: nellist.case-eq-if nnth-0-conv-nhd ntake-Suc)
  qed
next
case (Suc m)
then show ?case
  proof (cases n rule: enat-coexhaust)
    case 0
    then show ?thesis
    using Suc.prems by (simp add: enat-0-iff(1))
  next
  case (eSuc n')
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases nell)

```

```

case (NNil x1)
then show ?thesis by simp
next
case (NCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis
using Suc.hyps Suc.prems Suc-ile-eq eSuc by force
qed
qed
qed

```

2.12 ntaken

```

lemma ntaken-NNil [simp, code, nitpick-simp]:
  ntaken n (NNil b) = (NNil b)
by transfer
  (metis eSuc-enat llist.disc(2) ltake-LNil ltake-eSuc-LCons)

```

```

lemma ntaken-0 [simp]:
  ntaken 0 nell = (NNil (nfirst nell))
proof (cases nell)
case (NNil x1)
then show ?thesis by (metis ntake-0 ntake-NNil ntaken-NNil)
next
case (NCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis
by transfer (simp, (metis One-nat-def ltake-0 ltake-eSuc-LCons one-eSuc one-enat-def zero-neq-one))
qed

```

```

lemma ntaken-Suc-NCons [simp]:
  ntaken (Suc n) (NCons x nell) = (NCons x (ntaken n nell))
by transfer (auto simp add: zero-enat-def, metis eSuc-enat ltake-eSuc-LCons)

```

```

lemma ntaken-Suc:
  ntaken (Suc n) nell =
  (case nell of (NNil b) => (NNil b) | (NCons x nell') => (NCons x (ntaken n nell')))
by (cases nell) simp-all

```

```

lemma is-NNil-ntaken [simp]:
  is-NNil(ntaken n nell) <=> is-NNil nell ∨ n=0
proof (cases nell)
case (NNil x1)
then show ?thesis
by simp
next
case (NCons x nell1)
then show ?thesis
proof (cases n)
case 0
then show ?thesis
by simp

```

```

next
case (Suc nat)
then show ?thesis
by (simp add: NCons)
qed
qed

lemma ntaken-eq-NNil-iff [simp]:
  ntaken n nell = (NNil x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  nell = (NNil x)  $\vee$  (n = 0  $\wedge$  nfirst nell = x)
proof (cases nell)
case (NNil x1)
then show ?thesis
by (metis ntake-0 ntake-NNil ntaken-NNil)
next
case (NCons x nell1)
then show ?thesis
proof (cases n)
case 0
then show ?thesis
by (simp add: NCons)
next
case (Suc nat)
then show ?thesis
using NCons by force
qed
qed

lemma NNil-eq-ntaken-iff [simp]:
  (NNil x) = ntaken n nell  $\longleftrightarrow$  nell = (NNil x)  $\vee$  (n = 0  $\wedge$  nfirst nell = x)
by (metis ntaken-eq-NNil-iff)

lemma ntaken-NCons [code, nitpick-simp]:
  ntaken n (NCons x nell) = (case n of 0  $\Rightarrow$  (NNil x)  $|$  (Suc n')  $\Rightarrow$  (NCons x (ntaken n' nell)) )
by (cases n) (auto simp add: nfirst-def)

lemma nhd-ntaken [simp]:
  n  $\neq$  0  $\Longrightarrow$  nhd(ntaken n nell) = nhd nell
by (cases nell)
  (simp-all add: Nitpick.case-nat-unfold ntaken-NCons)

lemma ntl-ntaken:
  n  $\neq$  0  $\Longrightarrow$  ntl(ntaken n nell) = ntaken (n-1) (ntl nell)
by simp-all
  (metis Suc-pred nellist.exhaust-sel nellist.sel(4) nellist.sel(5) ntaken-NNil ntaken-Suc-NCons)

lemma ntl-ntaken-0:
  ntl(ntaken 0 nell) = (NNil (nfirst nell))
by simp

lemma ntaken-ntl:

```

```

ntaken n (ntl nell) = ntl(ntaken (Suc n) nell)
by (simp add: enat-0-iff(1) ntl-ntaken)

lemma ntaken-nlength [simp]:
  nlength (ntaken n nell) = min n (nlength nell)
by transfer simp

lemma ntaken-nmap [simp]:
  ntaken n (nmap f nell) = nmap f (ntaken n nell)
using enat-0-iff(2) by transfer auto

lemma ntaken-ntaken [simp]:
  ntaken n (ntaken m nell) = ntaken (min n m) nell
using enat-0-iff(2) by transfer auto

lemma nset-ntaken:
  nset (ntaken n nell) ⊆ nset nell
by transfer (simp add: lset-ltake)

lemma ntaken-all:
  nlength nell ≤ m ==> ntaken m nell = nell
by transfer
  (auto simp add: zero-enat-def, metis eSuc-enat eSuc-epred eSuc-ile-mono llength-eq-0 ltake-all)

lemma ntaken-nnth:
  shows (nnth (ntaken m nell) k) = (nnth nell (min k m))
  apply transfer
  by (auto simp add: min-def lnth-ltake ltake-all)
  (metis co.enat.sel(2) enat-eSuc-iff enat-ord-simps(1) epred-le-epredI order-trans,
   metis Suc-ile-eq co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq llength-eq-0,
   metis Suc-ile-eq co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq llength-eq-0,
   meson dual-order.strict-iff-order enat-ord-simps(2) linorder-not-less order-less-le-trans,
   metis Suc-ile-eq co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq llength-eq-0)

lemma nfinite-ntaken [simp]:
  nfinite (ntaken n nell)
by transfer simp

lemma ntaken-nlast:
  nlast (ntaken n nell) = nnth nell n
using nnth-nlast[of ntaken n nell] ntaken-nlength[of n nell]
by (metis min.absorb3 min.idem min.orderI nfinite-ntaken nnth-beyond not-less-iff-gr-or-eq
     ntaken-all ntaken-nnth the-enat.simps)

lemma ntaken-nfirst:
  nfirst (ntaken n nell) = nfirst nell
by transfer (simp add: enat-0-iff(1))

lemma ntaken-nappend1:

```

```

 $n \leq nlength\ nellx \implies ntaken\ n\ (nappend\ nellx\ nelly) = ntaken\ n\ nellx$ 
by transfer
(simp add: zero-enat-def, metis eSuc-enat eSuc-epred eSuc-ile-mono llength-eq-0 ltake-lappend1)

lemma ntaken-nappend2:
  nlength nellx < (enat n)  $\implies$ 
  ntaken n (nappend nellx nelly) = nappend nellx (ntaken (n - (the-enat(nlength nellx)) - 1) nelly)
proof (induct n arbitrary: nellx nelly)
case 0
then show ?case using zero-enat-def by auto
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
proof (cases nellx)
case (NNil x1)
then show ?thesis
by simp
next
case (NCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis using Suc by simp
  (metis Extended-Nat.eSuc-mono eSuc-enat enat-ord-code(4) order-less-imp-not-less the-enat-eSuc)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma ntaken-eq-ntaken-antimono:
   $\llbracket ntaken\ n\ nellx = ntaken\ n\ nelly; m \leq n \rrbracket \implies ntaken\ m\ nellx = ntaken\ m\ nelly$ 
by (metis min.orderE ntaken-ntaken)

```

```

lemma ntake-eq-ntaken:
assumes (enat k) = m
shows ntake m nell = ntaken k nell
using assms apply transfer
using eSuc-enat by auto

```

2.13 Concatenating a nonempty lazy list of nonempty lazy lists nconcat

```

lemma nconcat-NNil [simp]:
  nconcat (NNil nell) = nell
by transfer auto

lemma nconcat-NCons [simp]:
  nconcat (NCons nell nells) = nappend nell (nconcat nells)
by transfer auto

```

```

lemma nconcat-def2:
  nconcat = nellist-of-llist  $\circ$  lconcat  $\circ$  (lmap llist-of-nellist  $\circ$  llist-of-nellist)
by (simp add: map-fun-def nconcat-def)

```

```

lemma not-null-lconcat:
   $\neg lnull((lconcat \circ (lmap llist-of-nellist \circ llist-of-nellist))\ nells)$ 

```

by (*simp add: llist-of-nellist.code*)

lemma *nconcat-def3*:

$$((\text{llist-of-nellist} \circ \text{nconcat}) \text{ nells}) = ((\text{lconcat} \circ (\text{lmap llist-of-nellist} \circ \text{llist-of-nellist})) \text{ nells})$$

proof -

let *?n2l* = (*lmap llist-of-nellist* \circ *llist-of-nellist*)

have 1: *llist-of-nellist* \circ *nconcat* =

$$\text{llist-of-nellist} \circ \text{nellist-of-llist} \circ \text{lconcat} \circ ?n2l$$

by (*simp add: nconcat-def2 rewriteL-comp-comp*)

have 2: $\neg \text{lnull}((\text{lconcat} \circ ?n2l) \text{ nells})$

using *not-null-lconcat* **by** *blast*

have 3: (*llist-of-nellist* \circ *nellist-of-llist* \circ *lconcat* \circ *?n2l*) *nells* =

$$(\text{lconcat} \circ ?n2l) \text{ nells}$$

using 2 nellist-of-llist-inverse **by** *simp*

show *?thesis*

by (*metis 1 3*)

qed

lemma *nmap-lmap-inverse*:

$$\text{nmap nellist-of-llist} (\text{nellist-of-llist} (\text{lmap llist-of-nellist} (\text{llist-of-nellist} \text{ nells}))) = \text{nels}$$

proof -

let *?n2l* = (*lmap llist-of-nellist* \circ *llist-of-nellist*)

have 1: *nmap nellist-of-llist* (*nellist-of-llist* (*?n2l nells*)) =
nmap nellist-of-llist (*nmap llist-of-nellist nells*)

by (*simp add: lmap-llist-of-nellist*)

have 2: *nmap nellist-of-llist* (*nmap llist-of-nellist nells*) =
nmap (nellist-of-llist o llist-of-nellist) *nells*

by (*simp add: nellist.map-comp*)

have 3: (*nellist-of-llist o llist-of-nellist*) = *id*

by *auto*

show *?thesis*

by (*metis 1 2 3 comp-apply nellist.map-id*)

qed

lemma *lmap-nmap-inverse*:

assumes $\neg \text{lnull} \text{ nells}$

$\forall \text{ nell} \in \text{lset nells}. \neg \text{lnull nell}$

$$\text{shows} (\text{lmap llist-of-nellist} (\text{llist-of-nellist} (\text{nmap nellist-of-llist} (\text{nellist-of-llist} \text{ nells})))) = \text{nels}$$

proof -

let *?l2n* = *nmap nellist-of-llist* \circ *nellist-of-llist*

have 0: (*nmap nellist-of-llist* (*nellist-of-llist nells*)) =
nellist-of-llist (*lmap nellist-of-llist nells*)

using *nmap-nellist-of-llist assms* **by** *simp*

have 00: (*llist-of-nellist* (*nellist-of-llist* (*lmap nellist-of-llist nells*))) =
(lmap nellist-of-llist nells)

using assms **by** *simp*

have 1: (*lmap llist-of-nellist* (*llist-of-nellist* (*?l2n nells*))) =
(lmap llist-of-nellist (((lmap nellist-of-llist nells))))

using 0 00 **by** *auto*

```

have 2:  $(lmap llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist ((lmap nellist\text{-}of\text{-}llist nells))) =$   

 $(lmap (llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist \circ nellist\text{-}of\text{-}llist) nells)$   

using llist.map-comp by blast  

have 3:  $\forall nell \in lset nells. (llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist \circ nellist\text{-}of\text{-}llist) nell = nell$   

using assms by simp  

have 4:  $(lmap (llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist \circ nellist\text{-}of\text{-}llist) nells) = nells$   

using 3 by auto  

show ?thesis  

using 1 2 4 0 00 by presburger  

qed

```

lemma nconcat-expand:

```

nconcat nells =  

(if is-NNil nells then nfirst nells else nappend (nfirst nells) (nconcat (ntl nells)))  

by (metis nconcat-NCons nconcat-NNil nellist.case-eq-if nellist.collapse(1) nellist.collapse(2)  

nfirst-def)

```

lemma lmap-llist-of-nellist-nmap:

```

(lmap (lmap f) (lmap llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist (llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist nells))) =  

(lmap llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist (lmap (nmap f) (llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist nells)))

```

proof –

```

have 5:  $(lmap (lmap f) (lmap llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist (llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist nells))) =$   

 $(lmap ((lmap f) \circ llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist) (llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist nells))$   

using llist.map-comp by blast  

have 6:  $(lmap ((lmap f) \circ llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist) (llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist nells)) =$   

 $(lmap (llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist \circ (nmap f)) (llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist nells))$   

by (simp add: lmap-llist-of-nellist)  

have 7:  $(lmap (llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist \circ (nmap f)) (llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist nells)) =$   

 $(lmap llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist (lmap (nmap f) (llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist nells)))$   

using llist.map-comp[of llist-of-nellist (nmap f) (llist-of-nellist nells)]  

by presburger  

show ?thesis  

using 5 6 7 by presburger  

qed

```

lemma nmap-nconcat :

```

nmap f (nconcat nells) = nconcat (nmap (nmap f) nells)

```

proof –

```

let ?n2l = (lmap llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist \circ llist\text{-}of\text{-}nellist)  

have 1: nmap f (nconcat nells) =  

nmap f ((nellist\text{-}of\text{-}llist \circ lconcat \circ ?n2l) nells)  

by (simp add: nconcat-def2)  

have 2: nmap f ((nellist\text{-}of\text{-}llist \circ lconcat \circ ?n2l) nells) =  

nellist\text{-}of\text{-}llist (lmap f ((lconcat \circ ?n2l) nells))  

using nmap-nellist-of-llist  

using not-null-lconcat by fastforce  

have 3:  $(lmap f ((lconcat \circ ?n2l) nells)) =$   

lconcat (lmap (lmap f) (?n2l nells))  

by (simp add: lmap-lconcat)

```

```

have 4:  $(nconcat (nmap (nmap f) nells)) =$   

 $(nellist-of-llist \circ lconcat \circ ?n2l) (nmap (nmap f) nells)$   

by (simp add: nconcat-def2)  

have 8:  $(lmap (lmap f) (?n2l nells)) =$   

 $(lmap llist-of-nellist (lmap (nmap f) (llist-of-nellist nells)))$   

using lmap-llist-of-nellist-nmap by auto  

show ?thesis  

using 1 2 3 4 8  

by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) comp-eq-dest-lhs llist.map-disc-iff llist-of-nellist-inverse-b  

llist-of-nellist-not-lnull lmap-lconcat lmap-llist-of-nellist-nmap nconcat-def3  

nellist-of-llist-inverse nmap-nellist-of-llist)  

qed

```

lemma nconcat-eq-NNil:
 $nconcat nells = (NNil x) \longleftrightarrow nells = (NNil (NNil x))$
by (metis is-NNil-def is-NNil-nappend nconcat-NNil nconcat-expand)

lemma nhd-nconcat [simp]:
 $\llbracket \neg is\text{-}NNil nells; \neg is\text{-}NNil (nhd nells) \rrbracket \implies nhd (nconcat nells) = nhd (nhd nells)$
by (metis nconcat-NCons nellist.collapse(2) nhd-nappend)

lemma ntl-nconcat [simp]:
 $\llbracket \neg is\text{-}NNil nells; \neg is\text{-}NNil (nhd nells) \rrbracket \implies$
 $ntl (nconcat nells) = nappend (ntl (nhd nells)) (nconcat (ntl nells))$
by (metis nconcat-NCons nellist.collapse(2) ntl-nappend)

lemma nconcat-nappend [simp]:
assumes nfinite nells
shows nconcat (nappend nells nells1) = nappend (nconcat nells) (nconcat nells1)
using assms
by (induct rule:nfinite-induct) (simp-all add: nappend-assoc)

lemma nconcat-eq-NCons-conv:
 $nconcat nells = NCons x nell \longleftrightarrow$
 $nells = (NNil (NCons x nell)) \vee$
 $(\exists nells'. nells = (NCons (NNil x) nells') \wedge nell = nconcat nells') \vee$
 $(\exists nell' nells''. nells = (NCons (NCons x nell') nells'') \wedge nell = nappend nell' (nconcat nells''))$
proof (cases nells)
case (NNil nell1)
then show ?thesis **by** simp
next
case (NCons nell nell2)
then show ?thesis
proof (cases is-NNil nell)
case True
then show ?thesis
using NCons **by** simp
 $(metis nappend-NCons nappend-NNil nellist.collapse(1) nellist.sel(3) nellist.sel(5))$
next

```

case False
then show ?thesis
using NCons by simp
  (metis nappend-NCons nellist.collapse(2) nellist.distinct(1) nellist.sel(3) nellist.sel(5))
qed
qed

lemma nlength-nconcat:
shows nlength (nconcat nells) =
  (case nells of (NNil nell)  $\Rightarrow$  nlength nell | (NCons nell nells1)  $\Rightarrow$  eSuc(nlength nell) + nlength (nconcat nells1))
proof (cases nells)
case (NNil nell1)
then show ?thesis by simp
next
case (NCons nell nell2)
then show ?thesis by (simp add: eSuc-plus plus-1-eSuc(2))
qed

lemma nlength-nconcat-nfinite-conv-sum:
assumes nfinite nells
shows nlength (nconcat nells) =
  nlength nells + ( $\sum i = 0..(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength nells})).\text{nlength}(\text{nnth nells } i)$ )
using assms
proof(induct rule: nfinite-induct)
case (NNil y)
then show ?case by (simp add: zero-enat-def) (simp add: enat-0-iff(2) nnth-NNil)
next
case (NCons nell nells)
then show ?case
proof -
  have 1: nlength (nconcat (NCons nell nells)) = 1 + nlength nell + nlength (nconcat nells)
    by simp
  have 2: nlength (nconcat nells) =
    nlength nells + ( $\sum i = 0..(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength nells})).\text{nlength}(\text{nnth nells } i)$ )
    using NCons.hyps(2) by blast
  have 3: nlength (NCons x nells) = 1 + nlength nells
    by (simp add: plus-1-eSuc(1))
  have 4: ( $\sum i = 0..\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{NCons nell nells})).\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells})\ i)) =$ 
    nlength (nnth (NCons nell nells) 0) +
    ( $\sum i = 1..\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{NCons nell nells})).\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells})\ i))$ 
    by (simp add: sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost)
  have 5: ( $\sum i = 1..\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{NCons nell nells})).\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells})\ i)) =$ 
    ( $\sum i = 1..(\text{Suc}(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells}))).\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells})\ i))$ )
    using NCons.hyps(1) eSuc-enat nfinite-nlength-enat by fastforce
  have 6: ( $\sum i = 1..(\text{Suc}(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells}))).\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells})\ i)) =$ 
    ( $\sum i = 0..(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells}))).\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells})\ (\text{Suc } i))$ )
    using sum.shift-bounds-cl-nat-ivl[of  $\lambda i. \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells})\ i)$  0 1
      ((the-enat (nlength (nells))))]

```

```

    by simp
have 7: ( $\sum i = 0..(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells}))).\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells})(\text{Suc } i))) =$ 
         ( $\sum i = 0..(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells}))).\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{nells})(i)))$ )
    by auto
  show ?thesis
  using 2 3 4 5 6 by force
qed
qed

```

lemma *nlength-nconcat-nfinite-conv-sum-alt*:

```

assumes nfinite nells
shows nlength nells + ( $\sum i = 0..(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells}))).\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{nells} i)) =$ 
                      epred( $\sum i = 0..(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells}))).\text{eSuc}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{nells} i)))$ ))
using assms
proof(induct rule: nfinite-induct)
case (NNil y)
then show ?case by simp
next
case (NCons nell nells)
then show ?case
proof -
have 1: ( $\sum i = 0..\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{NCons nell nells})).\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells} i)) =$ 
          nlength(nnth(NCons nell nells) 0) +
          ( $\sum i = 1..\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{NCons nell nells})).\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells} i))$ )
    by (simp add: sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost)
have 2: ( $\sum i = 1..\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{NCons nell nells})).\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells} i)) =$ 
          ( $\sum i = 1..(\text{Suc}(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells}))).\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells} i)))$ )
    using NCons.hyps(1) eSuc-enat nfinite-nlength-enat by fastforce
have 3: ( $\sum i = 1..(\text{Suc}(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells}))).\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells} i)) =$ 
          ( $\sum i = 0..(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells}))).\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells})(\text{Suc } i))$ )
    using sum.shift-bounds-cl-nat-ivl[of  $\lambda i. \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells}) i)$  0 1
      ((the-enat(nlength(nells))))]
    by simp
have 4: ( $\sum i = 0..(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells}))).\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells})(\text{Suc } i)) =$ 
          ( $\sum i = 0..(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells}))).\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{nells})(i))$ )
    by auto
have 5: ( $\sum i = 0..(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{NCons nell nells}))).\text{eSuc}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells} i)))$ )
= eSuc(nlength(nnth(NCons nell nells) 0)) +
  ( $\sum i = 1..(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{NCons nell nells}))).\text{eSuc}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells} i)))$ )
  by (simp add: sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost)
have 6: ( $\sum i = 1..(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{NCons nell nells}))).\text{eSuc}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells} i)))$ )
= ( $\sum i = 1..(\text{Suc}(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells}))).\text{eSuc}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells} i))))$ )
  using NCons.hyps(1) eSuc-enat nfinite-nlength-enat by fastforce
have 7: ( $\sum i = 1..(\text{Suc}(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells}))).\text{eSuc}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells} i))) =$ 
          ( $\sum i = 0..(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells}))).\text{eSuc}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells})(\text{Suc } i)))$ )
  using sum.shift-bounds-cl-nat-ivl[of  $\lambda i. \text{eSuc}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons nell nells}) i))$  0 1
    ((the-enat(nlength(nells))))]
  by simp

```

```

have 8: ( $\sum i = 0.. (\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells})))$ ). eSuc (nlength (nnth (NCons nell nells) (Suc i))) =
          ( $\sum i = 0.. (\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells})))$ ). eSuc (nlength (nnth (nells) (i)))
  by auto
have 9: ( $\sum i = 0.. (\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{NCons nell nells})))$ ). eSuc (nlength (nnth (NCons nell nells) i))) =
  =
  ( eSuc(nlength nell) +
    ( $\sum i = 0.. (\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells})))$ ). eSuc (nlength (nnth (nells) (i))))
  by (metis 5 6 7 8 nnth-0)
have 10: epred( $\sum i = 0.. (\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{NCons nell nells})))$ ). eSuc (nlength (nnth (NCons nell nells) i))) =
  epred( eSuc(nlength nell) +
    ( $\sum i = 0.. (\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells})))$ ). eSuc (nlength (nnth (nells) (i))))
  using 9 by presburger
have 11: epred( eSuc(nlength nell) +
  ( $\sum i = 0.. (\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells})))$ ). eSuc (nlength (nnth (nells) (i)))) =
  eSuc(nlength nell) +
  epred( $\sum i = 0.. (\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nells})))$ ). eSuc (nlength (nnth (nells) (i)))
  by (metis add.commute eSuc-ne-0 epred-iadd1 le-add1 le-add-same-cancel1 sum.last-plus
      zero-eq-add-iff-both-eq-0)
show ?thesis
using 1 11 2 3 9 NCons.hyps(2) eSuc-plus by fastforce
qed
qed

```

```

lemma nset-nconcat-nfinite:
assumes  $\forall xs \in \text{nset } nells. \text{ nfinite } xs$ 
shows  $\text{nset } (\text{nconcat } nells) = (\bigcup_{xs \in \text{nset } nells} \text{nset } xs)$ 
proof -
let ?n2l = (lmap llist-of-nellist o llist-of-nellist)
have 1:  $\text{nset } (\text{nconcat } nells) =$   

 $\text{nset } (((\text{nellist-of-llist} \circ \text{lconcat} \circ ?n2l) \text{ nells}))$ 
by (simp add: nconcat-def2)
have 2:  $\text{nset } (((\text{nellist-of-llist} \circ \text{lconcat} \circ ?n2l) \text{ nells})) =$   

 $\text{lset } (\text{llist-of-nellist} (((\text{nellist-of-llist} \circ \text{lconcat} \circ ?n2l) \text{ nells})))$ 
by (metis lset-llist-of-nellist-a)
have 3:  $(\text{llist-of-nellist} (((\text{nellist-of-llist} \circ \text{lconcat} \circ ?n2l) \text{ nells}))) =$   

 $((((\text{lconcat} \circ ?n2l) \text{ nells})))$ 
using nellist-of-llist-inverse not-null-lconcat by fastforce
have 4:  $\text{lset } (\text{llist-of-nellist} (((\text{nellist-of-llist} \circ \text{lconcat} \circ ?n2l) \text{ nells}))) =$   

 $\text{lset } (((\text{lconcat} \circ ?n2l) \text{ nells}))$ 
using 3 by presburger
have 5:  $\forall nell \in \text{lset } (?n2l \text{ nells}). \text{ lfinite } nell$ 
using assms nfinite-def by fastforce
have 6:  $\text{lset } (((\text{lconcat} \circ ?n2l) \text{ nells})) = (\bigcup_{nell \in \text{lset } (?n2l \text{ nells})} \text{lset } nell)$ 
by (simp add: 5 lset-lconcat-lfinite)
have 7:  $(\bigcup_{nell \in \text{lset } (?n2l \text{ nells})} \text{lset } nell) = \bigcup (\text{nset } ` \text{nset } nells)$ 
by simp
show ?thesis
by (metis 6 7 lset-llist-of-nellist-a nconcat-def3 o-apply)

```

qed

lemma *nconcat-ntake*:

shows *nconcat (ntake (enat n) nells) = ntake (n + (sum i=0..n. nlength (nnth nells i))) (nconcat nells)*

proof(*induct n arbitrary: nells*)

case 0 thus ?case

proof (*cases nells*)

case (NNil nell)

then show ?thesis by (*simp add: zero-enat-def[symmetric]* *nnth-NNil ntake-all*)

next

case (NCons nell nell2)

then show ?thesis by (*simp add: zero-enat-def[symmetric]*)

 (*metis dual-order.refl nlast-NNil nnth-0 ntake-all ntake-nappend1 ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast*)

qed

next

case (Suc n)

show ?case

proof (*cases nells*)

case (NNil nell)

then show ?thesis by (*metis (no-types, lifting) dual-order.trans enat-le-plus-same(1) enat-le-plus-same(2) nconcat-NNil nfinite-NNil nlast-NNil nlength-NNil nnth-nlast ntake-NNil ntake-all sum.atLeast0-atMost-Suc-shift the-enat-0)*)

next

case (NCons nell nells1)

then show ?thesis proof -

have 1: nconcat (ntake (enat (Suc n)) nells) = nappend nell (nconcat (ntake (enat n) nells1)) by (*metis NCons eSuc-enat nconcat-NCons ntake-Suc-NCons*)

have 2: (nconcat (ntake (enat n) nells1)) = ntake (enat n + (sum i = 0..n. nlength (nnth nells1 i))) (nconcat nells1) using *NCons Suc.hyps Suc.prems Suc-ile-eq* **by auto**

have 3: nlength (nappend nell (nconcat nells1)) = nlength nell + 1 + nlength (nconcat nells1) by simp

have 4: nappend nell (ntake (enat n + (sum i = 0..n. nlength (nnth nells1 i))) (nconcat nells1)) = ntake (nlength nell + 1 + (enat n + (sum i = 0..n. nlength (nnth nells1 i)))) (nappend nell (nconcat nells1)) proof (*cases nlength nell = infinity*)

case True then show ?thesis by (*metis enat-le-plus-same(2) ntake-all ntake-nappend1 plus-enat-simps(2)*)

next case False then show ?thesis by (*simp add: ab-semigroup-add-class.add-ac(1) enat-0-iff(1) ntake-nappend2*)

qed

have 5: (sum i = 0..Suc n. nlength (nnth nells i)) = nlength (nnth nells 0) + (sum i = 1..Suc n. nlength (nnth nells i))

```

by (simp add: sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost)
have 6: nlength (nnth nells 0) = nlength nell
  by (simp add: NCons)
have 7: ( $\sum i = 1..Suc n. nlength (nnth nells i)$ ) =
  ( $\sum i = 0..n. nlength (nnth nells (Suc i))$ )
  using sum.shift-bounds-cl-nat-ivl[of  $\lambda i . nlength (nnth nells i)$  0 1 n]
  by simp
have 8: ( $\sum i = 0..n. nlength (nnth nells (Suc i))$ ) =
  ( $\sum i = 0..n. nlength (nnth nells1 (i))$ )
  using NCons by auto
have 9: nlength nell + 1 + (enat n + ( $\sum i = 0..n. nlength (nnth nells1 i)$ )) =
  (enat (Suc n) + ( $\sum i = 0..Suc n. nlength (nnth nells i)$ ))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 5 6 7 8 ab-semigroup-add-class.add-ac(1)
    add.left-commute eSuc-enat plus-1-eSuc(2))
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 2 4 9 NCons nconcat-NCons)
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma nnth-nconcat-conv:

```

assumes enat n  $\leq$  nlength (nconcat nells)
shows  $\exists m n'. nnth (nconcat nells) n = nnth (nnth nells m) n' \wedge$  enat  $n' \leq$  nlength (nnth nells m)  $\wedge$ 
  enat m  $\leq$  nlength nells  $\wedge$ 
  enat n = ( $\sum i < m . eSuc(nlength (nnth nells i))$ ) + enat  $n'$ 

proof -
  let ?n2l = (lmap llist-of-nellist o llist-of-nellist)
  have 1:  $\bigwedge n. nlength n = epred (llength (llist-of-nellist n))$ 
    unfolding nlength-def by auto
  have 2:  $\bigwedge n. j \leq nlength n \longrightarrow nnth n j = lnth (llist-of-nellist n) j$ 
    unfolding nnth-def by auto
  have 3: nlength (nconcat nells) =
    nlength (((nellist-of-llist o lconcat o ?n2l) nells))
    by (simp add: nconcat-def2)
  have 4: nlength (((nellist-of-llist o lconcat o ?n2l) nells)) =
    epred (llength (llist-of-nellist (((nellist-of-llist o lconcat o ?n2l) nells))))
    using nlength.rep-eq by blast
  have 5: (llist-of-nellist (((nellist-of-llist o lconcat o ?n2l) nells))) =
    (((lconcat o ?n2l) nells))
    using nellist-of-llist-inverse not-null-lconcat by fastforce
  have 6: epred (llength (llist-of-nellist (((nellist-of-llist o lconcat o ?n2l) nells)))) =
    epred (llength (((lconcat o ?n2l) nells)))
    using 5 by presburger
  have 7: enat n < (llength (((lconcat o ?n2l) nells)))
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) 3 4 5 assms co.enat.collapse iless-Suc-eq llength-eq-0
      llist-of-nellist-not-lnull)
  have 8: (((lconcat o ?n2l) nells)) =
    lconcat (?n2l nells)
    by simp
  have 9:  $\exists m n'.$ 

```

```

lnth (lconcat (?n2l nells)) n = lnth (lnth (?n2l nells) m) n' ∧
enat n' < llength (lnth (?n2l nells) m) ∧ enat m < llength (?n2l nells) ∧
enat n = (∑ i < m. llength (lnth (?n2l nells) i)) + enat n'
using 7 lnth-lconcat-conv[of n (?n2l nells)]
by fastforce
obtain m n' where 10: lnth (lconcat (?n2l nells)) n = lnth (lnth (?n2l nells) m) n' ∧
enat n' < llength (lnth (?n2l nells) m) ∧ enat m < llength (?n2l nells) ∧
enat n = (∑ i < m. llength (lnth (?n2l nells) i)) + enat n'
using 9 by blast
have 11: lnth (lconcat (?n2l nells)) n = nnth (nconcat nells) n
by (metis 2 5 8 assms nconcat-def2)
have 12: lnth (lnth (?n2l nells) m) n' = nnth (nnth nells m) n'
by (metis 10 2 comp-apply co.enat.collapse illess-Suc-eq llength-eq-0 llength-lmap
llist-of-nellist-not-lnull lnth-lmap nlength.rep-eq)
have 13: llength (lnth (?n2l nells) m) = eSuc (nlength (nnth nells m))
by (metis 10 2 comp-apply co.enat.collapse illess-Suc-eq llength-eq-0 llength-lmap
llist-of-nellist-not-lnull lnth-lmap nlength.rep-eq)
have 14: llength (?n2l nells) = eSuc (nlength nells)
by (metis comp-apply co.enat.exhaust-sel llength-eq-0 llength-llist-of-nellist llength-lmap
llist-of-nellist-not-lnull)
have 15: ∏ i. i < m ==> llength (lnth (?n2l nells) i) = eSuc (nlength (nnth nells i))
proof -
fix i
assume a: i < m
show llength (lnth (?n2l nells) i) = eSuc (nlength (nnth nells i))
proof -
have 151: (lnth (?n2l nells) i) = llist-of-nellist (nnth nells i)
using a 10 14 2
by (metis comp-apply enat-ord-simps(2) illess-Suc-eq llength-lmap lnth-lmap order-less-trans)
have 152: llength (llist-of-nellist (nnth nells i)) = eSuc (nlength (nnth nells i))
using epred-inject by force
show ?thesis using 151 152 by presburger
qed
qed

```

```

have 16: (∑ i < m. llength (lnth (?n2l nells) i)) = (∑ i < m. eSuc (nlength (nnth nells i)))
by (meson 15 lessThan-iff sum.cong)

```

```

show ?thesis
using 10 11 12 13 14 16 by (metis illess-Suc-eq)
qed

```

lemma nnth-nconcat-ntake:

```

assumes enat w ≤ nlength (nconcat (ntake (enat n) nells))
shows nnth (nconcat (ntake (enat n) nells)) w = nnth (nconcat nells) w
using assms by (simp add: nconcat-ntake ntake-nnth)

```

lemma nfinite-nconcat [simp]:

```

nfinite (nconcat nells) ↔ nfinite nells ∧ (∀ nell ∈ nset nells. nfinite nell)
(is ?lhs ↔ ?rhs)

```

proof

```

assume ?lhs

```

```

thus ?rhs (is ?concl nells)
proof(induct nconcat nells arbitrary: nells rule: nfinite-induct)
case (NNil y)
then show ?case
by (metis is-NNil-imp-nfinite nconcat-eq-NNil nellist.discI(1) nellist.simps(20) singleton-iff)
next
case (NCons x nell)
then show ?case
proof (cases nells)
case (NNil nell1)
then show ?thesis using NCons.hyps by auto
next
case (NCons nell1 nells1)
then show ?thesis using NCons.hyps by simp
(metis nconcat-NCons nellist.sel(5) nellist.set-intros(3) nfinite-NCons nfinite-nappend ntl-nappend)
qed
qed
next
assume ?rhs
then obtain nfinite ( nells)
and  $\forall nell \in nset nells. nfinite nell ..$ 
thus ?lhs
proof(induct nells rule: nfinite-induct)
case (NNil nell)
then show ?case
by simp
next
case (NCons nell nells)
then show ?case
by (simp add: nfinite-nappend)
qed
qed

lemma nfilter-nconcat-nfinite-help:
assumes ( $\forall nell \in nset nells. (\exists x \in nset nell. P x)$ )
shows ( $\exists nell \in nset (nconcat nells). P nell$ )
proof (cases nells)
case (NNil nell)
then show ?thesis using assms by simp
next
case (NCons nell nells1)
then show ?thesis using assms
by simp (metis dual-order.trans enat-le-plus-same(1) in-nset-conv-nnth nlength-nappend nnth-nappend1)
qed

lemma nfilter-nconcat-nfinite:
assumes  $\forall nell \in nset nells. nfinite nell$ 
 $\forall nell \in nset nells. (\exists x \in nset nell. P x)$ 
shows nfilter P (nconcat nells) = nconcat (nmap (nfilter P) nells)
proof -

```

```

let ?n2l = (lmap llist-of-nellist o llist-of-nellist)
have 0:  $\exists \text{nell} \in \text{nset}(\text{nconcat nells}). P \text{ nell}$ 
  using assms nfilter-nconcat-nfinite-help by blast
have 1:  $\text{nset nells} = \text{lset}(llist-of-nellist \text{nells})$ 
  by simp
have 2:  $\bigwedge \text{nell}. \text{nell} \in \text{lset}(llist-of-nellist \text{nells}) \longrightarrow \text{lfinite}(llist-of-nellist \text{ nell})$ 
  using 1 assms nfinite-def by blast
have 3:  $\bigwedge \text{nell } Q. (\exists x \in \text{nset nell}. Q x) \longrightarrow$ 
   $nfilter Q \text{ nell} = \text{nellist-of-llist}(\text{lfilter } Q \text{ (llist-of-nellist nell)})$ 
  unfolding nfilter-def by simp
have 4:  $nfilter P \text{ (nconcat nells)} =$ 
   $nfilter P (((\text{nellist-of-llist} \circ \text{lconcat} \circ ?n2l) \text{nells}))$ 
  by (simp add: nconcat-def2)
have 5:  $nfilter P (((\text{nellist-of-llist} \circ \text{lconcat} \circ ?n2l) \text{nells})) =$ 
   $\text{nellist-of-llist}(\text{lfilter } P \text{ (llist-of-nellist (((\text{nellist-of-llist} \circ \text{lconcat} \circ ?n2l) \text{nells}))))}$ 
  by (metis 3 0 nconcat-def2)
have 6:  $(llist-of-nellist (((\text{nellist-of-llist} \circ \text{lconcat} \circ ?n2l) \text{nells}))) =$ 
   $((\text{lconcat} \circ ?n2l) \text{nells}))$ 
  using nellist-of-llist-inverse not-null-lconcat by fastforce
have 7:  $(\text{lfilter } P \text{ (llist-of-nellist (((\text{nellist-of-llist} \circ \text{lconcat} \circ ?n2l) \text{nells}))))} =$ 
   $(\text{lfilter } P \text{ (((lconcat} \circ ?n2l) \text{nells))))$ 
  using 6 by presburger
have 8:  $((\text{lconcat} \circ ?n2l) \text{nells}) = \text{lconcat} (?n2l \text{nells})$ 
  by simp
have 9:  $\forall \text{nell} \in \text{lset} (?n2l \text{nells}). \text{lfinite nell}$ 
  by (simp add: 2)
have 10:  $(\text{lfilter } P \text{ (((lconcat} \circ ?n2l) \text{nells)))) = \text{lconcat} (\text{lmap} (\text{lfilter } P) \text{ (?n2l nells)))$ 
  by (simp add: 9 lfilter-lconcat-lfinite)
have 11:  $\text{nconcat} (\text{nmap} (\text{nfilter } P) \text{nells}) =$ 
   $((\text{nellist-of-llist} \circ \text{lconcat} \circ ?n2l) (\text{nmap} (\text{nfilter } P) \text{nells}))$ 
  by (simp add: nconcat-def2)
have 12:  $\bigwedge \text{nell } f. \text{nmap } f \text{ nell} = \text{nellist-of-llist} (\text{lmap } f \text{ (llist-of-nellist nell)})$ 
  by (metis llist-of-nellist-inverse-b llist-of-nellist-not-lnull nmap-nellist-of-llist)
have 13:  $(\text{nmap} (\text{nfilter } P) \text{nells}) = \text{nellist-of-llist} (\text{lmap} (\text{nfilter } P) \text{ (llist-of-nellist nells}))$ 
  using 12 by blast
have 14:  $\text{nellist-of-llist} (\text{lmap} (\text{nfilter } P) \text{ (llist-of-nellist nells})) =$ 
   $\text{nellist-of-llist} (\text{lmap} (\lambda ys. \text{nellist-of-llist} (\text{lfilter } P \text{ (llist-of-nellist ys)})) \text{ (llist-of-nellist nells)))}$ 
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) 1 3 assms(2) llist.map-cong)
have 15:  $\text{lmap} (\text{lfilter } P) \text{ (lmap llist-of-nellist (llist-of-nellist nells))) =$ 
   $\text{lmap} ((\text{lfilter } P) \circ \text{llist-of-nellist}) \text{ (llist-of-nellist nells)}$ 
  using llist.map-comp by blast
have 16:  $((?n2l (\text{nmap} (\text{nfilter } P) \text{nells}))) =$ 
   $((?n2l (\text{nellist-of-llist} (\text{lmap} (\text{nfilter } P) \text{ (llist-of-nellist nells))))))$ 
  using 13 by presburger
have 17:  $((?n2l (\text{nellist-of-llist} (\text{lmap} (\text{nfilter } P) \text{ (llist-of-nellist nells)))))) =$ 
   $((?n2l (\text{nellist-of-llist}$ 
     $(\text{lmap} (\lambda ys. \text{nellist-of-llist} (\text{lfilter } P \text{ (llist-of-nellist ys)})) \text{ (llist-of-nellist nells))))))$ 
  using 14 by presburger
have 18:  $((?n2l (\text{nellist-of-llist}$ 
     $(\text{lmap} (\lambda ys. \text{nellist-of-llist} (\text{lfilter } P \text{ (llist-of-nellist ys)})) \text{ (llist-of-nellist nells)))))) =$ 

```

```

( (lmap llist-of-nellist
  ( (lmap (λys. nellist-of-llist (lfilter P (llist-of-nellist ys))) (llist-of-nellist nells))))))

by simp
have 19: ( (lmap llist-of-nellist
  ( (lmap (λys. nellist-of-llist (lfilter P (llist-of-nellist ys))) (llist-of-nellist nells)))) =
  (lmap (llist-of-nellist o (λys. nellist-of-llist (lfilter P (llist-of-nellist ys)))) (llist-of-nellist nells)))

using llist.map-comp by blast
have 20:  $\bigwedge_{nell \in lset(llist-of-nellist nells)} \neg lnull(lfilter P (llist-of-nellist nell))$ 
by (simp add: assms(2))
have 21: ( (lmap (llist-of-nellist o (λys. nellist-of-llist (lfilter P (llist-of-nellist ys)))) (llist-of-nellist nells)))
= ( (lmap ((λys. (lfilter P (llist-of-nellist ys)))) (llist-of-nellist nells)))
by (metis (no-types, lifting) 20 comp-def llist.map-cong0 nellist-of-llist-inverse)
have 22: ( (lmap llist-of-nellist (llist-of-nellist (nmap (nfilter P) nells)))) =
  (lmap (lfilter P) (((lmap llist-of-nellist o llist-of-nellist) nells)))
using 13 14 15 19 21 by force
have 23: nellist-of-llist (lconcat (lmap (lfilter P) (?n2l nells))) =
  nconcat (nmap (nfilter P) nells)
by (simp add: 22 nconcat-def2)
show ?thesis
by (metis 10 23 5 6 nconcat-def2)
qed

lemma nconcat-nmap-singleton [simp]:
nconcat (nmap (λx. NNil (f x)) nell) = nmap f nell
proof -
let ?n2l = (lmap llist-of-nellist o llist-of-nellist)
have 1: nconcat (nmap (λx. NNil (f x)) nell) =
  (((nellist-of-llist o lconcat o ?n2l) (nmap (λx. NNil (f x)) nell)))
by (simp add: nconcat-def2)
have 2: (nmap (λx. NNil (f x)) nell) =
  nellist-of-llist (lmap (λx. NNil (f x)) (llist-of-nellist nell))
by (simp add: lmap-llist-of-nellist)
have 3: nellist-of-llist (lmap (λx. NNil (f x)) (llist-of-nellist nell)) =
  nellist-of-llist (lmap (λx. nellist-of-llist (LCons (f x) LNil)) (llist-of-nellist nell))
by force
have 4: nmap f nell = nellist-of-llist (lmap f (llist-of-nellist nell))
by (simp add: lmap-llist-of-nellist)
have 5: lconcat (?n2l (nellist-of-llist
  (lmap (λx. nellist-of-llist (LCons (f x) LNil)) (llist-of-nellist nell)))) =
  lconcat (lmap llist-of-nellist (lmap (λz. NNil (f z)) (llist-of-nellist nell)))
by simp
have 6: (lmap llist-of-nellist (lmap (λz. NNil (f z)) (llist-of-nellist nell))) =
  (lmap (llist-of-nellist o (λz. NNil (f z))) (llist-of-nellist nell))
using llist.map-comp by metis
have 7: (lmap (llist-of-nellist o (λz. NNil (f z))) (llist-of-nellist nell)) =
  (lmap (λz. LCons (f z) LNil) (llist-of-nellist nell))
by auto
have 8: lconcat (?n2l (nellist-of-llist
  (lmap (λx. nellist-of-llist (LCons (f x) LNil)) (llist-of-nellist nell))))
```

```

= (lmap f (llist-of-nellist nell))
using 6 by force
have 9: (((nellist-of-llist o lconcat o ?n2l) (nmap (λx. NNil (f x) ) nell))) =
    nellist-of-llist (lmap f (llist-of-nellist nell))
using 2 8 by auto
show ?thesis
using 1 4 9 by presburger
qed

```

lemma nset-nconcat-subset:

nset (nconcat nells) ⊆ (⋃ nell∈nset nells. nset nell)

proof –

let ?n2l = (lmap llist-of-nellist o llist-of-nellist)

have 1: nset (nconcat nells) =

 nset (((nellist-of-llist o lconcat o ?n2l) nells))

by (simp add: nconcat-def2)

have 2: nset (((nellist-of-llist o lconcat o ?n2l) nells)) =

 lset (llist-of-nellist (((nellist-of-llist o lconcat o ?n2l) nells)))

by (metis lset-llist-of-nellist-a)

have 3: (llist-of-nellist (((nellist-of-llist o lconcat o ?n2l) nells))) =

 (((lconcat o ?n2l) nells)))

using nellist-of-llist-inverse not-null-lconcat **by** fastforce

have 4: lset (llist-of-nellist (((nellist-of-llist o lconcat o ?n2l) nells))) =

 lset (((lconcat o ?n2l) nells))

using 3 **by** presburger

have 5: lset (((lconcat o ?n2l) nells))) ⊆

 (⋃ nell∈lset (?n2l nells). lset nell)

using lset-lconcat-subset **by** fastforce

have 6: (⋃ nell∈lset (?n2l nells). lset nell) =

 ⋃ (nset ` nset nells)

by simp

show ?thesis

by (metis 1 2 3 5 6)

qed

lemma ndistinct-nconcat:

assumes ndistinct nells

 ⋀ nell. nell ∈ nset nells ⇒ ndistinct nell

 ⋀ nell nell1. [nell ∈ nset nells; nell1 ∈ nset nells; nell ≠ nell1] ⇒ nset nell ∩ nset nell1 = {}

shows ndistinct (nconcat nells)

proof –

let ?n2l = (lmap llist-of-nellist o llist-of-nellist)

have 1: ldistinct (llist-of-nellist nells)

using assms(1) ndistinct.rep-eq **by** auto

have 2: ⋀ nell. nell ∈ lset (llist-of-nellist nells) ⇒ ldinstinct (llist-of-nellist nell)

using assms(2) ndistinct.rep-eq **by** auto

have 3: ⋀ nell nell1. [nell ∈ lset (llist-of-nellist nells); nell1 ∈ lset (llist-of-nellist nells); nell ≠ nell1]

 ⇒ lset (llist-of-nellist nell) ∩ lset (llist-of-nellist nell1) = {}

using assms(3) **by** simp

have 4: ndistinct (nconcat nells) =

```

ndistinct (((nellist-of-llist o lconcat o ?n2l) nells))
by (simp add: nconcat-def2)
have 5: ndistinct (((nellist-of-llist o lconcat o ?n2l) nells)) =
ldistinct (llist-of-nellist (((nellist-of-llist o lconcat o ?n2l) nells)))
using ndistinct.rep-eq by blast
have 6: (llist-of-nellist (((nellist-of-llist o lconcat o ?n2l) nells))) =
( (( lconcat o ?n2l) nells)))
using nellist-of-llist-inverse not-null-lconcat by fastforce
have 7: ldistinct (llist-of-nellist (((nellist-of-llist o lconcat o ?n2l) nells))) =
ldistinct ( (( lconcat o ?n2l) nells)))
using 6 by presburger
have 8: ldistinct (?n2l nells)
by (metis 1 bi-unique-cr-nellist-help comp-eq-dest-lhs inj-on-def ldistinct-lmap)
have 9:  $\bigwedge$  nell. nell  $\in$  lset (?n2l nells)  $\implies$  ldistinct nell
using 2 by auto
have 10:  $\bigwedge$  nell nell1.  $\llbracket$  nell  $\in$  lset (?n2l nells);
nell1  $\in$  lset (?n2l nells); nell  $\neq$  nell1  $\rrbracket$   $\implies$ 
lset nell  $\cap$  lset nell1 = {}
by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms(3) comp-def imageE lset-llist-of-nellist-a lset-lmap)
have 11: ldistinct (lconcat (?n2l nells))
using 10 8 9 ldistinct-lconcat by blast
show ?thesis
using 11 4 5 6 by fastforce
qed

```

2.14 nellist-all2

```

lemmas nellist-all2-NNil = nellist.rel-inject(1)
lemmas nellist-all2-NCons = nellist.rel-inject(2)

```

```

lemma nellist-all2-NNil1:
nellist-all2 Q (NNil b) nell  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  b'. nell = NNil b'  $\wedge$  Q b b')
using nellist.rel-cases by fastforce

```

```

lemma nellist-all2-NNil2:
nellist-all2 Q nell (NNil b')  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  b. nell = NNil b  $\wedge$  Q b b')
using nellist.rel-sel
by (metis is-NNil-def nellist-all2-NNil)

```

```

lemma nellist-all2-NCons1:
nellist-all2 P (NCons x nell) nell'  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
( $\exists$  x' nell''. nell' = NCons x' nell''  $\wedge$  P x x'  $\wedge$  nellist-all2 P nell nell'')
using nellist.rel-sel
by (metis nellist.collapse(2) nellist.disc(2) nellist.sel(3) nellist.sel(5))

```

```

lemma nellist-all2-NCons2:
nellist-all2 P nell' (NCons x nell)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
( $\exists$  x' nell''. nell' = NCons x' nell''  $\wedge$  P x' x  $\wedge$  nellist-all2 P nell'' nell)
by (metis nellist.collapse(2) nellist.disc(2) nellist.rel-sel nellist.sel(3) nellist.sel(5))

```

lemma nellist-all2-coinduct [consumes 1, case-names ilist-all2,
case-conclusion nellist-all2 is-NNil NNil NCons,
coinduct pred: nellist-all2]:

assumes $X \text{ nellx } nelly$
and $\wedge \text{ nellix } nelliy$.
 $X \text{ nellix } nelliy \implies$
 $(\text{is-NNil } \text{ nellix} = \text{ is-NNil } \text{ nelliy}) \wedge$
 $(\text{is-NNil } \text{ nellix} \longrightarrow \text{ is-NNil } \text{ nelliy} \longrightarrow P (\text{nlast } \text{ nellix}) (\text{nlast } \text{ nelliy})) \wedge$
 $(\neg \text{ is-NNil } \text{ nellix} \longrightarrow \neg \text{ is-NNil } \text{ nelliy} \longrightarrow P (\text{nhd } \text{ nellix}) (\text{nhd } \text{ nelliy})) \wedge$
 $(X (\text{ ntl } \text{ nellix}) (\text{ ntl } \text{ nelliy}) \vee \text{ nellist-all2 } P (\text{ ntl } \text{ nellix}) (\text{ ntl } \text{ nelliy})))$

shows nellist-all2 $P \text{ nellx } nelly$
using assms
nellist.rel-coinduct[of $(\lambda \text{ nelx } \text{ nely}. X \text{ nelx } \text{ nely} \vee \text{ nellist-all2 } P \text{ nelx } \text{ nely}) \text{ nellx } \text{ nelly } P]$
by (metis nellist.rel-sel)

lemma nellist-all2-cases[consumes 1, case-names NNil NCons, cases pred]:
assumes nellist-all2 $P \text{ nellx } nelly$
obtains $(\text{NNil}) b \text{ b}' \text{ where } \text{ nellx} = \text{NNil } b \text{ nelly} = \text{NNil } b' \text{ P } b \text{ b}'$
 $| (\text{NCons}) x \text{ nellx}' y \text{ nelly}'$
where $\text{ nellx} = \text{NCons } x \text{ nellx}' \text{ and } \text{ nelly} = \text{NCons } y \text{ nelly}'$
and $P \text{ x } \text{ y}$ **and** nellist-all2 $P \text{ nellx}' \text{ nelly}'$
using assms
using nellist.rel-cases **by** blast

lemma nellist-all2-nmap:
 $\text{ nellist-all2 } P (\text{nmap } f \text{ nellx}) \text{ nelly} \longleftrightarrow \text{ nellist-all2 } (\lambda x \text{ y}. P (f x) \text{ y}) \text{ nellx } \text{ nelly}$
using nellist.rel-map(1) **by** blast

lemma nellist-all2-nmap2:
 $\text{ nellist-all2 } P \text{ nellx } (\text{nmap } f \text{ nelly}) \longleftrightarrow \text{ nellist-all2 } (\lambda x \text{ y}. P x (f y)) \text{ nellx } \text{ nelly}$
using nellist.rel-map(2) **by** blast

lemma nellist-all2-mono:
 $\llbracket \text{ nellist-all2 } P \text{ nellx } \text{ nelly}; \wedge x \text{ y}. P x \text{ y} \implies P' x \text{ y} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{ nellist-all2 } P' \text{ nellx } \text{ nelly}$
using nellist.rel-mono-strong **by** blast

lemma nellist-all2-nlengthD:
 $\text{ nellist-all2 } P \text{ nellx } \text{ nelly} \implies \text{nlength } \text{ nellx} = \text{nlength } \text{ nelly}$
by(transfer)(auto dest: llist-all2-llengthD)

lemma nellist-all2-nfiniteD:
 $\text{ nellist-all2 } P \text{ nellx } \text{ nelly} \implies \text{nfinite } \text{ nellx} = \text{nfinite } \text{ nelly}$
by transfer
(auto dest: llist-all2-lfiniteD)

lemma nellist-all2-nfinite1-nlastD:
 $\llbracket \text{ nellist-all2 } P \text{ nellx } \text{ nelly}; \text{nfinite } \text{ nellx} \rrbracket \implies P (\text{nlast } \text{ nellx}) (\text{nlast } \text{ nelly})$
by (frule nellist-all2-nfiniteD)
(transfer,

`auto simp add: llist-all2-conv-all-lnth,`
`metis Suc-ile-eq eSuc-enat lfinite.simps lfinite-llength-enat llast-conv-lnth llength-LCons`
`llist.discI(1) order-refl)`

lemma nellist-all2-nfinite2-nlastD:

$\llbracket \text{nellist-all2 } P \text{ nellx nelly; nfinite nelly} \rrbracket \implies P (\text{nlast nellx}) (\text{nlast nelly})$
by (metis nellist-all2-nfinite1-nlastD nellist-all2-nfiniteD)

lemma nellist-all2D-llist-all2-llist-of-nellist:

$\text{nellist-all2 } P \text{ nellx nelly} \implies \text{llist-all2 } P (\text{llist-of-nellist nellx}) (\text{llist-of-nellist nelly})$
by transfer
 $(\text{simp add: nellist-all2-help-b})$

lemma nellist-all2-is-NNilD:

$\text{nellist-all2 } P \text{ nellx nelly} \implies \text{is-NNil nellx} \longleftrightarrow \text{is-NNil nelly}$
by (cases nellx) (auto simp add: nellist-all2-NNil1 nellist-all2-NCons1)

lemma nellist-all2-nhdD:

$\llbracket \text{nellist-all2 } P \text{ nellx nelly; } \neg \text{is-NNil nellx} \vee \neg \text{is-NNil nelly} \rrbracket \implies P (\text{nhd nellx}) (\text{nhd nelly})$
by (cases nellx) (auto simp add: nellist-all2-NNil1 nellist-all2-NCons1)

lemma nellist-all2-ntlI:

$\llbracket \text{nellist-all2 } P \text{ nellx nelly; } \neg \text{is-NNil nellx} \vee \neg \text{is-NNil nelly} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{nellist-all2 } P (\text{ntl nellx}) (\text{ntl nelly})$
by (cases nellx) (auto simp add: nellist-all2-NNil1 nellist-all2-NCons1)

lemma nellist-all2-refl:

$\text{nellist-all2 } P \text{ nell nell} \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall x \in \text{nset nell}. P x x) \wedge (\text{nfinite nell} \longrightarrow P (\text{nlast nell}) (\text{nlast nell}))$
by transfer
 $(\text{auto, metis in-lset-lappend-iff lappend-lbutlast-llast-id-lfinite lfinite-lappend}$
 $\text{llist.set-intros(1)})$

lemma nellist-all2-reflI:

$\llbracket \bigwedge x. x \in \text{nset nell} \implies P x x; \text{nfinite nell} \implies P (\text{nlast nell}) (\text{nlast nell}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{nellist-all2 } P \text{ nell nell}$
by (simp add: nellist-all2-refl)

lemma nellist-all2-conv-all-nnth-help1:

$\neg \text{lnull nellx} \implies \neg \text{lnull nelly} \implies \text{lfinite nelly} \implies \text{llist-all2 } P \text{ nellx nelly} \implies$
 $P (\text{llast nellx}) (\text{llast nelly})$

proof –

assume a1: lfinite nelly

assume a2: llist-all2 P nellx nelly

assume a3: $\neg \text{lnull nellx}$

assume a4: $\neg \text{lnull nelly}$

have f5: lfinite (lappend (lbutlast nellx) (LCons (llast nellx) LNil))

using a3 a2 a1 **by** (simp add: llist-all2-lfiniteD)

have f6: llength (llt nellx) = epred (llength nelly)

using a2 **by** (metis (no-types) epred-llength llist-all2-llengthD)

```

have f7: lappend (lbutlast nelly) (LCons (llast nelly) LNil) = nelly
using a4 by (meson lappend-lbutlast-llast-id)
have llength (lbutlast nellx) = llength (llt nellx)
using epred-llength by auto
then show ?thesis
using f7 f6 f5 a3 a2 a1
by (metis (no-types) lappend-eq-lappend-conv lappend-lbutlast-llast-id lappend-ltake-ldrop
     lbutlast-conv-ltake lfinite-lappend lhd-LCons llist.disc(2) llist-all2-lappend1D(2)
     llist-all2-lhdD2)
qed

```

```

lemma nellist-all2-conv-all-nnth:
  nellist-all2 P nellx nelly  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  nlength nellx = nlength nelly  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\forall$  n. enat n  $\leq$  nlength nellx  $\longrightarrow$  P (nnth nellx n) (nnth nelly n))
by transfer
  (auto simp add: llist-all2-llengthD,
   metis eSuc-epred iless-Suc-eq llengh-eq-0 llist-all2-lnthD2,
   metis eSuc-epred iless-Suc-eq llengh-eq-0 llist-all2-conv-all-lnth)

```

```

lemma nellist-all2-True [simp]:
  nellist-all2 ( $\lambda$ - -. True) nellx nelly  $\longleftrightarrow$  nlength nellx = nlength nelly
by(simp add: nellist-all2-conv-all-nnth)

```

```

lemma nellist-all2-nnthD:
   $\llbracket$  nellist-all2 P nellx nelly; enat n  $\leq$  nlength nellx  $\rrbracket \implies$  P (nnth nellx n) (nnth nelly n)
by (simp add: nellist-all2-conv-all-nnth)

```

```

lemma nellist-all2-nnthD2:
   $\llbracket$  nellist-all2 P nellx nelly; enat n  $\leq$  nlength nelly  $\rrbracket \implies$  P (nnth nellx n) (nnth nelly n)
by (simp add: nellist-all2-conv-all-nnth)

```

```

lemmas nellist-all2-eq = nellist.rel-eq

```

```

lemma nmap-eq-nmap-conv-nellist-all2:
  nmap f nellx = nmap f' nelly  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  nellist-all2 ( $\lambda$ x y. f x = f' y) nellx nelly
by transfer
  (clar simp simp add: lmap-eq-lmap-conv-list-all2)

```

```

lemma nellist-all2-trans:
   $\llbracket$  nellist-all2 P nellx nelly; nellist-all2 P nelly nellz; transp P  $\rrbracket$ 
   $\implies$  nellist-all2 P nellx nellz
by transfer (auto elim: llist-all2-trans dest: llist-all2-lfiniteD transpD)

```

```

lemma nellist-all2-nappendI:
   $\llbracket$  nellist-all2 P nellx nelly;
   $\llbracket$  nfinite nellx; nfinite nelly; P (nlast nellx) (nlast nelly)  $\rrbracket$ 
   $\implies$  nellist-all2 P nellx' nelly'

```

$\implies \text{nellist-all2 } P (\text{nappend nellx nellx}') (\text{nappend nelly nelly}')$
by transfer
(auto simp add: lnull-def lappend-eq-lappend-conv nellist-all2-conv-all-nnth-help1
intro: llist-all2-lappendI)

lemma nested-nellist-all2-nested-list-all2:
 $\text{nellist-all2 } (\text{nellist-all2 } A) \text{ nells nells1} =$
 $\text{llist-all2 } (\text{llist-all2 } A) (\text{lmap llist-of-nellist } (\text{llist-of-nellist nells}))$
 $\quad (\text{lmap llist-of-nellist } (\text{llist-of-nellist nells1}))$

proof -
have 1: $\text{nellist-all2 } (\text{nellist-all2 } A) \text{ nells nells1} =$
 $\text{llist-all2 } (\text{nellist-all2 } A) (\text{llist-of-nellist nells}) (\text{llist-of-nellist nells1})$
using nellist-all2-help-a nellist-all2-help-b by blast
have 2: $(\lambda xx yy. \text{nellist-all2 } A xx yy) =$
 $(\lambda xx yy. \text{llist-all2 } A (\text{llist-of-nellist xx}) (\text{llist-of-nellist yy}))$
by (meson nellist-all2D-llist-all2-llist-of-nellist nellist-all2-help-a)
have 3: $\text{llist-all2 } (\text{nellist-all2 } A) (\text{llist-of-nellist nells}) (\text{llist-of-nellist nells1}) =$
 $\text{llist-all2 } (\lambda xx yy. \text{llist-all2 } A (\text{llist-of-nellist xx}) (\text{llist-of-nellist yy}))$
 $\quad (\text{llist-of-nellist nells}) (\text{llist-of-nellist nells1})$
using 2 by auto
have 6: $\text{llist-all2 } (\lambda xx yy. \text{llist-all2 } A (\text{llist-of-nellist xx}) (\text{llist-of-nellist yy}))$
 $\quad (\text{llist-of-nellist nells}) (\text{llist-of-nellist nells1}) =$
 $\text{llist-all2 } (\text{llist-all2 } A) (\text{lmap llist-of-nellist } (\text{llist-of-nellist nells}))$
 $\quad (\text{lmap llist-of-nellist } (\text{llist-of-nellist nells1}))$
using llist-all2-lmap1[of (llist-all2 A) llist-of-nellist (llist-of-nellist nells)]
 $\quad (\text{lmap llist-of-nellist } (\text{llist-of-nellist nells1}))]$
llist-all2-lmap2[of (llist-all2 A) - llist-of-nellist (llist-of-nellist nells1)]
by (simp add: llist-all2-conv-all-lnth)
show ?thesis
using 1 3 6 by blast
qed

lemma nellist-all2-nconcatI:
assumes $\text{nellist-all2 } (\text{nellist-all2 } A) \text{ nells nells1}$
shows $\text{nellist-all2 } A (\text{nconcat nells}) (\text{nconcat nells1})$

proof -
have 3: $\text{nellist-all2 } A (\text{nconcat nells}) (\text{nconcat nells1}) =$
 $\text{llist-all2 } A (\text{llist-of-nellist } (\text{nconcat nells})) (\text{llist-of-nellist } (\text{nconcat nells1}))$
using nellist-all2-help-a nellist-all2-help-b by blast
have 4: $(\text{llist-of-nellist } (\text{nconcat nells})) =$
 $((\text{lconcat } (\text{lmap llist-of-nellist } \circ \text{llist-of-nellist})) \text{ nells})$
using nconcat-def3 by auto
have 5: $(\text{llist-of-nellist } (\text{nconcat nells1})) =$
 $((\text{lconcat } (\text{lmap llist-of-nellist } \circ \text{llist-of-nellist})) \text{ nells1})$
using nconcat-def3 by auto
have 7: $\text{llist-all2 } (\text{llist-all2 } A) (\text{lmap llist-of-nellist } (\text{llist-of-nellist nells}))$
 $\quad (\text{lmap llist-of-nellist } (\text{llist-of-nellist nells1})) \implies$
 $\text{llist-all2 } A ((\text{lconcat } (\text{lmap llist-of-nellist } \circ \text{llist-of-nellist})) \text{ nells})$
 $\quad ((\text{lconcat } (\text{lmap llist-of-nellist } \circ \text{llist-of-nellist})) \text{ nells1})$
using llist-all2-lconcatI[of A (lmap llist-of-nellist (llist-of-nellist nells))]

```

(lmap llist-of-nellist (llist-of-nellist nells1)) ]
by simp
have 8:
  llist-all2 A ((lconcat o (lmap llist-of-nellist o llist-of-nellist)) nells)
    ((lconcat o (lmap llist-of-nellist o llist-of-nellist)) nells1)
    = nellist-all2 A (nconcat nells) (nconcat nells1)
using 3 4 5 by presburger
have 9: nellist-all2 (nellist-all2 A) nells nells1 =
  llist-all2 (llist-all2 A) (lmap llist-of-nellist (llist-of-nellist nells))
    (lmap llist-of-nellist (llist-of-nellist nells1))
  using nested-nellist-all2-nested-llist-all2 by blast
show ?thesis using assms 7 8 9
by blast
qed

```

lemma nlength-nconcat-eqI:

```

fixes nells :: 'a nellist nellist and nells1 :: 'b nellist nellist
assumes nellist-all2 ( $\lambda xs\ ys.\ nlength xs = nlength ys$ ) nells nells1
shows nlength (nconcat nells) = nlength (nconcat nells1)
proof –
have nellist-all2 (nellist-all2 ( $\lambda a\ b.\ True$ )) nells nells1
  using assms nellist.rel-mono-strong nellist-all2-True by blast
then show ?thesis using nellist-all2-nconcatI nellist-all2-nlengthD by blast
qed

```

lemma llist-all2-nellist-of-llistI:

```

nellist-all2 A nellx nelly ==>
  llist-all2 A (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nellx)) (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nelly))
proof (coinduction arbitrary: nellx nelly)
  case LNil
  then show ?case
  by (metis lbutlast.disc-iff(1) llist.disc(1) llist-of-nellist-inverse-a ltl-llist-of-nellist1
    nellist-all2-is-NNilD nellist-of-llist-a.disc(1))
next
case (LCons nell1 nell2)
  then show ?case
  proof –
    assume a0: nellist-all2 A nell1 nell2
    assume a1:  $\neg lnull (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nell1))$ 
    assume a2:  $\neg lnull (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nell2))$ 
    have 1: A (lhd (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nell1))) (lhd (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nell2)))
      using a0 a1 a2
      by (metis lbutlast.disc(1) llist.disc(1) llist-of-nellist-inverse-a ltl-llist-of-nellist1
        nellist-all2-nhdD nhd-nellist-of-llist-a)
    have 2:  $((\exists nellx nelly.$ 
       $lhd (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nell1)) = lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nellx) \wedge$ 
       $lhd (lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nell2)) = lbutlast (llist-of-nellist nelly) \wedge$ 
       $nellist-all2 A nellx nelly) \vee$ 

```

```

 $llist-all2 A (lbt(lbt(lbt(nell))) (lbt(lbt(nell))))$ 
by (metis a0 a1 lbt.lbt.ctr(1) lbt.lbt.lbt.lbt discI(1) lbt.lbt.lbt.lbt nell1
    nell1.rel.sel)
show ?thesis using 1 2 by blast
qed
qed

lemma nell1-all2-nell1-of-llist-a [simp]:
 $nell1-all2 A (nell1-of-llist-a b llx) (nell1-of-llist-a c lly) \leftrightarrow$ 
 $llist-all2 A llx lly \wedge (lfinite llx \rightarrow A b c)$ 
proof (cases lfinite llx)
case True
then show ?thesis
using llist-all2-nell1-of-llistI
by (auto simp add: llist-all2-lappendI nell1-all2-help-a, fastforce,
      metis lbt.lbt.lbt.lbt snoc llist-all2-lfinite nell1-all2-nfinite1-nlastD
      nell1-of-llist-a-inverse nfinite-def nlast-nell1-of-llist-a-lfinite)
next
case False
then show ?thesis
by (metis lbt.lbt.lbt.lbt snoc llist-all2-lappendI llist-all2-nell1-of-llistI nell1-all2-help-a
      nell1-of-llist-a-inverse)
qed

```

2.15 From a nonempty lazy list to a lazy list $llist$ -of- $nellist$

```

lemma llist-of-nell1-nmap [simp]:
 $llist$ -of- $nellist$  (nmap f nell) = lmap f (llist-of- $nellist$  nell)
by (simp add: lmap-llist-of-nell1)

lemma llist-of-nell1-nappend:
 $llist$ -of- $nellist$  (nappend nellx nelly) = lappend (llist-of- $nellist$  nellx) (llist-of- $nellist$  nelly)
by (transfer) auto

lemma llist-of-nell1-lappendn [simp]:
 $llist$ -of- $nellist$  (lappendn ll nell) = lappend ll (llist-of- $nellist$  nell)
by transfer auto

lemma llist-of-nell1-nconcat [simp]:
 $llist$ -of- $nellist$  (nconcat nell) = lconcat ((lmap llist-of- $nellist$  o llist-of- $nellist$ ) nell)
using nconcat-def3 by fastforce

lemma llist-of-nell1-nfilter [simp]:
assumes  $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$ 
shows llist-of- $nellist$  (nfilter P nell) = lfilter P (llist-of- $nellist$  nell)
using assms
by transfer auto

```

2.16 *ndropn*

```

lemma ndropn-0 [simp, code, nitpick-simp]:
  ndropn 0 nell = nell
  using zero-enat-def by transfer auto

lemma ndropn-NNil [simp, code]:
  ndropn n (NNil b) = (NNil b)
  by transfer auto

lemma ndropn-Suc-NCons [simp, code]:
  ndropn (Suc n) (NCons x nell) = ndropn n nell
  proof (cases nfinite nell)
    case True
    then show ?thesis
    by transfer
      (auto simp add: min-def not-lnull-conv Suc-ile-eq llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite the-enat-eSuc ,
       metis Extended-Nat.eSuc-mono eSuc-enat iless-Suc-eq leD,
       metis antisym eSuc-enat enat-the-enat ile-eSuc llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite n-not-Suc-n
       the-enat.simps)
  next
    case False
    then show ?thesis
    by transfer
      (simp,
       metis co.enat.sel(2) eSuc-infinity infinity-ileE ldropn-Suc-LCons llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite
       min.cobounded1 min-def the-enat.simps)
  qed

lemma ndropn-Suc [nitpick-simp]:
  ndropn (Suc n) nell = (case nell of NNil b => NNil b | NCons x nell' => ndropn n nell')
  by (cases nell) simp-all

lemma ltl-power-NNil-help:
  ((λll. if ∃ b. ll = LCons b LNil then ll else ltl ll) ^ n) (LCons b LNil) = LCons b LNil
  by (induction n) simp-all

lemma ntl-power-NNil:
  (ntl ^ n) (NNil b) = (NNil b)
  by transfer (auto simp add: lnull-def ltl-power-NNil-help)

lemma ntl-power-NCons:
  ntl ((ntl ^ n) (NCons x nell)) = (ntl ^ n) nell
  by (induction n) ( transfer, auto)

lemma ntl-power-Suc [simp]:
  (ntl ^ (Suc n)) nell = (case nell of NNil b => NNil b | NCons x nell' => (ntl ^ n) nell')
  by (cases nell)
    (simp-all add: ntl-power-NNil ntl-power-NCons)

lemma llist-of-nellist-ndropn [simp]:

```

```

llist-of-nellist (ndropn n nell) =
  ldropn (the-enat (min (enat n) ((epred(llength((llist-of-nellist nell)))))))
    (llist-of-nellist nell)
by transfer auto

lemma ndropn-Suc-conv-ndropn:
  enat n < nlenth nell  $\implies$  NCons (nnth nell n) (ndropn (Suc n) nell) = ndropn n nell
proof (induct n arbitrary: nell)
case 0
then show ?case
proof (cases nell)
case (NNil x1)
then show ?thesis using 0.prem by auto
next
case (NCons x nell1)
then show ?thesis by simp
qed
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
proof (cases nell)
case (NNil x1)
then show ?thesis using Suc.prem by auto
next
case (NCons x nell1)
then show ?thesis using Suc
by (metis One-nat-def add.commute add-left-mono gen-nlenth-code(2) gen-nlenth-def leD
      ndropn-Suc-NCons nlenth-code nnth-Suc-NCons not-le-imp-less of-nat-Suc of-nat-eq-enat
      one-enat-def plus-1-eq-Suc)
qed
qed

lemma ndropn-nlenth [simp]:
  nlenth (ndropn n nell) = nlenth nell - enat n
proof (induct n arbitrary: nell)
case 0
then show ?case by simp
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
proof (cases nell)
case (NNil x1)
then show ?thesis by simp
next
case (NCons x nell1)
then show ?thesis using Suc
by (metis eSuc-enat eSuc-minus-eSuc ndropn-Suc-NCons nlenth-NCons)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma ndropn-nnth [simp]:
  nnth (ndropn n nell) m = nnth nell (n+m)
proof (induct n arbitrary: m nell)
  case 0
  then show ?case by simp
  next
  case (Suc n)
  then show ?case
  proof (cases nell)
    case (NNil x1)
    then show ?thesis by (simp add: nnth-NNil)
    next
    case (NCons x nell1)
    then show ?thesis by (simp add: Suc.hyps)
  qed
qed

lemma ndropn-nnth-a:
assumes nlength nell ≤ enat(n+m)
shows nnth (ndropn n nell) m = (nlast nell)
proof -
  have 1: nfinite nell
  using assms enat-ile nfinite-conv-nlength-enat by auto
  have 2: nfinite nell  $\implies$  nlength nell ≤ enat(n+m)  $\implies$  nnth (ndropn n nell) m = (nlast nell)
  proof (induct arbitrary: n m rule: nfinite-induct)
  case (NNil y)
  then show ?case by (simp add: nnth-NNil)
  next
  case (NCons x nell)
  then show ?case
  proof (cases n)
  case 0
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis NCons(2) NCons(3) Suc-ile-eq Suc-pred add-cancel-right-left enat-le-plus-same(1)
    gen-nlength-def iless-Suc-eq leD le-add1 ndropn-0 nellist.sel(2) nlast0-nlast nlength-NCons
    nlength-code nnth-Suc-NCons not-le-imp-less order.not-eq-order-implies-strict)
  next
  case (Suc nat)
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis NCons(2) NCons(3) add-Suc eSuc-enat epred-eSuc epred-le-epredI ndropn-Suc-NCons
    nlast-NCons nlength-NCons)
  qed
  qed
  show ?thesis using 1 2 assms by auto
  qed

lemma ndropn-ntl :
  ndropn n nell = (ntl ^~ n) nell
proof (induction n arbitrary: nell)
  case 0

```

```

then show ?case by simp
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
proof (cases nell)
case (NNil x1)
then show ?thesis
by (simp add: ntl-power-NNil)
next
case (NCons x nell)
then show ?thesis
by (metis Suc.IH funpow-Suc-right ndropn-Suc-NCons nellist.sel(5) o-apply)
qed
qed

lemma ndropn-is-NNil:
is-NNil nell  $\implies$  ndropn n nell = nell
proof (induct n arbitrary: nell)
case 0
then show ?case by auto
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case by (simp add: ndropn-Suc nellist.case-eq-if)
qed

lemma is-NNil-ndropn:
is-NNil(ndropn n nell)  $\longleftrightarrow$  nlength nell  $\leq$  (enat n)
proof (induct n arbitrary: nell)
case 0
then show ?case
proof (cases nell)
case (NNil x1)
then show ?thesis by simp
next
case (NCons x nell)
then show ?thesis using zero-enat-def by auto
qed
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
proof (cases nell)
case (NNil x1)
then show ?thesis by simp
next
case (NCons x nell)
then show ?thesis
by (metis One-nat-def Suc.hyps add.commute add-left-mono co.enat.sel(2) eSuc-enat epred-le-epredI
gen-nlength-code(2) gen-nlength-def ndropn-Suc-NCons nlength-NCons nlength-code of-nat-Suc
of-nat-eq-enat one-enat-def plus-1-eq-Suc)
qed

```

qed

lemma *ndropn-eq-NNil*:

ndropn n nell = (NNil b) \longleftrightarrow nnth nell (the-enat(nlength nell)) = b \wedge nlength nell \leq (enat n)

proof –

have 1: *nfinite nell \implies ndropn n nell = NNil b \implies nnth nell (the-enat (nlength nell)) = b*

by (metis add-cancel-left-right enat-le-plus-same(2) gen-nlength-def is-NNil-ndropn ndropn-NNil
ndropn-nnth ndropn-nnth-a nfinite-nlength-enat nlast-NNil nlength-NNil nlength-code
plus-enat-simps(1) the-enat.simps zero-enat-def)

have 2: *nfinite nell \implies ndropn n nell = NNil b \implies nlength nell \leq enat n*

by (metis is-NNil-ndropn nellist.disc(1))

have 3: *nfinite nell \implies nlength nell \leq enat n \implies b = nnth nell (the-enat (nlength nell)) \implies
ndropn n nell = NNil (nnth nell (the-enat (nlength nell)))*

by (metis add.right-neutral is-NNil-ndropn ndropn-nnth-a nellist.collapse(1) nfinite-NNil
nlength-NNil nnth-nlast the-enat-0)

have 4: *\neg nfinite nell \implies*

*ndropn n nell = (NNil b) \longleftrightarrow
nnth nell (the-enat(nlength nell)) = b \wedge nlength nell \leq (enat n)*

by (metis enat-ile is-NNil-ndropn nellist.disc(1) nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)

show ?thesis

using 1 2 3 4 **by** fastforce

qed

lemma *ntl-ndropn*:

ntl(ndropn n nell) = ndropn n (ntl nell)

by (simp add: funpow-swap1 ndropn-ntl)

lemma *nfinite-ndropn-a*:

assumes *nfinite nell*

shows *nfinite(ndropn n nell)*

using assms

proof (induct n arbitrary: nell)

case 0

then show ?case **by** auto

next

case (Suc n)

then show ?case **by** (simp add: ndropn-ntl)

qed

lemma *nfinite-ndropn-b*:

assumes *nfinite(ndropn n nell)*

shows *nfinite nell*

using assms

proof (induct ys≡ndropn n nell arbitrary: n nell rule: nfinite-induct)

case (NNil y)

then show ?case **by** (metis enat-ile ndropn-eq-NNil nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)

next

case (NCons x nell)

then show ?case **by** (metis nellist.sel(5) nfinite-ntl ntl-ndropn)

qed

```

lemma nfinite-ndropn[simp]:
  nfinite(ndropn n nell) = nfinite nell
using nfinite-ndropn-a nfinite-ndropn-b by blast

lemma ndropn-ndropn:
  ndropn m (ndropn n nell) = ndropn (n+m) nell
proof (induct n arbitrary: nell)
case 0
then show ?case by simp
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
by (metis add-Suc ndropn-NNil ndropn-Suc nellist.case-eq-if)
qed

lemma ndropn-nlast:
  nfinite nell  $\implies$  ndropn (the-enat(nlength nell)) nell = (NNil (nlast nell))
by (metis add.left-neutral enat.simps(3) enat-the-enat ndropn-eq-NNil ndropn-nnth ndropn-nnth-a
  nfinite-conv-nlength-enat order-refl)

lemma ndropn-nfirst:
  nfirst (ndropn n nell) = (nnth nell n)
by transfer
  (metis lhd-ldropn llist-of-nellist-ndropn lnull-ldropn not-le-imp-less
  not-lnull-convllist-of-nellist)

lemma ndropn-all:
  nlength nell  $\leq$  enat n  $\implies$  ndropn n nell = (NNil (nlast nell))
by (metis enat-ile ndropn-eq-NNil ndropn-nlast nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD)

lemma ndropn-nappend1:
  nfinite nellx  $\implies$  n  $\leq$  nlength nelly  $\implies$ 
  ndropn (Suc(the-enat (nlength nellx) + n)) (nappend nellx nelly) = ndropn n nelly
proof (induct arbitrary: nelly n rule: nfinite-induct)
case (NNil y)
then show ?case by simp
next
case (NCons x nell)
then show ?case
by (metis ab-semigroup-add-class.add-ac(1) eSuc-enat nappend-NCons ndropn-Suc-NCons
  nfinite-nlength-enat nlength-NCons plus-1-eq-Suc the-enat.simps)
qed

lemma ndropn-nappend2:
  enat n  $\leq$  (nlength nellx)  $\implies$  ndropn n (nappend nellx nelly) = nappend (ndropn n nellx) nelly
proof (induct n arbitrary: nellx nelly)
case 0
then show ?case by simp
next

```

```

case (Suc n)
then show ?case
  proof (cases nellx)
    case (NNil x1)
      then show ?thesis
      using Suc.prems enat-0-iff(1) by auto
    next
    case (NCons x21 x22)
      then show ?thesis
      by (metis Suc.hyps Suc.prems eSuc-enat eSuc-ile-mono nappend-NCons ndropn-Suc-NCons nlengt-NCons)
      qed
    qed

```

```

lemma ndropn-nappend3:
  nlength nellx < enat n  $\implies$ 
  ndropn n (nappend nellx nelly) = ndropn (n - (the-enat (eSuc(nlength nellx))) ) nelly
proof (induct n arbitrary: nellx nelly)
  case 0
  then show ?case using zero-enat-def by auto
  next
  case (Suc n)
  then show ?case
  proof (cases nellx)
    case (NNil x1)
    then show ?thesis
    by (metis add-diff-cancel-left' nappend-NNil ndropn-Suc-NCons nlengt-NNil one-eSuc
          one-enat-def plus-1-eq-Suc the-enat.simps)
  next
  case (NCons x21 x22)
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis Extended-Nat.eSuc-mono Suc.hyps Suc.prems add-diff-cancel-left' diff-Suc-eq-diff-pred
        eSuc-enat enat-ord-code(4) nappend-NCons ndropn-Suc-NCons nlengt-NCons
        order-less-imp-not-less plus-1-eq-Suc the-enat-eSuc)
  qed
  qed

```

```

lemma nset-ndropn:
  nset (ndropn n nell) ⊆ nset nell
by transfer (simp add: lset-ldropn-subset)

```

```

lemma ndropn-nmap:
  ndropn n (nmap f nell) = nmap f (ndropn n nell)
by transfer
  (auto,
   metis eSuc-epred enat-the-enat iless-Suc-eq infinity-ileE leD llengt-eq-0 min.cobounded1
     min.cobounded2)

```

```

lemma nappend-ntaken-ndropn:
assumes (Suc k)  $\leq$  nlength nell

```

shows $nappend(ntaken k nell) (ndropn(Suc k) nell) = nell$
using *assms*
by transfer (*simp add: min-absorb1*)

lemma *nfirst-eq-nnth-zero*:

$nfirst\ nell = nnth\ nell\ 0$
by (*metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst*)

2.17 nzip

lemma *nzip-nhd*:

$\neg is-NNil\ nellx \wedge \neg is-NNil\ nelly \implies nhd(nzip\ nellx\ nelly) = (nhd\ nellx, nhd\ nelly)$
by transfer
(*auto simp add: lzip-eq-LCons-conv,*
metis lzip-eq-LNil-conv)

lemma *nzip-ntl*:

$\neg is-NNil\ nellx \wedge \neg is-NNil\ nelly \implies ntl(nzip\ nellx\ nelly) = nzip\ (ntl\ nellx)\ (ntl\ nelly)$
by transfer
(*auto,*
metis lhd-LCons-ltl ltl-lzip ltl-simps(2) lzip-eq-LNil-conv,
metis (full-types) lhd-LCons-ltl lnull-def,
metis lhd-LCons-ltl llist.collapse(1))

lemma *nzip-simps* [*simp, code, nitpick-simp*]:

$nzip(NNil\ b)\ nelly = (NNil(b, (nnth\ nelly\ 0)))$
 $nzip\ nellx\ (NNil\ b) = (NNil((nnth\ nellx\ 0), b))$
 $nzip(NCons\ x\ nellx)\ (NCons\ y\ nelly) = NCons(x, y)\ (nzip\ nellx\ nelly)$
apply transfer
apply (*auto simp add: not-lnull-conv*)
apply (*metis lnth-0 min-enat-simps(3) the-enat-0 zero-enat-def*)
apply transfer
apply (*auto simp add: not-lnull-conv*)
apply (*metis lnth-0 min-enat-simps(3) the-enat-0 zero-enat-def*)
apply transfer
by (*auto simp add: not-lnull-conv*)

lemma *is-NNil-nzip* [*simp*]:

$is-NNil(nzip\ nellx\ nelly) \longleftrightarrow (is-NNil\ nellx) \vee (is-NNil\ nelly)$
by transfer

(*auto simp add: lzip-eq-LCons-conv not-lnull-conv,*
metis lzip-eq-LNil-conv)

lemma *nzip-eq-NNil-conv*:

$nzip\ nellx\ nelly = (NNil(x, y)) \longleftrightarrow ((is-NNil\ nellx) \vee (is-NNil\ nelly)) \wedge (nnth\ nellx\ 0) = x \wedge (nnth\ nelly\ 0) = y$
by auto

(*metis is-NNil-nzip nellist.disc(1),*
metis Pair-inject is-NNil-nzip nellist.collapse(1) nellist.disc(1) nlast-NNil nzip-simps(1))

$\text{nzip-simps}(2)$,
metis $\text{Pair-inject is-NNil-def is-NNil-nzip nellist.inject}(1) \text{ nzip-simps}(1) \text{ nzip-simps}(2)$,
metis $\text{nllist-collapse}(1) \text{ nnth-NNil nzip-simps}(1)$,
metis $\text{nllist-collapse}(1) \text{ nnth-NNil nzip-simps}(2)$)

lemma $\text{nzip-eq-NCons-conv}$:

$\text{nzip nellx nelly} = (\text{NCons } z \text{ zs}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists x \text{ nellx}' y \text{ nelly}'. \text{ nellx} = (\text{NCons } x \text{ nellx}') \wedge \text{ nelly} = (\text{NCons } y \text{ nelly}') \wedge$
 $z = (x, y) \wedge \text{zs} = (\text{nzip nellx}' \text{ nelly}')$)

by (*cases nellx nelly rule: nellist.exhaust[case-product nellist.exhaust]*)
auto

lemma nzip-nappend :

$\text{nlength nellx} = \text{nlength nelli}$
 $\implies \text{nzip (nappend nellx nelly)} (\text{nappend nelli nelli}) =$
 $\text{nappend (nzip nellx nelli)} (\text{nzip nelly nelli})$)

by *transfer*
(simp,
meson co.enat.expand llength-eq-0 lzip-lappend)

lemma nlength-nzip [*simp*]:

$\text{nlength} (\text{nzip nellx nelly}) = (\min (\text{nlength nellx}) (\text{nlength nelly}))$

by *transfer simp*

lemma ntake-nzip :

$\text{ntake } n (\text{nzip nellx nelly}) = \text{nzip} (\text{ntake } n \text{ nellx}) (\text{ntake } n \text{ nelly})$

by *transfer*
(simp add: ltake-lzip)

lemma ntaken-nzip :

$\text{ntaken } n (\text{nzip nellx nelly}) = \text{nzip} (\text{ntaken } n \text{ nellx}) (\text{ntaken } n \text{ nelly})$

by *transfer*
(simp add: enat-0-iff(1) ltake-lzip)

lemma ndropn-nzip [*simp*]:

$n \leq \text{nlength nellx} \wedge n \leq \text{nlength nelly} \implies$
 $\text{ndropn } n (\text{nzip nellx nelly}) = \text{nzip} (\text{ndropn } n \text{ nellx}) (\text{ndropn } n \text{ nelly})$

by *transfer*
(auto simp add: min-def,
metis co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq leD llength-eq-0,
metis co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq leD llength-eq-0)

lemma nzip-niterates :

$\text{nzip} (\text{niterates } f \text{ x}) (\text{niterates } g \text{ y}) = \text{niterates} (\lambda(x,y). (f \text{ x}, g \text{ y})) (x, y)$

by *transfer*
(simp add: lzip-iterates)

lemma nnth-nzip :

assumes $n \leq \text{nlength nellx}$
 $n \leq \text{nlength nelly}$

shows $\text{nnth}(\text{nzip nellx nelly})\ n = (\text{nnth nellx } n, \text{nnth nelly } n)$
using *assms*
by *transfer*
(auto simp add: min-def,
metis co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq llength-eq-0 lnth-lzip)

lemma *nset-nzip*:
nset (nzip nellx nelly) =
{ (nnth nellx n, nnth nelly n) | n. n ≤ min (nlength nellx) (nlength nelly) }
by *transfer*
(auto simp add: in-lset-conv-lnth,
metis Pair-inject co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq llength-eq-0 lnth-lzip min.orderE
the-enat.simps,
metis eSuc-epred iless-Suc-eq llength-eq-0 lnth-lzip)

lemma *nset-nzipD1*:
(x, y) ∈ nset (nzip nellx nelly) ⇒ x ∈ nset nellx
by *transfer*
(meson lset-lzipD1)

lemma *nset-nzipD2*:
(x, y) ∈ nset (nzip nellx nelly) ⇒ y ∈ nset nelly
by *transfer*
(meson lset-lzipD2)

lemma *nset-nzip-same [simp]*:
nset (nzip nellx nelly) = (λ x. (x, x)) ` nset nellx
by *transfer*
simp

lemma *nfinite-nzip [simp]*:
nfinite (nzip nellx nelly) ↔ nfinite nellx ∨ nfinite nelly
by *transfer*
simp

lemma *nzip-eq-nappend-conv*:
assumes *eq: nzip nellx nelly = nappend nellu nelly*
shows $\exists \text{ nellx}' \text{ nellx}'' \text{ nelly}' \text{ nelly}''$.
nellx = nappend nellx' nellx'' ∧ nelly = nappend nelly' nelly'' ∧
nlength nellx' = nlength nelly' ∧
nellu = nzip nellx' nelly' ∧ nellu = nzip nellx'' nelly''
using *assms*
apply *transfer*
using *lzip-eq-lappend-conv*
by *(auto simp add: lzip-eq-lappend-conv)*
fastforce

lemma *nzip-nmap [simp]*:
nzip (nmap f nellx) (nmap g nelly) = nmap (λ(x, y). (f x, g y)) (nzip nellx nelly)
by *transfer auto*

lemma *nzip-nmap1*:
nzip (*nmap f nellx nelly*) = *nmap* ($\lambda(x, y). (f x, y)$) (*nzip nellx nelly*)
by transfer
(*simp add: lzip-lmap1*)

lemma *nzip-nmap2*:
nzip nellx (*nmap f nelly*) = *nmap* ($\lambda(x, y). (x, f y)$) (*nzip nellx nelly*)
by transfer
(*simp add: lzip-lmap2*)

lemma *nmap-fst-nzip-conv-ntake*:
nmap fst (*nzip nellx nelly*) = *ntake* ($\min(nlength nellx, nlength nelly)$) *nellx*
by transfer
(*auto,*
metis co.enat.exhaustsel llength_eq_0 lmap-fst-lzip-conv-ltake min-eSuc-eSuc)

lemma *nmap-snd-nzip-conv-ntake*:
nmap snd (*nzip nellx nelly*) = *ntake* ($\min(nlength nellx, nlength nelly)$) *nelly*
by transfer
(*auto,*
metis co.enat.exhaustsel llength_eq_0 lmap-snd-lzip-conv-ltake min-eSuc-eSuc)

lemma *nzip-conv-nzip-ntake-min-nlength*:
nzip nellx nelly =
nzip (*ntake* ($\min(nlength nellx, nlength nelly)$) *nellx*)
(*ntake* ($\min(nlength nellx, nlength nelly)$) *nelly*)
by transfer
(*auto,*
metis co.enat.exhaustsel epred_min i0_lb llength_lzip ltake_all ltake_lzip order_refl)

lemma *nellist-all2-conv-nzip*:
nellist-all2 P nellx nelly \longleftrightarrow
nlength nellx = *nlength nelly* \wedge ($\forall (x, y) \in nset(nzip nellx nelly).$ *P x y*)
using *nset-nzip*[of *nellx nelly*]
by (*auto simp add: nellist-all2-conv-all-nnth*)
blast

lemma *nellist-all2-all-nnthI*:
assumes *nlength nellx* = *nlength nelly*
 \wedge *n. enat n* \leq *nlength nellx* \implies *P (nnth nellx n) (nnth nelly n)*
shows *nellist-all2 P nellx nelly*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: nellist-all2-conv-all-nnth*)

lemma *nellist-all2-nsetD1*:
assumes *nellist-all2 P nellx nelly*
 $x \in nset nellx$
shows $\exists y \in nset nelly.$ *P x y*
using *assms*
by (*metis in-nset-conv-nnth nellist-all2-conv-all-nnth*)

lemma nellist-all2-nsetD2:
assumes nellist-all2 P nellx nelly
 $y \in nset nelly$
shows $\exists x \in nset nellx. P x y$
using assms
by (metis in-nset-conv-nnth nellist-all2-conv-all-nnth)

lemma nellist-all2-nzipI:
assumes nellist-all2 P nellx nelly
 $nellist-all2 P' nellx' nelly'$
shows nellist-all2 (rel-prod P P') (nzip nellx nellx') (nzip nelly nelly')
using assms
proof (coinduction arbitrary: nellx nellx' nelly nelly')
case (ilist-all2 nx nx' ny ny')
then show ?case
proof –
have 1: is-NNil (nzip nx nx') = is-NNil (nzip ny ny')
by (metis ilist-all2(1) ilist-all2(2) is-NNil-nzip nellist-all2-is-NNilD)
have 2: (is-NNil (nzip nx nx')) \Rightarrow
 $is-NNil (nzip ny ny') \Rightarrow$
 $rel\text{-prod } P P' (nlast (nzip nx nx')) (nlast (nzip ny ny'))$
by (metis (no-types, lifting) enat-min-eq-0-iff ilist-all2(1) ilist-all2(2)
is-NNil-nzip min-def-raw nellist.sel(1) nellist-all2-conv-all-nnth
nzip-eq-NNil-conv order-refl rel-prod-inject zero-enat-def)
have 3: (\neg is-NNil (nzip nx nx')) \Rightarrow
 \neg is-NNil (nzip ny ny') \Rightarrow
 $((\exists nellx nellx' nelly nelly'.$
 $ntl (nzip nx nx') = nzip nellx nellx' \wedge$
 $ntl (nzip ny ny') = nzip nelly nelly' \wedge$
 $nellist-all2 P nellx nelly \wedge nellist-all2 P' nellx' nelly') \vee$
 $nellist-all2 (rel\text{-prod } P P') (ntl (nzip nx nx')) (ntl (nzip ny ny')))$
by (auto simp add: nzip-eq-NCons-conv dest: nellist-all2-nhdD intro: nellist-all2-ntlI)
(meson ilist-all2(1) ilist-all2(2) nellist-all2-ntlI nzip-ntl)
have 4: \neg is-NNil (nzip nx nx') \rightarrow
 \neg is-NNil (nzip ny ny') \rightarrow
 $rel\text{-prod } P P' (nhd (nzip nx nx')) (nhd (nzip ny ny'))$
by (simp add: ilist-all2(1) ilist-all2(2) nellist-all2-nhdD nzip-nhd)
have 5: \neg is-NNil (nzip nx nx') \rightarrow
 \neg is-NNil (nzip ny ny') \rightarrow
 $rel\text{-prod } P P' (nhd (nzip nx nx')) (nhd (nzip ny ny')) \wedge$
 $((\exists nellx nellx' nelly nelly'.$
 $ntl (nzip nx nx') = nzip nellx nellx' \wedge$
 $ntl (nzip ny ny') = nzip nelly nelly' \wedge$
 $nellist-all2 P nellx nelly \wedge nellist-all2 P' nellx' nelly') \vee$
 $nellist-all2 (rel\text{-prod } P P') (ntl (nzip nx nx')) (ntl (nzip ny ny'))))$
using 3 4 **by** blast
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 4 **by** presburger
qed

qed

lemma *ndistinct-nzipI1*:

ndistinct nellx \implies *ndistinct (nzip nellx nelly)*

by *transfer*

 (*simp add: ldistinct-lzipI1*)

lemma *ndistinct-nzipI2*:

ndistinct nelly \implies *ndistinct (nzip nellx nelly)*

by *transfer*

 (*simp add: ldistinct-lzipI2*)

2.18 niterates

lemma *niterates-not-is-NNil* [*nitpick-simp, simp*]:

$\neg \text{is-NNil}(\text{niterates } f \ x)$

by *transfer*

 (*metis lfinite-LConsI lfinite-code(1) lfinite-iterates*)

lemma *nhd-niterates* [*code, simp, nitpick-simp*]:

nhd(niterates f x) = x

by *transfer*

 (*metis lfinite-LConsI lfinite-LNil lfinite-iterates lhd-iterates*)

lemma *ntl-niterates* [*code, simp, nitpick-simp*]:

ntl(niterates f x) = niterates f (f x)

by *transfer*

 (*simp,*
 metis lfinite-LConsI lfinite-LNil lfinite-iterates)

lemma *nfinite-niterates* [*iff*]:

$\neg \text{nfinite}(\text{niterates } f \ x)$

by *transfer simp*

lemma *niterates-nmap*:

niterates f x = NCons x (nmap f (niterates f x))

by *transfer*

 (*meson iterates.disc-iff iterates-lmap*)

lemma [*simp*]:

fixes *f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a*

shows *is-NNil-funpow-nmap: is-NNil ((nmap f $\wedge\wedge$ n) nellx) \longleftrightarrow is-NNil nellx*

and *nhd-funpow-nmap: \neg is-NNil nellx \implies nhd ((nmap f $\wedge\wedge$ n) nellx) = (f $\wedge\wedge$ n) (nhd nellx)*

and *ntl-funpow-nmap: \neg is-NNil nellx \implies ntl ((nmap f $\wedge\wedge$ n) nellx) = (nmap f $\wedge\wedge$ n) (ntl nellx)*

by (*induct n*) *simp-all*

lemma *niterates-equality*:

assumes *h: $\bigwedge x. h \ x = NCons \ x \ (nmap \ f \ (h \ x))$*

shows *h = niterates f*

proof -

```

{ fix x
  have  $\neg \text{is-NNil } (h x) \text{ nhd } (h x) = x \text{ ntl } (h x) = \text{nmap } f \text{ (h x)}$ 
    by (subst h, simp)+ }
  note [simp] = this
{ fix x
  define  $n :: \text{nat}$  where  $n = 0$ 
  have  $(\text{nmap } f \wedge n) \text{ (h x)} = (\text{nmap } f \wedge n) \text{ (niterates } f x)$ 
    proof (coinduction arbitrary: n)
      case (Eq-nellist nn)
      then show ?case
        proof -
          have 1:  $\text{is-NNil } ((\text{nmap } f \wedge n) \text{ (h x)}) = \text{is-NNil } ((\text{nmap } f \wedge n) \text{ (niterates } f x))$ 
            by auto
          have 2:  $(\text{is-NNil } ((\text{nmap } f \wedge n) \text{ (h x))) \longrightarrow$ 
             $\text{is-NNil } ((\text{nmap } f \wedge n) \text{ (niterates } f x)) \longrightarrow$ 
             $\text{nlast } ((\text{nmap } f \wedge n) \text{ (h x)}) = \text{nlast } ((\text{nmap } f \wedge n) \text{ (niterates } f x)))$ 
            by simp
          have 3:  $(\neg \text{is-NNil } ((\text{nmap } f \wedge n) \text{ (h x))) \longrightarrow$ 
             $\neg \text{is-NNil } ((\text{nmap } f \wedge n) \text{ (niterates } f x)) \longrightarrow$ 
             $\text{nhd } ((\text{nmap } f \wedge n) \text{ (h x)}) = \text{nhd } ((\text{nmap } f \wedge n) \text{ (niterates } f x)))$ 
            by simp
          have 4:  $(\neg \text{is-NNil } ((\text{nmap } f \wedge n) \text{ (h x))) \longrightarrow$ 
             $\neg \text{is-NNil } ((\text{nmap } f \wedge n) \text{ (niterates } f x)) \longrightarrow$ 
             $(\text{ntl } ((\text{nmap } f \wedge n) \text{ (h x)}) = (\text{nmap } f \wedge (\text{Suc } n)) \text{ (h x)} \wedge$ 
             $\text{ntl } ((\text{nmap } f \wedge n) \text{ (niterates } f x)) = (\text{nmap } f \wedge (\text{Suc } n)) \text{ (niterates } f x)))$ 
            by (metis ‹A x. ¬ is-NNil (h x)› ‹A x. ntl (h x) = nmap f (h x)› funpow-simps-right(2)
                nellist.sel(5) niterates-nmap niterates-not-is-NNil ntl-funpow-nmap o-apply)
          show ?thesis using 1 2 3 4 by blast
        qed
      qed
    qed
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed

```

lemma $nlength\text{-niterates}$ [simp]:
 $nlength \text{ (niterates } f x) = \infty$
by transfer auto

lemma $ndropn\text{-niterates}$:
 $ndropn n \text{ (niterates } f x) = \text{niterates } f \text{ ((f } \wedge n) \text{ x)}$
by transfer
 (simp add: ldropn-iterates)

lemma $nnth\text{-niterates}$ [simp]:
 $nnth \text{ (niterates } f x) n = (f \wedge n) x$
by transfer auto

lemma $nset\text{-niterates}$:
 $nset \text{ (niterates } f x) = \{ (f \wedge n) x | n. \text{ True} \}$
by transfer

(metis lset-iterates)

```
lemma nnth-niterates-Suc:
  nnth (niterates Suc 0) i = i
proof (induct i)
case 0
then show ?case
by force
next
case (Suc i)
then show ?case by simp
qed
```

2.19 Filtering non-empty lazy lists nfilter

```
lemma nfilter-NNil [simp]:
shows nfilter P (NNil b) = NNil b
by transfer auto
```

```
lemma nfilter-True [simp]:
shows nfilter (λx. True) nell = nell
by transfer auto
```

```
lemma nfilter-False-finite:
assumes nfinite nell
shows nfilter (λ x. False) nell = nell
using assms by transfer auto
```

```
lemma nfilter-NCons [simp]:
assumes (exists x in nset nell. P x)
shows nfilter P (NCons x nell) = (if P x then NCons x (nfilter P nell) else nfilter P nell)
using assms by transfer auto
```

```
lemma nfilter-NCons-a [simp]:
assumes not(exists x in nset nell. P x)
shows nfilter P (NCons x nell) = (NNil x)
using assms by transfer auto
```

```
lemma nfilter-expand:
assumes exists x in nset nell. P x
shows nfilter P nell =
(if is-NNil nell then nell
else
(if (exists x in nset(nl nell). P x) then
(if P (nhd nell) then (NCons (nhd nell) (nfilter P (nl nell)))
else (nfilter P (nl nell)) )
else (NNil (nhd nell)) ))
using assms by (cases nell) auto
```

lemma *nset-nfilter*:

assumes $\exists x \in \text{nset nell}. P x$

shows $\text{nset}(\text{nfilter } P \text{ nell}) = \text{nset nell} \cap \{xa. P xa\}$

using *assms*

by *transfer auto*

lemma *exist-conj*:

assumes $\exists x \in \text{nset}(\text{nfilter } Q \text{ nell}). P x$
 $\quad \exists x \in \text{nset nell}. Q x$

shows $\exists x \in \text{nset nell}. P x \wedge Q x$

using *assms*

by *transfer (auto split: if-split-asm)*

lemma *nfilter-nfilter [simp]*:

assumes $\exists x \in \text{nset}(\text{nfilter } Q \text{ nell}). P x$
 $\quad \exists x \in \text{nset nell}. Q x$

shows $\text{nfilter } P (\text{nfilter } Q \text{ nell}) = \text{nfilter}(\lambda x. P x \wedge Q x) \text{ nell}$

using *assms*

proof (*transfer fixing: P Q*)

fix *xsa* :: $'a \text{ llist}$

assume $\neg \text{lnull } xsa \wedge xsa = xsa$

assume *a1*: $\text{Bex}(\text{lset}(\text{if lnull(lfilter } Q \text{ xsa) then xsa else lfilter } Q \text{ xsa})) P$

assume $\text{Bex}(\text{lset } xsa) Q$

then have $\neg \text{lnull(lfilter } Q \text{ xsa)}$

by *simp*

then have $\text{lfilter } P (\text{if lnull(lfilter } Q \text{ xsa) then xsa else lfilter } Q \text{ xsa}) = \text{lfilter } P (\text{lfilter } Q \text{ xsa}) \wedge$
 $\quad \neg \text{lnull(lfilter } P (\text{lfilter } Q \text{ xsa))) \wedge$
 $\quad \neg \text{lnull(if lnull(lfilter } P (\text{if lnull(lfilter } Q \text{ xsa) then xsa else lfilter } Q \text{ xsa}))$
 $\quad \quad \text{then if lnull(lfilter } Q \text{ xsa) then xsa else lfilter } Q \text{ xsa}$
 $\quad \quad \text{else lfilter } P (\text{if lnull(lfilter } Q \text{ xsa) then xsa else lfilter } Q \text{ xsa}))$

using *a1* **by** (*auto split: if-split-asm*)

then show $\neg \text{lnull(if lnull(lfilter } P (\text{if lnull(lfilter } Q \text{ xsa) then xsa else lfilter } Q \text{ xsa}))$
 $\quad \quad \text{then if lnull(lfilter } Q \text{ xsa) then xsa else lfilter } Q \text{ xsa}$
 $\quad \quad \text{else lfilter } P (\text{if lnull(lfilter } Q \text{ xsa) then xsa else lfilter } Q \text{ xsa})) \wedge$
 $\quad (\text{if lnull(lfilter } P (\text{if lnull(lfilter } Q \text{ xsa) then xsa else lfilter } Q \text{ xsa}))$
 $\quad \quad \text{then if lnull(lfilter } Q \text{ xsa) then xsa else lfilter } Q \text{ xsa}$
 $\quad \quad \text{else lfilter } P (\text{if lnull(lfilter } Q \text{ xsa) then xsa else lfilter } Q \text{ xsa})) =$
 $\quad (\text{if lnull(lfilter } (\lambda a. P a \wedge Q a) \text{ xsa) then xsa else lfilter } (\lambda a. P a \wedge Q a) \text{ xsa})$

using *lfilter-lfilter* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *length-nfilter-le [simp]*:

$\text{nlength}(\text{nfilter } P \text{ nell}) \leq \text{nlength } nell$

by *transfer (simp add: epred-le-epredI llength-lfilter-ile)*

lemma *nfilter-nnth*:

assumes $(\exists x \in \text{nset nell}. P x)$
 $i \leq \text{nlength}(\text{nfilter } P \text{ nell})$

shows $(\exists k \leq \text{nlength } nell. \text{nnth}(\text{nfilter } P \text{ nell}) i = \text{nnth } nell k)$

using *assms nset-nfilter[of nell P]*

```

using in-nset-conv-nnth
by (metis Int-iff)

lemma nfilter-nappend1:
assumes  $\forall x \in nset\ nell. \neg P x$ 
    nfinite nell
     $\exists y \in nset\ nell1. P y$ 
shows nfilter P (nappend nell nell1) = nfilter P nell1
using assms by transfer auto

lemma nfilter-nappend2:
assumes  $\forall x \in nset\ nell. \neg P x$ 
     $\exists y \in nset\ nell1. P y$ 
shows nfilter P (nappend nell1 nell) = nfilter P nell1
using assms
by transfer
    (auto split: if-split-asm,
     meson in-lset-lappend-iff,
     metis lappend-LNil2 lappend-inf lfilter-empty-conv lfilter-lappend-lfinite)

lemma nfilter-nappend [simp]:
assumes ( $\exists x \in nset (nappend\ nell\ nell1). P x$ )
    ( $\exists x \in nset\ nell. P x$ )
    ( $\exists x \in nset\ nell1. P x$ )
shows nfilter P (nappend nell nell1) =
    (if nfinite nell then nappend (nfilter P nell) (nfilter P nell1)
     else (nfilter P nell))
proof (cases nfinite nell)
case True
then show ?thesis using assms by transfer auto
next
case False
then show ?thesis using assms by transfer (auto simp add: lappend-inf)
qed

lemma nfilter-nmap:
shows nfilter P (nmap f nell) = nmap f (nfilter (P o f) nell)
by transfer (auto simp add: lfilter-lmap)

lemma nlength-nfilter-nmap[simp]:
shows nlength (nfilter P (nmap f nell)) = nlength(nfilter (P o f) nell)
by (simp add: nfilter-nmap)

lemma nfilter-is-subset [simp]:
assumes  $\exists x \in nset\ nell. P x$ 
shows nset (nfilter P nell)  $\leq$  nset nell
using assms by (simp add: nset-nfilter)

lemma nfilter-cong[fundef-cong]:
assumes nell = nell1

```

$(\wedge x. x \in nset nell1 \implies P x = Q x)$
shows $nfilter P nell = nfilter Q nell1$
using assms by transfer auto

lemma nset-nappend:

$nset(nappend nell nell1) = (\text{if } nfinite nell \text{ then } nset nell \cup nset nell1 \text{ else } nset nell)$
by transfer (simp add: lappend-inf)

lemma split-nellist-nappend:

assumes $\exists i \leq nlength nell. nnth nell i = x \wedge P(nnth nell i) \wedge$
 $(\forall j. j \neq i \wedge j \leq nlength nell \longrightarrow \neg P(nnth nell j))$

shows $P x \wedge$

$(nell = (NNil x) \vee$
 $(\exists vs. nell = (NCons x vs) \wedge (\forall v \in nset vs. \neg P v)) \vee$
 $(\exists us. nell = nappend us (NNil x) \wedge nfinite us \wedge (\forall u \in nset us. \neg P u)) \vee$
 $(\exists us vs. nell = nappend us (NCons x vs) \wedge nfinite us \wedge$
 $(\forall u \in nset us. \neg P u) \wedge (\forall v \in nset vs. \neg P v))$

)

proof -

obtain i **where** 1: $i \leq nlength nell \wedge nnth nell i = x \wedge P(nnth nell i) \wedge$
 $(\forall j. j \neq i \wedge j \leq nlength nell \longrightarrow \neg P(nnth nell j))$

using assms by auto

have 01: $(\forall j. (j < i \vee i < j) \wedge j \leq nlength nell \longrightarrow \neg P(nnth nell j))$

using 1

by auto

have 2: $i=0 \wedge nlength nell = 0 \implies P x \wedge nell = (NNil x)$

by (metis 1 ndropn-0 ndropn-eq-NNil the-enat-0 zero-enat-def)

have 3: $i=0 \wedge nlength nell > 0 \implies P x \wedge nell = (NCons x (ntl nell)) \wedge (\forall v \in nset (ntl nell). \neg P v)$
using 1 in-nset-conv-nnth[of - ntl nell]

by (metis Suc-ileq illess-Suc-eq nat.simps(3) nellist.disc(2) nellist.exhaust-sel nlength-NCons
nlength-NNil nnth-0-conv-nhd nnth-ntl not-less-iff-gr-or-eq)

have 4: $i=0 \wedge nlength nell > 0 \implies P x \wedge (\exists vs. nell = (NCons x vs) \wedge (\forall v \in nset vs. \neg P v))$
using 3 **by** auto

have 5: $i > 0 \wedge i = nlength nell \wedge nfinite nell \implies P x \wedge nell = nappend (ntaken (i-1) nell) (NNil x)$
using 1

by transfer

(auto,
metis eSuc-epred lappend-lbutlast-llast-id-lfinite lbutlast-conv-ltake llast-conv-lnth
llength-eq-0 the-enat.simps)

have 6: $i > 0 \wedge i = nlength nell \wedge nfinite nell \implies (\forall u \in nset (ntaken (i-1) nell). \neg P u)$

using 1

by transfer

(auto,
metis in-lset-conv-lnth lbutlast-conv-ltake less-imp-le llength-lbutlast lnth-ltake
min.strict-order-iff)

have 7: $i > 0 \wedge i = nlength nell \wedge nfinite nell \implies P x \wedge (\exists us. nell = nappend us (NNil x) \wedge$
 $nfinite us \wedge (\forall u \in nset us. \neg P u))$

using 5 6 **by** auto

have 8: $i > 0 \wedge i < nlength nell \implies$

```

 $P x \wedge nell = nappend (ntaken (i-1) nell) (NCons x (ndropn (i+1) nell))$ 
using 1
by transfer
  (auto,
   metis co.enat.collapse eSuc-enat ileI1 iless-Suc-eq lappend-ltake-enat-ldropn
   ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn llength-eq-0 min.absorb1 the-enat.simps)
have 9:  $i > 0 \wedge i < nlength nell \implies nfinite (ntaken (i-1) nell)$ 
  using enat-ord-code(4) nfinite-ntaken by blast
have 10:  $i > 0 \wedge i < nlength nell \implies (\forall u \in nset (ntaken (i-1) nell)). \neg P u$ 
  using 01 in-nset-conv-nnth[of - (ntaken (i-1) nell)] by simp
  (metis Suc-pred le-imp-less-Suc min.orderE ntaken-nnth)
have 11:  $i > 0 \wedge i < nlength nell \implies (\forall v \in nset (ndropn (i+1) nell)). \neg P v$ 
  using 1 01 in-nset-conv-nnth[of - (ndropn (i+1) nell)] by simp
  (metis Suc-ile-eq iless-Suc-eq is-NNil-ndropn le-add1 le-imp-less-Suc ndropn-Suc-conv-ndropn
   ndropn-ndropn ndropn-nlength nlength-NCons not-less)
have 12:  $i > 0 \wedge i < nlength nell \implies (\exists us vs . nell = nappend us (NCons x vs) \wedge nfinite us \wedge$ 
   $(\forall u \in nset us. \neg P u) \wedge (\forall v \in nset vs. \neg P v))$ 
  using 8 9 10 11 by blast
show ?thesis
  by (metis 1 12 2 4 7 dual-order.order-iff-strict neq0-conv nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD
   zero-enat-def)
qed

```

```

lemma nellist-split-2-first:
assumes  $0 < nlength nell$ 
shows  $nell = (NCons (nnth nell 0) (ntl nell))$ 
using assms by (metis ndropn-0 ndropn-Suc-conv-ndropn nellist.sel(5) zero-enat-def)

```

```

lemma nellist-split-2-last:
assumes  $0 < i$ 
i = nlength nell
nfinite nell
shows  $nell = nappend (ntaken (i-1) nell) (NNil (nnth nell i))$ 
using assms
by transfer
  (simp,
   metis Suc-ile-eq co.enat.exhaust-sel eSuc-enat llength-eq-0 ltake-Suc-conv-snoc-lnth ltake-all
   order-refl the-enat.simps)

```

```

lemma nellist-split-3:
assumes  $0 < i$ 
i < nlength nell
shows  $nell = nappend (ntaken (i-1) nell) (NCons (nnth nell i) (ndropn (i+1) nell))$ 
using assms by transfer
  (auto,
   metis eSuc-enat eSuc-epred ileI1 iless-Suc-eq lappend-ltake-enat-ldropn ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn
   less-imp-le llength-eq-0 min-def the-enat.simps)

```

```
lemma NNil-eq-nfilterD:
```

assumes $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$
 $(NNil x) = nfilter P nell$
shows $(\exists us vs. (nell = (NNil x)) \vee$
 $(nell = (NCons x vs) \wedge (\forall v \in nset vs. \neg P v)) \vee$
 $(nell = nappend us (NNil x) \wedge nfinite us \wedge (\forall u \in nset us. \neg P u)) \vee$
 $(nell = nappend us (NCons x vs) \wedge nfinite us \wedge$
 $(\forall u \in nset us. \neg P u) \wedge (\forall v \in nset vs. \neg P v))$
 $) \wedge P x)$
proof –
have 1: $(\exists i \leq nlength nell. P (nnth nell i))$
by (metis assms(1) in-nset-conv-nnth)
obtain i where 2: $i \leq nlength nell \wedge P (nnth nell i)$
using 1 by auto
have 3: $i = 0 \wedge nlength nell = 0 \implies P x \wedge nell = (NNil x)$
by (metis 2 assms(2) ndropn-0 ndropn-eq-NNil nfilter-NNil the-enat-0 zero-enat-def)
have 4: $i=0 \wedge nlength nell > 0 \implies P x \wedge nell = (NCons x (ntl nell)) \wedge (\forall v \in nset (ntl nell). \neg P v)$
using 2 assms nellist-split-2-first[of nell] nfilter-expand[of nell P]
by (metis nellist.distinct(1) nellist.sel(3) nlast-NNil)
have 5: $i=0 \wedge nlength nell > 0 \implies P x \wedge (\exists vs. nell = (NCons x vs) \wedge (\forall v \in nset vs. \neg P v))$
using 4 by auto
have 60: $0 < i \wedge i = nlength nell \wedge nfinite nell \implies x = (nnth nell i)$
using 2 assms nellist-split-2-last[of i nell]
proof simp
assume a1: $nell = nappend (ntaken (i - Suc 0) nell) (NNil (nnth nell i))$
assume a2: $P (nnth nell i)$
assume a3: $NNil x = nfilter P nell$
assume a4: $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$
have f5: $\forall as p asa. ((\exists a. (a::'a) \in nset as \wedge p a) \vee \neg nfinite as \vee (\forall a. a \notin nset asa \vee \neg p a))$
 $\vee nfilter p (nappend as asa) = nfilter p asa$
by (metis (full-types) nfilter-nappend1)
have f7: $\exists a. a \in nset (NNil (nnth nell i)) \wedge P a$
using a2 by auto
have f8: $nfilter P (nappend (ntaken (i - Suc 0) nell) (NNil (nnth nell i))) \neq$
 $nappend (nfilter P (ntaken (i - Suc 0) nell)) (nfilter P (NNil (nnth nell i)))$
using a3 a1 by (metis (full-types) is-NNil-nappend nellist.disc(1))
have f9: $nfinite (ntaken (i - Suc 0) nell)$
by simp
obtain aaa :: 'a where
f9: $aaa \in nset nell \wedge P aaa$
using a4 by blast
then have $aaa \in nset (nappend (ntaken (i - Suc 0) nell) (NNil (nnth nell i)))$
using a1 by presburger
then have f10: $\forall a. a \notin nset (ntaken (i - Suc 0) nell) \vee \neg P a$
using f9 f8 f7 by (meson nfilter-nappend nfinite-ntaken)
then show ?thesis
using f9 f7 f5 a3 a1
proof –
have $NNil (nnth nell i) = NNil x$
using nfilter-NNil[of P (nnth nell i)] by (metis (no-types) f10 a1 a3 f5 f7 nfinite-ntaken)
then show ?thesis

```

by blast
qed
qed
have 6:  $0 < i \wedge i = \text{nlength nell} \wedge \text{nfinite nell} \implies P x \wedge \text{nell} = \text{nappend}(\text{ntaken}(i-1) \text{ nell}) (\text{NNil} x)$ 
using 2 60 assms nellist-split-2-last[of i nell]
by blast
have 7:  $i > 0 \wedge i = \text{nlength nell} \wedge \text{nfinite nell} \implies (\forall u \in \text{nset}(\text{ntaken}(i-1) \text{ nell}). \neg P u)$ 
using assms 6 nfilter-nappend[of (ntaken (i-1) nell) - P]
by (metis is-NNil-nappend nellist.disc(1) nfinite-ntaken split-nellist-a)
have 8:  $i > 0 \wedge i = \text{nlength nell} \wedge \text{nfinite nell} \implies P x \wedge (\exists us. \text{nell} = \text{nappend} us (\text{NNil} x) \wedge$ 
 $\text{nfinite} us \wedge (\forall u \in \text{nset} us. \neg P u))$ 
using 6 7 by auto
have 9:  $i > 0 \wedge i < \text{nlength nell} \implies$ 
 $P x \wedge \text{nell} = \text{nappend}(\text{ntaken}(i-1) \text{ nell}) (\text{NCons} x (\text{ndropn}(i+1) \text{ nell}))$ 
using 2 assms nellist-split-3[of i nell]
nfilter-nappend1[of (ntaken (i-1) nell) P (NCons x (ndropn (i+1) nell))]
nfilter-nappend[of (ntaken (i-1) nell) - P]
proof simp
assume a1:  $\text{enat} i \leq \text{nlength nell} \wedge P(\text{nnth nell} i)$ 
assume a2:  $\text{NNil} x = \text{nfilter} P \text{ nell}$ 
assume a3:  $\exists x \in \text{nset} \text{ nell}. P x$ 
assume a4:  $\text{nell} = \text{nappend}(\text{ntaken}(i-\text{Suc } 0) \text{ nell}) (\text{NCons}(\text{nnth nell} i) (\text{ndropn}(\text{Suc } i) \text{ nell}))$ 
have f5:  $P(\text{nnth}(\text{nappend}(\text{ntaken}(i-\text{Suc } 0) \text{ nell}) (\text{NCons}(\text{nnth nell} i) (\text{ndropn}(\text{Suc } i) \text{ nell}))) i)$ 
using a1 a4 by auto
have f6:  $\text{NNil} x = \text{nfilter} P(\text{nappend}(\text{ntaken}(i-\text{Suc } 0) \text{ nell}) (\text{NCons}(\text{nnth nell} i) (\text{ndropn}(\text{Suc } i) \text{ nell})))$ 
using a2 a4 by auto
have f7:  $\forall as p asa. ((\exists a. (a::'a) \in \text{nset} as \wedge p a) \vee \neg \text{nfinite} as \vee (\forall a. a \notin \text{nset} asa \vee \neg p a)) \vee$ 
 $\text{nfilter} p(\text{nappend} as asa) = \text{nfilter} p asa$ 
by (metis (full-types) nfilter-nappend1)
have nfilter P (nappend (ntaken (i-Suc 0) nell) (NCons (nnth nell i) (ndropn (Suc i) nell))) =
 $= \text{nappend}(\text{nfilter} P(\text{ntaken}(i-\text{Suc } 0) \text{ nell})) (\text{nfilter} P(\text{NCons}(\text{nnth nell} i) (\text{ndropn}(\text{Suc } i) \text{ nell})))$ 
→
 $= \text{nappend}(\text{nfilter} P(\text{ntaken}(i-\text{Suc } 0) \text{ nell})) (\text{nfilter} P(\text{NCons}(\text{nnth nell} i) (\text{ndropn}(\text{Suc } i) \text{ nell}))) =$ 
 $= \text{NNil} x$ 
using f6 by presburger
then have nfilter P (nappend (ntaken (i-Suc 0) nell) (NCons (nnth nell i) (ndropn (Suc i) nell))) ≠
 $= \text{nappend}(\text{nfilter} P(\text{ntaken}(i-\text{Suc } 0) \text{ nell})) (\text{nfilter} P(\text{NCons}(\text{nnth nell} i) (\text{ndropn}(\text{Suc } i) \text{ nell})))$ 
by (metis (no-types) is-NNil-nappend nellist.disc(1))
then have f9:  $(\forall a. a \notin \text{nset}(\text{NCons}(\text{nnth nell} i) (\text{ndropn}(\text{Suc } i) \text{ nell}))) \vee \neg P a \vee$ 
 $\text{nfilter} P(\text{nappend}(\text{ntaken}(i-\text{Suc } 0) \text{ nell}) (\text{NCons}(\text{nnth nell} i) (\text{ndropn}(\text{Suc } i) \text{ nell}))) =$ 
 $= \text{nfilter} P(\text{NCons}(\text{nnth nell} i) (\text{ndropn}(\text{Suc } i) \text{ nell})) \vee$ 
 $(\forall a. a \notin \text{nset}(\text{nappend}(\text{ntaken}(i-\text{Suc } 0) \text{ nell}) (\text{NCons}(\text{nnth nell} i) (\text{ndropn}(\text{Suc } i) \text{ nell})))) \vee \neg P a)$ 

```

```

using nfilter-nappend[of (ntaken (i - Suc 0) nell) (NCons (nnth nell i) (ndropn (Suc i) nell)) P]
  nfinite-ntaken[of (i - Suc 0) nell]
by (metis f7)
obtain aaa :: 'a where f10: aaa ∈ nset nell ∧ P aaa
using a3 by blast
then have f11: aaa ∈ nset (nappend (ntaken (i - Suc 0) nell) (NCons (nnth nell i) (ndropn (Suc i) nell)))
using a4 by presburger
have f12: nnth (nappend (ntaken (i - Suc 0) nell) (NCons (nnth nell i) (ndropn (Suc i) nell))) i ∈
  nset (NCons (nnth nell i) (ndropn (Suc i) nell))
using a4 by fastforce
then have NNNil x = nfilter P (NCons (nnth nell i) (ndropn (Suc i) nell))
using f11 f10 f9 f6 f5 by (metis (no-types))
then have NNNil x = nfilter P (NCons (nnth (nappend (ntaken (i - Suc 0) nell)
  (NCons (nnth nell i) (ndropn (Suc i) nell)))
  i) (ndropn (Suc i) nell)))
using a4 by presburger
then have f13: ∀ a. a ∉ nset (ndropn (Suc i) nell) ∨ ¬ P a
using f5 by (metis (full-types) nellist.distinct(1) nfilter-NCons)
have nfilter P (NCons (nnth (nappend (ntaken (i - Suc 0) nell)
  (NCons (nnth nell i) (ndropn (Suc i) nell)))
  i) (ndropn (Suc i) nell)) = NNNil x
using NNNil x = nfilter P (NCons (nnth nell i) (ndropn (Suc i) nell)) a4 by presburger
then have x = nnth (nappend (ntaken (i - Suc 0) nell) (NCons (nnth nell i) (ndropn (Suc i) nell))) i
using f13 f5 by simp
then have f14: x = nnth nell i
using a4 by presburger
then have nell = nappend (ntaken (i - Suc 0) nell) (NCons x (ndropn (Suc i) nell))
using a4 by blast
then show P x ∧ nell = nappend (ntaken (i - Suc 0) nell) (NCons x (ndropn (Suc i) nell))
using f14 a1 by blast
qed

have 10: i > 0 ∧ i < nlength nell ==> (∀ u ∈ nset (ntaken (i-1) nell). ¬ P u)
using 9 assms nfilter-nappend[of (ntaken (i-1) nell) - P]
by (metis is-NNNil-nappend nellist.disc(1) nellist.set-intros(2) nfinite-ntaken)
have 11: i > 0 ∧ i < nlength nell ==> (∀ v ∈ nset (ndropn (i+1) nell). ¬ P v)
using 9 10 assms nfilter-nappend[of (ntaken (i-1) nell) - P]
  nfilter-nappend1[of (ntaken (i-1) nell) P (NCons x (ndropn (i+1) nell))]
by (metis nellist.distinct(1) nellist.set-intros(2) nfilter-NCons nfinite-ntaken)
have 12: i > 0 ∧ i < nlength nell ==>
  P x ∧ (∃ us vs . nell = nappend us (NCons x vs) ∧ nfinite us ∧
  (∀ u ∈ nset us. ¬ P u) ∧ (∀ v ∈ nset vs. ¬ P v))
using 9 10 11 using assms(1) by fastforce
show ?thesis
by (metis 12 2 3 5 8 dual-order.order-iff-strict neq0-conv nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD
  zero-enat-def)
qed

lemma nfilter-eq-NNNilD:
assumes ∃ x ∈ nset nell. P x

```

$nfilter P nell = (NNil x)$
shows $(\exists us vs . (nell = (NNil x) \vee$
 $(nell = (NCons x vs) \wedge (\forall v \in nset vs. \neg P v)) \vee$
 $(nell = nappend us (NNil x) \wedge nfinite us \wedge (\forall u \in nset us. \neg P u)) \vee$
 $(nell = nappend us (NCons x vs) \wedge nfinite us \wedge$
 $(\forall u \in nset us. \neg P u) \wedge (\forall v \in nset vs. \neg P v))$
 $) \wedge P x)$
using assms $NNil\text{-}eq\text{-}nfilterD[of nell P x]$ **by** *simp*

lemma *nfilter-eq-NNil-iff*:

assumes $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$
shows $(nfilter P nell = (NNil x)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists us vs . (nell = (NNil x) \vee$
 $(nell = (NCons x vs) \wedge (\forall v \in nset vs. \neg P v)) \vee$
 $(nell = nappend us (NNil x) \wedge nfinite us \wedge (\forall u \in nset us. \neg P u)) \vee$
 $(nell = nappend us (NCons x vs) \wedge nfinite us \wedge$
 $(\forall u \in nset us. \neg P u) \wedge (\forall v \in nset vs. \neg P v))$
 $) \wedge P x)$

proof –

have 1: $(nfilter P nell = (NNil x)) \implies$
 $(\exists us vs . (nell = (NNil x) \vee$
 $(nell = (NCons x vs) \wedge (\forall v \in nset vs. \neg P v)) \vee$
 $(nell = nappend us (NNil x) \wedge nfinite us \wedge (\forall u \in nset us. \neg P u)) \vee$
 $(nell = nappend us (NCons x vs) \wedge nfinite us \wedge$
 $(\forall u \in nset us. \neg P u) \wedge (\forall v \in nset vs. \neg P v))$
 $) \wedge P x)$

using assms *nfilter-eq-NNilD[of nell P x]* **by** *simp*

have 2: $(\exists us vs . (nell = (NNil x) \vee$
 $(nell = (NCons x vs) \wedge (\forall v \in nset vs. \neg P v)) \vee$
 $(nell = nappend us (NNil x) \wedge nfinite us \wedge (\forall u \in nset us. \neg P u)) \vee$
 $(nell = nappend us (NCons x vs) \wedge nfinite us \wedge$
 $(\forall u \in nset us. \neg P u) \wedge (\forall v \in nset vs. \neg P v))$
 $) \wedge P x) \implies (nfilter P nell = (NNil x))$

using *nfilter-NCons-a nfilter-NNil[of P x]*

by *(auto simp add: nfilter-nappend1)*

show ?thesis

using 1 2 **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *NCons-eq-nfilterD-help*:

assumes $\neg lnull ys$
 $\neg lnull xs$
 $LCons x xs = lfilter P ys$
 $xa \in lset ys$
 $P xa$
shows $\exists us. \neg lnull us \wedge$
 $(\exists vs. (\exists x \in lset vs. P x) \wedge$
 $((\exists x \in lset vs. P x) \longrightarrow$
 $\neg lnull vs \wedge (ys = LCons x vs \vee ys = lappend us (LCons x vs) \wedge lfinite us \wedge$
 $(\forall u \in lset us. \neg P u) \wedge P x \wedge xs = lfilter P vs))$

unfolding *lnull-def* **using** *assms lfilter-eq-LConsD*[of *P ys x xs*]
by (*metis diverge-lfilter-LNil lappend-code(1) lfilter-LNil llist.disc(1)*)

lemma *NCons-eq-nfilterD*:

assumes $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$
 $(NCons x nell1) = nfilter P nell$

shows $(\exists us vs.$
 $(nell = (NCons x vs) \vee$

$nell = nappend us (NCons x vs) \wedge nfinite us \wedge (\forall u \in nset us. \neg P u)) \wedge$
 $(\exists x \in nset vs. P x) \wedge P x \wedge nell1 = nfilter P vs)$

using assms by transfer (auto split: if-split-asm simp add: NCons-eq-nfilterD-help)

lemma *nfilter-eq-NConsD*:

assumes $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$
 $nfilter P nell = (NCons x nell1)$

shows $(\exists us vs.$
 $(nell = (NCons x vs) \vee$

$nell = nappend us (NCons x vs) \wedge nfinite us \wedge (\forall u \in nset us. \neg P u)) \wedge$
 $(\exists x \in nset vs. P x) \wedge P x \wedge nell1 = nfilter P vs)$

using assms NCons-eq-nfilterD[of nell P x nell1] by simp

lemma *nfilter-eq-NCons-iff*:

assumes $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$
shows $nfilter P nell = (NCons x nell1) \leftrightarrow$
 $(\exists us vs.$

$(nell = (NCons x vs) \vee$
 $nell = nappend us (NCons x vs) \wedge nfinite us \wedge (\forall u \in nset us. \neg P u)) \wedge$
 $(\exists x \in nset vs. P x) \wedge P x \wedge nell1 = nfilter P vs)$

proof -

have 1: $nfilter P nell = (NCons x nell1) \implies$

$(\exists us vs.$
 $(nell = (NCons x vs) \vee$
 $nell = nappend us (NCons x vs) \wedge nfinite us \wedge (\forall u \in nset us. \neg P u)) \wedge$
 $(\exists x \in nset vs. P x) \wedge P x \wedge nell1 = nfilter P vs)$

using assms nfilter-eq-NConsD[of nell P x nell1] by simp

have 2: $(\exists us vs.$

$(nell = (NCons x vs) \vee$
 $nell = nappend us (NCons x vs) \wedge nfinite us \wedge (\forall u \in nset us. \neg P u)) \wedge$
 $(\exists x \in nset vs. P x) \wedge P x \wedge nell1 = nfilter P vs) \implies nfilter P nell = (NCons x nell1)$

using nfilter-nappend1[of - P] nfilter-NCons[of - P x]

by (auto, meson nfilter-NCons, metis)

show ?thesis using 1 2 by blast

qed

lemma *nfilter-id-conv*:

assumes $(\exists x \in nset nell. P x)$

shows $(nfilter P nell = nell) = (\forall x \in nset nell. P x)$ (**is** *?lhs = ?rhs*)

using assms by transfer (auto simp add: lfilter-id-conv)

lemma *nfilter-idem*:

```

assumes ( $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$ )
shows  $nfilter P (nfilter P nell) = nfilter P nell$ 
using assms by transfer auto

lemma nfilter-ndropn-nlength:
assumes  $k \leq nlength nell$ 
 $\exists x \in nset ((ndropn k nell)). P x$ 
shows  $nlength(nfilter P ((ndropn k nell))) \leq nlength (nfilter P ( nell))$ 
using assms
by transfer
(auto simp add: min-def dest: in-lset-ldropnD,
metis co.enat.exhaustsel epred-le-epredI iless-Suc-eq lfilter-ldropn-llength llength-eq-0)

```

2.20 Setup for Lifting/Transfer

2.20.1 Relator and predicate properties

abbreviation *nellist-all* == *pred-nellist*

2.20.2 Transfer rules for the Transfer package

context includes *lifting-syntax*
begin

```

lemma set1-pre-nellist-transfer [transfer-rule]:
 $(rel\text{-}pre\text{-}nellist A C \implies rel\text{-}set A) set1\text{-}pre\text{-}nellist set1\text{-}pre\text{-}nellist$ 
by (auto simp add: rel-pre-nellist-def vimage2p-def rel-fun-def set1-pre-nellist-def rel-set-def
collect-def sum-set-defs prod-set-defs elim: rel-sum.cases split: sum.split-asm)

```

```

lemma set2-pre-nellist-transfer [transfer-rule]:
 $(rel\text{-}pre\text{-}nellist A C \implies rel\text{-}set C) set2\text{-}pre\text{-}nellist set2\text{-}pre\text{-}nellist$ 
by (auto simp add: rel-pre-nellist-def vimage2p-def rel-fun-def set2-pre-nellist-def
rel-set-def collect-def sum-set-defs prod-set-defs elim: rel-sum.cases split: sum.split-asm)

```

```

lemma NCons-transfer2 [transfer-rule]:
 $(A \implies nellist-all2 A \implies nellist-all2 A) NCons NCons$ 
unfolding rel-fun-def by simp
declare NCons-transfer [transfer-rule]

```

```

lemma case-nellist-transfer [transfer-rule]:
 $((A \implies C) \implies (A \implies nellist-all2 A \implies C) \implies nellist-all2 A \implies C)$ 
case-nellist case-nellist
unfolding rel-fun-def
by (simp add: nellist-all2-NNil1 nellist-all2-NNil2 split: nellist.split)

```

```

lemma unfold-nellist-transfer [transfer-rule]:
 $((A \implies (=)) \implies (A \implies C) \implies (A \implies C) \implies (A \implies A) \implies A \implies nellist-all2 C)$ 
unfold-nellist unfold-nellist
proof(rule rel-funI)+
fix IS-NNIL1 :: 'a ⇒ bool and IS-NNIL2

```

```

 $NLAST1 \text{ } NLAST2 \text{ } NHD1 \text{ } NHD2 \text{ } NTL1 \text{ } NTL2 \text{ } x \text{ } y$ 
assume  $rel: (A \implies (=)) \text{ } IS\text{-}NNIL1 \text{ } IS\text{-}NNIL2 \text{ } (A \implies C) \text{ } NLAST1 \text{ } NLAST2$ 
 $(A \implies C) \text{ } NHD1 \text{ } NHD2 \text{ } (A \implies A) \text{ } NTL1 \text{ } NTL2$ 
and  $A \text{ } x \text{ } y$ 
show  $nellist\text{-}all2 \text{ } C \text{ } (unfold\text{-}nellist \text{ } IS\text{-}NNIL1 \text{ } NLAST1 \text{ } NHD1 \text{ } NTL1 \text{ } x)$ 
 $(unfold\text{-}nellist \text{ } IS\text{-}NNIL2 \text{ } NLAST2 \text{ } NHD2 \text{ } NTL2 \text{ } y)$ 
using  $\langle A \text{ } x \text{ } y \rangle$  using  $rel$  by (coinduction arbitrary:  $x \text{ } y$ ) (auto 4 4 elim:  $rel\text{-}funE$ )
qed

lemma  $corec\text{-}nellist\text{-}transfer$  [transfer-rule]:
 $((A \implies (=)) \implies (A \implies C) \implies (A \implies C) \implies (A \implies (=)) \implies (A \implies$ 
 $nellist\text{-}all2 \text{ } C)$ 
 $\implies (A \implies A) \implies A \implies nellist\text{-}all2 \text{ } C)$  corec-nellist corec-nellist
by (simp add:  $nellist.corec\text{-}transfer$ )

lemma  $ntl\text{-}transfer2$  [transfer-rule]:
 $(nellist\text{-}all2 \text{ } A \implies nellist\text{-}all2 \text{ } A) \text{ } ntl \text{ } ntl$ 
unfolding  $ntl\text{-}def[abs\text{-}def]$  by transfer-prover
declare  $ntl\text{-}transfer$  [transfer-rule]

lemma  $nset\text{-}transfer2$  [transfer-rule]:
 $(nellist\text{-}all2 \text{ } A \implies rel\text{-}set \text{ } A) \text{ } nset \text{ } nset$ 
by (simp add:  $nellist.set\text{-}transfer$ )

lemma  $nmap\text{-}transfer4$  [transfer-rule]:
 $((A \implies B) \implies nellist\text{-}all2 \text{ } A \implies nellist\text{-}all2 \text{ } B) \text{ } nmap \text{ } nmap$ 
by (simp add:  $nellist.map\text{-}transfer$ )
declare  $nmap\text{-}transfer$  [transfer-rule]

lemma  $is\text{-}NNil\text{-}transfer2$  [transfer-rule]:
 $(nellist\text{-}all2 \text{ } A \implies (=)) \text{ } is\text{-}NNil \text{ } is\text{-}NNil$ 
by (auto dest:  $nellist\text{-}all2\text{-}is\text{-}NNilD$ )
declare  $is\text{-}NNil\text{-}transfer$  [transfer-rule]

lemma  $snocn\text{-}transfer2$  [transfer-rule]:
 $(nellist\text{-}all2 \text{ } A \implies A \implies nellist\text{-}all2 \text{ } A) \text{ } snocn \text{ } snocn$ 
unfolding  $rel\text{-}fun\text{-}def$ 
by (metis nappend-snocn nellist-all2-NNil nellist-all2-nappendI)
declare  $snocn\text{-}transfer$  [transfer-rule]

lemma  $nappend\text{-}transfer$  [transfer-rule]:
 $(nellist\text{-}all2 \text{ } A \implies ( nellist\text{-}all2 \text{ } A) \implies nellist\text{-}all2 \text{ } A) \text{ } nappend \text{ } nappend$ 
by (auto intro:  $nellist\text{-}all2\text{-}nappendI$  elim:  $rel\text{-}funE$ )
declare  $nappend\text{-}transfer$  [transfer-rule]

lemma  $lappendn\text{-}transfer$  [transfer-rule]:
 $(llist\text{-}all2 \text{ } A \implies nellist\text{-}all2 \text{ } A \implies nellist\text{-}all2 \text{ } A) \text{ } lappendn \text{ } lappendn$ 
unfolding  $rel\text{-}fun\text{-}def$ 
by transfer(auto intro:  $llist\text{-}all2\text{-}lappendI$ )
declare  $lappendn\text{-}transfer$  [transfer-rule])

```

```

lemma nellist-of-llist-a-transfer2 [transfer-rule]:
  ( $A \implies llist\text{-}all2 A \implies nellist\text{-}all2 A$ ) nellist-of-llist-a nellist-of-llist-a
by (simp add: rel-funI)
declare nellist-of-llist-a-transfer [transfer-rule]

lemma nlength-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (nellist-all2 A  $\implies (=)$ ) nlength nlength
by(auto dest: nellist-all2-nlengthD)
declare nlength.transfer [transfer-rule]

lemma ndropn-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ((=)  $\implies nellist\text{-}all2 A \implies nellist\text{-}all2 A$ ) ndropn ndropn
unfolding rel-fun-def
by transfer (auto intro: llist-all2-ldropnI simp add: llist-all2-ldropnI llist-all2-nlengthD )
declare ndropn.transfer [transfer-rule]

lemma ntake-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ((=)  $\implies nellist\text{-}all2 A \implies nellist\text{-}all2 A$ ) ntake ntake
unfolding rel-fun-def
by transfer (auto simp add: llist-all2-ltakeI)
declare ntake.transfer [transfer-rule]

lemma ntaken-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ((=)  $\implies nellist\text{-}all2 A \implies nellist\text{-}all2 A$ ) ntaken ntaken
unfolding rel-fun-def
by transfer (auto simp add: llist-all2-ltakeI)
declare ntaken.transfer [transfer-rule]

lemma nzip-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (nellist-all2 A  $\implies nellist\text{-}all2 B \implies nellist\text{-}all2 (rel\text{-}prod A B)$ ) nzip nzip
by (auto intro: nellist-all2-nzipI)

lemma niterates-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (( $A \implies A$ )  $\implies A \implies nellist\text{-}all2 A$ ) niterates niterates
unfolding rel-fun-def
apply transfer
using iterates-transfer unfolding rel-fun-def
by blast

lemma nfilter-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (( $A \implies (=)$ )  $\implies nellist\text{-}all2 A \implies nellist\text{-}all2 A$ ) nfilter nfilter
unfolding rel-fun-def
by transfer
  (auto intro: llist-all2-lfilterI dest: llist-all2-lfiniteD llist-all2-lsetD1,
   meson llist-all2-lsetD2)
declare nfilter.transfer [transfer-rule]

lemma nconcat-transfer [transfer-rule]:

```

```

( nellist-all2 (nellist-all2 A) ==> nellist-all2 A ) nconcat nconcat
unfolding rel-fun-def
using nellist-all2-nconcatI
by auto
declare nconcat.transfer [transfer-rule]

lemma nellist-all2-rsp:
assumes R1:  $\forall x y. R1 x y \rightarrow (\forall a b. R1 a b \rightarrow S x a = T y b)$ 
and R2:  $\forall x y. R2 x y \rightarrow (\forall a b. R2 a b \rightarrow S' x a = T' y b)$ 
and xsys: nellist-all2 R1 xs ys
and xs'ys': nellist-all2 R1 xs' ys'
shows nellist-all2 S xs xs' = nellist-all2 T ys ys'
proof
assume nellist-all2 S xs xs'
with xsys xs'ys' show nellist-all2 T ys ys'
proof(coinduction arbitrary: ys ys' xs xs')
  case (ilist-all2 ys ys' xs xs')
  thus ?case
    by cases (auto 4 4 simp add: nellist-all2-NCons1 nellist-all2-NCons2 nellist-all2-NNil1
              nellist-all2-NNil2 dest: R1[rule-format] R2[rule-format])
qed
next
assume nellist-all2 T ys ys'
with xsys xs'ys' show nellist-all2 S xs xs'
proof(coinduction arbitrary: xs xs' ys ys')
  case (ilist-all2 xs xs' ys ys')
  thus ?case
    by cases (auto 4 4 simp add: nellist-all2-NCons1 nellist-all2-NCons2 nellist-all2-NNil1
              nellist-all2-NNil2 dest: R1[rule-format] R2[rule-format])
qed
qed

lemma nellist-all2-transfer2 [transfer-rule]:
((R1 ==> R1 ==> (=)) ==>
 nellist-all2 R1 ==> nellist-all2 R1 ==> (=)) nellist-all2 nellist-all2
by (simp add: nellist-all2-rsp rel-fun-def)
declare nellist-all2-transfer [transfer-rule]

```

end

Delete lifting rules for '*a nellist* because the parametricity rules take precedence over most of the transfer rules. They can be restored by including the bundle *nellist.lifting*.

lifting-update nellist.lifting
lifting-forget nellist.lifting

end

3 Extra operations on nellists

The operations ndropns, nkfilter, nleast, nidx, nfuse, nbutlast, nsubn, nfuse, nridx, nlastnffirst and nfusecat are defined for nellists together with a library of lemmas.

```
theory NELLList-Extras
```

```
imports NELLList
```

```
begin
```

3.1 ndropns

```
primcorec ndropns :: 'a nellist ⇒ 'a nellist nellist
```

```
where ndropns nell =
```

```
(case nell of (NNil b) ⇒ (NNil (NNil b)) |  
 (NCons x nell') ⇒ (NCons (NCons x nell') (ndropns nell')))
```

```
simps-of-case ndropns-code [code, simp, nitpick-simp]: ndropns.code
```

```
lemma ndropns-simps [simp]:
```

```
shows nhd-ndropns: ¬ is-NNil nell ⇒ nhd (ndropns nell) = nell
```

```
and ndropns-NCons: ntl (ndropns nell) = (case nell of (NNil b) ⇒ (NNil (NNil b)) |  
 (NCons x nell') ⇒ ndropns nell')
```

```
by (auto simp add: nellist.case-eq-if)
```

```
(metis ndropns-code(1) nellist.collapse(1) nellist.sel(4))
```

```
lemma ndropns-nnth:
```

```
assumes i ≤ nlength nell
```

```
shows nnth (ndropns nell) i = ndropn i nell
```

```
using assms
```

```
proof (induction i arbitrary: nell)
```

```
case 0
```

```
then show ?case
```

```
proof (cases nell)
```

```
case (NNil x1)
```

```
then show ?thesis by (simp add: nnth-NNil)
```

```
next
```

```
case (NCons x21 x22)
```

```
then show ?thesis by simp
```

```
qed
```

```
next
```

```
case (Suc i)
```

```
then show ?case
```

```
proof (cases nell)
```

```
case (NNil x1)
```

```
then show ?thesis by (simp add: nnth-NNil)
```

```
next
```

```
case (NCons x21 x22)
```

```
then show ?thesis using Suc by (simp add: Suc-ile-eq)
```

```
qed
```

```
qed
```

lemma *ndropns-nlength*:

nlength (*ndropns nell*) = (*nlength nell*)
by (*coinduction arbitrary*: *nell rule: enat-coinduct*)
(*case-tac nell, auto*)

lemma *in-nset-ndropns*:

nell ∈ *nset(ndropns nellx)* ↔ ($\exists i. i \leq nlength nellx \wedge nell = ndropn i nellx$)
by (*metis in-nset-conv-nnth ndropns-nlength ndropns-nnth*)

lemma *nset-ndropns*:

nset(ndropns nell) = { *ndropn i nell* | *i. i ≤ nlength nell*}
using *in-nset-conv-nnth[of - ndropns nell] nset-conv-nnth[of ndropns nell]*
using *in-nset-ndropns[of - nell]* **by** *auto*

lemma *nmap-first-ndropns*:

nmap ($\lambda nell. nnth nell 0$) (*ndropns nell*) = *nell*
by (*coinduction arbitrary*: *nell*)
(*case-tac nell, auto simp add: nnth-NNil*)

lemma *ndropn-ndropns*:

assumes *i ≤ nlength(ndropns nell)*
shows *ndropn i (ndropns nell) = ndropns (ndropn i nell)*
using assms
proof (*coinduction arbitrary*: *nell i*)
case (*Eq-nellist ia nellx*)
then show ?case

proof –

have 1: *enat nellx ≤ nlength (ndropns ia)* ⇒
 is-NNil (ndropn nellx (ndropns ia)) = is-NNil (ndropns (ndropn nellx ia))
 by (*simp add: is-NNil-ndropn ndropns-nlength*)
have 2: *enat nellx ≤ nlength (ndropns ia)* ⇒
 (*is-NNil (ndropn nellx (ndropns ia))* →
 is-NNil (ndropns (ndropn nellx ia)) →
 nlast (ndropn nellx (ndropns ia)) = nlast (ndropns (ndropn nellx ia)))
 by (*metis dual-order.antisym ndropn-eq-NNil ndropns.disc(2) ndropns.simps(3) ndropns-nlength ndropns-nnth nellist.case-eq-if nellist.collapse(1) the-enat.simps*)
have 3: *enat nellx ≤ nlength (ndropns ia)* ⇒
 ($\neg is-NNil (ndropn nellx (ndropns ia)) \rightarrow$
 $\neg is-NNil (ndropns (ndropn nellx ia)) \rightarrow$
 nhd (ndropn nellx (ndropns ia)) = nhd (ndropns (ndropn nellx ia)))
 by (*metis Nat.add-0-right ndropn-nnth ndropns.disc(1) ndropns-nlength ndropns-nnth nhd-conv-nnth nhd-ndropns*)
have 4: *enat nellx ≤ nlength (ndropns ia)* ⇒
 ($\neg is-NNil (ndropn nellx (ndropns ia)) \rightarrow$
 $\neg is-NNil (ndropns (ndropn nellx ia)) \rightarrow$
 ($\exists nell i.$
 ntl (ndropn nellx (ndropns ia)) = ndropn i (ndropns nell) \wedge
 ntl (ndropns (ndropn nellx ia)) = ndropns (ndropn i nell) \wedge
 enat i ≤ nlength (ndropns nell)))
 by (*metis Suc-ile-eq is-NNil-ndropn ndropn-Suc-conv-ndropn ndropns-code(2) ndropns-nlength*)

```

nellist.sel(5) order.order-iff-strict
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 4 Eq-nellist by blast
qed
qed

lemma ndropns-nfilter-nnth:
assumes i ≤ nlength (nfilter P (ndropns nell))
shows ∃ nelly ∈ nset(ndropns nell). P nelly
using assms using nset-nfilter[of ndropns nell P]
by (metis (full-types) Int-iff in-nset-conv-nnth mem-Collect-eq )

lemma nnth-zero-ndropn:
nnth (ndropn n nell) 0 = nnth nell n
by simp

lemma in-nset-ndropns-nhd:
x ∈ nset nell ←→ ( ∃ ys. x = (nnth ys 0) ∧ ys ∈ nset(ndropns nell))
by auto
(meis in-nset-conv-nnth ndropns-nlength nmap-first-ndropns nnth-nmap,
meis Nat.add-0-right in-nset-conv-nnth in-nset-ndropns ndropn-nnth)

lemma nset-ndropns-nhd:
nset nell = {(nnth nelly 0) | nelly. nelly ∈ nset(ndropns nell) }
by auto
(meis in-nset-ndropns-nhd,
meis in-nset-conv-nnth in-nset-ndropns nnth-zero-ndropn)

lemma nellist-all2-ndropnsI:
nellist-all2 A nellx nelly ⟹ nellist-all2 (nellist-all2 A) (ndropns nellx) (ndropns nelly)
by (coinduction arbitrary; nellx nelly)
(auto simp add: nellist.case-eq-if dest: nellist-all2-nhdD nellist-all2-is-NNilD
intro: nellist-all2-ntlI)

```

3.2 Definitions

```

context
includes nellist.lifting
begin

```

```

lift-definition nkfilter :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a nellist ⇒ nat nellist
is λ P n xs. (if lnull(kfilter P n xs) then (iterates Suc n) else kfilter P n xs)
by simp

```

```

lift-definition nleast :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a nellist ⇒ nat
is λ P xs. lleast P xs
by auto

```

```

lift-definition nridx :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a nellist ⇒ bool

```

is $\lambda R\ xs.\ ridx\ R\ xs$
by auto

lift-definition $nidx :: \text{nat nellist} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
is $\lambda xs.\ lidx\ xs$
by auto

lift-definition $nbutlast :: 'a nellist \Rightarrow 'a nellist$
is $\lambda xs.\ (\text{if } lnull\ (lbutlast\ xs) \text{ then } (LCons\ (llast\ xs)\ LNil) \text{ else } lbutlast\ xs)$
by auto

lift-definition $nfuse :: 'a nellist \Rightarrow 'a nellist \Rightarrow 'a nellist$
is $\lambda xs\ ys.\ lfuse\ xs\ ys$
using $lfuse\text{-conv}\text{-}lnull$ **by** blast

lift-definition $nsubn :: 'a nellist \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a nellist$
is $(\lambda xs\ n1\ n2.\ lsubc\ n1\ n2\ xs)$
unfolding $lsubc\text{-def}$
by auto
 $(metis\ co.enat.exhaust-sel\ dual-order.refl\ enat-ile\ illess-Suc-eq\ leD\ llength-eq-0)$

lift-definition $nlastnfirst :: 'a nellist nellist \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
is $\lambda xss.\ llastlfirst\ xss$
apply (simp add: pcr-nellist-def cr-nellist-def OO-def rel-fun-def llist.rel-eq)
unfolding $llastlfirst\text{-def}$
using $llist\text{-all2}\text{-}llist\text{-of}\text{-}nellist\text{-}1$ **by** blast

lemma $nfusecat\text{-help}:$
assumes $\exists y.\ llist\text{-all2}(\lambda x\ z.\ llist\text{-of}\text{-}nellist\ z = x)\ llist1\ y \wedge y \neq LNil \wedge$
 $llist\text{-all2}(\lambda x\ z.\ llist\text{-of}\text{-}nellist\ z = x)\ llist2\ y$
shows $lfusecat\ llist1 \neq LNil \wedge lfusecat\ llist1 = lfusecat\ llist2$
proof -
have 1: $lfusecat\ llist1 = lfusecat\ llist2$
using assms $llist\text{-all2}\text{-}llist\text{-of}\text{-}nellist\text{-}1\ lnull\text{-def}$ **by** blast
have 2: $lfusecat\ llist1 \neq LNil$
using assms **apply** auto
using $lfusecat\text{-not}\text{-}lnull\text{-var}\ llist\text{-all2}\text{-}lnullD\ llist\text{-all2}\text{-}lsetD1$ **by** fastforce
show ?thesis **using** 1 2 **by** auto
qed

lift-definition $nfusecat :: 'a nellist nellist \Rightarrow 'a nellist$
is $\lambda xss.\ lfusecat\ xss$
using $nfusecat\text{-help}$
by (simp add: pcr-nellist-def OO-def cr-nellist-def nellist.pcr-cr-eq rel-fun-def lnull-def
 $llist\text{-all2-cases}\ llist\text{-all2-rsp}\ llist.\text{rel-eq}\ not\text{-}lnull\text{-conv}\text{-}llist\text{-of}\text{-}nellist$) blast

3.3 nbutlast

lemma $nbutlast\text{-}NNil[\text{simp}]:$
 $nbutlast\ (NNil\ x) = (NNil\ x)$

apply transfer
by auto

lemma *nbutlast-snoc* [*simp*]:
nbutlast (*nappend nell (NNil x)*) = *nell*

apply transfer
by auto

lemma *nbutlast-def1*:
nbutlast nell =
(*case nell of (NNil x) => (NNil x)* |
(*NCons x nell1*) =>
(*case nell1 of (NNil y) => (NNil x)* |
(*NCons z nell2*) => (*NCons x (nbutlast nell1)*)))

proof (*cases nell*)

case (*NNil x1*)

then show ?*thesis* **by** *simp*

next

case (*NCons x21 x22*)

then show ?*thesis*

proof –

have 1: *is-NNil x22* \implies *nbutlast (NCons x21 x22)* = (*NNil x21*)

by (*metis nappend-NNil nbutlast-snoc nellist.collapse(1)*)

have 2: \neg *is-NNil x22* \implies *nbutlast (NCons x21 x22)* = (*NCons x21 (nbutlast x22)*)

apply transfer

by auto

(*metis lhd-LCons-ltl llist.collapse(1)*,

metis lbutlast-simps(3) lhd-LCons-ltl)

show ?*thesis*

by (*simp add: 1 2 NCons nellist.case-eq-if*)

qed

qed

lemma *ntl-nbutlast*:

ntl (nbutlast nell) =

(*if is-NNil nell then ntl nell else*

(if is-NNil (ntl nell) then NNil (nhd nell) else nbutlast (ntl nell)))

by (*auto simp add: nbutlast-def1 nellist.case-eq-if*)

lemma *nbutlast-not-nfinite*:

assumes \neg *nfinite nell*

shows *nbutlast nell* = *nell*

using *assms*

apply transfer

by *simp*

(*metis lbutlast.disc-iff(2) lbutlast-not-lfinite*)

lemma *nbutlast-nfinite*:

nfinite (nbutlast nell) \longleftrightarrow *nfinite nell*

```

apply transfer
by (metis (full-types) lbutlast-lfinite lbutlast-not-lfinite lfinite-LNil lfinite-code(2))

lemma nlength-nbutlast [simp]:
  nlength (nbutlast nell) = epred (nlength nell)
apply transfer
by (simp, simp add: epred-llength)

lemma nbulast-nappend:
  nbutlast (nappend nellx nelly) =
  (if is-NNil nelly then nellx else nappend nellx (nbutlast nelly))
apply transfer
by simp
(metis lbutlast-lappend lbutlast-snoc lhd-LCons-ltl lnull-def)

lemma nappend-nbutlast-nlast-id-nfinite:
assumes nfinite nellx
  -is-NNil nellx
shows (nappend (nbutlast nellx) (NNil (nlast nellx))) = nellx
using assms
apply transfer
by simp
(metis lhd-LCons-ltl llist.collapse(1))

lemma nappend-nbutlast-nlast-id-not-nfinite:
assumes ¬nfinite nellx
  ¬ is-NNil nellx
shows (nappend (nbutlast nellx) (NNil (nlast nellx))) = nellx
using assms
apply transfer
by simp
(metis lappend-inf lbutlast.disc-iff(2) lbutlast-snoc)

lemma nappend-nbutlast-nlast-id [simp]:
shows ¬ is-NNil nell ==> (nappend (nbutlast nell) (NNil (nlast nell))) = nell
using nappend-nbutlast-nlast-id-nfinite nappend-nbutlast-nlast-id-not-nfinite by blast

lemma nbulast-eq-NNil-conv:
  nbutlast nell = (NNil (nfirst nell)) <=>
  nell = (NNil (nfirst nell)) ∨ (∃ x. nell = (NCons x (NNil (nlast nell))))
apply transfer
by (auto simp add: llist.expand lbutlast-not-lfinite )
(metis lhd-LCons-ltl llast-LCons,
metis eq-LConsD lbutlast-eq-LNil-conv lbutlast-ltl lhd-LCons-ltl llast-LCons2 llast-singleton,
simp add: eq-LConsD,
simp add: eq-LConsD lbutlast-eq-LCons-conv,
metis lfinite-LNil lfinite-ltl llist.collapse(1))

lemma nbulast-eq-NCons-conv:
  nbutlast nell = (NCons x ys) <=>

```

```

nell = (NCons x (nappend ys (NNil (nlast nell))))
apply transfer
by (auto simp add: eq-LConsD lbutlast-eq-LCons-conv llast-linfinite)

lemma nbutlast-conv-ntake:
  nbutlast nell = ntake (epred (nlength nell)) nell
apply transfer
by simp
  (metis co.enat.exhaust-sel ileI1 iless-eSuc0 lappend-lbutlast-llast-id lappend-lnull1
   lbutlast.disc-iff(2) lbutlast-conv-ltake llength-eq-0 llength-lbutlast ltake-all)

lemma nmap-nbutlast:
  nmap f (nbutlast nell) = nbutlast (nmap f nell)
apply transfer
by simp
  (metis lfinite-ltl llast-lmap lmap-lbutlast lnull-imp-lfinite)

lemma snoocs-eq-iff-nbutlast:
  nappend nell (NNil x) = nell1  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  (( nfinite nell1  $\wedge$   $\neg$  is-NNil nell1  $\wedge$  nbutlast nell1 = nell  $\wedge$  nlast nell1 = x)
    $\vee$  ( $\neg$  nfinite nell1  $\wedge$  nbutlast nell = nell1))
by (metis is-NNil-nappend nappend-inf nappend-nbutlast-nlast-id nbutlast-nfinite nbutlast-snoc
  nlast-NNil nlast-nappend)

lemma in-nset-nbutlastD:
   $x \in nset(nbutlast nell) \implies x \in nset nell$ 
by (metis in-nset-snocn-iff nappend-nbutlast-nlast-id-nfinite nappend-snocn nbutlast-NNil
  nbutlast-not-nfinite nellist.collapse(1))

lemma in-nset-nbutlast-nappendI:
   $x \in nset (nbutlast nell) \vee (nfinite nell \wedge \neg is-NNil nell1 \wedge x \in nset (nbutlast nell1)) \implies$ 
   $x \in nset (nbutlast (nappend nell nell1))$ 
unfolding nbutlast-nappend
by (metis (full-types) Un-iff in-nset-nbutlastD nset-nappend)

lemma nnth-nbutlast:
assumes  $n \leq nlength(nbutlast nell)$ 
shows  $nnth (nbutlast nell) n = nnth nell n$ 
by (metis assms nappend-nbutlast-nlast-id-nfinite nbutlast-eq-NNil-conv nbutlast-not-nfinite
  ndropn-is-NNil ndropn-nfirst nellist.collapse(1) nnth-nappend1 nnth-nlast)

```

3.4 nsubn

```

lemma nsubn-def1:
  nsubn nell i j = ntaken (j-i) (ndropn i nell)
apply transfer
unfolding lsubc-def
by auto
  (metis co.enat.exhaust-sel dual-order.refl enat-ile iless-Suc-eq leD llength-eq-0 ,

```

metis eSuc-enat enat-the-enat infinity-ileE ldrop-enat min.cobounded1)

lemma *nsubn-same*:

shows *nsubn nell k k = (NNil (nnth nell k))*

unfolding *nsubn-def1*

by (*simp add: ndropn-nfirst*)

lemma *nsubn-nlength*:

nlength(nsubn nell i j) = min (j-i) (nlength nell - i)

by (*simp add: nsubn-def1*)

lemma *nsubn-nlength-gr-one*:

assumes *k < n*

n ≤ nlength nell

shows *0 < nlength (nsubn nell k n)*

using *assms*

unfolding *nsubn-nlength*

by (*metis enat-minus-mono1 enat-ord-simps(2) idiff-enat-enat min-def zero-enat-def zero-less-diff*)

lemma *nsubn-nfinite*:

shows *nfinite (nsubn nell k n)*

by (*simp add: nsubn-def1*)

lemma *nsubn-nnth*:

shows *nnth (nsubn nell i j) k = nnth nell (i + min k (j - i))*

unfolding *nsubn-def1*

using *ntaken-nnth[of j-i (ndropn i nell) k] ndropn-nnth[of i nell (min k (j - i))]*

by *simp*

lemma *ntaken-ndropn*:

ntaken n (ndropn k nell) = nsubn nell k (n+k)

by (*simp add: nsubn-def1*)

lemma *ntaken-ndropn-nfirst*:

nfirst (ntaken n (ndropn k nell)) = nnth nell k

by (*metis min-0L ndropn-nfirst ntaken-0 ntaken-ntaken nlast-NNil*)

lemma *ntaken-ndropn-nfirst-a*:

nfirst (ntaken n (ndropn k nell)) = nfirst(ndropn k nell)

by (*simp add: ndropn-nfirst ntaken-ndropn-nfirst*)

lemma *ntaken-ndropn-nlast*:

nlast(ntaken n (ndropn k nell)) = nnth nell (n+k)

by (*simp add: add.commute ntaken-nlast*)

lemma *nsubn-nfirst*:

nfirst (nsubn nell i j) = nnth nell i

by (*simp add: nsubn-def1 ntaken-ndropn-nfirst*)

lemma *nsubn-nlast*:

nlast (nsubn nell i j) = nnth nell (j - i + i)
by (*simp add: nsubn-def1 ntaken-ndropn-nlast*)

lemma *nsubn-ndropn*:

assumes *i < j*
 shows *nsubn nell (i+k) (j+k) = nsubn (ndropn k nell) i j*
 using assms
by (*simp add: add.commute ndropn-ndropn nsubn-def1*)

lemma *pref-ntaken-3*:

 (*ntaken i (ntaken (i+k) nell) = (ntaken i nell)*)
by (*metis le-add1 min.orderE ntaken-ntaken*)

lemma *ntaken-ndropn-swap-nlength*:

assumes *ia + i ≤ nlength nell*
 shows *nlength (ntaken ia (ndropn i nell)) = nlength (ndropn i (ntaken (ia+i) nell))*
 using assms ndropn-nlength[of i (ntaken (ia+i) nell)] ntaken-nlength[of ia (ndropn i nell)]
 by auto
 (*metis add-diff-cancel-right' enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat min.orderE*)

lemma *ntaken-ndropn-swap-nnth*:

assumes *m ≤ ia*
 ia + i ≤ nlength nell
 shows *nnth (ntaken ia (ndropn i nell)) m = nnth (ndropn i (ntaken (ia+i) nell)) m*
 using assms
by (*simp add: ntaken-nnth*)

lemma *nellist-eq-nnth-eq*:

 (*nellx = nelly*) \longleftrightarrow *nlength nellx = nlength nelly* \wedge ($\forall i \leq nlength nellx. nnth nellx i = nnth nelly i$)
by transfer
 (*metis co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq llength-eq-0 llist-eq-lnth-eq min-absorb1 the-enat.simps*)

lemma *ntaken-ndropn-swap*:

assumes *ia + i ≤ nlength nell*
 shows *(ntaken ia (ndropn i nell)) = (ndropn i (ntaken (ia+i) nell))*
 using assms nellist-eq-nnth-eq[of (ntaken ia (ndropn i nell)) (ndropn i (ntaken (ia+i) nell))]
 using ntaken-ndropn-swap-nlength
 using ntaken-ndropn-swap-nnth by fastforce

lemma *ntaken-nsubn*:

assumes *n ≤ nlength nell*
 m + k ≤ n
 shows *ntaken m (nsubn nell k n) = nsubn nell k (m+k)*
 using assms
 unfolding nsubn-def1

by simp

lemma *ndropn-nsubn*:
assumes $n \leq nlength\ nell$
 $m + k \leq n$
shows $ndropn m (nsubn\ nell\ k\ n) = nsubn\ nell\ (m+k)\ n$
proof –
 have 1: $ntaken\ (n-k)\ (ndropn\ k\ nell) = ndropn\ k\ (ntaken\ n\ nell)$
 by (metis add-leD2 assms(1) assms(2) diff-add ntaken-ndropn-swap plus-enat-simps(1))
 have 2: $ndropn\ m\ (ndropn\ k\ (ntaken\ n\ nell)) =$
 $ndropn\ (m+k)\ (ntaken\ n\ nell)$
 by (simp add: add.commute ndropn-ndropn)
 show ?thesis **unfolding** nsubn-def1 **using** 1 2
 by (simp add: assms(1) assms(2) ntaken-ndropn-swap)
 qed

lemma *ntl-nsubn*:
assumes $n \leq nlength\ nell$
 $k \leq n$
shows $ntl(nsubn\ nell\ k\ n) = (\text{if } n=k \text{ then } (NNil\ (nnth\ nell\ k)) \text{ else } nsubn\ (ntl\ nell)\ k\ (n-1))$
using assms **unfolding** nsubn-def1
using ntl-ntaken[of $n-k$ ndropn k nell] ntl-ndropn[of k nell]
 by (metis diff-diff-cancel diff-right-commute diff-zero nellist.sel(4) nsubn-def1 nsubn-same)

lemma *nsubn-nsubn*:
assumes $n1 \leq n2$
 $n0 \leq n4$
 $n2 \leq n4 - n0$
 $n4 \leq n3$
 $n3 \leq nlength\ nell$
shows $(nsubn\ (nsubn\ nell\ n0\ n3)\ n1\ n2) = (nsubn\ (nsubn\ nell\ n0\ n4)\ n1\ n2)$
proof –
 have 1: $nlength(nsubn\ nell\ n0\ n3) = n3 - n0$
 using assms **by** (metis enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat min.orderE nsubn-nlength)
 have 2: $nlength\ (nsubn\ (nsubn\ nell\ n0\ n3)\ n1\ n2) = n2 - n1$
 using assms
 by (metis Nat.le-diff-conv2 enat-minus-mono1 enat-ord-simps(1) idiff-enat-enat le-trans min.orderE nsubn-nlength)
 have 3: $nlength(nsubn\ nell\ n0\ n4) = n4 - n0$
 using assms nsubn-nlength[of nell n0 n4]
 unfolding min-def
 by (metis enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat min.coboundedI1 min.left-commute min-absorb1 min-enat-simps(1))
 have 4: $nlength\ (nsubn\ (nsubn\ nell\ n0\ n4)\ n1\ n2) = n2 - n1$
 using assms
 by (metis 3 enat-minus-mono1 enat-ord-simps(1) idiff-enat-enat min.orderE nsubn-nlength)
 have 5: $\bigwedge i. i \leq (n3 - n0) \longrightarrow (nnth\ (nsubn\ nell\ n0\ n3)\ i) = (nnth\ nell\ (n0+i))$
 using assms **by** (simp add: nsubn-def1 ntaken-nnth)
 have 6: $\bigwedge i. i \leq (n2 - n1) \longrightarrow$
 $(nnth\ (nsubn\ (nsubn\ nell\ n0\ n3)\ n1\ n2)\ i) = (nnth\ (nsubn\ nell\ n0\ n3)\ (n1+i))$

```

using assms by (simp add: Nat.le-diff-conv2 nsubn-nnth)
have 7:  $\bigwedge i . i \leq (n2 - n1) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(nnth (nsubn (nsubn nell n0 n3) n1 n2) i) = (nnth nell (n0 + (n1 + i)))$ 
using 5 6 assms by auto
have 8:  $n0 \leq n4 \wedge n4 \leq nlength nell$ 
using assms by (simp add: order-subst2)
have 9:  $\bigwedge i . i \leq (n4 - n0) \longrightarrow (nnth (nsubn nell n0 n4) i) = (nnth nell (n0 + i))$ 
using 8 by (simp add: nsubn-def1 ntaken-nnth)
have 10:  $\bigwedge i . i \leq (n2 - n1) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(nnth (nsubn (nsubn nell n0 n4) n1 n2) i) = (nnth (nsubn nell n0 n4) (n1 + i))$ 
by (simp add: nsubn-def1 ntaken-nnth)
have 11:  $\bigwedge i . i \leq (n2 - n1) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(nnth (nsubn (nsubn nell n0 n4) n1 n2) i) = (nnth nell (n0 + (n1 + i)))$ 
by (metis 10 9 Nat.le-diff-conv2 add.commute assms(1) assms(3) le-trans)
have 12:  $\bigwedge i . i \leq (n2 - n1) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(nnth (nsubn (nsubn nell n0 n3) n1 n2) i) = (nnth (nsubn (nsubn nell n0 n4) n1 n2) i)$ 
by (simp add: 11 7)
from 12 2 4 show ?thesis
using nellist-eq-nnth-eq[of (nsubn (nsubn nell n0 n3) n1 n2) (nsubn (nsubn nell n0 n4) n1 n2)]
enat-ord-simps(1) by presburger
qed

```

```

lemma nsubn-nsubn-1:
assumes  $n1 \leq n2$ 
 $n0 \leq n3$ 
 $n2 \leq n3 - n0$ 
 $n3 \leq nlength nell$ 
shows  $(nsubn (nsubn nell n0 n3) n1 n2) = (nsubn nell (n0 + n1) (n0 + n2))$ 
proof -
have 0:  $nlength(nsubn (nsubn nell n0 n3) n1 n2) = n2 - n1$ 
using assms
by (metis enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat min.orderE nsubn-nlength of-nat-eq-enat of-nat-mono)
have 1:  $nlength(nsubn nell (n1 + n0) (n2 + n0)) = (n2 + n0) - (n1 + n0)$ 
using assms nsubn-nlength[of nell (n1 + n0) (n2 + n0)]
unfolding min-def
by (metis Nat.le-diff-conv2 dual-order.trans enat-minus-mono1 enat-ord-simps(1) idiff-enat-enat)
have 10:  $(n2 + n0) - (n1 + n0) = n2 - n1$ 
using diff-cancel2 by blast
have 2:  $\bigwedge i . i \leq (n2 - n1) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(nnth (nsubn (nsubn nell n0 n3) n1 n2) i) = (nnth nell (n0 + (n1 + i)))$ 
using assms by (simp add: nsubn-nnth)
have 3:  $\bigwedge i . i \leq (n2 - n1) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(nnth (nsubn nell (n0 + n1) (n0 + n2)) i) = (nnth nell (n0 + (n1 + i)))$ 
using assms by (metis 10 add.assoc add.commute min.orderE nsubn-nnth)
have 4:  $\bigwedge i . i \leq (n2 - n1) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(nnth (nsubn (nsubn nell n0 n3) n1 n2) i) = (nnth (nsubn nell (n0 + n1) (n0 + n2)) i)$ 
by (simp add: 2 3)
show ?thesis using nellist-eq-nnth-eq[of (nsubn (nsubn nell n0 n3) n1 n2)
(nsubn nell (n0 + n1) (n0 + n2))]
0 10 4 1

```

```

by (metis add.commute enat-ord-simps(1))
qed

lemma nsubn-eq:
assumes  $n \leq m$ 
 $m \leq n \text{length } nellx$ 
 $m \leq n \text{length } nelly$ 
 $\forall j. n \leq j \wedge j \leq m \longrightarrow nnth(nellx j) = nnth(nelly j)$ 
shows  $nsubn(nellx n m) = nsubn(nelly n m)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $n \text{length}(nsubn(nellx n m)) = n \text{length}(nsubn(nelly n m))$ 
using assms by (metis enat-minus-mono1 min-def nsubn-nlength)
have 2:  $\bigwedge j. j \leq n \text{length}(nsubn(nellx n m)) \longrightarrow nnth(nsubn(nellx n m)) j = nnth(nsubn(nelly n m)) j$ 
using assms by (simp add: Nat.le-diff-conv2 nsubn-nlength nsubn-nnth)
show ?thesis
by (simp add: 1 2 nellist-eq-nnth-eq)
qed

```

3.5 nfuse

```

lemma nfuse-def1:
 $\text{nfuse}(nellx nelly) = (\text{if } \text{is-NNil}(nelly) \text{ then } nellx \text{ else } \text{nappend}(nellx, ntl(nelly)))$ 
apply transfer
unfolding lfuse-def by simp force

lemma nfuse-NCCons-a:
 $\text{nfuse}(\text{NCCons}(x, nellx) nelly) = (\text{NCCons}(x, \text{nfuse}(nellx nelly)))$ 
by (simp add: nfuse-def1)

lemma nfuse-NCCons-b:
 $\text{nfuse}(nellx (\text{NCCons}(y, nelly))) = \text{nappend}(nellx, nelly)$ 
by (simp add: nfuse-def1)

lemma nfuse-simps [simp]:
shows  $\text{nhd}(\text{nfuse}(nellx nelly)) =$ 
 $(\text{if } \text{is-NNil}(nellx) \text{ then } (\text{if } \text{is-NNil}(nelly) \text{ then } \text{nhd}(nellx) \text{ else } \text{nlast}(nellx))$ 
 $\text{else } \text{nhd}(nellx))$ 

and  $\text{ntl-nfuse}: \text{ntl}(\text{nfuse}(nellx nelly)) =$ 
 $(\text{if } \text{is-NNil}(nellx) \text{ then } (\text{if } \text{is-NNil}(nelly) \text{ then } \text{ntl}(nellx) \text{ else } \text{ntl}(nelly))$ 
 $\text{else } (\text{if } \text{is-NNil}(nelly) \text{ then } \text{ntl}(nelly) \text{ else } \text{nappend}(\text{ntl}(nellx), \text{ntl}(nelly)))$ 
by (simp-all add: nfuse-def1)

lemma nfuse-nbutlast:
assumes  $\text{nlast}(nellx) = \text{nfirst}(nelly)$ 
 $\neg \text{is-NNil}(nellx)$ 
 $\neg \text{is-NNil}(nelly)$ 

```

shows $\text{nfuse } \text{nellx } \text{nelly} = \text{nappend } (\text{nbutlast } \text{nellx}) \text{ nelly}$
using assms
by (*metis nappend-NCons nappend-NNil nappend-assoc nappend-nbutlast-nlast-id nappend-snocn nellist-collapse(2) nellist.sel(3) nfuse-def1 nhd-snocn*)

lemma *nfuse-nlength*:
shows $\text{nlength } (\text{nfuse } \text{nellx } \text{nelly}) = (\text{nlength } \text{nellx}) + (\text{nlength } \text{nelly})$
unfolding *nfuse-def1*
by (*cases nelly*) (*simp, simp add: eSuc-plus-1*)

lemma *nfuse-nnth*:
assumes $i \leq \text{nlength } (\text{nfuse } \text{nellx } \text{nelly})$
 $\text{nlast } \text{nellx} = \text{nfirst } \text{nelly}$
shows $(i \leq \text{nlength } \text{nellx} \rightarrow \text{nnth } (\text{nfuse } \text{nellx } \text{nelly}) i = \text{nnth } \text{nellx} i)$
 \wedge
 $(\text{nlength } \text{nellx} < i \wedge i \leq \text{nlength } (\text{nfuse } \text{nellx } \text{nelly}) \rightarrow$
 $\text{nnth } (\text{nfuse } \text{nellx } \text{nelly}) i = \text{nnth } \text{nelly} (i - (\text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } \text{nellx}))))$
unfolding *nfuse-def1*
by (*cases nelly*)
 $(\text{auto simp add: nnth-nappend,}$
 $\text{metis Suc-diff-Suc enat-iless enat-ord-simps(2) ndropn-Suc-NCons ndropn-nfirst the-enat.simps})$

lemma *nfuse-nnth-a*:
assumes $j \leq \text{nlength } \text{nelly}$
 $\text{nlast } \text{nellx} = \text{nfirst } \text{nelly}$
 $\text{nfinite } \text{nellx}$
shows $\text{nnth } (\text{nfuse } \text{nellx } \text{nelly}) ((\text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } \text{nellx})) + j) = (\text{nnth } \text{nelly} j)$
using assms unfolding nfuse-def1
by (*cases is-NNil nelly*)
 $(\text{simp-all,}$
 $\text{metis assms(2) assms(3) is-NNil-def is-NNil-imp-nfinite ndropn-nlast ndropn-nfirst}$
 $\text{ndropn-nnth nnth-NNil,}$
 $\text{metis enat-le-plus-same(2) gen-nlength-def nappend-NNil ndropn-nlast ndropn-nappend2}$
 $\text{ndropn-nnth nellist.case-eq-if nellist-collapse(2) nfinite-nlength-enat nfirst-def}$
 $\text{nlength-code the-enat.simps})$

lemma *nfuse-nappend*:
assumes $\text{nlast } \text{nellx} = \text{nfirst } \text{nelly}$
shows $\text{nfuse } \text{nellx } \text{nelly} =$
 $(\text{if } \text{nfinite } \text{nellx} \text{ then}$
 $\quad (\text{if } \text{is-NNil } \text{nellx} \text{ then } \text{nelly} \text{ else } \text{nappend } (\text{ntaken } (\text{the-enat } (\text{epred } (\text{nlength } \text{nellx}))) \text{ nellx}) \text{ nelly})$
 $\quad \text{else } \text{nellx})$
proof (*cases nelly*)
case (*NNil x1*)
then show ?thesis
proof (*cases nfinite nellx*)
case *True*
then show ?thesis
proof (*cases nellx*)
case (*NNil x1*)

```

then show ?thesis using assms
  by (simp add: nfuse-def1)
    (metis nappend-snocn nellist.collapse(1) nellist.collapse(2) nhd-nappend nhd-snocn)
next
case (NCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis unfolding nfuse-def1 using assms NNil NCons True
  by (auto simp add: nfirst-def)
    (metis True add-diff-cancel-left' assms eSuc-enat ndropn-nfirst ndropn-nlast
     nellist-split-2-last nfinite-nlength-enat nlast-NCons nlength-NCons plus-1-eq-Suc
     the-enat.simps zero-less-Suc)
qed
next
case False
then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: NNil nfuse-def1)
qed
next
case (NCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis
proof (cases nfinite nellx)
  case True
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding nfuse-def1
    proof auto
      show is-NNil nelly  $\Rightarrow$  is-NNil nellx  $\Rightarrow$  nellx = nelly
        by (simp add: NCons)
      show nfinite nellx  $\Rightarrow$  is-NNil nelly  $\Rightarrow$   $\neg$  is-NNil nellx  $\Rightarrow$ 
        nellx = nappend (ntaken (the-enat (epred (nlength nellx))) nellx) nelly
        using assms NCons True by simp
      show  $\neg$  is-NNil nelly  $\Rightarrow$  is-NNil nellx  $\Rightarrow$  nappend nellx (ntl nelly) = nelly
        using assms NCons True
        by (metis nappend-NNil nellist.collapse(1) nellist.sel(5) nnth-0 ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast)
      show nfinite nellx  $\Rightarrow$   $\neg$  is-NNil nelly  $\Rightarrow$   $\neg$  is-NNil nellx  $\Rightarrow$ 
        nappend nellx (ntl nelly) = nappend (ntaken (the-enat (epred (nlength nellx))) nellx) nelly
        using assms NCons True
      by (cases nellx)
        ( auto,
          metis True add-diff-cancel-left' eSuc-enat nappend-NCons nappend-NNil nappend-assoc
          ndropn-eq-NNil ndropn-nlast nellist.sel(5) nellist-split-2-last nfinite-nlength-enat
          nlast-NNil nlast-ntl nlength-NCons nnth-0 ntaken-nlast ntl-ntaken-0 plus-1-eq-Suc
          the-enat.simps zero-less-Suc)
    qed
next
case False
then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: nappend-inf nfuse-def1)
qed
qed

```

lemma nfuse-leftneutral :

```

nfuse (NNil (nfirst nell)) nell = nell
by (simp add: nfuse-nappend)

lemma nfuse-rightneutral :
  nfuse nell (NNil (nlast nell)) = nell
unfolding nfuse-def
by simp

lemma nfirst-nfuse :
assumes nlast nellx = nfirst nelly
shows nfirst (nfuse nellx nelly) = nfirst nellx
using assms unfolding nfuse-def1 by transfer auto

lemma nlast-nfuse :
assumes nlast nellx = nfirst nelly
  nfinite nellx
shows nlast (nfuse nellx nelly) = nlast nelly
using assms unfolding nfuse-def1 by transfer (auto, metis lhd-LCons-ltl llast-LCons llast-lappend)

lemma nfuseassoc :
shows (nfuse nellx (nfuse nelly nellz)) = (nfuse (nfuse nellx nelly) nellz)
unfolding nfuse-def1
by transfer (auto simp add: lappend-assoc, simp add: lappend-ltl)

lemma ntaken-nfuse :
assumes nlast nellx = nfirst nelly
  nfinite nellx
shows (ntaken (the-enat (nlength nellx)) (nfuse nellx nelly)) = nellx
proof (cases is-NNil nelly)
case True
then show ?thesis using assms by (metis ndropn-eq-NNil ndropn-nlast nfuse-def1 ntaken-all)
next
case False
then show ?thesis using assms
by (metis add.left-neutral enat-le-plus-same(2) nfinite-nlength-enat nfuse-def1 ntaken-all
  ntaken-nappend1 the-enat.simps)
qed

lemma ndropn-nfuse :
assumes nlast nellx = nfirst nelly
  nfinite nellx
shows (ndropn (the-enat (nlength nellx)) (nfuse nellx nelly)) = nelly
proof (cases is-NNil nelly)
case True
then show ?thesis using assms by (metis ndropn-nlast nfuse-def1 nfuse-leftneutral)
next
case False
then show ?thesis using assms
by (metis enat-le-plus-same(2) gen-nlength-def ndropn-nappend2 ndropn-nlast nfinite-nlength-enat
  nfuse-def1 nfuse-leftneutral nlength-code the-enat.simps)

```

qed

lemma nfuse-ntaken-ndropn-nlength :
assumes $n \leq nlength\ nell$
shows $nlength(nfuse(ntaken\ n\ nell)\ (ndropn\ n\ nell)) = nlength\ nell$
using assms
by (metis dual-order.order-iff-strict enat-add-sub-same infinity-ileE less-eqE min.absorb1
ndropn-nlength nfuse-nlength ntaken-nlength)

lemma nfuse-ntaken-ndropn-nnth :
assumes $n \leq nlength\ nell$
 $i \leq nlength\ nell$
shows $nnth(nfuse(ntaken\ n\ nell)\ (ndropn\ n\ nell))\ i = nnth\ nell\ i$
using assms
nfuse-nnth[of i (ntaken n nell) (ndropn n nell)]
nfuse-ntaken-ndropn-nlength[of n nell]
by (metis dual-order.strict-iff-order enat-ord-simps(1) le-add-diff-inverse min.orderE ndropn-nfirst
ndropn-nnth not-less ntaken-nlast ntaken-nlength ntaken-nnth the-enat.simps)

lemma nfuse-ntaken-ndropn:
assumes $n \leq nlength\ nell$
shows $nfuse(ntaken\ n\ nell)\ (ndropn\ n\ nell) = nell$
using assms
by (simp add: nfuse-ntaken-ndropn-nlength nfuse-ntaken-ndropn-nnth nellist-eq-nnth-eq)

lemma nfuse-nnth-var:
assumes $enat\ i \leq nlength(nfuse\ nellx\ nelly)$
 $nlast\ nellx = nfirst\ nelly$
shows $(enat\ i \leq nlength\ nellx \rightarrow nnth(nfuse\ nellx\ nelly)\ i = nnth\ nellx\ i) \wedge$
 $(nlength\ nellx \leq enat\ i \wedge enat\ i \leq nlength(nfuse\ nellx\ nelly) \rightarrow$
 $nnth(nfuse\ nellx\ nelly)\ i = nnth\ nelly(i - the-enat(nlength\ nellx)))$
using nfuse-nnth assms
by (metis cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel dual-order.strict-iff-order
ndropn-nfuse nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD nnth-zero-ndropn the-enat.simps)

lemma nset-nfuse:
 $nset(nfuse\ nellx\ nelly) =$
(if nfinite nellx then
(if is-NNil nelly then nset nellx else nset nellx \cup nset (ntl nelly))
else nset nellx)
by (simp add: nfuse-def1 nset-nappend)

lemma nsubn-nfuse:
assumes $(enat\ k) \leq n$
 $(enat\ n) \leq m$
 $(enat\ m) \leq nlength\ nell$
shows $nfuse(nsubn\ nell\ k\ n)\ (nsubn\ nell\ n\ m) = (nsubn\ nell\ k\ m)$
using assms
proof -
have 1: $nlast(nsubn\ nell\ k\ n) = (nnth\ nell\ n)$

```

by (metis assms(1) enat-ord-simps(1) le-add-diff-inverse2 nsubn-def1 ntaken-ndropn-nlast)
have 2: nnth(nsubn nell n m) = (nnth nell n)
by (simp add: ndropn-nfirst nsubn-def1 ntaken-ndropn-nfirst-a)
have 3: nlength(nsubn nell k n) = n - k
using assms nsubn-nlength[of nell k n]
by (metis dual-order.trans enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat min-absorb1)
have 4: nlength(nsubn nell n m) = m - n
by (metis assms(3) enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat min-def nsubn-nlength)
have 5: nlength(nsubn nell k m) = m - k
by (metis assms(3) enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat min-absorb1 nsubn-nlength)
have 6: nlength(nfuse(nsubn nell k n) (nsubn nell n m)) = nlength(nsubn nell k m)
unfolding nsubn-def
by (metis 3 4 5 Nat.add-diff-assoc2 assms(1) assms(2) enat-ord-simps(1) nfuse-nlength
      nsubn-def ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse plus-enat-simps(1))
have 7: ( $\forall i. i \leq nlength(nsubn nell k m) \rightarrow$ 
           (nnth(nfuse(nsubn nell k n) (nsubn nell n m)) i) = (nnth nell (k+i)))
proof
  fix i
  show  $i \leq nlength(nsubn nell k m) \rightarrow$ 
        (nnth(nfuse(nsubn nell k n) (nsubn nell n m)) i) = (nnth nell (k+i))
proof –
  have 41: nlength(nsubn nell k m) = (m - k)
  using 5 by blast
  have 42:  $i \leq nlength(nsubn nell k m) \rightarrow nnth(nfuse(nsubn nell k n) (nsubn nell n m)) i =$ 
            (if  $i \leq n - k$  then (nnth(nsubn nell k n) i)
             else (nnth(nsubn nell n m) (i - (n - k))))
  by (simp add: 1 2 3 6 nfuse-nnth)
  have 43:  $i \leq (m - k) \rightarrow nnth(nfuse(nsubn nell k n) (nsubn nell n m)) i =$ 
            (if  $i \leq (n - k)$  then (nnth nell (k+i)) else (nnth nell (n + (i - (n - k)))))
  using assms 42 5 unfolding nsubn-def1
  by (auto simp add: ntaken-nnth)
    (metis enat-ord-simps(1) min.bounded-iff,
     metis enat-ord-simps(1) min.bounded-iff)
  have 44:  $i \leq (m - k) \rightarrow nnth(nfuse(nsubn nell k n) (nsubn nell n m)) i =$ 
            (nnth nell (k+i))
  by (metis 43 Nat.diff-diff-right Nat.le-diff-conv2 add.commute assms(1) enat-ord-simps(1)
        le-add-diff-inverse nat-le-linear)
  show ?thesis
    by (simp add: 41 44)
qed
qed
have 8: ( $\forall i. i \leq nlength(nsubn nell k m) \rightarrow (nnth(nsubn nell k m) i) = (nnth nell (k+i))$ )
  using assms by (simp add: nsubn-def1 ntaken-nnth)
show ?thesis
  by (simp add: 6 7 8 nellist-eq-nnth-eq)
qed

```

lemma nmap-nfuse:

$nmap f (nfuse nellx nelly) = nfuse (nmap f nellx) (nmap f nelly)$

by (simp add: nfuse-def1 nmap-nappend-distrib)


```

(Suc n)))  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
R x (nfirst nell)  $\wedge$ 
(∀ n. 1≤n  $\wedge$  (n) ≤ (nlength nell)  $\longrightarrow$  R (nnth (NCons x nell) n) (nnth (NCons x nell) (Suc n)))
by (metis eSuc-enat eSuc-ile-mono)
have 4: R x (nfirst nell)  $\wedge$ 
(∀ n. 1≤n  $\wedge$  (n) ≤ (nlength nell)  $\longrightarrow$  R (nnth (NCons x nell) n) (nnth (NCons x nell) (Suc n)))
 $\longleftrightarrow$ 
R x (nfirst nell)  $\wedge$ 
(∀ n. 0≤(n-1)  $\wedge$  (Suc(n-1)) ≤ (nlength nell)  $\longrightarrow$  R (nnth nell (n-1)) (nnth nell (Suc (n-1))))
by (metis add.commute add.right-neutral diff-add le-add1 nnth-Suc-NCons plus-1-eq-Suc)
have 5: R x (nfirst nell)  $\wedge$ 
(∀ n. 0≤(n-1)  $\wedge$  (Suc(n-1)) ≤ (nlength nell)  $\longrightarrow$  R (nnth nell (n-1)) (nnth nell (Suc (n-1))))
 $\longleftrightarrow$ 
R x (nfirst nell)  $\wedge$ 
(∀ n. 0≤n  $\wedge$  (Suc n) ≤ (nlength nell)  $\longrightarrow$  R (nnth nell n) (nnth nell (Suc n)))
by (metis diff-Suc-1)
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 4 5 by presburger
qed

```

lemma nidx-LCons-conv:

```

(∀ n. (Suc n) ≤ eSuc (nlength nell)  $\longrightarrow$  (nnth (NCons x nell) n) < (nnth (NCons x nell) (Suc n)))  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
x < (nfirst nell)  $\wedge$ 
(∀ n. 0≤n  $\wedge$  (Suc n) ≤ (nlength nell)  $\longrightarrow$  (nnth nell n) < (nnth nell (Suc n)))
by (metis nridx-LCons-conv)

```

lemma nridx-LCons-1 [simp]:

```

nridx R (NCons x nell)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( R x (nfirst nell)  $\wedge$  nridx R nell )
by (metis nridx-LCons-conv nridx-NCons nridx-expand zero-le)

```

lemma nidx-LCons-1 [simp]:

```

nidx (NCons x nell)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( x < (nfirst nell)  $\wedge$  nidx nell )
by (metis nridx-LCons-1 nridx-nidx)

```

lemma nridx-less:

```

assumes nridx R nell
Suc(n+k) ≤ nlength nell
transp R
shows R (nnth nell n) (nnth nell (Suc(n+k)))
using assms
proof (induct k)
case 0
then show ?case
by (simp add: nridx-expand)
next
case (Suc k)
then show ?case
by (metis add-Suc-right dual-order.trans eSuc-enat ile-eSuc nridx-expand transpE)
qed

```

```

lemma nidx-less:
assumes nidx nell
  Suc(n+k) ≤ nlength nell
shows nnth nell n < nnth nell (Suc(n+k))
using assms
by (simp add: nridx-less nridx-nidx)

lemma nridx-less-eq:
assumes nridx R nell
  k ≤ j
  j ≤ nlength nell
  transp R
  reflp R
shows R (nnth nell k) (nnth nell j)
proof (cases k=j)
case True
  then show ?thesis using assms by (meson reflpE)
next
case False
  then show ?thesis using assms
by (metis (full-types) Suc-diff-Suc add.left-commute le-add-diff-inverse nridx-less order-neq-le-trans
      plus-1-eq-Suc)
qed

lemma nidx-less-eq:
assumes nidx nell
  k ≤ j
  j ≤ nlength nell
shows (nnth nell k) ≤ (nnth nell j)
using assms
by (metis Orderings.order-eq-iff antisym-conv2 less-iff-Suc-add nidx-less order.strict-implies-order)

lemma nridx-less-last:
assumes nridx R nell
  Suc i < k
  nlength nell = (enat k)
  transp R
shows R (nnth nell i) (nnth nell (k-1))
using assms less-imp-Suc-add nridx-less by fastforce

lemma nidx-less-last:
assumes nidx nell
  Suc i < k
  nlength nell = (enat k)
shows nnth nell i < nnth nell (k-1)
using assms less-imp-Suc-add nidx-less by fastforce

lemma nidx-less-last-1:
assumes nidx nell
  i < nlength nell

```

```

nlength nell = (enat k)
shows nnth nell i < nnth nell (k)
using assms
by (metis enat-ord-simps(2) less-imp-Suc-add linorder-le-cases nridx-less nridx-nidx transp-less)

```

```

lemma nridx-gr-first:
assumes nridx R nell
  0 < i
  i ≤ nlength nell
  transp R
shows R (nnth nell 0) (nnth nell i)
using assms nridx-less[of R nell 0 i-1] by simp

```

```

lemma nidx-gr-first:
assumes nidx nell
  0 < i
  i ≤ nlength nell
shows (nnth nell 0) < nnth nell i
using assms nidx-less[of nell 0 i-1]
by simp

```

```

lemma nridx-ntake-a:
assumes nridx R nell
  n ≤ nlength nell
shows nridx R (ntake n nell)
using assms
by transfer
(metis co.enat.exhaust-sel eSuc-ile-mono llength-eq-0 ridx-ltake-a)

```

```

lemma nidx-ntake-a:
assumes nidx nell
  n ≤ nlength nell
shows nidx (ntake n nell)
using assms
using nridx-ntake-a nridx-nidx by blast

```

```

lemma nridx-nappend-nfinite:
assumes nfinite nell1
shows nridx R (nappend nell1 nell2) ↔
  nridx R nell1 ∧ (R (nlast nell1) (nfirst nell2)) ∧ nridx R nell2
using assms
by transfer
(simp add: ridx-lappend-lfinite)

```

```

lemma nidx-nappend-nfinite:
assumes nfinite nell1
shows nidx (nappend nell1 nell2) ↔
  nidx nell1 ∧ ((nlast nell1) < (nfirst nell2)) ∧ nidx nell2

```

```

using assms
by (metis nridx-nappend-nfinite nridx-nidx)

lemma nidx-nfuse:
assumes nfinite nell1
  nidx nell1
  nnth nell1 0 = 0
  nidx nell2
  nnth nell2 0 = nlast nell1
shows nidx (nfuse nell1 nell2)
using assms
proof (cases is-NNil nell2)
case True
then show ?thesis unfolding nfuse-def1
by (simp add: assms(2))
next
case False
then show ?thesis
proof -
  have 1: nlast nell1 = nfirst nell2
    by (metis assms(5) ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst)
  have 2: nidx (ntl nell2)
    by (metis False assms(4) eSuc-enat ileI1 illess-Suc-eq nellist.collapse(2) nidx-expand
      nlength-NCons nnth-ntl)
  have 3: nlast nell1 < nfirst(ntl nell2)
    by (metis False assms(4) assms(5) eSuc-enat ileI1 illess-Suc-eq ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst
      nellist.collapse(2) nhd-conv-nnth nidx-expand nlength-NCons nnth-ntl zero-enat-def zero-le)
  have 4: nidx (nappend nell1 (ntl nell2))
    by (simp add: 2 3 assms(1) assms(2) nidx-nappend-nfinite)
  show ?thesis using False unfolding nfuse-def1
  using 4 by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma nridx-ndropn:
assumes nridx R nell
  n ≤ nlength nell
shows nridx R (ndropn n nell)
using assms
by transfer
  (metis co.enat.exhaust-sel illess-Suc-eq ldrop-enat llength-eq-0 min.orderE nless-le
    ridx-ldrop the-enat.simps)

```

```

lemma nidx-ndropn:
assumes nidx nell
  n ≤ nlength nell
shows nidx (ndropn n nell)
using assms
using nridx-ndropn nridx-nidx by blast

```

```

lemma nridx-ntake-all:
  assumes  $\bigwedge n. n \leq \text{nlength } nell \implies \text{nridx } R (\text{ntake } (\text{enat } n) \text{ nell})$ 
  shows  $\text{nridx } R \text{ nell}$ 
  using assms
  by (auto simp add: nridx-expand)
  (metis Suc-ileq linorder-le-cases ntake-nnth ntake-nnth order-less-imp-le)

lemma nidx-ntake-all:
  assumes  $\bigwedge n. n \leq \text{nlength } nell \implies \text{nidx } (\text{ntake } (\text{enat } n) \text{ nell})$ 
  shows  $\text{nidx } \text{nell}$ 
  using assms
  using nridx-nidx nridx-ntake-all by blast

lemma nridx-ntake:
  assumes nridx R (ntake n nell)
     $n \leq \text{nlength } nell$ 
     $k \leq n$ 
  shows  $\text{nridx } R (\text{ntake } (\text{enat } k) \text{ nell})$ 
  using assms
  using nridx-ntake-a by fastforce

lemma nidx-ntake:
  assumes nidx (ntake n nell)
     $n \leq \text{nlength } nell$ 
     $k \leq n$ 
  shows  $\text{nidx } (\text{ntake } (\text{enat } k) \text{ nell})$ 
  using assms
  using nridx-nidx nridx-ntake by blast

lemma nidx-imp-ndistinct:
  assumes nidx nell
  shows ndistinct nell
  using assms
  apply transfer
  using lidx-imp-ldistinct by auto

lemma ndistinct-Ex1:
  assumes ndistinct nell
     $x \in \text{nset } nell$ 
  shows  $\exists !i. i \leq \text{nlength } nell \wedge (\text{nnth } nell i) = x$ 
  using assms
  by transfer
  (auto,
  metis co.enat.exhaust-sel illess-Suc-eq ldistinct-Ex1 llength-eq-0 min.orderE the-enat.simps,
  metis co.enat.exhaust-sel illess-Suc-eq ldistinct-conv-lnth llength-eq-0)

lemma nidx-nset-eq:
  assumes nidx nellx

```

```

nidx nelly
nset nellx = nset nelly
shows nellx = nelly
using assms
by transfer
(simp add: bi-unique-cr-nellist-help lidx-lset-eq nidx.rep-eq)

lemma nridx-nfuse-nfirst-nlast:
assumes nridx R nell1
  (nnth nell1 0 ) = (0::nat)
  nridx R nell2
  (nnth nell2 0 ) = 0
  nfinite nell1
  nfinite nell
  nlast nell1 = cp
shows nlast nell1 = nfirst(nmap (λx. x+cp) nell2)
using assms
by (metis add.commute add.right-neutral ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst nnth-nmap zero-enat-def zero-le)

lemma nidx-nfuse-nfirst-nlast:
assumes nidx nell1
  (nnth nell1 0 ) = (0::nat)
  nidx nell2
  (nnth nell2 0 ) = 0
  nfinite nell1
  nfinite nell
  nlast nell1 = cp
shows nlast nell1 = nfirst(nmap (λx. x+cp) nell2)
using assms
by (metis add.commute add.right-neutral ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst nnth-nmap zero-enat-def zero-le)

lemma nridx-nfuse-nnth-cp:
assumes nridx R nell1
  (nnth nell1 0 ) = 0
  nridx R nell2
  (nnth nell2 0 ) = 0
  nfinite nell1
  nfinite nell2
  nfinite nell
  nlast nell1 = cp
  nlast nell2 = the-enat((nlength nell)) - cp
  i ≤ (nlength nell2)
  cp ≤ nlength nell
shows nnth (nfuse nell1 (nmap (λx. x+cp) nell2)) (the-enat((nlength nell1)) + i) = cp + (nnth nell2 i)
proof –
have 1: nlast nell1 = nfirst (nmap (λx. x+cp) nell2)
  by (metis add-0 assms(4) assms(8) ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst nnth-nmap zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 2: nnth (nfuse nell1 (nmap (λx. x+cp) nell2)) (the-enat((nlength nell1)) + i) =
  nnth (nmap (λx. x+cp) nell2) i
  by (simp add: 1 assms nfuse-nnth-a)

```

have 3: $\text{nnth}(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{ nell2}) i = cp + (\text{nnth} \text{ nell2} i)$
by (simp add: assms)
show ?thesis
by (simp add: 2 3)
qed

lemma nidx-nfuse-nnth-cp:

assumes nidx nell1

(nnth nell1 0) = 0
nidx nell2
(nnth nell2 0) = 0
nfinite nell1
nfinite nell2
nfinite nell
nlast nell1 = cp
nlast nell2 = the-enat((nlength nell)) - cp
i ≤ (nlength nell2)
cp ≤ nlength nell

shows nnth (nfuse nell1 (nmap (λx. x + cp) nell2)) (the-enat((nlength nell1)) + *i*) = cp + (nnth nell2 *i*)
using assms nridx-nfuse-nnth-cp nridx-nidx **by** blast

lemma nridx-nfuse-nnth-cp-a:

assumes nridx R nell1

(nnth nell1 0) = (0::nat)
nridx R nell2
(nnth nell2 0) = 0
nfinite nell1
nfinite nell2
nfinite nell
nlast nell1 = cp
nlast nell2 = the-enat((nlength nell)) - cp
i ≤ ((nlength nell1)) + (nlength nell2)
the-enat((nlength nell1)) ≤ *i*
cp ≤ nlength nell

shows nnth (nfuse nell1 (nmap (λx. x + cp) nell2)) (*i*) = cp + (nnth nell2 (*i* - the-enat((nlength nell1))))

proof –

have 1: *i* = (the-enat ((nlength nell1)) + (*i* - the-enat ((nlength nell1))))

by (simp add: assms)

have 2: enat ((*i*::nat) - the-enat ((nlength nell1))) ≤ nlength nell2

using assms

by (metis enat-add-sub-same enat-minus-mono1 enat-ord-simps(1)
idiff-enat-enat infinity-ileE nfinite-conv-nlength-enat the-enat.simps)

show ?thesis **using** 1 2 assms nridx-nfuse-nnth-cp[of R nell1 nell2 nell cp (*i* - the-enat ((nlength nell1)))]

by presburger

qed

lemma nidx-nfuse-nnth-cp-a:

assumes nidx nell1

```

(nnth nell1 0 ) = (0::nat)
nidx nell2
(nnth nell2 0 ) = 0
nfinite nell1
nfinite nell2
nfinite nell
nlast nell1 = cp
nlast nell2 = the-enat((nlength nell)) - cp
i ≤ ((nlength nell1)) + (nlength nell2)
the-enat((nlength nell1)) ≤ i
cp ≤ nlength nell
shows nnth (nfuse nell1 (nmap (λx. x+cp) nell2)) ( i) = cp + (nnth nell2 (i -the-enat((nlength nell1))))

```

using assms nidx-nidx nfuse-nfuse-nnth-cp-a **by** blast

lemma nidx-nfuse-nnth-cp-nlast:

assumes nidx R nell1

```

(nnth nell1 0 ) = 0
nidx R nell2
(nnth nell2 0 ) = 0
nfinite nell1
nfinite nell2
nfinite nell
nlast nell1 = cp
nlast nell2 = the-enat( (nlength nell)) - cp
i ≤ (nlength nell2)
cp ≤ nlength nell

```

shows nlast (nfuse nell1 (nmap (λx. x+cp) nell2)) = (the-enat ((nlength nell)))

proof –

have 1: nlast (nfuse nell1 (nmap (λx. x+cp) nell2)) = nlast (nmap (λx. x+cp) nell2)

using assms

by (metis add-0 ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst nlast-nfuse nnth-nmap zero-enat-def zero-le)

have 2: nlast (nmap (λx. x+cp) nell2) = cp + (nlast nell2)

by (simp add: assms(6))

have 3: cp + (nlast nell2) = (the-enat ((nlength nell)))

using assms

by (metis add.commute diff-add enat-ord-simps(1) nfinite-conv-nlength-enat the-enat.simps)

show ?thesis

by (simp add: 1 3 add.commute assms(6))

qed

lemma nidx-nfuse-nnth-cp-nlast:

assumes nidx nell1

```

(nnth nell1 0 ) = 0
nidx nell2
(nnth nell2 0 ) = 0
nfinite nell1
nfinite nell2
nfinite nell
nlast nell1 = cp

```

$nlast\ nell2 = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}\ nell) - cp$
 $i \leq (\text{nlength}\ nell2)$
 $cp \leq \text{nlength}\ nell$
shows $nlast\ (\text{nfuse}\ nell1\ (\text{nmap}\ (\lambda x. x+cp)\ nell2)) = (\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength}\ nell)))$
using assms $nridx-nidx\ nridx-nfuse-nnth-cp-nlast$ **by** blast

lemma $nridx-nfuse-nnth-cp-infinite$:
assumes $nridx\ R\ nell1$
 $(nnth\ nell1\ 0) = (0:\text{nat})$
 $nridx\ R\ nell2$
 $(nnth\ nell2\ 0) = 0$
 $nfinite\ nell1$
 $\neg nfinite\ nell2$
 $\neg nfinite\ nell$
 $nlast\ nell1 = cp$
shows $nnth\ (\text{nfuse}\ nell1\ (\text{nmap}\ (\lambda x. x+cp)\ nell2))\ (\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength}\ nell1)) + i) = cp + (nnth\ nell2\ i)$
proof –
have 1: $nlast\ nell1 = nfirst(\text{nmap}\ (\lambda x. x+cp)\ nell2)$
by (*metis assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) assms(5) assms(8) nridx-nfuse-nfirst-nlast*)
have 2: $nnth\ (\text{nfuse}\ nell1\ (\text{nmap}\ (\lambda x. x+cp)\ nell2))\ (\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength}\ nell1)) + 0) = nlast\ nell1$
using assms by (*metis 1 add.right-neutral ntaken-nfuse ntaken-nlast*)
have 3: $nfirst(\text{nmap}\ (\lambda x. x+cp)\ nell2) = cp + (nnth\ nell2\ 0)$
using 1 assms by *auto*
have 8: $i \leq (\text{nlength}\ nell2)$
by (*metis assms(6) enat-ile nfinite-conv-nlength-enat wlog-linorder-le*)
have 10: $(\text{nlength}\ nell1) \leq \text{enat}(\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength}\ nell1)) + (i:\text{nat}))$
using assms(5) nfinite-nlength-enat by *fastforce*
have 11: $\text{enat}(\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength}\ nell1)) + i) \leq \text{nlength}(\text{nfuse}\ nell1\ (\text{nmap}\ (\lambda x:\text{nat}. x + cp)\ nell2))$
by (*metis 10 assms(6) enat-less-enat-plusI2 enat-ord-code(4) enat-the-enat leD nfuse-nlength-nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD nlength-nmap order-less-imp-le*)
have 12: $(\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength}\ nell1)) + i - \text{the-enat}((\text{nlength}\ nell1))) = i$
by *auto*
have 4: $nnth\ (\text{nfuse}\ nell1\ (\text{nmap}\ (\lambda x. x+cp)\ nell2))\ (\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength}\ nell1)) + i) = (nnth\ (\text{nmap}\ (\lambda x. x+cp)\ nell2)\ i)$
by (*simp add: 1 8 assms nfuse-nnth-a*)
have 5: $(nnth\ (\text{nmap}\ (\lambda x. x+cp)\ nell2)\ i) = cp + (nnth\ nell2\ i)$
by (*simp add: 8*)
show ?thesis
using 4 5 **by** *presburger*
qed

lemma $nidx-nfuse-nnth-cp-infinite$:
assumes $nidx\ nell1$
 $(nnth\ nell1\ 0) = 0$
 $nidx\ nell2$
 $(nnth\ nell2\ 0) = 0$
 $nfinite\ nell1$
 $\neg nfinite\ nell2$
 $\neg nfinite\ nell$
 $nlast\ nell1 = cp$

shows $\text{nnth}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1})(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2})) (\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength nell1}) + i)) = cp + (\text{nnth}(\text{nell2}) i)$
using $\text{assms nidx-nidx nridx-nfuse-nnth-cp-infinite}$ **by** blast

lemma $\text{nidx-nfuse-nidx}:$

assumes nidx nell1

$\text{nnth nell1} 0 = 0$

nidx nell2

$\text{nnth nell2} 0 = 0$

nfinite nell1

$\text{nlast nell1} = cp$

nfinite nell2

nfinite nell

$\text{nlast nell2} = \text{the-enat}((\text{nlength nell})) - cp$

$cp \leq \text{nlength nell}$

shows $\text{nidx}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1})(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2})) \wedge (\text{nnth}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1})(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2})) 0) = 0$

proof –

have 1: $\text{nlast nell1} = \text{nfirst}(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2})$

using $\text{assms(1)} \text{ assms(2)} \text{ assms(3)} \text{ assms(4)} \text{ assms(5)} \text{ assms(6)}$ $\text{nidx-nfuse-nfirst-nlast}$ **by** blast

have 2: $\text{nfirst}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1})(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2})) = \text{nfirst}(\text{nell1})$

by ($\text{simp add: 1 nfirst-nfuse}$)

have 4: $\bigwedge j. j \leq \text{nlength nell1} \implies \text{nnth}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1})(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2})) j = \text{nnth}(\text{nell1}) j$

using assms **by** ($\text{simp add: 1 add-increasing2 nfuse-nlength nfuse-nnth}$)

have 40: $\exists k1. \text{nlength nell1} = (\text{enat} k1)$

by ($\text{simp add: assms(5) nfinite-nlength-enat}$)

obtain $k1$ **where** 41: $\text{nlength nell1} = (\text{enat} k1)$

using 40 **by** blast

have 5: $\bigwedge j. (\text{nlength nell1}) \leq j \wedge j \leq (\text{nlength nell1}) + \text{nlength nell2} \implies$

$\text{nnth}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1})(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2})) j =$

$cp + (\text{nnth}(\text{nell2})(j - \text{the-enat}((\text{nlength nell1}))))$

using $\text{assms nidx-nfuse-nnth-cp-a}[of \text{nell1 nell2 nell cp}]$

by ($\text{metis 41 enat-ord-simps(1) the-enat.simps}$)

have 45: $\bigwedge j. k1 \leq j \wedge j \leq (\text{enat}(k1)) + \text{nlength nell2} \implies$

$\text{nnth}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1})(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2})) j =$

$cp + (\text{nnth}(\text{nell2})(j - (k1)))$

by ($\text{simp add: 41 5 order-less-imp-le}$)

have 50: $\text{nlength}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1})(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2})) = (\text{nlength nell1}) + \text{nlength nell2}$

by ($\text{simp add: nfuse-nlength}$)

have 51: $\bigwedge j. \text{enat}(\text{Suc} j) \leq \text{nlength nell1} \implies$

$(\text{nnth}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1})(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2})) j) <$

$(\text{nnth}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1})(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2}))) (\text{Suc} j))$

using 4 $\text{Suc-ile-eq assms(1) nidx-expand}$ **by** auto

have 52: $\bigwedge j. k1 \leq j \wedge (\text{Suc} j) \leq \text{enat}(k1) + \text{nlength nell2} \implies$

$cp + (\text{nnth}(\text{nell2})(j - (k1))) <$

$cp + (\text{nnth}(\text{nell2})(\text{Suc} j - (k1)))$

using $\text{assms(3) unfolding nidx-def}$

by ($\text{metis Nat.add-diff-assoc add-strict-left-mono assms(3) enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat nidx-expand plus-1-eq-Suc}$)

have 6: $\bigwedge j. \text{enat}(\text{Suc} j) \leq \text{nlength}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1})(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2})) \implies$

$(\text{nnth}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1})(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2}))) j <$

```


$$(nnth (nfuse nell1 (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) nell2)) (Suc j))$$

proof -
  fix  $j$ 
  assume  $a: enat (Suc j) \leq nlength(nfuse nell1 (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) nell2))$ 
  show  $(nnth (nfuse nell1 (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) nell2)) j) < (nnth (nfuse nell1 (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) nell2)) (Suc j))$ 
proof -
  have 61:  $enat (Suc j) \leq nlength nell1 \implies$ 
     $(nnth (nfuse nell1 (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) nell2)) j) < (nnth (nfuse nell1 (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) nell2)) (Suc j))$ 
  using 51 by blast
  have 62:  $k1 \leq j \wedge (Suc j) \leq nlength(nfuse nell1 (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) nell2)) \implies$ 
     $(nnth (nfuse nell1 (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) nell2)) j) < (nnth (nfuse nell1 (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) nell2)) (Suc j))$ 
  using 41 5 50 52 Suc-ile-eq by force
  show ?thesis
  using 41 61 62 a by fastforce
  qed
qed
show ?thesis
unfolding nidx-expand
  using 4 6 assms zero-enat-def by force
qed

```

```

lemma nidx-nfuse-nidx-infinite:
assumes nidx nell1
  nnth nell1 0 = 0
  nidx nell2
  nnth nell2 0 = 0
  nfinite nell1
  nlast nell1 = cp
  -nfinite nell2
  -nfinite nell
shows nidx (nfuse nell1 (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) nell2))  $\wedge$  (nnth (nfuse nell1 (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) nell2)) 0) = 0
proof -
  have 1: nlast nell1 = nfirst(nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) nell2)
  by (metis add-0 assms(4) assms(6) assms(7) enat-le-plus-same(1) enat-le-plus-same(2) enat-the-enat
    infinity-ileE ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nnth-nmap)
  have 2: nfirst (nfuse nell1 (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) nell2)) = nfirst nell1
  by (simp add: 1 nfirst-nfuse)
  have 4:  $\bigwedge j. j \leq nlength nell1 \implies nnth (nfuse nell1 (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) nell2)) j = nnth nell1 j$ 
  by (simp add: 1 add-increasing2 nfuse-nlength nfuse-nnth)
  have 40:  $\exists k1. nlength nell1 = (enat k1)$ 
  by (simp add: assms(5) nfinite-nlength-enat)
  obtain k1 where 41: nlength nell1 = (enat k1)
  using 40 by blast
  have 5:  $\bigwedge j. (nlength nell1) \leq j \wedge j \leq (nlength nell1) + nlength nell2 \implies$ 
     $nnth (nfuse nell1 (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) nell2)) j =$ 
     $cp + (nnth nell2 (j - the-enat((nlength nell1))))$ 

```

```

using assms nidx-nfuse-nnth-cp-infinite[of nell1 nell2 nell cp ]
by (metis 41 enat-ord-simps(1) le-add-diff-inverse the-enat.simps)
have 45:  $\bigwedge j. k1 \leq j \wedge j \leq (\text{enat}(k1)) + \text{nlength nell2} \implies$ 
 $\text{nnth}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1}(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2}))) j =$ 
 $cp + (\text{nnth}(\text{nell2}(j - (k1))))$ 
using 41 5 enat-ord-simps(1) the-enat.simps by presburger
have 50:  $\text{nlength}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1}(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2}))) = (\text{nlength}(\text{nell1}) + \text{nlength}(\text{nell2}))$ 
by (simp add: nfuse-nlength)
have 51:  $\bigwedge j. \text{enat}(\text{Suc } j) \leq \text{nlength}(\text{nell1}) \implies$ 
 $(\text{nnth}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1}(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2}))) j) <$ 
 $(\text{nnth}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1}(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2}))) (\text{Suc } j))$ 
using 4 Suc-ile-eq assms(1) nidx-expand by auto
have 52:  $\bigwedge j. k1 \leq j \wedge (\text{Suc } j) \leq \text{enat}(k1) + \text{nlength}(\text{nell2}) \implies$ 
 $cp + (\text{nnth}(\text{nell2}(j - (k1)))) <$ 
 $cp + (\text{nnth}(\text{nell2}((\text{Suc } j) - (k1))))$ 
by (metis Nat.add-diff-assoc add-strict-left-mono assms(3) enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same
enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat nidx-expand plus-1-eq-Suc)
have 53:  $\bigwedge j. k1 \leq j \wedge (\text{Suc } j) \leq \text{enat}(k1) + \text{nlength}(\text{nell2}) \implies$ 
 $\text{nnth}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1}(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2}))) j <$ 
 $\text{nnth}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1}(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2}))) (\text{Suc } j)$ 
by (metis 45 52 Suc-ile-eq nle-le not-less-eq-eq order-less-imp-le)
have 6:  $\bigwedge j. \text{enat}(\text{Suc } j) \leq \text{nlength}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1}(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2}))) \implies$ 
 $(\text{nnth}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1}(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2}))) j) <$ 
 $(\text{nnth}(\text{nfuse}(\text{nell1}(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x + cp) \text{nell2}))) (\text{Suc } j))$ 
by (metis 41 50 51 53 enat-ord-simps(1) le-SucE linorder-le-cases)
show ?thesis unfolding nidx-expand
using 4 6 assms zero-enat-def by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma nsubn-nfuse-nidx:
assumes nidx nl
  nfinite nl
  nfinite nell
  nlast nl = (nlength nell)
  (Suc i) ≤ (nlength nl)
shows nfuse(nsubn nell(nnth nl i) (nnth nl (Suc i))) (nsubn nell(nnth nl (Suc i)) (nlast nl)) =
  (nsubn nell(nnth nl i) (nlast nl))
proof –
have 1: (nnth nl i) ≤ (nnth nl (Suc i))
by (simp add: assms(1) assms(5) nidx-less-eq)
have 2: nlast nl = (nnth nl (the-enat((nlength nl))))
by (simp add: assms(2) nnth-nlast)
have 3: 1 ≤ nlength nl
by (metis assms(5) dual-order.trans enat-0-iff(1) iless-Suc-eq le-zero-eq linorder-le-cases
nat.simps(3) one-eSuc order-neq-le-trans)
have 4: (nnth nl (Suc i)) ≤ (nlast nl)
by (metis 2 assms(1) assms(2) assms(5) dual-order.eq-iff enat-ord-simps(1)
nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nidx-less-eq the-enat.simps)
have 5: enat(nnth nl (Suc i)) ≤ nlength nell

```

```

by (metis 4 assms(4) enat-ord-simps(1))
have 6: nlast (nsubn nell (nnth nl i) (nnth nl (Suc i))) = (nnth nell (nnth nl (Suc i)))
  by (simp add: 1 nsubn-def1 ntaken-nlast)
have 7: nfirst (nsubn nell (nnth nl (Suc i)) (nlast nl)) = (nnth nell (nnth nl (Suc i)))
  by (simp add: nsubn-def1 ntaken-ndropn-nfirst)
show ?thesis
by (simp add: 1 5 assms(4) nsubn-nfuse)
qed

```

```

lemma nidx-nfuse-split:
assumes nlast nell1 = nfirst nell2
shows nridx R (nfuse nell1 nell2)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  (if nfinite nell1 then nridx R nell1  $\wedge$  nridx R nell2 else nridx R nell1)
proof (cases nfinite nell1)
case True
then show ?thesis
by (metis assms nappend-nbutlast-nlast-id nbutlast-nfinite nellist.collapse(2) nellist.disc(1)
  nfuse-def1 nfuse-leftneutral nhd-nfuse nlast-NNil nridx-LCons-1 nridx-nappend-nfinite)
next
case False
then show ?thesis by (simp add: assms nfuse-nappend)
qed

```

```

lemma nidx-all-le-nlast:
assumes nidx nell
  nfinite nell
   $j \leq nlength nell$ 
shows nnth nell j  $\leq$  nlast nell
using assms
by (metis nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nidx-less-last-1 nnth-nlast order.order-iff-strict the-enat.simps)

```

```

lemma nidx-shiftm :
assumes nidx nell
  nnth nell 0 = k
shows nidx (nmap ( $\lambda x . x - k$ ) nell)  $\wedge$  nnth (nmap ( $\lambda x . x - k$ ) nell) 0 = 0  $\wedge$  k  $\leq$  (nnth nell 0)
using assms zero-enat-def
by (auto simp add: nidx-expand )
  (metis Suc-ile-eq add-diff-inverse-nat add-gr-0 assms(1) diff-less-mono nidx-gr-first
  nnth-nmap order-less-imp-le zero-less-Suc zero-less-diff)

```

```

lemma nidx-nsubn:
assumes k  $\leq$  n
  n  $\leq$  nlength nell
  nidx nell
  nnth nell 0 = 0
shows nidx (nsubn nell k n)  $\wedge$  nnth (nsubn nell k n) 0 = (nnth nell k)
using assms unfolding nidx-expand nsubn-def1
by (auto simp add: ntaken-nnth)
  (simp add: Nat.le-diff-conv2 add.commute order-subst2)

```

```

lemma nidx-ntaken-niterates-Suc:
  nidx (ntaken n (niterates Suc 0))
proof -
  have 1: nidx (ntaken n (niterates Suc 0)) =
    ( $\forall i:\text{nat}$ .
      enat (Suc i)  $\leq$  nlength (ntaken n (niterates Suc (0::nat)))  $\longrightarrow$ 
      nnth (ntaken n (niterates Suc (0::nat))) i <
      nnth (ntaken n (niterates Suc (0::nat))) (Suc i))
  unfolding nidx-expand by auto
  have 2: ( $\forall i:\text{nat}$ .
    enat (Suc i)  $\leq$  nlength (ntaken n (niterates Suc (0::nat)))  $\longrightarrow$ 
    nnth (ntaken n (niterates Suc (0::nat))) i <
    nnth (ntaken n (niterates Suc (0::nat))) (Suc i))
proof
  fix i
  show enat (Suc i)  $\leq$  nlength (ntaken n (niterates Suc (0::nat)))  $\longrightarrow$ 
    nnth (ntaken n (niterates Suc (0::nat))) i <
    nnth (ntaken n (niterates Suc (0::nat))) (Suc i)
  proof (induct i arbitrary: n)
  case 0
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: ntaken-nnth)
  next
  case (Suc i)
  then show ?case by (simp add: ntaken-nnth)
  qed
qed
show ?thesis
using 1 2 by fastforce
qed

```

3.7 nlastnfirst

```

lemma nlastnfirst-def2:
  nlastnfirst = llastlfirst o (lmap llist-of-nellist o llist-of-nellist)
by (simp add: map-fun-def nlastnfirst-def)

```

```

lemma nlastnfirst-NNil[simp]:
  nlastnfirst (NNil x)
apply transfer
by simp

```

```

lemma nlastnfirst-LCons[simp]:
  shows nlastnfirst (NCons nell nells)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    nlast nell = nfist (nfist nells)  $\wedge$  nlastnfirst nells
proof -
  let ?n2l = (lmap llist-of-nellist o llist-of-nellist)
  have 1: nlastnfirst (NCons nell nells) =

```

```

( llastlfirst o ?n2l ) ( NCons nell nells )
by (simp add: nlastnfirst-def2)
have 2: ( llastlfirst o ?n2l ) ( NCons nell nells ) =
  ( llast ( llist-of-nellist nell ) = lfirst ( lfirst ( ?n2l nells ) ) ∧ llastlfirst ( ?n2l nells ) )
by simp
have 3: llastlfirst ( ?n2l nells ) = nlastnfirst nells
by (simp add: nlastnfirst.rep-eq)
have 4: llast ( llist-of-nellist nell ) = nlast nell
by (metis llast-linfinite nfinite-def nlast-llast nlast-not-nfinite)
have 5: lfirst ( lfirst ( ?n2l nells ) ) = nfirst ( nfirst nells )
by (simp add: lfirst-def llist-of-nellist.simps(2) nfirst-def)
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 4 5 by presburger
qed

```

lemma nlastnfirst-def1:

shows nlastnfirst nells =
 $(\forall i. (\text{Suc } i) \leq \text{nlength nells} \rightarrow \text{nlast}(\text{nnth nells } i) = \text{nfirst}(\text{nnth nells} (\text{Suc } i)))$

proof –

let ?n2l = (lmap llist-of-nellist o llist-of-nellist)

have 1: nlastnfirst nells = (llastlfirst o ?n2l) nells
by (simp add: nlastnfirst-def2)

have 2: (llastlfirst o ?n2l) nells = llastlfirst (?n2l nells)
by simp

have 3: llastlfirst (?n2l nells) \leftrightarrow
 $(\forall i. (\text{Suc } i) < \text{llength} (?n2l nells) \rightarrow$
 $\quad \text{llast} (\text{lnth} (?n2l nells) i) = \text{lfirst} (\text{lnth} (?n2l nells) (\text{Suc } i)))$

using llastlfirst-def **by** blast

have 4: epred (llengt h (?n2l nells)) = nlength nells
by simp

have 5: $\bigwedge xs j. j \leq \text{nlength } xs \rightarrow \text{nnth } xs j = \text{lnth} (\text{llist-of-nellist } xs) j$
unfolding nnth-def **by** auto

have 6: $\bigwedge lx j. j < \text{llength } lx \wedge \neg \text{lnull } lx \rightarrow \text{lnth } lx j = \text{nnth} (\text{nellist-of-llist } lx) j$
by (metis 5 co.enat.exhaust-sel illess-Suc-eq llengt h-eq-0 nellist-of-llist-inverse nlength.abs-eq)

have 7: $\bigwedge xs. \text{nlast } xs = \text{llast} (\text{llist-of-nellist } xs)$
by (metis llast-linfinite nfinite-def nlast-llast nlast-not-nfinite)

have 8: $\bigwedge xs. \text{nfirst } xs = \text{lfirst} (\text{llist-of-nellist } xs)$
by (simp add: lfirst-def llist-of-nellist.simps(2) nfirst-def)

have 9: $\bigwedge lx. \neg \text{lnull } lx \implies \text{llast } lx = \text{nlast} (\text{nellist-of-llist } lx)$
by (simp add: 7)

have 10: $\bigwedge lx. \neg \text{lnull } lx \implies \text{lfirst } lx = \text{nfirst} (\text{nellist-of-llist } lx)$
by (simp add: 8)

have 11: $\bigwedge j. j < \text{llength} (?n2l nells) \rightarrow$
 $\quad \text{llast} (\text{lnth} (?n2l nells) j) =$
 $\quad \text{nlast} (\text{nellist-of-llist} (\text{lnth} (?n2l nells) j))$

by (simp add: 9)

have 12: $\bigwedge j. (\text{enat } j) < \text{llength} (?n2l nells) \rightarrow$
 $\quad \text{nlast} (\text{nellist-of-llist} (\text{lnth} (?n2l nells) j)) =$
 $\quad \text{nlast} (\text{nellist-of-llist} (\text{llist-of-nellist} (\text{lnth} (\text{llist-of-nellist} nells) j)))$

by simp
have 13: $\bigwedge j. (\text{enat } j) < \text{llength} (?n2l \text{nells}) \rightarrow$
 $\text{nlast} (\text{nellist-of-llist} (\text{llist-of-nellist} (\text{lnth} (\text{llist-of-nellist} \text{nells}) j))) =$
 $\text{nlast} (\text{lnth} (\text{llist-of-nellist} \text{nells}) j)$
by auto
have 14: $\bigwedge j. (\text{enat } j) < \text{llength} (?n2l \text{nells}) \rightarrow$
 $\text{nlast} (\text{lnth} (\text{llist-of-nellist} \text{nells}) j) = \text{nlast} (\text{nnth} \text{nells} j)$
by (simp add: 6)
have 15: $\bigwedge j. j < \text{llength} (?n2l \text{nells}) \rightarrow$
 $\text{llast} (\text{lnth} (?n2l \text{nells}) j) =$
 $\text{nlast} (\text{nnth} \text{nells} j)$
using 11 12 13 14 by presburger
have 16: $\bigwedge j. (\text{Suc } j) < \text{llength} (?n2l \text{nells}) \rightarrow$
 $\text{lfirst} (\text{lnth} (?n2l \text{nells}) (\text{Suc } j)) =$
 $\text{nfirst} (\text{nellist-of-llist} (\text{lnth} (?n2l \text{nells}) (\text{Suc } j)))$
by (simp add: 10)
have 17: $\bigwedge j. (\text{Suc } j) < \text{llength} (?n2l \text{nells}) \rightarrow$
 $\text{nfirst} (\text{nellist-of-llist} (\text{lnth} (?n2l \text{nells}) (\text{Suc } j))) =$
 $\text{nfirst} (\text{nellist-of-llist} (\text{llist-of-nellist} (\text{lnth} (\text{llist-of-nellist} \text{nells}) (\text{Suc } j))))$
by simp
have 18: $\bigwedge j. (\text{Suc } j) < \text{llength} (?n2l \text{nells}) \rightarrow$
 $\text{nfirst} (\text{nellist-of-llist} (\text{llist-of-nellist} (\text{lnth} (\text{llist-of-nellist} \text{nells}) (\text{Suc } j)))) =$
 $\text{nfirst} (\text{lnth} (\text{llist-of-nellist} \text{nells}) (\text{Suc } j))$
by auto
have 19: $\bigwedge j. (\text{Suc } j) < \text{llength} (?n2l \text{nells}) \rightarrow$
 $\text{nfirst} (\text{lnth} (\text{llist-of-nellist} \text{nells}) (\text{Suc } j)) = \text{nfirst} (\text{nnth} \text{nells} (\text{Suc } j))$
by (simp add: 6)
have 20: $\bigwedge j. (\text{Suc } j) < \text{llength} (?n2l \text{nells}) \rightarrow$
 $\text{lfirst} (\text{lnth} (?n2l \text{nells}) (\text{Suc } j)) = \text{nfirst} (\text{nnth} \text{nells} (\text{Suc } j))$
using 16 17 18 19 by presburger
have 21: $\bigwedge j. (\text{Suc } j) < \text{llength} (?n2l \text{nells}) \leftrightarrow$
 $(\text{Suc } j) \leq \text{nlength} \text{nells}$
by (metis 4 co.enat.exhaust-sel dual-order.strict-iff-order enat-0-iff(1) epred-0 iless-Suc-eq nat.simps(3))
have 22: $(\forall i. (\text{Suc } i) < \text{llength} (?n2l \text{nells}) \rightarrow$
 $\text{llast} (\text{lnth} (?n2l \text{nells}) i) = \text{lfirst} (\text{lnth} (?n2l \text{nells}) (\text{Suc } i)))$
 \leftrightarrow
 $(\forall i. (\text{Suc } i) \leq \text{nlength} \text{nells} \rightarrow \text{nlast} (\text{nnth} \text{nells} i) = \text{nfirst} (\text{nnth} \text{nells} (\text{Suc } i)))$
by (metis 15 20 21 Suc-iile-eq order-less-imp-le)
have 23: $\text{llastlfirst} (?n2l \text{nells}) \leftrightarrow$
 $(\forall i. (\text{Suc } i) \leq \text{nlength} \text{nells} \rightarrow \text{nlast} (\text{nnth} \text{nells} i) = \text{nfirst} (\text{nnth} \text{nells} (\text{Suc } i)))$
using 22 3 by presburger
show ?thesis using 1 23 by auto
qed

lemma nlastnfirst-nridx:
 $\text{nlastnfirst} \text{nells} = \text{nridx} (\lambda a b. \text{nlast} a = \text{nfirst} b) \text{nells}$
by (simp add: nlastnfirst-def1 nridx-expand)

```

lemma nlastnfirst-nappend-nfinite:
assumes nfinite nellxs
shows nlastnfirst (nappend nellxs nellys)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
      nlastnfirst nellxs  $\wedge$  nlastnfirst nellys  $\wedge$  nlast(nlast nellxs) = nfirst(nfirst nellys)
using assms
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) nlastnfirst-nridx nridx-nappend-nfinite)

```

3.8 nfusecat

```

lemma nfusecat-def2:
nfusecat = nellist-of-llist  $\circ$  lfusecat  $\circ$  (lmap llist-of-nellist  $\circ$  llist-of-nellist)
by (simp add: map-fun-def nfusecat-def)

```

```

lemma not-null-lfusecat:
 $\neg$ lnull((lfusecat  $\circ$  (lmap llist-of-nellist  $\circ$  llist-of-nellist)) nells)
by (simp add: llist-of-nellist.code)

```

```

lemma nfusecat-def3:
((llist-of-nellist  $\circ$  nfusecat) nells) =
 ((lfusecat  $\circ$  (lmap llist-of-nellist  $\circ$  llist-of-nellist)) nells)
proof -
  let ?n2l = (lmap llist-of-nellist  $\circ$  llist-of-nellist)
  have 1: llist-of-nellist  $\circ$  nfusecat =
    llist-of-nellist  $\circ$  nellist-of-llist  $\circ$  lfusecat  $\circ$  ?n2l
    by (simp add: nfusecat-def2 rewriteL-comp-comp)
  have 2:  $\neg$ lnull((lfusecat  $\circ$  ?n2l) nells)
  using not-null-lfusecat by auto
  have 3: (llist-of-nellist  $\circ$  nellist-of-llist  $\circ$  lfusecat  $\circ$  ?n2l) nells =
    (lfusecat  $\circ$  ?n2l) nells
  using 2 nellist-of-llist-inverse by simp
  show ?thesis
  by (metis 1 3)
qed

```

```

lemma nfusecat-NNil[simp]:
  nfusecat (NNil nell) = nell
apply transfer
by simp

```

```

lemma nfusecat-NCons[simp]:
  nfusecat (NCons nell nells) = nfuse nell (nfusecat nells)
apply transfer
by (simp add: lfuse-def llist.case-eq-if)

```

```

lemma nfusecat-nfirst:
assumes ( $\exists$  x1. nells = NNil x1)
shows nfusecat nells = nfirst nells
proof -

```

```

obtain nell where 1: nells = NNil nell
  using assms by auto
have 2: nfusecat nells = nell
  by (simp add: 1)
have 3: nfirst nells = nell
  by (metis 1 ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst nnth-NNil)
show ?thesis
  by (simp add: 2 3)
qed

lemma nfusecat-NCons-nfirst:
assumes (∀ nell. nells ≠ NNil nell)
shows nfusecat nells = nfuse (nfirst nells) (nfusecat (ntl nells))
by (metis assms nellist-split-2-first nlast-NNil nfusecat-NCons not-le-imp-less ntaken-0
    ntaken-all ntaken-nlast zero-enat-def)

lemma nfusecat-expand:
nfusecat nells =
  (if is-NNil nells then nfirst nells else nfuse (nfirst nells) (nfusecat (ntl nells)))
unfolding is-NNil-def
using nfusecat-NCons-nfirst nfusecat-nfirst by simp blast

lemma nfusecat-expand-case:
nfusecat nells = (case nells of (NNil nell) ⇒ nell |
  (NCons nell' nells1) ⇒ nfuse nell' (nfusecat nells1))
by (metis is-NNil-def nellist.case-eq-if nellist.collapse(2) nlast-NNil nfusecat-NCons nfusecat-NNil)

lemma nmap-nfusecat:
nmap f (nfusecat nells) = (nfusecat (nmap (nmap f) nells))
proof –
let ?n2l = (lmap llist-of-nellist ∘ llist-of-nellist)
have 1: nmap f (nfusecat nells) =
  nmap f ((nellist-of-llist ∘ lfusecat ∘ ?n2l) nells)
  by (simp add: nfusecat-def2)
have 2: nmap f ((nellist-of-llist ∘ lfusecat ∘ ?n2l) nells) =
  nellist-of-llist (lmap f ((lfusecat ∘ ?n2l) nells))
using nmap-nellist-of-llist not-null-lfusecat by auto
have 3: (lmap f ((lfusecat ∘ ?n2l) nells)) =
  lfusecat (lmap (lmap f) ((lmap llist-of-nellist ∘ llist-of-nellist) nells))
  by (simp add: lmap-lfusecat)
have 4: (nfusecat (nmap (nmap f) nells)) =
  (nellist-of-llist ∘ lfusecat ∘ ?n2l) (nmap (nmap f) nells)
  by (simp add: nfusecat-def2)
have 8: (lmap (lmap f) (lmap llist-of-nellist (llist-of-nellist nells))) =
  (lmap llist-of-nellist (lmap (nmap f) (llist-of-nellist nells)))
using lmap-llist-of-nellist-nmap by blast
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 4 8 by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma nfirst-nfuse-var:
  nfirst (nfuse nellx nelly) = nfirst nellx
by (metis nfuse-def1 nlast-NNil ntaken-0 ntaken-nappend1 zero-enat-def zero-le)

```

```

lemma nfirst-nfusecat-nfirst:
  shows nfirst(nfusecat nells) = nfirst(nfirst nells)
proof (cases nells)
  case (NNil nell)
  then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: nfusecat-expand)
  next
  case (NCons nell nells1)
  then show ?thesis
  proof -
    have 1: nfusecat (NCons nell nells1) = nfuse nell (nfusecat nells1)
    by (simp)
    have 2: nfirst (nfuse nell (nfusecat nells1)) = nfirst nell
    using nfirst-nfuse-var by auto
    have 3: nfirst(nfirst (NCons nell nells1)) = nfirst nell
    by (metis 1 nfirst-nfuse-var nfusecat-expand)
    show ?thesis
    using 1 2 3 NCons by fastforce
  qed
  qed

```

```

lemma nfirst-nfusecat:
  shows nfirst(nfusecat (NCons nell nells)) = nfirst nell
  by (simp add: nfirst-nfuse-var )

```

```

lemma nfusecat-nlength-eq-zero-conv:
  nlength (nfusecat nells) = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall$  nell  $\in$  nset nells. nlength nell = 0)
proof -
  let ?n2l = (lmap llist-of-nellist o llist-of-nellist)
  have 1: nlength (nfusecat nells) =
    nlength ((nellist-of-list o lfusecat o ?n2l) nells)
  by (simp add: nfusecat-def2)
  have 2: nlength ((nellist-of-list o lfusecat o ?n2l) nells) =
    epred (llength (lfusecat (?n2l nells)))
  by simp
  (metis lbutlast-snoc llengh-lbutlast nellist-of-list-a-inverse nlength.rep-eq)
  have 3: nlength (nfusecat nells) = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    epred (llength (lfusecat (?n2l nells))) = 0
  using 1 2 by presburger
  have 4: llengh (?n2l nells) > 0
  by simp
  have 5: (llength (lfusecat (?n2l nells))) > 0
  by (simp add: lfusecat-not-lnull-var)

```

```

have 6:  $\text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lfusecat}(\text{?n2l nells}))) = 0 \longleftrightarrow$   

 $(\text{llength}(\text{lfusecat}(\text{lmap llist-of-nellist}(\text{llist-of-nellist nells})))) = 1$   

by (metis 5 comp-apply epred-1 epred-inject not-less0 zero-one-enat-neq(1))  

have 7:  $(\text{llength}(\text{lfusecat}(\text{?n2l nells}))) \leq 1 \longleftrightarrow$   

 $(\forall \text{nell} \in \text{lset}(\text{?n2l nells}). \text{llength nell} \leq 1)$   

using lfusecat-all-empty-or-LNil lset-ltl-llength-var by blast  

have 8:  $(\forall \text{nell} \in \text{lset}(\text{?n2l nells}). \text{llength nell} > 0)$   

by simp  

have 9:  $(\text{llength}(\text{lfusecat}(\text{?n2l nells}))) = 1 \longleftrightarrow$   

 $(\forall \text{nell} \in \text{lset}(\text{?n2l nells}). \text{llength nell} = 1)$   

by (metis 5 7 8 ileI1 one-eSuc order-antisym-conv)  

have 10:  $(\forall \text{nell} \in \text{lset}(\text{?n2l nells}). \text{llength nell} = 1) \longleftrightarrow$   

 $(\forall \text{nell} \in \text{nset nells}. \text{nlength nell} = 0)$   

by simp  

 $(\text{metis co.enat.exhaust-sel epred-1 llength-eq-0 llist-of-nellist-not-lnull nlength.rep-eq}$   

 $\text{zero-neq-one})$   

show ?thesis using 3 6 9 10 by simp  

qed

```

```

lemma is-NNil-nfusecat-a:  

assumes  $\forall i. i \leq \text{nlength nells} \rightarrow \text{is-NNil}(\text{nnth nells } i)$   

shows  $\text{is-NNil}(\text{nfusecat nells})$   

using assms nfusecat-nlength-eq-zero-conv[of nells ]  

by (metis in-nset-conv-nnth is-NNil-def nle-le nlength-NNil ntaken-0 ntaken-all zero-enat-def)

```

```

lemma is-NNil-nfusecat-b:  

assumes  $\text{is-NNil}(\text{nfusecat nells})$   

shows  $\forall i. i \leq \text{nlength nells} \rightarrow \text{is-NNil}(\text{nnth nells } i)$   

using assms nfusecat-nlength-eq-zero-conv[of nells ]  

by (metis in-nset-conv-nnth nellist.collapse(1) nellist.collapse(2) nlength-NCons nlength-NNil  

zero-ne-eSuc)

```

```

lemma ntl-lfusecat :  

shows  $\text{ntl}(\text{nfusecat nells}) =$   

 $(\text{if is-NNil nells then ntl}(\text{nfist nells}) \text{ else}$   

 $(\text{if is-NNil}(\text{nfist nells}) \text{ then}$   

 $\text{if is-NNil}(\text{nfusecat}(\text{ntl nells}))$   

 $\text{then ntl}(\text{nfist nells})$   

 $\text{else ntl}(\text{nfusecat}(\text{ntl nells}))$ )  

 $\text{else}$   

 $\text{if is-NNil}(\text{nfusecat}(\text{ntl nells}))$   

 $\text{then ntl}(\text{nfist nells})$   

 $\text{else nappend}(\text{ntl}(\text{nfist nells}), (\text{ntl}(\text{nfusecat}(\text{ntl nells}))))$ )

```

```

proof (cases nells)  

case (NNil nell)  

then show ?thesis by (metis nellist.disc(1) nfusecat-NNil nfusecat-expand)  

next

```

```

case (NCons nell nells1)
then show ?thesis
  using nfusecat-NCons[of nell nells1] ntl-nfuse[of nell (nfusecat nells1)] by simp
    (metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst nnth-0)
qed

lemma nfusecat-nappend:
assumes nfinite llx
shows nfusecat (nappend llx lly) = nfuse (nfusecat llx) (nfusecat lly)
proof -
  let ?n2l = (lmap llist-of-nellist o llist-of-nellist)
  have 1: nfusecat (nappend llx lly) =
    ((nellist-of-llist o lfusecat o ?n2l) (nappend llx lly))
    by (simp add: nfusecat-def2)
  have 2: ((nellist-of-llist o lfusecat o ?n2l) (nappend llx lly)) =
    nellist-of-llist (lfusecat (?n2l (nappend llx lly)))
    by simp
  have 3: (llist-of-nellist (nappend llx lly)) =
    lappend (llist-of-nellist llx) (llist-of-nellist lly)
    by (simp add: llist-of-nellist-nappend)
  have 4: (lmap llist-of-nellist (lappend (llist-of-nellist llx) (llist-of-nellist lly))) =
    lappend (?n2l llx) (?n2l lly)
    using lmap-lappend-distrib by auto
  have 5: (lfusecat (lappend (?n2l llx) (?n2l lly))) =
    lfuse (lfusecat (?n2l llx)) (lfusecat (?n2l lly))
    using assms lfinite-lmap lfusecat-lappend nfinite-def by (metis comp-def)
  have 6: nellist-of-llist (lfuse (lfusecat (?n2l llx)) (lfusecat (?n2l lly))) =
    nfuse (nfusecat llx) (nfusecat lly)
    by (simp add: llist-of-nellist.code nfuse.abs_eq nfusecat-def2)
  show ?thesis
  using 1 2 3 4 5 6 by simp
qed

lemma nfusecat-split:
assumes (Suc n) ≤ nlength nells
shows nfusecat nells = nfuse (nfusecat (ntaken n nells)) (nfusecat (ndropn (Suc n) nells))
using assms
by (metis nappend-ntaken-ndropn nfinite-ntaken nfusecat-nappend)

lemma nfusecat-split-1:
assumes (Suc n) ≤ nlength nells
shows nfusecat nells = nfuse (nfusecat (ntake n nells)) (nfusecat (ndropn (Suc n) nells))
using assms
by (simp add: nfusecat-split ntake-eq-ntaken)

lemma nfuse-nfinite:
shows nfinite (nfuse nellx nelly) ↔ nfinite nellx ∧ nfinite nelly
apply transfer
using lfuse-lfinite by blast

```

```

lemma nfusecat-nfinite:
assumes  $\forall \text{nell} \in \text{nset nells}. \text{nlength nell} > 0$ 
     $\forall \text{nell} \in \text{nset nells}. \text{nfinite nell}$ 
     $\text{nlastnfirst nells}$ 
shows nfinite (nfusecat nells)  $\longleftrightarrow$  nfinite nells
proof -
  let ?n2l = (lmap llist-of-nellist o llist-of-nellist)
  have 1: nfinite (nfusecat nells)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    nfinite (((nellist-of-lolist o lfusecat o ?n2l) nells))
    by (simp add: nfusecat-def2)
  have 2: nfinite (((nellist-of-lolist o lfusecat o ?n2l) nells))  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    lfinit (llist-of-nellist (((nellist-of-lolist o lfusecat o ?n2l) nells)))
    using nfinite-def by blast
  have 3: lfinit (llist-of-nellist (((nellist-of-lolist o lfusecat o ?n2l) nells)))  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    lfinit (lfusecat (?n2l nells))
    by (simp add: lbutlast-lfinite)
  have 4:  $\forall \text{nell} \in \text{lset (llist-of-nellist nells)}. \text{nlength nell} > 0$ 
    using assms(1) by force
  have 5:  $\forall \text{nell} \in \text{lset (llist-of-nellist nells)}. \text{epred(llength (llist-of-nellist nell))} > 0$ 
    using 4 by fastforce
  have 6:  $\forall \text{nell} \in \text{lset (llist-of-nellist nells)}. \neg \text{lnull (ltl (llist-of-nellist nell))}$ 
    by (metis 5 epred-llength less-numeral-extra(3) llength-LNil llist.collapse(1))
  have 7:  $\forall \text{nell} \in \text{lset (?n2l nells)}. \neg \text{lnull (ltl nell)}$ 
    by (simp add: 6)
  have 8:  $\forall \text{nell} \in \text{lset (?n2l nells)}. \text{lfinit nell}$ 
    using assms(2) nfinite-def by fastforce
  have 9: llasltlfirst (?n2l nells)
    using assms(3) nlastnfirst.rep-eq by auto
  have 10: lfinit (lfusecat (?n2l nells))  $\longleftrightarrow$  lfinit (?n2l nells)
    using 7 8 9 lfusecat-lfinite by blast
  have 11: lfinit (?n2l nells)  $\longleftrightarrow$  nfinite nells
    by (simp add: nfinite-def)
  show ?thesis using 1 2 3 10 11 by presburger
qed

```

```

lemma nlastfirst-nfusecat-nlast:
assumes nfinite nells
    nlastnfirst nells
     $\forall \text{nell} \in \text{nset nells}. \text{nfinite nell}$ 
shows nlast(nfusecat nells) = nlast(nlast nells)
proof -
  let ?n2l = (lmap llist-of-nellist o llist-of-nellist)
  have 1: nlast(nfusecat nells) =
    nlast (((nellist-of-lolist o lfusecat o ?n2l) nells))
    by (simp add: nfusecat-def2)
  have 2: nlast (((nellist-of-lolist o lfusecat o ?n2l) nells)) =
    llaslt (llist-of-nellist (((nellist-of-lolist o lfusecat o ?n2l) nells)))
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) llaslt-linfinite nfinite-def nlast-llaslt nlast-not-nfinite)
  have 3: llaslt (llist-of-nellist (((nellist-of-lolist o lfusecat o ?n2l) nells))) =

```

```

llast (lfusecat (?n2l nells))
by (metis (no-types, lifting) 2 comp-def lbutlast.disc-iff(1) nellist-of-llist-a-inverse
     nlast-nellist-of-llist-a-lnull not-lnull-eq-lappend-lbutlast-llast)
have 4: lfinite (?n2l nells)
  using assms(1) lfinite-lmap nfinite-def by auto
have 5: ¬lnull (?n2l nells)
  by simp
have 6: ∀ nell ∈ lset (?n2l nells). ¬lnull nell
  by simp
have 7: llastlfirst (?n2l nells)
  using assms(2) nlastnfirst.rep-eq by auto
have 8: ∀ nell ∈ lset (?n2l nells). lfinite nell
  using assms(3) nfinite-def by auto
have 9: llast (lfusecat (?n2l nells)) = llast (llast (?n2l nells))
  using 4 5 6 7 8 llastfirst-lfusecat-llast by blast
have 10: llast (llast (?n2l nells)) = nlast (nlast nells)
  by (metis assms(1) assms(3) comp-apply llast-lmap llist-of-nellist-not-lnull nfinite-def
       nlast-llast nset-nlast)
show ?thesis using 1 2 3 9 10 by presburger
qed

```

lemma nfusecat-nlength-nfinite:

assumes nfinite nells

$\forall nell \in nset nells. nfinite nell$

$nlastnfirst nells$

shows nlength(nfusecat nells) =
 $(\sum i = 0 .. (\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength nells}))) . (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} nells i))$

proof –

let ?n2l = (lmap llist-of-nellist ∘ llist-of-nellist)

have 1: nlength(nfusecat nells) = nlength (((nellist-of-llist ∘ lfusecat ∘ ?n2l) nells))
 by (simp add: nfusecat-def2)

have 2: nlength (((nellist-of-llist ∘ lfusecat ∘ ?n2l) nells)) =
 epred (llength (llist-of-nellist (((nellist-of-llist ∘ lfusecat ∘ ?n2l) nells))))

using nlength.rep-eq by blast

have 3: epred (llength (llist-of-nellist (((nellist-of-llist ∘ lfusecat ∘ ?n2l) nells)))) =
 epred (llength (lfusecat (?n2l nells)))

using one-eSuc plus-1-eSuc(2) by simp

have 4: lfinite (?n2l nells)

using assms(1) lfinite-lmap nfinite-def by auto

have 5: ¬lnull (?n2l nells)

by simp

have 6: ∀ nell ∈ lset (?n2l nells). ¬lnull nell

by simp

have 7: ∀ nell ∈ lset (?n2l nells). lfinite nell

using assms(2) nfinite-def by auto

have 8: llastlfirst (?n2l nells)

using assms(3) nlastnfirst.rep-eq by auto

have 9: epred (llength (lfusecat (?n2l nells))) =
 epred (eSuc(∑ i = 0 .. (the-enat(epred(llength (?n2l nells))))) .

```

    epred(llength (lnth (?n2l nells) i)))
by (metis 4 5 6 7 8 lfusecat-llength-lfinite)
have 10: epred (eSuc(∑ i = 0 .. (the-enat(epred(llength (?n2l nells))))) .
    epred(llength (lnth (?n2l nells) i))) =
    ((∑ i = 0 .. (the-enat(epred(llength (?n2l nells))))) .
    epred(llength (lnth (?n2l nells) i)))
using epred-eSuc by blast
have 11: ((epred(llength (?n2l nells)))) = ((nlength nells))
by simp
have 12: ∀j. j ≤ ((epred(llength (?n2l nells)))) →
    epred(llength (lnth (?n2l nells) j)) = nlength (nnth nells j)
by (metis 5 co.enat.exhaustsel comp-apply illess-Suc-eq llength-eq-0 llength-llist-of-nellist
    llength-lmap lnth-llist-of-nellist lnth-lmap min-def the-enat.simps)
have 13: ((∑ i = 0 .. (the-enat(epred(llength (?n2l nells))))) .
    epred(llength (lnth (?n2l nells) i))) =
    ((∑ i = 0 .. (the-enat(nlength nells)). nlength (nnth nells i)))
using 12 assms(1) nfinite-nlength-enat by force
show ?thesis using 1 2 3 9 10 13 by metis
qed

```

```

lemma nlastnfirst-ntaken:
assumes n≤nlength nells
    nlastnfirst nells
shows nlastnfirst (ntaken n nells)
using assms
by (metis Suc-ile-eq antisym-conv2 nappend-ntaken-ndropn nfinite-ntaken nlastnfirst-nappend-nfinite
    ntaken-all)

```

```

lemma nlastnfirst-ntake:
assumes n≤nlength nells
    nlastnfirst nells
shows nlastnfirst (ntake n nells)
proof (cases n)
case (enat nat)
then show ?thesis by (metis assms nlastnfirst-ntaken ntake-eq-ntaken)
next
case infinity
then show ?thesis
by (simp add: assms ntake-all)
qed

```

```

lemma nfusecat-ntake:
assumes (enat n) ≤ nlength nells
    ∀ nell ∈ nset nells. nfinite nell
    nlastnfirst nells
shows nfusecat (ntake n nells) =

```

```

ntake ( (( $\sum$  i = 0 .. n . (nlength (nnth nells i)))) )
(nfusecat nells)

proof -
let ?n2l = (lmap llist-of-nellist o llist-of-nellist)
have 1: nfusecat (ntake n nells) =
(((nellist-of-list o lfusecat o ?n2l) (ntake n nells)))
by (simp add: nfusecat-def2)
have 2: (((nellist-of-list o lfusecat o ?n2l) (ntake n nells))) =
nellist-of-list (lfusecat (?n2l (ntake n nells)))
by simp
have 3: llist-of-nellist(ntake n nells) = ltake (eSuc n) (llist-of-nellist nells)
by (metis co.enat.distinct(1) llist-of-nellist-inverse-b llist-of-nellist-not-lnull
ltake.disc(2) nellist-of-list-inverse ntake.abs-eq)
have 4: (?n2l (ntake n nells)) = ltake (eSuc n) (?n2l nells)
using 3 by auto
have 5:  $\neg$  lnull (?n2l nells)
by simp
have 6: ( $Suc n$ )  $\leq$  llength (?n2l nells)
by (metis 5 Suc-ileq assms(1) co.enat.collapse comp-apply illess-Suc-eq llength-eq-0 llength-lmap
nlength.rep-eq)
have 7:  $\forall$  nell  $\in$  lset (?n2l nells).  $\neg$  lnull nell
by simp
have 8:  $\forall$  nell  $\in$  lset (?n2l nells). lfinite nell
using assms(2) nfinite-def by fastforce
have 9: llasltfirst (?n2l nells)
using assms(3) nlastnfirst.rep-eq by auto
have 10: (lfusecat (ltake (eSuc n) (?n2l nells))) =
ltake (if ( $Suc n$ ) = 0 then 0 else eSuc( $\sum$  i = 0 .. n .
epred(llength (lnth (?n2l nells) i)))))
(lfusecat (?n2l nells))
using lfusecat-ltake[of (?n2l nells) Suc n ]
5 6 7 8 9 diff-Suc-1 eSuc-enat by presburger
have 11: (if ( $Suc n$ ) = 0 then 0 else eSuc( $\sum$  i = 0 .. n .
epred(llength (lnth (?n2l nells) i)))) =
(eSuc( $\sum$  i = 0 .. n . epred(llength (lnth (?n2l nells) i))))
using nat.simps(3) by presburger
have 111:  $\bigwedge j. j \leq n \longrightarrow (\min (enat j) (epred (llength (llist-of-nellist nells)))) = j$ 
by (metis assms(1) enat-ord-simps(1) llength-list-of-nellist min.bounded-iff min-def)
have 12:  $\bigwedge j. j \leq n \longrightarrow$ 
epred(llength (lnth (?n2l nells) j)) = nlength (nnth nells j)
using 5 111 assms lnth-list-of-nellist[of nells]
by (metis (full-types) co.enat.exhaust-sel illess-Suc-eq llength-eq-0 llength-lmap lnth-lmap
min.order-iff nlength.rep-eq o-apply the-enat.simps)
have 13: (lfusecat (?n2l nells)) = llist-of-nellist (nfusecat nells)
by (simp add: lfusecat-not-lnull-var nfusecat-def2)
have 14: ltake (if ( $Suc n$ ) = 0 then 0 else eSuc( $\sum$  i = 0 .. n .
epred(llength (lnth (?n2l nells) i)))))
(lfusecat (?n2l nells)) =
ltake (eSuc( $\sum$  i = 0 .. n . nlength (nnth nells i))) (llist-of-nellist (nfusecat nells))
using 12 13 by auto

```

have 15: $\text{nellist-of-llist}(\text{ltake}(\text{eSuc}(\sum i = 0 .. n . \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{nells} i)))) (\text{llist-of-nellist}(\text{nfusecat}(\text{nells}))) = \text{ltake}((\sum i = 0 .. n . \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{nells} i)))) (\text{nfusecat}(\text{nells}))$
by (metis llist-of-nellist-inverse-b llist-of-nellist-not-lnull ntake.abs-eq)
show ?thesis
by (metis 10 14 15 2 4 nfusecat-def2)
qed

lemma nfusecat-ndropn:
assumes $n < \text{nlength}(\text{nells})$
 $\forall \text{nell} \in \text{nset}(\text{nells}). \text{nfinite}(\text{nell})$
 $\text{nlastnfirst}(\text{nells})$
shows $\text{nfusecat}(\text{ndropn}(n, \text{nells})) = \text{ndropn}(\text{the-enat}(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{nells} i)))) (\text{nfusecat}(\text{nells}))$

proof –
let ?n2l = (lmap llist-of-nellist o llist-of-nellist)
have 1: $\text{nfusecat}(\text{ndropn}(n, \text{nells})) = (((\text{nellist-of-llist} \circ \text{lfusecat} \circ ?n2l)(\text{ndropn}(n, \text{nells})))$
by (simp add: nfusecat-def2)
have 2: $((\text{nellist-of-llist} \circ \text{lfusecat} \circ ?n2l)(\text{ndropn}(n, \text{nells}))) = \text{nellist-of-llist}(\text{lfusecat}(\text{lmap}(\text{llist-of-nellist}(\text{ldropn}(n, (\text{llist-of-nellist}(\text{nells}))))))$
using assms by simp
have 4: $\text{nellist-of-llist}(\text{lfusecat}(\text{lmap}(\text{llist-of-nellist}(\text{ldropn}(n, (\text{llist-of-nellist}(\text{nells}))))))) = \text{nellist-of-llist}(\text{lfusecat}(\text{lmap}(\text{llist-of-nellist}(\text{ldrop}(n, (\text{llist-of-nellist}(\text{nells})))))))$
by (simp add: ldrop-enat)
have 5: $(\text{lmap}(\text{llist-of-nellist}(\text{ldrop}(n, (\text{llist-of-nellist}(\text{nells})))))) = \text{ldrop}(n, (?n2l \text{nells}))$
by (simp)
have 6: $\neg \text{lnull}(?n2l \text{nells})$
by simp
have 7: $n < \text{llength}(?n2l \text{nells})$
by (metis 5 assms(1) ldrop-enat llist.map-disc-iff llist-of-nellist-ndropn llist-of-nellist-not-lnull lnull-ldrop min-def nlength.rep-eq not-le-imp-less order-less-imp-le the-enat.simps)
have 8: $\forall \text{nell} \in \text{lset}(?n2l \text{nells}). \neg \text{lnull}(\text{nell})$
by simp
have 9: $\forall \text{nell} \in \text{lset}(?n2l \text{nells}). \text{lfinite}(\text{nell})$
using assms(2) nfinite-def by fastforce
have 10: $\text{nlastnfirst}(?n2l \text{nells})$
using assms(3) nlastnfirst.rep-eq by auto
have 11: $\text{lfusecat}(\text{ldrop}(\text{enat}(n), (?n2l \text{nells}))) = \text{ldrop}(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lnth}(\text{nells}, i)))) (\text{lfusecat}(\text{nells})))$
using 10 6 7 8 9 lfusecat-ldrop **by blast**
have 111: $\bigwedge j. 0 < j \wedge j < n-1 \longrightarrow (\text{min}(\text{enat}(j), \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{llist-of-nellist}(\text{nells})))) = j)$
by (metis 7 comp-apply epred-enat epred-min less-imp-of-nat-less llengt-lmap min.absorb3 min-less-iff-conj of-nat-eq-enat)
have 112: $n-1 < \text{llength}(?n2l \text{nells})$
by (metis 7 One-nat-def Suc-ile-eq Suc-pred epred-0 epred-enat not-gr-zero order-less-imp-le zero-enat-def)

have 12: $\bigwedge j. 0 < n \wedge j < n - 1 \longrightarrow$
 $\text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lnth}(\text{?n2l nells}) j)) = \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{nells} j))$
using 6 7 111 $\text{lnth-llist-of-nellist}[\text{of nells}]$
by simp
*(metis canonically-ordered-monoid-add-class.lessE diff-le-self dual-order.strict-trans1
enat-less-enat-plusI enat-min-eq-0-iff lnth-lmap nlength.rep-eq not-gr-zero the-enat.simps
zero-enat-def)*
have 13: $(\text{lfusecat}(\text{?n2l nells})) = \text{llist-of-nellist}(\text{nfusecat}(\text{nells}))$
by (simp add: lfusecat-not-lnull-var nfusecat-def2)
have 131: $\bigwedge j. j < n \implies (\min(\text{enat} j) (\text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{llist-of-nellist}(\text{nells})))) = j$
by (metis (full-types) assms(1) min.assoc min.orderE min-enat-simps(1) nlength.rep-eq
order-less-imp-le)
have 132: $(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \sum i = 0..n - 1. \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lnth}(\text{?n2l nells}) i))) =$
 $(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{nells} i))))$
using sum.cong[of {0..n-1} {0..n-1} λi. epred(llength(lnth(?n2l nells) i))]
 $\lambda i. \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{nells} i))]$ assms 7 131 12 $\text{lnth-llist-of-nellist}[\text{of nells}]$
by (metis 112 atLeastAtMost-iff comp-apply diff-less less-numeral-extra(1) llength-lmap
lnth-lmap nlength.rep-eq not-gr-zero order-neq-le-trans the-enat.simps)
have 14: $\text{ldrop}(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lnth}(\text{?n2l nells}) i))))$
 $=$
 $\text{ldrop}(\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{nells} i)))) (\text{llist-of-nellist}(\text{nfusecat}(\text{nells})))$
using 13 132 **by** presburger
have 15: $0 < n \implies (\bigwedge j. j \leq n - 1 \longrightarrow \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lnth}(\text{?n2l nells}) j)) < \infty)$
using 7 9
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) 112 enat-ord-simps(1) enat-ord-simps(4) epred-llength
in-lset-conv-lnth lfinite-ltl llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite order-le-less-trans)
have 16: $0 < n \implies ((\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . \text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lnth}(\text{?n2l nells}) i))) < \infty$
using 15
proof (induct n)
case 0
then show ?case **by** simp
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
proof (cases n=0)
case True
then show ?thesis **using** Suc **by** simp
next
case False
then show ?thesis **using** Suc
by simp
(metis One-nat-def Suc-pred' dual-order.refl plus-enat-simps(1) sum.atLeast0-atMost-Suc)
qed
qed
have 17: $\exists m. (\text{enat} m) = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) .$
 $\text{epred}(\text{llength}(\text{lnth}(\text{?n2l nells}) i))))$
by (metis 16 less-infinityE not-gr-zero zero-enat-def)
obtain m **where** 18: $(\text{enat} m) = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else }$

```


$$(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength(lnth(?n2l nells) i)))$$

using 17 by blast
have 19: ldrop (if n = 0 then 0 else

$$(\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . epred(llength(lnth(?n2l nells) i))))$$


$$(lfusecat(?n2l nells)) =$$


$$ldropn m (lfusecat(?n2l nells))$$

using 18 ldrop-enat[of m (lfusecat(?n2l nells))]
by presburger
have 20: enat m ≤ epred (llength (lfusecat (?n2l nells)))
by (metis (no-types, lifting) 11 19 6 7 8 co.enat.exhaustsel iless-Suc-eq in-lset-ldropD

$$\text{lfusecat-not-lnull-var linorder-not-le llength-eq-0 lnull-ldrop lnull-ldropn}$$

have 21: nellist-of-llist (ldropn m (lfusecat (?n2l nells))) = ndropn m (nfusecat nells)
using 20
by (metis 13 llist-of-nellist-inverse-b llist-of-nellist-not-lnull min-def ndropn.abs-eq

$$\text{the-enat.simps})$$

have 22: m = (the-enat(if n = 0 then 0 else (\sum i = 0 .. (n-1) . nlength(nnth nells i))))
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) 132 18 the-enat.simps)
show ?thesis using 1 2 4 5 11 19 21 22 by (metis)
qed

```

lemma nridx-nfusecat-ntake:
assumes nridx R (nfusecat nells)

$$(\text{enat } n) \leq \text{nlength nells}$$

$$\text{nlastnfirst nells}$$

$$\forall \text{ nell} \in \text{nset nells}. \text{nfinite nell}$$

shows nridx R (nfusecat (ntake n nells))
using assms by (metis nfusecat-ntake nle-le nridx-ntake-a ntake-all)

lemma nridx-nfusecat-ndrop:
assumes nridx R (nfusecat nells)

$$(\text{enat } n) < \text{nlength nells}$$

$$\text{nlastnfirst nells}$$

$$\forall \text{ nell} \in \text{nset nells}. \text{nfinite nell}$$

shows nridx R (nfusecat (ndropn n nells))
proof –
let ?n2l = (lmap llist-of-nellist o llist-of-nellist)
have 1: nridx R (nfusecat (ndropn n nells)) ↔

$$nridx R ((nellist-of-llist o lfusecat o ?n2l) (ndropn n nells))$$

by (simp add: nfusecat-def2)
have 2: nridx R ((nellist-of-llist o lfusecat o ?n2l) (ndropn n nells)) ↔

$$ridx R (llist-of-nellist ((nellist-of-llist o lfusecat o ?n2l) (ndropn n nells)))$$

using nridx.rep-eq by blast
have 3: ridx R (llist-of-nellist ((nellist-of-llist o lfusecat o ?n2l) (ndropn n nells))) ↔

$$ridx R ((lfusecat o ?n2l) (ndropn n nells))$$

using not-null-lfusecat
by (metis (no-types, lifting) comp-apply nridx.abs-eq nridx.rep-eq)
have 4: llastlfirst (?n2l nells)
using assms nlastnfirst.rep-eq by auto
have 5: ∀ nell ∈ lset (?n2l nells). lfinite nell
using assms nfinite-def by fastforce

```

have 6: ( (( lfusecat o ?n2l) (ndropn n nells))) =
  lfusecat (lmap llist-of-nellist (ldropn n (llist-of-nellist nells)))
by (simp add: assms(2))
have 7: (lmap llist-of-nellist (ldropn n (llist-of-nellist nells))) =
  ldropn n (lmap llist-of-nellist (llist-of-nellist nells))
by auto
have 8: ridx R (lfusecat (?n2l nells))
by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms(1) comp-apply nfusecat-def2 nridx.abs-eq ridx-expand-1)
have 9: enat n < llength (?n2l nells)
by (metis assms(2) co.enat.exhaust-sel comp-apply iless-Suc-eq llength-eq-0 llength-lmap
  llist-of-nellist-not-lnull nlength.rep-eq order-less-imp-le)
have 10: ridx R (lfusecat (ldropn n (?n2l nells)))
using ridx-lfusecat-ldrop[of R (?n2l nells) n]
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) 4 5 8 9 comp-apply in-lset-conv-lnth ldrop-enat llength-lmap
  llist-of-nellist-not-lnull lnth-lmap)
show ?thesis
using 1 10 2 3 6 7 by (metis comp-apply)
qed

```

```

lemma nridx-nfusecat:
assumes nlastnfirst nells
   $\forall nell \in nset nells. 0 < nlength nell$ 
   $\forall nell \in nset nells. nfinite nell$ 
shows nridx R (nfusecat nells)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall i. i \leq nlength nells \rightarrow nridx R (nnth nells i)$ )
proof -

$$\begin{aligned} \text{let } ?n2l &= (lmap llist-of-nellist \circ llist-of-nellist) \\ \text{have 1: } nridx R (\text{nfusecat nells}) &\longleftrightarrow \\ &nridx R ((nellist-of-llist \circ lfusecat \circ ?n2l) nells) \\ &\text{by (simp add: nfusecat-def)} \\ \text{have 2: } nridx R ((nellist-of-llist \circ lfusecat \circ ?n2l) nells) &\longleftrightarrow \\ &ridx R (llist-of-nellist ((nellist-of-llist \circ lfusecat \circ ?n2l) nells)) \\ &\text{using nridx.rep-eq by blast} \\ \text{have 3: } ridx R (llist-of-nellist ((nellist-of-llist \circ lfusecat \circ ?n2l) nells)) &\longleftrightarrow \\ &ridx R (( lfusecat \circ ?n2l) nells) \\ &\text{using not-null-lfusecat nridx.abs-eq by fastforce} \\ \text{have 4: } \text{llastlfirst} (?n2l nells) \\ &\text{using assms(1) nlastnfirst.rep-eq by auto} \\ \text{have 5: } \forall nell \in lset (?n2l nells). 1 < llength nell \\ &\text{by (metis assms(2) epred-1 comp-apply imageE less-numeral-extra(3) llist.set-map} \\ &\quad llist-of-nellist-not-lnull lset-llist-of-nellist-a nlength.rep-eq not-lnull-llength \\ &\quad order-neq-le-trans) \\ \text{have 6: } \forall nell \in lset (?n2l nells). lfinite nell \\ &\text{using assms(3) nfinite-def by fastforce} \\ \text{have 7: } ridx R (( lfusecat \circ ?n2l) nells) &\longleftrightarrow \\ &(\forall i. i < llength (?n2l nells) \rightarrow ridx R (lnth (?n2l nells) i)) \\ &\text{using 4 5 6 ridx-lfusecat by fastforce} \\ \text{have 8: } \text{epred}(llength (?n2l nells)) = nlength nells \\ &\text{by simp} \\ \text{have 9: } \bigwedge j. j < llength (?n2l nells) &\rightarrow \end{aligned}$$


```

$(\text{lnth} (\text{?n2l nells}) j) = \text{llist-of-nellist}(\text{nnth nells} j)$
by simp
 $(\text{metis eSuc-epred eSuc-ile-mono gr-implies-not-zero ileI1 lnth-llist-of-nellist min-def the-enat.simps})$
have 10: $(\forall i. i < \text{nlength} (\text{?n2l nells}) \rightarrow \text{ridx } R (\text{lnth} (\text{?n2l nells}) i)) \longleftrightarrow (\forall i. i \leq \text{nlength} (\text{nells}) \rightarrow \text{nridx } R (\text{nnth nells} i))$
by $(\text{metis (mono-tags, lifting) 8 9 co.enat.exhaust-sel comp-apply iless-Suc-eq llength-eq-0 llist.map-disc-iff llist-of-nellist-not-lnull nridx.rep-eq})$
show ?thesis using 1 2 3 10 7 by blast
qed

lemma nfusecat-split-nsubn:
assumes nlastnfirst nells
 $\forall \text{nell} \in \text{nset nells}. 0 < \text{nlength nell}$
 $\forall \text{nell} \in \text{nset nells}. \text{nfinite nell}$
shows $(\forall i. i \leq \text{nlength nells} \rightarrow \text{nridx} (\lambda a b. f (\text{nsubn} \sigma a b)) (\text{nnth nells} i)) \longleftrightarrow \text{nridx} (\lambda a b. f (\text{nsubn} \sigma a b)) (\text{nfusecat nells})$
using assms nridx-nfusecat by blast

lemma nidx-nfusecat:
assumes nlastnfirst nells
 $\forall \text{nell} \in \text{nset nells}. 0 < \text{nlength nell}$
 $\forall \text{nell} \in \text{nset nells}. \text{nfinite nell}$
shows $\text{nidx} (\text{nfusecat nells}) \longleftrightarrow (\forall i. i \leq \text{nlength nells} \rightarrow \text{nidx} (\text{nnth nells} i))$
using assms nridx-nfusecat nridx-nidx by blast

lemma nnth-sum-expand:
assumes nlastnfirst nells
 $\forall \text{nell} \in \text{nset nells}. 0 < \text{nlength nell}$
 $\forall \text{nell} \in \text{nset nells}. \text{nfinite nell}$
 $(\text{enat } i) \leq \text{nlength nells}$
 nfinite nells
shows $(\sum i = 0 .. (\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength nells}))) . (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth nells} i))) = (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth nells} i)) + (\sum j \in \{k. k \neq i \wedge k \leq (\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength nells})))\} . (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth nells} j)))$
proof –
have 1: $\{k. k \leq (\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength nells})))\} = \text{insert } i \{k. k \neq i \wedge k \leq (\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength nells})))\}$
using assms by auto
 $(\text{metis enat-ord-simps(1) nfinite-nlength-enat the-enat.simps})$
have 2: $\{0.. (\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength nells})))\} = \{k. k \leq (\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength nells})))\}$
by auto
have 3: $(\sum i = 0 .. (\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength nells}))) . (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth nells} i))) = (\sum i \in \{k. k \leq (\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength nells})))\} . (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth nells} i)))$
using 2 by presburger
have 4: $(\sum i \in \{k. k \leq (\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength nells})))\} . (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth nells} i))) = (\sum j \in (\text{insert } i \{k. k \neq i \wedge k \leq (\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength nells})))\}) . (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth nells} j)))$
using 1 by presburger
have 5: $(\sum j \in (\text{insert } i \{k. k \neq i \wedge k \leq (\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength nells})))\}) . (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth nells} j))) = (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth nells} i)) + (\sum j \in \{k. k \neq i \wedge k \leq (\text{the-enat}((\text{nlength nells})))\} . (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth nells} j)))$

```

by auto
show ?thesis
using 3 4 5 by presburger
qed

lemma nfusecat-nlength-a:
assumes nlastnfirst nells
  ∀ nell ∈ nset nells. 0 < nlength nell
  ∀ nell ∈ nset nells. nfinite nell
  i ≤ nlength nells
  (enat j) ≤ nlength (nnth nells i)
shows (enat j) ≤ nlength (nfusecat nells)
proof (cases nfinite nells)
case True
then show ?thesis
proof -
have 2: nlength (nfusecat nells) =
  (∑ i = 0 .. (the-enat((nlength nells))) . (nlength (nnth nells i)))
  using True assms nfusecat-nlength-nfinite by fastforce
have 3: nlength (nnth nells i) ≤
  (∑ i = 0 .. (the-enat((nlength nells))) . (nlength (nnth nells i)))
  using nnth-sum-expand[of nells i] assms
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) True le-iff-add)
show ?thesis using assms 2 3 by force
qed
next
case False
then show ?thesis
proof -
have 5: ¬ nfinite (nfusecat nells)
  using False assms nfusecat-nfinite by blast
show ?thesis
using 5
by (simp add: nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
qed
qed

```

3.9 nkfilter

```

lemma nkfilter-NNil [simp]:
  shows P b ⟹ nkfilter P n (NNil b) = (NNil n)
  by transfer auto

lemma nkfilter-NCons [simp]:
  assumes (exists x ∈ nset nell. P x)
  shows nkfilter P n (NCons x nell) =
    (if P x then NCons n (nkfilter P (Suc n) nell) else nkfilter P (Suc n) nell)
  using assms
  by transfer
  (auto simp add: kfilter-lnull-conv)

```

lemma *nkfilter-NCons-a* [*simp*]:
assumes $\neg(\exists x \in nset nell. P x)$

$P x$

shows $nkfilter P n (NCons x nell) = (NNil n)$

using *assms*

by *transfer*

(*auto simp add: kfilter-lnull-conv*)

lemma *nkfilter-nlength*:

assumes $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$

shows $nlength(nkfilter P n nell) = nlength(nfilter P nell)$

using *assms*

by *transfer*

(*auto simp add: kfilter-lnull-conv kfilter-llength*)

lemma *nkfilter-upperbound*:

assumes $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$

$i \leq nlength(nkfilter P n nell)$

shows $nnth(nkfilter P n nell) i \leq n + nlength nell$

using *assms*

by *transfer*

(*auto,*

simp add: kfilter-lnull-conv,

metis co.enat.exhaust-sel iadd-Suc-right illess-Suc-eq kfilter-upperbound llength-eq-0)

lemma *nkfilter-lowerbound*:

assumes $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$

$i \leq nlength(nkfilter P n nell)$

shows $n \leq nnth(nkfilter P n nell) i$

using *assms*

by *transfer*

(*auto,*

metis co.enat.collapse illess-Suc-eq kfilter-lnth-n-zero-a llength-eq-0)

lemma *nkfilter-mono*:

assumes $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$

$(Suc i) \leq nlength(nkfilter P n nell)$

shows $nnth(nkfilter P n nell) i < nnth(nkfilter P n nell) (Suc i)$

using *assms*

by *transfer*

(*auto,*

metis diff-Suc-1 eSuc-epred epred-enat epred-le-epredI lidx-kfilter-gr illess-Suc-eq leD lessI

llength-eq-0 min.cobounded1 min-def the-enat.simps)

lemma *nkfilter-nfilter*:

assumes $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$

$i \leq nlength(nkfilter P n nell)$

shows $(nnth nell ((nnth(nkfilter P n nell) i) - n)) = (nnth(nfilter P nell) i)$

using *assms*

```

apply transfer
proof (auto simp add: kfilter-lnull-conv split:if-split-asm)
fix nella :: 'a llist and Pa :: 'a ⇒ bool and ia :: nat and na :: nat and x :: 'a
assume a1: x ∈ lset nella
assume a2: Pa x
assume a3: enat ia ≤ epred (llength (kfilter Pa na nella))
assume a4: ¬ lnull nella
have f5: ∀ e ea. ¬ (e::enat) ≤ ea ∨ min e ea = e
by (simp add: min-def-raw)
have f6: ∀ n p na as. ¬ enat n < llength (kfilter p na (as::'a llist)) ∨
    enat (lnth (kfilter p na as) n) < enat na + llength as
by (meson kfilter-upperbound)
have f7: min (enat ia) (epred (llength (kfilter Pa na nella))) = enat ia
using f5 a3 by blast
then have f8: (enat ia < llength (kfilter Pa 0 nella)) =
    (enat (the-enat (min (enat ia) (epred (llength (kfilter Pa na nella)))))) <
    llength (kfilter Pa na nella)
by (simp add: kfilter-llength)
have f9: ∀ n p na as. ¬ enat n < llength (kfilter p na (as::'a llist)) ∨
    lnth (kfilter p na as) n - na = lnth (kfilter p 0 as) n
using kfilter-lnth-n-zero by blast
have eSuc (epred (llength nella)) = enat 0 + llength nella
using a4 by (metis co.enat.exhaust-sel gen-llength-def llength-code llength-eq-0)
then have enat (the-enat (min (enat ia) (epred (llength (kfilter Pa na nella))))) <
    llength (kfilter Pa na nella) →
    enat (lnth (kfilter Pa na nella))
        (the-enat (min (enat ia) (epred (llength (kfilter Pa na nella))))) - na)
    < eSuc (epred (llength nella))
using f9 f8 f7 f6 the-enat.simps by presburger
then have f10: enat (the-enat (min (enat ia) (epred (llength (kfilter Pa na nella))))) <
    llength (kfilter Pa na nella) →
    enat (lnth (kfilter Pa na nella))
        (the-enat (min (enat ia) (epred (llength (kfilter Pa na nella))))) - na)
    ≤ epred (llength nella)
using iless-Suc-eq by blast
have f11: ∀ n p na as. ¬ enat n < llength (kfilter p na as) ∨ lnth as (lnth (kfilter p na as) n - na) =
    (lnth (lfilter p as) n::'a)
using lfilter-kfilter by blast
have f12: the-enat (min (enat ia) (epred (llength (lfilter Pa nella)))) =
    the-enat (min (enat ia) (epred (llength (kfilter Pa na nella))))
by (simp add: kfilter-llength)
have ¬ lnull (kfilter Pa na nella)
using a1 a2 kfilter-not-lnull-conv by auto
then have enat (the-enat (min (enat ia) (epred (llength (kfilter Pa na nella))))) <
    llength (kfilter Pa na nella)
using f7 a3 by (metis co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq llength-eq-0 the-enat.simps)
then show ¬ lnull nella ==>
    enat ia ≤ epred (llength (kfilter Pa na nella)) ==>
    x ∈ lset nella ==>
    Pa x ==>

```

```

lnth nella (the-enat (min (enat (lnth (kfilter Pa na nella) ia - na)) (epred (llength nella)))) =
lnth (lfilter Pa nella) (the-enat (min (enat ia) (epred (llength (lfilter Pa nella)))))

using f12 f11 f10 f5 by simp
qed

```

lemma *in-nkfilter-nset*:

```

assumes  $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$ 
shows  $x \in nset(nkfilter P n nell) \longleftrightarrow x \in \{ n+i \mid i. i \leq nlength nell \wedge P (nnth nell i) \}$ 
using assms
by transfer
(auto simp add: kfilter-lnull-conv min-def,
metis co.enat.exhaustsel gen-llength-def illess-Suc-eq kfilter-holds kfilter-llength-n-zero
kfilter-lnth-n-zero kfilter-lowerbound kfilter-upperbound ldistinct-Ex1 ldistinct-kfilter
le-add-diff-inverse llength-code llength-eq-0,
metis add.commute co.enat.exhaustsel illess-Suc-eq kfilter-holds-not-a llength-eq-0)

```

lemma *nkfilter-nset*:

```

assumes  $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$ 
shows  $nset(nkfilter P n nell) = \{ n+i \mid i. i \leq nlength nell \wedge P (nnth nell i) \}$ 
using assms in-nkfilter-nset[of nell P - n] by blast

```

lemma *nlength-nkfilter-le* [simp]:

```

assumes  $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$ 
shows  $nlength (nkfilter P n nell) \leq nlength nell$ 
using assms
by transfer
(auto simp add: kfilter-lnull-conv epred-le-epredI kfilter-llength llength-lfilter-ile)

```

lemma *nkfilter-nleast*:

```

assumes  $\exists x \in nset xs. P x$ 
shows  $nnth (nkfilter P n xs) 0 = n + (nleast P xs)$ 
using assms
by transfer
(auto simp add: kfilter-not-lnull-conv kfilter-lnull-conv,
metis enat-min-eq-0-iff kfilter-lnth-zero kfilter-lnull-conv the-enat-0 zero-enat-def)

```

lemma *ndistinct-nkfilter*:

```

assumes  $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$ 
shows  $ndistinct(nkfilter P n nell)$ 
using assms
by transfer
(auto simp add: kfilter-lnull-conv ldistinct-kfilter)

```

lemma *nkfilter-ndropn-nset*:

```

assumes  $\exists x \in nset (ndropn k nell). P x$ 
 $k \leq nlength nell$ 
shows  $nset(nkfilter P n (ndropn k nell)) = \{ n+i \mid i. i \leq nlength nell - k \wedge P (nnth nell (k+i)) \}$ 
using assms nkfilter-nset[of (ndropn k nell) P n]
ndropn-nnth[of - nell k] by auto

```

lemma *nkfilter-ndropn-nset-b*:
assumes $\exists x \in nset (ndropn k nell). P x$
 $k \leq nlength nell$
shows $nset(nkfilter P n (ndropn k nell)) = \{ n+i | i. i \leq nlength nell - k \wedge P (nnth nell (i+k))\}$
proof –
have 1: $\{ n+i | i. i \leq nlength nell - k \wedge P (nnth nell (i+k))\} =$
 $\{ n+i | i. i \leq nlength nell - k \wedge P (nnth nell (i+k))\}$
using assms by (auto simp add: add.commute)
show ?thesis using assms by (simp add: 1 nkfilter-ndropn-nset)
qed

lemma *nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken-nlength-0*:
assumes $P (nnth nell (nnth (nkfilter P n nell) k) - n)$
 $k \leq nlength (nfilter P nell)$
shows $(\exists x \in nset nell. P x)$
using assms
by (metis enat-ile in-nset-conv-nnth linorder-le-cases ntaken-all ntaken-nlast)

lemma *nkfilter-nlength-n-zero*:
assumes $(\exists x \in nset nell. P x)$
shows $nlength(nkfilter P n nell) = nlength(nkfilter P 0 nell)$
using assms by (simp add: nkfilter-nlength)

lemma *nkfilter-nnth-n-zero*:
assumes $(\exists x \in nset nell. P x)$
 $k \leq nlength(nkfilter P n nell)$
shows $(nnth (nkfilter P n nell) k) - n = (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k)$
using assms
by transfer
 $(auto split: if-split-asm,$
 $metis kfilter-lnull-conv,$
 $metis kfilter-lnull-conv,$
 $metis co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq kfilter-llength-n-zero kfilter-lnth-n-zero llength-eq-0$
 $min.orderE the-enat.simps)$

lemma *nkfilter-n-zero*:
assumes $(\exists x \in nset nell. P x)$
shows $(nkfilter P n nell) = nmap (\lambda i. i+n) (nkfilter P 0 nell)$
proof –
have 1: $nlength(nkfilter P n nell) = nlength (nmap (\lambda i. i+n) (nkfilter P 0 nell))$
using assms nkfilter-nlength-n-zero by fastforce
have 2: $\bigwedge k. k \leq nlength (nkfilter P n nell) \longrightarrow$
 $nnth (nkfilter P n nell) k = nnth (nmap (\lambda i. i+n) (nkfilter P 0 nell)) k$
using 1 assms nkfilter-nnth-n-zero[of nell P - n]
by (metis diff-add nkfilter-lowerbound nlength-nmap nnth-nmap)
show ?thesis by (simp add: 1 2 nellist-eq-nnth-eq)
qed

lemma *nkfilter-n-zero-a*:
assumes $(\exists x \in nset nell. P x)$

```

shows (nkfilter P 0 nell) = nmap (λi. i-n) (nkfilter P n nell)
proof -
have 1: nlength(nkfilter P 0 nell) = nlength (nmap (λi. i-n) (nkfilter P n nell))
  by (metis assms nkfilter-nlength-n-zero nlength-nmap)
have 2: ∀k. k ≤ nlength(nkfilter P 0 nell) →
  nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k = nnth (nmap (λi. i-n) (nkfilter P n nell)) k
  by (simp add: 1 assms nkfilter-nnth-n-zero)
show ?thesis by (simp add: 1 2 nellist-eq-nnth-eq)
qed

lemma nkfilter-holds:
assumes (∃ x ∈ nset nell. P x)
  y ∈ nset(nkfilter P n nell)
shows P (nnth nell (y-n))
using assms in-nkfilter-nset[of nell P] by force

lemma nkfilter-holds-not:
assumes (∃ x ∈ nset nell. P x)
  y ∈ {i+n | i. i ≤ nlength nell} – (nset (nkfilter P n nell))
shows ¬ P (nnth nell (y-n))
using assms nkfilter-nset[of nell P n] by auto

lemma nkfilter-holds-a:
assumes (∃ x ∈ nset nell. P x)
  i ≤ nlength nell
  (i+n) ∈ nset(nkfilter P n nell)
shows P (nnth nell i)
using assms nkfilter-holds[of nell P i+n n ] by simp

lemma nkfilter-holds-not-a:
assumes (∃ x ∈ nset nell. P x)
  i ≤ nlength nell
  P (nnth nell i)
shows (i+n) ∈ nset(nkfilter P n nell)
using assms by (simp add: in-nkfilter-nset)

lemma nkfilter-holds-b:
assumes (∃ x ∈ nset nell. P x)
  i ≤ nlength nell
shows (i+n) ∈ nset(nkfilter P n nell) = P (nnth nell i)
using assms by (meson nkfilter-holds-a nkfilter-holds-not-a)

lemma nkfilter-holds-c:
assumes (∃ x ∈ nset nell. P x)
  n ≤ i
  i-n ≤ nlength nell
shows i ∈ nset(nkfilter P n nell) = P (nnth nell (i-n))
using assms
by (metis diff-add idiff-enat-enat nkfilter-holds-b)

```

lemma *nkfilter-holds-not-b*:

assumes $(\exists x \in nset nell. P x)$

$n \leq i$

$i - n \leq nlength nell$

shows $i \notin nset(nkfilter P n nell) = (\neg P (nnth nell (i - n)))$

using assms

by (*simp add: nkfilter-holds-c*)

lemma *nkfilter-disjoint-nset-coset*:

assumes $(\exists x \in nset nell. P x)$

shows $(\{i + n | i. i \leq nlength nell\} - nset(nkfilter P n nell)) \cap nset(nkfilter P n nell) = \{\}$

using assms by (*simp add: inf.commute*)

lemma *nidx-nkfilter-expand*:

assumes $(\exists x \in nset nell. P x)$

$(Suc i) \leq nlength(nkfilter P n nell)$

shows $nnth(nkfilter P n nell) i < nnth(nkfilter P n nell) (Suc i)$

using assms by (*simp add: nkfilter-mono*)

lemma *nidx-nkfilter*:

assumes $(\exists x \in nset nell. P x)$

shows $nidx(nkfilter P n nell)$

using assms *nidx-nkfilter-expand*[of *nell P - n*] *nidx-expand*[of *(nkfilter P n nell)*]

by *blast*

lemma *nidx-nkfilter-gr-eq*:

assumes $(\exists x \in nset nell. P x)$

$k \leq j$

$j \leq nlength(nkfilter P n nell)$

shows $nnth(nkfilter P n nell) k \leq nnth(nkfilter P n nell) j$

using assms *nidx-nkfilter*[of *nell P n*] *nidx-less-eq*[of *nkfilter P n nell k j*]

by *blast*

lemma *nidx-nkfilter-gr*:

assumes $(\exists x \in nset nell. P x)$

shows $(\forall j. k < j \wedge j \leq nlength(nkfilter P n nell) \longrightarrow nnth(nkfilter P n nell) k < nnth(nkfilter P n nell) j)$

using assms *nidx-nkfilter*[of *nell P n*] *nidx-less*[of *nkfilter P n nell*]

using less-imp-Suc-add by *blast*

lemma *nidx-nkfilter-less-eq*:

assumes $(\exists x \in nset nell. P x)$

$k \leq nlength(nkfilter P n nell)$

shows $\forall j. j \leq k \longrightarrow nnth(nkfilter P n nell) j \leq nnth(nkfilter P n nell) k$

using assms by (*simp add: nidx-nkfilter-gr-eq*)

lemma *nkfilter-not-before*:

assumes $(\exists x \in nset nell. P x)$

$i < (nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) 0)$

```

shows  $\neg P (\text{nnth } \text{nell } i)$ 
using assms
by transfer
(auto simp add: min-def split: if-split-asm,
meson kfilter-not-before llength-eq-0 not-gr-zero,
metis dual-order.strict-trans kfilter-not-before less-enatE llength-eq-0 not-gr-zero
not-le-imp-less the-enat.simps,
meson le-less-linear less-enatE less-nat-zero-code,
meson le-less-linear less-enatE not-less0)

lemma nkfilter-n-not-before:
assumes  $(\exists x \in \text{nset}(\text{ndropn } n \text{ nell}). P x)$ 
 $n \leq \text{nlength nell}$ 
 $n \leq i$ 
 $i < (\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } P n (\text{ndropn } n \text{ nell})) 0)$ 
shows  $\neg P (\text{nnth } \text{nell } i)$ 
using assms
apply transfer
unfolding min-def
using zero-enat-def
proof (auto split: if-split-asm)
show  $\bigwedge n \text{ nell } P i x.$ 
enat  $0 = 0 \implies$ 
 $\neg \text{lnull nell} \implies$ 
enat  $n \leq \text{epred}(\text{llength nell}) \implies$ 
 $n \leq i \implies$ 
 $\neg \text{lnull}(\text{kfilter } P n (\text{ldropn } n \text{ nell})) \implies$ 
 $i < \text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } P n (\text{ldropn } n \text{ nell})) 0 \implies$ 
 $x \in \text{lset}(\text{ldropn } n \text{ nell}) \implies P x \implies \text{enat } i \leq \text{epred}(\text{llength nell}) \implies P (\text{lnth nell } i) \implies \text{False}$ 
by (metis co.enat.exhaust-sel illess-Suc-eq kfilter-n-not-before llength-eq-0 not-gr-zero)
next
fix na :: nat and nella :: 'b llist and Pa :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool and ia :: nat and x :: 'b
assume a1: ia < lnth (kfilter Pa na (ldropn na nella)) 0
assume a2:  $\neg \text{lnull}(\text{kfilter } Pa \text{ na } (\text{ldropn } na \text{ nella}))$ 
assume a3: enat na  $\leq \text{epred}(\text{llength nella})$ 
assume a4:  $\neg \text{lnull nella}$ 
assume a5:  $\neg \text{enat } ia \leq \text{epred}(\text{llength nella})$ 
assume a6: Pa (lnth nella (the-enat (epred (llength nella))))
have f7:  $\forall p n bs. \text{lnull}(\text{kfilter } p n (bs::'b llist)) \vee \text{lnth}(\text{kfilter } p n bs) 0 = n + \text{lleast } p \text{ bs}$ 
by (meson kfilter-lnth-zero)
then have f8: lnth (kfilter Pa na (ldropn na nella)) 0 = na + lleast Pa (ldropn na nella)
using a2 by blast
have f9: 0 < llength (kfilter Pa na (ldropn na nella))
using a2 by simp
have f10: na  $\leq \text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(\text{llength nella}))$ 
by (metis a3 a5 enat-ord-code(4) enat-ord-simps(1) enat-the-enat less-imp-le)
have f11: the-enat (epred (llength nella)) < lnth (kfilter Pa na (ldropn na nella)) 0
by (metis a1 a5 dual-order.strict-trans enat-ord-simps(2) enat-ord-simps(3) enat-the-enat
not-le-imp-less)
then show False using kfilter-n-not-before[of Pa na nella (the-enat (epred (llength nella)))]
```

```

using f10 f11
by (metis a3 a4 a6 co.enat.exhaust-sel f9 iless-Suc-eq llength-eq-0)
qed

lemma nkfilter-not-after:
assumes ( $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$ )
  nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell)  $k < i$ 
  nlenth(nkfilter P 0 nell) = (enat k)
   $i \leq nlenth nell$ 
shows  $\neg P (nnth nell i)$ 
using assms
by transfer
  (auto simp add: min-def split: if-split-asm,
   metis co.enat.exhaust-sel diff-Suc-1 eSuc-enat iless-Suc-eq kfilter-not-after llength-eq-0
   not-gr-zero)

lemma nkfilter-n-not-after:
assumes ( $\exists x \in nset (ndropn n nell). P x$ )
   $n \leq nlenth nell$ 
  nnth (nkfilter P n (ndropn n nell))  $k < i$ 
  nlenth(nkfilter P n (ndropn n nell)) = (enat k)
   $i \leq nlenth nell$ 
shows  $\neg P (nnth nell i)$ 
using assms
by transfer
  (auto split: if-split-asm,
   metis diff-Suc-1 eSuc-enat eSuc-epred iless-Suc-eq kfilter-n-not-after llength-eq-0 not-gr-zero )

```

```

lemma nkfilter-not-between:
assumes ( $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$ )
  nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell)  $k < i$ 
   $i < nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) (\text{Suc } k)$ 
   $(\text{Suc } k) \leq nlenth (nkfilter P 0 nell)$ 
shows  $\neg P (nnth nell i)$ 
using assms
by transfer
  (auto simp add: min-def split: if-split-asm,
   metis co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq kfilter-not-between llength-eq-0,
   metis co.enat.exhaust-sel gen-llength-def iless-Suc-eq kfilter-upperbound le-less-trans
   llength-code llength-eq-0 min.cobounded2 min.strict-order-iff min-enat-simps(1),
   meson Suc-ile-eq less-imp-le,
   meson Suc-ile-eq dual-order.strict-implies-order)

```

```

lemma ntaken-nset:
assumes  $k \leq nlenth nell$ 
shows  $nset (ntaken k nell) = \{ (nnth nell i) \mid i. i \leq k \}$ 
using assms
by (auto simp add: in-nset-conv-nnth)
  (metis min.orderE ntaken-nnth,

```

metis min.orderE ntaken-nnth)

lemma *ndropn-nset*:
assumes $k \leq nlength\ nell$
shows $nset\ (ndropn\ k\ nell) = \{ (nnth\ nell\ i) \mid i. k \leq i \wedge i \leq nlength\ nell \}$
using *assms*
by (auto simp add: *in-nset-conv-nnth*)
*(metis add.commute enat-min-eq le-add1 plus-enat-simps(1),
metis enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat le-add-diff-inverse)*

lemma *nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken-nidx-a*:
assumes $\exists x \in nset\ nell. P x$
 $k \leq nlength\ (nfilter\ P\ nell)$
shows $nidx\ (ntaken\ k\ (nkfilter\ P\ n\ nell))$
using *assms*
by (simp add: *nidx-expand nidx-nkfilter-gr ntaken-nnth*)

lemma *nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn-nidx-a*:
assumes $\exists x \in nset\ nell. P x$
 $k \leq nlength\ (nfilter\ P\ nell)$
shows $nidx\ (ndropn\ k\ (nkfilter\ P\ n\ nell))$
using *assms*
by transfer
*(auto simp add: kfilter-lnull-conv,
metis (no-types, lifting) gr-implies-not-zero kfilter-llength leI lfilter-kfilter-ldropn-lidx-a
lidx-def llength-eq-0 lnull-ldropn)*

lemma *nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken-nidx-b*:
assumes $P\ (nnth\ nell\ ((nnth\ (nkfilter\ P\ 0\ nell)\ k)))$
 $k \leq nlength\ (nfilter\ P\ nell)$
shows $nidx\ (nkfilter\ P\ 0\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ (nkfilter\ P\ 0\ nell)\ k)\ nell))$
using *assms nidx-nkfilter[of (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell) P 0]*
by (metis *nfinite-ntaken nset-nlast ntaken-nlast*)

lemma *nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken-nidx-b-1*:
assumes $\exists x \in nset\ nell. P x$
 $k \leq nlength\ (nfilter\ P\ nell)$
shows $nidx\ (nkfilter\ P\ 0\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ (nkfilter\ P\ 0\ nell)\ k)\ nell))$
using *assms nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken-nidx-b[of P nell k] nkfilter-holds[of nell P]*
by (metis *in-nset-conv-nnth nkfilter-nlength nkfilter-nnth-n-zero*)

lemma *nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken-nidx-b-2*:
assumes $P\ (nnth\ nell\ ((nnth\ (nkfilter\ P\ n\ nell)\ k)\ -n))$
 $k \leq nlength\ (nfilter\ P\ nell)$
shows $nidx\ (nkfilter\ P\ n\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ (nkfilter\ P\ n\ nell)\ k)\ nell))$
using *assms nidx-nkfilter[of (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P n nell) k) nell) P n]*
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) diff-le-self linorder-le-cases mem-Collect-eq
nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken-nlength-0 ntaken-all ntaken-nset)

lemma *nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken-nidx-b-3*:

assumes $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$

$k \leq nlength(nfilter P nell)$

shows $nidx(nkfilter P n (ntaken(nnth(nkfilter P n nell) k) nell))$

using assms *nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken-nidx-b-2*[of P $nell n k$] *nkfilter-holds*

by (*metis in-nset-conv-nnth nkfilter-nlength*)

lemma *nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn-nidx-b*:

assumes $P(nnth nell ((nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k)))$

$k \leq nlength(nfilter P nell)$

shows $nidx(nkfilter P 0 (ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell))$

using assms *nidx-nkfilter*[of $(ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell)$ $P 0$]

by (*metis diff-add diff-zero in-nset-conv-nnth ndropn-nnth zero-enat-def zero-le*)

lemma *nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn-nidx-b-1*:

assumes $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$

$k \leq nlength(nfilter P nell)$

shows $nidx(nkfilter P 0 (ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell))$

using assms *nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn-nidx-b*[of P $nell k$] *nkfilter-holds*[of $nell P$]

by (*metis in-nset-conv-nnth nkfilter-nlength nkfilter-nnth-n-zero*)

lemma *nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn-nidx-b-2*:

assumes $P(nnth nell ((nnth(nkfilter P n nell) k) -n))$

$k \leq nlength(nfilter P nell)$

shows $nidx(nkfilter P ((nnth(nkfilter P n nell) k) -n)$

$(ndropn((nnth(nkfilter P n nell) k) -n) nell))$

proof –

have 1: $enat(nnth(nkfilter P n nell) k) -n \leq nlength nell$

using *nkfilter-upperbound*[of $nell P$] *nkfilter-nnth-n-zero*[of $nell P k n$] *assms*

by (*metis gen-nlength-def idiff-enat-enat nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken-nlength-0 nkfilter-nlength nlength-code*)

have 2: $nset(ndropn((nnth(nkfilter P n nell) k) -n) nell) =$

$\{ (nnth nell i) | i. ((nnth(nkfilter P n nell) k) -n) \leq i \wedge i \leq nlength nell \}$

using *ndropn-nset*[of $(nnth(nkfilter P n nell) k) -n nell$] 1 **by** *simp*

have 3: $\exists x \in nset(ndropn((nnth(nkfilter P n nell) k) -n) nell). P x$

using 1 2 *assms(1)* **by** *auto*

show ?thesis using 3

using *nidx-nkfilter*[of $(ndropn((nnth(nkfilter P n nell) k) -n) nell)$ $P (nnth(nkfilter P n nell) k) -n$]

by *blast*

qed

lemma *nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn-nidx-b-3*:

assumes $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$

$k \leq nlength(nfilter P nell)$

shows $nidx(nkfilter P ((nnth(nkfilter P n nell) k) -n)$

$(ndropn((nnth(nkfilter P n nell) k) -n) nell))$

using assms *nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn-nidx-b-2*

by (*metis in-nset-conv-nnth nkfilter-holds nkfilter-nlength*)

lemma ntaken-nkfilter-ntaken-nset-eq:

assumes $P (\text{nnth } \text{nell} ((\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k)))$
 $k \leq \text{nlength } (\text{nkfilter } P \text{ nell})$

shows $\text{nset}(\text{ntaken } k (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell})) =$
 $\text{nset}(\text{nkfilter } P 0 (\text{ntaken } ((\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k)) \text{ nell}))$

proof –

have 1: $(\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \leq \text{nlength } \text{nell}$
using assms nkfilter-upperbound[of nell P k 0]
by (metis diff-zero gen-nlength-def nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken-nlength-0 nkfilter-nlength nlength-code)

have 2: $\exists x \in \text{nset } \text{nell}. P x$
using assms by (metis 1 exists-Pred-nnth-nset)

have 3: $\{i. i \leq \text{nlength}(\text{ntaken } (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \text{ nell}) \wedge$
 $P (\text{nnth } (\text{ntaken } (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \text{ nell}) i)\}$
 $= \{i. i \leq (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \wedge P (\text{nnth } \text{nell} i)\}$
by (auto simp add: ntaken-nnth 1 order-subst2)

have 4: $\exists x \in \text{nset}(\text{ntaken } (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \text{ nell}). P x$
using 1 assms(1) ntaken-nset by fastforce

have 5: $\{i. i \leq (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \wedge P (\text{nnth } \text{nell} i)\} =$
 $\{i. i \leq (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \wedge i \in \text{nset}(\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell})\}$
using 4 1 2 nkfilter-holds-b
by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) add-cancel-left-right enat-ord-simps(1) order.trans)

have 6: $\{i. i \leq (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \wedge i \in \text{nset}(\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell})\} =$
 $\{i. i \leq (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \wedge$
 $i \in \{(\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) j) \mid j. j \leq \text{nlength } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell})\}\}$
by (simp add: nset-conv-nnth)

have 7: $\{i. i \leq (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \wedge$
 $i \in \{(\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) j) \mid j. j \leq \text{nlength } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell})\}\} =$
 $\{(\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) j) \mid j. j \leq k\}$
by (auto simp add: assms 2 nidx-nkfilter-less-eq nkfilter-nlength)
 $(\text{metis 2 dual-order.antisym le-cases nidx-nkfilter-gr-eq nkfilter-nlength,}$
 $\text{metis assms(2) dual-order.trans enat-ord-simps(1)})$

have 8: $k \leq \text{nlength } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell})$
by (simp add: 2 assms(2) nkfilter-nlength)

have 9: $\{(\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) j) \mid j. j \leq k\} = \text{nset}(\text{ntaken } k (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}))$
using ntaken-nset[of k (nkfilter P 0 nell)] 8 by auto

have 91: $\min(\text{enat } (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k)) (\text{nlength } \text{nell}) = (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k)$
by (simp add: 1 min-def)

have 10: $\text{nset}(\text{nkfilter } P 0 (\text{ntaken } ((\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k)) \text{ nell})) =$
 $\{i. i \leq (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \wedge$
 $P (\text{nnth } (\text{ntaken } (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \text{ nell}) i)\}$
using nkfilter-nset[of (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell) P 0]
 $1 \And 91 \text{ ntaken-nlength}[of (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \text{ nell}]$
by auto

have 11: $\text{nlength}((\text{ntaken } ((\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k)) \text{ nell})) = (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k)$
by (simp add: 1)

have 12: $\{i. i \leq (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \wedge$
 $P (\text{nnth } (\text{ntaken } (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \text{ nell}) i)\} =$
 $\{i. i \leq \text{nlength}((\text{ntaken } ((\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k)) \text{ nell})) \wedge$
 $P (\text{nnth } (\text{ntaken } (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \text{ nell}) i)\}$

```

using 11 by auto
show ?thesis
using 10 12 3 5 6 7 9 by auto
qed

lemma ntaken-nkfilter-ntaken-nset-eq-1:
assumes ∃ x ∈ nset nell. P x
      k ≤ nlength (nfilter P nell)
shows nset(ntaken k (nkfilter P 0 nell)) =
      nset(nkfilter P 0 (ntaken ((nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k)) nell))
using assms ntaken-nkfilter-ntaken-nset-eq[of P nell k]
nkfilter-holds[of nell P (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) 0]
by (metis in-nset-conv-nnth nkfilter-nlength nkfilter-nnth-n-zero)

lemma ndropn-nkfilter-ndropn-nset-eq:
assumes P (nnth nell ( (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k))) )
      k ≤ nlength (nfilter P nell)
shows nset(ndropn k (nkfilter P 0 nell)) =
      nset(nkfilter P (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) (ndropn ((nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k)) nell))
proof -
have 1: (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) ≤ nlength nell
  using nkfilter-upperbound[of nell P k 0] assms
  by (metis diff-zero gen-nlength-def nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken-nlength-0 nkfilter-nlength nlength-code)
have 2: ∃ x ∈ nset nell. P x
  using assms by (metis 1 exists-Pred-nnth-nset)
have 4: ∃ x ∈ nset(ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell). P x
  using 1 assms(1) ndropn-nset by fastforce
have 10: nset(nkfilter P (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k))
      (ndropn ((nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k)) nell)) =
      {(nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) + i | i. i ≤ nlength nell − (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) ∧
      P (nnth nell (i + (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k))) }
  using 1
  nkfilter-ndropn-nset-b[of (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell P (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k)]
  4 by linarith
have 5: {(nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) + i | i. i ≤ nlength nell − (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) ∧
      P (nnth nell (i + (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k))) } =
      {(nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) + i | i. i ≤ nlength nell − (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) ∧
      (i + (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k)) ∈ nset(nkfilter P 0 nell)}
  proof (auto simp add: add.commute)
    fix i :: nat
    assume a1: enat i ≤ nlength nell − enat (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k)
    assume a2: P (nnth nell (i + nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k))
    have f0: enat (i + (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k)) ≤ nlength nell
    using 1 a1 enat-min-eq by auto
    show i + nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k ∈ nset (nkfilter P 0 nell)
    by (metis Nat.add-0-right a2 f0 in-nset-conv-nnth nkfilter-holds-b)
  next
    fix i
    assume b0: enat i ≤ nlength nell − enat (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k)
    assume b1: i + nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k ∈ nset (nkfilter P 0 nell)

```

```

show  $P (\text{nnth } \text{nell} (i + \text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k))$ 
using  $\text{nkfilter-holds}[\text{of nell } P] 2$  by (metis b1 minus-nat.diff-0)
qed

have 51:  $\{( \text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) + i \mid i. i \leq \text{nlength nell} - (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \wedge$ 
 $(i + (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k)) \in \text{nset}(\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell})\} =$ 
 $\{( \text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) + i \mid i.$ 
 $(\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \leq i + (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \wedge$ 
 $i + (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \leq \text{nlength nell} \wedge$ 
 $(i + (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k)) \in \text{nset}(\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell})\}$ 
by auto
(metis 2 add.left-neutral in-nset-conv-nnth nkfilter-upperbound zero-enat-def,
metis add-diff-cancel-right' enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat)
have 52:  $\{( \text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) + i \mid i.$ 
 $(\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \leq i + (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \wedge$ 
 $i + (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \leq \text{nlength nell} \wedge$ 
 $(i + (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k)) \in \text{nset}(\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell})\} =$ 
 $\{j. (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \leq j \wedge j \leq \text{nlength nell} \wedge j \in \text{nset}(\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell})\}$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) add.commute diff-add)
have 53 :  $\{j. (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \leq j \wedge j \leq \text{nlength nell} \wedge j \in \text{nset}(\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell})\} =$ 
 $\{j. (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \leq j \wedge j \leq \text{nlength nell} \wedge j \in$ 
 $\{(\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) jj) \mid jj. jj \leq \text{nlength} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell})\}\}$ 
by (simp add: nset-conv-nnth)
have 54:  $\{j. (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) \leq j \wedge j \leq \text{nlength nell} \wedge j \in$ 
 $\{(\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) jj) \mid jj. jj \leq \text{nlength} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell})\}\} =$ 
 $\{(\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) j) \mid j. k \leq j \wedge j \leq \text{nlength} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell})\}$ 
using assms 2
by (auto,
metis dual-order.antisym le-cases nidx-less-eq nidx-nkfilter nkfilter-nlength,
meson nidx-nkfilter-gr-eq,
metis gen-nlength-def nkfilter-upperbound nlength-code)
have 8:  $k \leq \text{nlength} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell})$ 
by (simp add: 2 assms(2) nkfilter-nlength)
have 9:  $\{(\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) j) \mid j. k \leq j \wedge j \leq \text{nlength} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell})\} =$ 
 $\text{nset}(\text{ndropn } k (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}))$ 
by (simp add: 8 ndropn-nset)
show ?thesis
using 10 5 51 52 53 54 9 by auto
qed

```

```

lemma  $\text{ndropn-nkfilter-ndropn-nset-eq-1}:$ 
assumes  $\exists x \in \text{nset nell}. P x$ 
 $k \leq \text{nlength} (\text{nkfilter } P \text{ nell})$ 
shows  $\text{nset}(\text{ndropn } k (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell})) =$ 
 $\text{nset}(\text{nkfilter } P (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k) (\text{ndropn} ((\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) k)) \text{ nell}))$ 
using assms ndropn-nkfilter-ndropn-nset-eq[of P nell k]
by (metis diff-zero in-nset-conv-nnth nkfilter-holds nkfilter-nlength)

```

```

lemma  $\text{nkfilter-nkfilter-ntaken}:$ 
assumes  $\exists x \in \text{nset nell}. P x$ 
 $k \leq \text{nlength} (\text{nkfilter } P \text{ nell})$ 

```

```

shows  ntaken k (nkfilter P 0 nell) =
          (nkfilter P 0 (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell))
using assms
by (simp add: nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken-nidx-a nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken-nidx-b-1 nidx-nset-eq
        ntaken-nkfilter-ntaken-nset-eq-1)

lemma nkfilter-nkfilter-ndropn:
assumes  $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$ 
           $k \leq nlength (nfilter P nell)$ 
shows  ndropn k (nkfilter P 0 nell) =
          (nkfilter P (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell))
proof -
have 1:  $P (nnth nell ( (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k)))$ 
using nkfilter-holds[of nell P] assms
by (metis diff-zero in-nset-conv-nnth nkfilter-nlength)
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 assms(1) assms(2) diff-zero ndropn-nkfilter-ndropn-nset-eq
      nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn-nidx-a nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn-nidx-b-3 nidx-nset-eq)
qed

```

```

lemma nkfilter-nmap-nfilter:
assumes  $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$ 
shows  nmap ( $\lambda n. nnth nell n$ ) (nkfilter P 0 nell) = nfilter P nell
using assms nellist-eq-nnth-eq[of nmap ( $\lambda n. nnth nell n$ ) (nkfilter P 0 nell)] nfilter P nell]
nkfilter-nfilter[of nell P - 0] nkfilter-nnth-n-zero[of nell P - 0]
by (simp add: nkfilter-nlength)

```

```

lemma nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken:
assumes  $P (nnth nell ( (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k)))$ 
           $k \leq nlength (nkfilter P 0 nell)$ 
shows  ntaken k (nfilter P nell) =
          nfilter P (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell)
proof -
have 1:  $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$ 
using assms
by (metis in-nset-conv-nnth min.cobounded1 min-def nfinite-ntaken nset-nlast ntaken-all
      ntaken-nlast)
have 2:  $nfilter P nell = nmap (\lambda n. nnth nell n) (nkfilter P 0 nell)$ 
using 1 assms by (simp add: nkfilter-nmap-nfilter)
have 3:  $ntaken k (nfilter P nell) = ntaken k (nmap (\lambda n. nnth nell n) (nkfilter P 0 nell))$ 
using 2 by simp
have 4:  $ntaken k (nmap (\lambda n. nnth nell n) (nkfilter P 0 nell)) =$ 
           $nmap (\lambda n. nnth nell n) (ntaken k (nkfilter P 0 nell))$ 
by simp
have 5:  $\exists x \in nset (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell). P x$ 
using assms by (metis nfinite-ntaken nset-nlast ntaken-nlast)
have 6:  $(nfilter P (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell)) =$ 
           $nmap (\lambda s. nnth (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell) s)$ 

```

```

  (nkfilter P 0 (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell)))
using 5 by (simp add: nkfilter-nmap-nfilter)
have 7: nmap (λn. nnth nell n) (ntaken k (nkfilter P 0 nell)) =
  nmap (λn. nnth nell n) (nkfilter P 0 (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell))
by (simp add: 1 2 assms(2) nkfilter-nkfilter-ntaken)
have 70: enat k ≤ nlength (nfilter P nell)
using 2 assms by auto
have 71: (Λz. z ∈ nset (nkfilter P 0 (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell))) ==>
  (λn. nnth nell n) z = (λs. nnth (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell) s) z)
using assms 1 70 ntaken-nkfilter-ntaken-nset-eq[of P nell k]
  ntaken-nset[of k (nkfilter P 0 nell)] mem-Collect-eq
  nidx-nkfilter-gr-eq[of nell P - - 0]
proof simp
fix z :: nat
assume a1: enat k ≤ nlength (nkfilter P 0 nell)
assume a2: Λk j. [k ≤ j; enat j ≤ nlength (nkfilter P 0 nell)] ==>
  nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k ≤ nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) j
assume a3: z ∈ nset (nkfilter P 0 (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell))
assume {nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i | i. i ≤ k} =
  nset (nkfilter P 0 (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell))
then have ∃n. z = nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) n ∧ n ≤ k
using a3 by blast
then have z ≤ nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k
using a2 a1 by meson
then show nnth nell z = nnth (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell) z
  by (simp add: ntaken-nnth)
qed
have 8: nmap (λn. nnth nell n)
  (nkfilter P 0 (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell)) =
  nmap (λs. nnth (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell) s)
  (nkfilter P 0 (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell))
using 1 5 assms nellist.map-cong0
using 71 by blast
show ?thesis
by (simp add: 3 6 7 8)
qed

lemma nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken-1:
assumes ∃ x ∈ nset nell. P x
  k ≤ nlength (nkfilter P 0 nell)
shows ntaken k (nfilter P nell) =
  nfilter P (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell)
using assms nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken[of P nell k]
by (metis in-nset-conv-nnth nkfilter-holds nkfilter-nnth-n-zero)

lemma nkfilter-nmap-shift:
assumes ∃ x ∈ nset nell. P x
shows nmap (λs. nnth nell (s+n)) (nkfilter P 0 nell) =
  nmap (λs. nnth nell s) (nkfilter P n nell)
proof –

```

have 1: $nlength(nmap(\lambda s. nnth nell(s+n))(nkfilter P 0 nell)) = nlength(nmap(\lambda s. nnth nell s)(nkfilter P 0 nell))$
by (simp add: assms nkfilter-nlength)
have 2: $\bigwedge i. i \leq nlength(nmap(\lambda s. nnth nell(s+n))(nkfilter P 0 nell)) \rightarrow nnth(nmap(\lambda s. nnth nell(s+n))(nkfilter P 0 nell)) i = nnth nell((nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) i) + n)$
by simp
have 3: $\bigwedge i. i \leq nlength(nmap(\lambda s. nnth nell s)(nkfilter P 0 nell)) \rightarrow nnth(nmap(\lambda s. nnth nell s)(nkfilter P 0 nell)) i = nnth nell(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) i)$
by simp
have 4: $\bigwedge i. i \leq nlength(nmap(\lambda s. nnth nell(s+n))(nkfilter P 0 nell)) \rightarrow nnth nell((nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) i) + n) = nnth nell(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) i)$
using nkfilter-n-zero[of nell P n]
by (simp add: assms)
show ?thesis **using** 1 4 nellist-eq-nnth-eq **by** force
qed

lemma nkfilter-nmap-shift-ndropn:
assumes $\exists x \in nset(ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell). P x$
 $k \leq nlength(nkfilter P 0 nell)$
shows $nmap(\lambda s. nnth nell(s + (nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k)))$
 $(nkfilter P 0 (ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell)) = nmap(\lambda s. nnth nell s)(nkfilter P (nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k))$
 $(ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell))$

using assms
 $nellist-eq-nnth-eq$ [of $nmap(\lambda s. nnth nell(s + (nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k)))$
 $(nkfilter P 0 (ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell))$
 $nmap(\lambda s. nnth nell s)(nkfilter P (nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k))$
 $(ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell))]$
using nkfilter-n-zero[of $(ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell) P$
 $(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k)]$
by simp

lemma nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn:
assumes $P(nnth nell((nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k)))$
 $k \leq nlength(nkfilter P 0 nell)$
shows $ndropn k (nfilter P nell) = nfilter P (ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell)$

proof –
have 1: $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$
using assms
by (metis in-nset-conv-nnth min.cobounded1 min-def nfinite-ntaken nset-nlast ntaken-all ntaken-nlast)
have 2: $(nfilter P nell) = nmap(\lambda n. nnth nell n)(nkfilter P 0 nell)$
by (simp add: 1 nkfilter-nmap-nfilter)
have 3: $ndropn k (nfilter P nell) = ndropn k (nmap(\lambda n. nnth nell n)(nkfilter P 0 nell))$
by (simp add: 2)
have 4: $ndropn k (nmap(\lambda n. nnth nell n)(nkfilter P 0 nell)) = nmap(\lambda n. nnth nell n)(ndropn k (nkfilter P 0 nell))$
using ndropn-nmap **by** blast

have 5: $\exists x \in nset(ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell). P x$
by (metis add.commute add.left-neutral assms(1) in-nset-conv-nnth ndropn-nnth zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 6: $nfilter P (ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell) =$
 $nmap(\lambda s. nnth(ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell) s)$
 $(nkfilter P 0 (ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell))$
by (metis 5 nkfilter-nmap-nfilter)
have 7: $nmap(\lambda s. nnth(ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell) s)$
 $(nkfilter P 0 (ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell)) =$
 $nmap(\lambda s. nnth nell(s + (nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k)))$
 $(nkfilter P 0 (ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell))$
by (simp add: add.commute)
have 8: $nmap(\lambda s. nnth nell(s + (nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k)))$
 $(nkfilter P 0 (ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell)) =$
 $nmap(\lambda s. nnth nell s)$
 $(nkfilter P (nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) (ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell))$
using 5 assms(2) nkfilter-nmap-shift-ndropn **by** fastforce
have 9: $nmap(\lambda s. nnth nell s)$
 $(nkfilter P (nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) (ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell)) =$
 $nmap(\lambda s. nnth nell s)$
 $(ndropn k (nkfilter P 0 nell))$
by (simp add: 1 2 assms(2) nkfilter-nkfilter-ndropn)
show ?thesis **using** 3 4 6 7 8 9 **by** auto
qed

lemma nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn-1:
assumes $\exists x \in nset nell. P x$
 $k \leq nlength(nkfilter P 0 nell)$
shows $ndropn k (nfilter P nell) =$
 $nfilter P (ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) k) nell)$
using assms nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn
by (metis in-nset-conv-nnth minus-nat.diff-0 nkfilter-holds)

lemma nfilter-nkfilter-nsubn:
assumes $P(nnth nell ((nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) i)))$
 $enat i \leq nlength(nkfilter P 0 nell)$
 $P(nnth nell ((nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) j)))$
 $enat j \leq nlength(nkfilter P 0 nell)$
 $i \leq j$
shows $nsubn(nfilter P nell) i j =$
 $(nfilter P (nsubn nell$
 $(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) i)$
 $(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) j)$
 $)$
 $)$

proof -

have 0: $nsubn(nfilter P nell) i j = ntaken(j - i)(ndropn i (nfilter P nell))$

```

using nsubn-def1 by blast
have 1: ntaken (j - i) (ndropn i (nfilter P nell)) =
  ntaken (j - i) (nfilter P (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i) nell))
by (simp add: assms(1) assms(2) nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn)
have 4: ((nnth (nkfilter P 0 (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i) nell)) (j - i)) +
  (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i)) =
  ((nnth (nkfilter P (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i) (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i) nell)) (j - i))) )
proof -
have f1:  $\bigwedge n \text{ ns}. (\text{nfirst} (\text{ndropn } n \text{ ns}) :: \text{nat}) = \text{nlast} (\text{ntaken } n \text{ ns})$ 
by (metis (lifting) ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst ndropn-nnth ntaken-ndropn-nlast)
have  $\bigwedge n f \text{ ns}. \text{nlast} (\text{ntaken } n (\text{nmap } f \text{ ns})) = (f (\text{nlast} (\text{ntaken } n \text{ ns}) :: \text{nat})) :: \text{nat}$ 
by simp
then show ?thesis
using f1 by (metis assms(1) in-nset-conv-nnth ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst nkfilter-n-zero zero-enat-def
zero-le)
qed
have 5: ((nnth (nkfilter P (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i) (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i) nell)) (j - i))) =
=
  (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) j)
using assms nkfilter-nkfilter-ndropn[of nell P i]
by (metis in-nset-conv-nnth le-add-diff-inverse linorder-le-cases linorder-not-less ndropn-nnth
nfinite-ntaken nkfilter-nlength nnth-beyond nset-nlast ntaken-all)
have 10:  $\exists x \in \text{nset} (\text{ndropn} (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 \text{ nell}) i) \text{ nell}). P x$ 
by (metis assms(1) in-nset-conv-nnth le-zero-eq nle-le nnth-zero-ndropn zero-enat-def)
have 11: ndropn i (nfilter P nell) = nfilter P (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i) nell)
by (simp add: assms(1) assms(2) nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn)
have 12: nlength (ndropn i (nfilter P nell)) = nlength (nfilter P (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i) nell))
by (simp add: 11)
have 13: nlength (nkfilter P 0 (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i) nell)) =
  nlength (nfilter P (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i) nell))
by (simp add: 10 nkfilter-nlength)
have 14: enat i  $\leq$  nlength (nfilter P nell)
by (metis assms(1) assms(2) in-nset-conv-nnth linorder-le-cases nfinite-ntaken nkfilter-nlength nset-nlast
ntaken-all ntaken-nlast)
have 15: enat j  $\leq$  nlength (nfilter P nell)
by (metis 14 assms(1) assms(4) diff-zero nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken-nlength-0 nkfilter-nlength)
have 16: enat (j - i)  $\leq$  nlength (ndropn i (nfilter P nell))
by (metis 15 enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat ndropn-nlength)
have 2: ntaken (j - i) (nfilter P (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i) nell)) =
  (nfilter P (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i) nell)) (j - i)))
  (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i) nell)))
by (metis 10 12 13 16 nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken-1)
have 3: (nfilter P (ntaken (nnth (nkfilter P 0 (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i) nell)) (j - i)))
  (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i) nell)) =
  (nfilter P (nsubn nell
    (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i)
    ((nnth (nkfilter P 0 (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i) nell)) (j - i)) +
    (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i))
  )))

```

```

by (simp add: ntaken-ndropn)
show ?thesis using 0 1 2 3 4 5 by auto
qed

lemma nfilter-nkfilter-nsubn-zero:
assumes P (nnth nell ( (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) j)) )
    enat j ≤ nlength (nkfilter P 0 nell)
shows nsubn (nfilter P nell) 0 j =
  (nfilter P (nsubn nell
    0
    (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) j)
  )
)

by (simp add: assms(1) assms(2) nfilter-nkfilter-ntaken nsubn-def1)

lemma nkfilter-nnth-aa:
assumes ∃x ∈ nset nell. P x
    n ≤ nlength (nfilter P nell)
shows P (nnth (nfilter P nell) n)
using assms in-nset-conv-nnth nkfilter-holds[of nell P - 0] nkfilter-nfilter[of nell P - 0]
by (metis nkfilter-nlength)

lemma nfilter-nlength-zero-conv-a:
assumes ∃x ∈ nset nell. P x
    nlength(nfilter P nell) = 0
shows (∃ k ≤ nlength nell. P (nnth nell k) ∧
    (∀ j ≤ nlength nell. j ≠ k → ¬ P (nnth nell j)))
using assms
apply transfer
proof (auto simp add: epred-llength min-def split: if-split-asm)
fix nella :: 'a llist and Pa :: 'a ⇒ bool and x :: 'a
assume a1: ¬ lnull nella
assume a2: Pa x
assume a3: x ∈ lset nella
assume a4: lnull (lfilter Pa nella)
show ∃ k. (enat k ≤ llength (lfilter Pa nella)) →
  Pa (lnth nella k) ∧ (∀ j. enat j ≤ llength (lfilter Pa nella) → j ≠ k → ¬ Pa (lnth nella j)) ∧
  enat k ≤ llength (lfilter Pa nella)
proof –
have 1: LCons (lhd nella) (lfilter Pa nella) = nella
  by (metis a2 a3 lfilter-LNil llist.disc(1) llist.exhaust-sel lnull-lfilter)
have 2: llength nella = eSuc (llength (lfilter Pa nella))
  by (metis 1 llength-LCons)
have 3: ¬ lnull (lfilter Pa nella)
  by (meson a2 a3 lnull-lfilter)
show ?thesis
  by (metis 2 3 a4 iless-Suc-eq lfilter-llength-one-conv-a lhd-LCons-ltl llength-LCons llength-LNil

```

llist.collapse(1) one-eSuc

qed

qed

lemma *nfilter-nlength-zero-conv-c*:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\exists k \leq nlength nell. P (nnth nell k) \wedge \\ & \quad (\forall j \leq nlength nell. j \neq k \rightarrow \neg P (nnth nell j))) = \\ & (\exists k \leq nlength nell. P (nnth nell k) \wedge \\ & \quad (\forall j \leq nlength nell. j < k \vee k < j \rightarrow \neg P (nnth nell j))) \end{aligned}$$

using *antisym-conv3* **by** *auto*

lemma *nfilter-nlength-zero-conv-d*:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\exists k \leq nlength nell. P (nnth nell k) \wedge \\ & \quad (\forall j \leq nlength nell. j < k \vee k < j \rightarrow \neg P (nnth nell j))) \longleftrightarrow \\ & (\exists k \leq nlength nell. P (nnth nell k) \wedge \\ & \quad (\forall j. j < k \rightarrow \neg P (nnth nell j)) \wedge \\ & \quad (\forall j \leq nlength nell. k < j \rightarrow \neg P (nnth nell j))) \end{aligned}$$

by (*meson enat-ord-simps(2) le-cases le-less-trans*)

lemma *nfilter-nlength-zero-conv-b*:

assumes $(\exists k \leq nlength nell. P (nnth nell k) \wedge (\forall j \leq nlength nell. j \neq k \rightarrow \neg P (nnth nell j)))$

shows $(\exists x \in nset nell. P x) \wedge nlength(nfilter P nell) = 0$

using *assms*

by *transfer*

(*auto split: if-split-asm,*
metis co.enat.exhaustsel iless-Suc-eq in-lset-conv-lnth llength-eq-0,
metis co.enat.exhaustsel co.enat.sel(2) iless-Suc-eq lfilter-llength-one-conv-b llength-eq-0
one-eSuc)

lemma *nfilter-nlength-zero-conv*:

$$((\exists x \in nset nell. P x) \wedge nlength(nfilter P nell) = 0) \longleftrightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\exists k \leq nlength nell. P (nnth nell k) \wedge \\ & \quad (\forall j \leq nlength nell. j \neq k \rightarrow \neg P (nnth nell j))) \end{aligned}$$

using *nfilter-nlength-zero-conv-a*[of *nell P*] *nfilter-nlength-zero-conv-b*[of *nell P*]

by *blast*

lemma *nfilter-nlength-zero-conv-1*:

$$((\exists x \in nset nell. P x) \wedge nlength(nfilter P nell) = 0) \longleftrightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\exists k \leq nlength nell. P (nnth nell k) \wedge \\ & \quad (\forall j \leq nlength nell. j < k \vee k < j \rightarrow \neg P (nnth nell j))) \end{aligned}$$

using *nfilter-nlength-zero-conv*[of *nell P*] *nfilter-nlength-zero-conv-c*[of *nell P*]

by *blast*

lemma *nfilter-nlength-zero-conv-2*:

$$((\exists x \in nset nell. P x) \wedge nlength(nfilter P nell) = 0) \longleftrightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\exists k \leq nlength nell. P (nnth nell k) \wedge \\ & \quad (\forall j. j < k \rightarrow \neg P (nnth nell j)) \wedge \\ & \quad (\forall j \leq nlength nell. k < j \rightarrow \neg P (nnth nell j))) \end{aligned}$$

using *nfilter-nlength-zero-conv-1*[of *nell P*] *nfilter-nlength-zero-conv-d*[of *nell P*]

by *blast*

```
lemma nfilter-ndropns-nmap-help-0:
assumes ∃ x ∈ nset nell. P x
      j ≤ nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) 0
shows (nfilter P (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) 0) nell)) = (nfilter P (ndropn j nell))
using assms
proof (induction j arbitrary: nell)
case 0
then show ?case
by (metis ndropn-0 nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn-1 zero-enat-def zero-le)
next
case (Suc j)
then show ?case
proof (cases nell)
case (NNil x1)
then show ?thesis
by simp
next
case (NCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis
proof -
have 1: is-NNil x22 ==>
      nfilter P (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) 0) nell) = nfilter P (ndropn (Suc j) nell)
  by (metis NCons Suc.prems(2) Suc-le-D ndropn-Suc-NCons ndropn-is-NNil)
have 2: P x21 ∧ ¬is-NNil x22 ==>
      nfilter P (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) 0) nell) = nfilter P (ndropn (Suc j) nell)
  using Suc NCons
  by simp
  (metis Suc.prems(1) dual-order.strict-trans1 nkfilter-not-before nnth-0 zero-less-Suc)
have 3: ¬P x21 ∧ ¬is-NNil x22 ==>
      nfilter P (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) 0) nell) = nfilter P (ndropn (Suc j) nell)
  using Suc NCons by (simp add: nkfilter-nleast)
show ?thesis
  using 1 2 3 by blast
qed
qed
qed
```

```
lemma nfilter-nappend-ntaken:
assumes ∃ x ∈ nset (ntaken k nell). P x
      k ≤ nlength nell
shows nfilter P (ntaken k nell) =
      ntaken (the-enat (nlength(nfilter P (ntaken k nell)))) (nfilter P nell)
using assms
apply transfer
proof auto
show ∀k nell P x.
  ¬ lnull nell ==>
  enat k ≤ epred (llength nell) ==>
```

```

 $x \in lset(ltake(enat(Suc k)) nell) \implies$ 
 $P x \implies$ 
 $\forall x \in lset nell. \neg P x \implies$ 
 $lfilter P (ltake(enat(Suc k)) nell) =$ 
 $ltake(enat(Suc(the-enat(epred(llength(lfilter P (ltake(enat(Suc k)) nell))))))) nell$ 
by (metis dual-order.strict-trans1 in-lset-conv-lnth llength-ltake lprefix-lnthD ltake-is-lprefix
min.cobounded2)

next
fix k
fix nell :: 'a llist
fix P
fix x
fix xa
assume a0:  $\neg lnull nell$ 
assume a1:  $enat k \leq epred(llength nell)$ 
assume a2:  $x \in lset(ltake(enat(Suc k)) nell)$ 
assume a3:  $P x$ 
assume a4:  $xa \in lset nell$ 
assume a5:  $P xa$ 
show  $lfilter P (ltake(enat(Suc k)) nell) =$ 
 $ltake(enat(Suc(the-enat(epred(llength(lfilter P (ltake(enat(Suc k)) nell))))))) (lfilter P nell)$ 
proof (cases k = epred(llength nell))
case True
then show ?thesis
proof –
have f1:  $eSuc(enat k) = llength nell$ 
by (simp add: True a0)
then have llength(lfilter P nell) ≠ 0
by (metis (no-types) a2 a3 eSuc-enat llength-eq-0 lnull-lfilter ltake-all order-refl)
then show ?thesis
using f1 by (metis True co.enat.exhaust-sel eSuc-enat enat-the-enat epred-le-epredI infinity-ileE
llength-lfilter-ile ltake-all order-refl)
qed
next
case False
then show ?thesis
proof –
have f1: llength nell ≠ 0
using a0 llength-eq-0 by blast
have g1:  $\neg lnull(lfilter P (ltake(enat(Suc k)) nell))$ 
by (meson a2 a3 lnull-lfilter)
then show ?thesis
using f1
proof –
have f1:  $enat k < epred(llength nell)$ 
using False a1 order.not-eq-order-implies-strict by blast
have f2:  $\forall e. e = 0 \vee e = eSuc(epred e)$ 
by (metis co.enat.exhaust-sel)
then have g2:  $enat(Suc k) < llength nell$ 
using f1 by (metis (no-types) Suc-ile-eq ‹llength nell ≠ 0› illess-Suc-eq)

```

```

then show ?thesis
using f2
using lfilter-lappend-ltake[of Suc k]
proof -
  have eSuc (enat k) = min (enat (Suc k)) (llength nell)
  by (metis <enat (Suc k) < llength nell> eSuc-enat min.strict-order-iff)
  then have eSuc (enat k) = llength (ltake (enat (Suc k)) nell)
  by simp
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis g1 g2 co.enat.exhaust-sel eSuc-enat eSuc-infinity enat-the-enat infinity-ileE
    lfilter-lappend-ltake llength-eq-0 llength-lfilter-ile)
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma nappend-nfilter-nfinite:
assumes  $\exists x \in nset(nellx). P x$ 
 $\exists x \in nset(nelly). P x$ 
 $nfinite nellx$ 
shows nfilter P (nappend nellx nelly) = nappend (nfilter P nellx) (nfilter P nelly)
using assms
by transfer auto

```

```

lemma nfilter-nappend-ndropn:
assumes  $\exists x \in nset(ndropn(Suc k) nell). P x$ 
 $\exists x \in nset(ntaken k nell). P x$ 
 $(Suc k) \leq nlength nell$ 
shows nfilter P (ndropn (Suc k) nell) =
  ndropn (the-enat (eSuc(nlength(nfilter P (ntaken k nell))))) (nfilter P nell)
proof -
  have 1: nfinite (nfilter P (ntaken k nell))
  by (metis Suc-ile-eq assms(3) dual-order.strict-implies-order enat-ile length-nfilter-le
    min.absorb1 nfinite-conv-nlength-enat ntaken-nlength)
  have 2: nfilter P nell = nappend (nfilter P (ntaken k nell)) (nfilter P (ndropn (Suc k) nell))
  using assms nappend-nfilter-nfinite[of (ntaken k nell) P (ndropn (Suc k) nell)]
    nappend-ntaken-ndropn[of k nell] nfinite-ntaken[of k nell] by simp
  have 3: ndropn (the-enat (eSuc(nlength(nfilter P (ntaken k nell))))) =
    (nappend (nfilter P (ntaken k nell)) (nfilter P (ndropn (Suc k) nell)))
    = (nfilter P (ndropn (Suc k) nell))
  by (metis 1 antisym-conv2 gr-zeroI ile-eSuc illess-Suc-eq less-imp-le ndropn-0
    ndropn-nappend3 nfinite-conv-nlength-enat one-enat-def plus-1-eSuc(2) plus-enat-simps(1)
    the-enat.simps zero-less-diff)
  show ?thesis
  by (simp add: 2 3)
qed

```

lemma *nkfilter-nappend-ntaken*:

assumes $\exists x \in nset (ntaken k nell). P x$
 $k \leq nlength nell$

shows $nkfilter P n (ntaken k nell) = ntaken (\text{the-enat} (nlength (nkfilter P n (ntaken k nell)))) (nkfilter P n nell)$

using *assms*

apply *transfer*

proof (*auto simp add: kfilter-not-lnull-conv kfilter-lnull-conv*)

show $\bigwedge k nell P n x.$
 $\neg lnull nell \implies$
 $enat k \leq epred (llength nell) \implies$
 $x \in lset (ltake (enat (Suc k)) nell) \implies$
 $P x \implies$
 $\forall x \in lset nell. \neg P x \implies$
 $kfilter P n (ltake (enat (Suc k)) nell) =$
 $ltake (enat (Suc (\text{the-enat} (epred (llength (kfilter P n (ltake (enat (Suc k)) nell))))))) (\text{iterates Suc } n)$

by (*meson lset-ltake subsetD*)

next

fix $ka :: nat$ **and** $nella :: 'a llist$ **and** $Pa :: 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $na :: nat$ **and** $x :: 'a$ **and** $xa :: 'a$

assume $a1: Pa x$

assume $a2: Pa xa$

assume $a3: xa \in lset nella$

assume $a4: x \in lset (ltake (enat (Suc ka)) nella)$

have $f5: \bigwedge e. e = enat 0 \vee eSuc (epred e) = e$

by (*metis (no-types) co.enat.exhaust-sel zero-enat-def*)

have $f6: \bigwedge as. min \infty (llength (as::'a llist)) = llength as$

by *simp*

have $f7: eSuc \infty = \infty$

by *simp*

have $f8: (enat (Suc (\text{the-enat} (epred (llength (kfilter Pa na (ltake (enat (Suc ka)) nella))))))) =$
 $(llength (kfilter Pa na (ltake (enat (Suc ka)) nella)))$

proof –

have $f1: \forall n. \neg \infty \leq enat n$

by (*meson infinity-ileE*)

have $\neg lnull (kfilter Pa na (ltake (enat (Suc ka)) nella))$

by (*metis (no-types) a1 a4 kfilter-not-lnull-conv*)

then show ?thesis

using $f1$

by (*metis co.enat.exhaust-sel dual-order.trans eSuc-enat eSuc-ile-mono enat-the-enat kfilter-llength llength-eq-0 llength-lfilter-ile llength-ltake min.cobounded1*)

qed

moreover

{ **assume** $llength nella \neq \infty$

then have $ltake (enat (Suc ka)) nella = nella \implies$
 $ltake (llength (lfilter Pa (ltake (enat (Suc ka)) nella))) (kfilter Pa na nella) =$
 $kfilter Pa na (ltake (enat (Suc ka)) nella) \wedge$
 $epred (llength (lfilter Pa (ltake (enat (Suc ka)) nella))) \neq \infty$

using $f7 f6 f5 a3 a2$ **by** (*metis (no-types) kfilter-llength llength-eq-0 llength-lfilter-ile lnull-lfilter ltake-all min.orderE not-le-imp-less not-less-iff-gr-or-eq zero-enat-def*)

moreover

```

{ assume ltake(enat(Suc ka)) nella ≠ nulla
  then have enat(Suc ka) < llength nulla ∧ min(enat(Suc ka))(llength nulla) ≠ ∞ ∨
    enat(Suc ka) < llength nulla ∧ llength(lfilter Pa(ltake(enat(Suc ka))nella)) ≠ eSuc ∞
  by (metis (no-types) enat-ord-code(4) ltake-all min.orderE not-le-imp-less not-less-iff-gr-or-eq) }
ultimately have enat(Suc ka) < llength nulla ∧ min(enat(Suc ka))(llength nulla) ≠ ∞ ∨
  enat(Suc ka) < llength nulla ∧ llength(lfilter Pa(ltake(enat(Suc ka))nella)) ≠ eSuc ∞ ∨
  ltake(llength(lfilter Pa(ltake(enat(Suc ka))nella)))(kfilter Pa na nulla) =
  kfilter Pa na(ltake(enat(Suc ka))nella) ∧
  epred(llength(lfilter Pa(ltake(enat(Suc ka))nella))) ≠ ∞
by fastforce }

ultimately show kfilter Pa na(ltake(enat(Suc ka))nella) =
  ltake(enat(Suc(the-enat(epred(llength(kfilter Pa na(ltake(enat(Suc ka))nella)))))))
  (kfilter Pa na nulla)
using f6 f5 a4 a1
kfilter-lappend-ltake[of(enat(Suc ka))nella Pa na]
by (metis enat-ord-code(4) kfilter-llength)
qed

lemma nfilter-ndropns-nmap-help-1:
assumes ∃ x ∈ nset nell. P x
  j ≤ nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) (Suc 0)
  nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) 0 < j
  (Suc 0) ≤ nlength(nfilter P nell)
shows (nfilter P(ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) (Suc 0))nell)) =
  (nfilter P(ndropn j nell))
using assms
proof
(induction j arbitrary: nell)
case 0
then show ?case
by blast
next
case (Suc j)
then show ?case
  proof (cases nell)
    case (NNil x1)
    then show ?thesis
    by auto
  next
  case (NCons x21 x22)
  then show ?thesis
    proof -
      have 1: is-NNil x22 ==>
        nfilter P(ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) (Suc 0))nell) =
        nfilter P(ndropn(Suc j)nell)
      by (metis NCons Suc.preds(2) Suc-le-D ndropn-Suc-NCons ndropn-is-NNil)
      have 2: P x21 ∧ ¬ is-NNil x22 ==>
        nfilter P(ndropn(nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) (Suc 0))nell) =
        nfilter P(ndropn(Suc j)nell)
      using Suc NCons
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

proof simp
assume a1:  $P\ x21 \wedge \neg is-NNil\ x22$ 
assume a2:  $enat\ (Suc\ 0) \leq nlength\ (nfilter\ P\ (NCons\ x21\ x22))$ 
assume a3:  $nell = NCons\ x21\ x22$ 
assume a4:  $Suc\ j \leq nnth\ (nkfilter\ P\ 0\ (NCons\ x21\ x22))\ (Suc\ 0)$ 
have f5:  $\forall as\ p\ a\ n. ((\exists a. (a::'a) \in nset\ as \wedge p\ a) \vee \neg p\ a) \vee$ 
     $nkfilter\ p\ n\ (NCons\ a\ as) = NNil\ n$ 
by (metis (no-types) nkfilter-NCons-a)
have f6:  $\forall as\ p\ n. (\forall a. (a::'a) \notin nset\ as \vee \neg p\ a) \vee$ 
     $nlength\ (nkfilter\ p\ n\ as) = nlength\ (nfilter\ p\ as)$ 
by (meson nkfilter-nlength)
have f7:  $\forall p\ as. (\forall a. (a::'a) \notin nset\ as \vee \neg p\ a) = (\forall a. a \notin nset\ as \vee \neg p\ a)$ 
by meson
have f8:  $nlength\ (nkfilter\ P\ 0\ (NCons\ x21\ x22)) =$ 
     $nlength\ (nfilter\ P\ (NCons\ x21\ x22))$ 
by (meson a1 nellist.set-intros(2) nkfilter-nlength)
have f9:  $\forall p\ as. (\forall a. (a::'a) \notin nset\ as \vee \neg p\ a) = (\forall a. a \notin nset\ as \vee \neg p\ a)$ 
by meson
have f10:  $(\exists a. a \in nset\ x22 \wedge P\ a) \longrightarrow$ 
     $nkfilter\ P\ 0\ (NCons\ x21\ x22) = NCons\ 0\ (nkfilter\ P\ (Suc\ 0)\ x22)$ 
using a1 by (simp add: Bex-def/raw)
then have f11:  $(\exists a. a \in nset\ x22 \wedge P\ a) \longrightarrow$ 
     $nnth\ (nkfilter\ P\ (Suc\ 0)\ x22)\ 0 - Suc\ 0 = nnth\ (nkfilter\ P\ 0\ x22)\ 0$ 
using f9 f8 f7 a2 by (metis Suc-ile-eq illess-Suc-eq nkfilter-nnth-n-zero nlength-NCons)
have f14:  $j < nnth\ (nkfilter\ P\ 0\ (NCons\ x21\ x22))\ (Suc\ 0)$ 
using a4 Suc-le-eq by blast
have  $\exists a. a \in nset\ x22 \wedge P\ a$ 
using f8 f5 a2 a1 by (metis Suc-ile-eq gr-implies-not-zero nlength-NNil)
then have  $(\exists a. a \in nset\ x22 \wedge P\ a) \wedge nfilter\ P\ (ndropn\ (nnth\ (nkfilter\ P\ 0\ x22)\ 0)\ x22) =$ 
     $nfilter\ P\ (ndropn\ j\ x22)$ 
using f14 f11 a4
proof -
have  $j \leq nnth\ (nkfilter\ P\ 0\ x22)\ 0$ 
using  $\langle \exists a. a \in nset\ x22 \wedge P\ a \rangle$  f10 f11 f14 by fastforce
then show ?thesis
by (simp add: Bex-def/raw  $\langle \exists a. a \in nset\ x22 \wedge P\ a \rangle$  nfilter-ndropns-nmap-help-0)
qed
then show  $nfilter\ P\ (ndropn\ (nnth\ (nkfilter\ P\ 0\ (NCons\ x21\ x22))\ (Suc\ 0))\ (NCons\ x21\ x22)) =$ 
     $nfilter\ P\ (ndropn\ j\ x22)$ 
using f11 a4
by (metis One-nat-def Suc-le-D diff-Suc-1 f10 ndropn-Suc-NCons nnth-Suc-NCons)
qed
have 3:  $\neg P\ x21 \wedge \neg is-NNil\ x22 \Longrightarrow$ 
     $nfilter\ P\ (ndropn\ (nnth\ (nkfilter\ P\ 0\ nell))\ (Suc\ 0))\ nell =$ 
     $nfilter\ P\ (ndropn\ (Suc\ j))\ nell$ 
using Suc NCons
proof simp
assume a1:  $\bigwedge nell. [\exists a \in nset\ nell. P\ a; j \leq nnth\ (nkfilter\ P\ 0\ nell))\ (Suc\ 0);$ 
     $nnth\ (nkfilter\ P\ 0\ nell)\ 0 < j; enat\ (Suc\ 0) \leq nlength\ (nfilter\ P\ nell)] \Longrightarrow$ 
     $nfilter\ P\ (ndropn\ (nnth\ (nkfilter\ P\ 0\ nell))\ (Suc\ 0))\ nell =$ 

```

```

nfilter P (ndropn j nell)
assume a2: enat (Suc 0) ≤ nlength (nfilter P x22)
assume a3: Suc j ≤ nnth (nkfilter P (Suc 0) x22) (Suc 0)
assume a4: ∃ x∈nset x22. P x
assume a5: nnth (nkfilter P (Suc 0) x22) 0 < Suc j
have f12: nnth (nkfilter P 0 x22) (Suc 0) =
  ( (nnth (nkfilter P (Suc 0) x22) (Suc 0)) - (Suc 0))
using nkfilter-nnth-n-zero[of x22 P Suc 0 Suc 0 ]
by (simp add: a2 a4 nkfilter-nlength)
then have f13: j ≤ nnth (nkfilter P 0 x22) (Suc 0)
using a3 by linarith
have f14: enat 0 ≤ nlength (nfilter P x22)
using a2 by (metis One-nat-def one-enat-def order.trans zero-enat-def zero-le-one)
have f15: nlength (nkfilter P (Suc 0) x22) = nlength (nfilter P x22)
by (simp add: a4 nkfilter-nlength)
then have Suc 0 ≤ nnth (nkfilter P (Suc 0) x22) 0
by (simp add: a4 f14 nkfilter-lowerbound)
then have Suc (nnth (nkfilter P 0 x22) 0) ≤ j
by (metis a4 a5 add-Suc_leD nkfilter-nleast not-less-eq-eq)
then have nfilter P (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 x22) (Suc 0)) x22) =
  nfilter P (ndropn j x22)
by (simp add: Suc.IH Suc-le-lessD a2 a4 f13)
then show nfilter P (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P (Suc 0) x22) (Suc 0)) (NCons x21 x22)) =
  nfilter P (ndropn j x22)
using ndropn-Suc-NCons
by (metis One-nat-def a2 a4 f12 f15 le-add-diff-inverse nkfilter-lowerbound plus-1-eq-Suc)
qed
show ?thesis
  using 1 2 3 by blast
qed
qed
qed
```

```

lemma nfilter-ndropns-nmap-help-j:
assumes ∃ x ∈ nset nell. P x
  j ≤ nnth(nkfilter P 0 nell) (Suc i)
  nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i < j
  (Suc i) ≤ nlength(nfilter P nell)
shows  (nfilter P (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) (Suc i)) nell)) =
  (nfilter P (ndropn j nell)))
using assms
proof (induction j arbitrary: nell i)
case 0
then show ?case
by blast
next
case (Suc j)
then show ?case
proof (cases nell)
case (NNil x1)
```

```

then show ?thesis
by simp
next
case (NCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis
proof -
have 1: is-NNil x22  $\implies$ 
    nfilter P (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) (Suc i)) nell) =
    nfilter P (ndropn (Suc j) nell)
by (metis NCons Suc.prems(2) Suc-le-D ndropn-Suc-NCons ndropn-is-NNil)
have 2:  $\neg$  is-NNil x22  $\wedge$  i = 0  $\implies$ 
    nfilter P (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) (Suc i)) nell) =
    nfilter P (ndropn (Suc j) nell)
using Suc nfilter-ndropns-nmap-help-1[of nell P] by metis
have 3: P x21  $\wedge$   $\neg$  is-NNil x22  $\wedge$  0 < i  $\implies$ 
    nfilter P (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) (Suc i)) nell) = nfilter P (ndropn (Suc j) nell)
using Suc NCons
proof simp
assume a1:  $\bigwedge$  nell i.  $\exists a \in nset nell. P a; j \leq nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) (Suc i);$ 
    nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) i < j; enat (Suc i)  $\leq$  nlength (nfilter P nell)  $\implies$ 
    nfilter P (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 nell) (Suc i)) nell) =
    nfilter P (ndropn j nell)
assume a2: P x21  $\wedge$   $\neg$  is-NNil x22  $\wedge$  0 < i
assume a3: enat (Suc i)  $\leq$  nlength (nfilter P (NCons x21 x22))
assume a4: nell = NCons x21 x22
assume a5: Suc j  $\leq$  nnth (nkfilter P 0 (NCons x21 x22)) (Suc i)
assume a6: nnth (nkfilter P 0 (NCons x21 x22)) i < Suc j
show nfilter P (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 (NCons x21 x22)) (Suc i)) (NCons x21 x22)) =
    nfilter P (ndropn j x22)
proof -
have f0:  $\exists a \in nset x22. P a$ 
by (metis a2 a3 dual-order.antisym enat.inject nat.distinct(1) nfilter-NCons-a
    nlength-NNil zero-enat-def zero-le)
have f1: nlength (nkfilter P 0 (NCons x21 x22)) = nlength (nfilter P (NCons x21 x22))
using a4 by (metis (no-types) Suc(2) nkfilter-nlength)
have f2: nkfilter P 0 (NCons x21 x22) = NCons 0 (nkfilter P (Suc 0) x22)
by (metis a2 a3 dual-order.antisym enat.inject f1 nat.distinct(1) nkfilter-NCons
    nkfilter-NCons-a nlength-NNil zero-enat-def zero-le)
have f3: nnth (nkfilter P 0 (NCons x21 x22)) (Suc i) =
    nnth ((nkfilter P (Suc 0) x22)) (i)
by (simp add: f2)
have f4: enat i  $\leq$  nlength (nkfilter P (Suc 0) x22)
by (metis Suc-ile-eq a3 f1 f2 iless-Suc-eq nlength-NCons)
have f5: nnth ((nkfilter P (Suc 0) x22)) (i) = (Suc 0) + nnth ((nkfilter P 0 x22)) (i)
using nkfilter-nnth-n-zero[of x22 P i Suc 0]
using a5 f0 f3 f4 by linarith
have f6: ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 (NCons x21 x22)) (Suc i)) (NCons x21 x22) =
    ndropn ((Suc 0) + nnth ((nkfilter P 0 x22)) (i)) (NCons x21 x22)
using f3 f5 by presburger
have f7: ndropn ((Suc 0) + nnth ((nkfilter P 0 x22)) (i)) (NCons x21 x22) =

```

```

ndropn (nth ( (nkfilter P 0 x22)) (i)) x22
using ndropn-Suc-NCons by auto
have f8:  $j \leq \text{nth} (\text{nkfilter } P \ 0 \ x22) \ (i)$ 
  using a5 f3 f5 by linarith
have f11:  $\text{nth} (\text{nkfilter } P \ 0 \ (\text{NCons} \ x21 \ x22)) \ i = \text{nth} (\ ( \text{nkfilter } P \ (\text{Suc} \ 0) \ x22)) \ (i-1)$ 
  by (metis One-nat-def Suc-pred a2 f2 nth-Suc-NCons)
have f12:  $\text{enat} (i - 1) \leq \text{nlength} (\text{nkfilter } P \ (\text{Suc} \ 0) \ x22)$ 
  by (metis One-nat-def Suc-ile-eq Suc-pred a2 f4 less-imp-le)
have f13:  $(\text{Suc} \ 0) \leq \text{nth} (\ ( \text{nkfilter } P \ (\text{Suc} \ 0) \ x22)) \ (i-1)$ 
  by (meson f0 f12 nkfilter-lowerbound)
have f14:  $\text{nth} (\ ( \text{nkfilter } P \ (\text{Suc} \ 0) \ x22)) \ (i-1) = (\text{Suc} \ 0) + \text{nth} (\ ( \text{nkfilter } P \ 0 \ x22)) \ (i-1)$ 
  using nkfilter-nth-n-zero[of x22 P i-1 Suc 0 ] f12 f0 f13 by (metis le-add-diff-inverse)
have f9:  $\text{nth} (\text{nkfilter } P \ 0 \ x22) \ (i-1) < j$ 
  using a6 f11 f14 by linarith
have f10:  $i \leq \text{nlength} (\text{nfilter } P \ x22)$ 
  by (metis f0 f4 nkfilter-nlength)
show ?thesis using a1[of x22 i-1]
  by (metis Suc-diff-1 a2 f0 f10 f3 f5 f7 f8 f9)
qed
qed
have 4:  $\neg P \ x21 \wedge \neg \text{is-NNil} \ x22 \wedge 0 < i \implies$ 
   $\text{nfilter } P \ (\text{ndropn} (\text{nth} (\text{nkfilter } P \ 0 \ nell) \ (\text{Suc} \ i)) \ nell) =$ 
   $\text{nfilter } P \ (\text{ndropn} (\text{Suc} \ j) \ nell)$ 
using Suc NCons
proof simp
assume a0:  $\neg P \ x21 \wedge \neg \text{is-NNil} \ x22 \wedge 0 < i$ 
assume a2:  $\bigwedge \text{nell} \ i. [\exists a \in \text{nset} \ nell. P \ a; j \leq \text{nth} (\text{nkfilter } P \ 0 \ nell) \ (\text{Suc} \ i);$ 
   $\text{nth} (\text{nkfilter } P \ 0 \ nell) \ i < j; \text{enat} (\text{Suc} \ i) \leq \text{nlength} (\text{nfilter } P \ nell)] \implies$ 
   $\text{nfilter } P \ (\text{ndropn} (\text{nth} (\text{nkfilter } P \ 0 \ nell) \ (\text{Suc} \ i)) \ nell) =$ 
   $\text{nfilter } P \ (\text{ndropn} \ j \ nell)$ 
assume a1:  $\exists x \in \text{nset} \ x22. P \ x$ 
assume a4:  $\text{Suc} \ j \leq \text{nth} (\text{nkfilter } P \ (\text{Suc} \ 0) \ x22) \ (\text{Suc} \ i)$ 
assume a5:  $\text{nth} (\text{nkfilter } P \ (\text{Suc} \ 0) \ x22) \ i < \text{Suc} \ j$ 
assume a3:  $\text{enat} (\text{Suc} \ i) \leq \text{nlength} (\text{nfilter } P \ x22)$ 
assume a6:  $\text{nell} = \text{NCons} \ x21 \ x22$ 
show nfilter P (ndropn (nth (nkfilter P (Suc 0) x22) (Suc i)) (NCons x21 x22)) =
  nfilter P (ndropn j x22)
proof -
have f1:  $\text{nlength} (\text{nkfilter } P \ 0 \ (\text{NCons} \ x21 \ x22)) = \text{nlength} (\text{nfilter } P \ (\text{NCons} \ x21 \ x22))$ 
  by (metis NCons Suc.prems(1) nkfilter-nlength)
have f2:  $\text{nkfilter } P \ 0 \ (\text{NCons} \ x21 \ x22) = (\text{nkfilter } P \ (\text{Suc} \ 0) \ x22)$ 
  using NCons Suc.prems(2) a1 a5 by auto
have f3:  $\text{nth} (\text{nkfilter } P \ 0 \ (\text{NCons} \ x21 \ x22)) \ (\text{Suc} \ i) =$ 
   $\text{nth} (\text{nkfilter } P \ (\text{Suc} \ 0) \ x22) \ (\text{Suc} \ i)$ 
  by (simp add: f2)
have f4:  $\text{enat} (\text{Suc} \ i) \leq \text{nlength} (\text{nkfilter } P \ (\text{Suc} \ 0) \ x22)$ 
  using NCons Suc.prems(4) f1 f2 by auto
have f5:  $\text{nth} (\ ( \text{nkfilter } P \ (\text{Suc} \ 0) \ x22)) \ (\text{Suc} \ i) = (\text{Suc} \ 0) + \text{nth} (\ ( \text{nkfilter } P \ 0 \ x22)) \ (\text{Suc} \ i)$ 
  by (metis One-nat-def Suc-ile-D a1 a4 diff-Suc-1 f4 nkfilter-nth-n-zero plus-1-eq-Suc)
have f6:  $(\text{ndropn} (\text{nth} (\text{nkfilter } P \ (\text{Suc} \ 0) \ x22)) \ (\text{Suc} \ i)) \ (\text{NCons} \ x21 \ x22) =$ 

```

```

ndropn ( (Suc 0) +nnth ( (nkfilter P 0 x22)) (Suc i)) (NCons x21 x22)
by (simp add: f5)
have f7: ndropn ( (Suc 0) +nnth ( (nkfilter P 0 x22)) (Suc i)) (NCons x21 x22) =
    ndropn ( nnth ( (nkfilter P 0 x22)) (Suc i)) (x22)
by simp
have f8: j ≤ nnth (nkfilter P 0 x22) (Suc i)
using a4 f5 by force
have f11: nnth (nkfilter P 0 (NCons x21 x22)) i = nnth ( (nkfilter P (Suc 0) x22)) (i)
by (simp add: f2)
have f12: enat (i) ≤ nlength (nkfilter P (Suc 0) x22)
using Suc-ile-eq f4 by auto
have f13: (Suc 0) ≤ nnth ( (nkfilter P (Suc 0) x22)) (i)
by (simp add: a1 f12 nkfilter-lowerbound)
have f14: nnth ( (nkfilter P (Suc 0) x22)) (i) = (Suc 0) +nnth ( (nkfilter P 0 x22)) (i)
by (metis a1 f12 f13 le-add-diff-inverse nkfilter-nnth-n-zero)
have f9: nnth (nkfilter P 0 x22) (i) < j
using a5 f14 by auto
show ?thesis
using Suc.IH a1 a3 f6 f7 f8 f9 by presburger
qed
qed
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 4 by fastforce
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma nfilter-ndropns-nmap:

assumes $\exists x \in \text{nset}(\text{ndropns nell}). P x$

$$(\text{Suc } i) \leq \text{nlength}(\text{nfilter } P (\text{ndropns nell}))$$

shows $\text{ndropn} (\text{Suc } i) (\text{nmap} (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0) (\text{nfilter } P (\text{ndropns nell}))) =$

$$(\text{nmap} (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)$$

$$(\text{nfilter } P (\text{ndropns} (\text{ndropn} (\text{Suc} (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 (\text{ndropns nell})) i)) \text{nell}))))$$

proof –

have 1: $\text{ndropn} (\text{Suc } i) (\text{nmap} (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0) (\text{nfilter } P (\text{ndropns nell}))) =$

$$\text{nmap} (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0) (\text{ndropn} (\text{Suc } i) (\text{nfilter } P (\text{ndropns nell})))$$

by (simp add: ndropn-nmap)

have 2: $(\text{Suc} (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 (\text{ndropns nell})) i)) \leq \text{nlength} (\text{ndropns nell})$

using assms nkfilter-nkfilter-ntaken[of (ndropns nell) P i]

by (metis Suc-ile-eq antisym-conv2 less-imp-le min.orderE nkfilter-nlength not-le-imp-less ntaken-all ntaken-nlength)

have 3: $(\text{nfilter } P (\text{ndropns} (\text{ndropn} (\text{Suc} (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 (\text{ndropns nell})) i)) \text{nell})))) =$

$$(\text{nfilter } P (\text{ndropn} (\text{Suc} (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 (\text{ndropns nell})) i)) (\text{ndropns nell})))$$

by (simp add: 2 ndropn-ndropns)

have 4: $(\text{ndropn} (\text{Suc } i) (\text{nfilter } P (\text{ndropns nell}))) =$

$$(\text{nfilter } P (\text{ndropn} (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 (\text{ndropns nell}))) (\text{Suc } i)) (\text{ndropns nell})))$$

by (simp add: assms(1) assms(2) nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn-1 nkfilter-nlength)

have 5: $(\text{Suc} (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 (\text{ndropns nell})) i)) \leq (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } P 0 (\text{ndropns nell})) (\text{Suc } i))$

by (simp add: Suc-leI assms(1) assms(2) nidx-nkfilter-expand nkfilter-nlength)

have 6: $i < \text{nlength}(\text{nfilter } P (\text{ndropns nell}))$

```

using Suc-ile-eq assms(2) by blast
have 7: nnth (nkfilter P 0 (ndropns nell)) i < (Suc (nnth (nkfilter P 0 (ndropns nell)) i))
  by simp
have 8: (nfilter P (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 (ndropns nell)) (Suc i)) (ndropns nell))) =
  (nfilter P (ndropn (Suc (nnth (nkfilter P 0 (ndropns nell)) i)) (ndropns nell)))
using 5 6 7 assms
nfilter-ndropns-nmap-help-j[of ndropns nell P (Suc (nnth (nkfilter P 0 (ndropns nell)) i)) i]
  by blast
show ?thesis
using 1 3 4 8 by auto
qed

```

```

lemma ndropns-nfilter-nnth-exist-ndropn:
assumes  $\exists x \in nset (ndropns nell). P x$ 
 $j \leq nlength (nfilter P (ndropns nell))$ 
shows ( $\exists i. enat i \leq nlength nell \wedge nnth (nfilter P (ndropns nell)) j = ndropn i nell$ )
proof –
have 1: nnth (nfilter P (ndropns nell)) j  $\in nset (ndropns nell)$ 
  using assms in-nset-conv-nnth nfilter-nnth by fastforce
show ?thesis using 1 using in-nset-ndropns by blast
qed

```

```

lemma nfilter-ndropns-nnth-bound:
assumes ( $\exists ys \in nset (ndropns xs). P ys$ )
 $j \leq nlength (nfilter P (ndropns xs))$ 
shows  $nlength ((nnth (nfilter P (ndropns xs)) j)) \leq nlength xs$ 
using assms ndropns-nfilter-nnth-exist-ndropn[of xs P j]
by (metis add.commute enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same enat-le-plus-same(1) less-eqE ndropn-nlength)

```

```

lemma ndropns-nfilter-ndropn:
assumes ( $Suc k \leq nlength nell$ )
 $\exists x \in nset (ndropns (ndropn (Suc k) nell)). P x$ 
 $\exists x \in nset (ntaken k (ndropns nell)). P x$ 
shows (nfilter P (ndropns (ndropn (Suc k) nell))) =
  (ndropn (the-enat (eSuc(nlength(nfilter P (ntaken k (ndropns nell))))))) (nfilter P (ndropns nell)))
using assms
ndropn-ndropns[of Suc k nell] ndropns-nlength[of nell] nfilter-nappend-ndropn[of k ndropns nell P]
by simp

```

```

lemma ndropns-nfilter-ndropn-a:
assumes  $k \leq nlength (nfilter P (ndropns nell))$ 
 $\exists x \in nset (ndropns nell). P x$ 
shows ndropn k (nfilter P (ndropns nell)) =
  nfilter P (ndropns (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter P 0 (ndropns nell)) k) nell))
using assms ndropn-ndropns nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn-1[of ndropns nell P k]
nkfilter-upperbound[of ndropns nell P k 0]
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) add.left-neutral nkfilter-nlength zero-enat-def)

```

```

lemma nfilter-nlength-imp:
assumes  $\exists x \in nset nell. P x \wedge Q x$ 

```

shows $nlength(nfilter(\lambda x. P x \wedge Q x) nell) \leq nlength(nfilter P nell)$
using assms by transfer (auto simp add: lfilter-nlength-imp epred-le-epredI)

lemma nkfilter-chop:
assumes $nlast nellx = nfirst nelly$
 $P(nlast nellx)$
 $nfinite nellx$
shows $nkfilter P k (nfuse nellx nelly) =$
 $nfuse(nkfilter P k nellx) (nkfilter P (k + (the-enat(nlength nellx))) nelly)$
using assms
proof (auto simp add: nfuse-def1)
show $nlast nellx = nfirst nelly \Rightarrow$
 $P(nfirst nelly) \Rightarrow$
 $nfinite nellx \Rightarrow$
 $is-NNil nelly \Rightarrow$
 $\neg is-NNil(nkfilter P (k + the-enat(nlength nellx)) nelly) \Rightarrow$
 $nkfilter P k nellx = nappend(nkfilter P k nellx) (ntl(nkfilter P (k + the-enat(nlength nellx)) nelly))$
by transfer auto
show $nlast nellx = nfirst nelly \Rightarrow$
 $P(nfirst nelly) \Rightarrow$
 $nfinite nellx \Rightarrow \neg is-NNil nelly \Rightarrow$
 $is-NNil(nkfilter P (k + the-enat(nlength nellx)) nelly) \Rightarrow$
 $nkfilter P k (nappend nellx (ntl nelly)) = nkfilter P k nellx$
apply transfer
proof (auto simp add: kfilter-lappend-lfinitelappend-lnull2)
fix $nellxa :: 'a llist$ **and** $nellya :: 'a llist$ **and** $Pa :: 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $ka :: nat$ **and** $b :: nat$
assume $a1: (if lnull(kfilter Pa (ka + the-enat(epred(llength nellxa))) nellya)$
 $\quad \text{then iterates } Suc(ka + the-enat(epred(llength nellxa)))$
 $\quad \text{else } kfilter Pa (ka + the-enat(epred(llength nellxa))) nellya = LCons b LNil$
assume $a2: \neg lnull nellya$
assume $a3: Pa(lhd nellya)$
assume $a4: \neg lnull(kfilter Pa (ka + the-enat(llength nellxa)) (ltd nellya))$
have $f5: lnull(LNil::nat llist)$
using llength-LNil llength-eq-0 **by** blast
have $f6: nellya = LCons(lhd nellya) (ltd nellya)$
using $a2$ **by** auto
then have $Pa(lhd nellya)$
using $a3$ **by** auto
then have False
by (metis $a1 a2 a4 eq-LConsD f6 kfilter-code(2) kfilter-lnull-conv llength-LNil llength-eq-0 llist.setsel(1)$)
then show $lappend(kfilter Pa ka nellxa) (kfilter Pa (ka + the-enat(llength nellxa)) (ltd nellya)) = \text{iterates } Suc ka$
by meson
next
fix $nellxa :: 'b llist$ **and** $nellya :: 'b llist$ **and** $Pa :: 'b \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $ka :: nat$ **and** $b :: nat$
assume $a1: (if lnull(kfilter Pa (ka + the-enat(epred(llength nellxa))) nellya)$
 $\quad \text{then iterates } Suc(ka + the-enat(epred(llength nellxa)))$
 $\quad \text{else } kfilter Pa (ka + the-enat(epred(llength nellxa))) nellya = LCons b LNil$
assume $a2: \neg lnull nellya$
assume $a3: Pa(lhd nellya)$

```

assume a4:  $\neg \text{lnull} (\text{kfilter } Pa (\text{ka} + \text{the-enat} (\text{llength } \text{nella})) (\text{ltl } \text{nella}))$ 
have f5:  $\text{lnull} (\text{LNil}::\text{nat } \text{llist})$ 
using llength-LNil llength-eq-0 by blast
have f6:  $\text{nella} = \text{LCons} (\text{lhd } \text{nella}) (\text{ltl } \text{nella})$ 
using a2 by auto
then have Pa (lhd nella)
using a3 by auto
then have False
using f6 f5 a4 a1 by (metis kfilter-LCons kfilter-llength-n-zero llength-eq-0 ltl-simps(2) not-lnull-conv)
then show lappend (kfilter Pa ka nella) (kfilter Pa (ka + the-enat (llength nella)) (ltl nella)) =
    kfilter Pa ka nella
by meson
qed

next
show nlast nella = nfirst nella  $\Rightarrow$ 
  P (nfirst nella)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  nfinite nella  $\Rightarrow$ 
   $\neg \text{is-NNil } \text{nella} \Rightarrow$ 
   $\neg \text{is-NNil} (\text{nkfilter } P (\text{k} + \text{the-enat} (\text{nlength } \text{nella})) \text{nella}) \Rightarrow$ 
  nkfilter P k (nappend nella (ntl nella)) =
  nappend (nkfilter P k nella) (ntl (nkfilter P (k + the-enat (nlength nella)) nella))
apply transfer
proof (auto simp add: lappend-iterates kfilter-lnull-conv split;if-split-asm)
show f1: $\bigwedge_{\text{nella}} \text{nella} P \text{k } \text{x } \text{xa}.$ 
   $\neg \text{lnull } \text{nella} \Rightarrow$ 
   $\neg \text{lnull } \text{nella} \Rightarrow$ 
  nlast nella = lhd nella  $\Rightarrow$ 
  P (lhd nella)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  lfinite nella  $\Rightarrow$ 
   $\forall b. \text{nella} \neq \text{LCons } b \text{ LNil} \Rightarrow$ 
   $\forall b. \text{kfilter } P (\text{k} + \text{the-enat} (\text{epred} (\text{llength } \text{nella}))) \text{nella} \neq \text{LCons } b \text{ LNil} \Rightarrow$ 
   $x \in \text{lset } \text{nella} \Rightarrow P \text{x} \Rightarrow \forall x \in \text{lset } \text{nella}. \neg P \text{x} \Rightarrow P \text{xa} \Rightarrow \text{xa} \in \text{lset} (\text{ltl } \text{nella}) \Rightarrow$ 
  kfilter P k (lappend nella (ltl nella)) = iterates Suc k
by (metis in-lset-lappend-iff lappend-lbutlast-llast-id lbutlast-lfinite llist.set-intros(1))
next
show f2: $\bigwedge_{\text{nella}} \text{nella} P \text{k } \text{x } \text{xa } \text{xb}.$ 
   $\neg \text{lnull } \text{nella} \Rightarrow$ 
   $\neg \text{lnull } \text{nella} \Rightarrow$ 
  nlast nella = lhd nella  $\Rightarrow$ 
  P (lhd nella)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  lfinite nella  $\Rightarrow$ 
   $\forall b. \text{nella} \neq \text{LCons } b \text{ LNil} \Rightarrow$ 
   $\forall b. \text{kfilter } P (\text{k} + \text{the-enat} (\text{epred} (\text{llength } \text{nella}))) \text{nella} \neq \text{LCons } b \text{ LNil} \Rightarrow$ 
   $x \in \text{lset } \text{nella} \Rightarrow$ 
  P x  $\Rightarrow$ 
  xa  $\in \text{lset } \text{nella} \Rightarrow$ 
  P xa  $\Rightarrow$ 
  P xb  $\Rightarrow$ 
  xb  $\in \text{lset } \text{nella} \Rightarrow$ 
  kfilter P k (lappend nella (ltl nella)) =

```

```

lappend (kfilter P k nellx) (ltl (kfilter P (k + the-enat (epred (llength nellx))) nelly))
proof -
fix nellxa :: 'b llist and nellya :: 'b llist and Pa :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  ka :: nat and x :: 'b and xa :: 'b and xb :: 'b
assume a1:  $\neg$  lnull nellya
assume a2: Pa (lhd nellya)
assume a3: llast nellxa = lhd nellya
assume a4:  $\neg$  lnull nellxa
assume a5: lfinite nellxa
have f6: nellya = LCons (lhd nellya) (ltl nellya)
using a1 by auto
have f7: LCons (lhd nellya) (ltl nellya)  $\neq$  LNil  $\wedge$  lhd (LCons (lhd nellya) (ltl nellya)) = lhd nellya  $\wedge$ 
  ltl (LCons (lhd nellya) (ltl nellya)) = ltl nellya
by auto
then have f8: kfilter Pa (the-enat (epred (llength nellxa)) + ka) (LCons (lhd nellya) (ltl nellya)) =
  LCons (the-enat (epred (llength nellxa)) + ka) (kfilter Pa (Suc (the-enat (epred (llength nellxa)) + ka)) (ltl nellya))
using f6 a2 by (metis (no-types) kfilter-LCons)
have f9:  $\forall$  bs p n bsa.  $\neg$  lfinite (bs::'b llist)  $\vee$  kfilter p n (lappend bs bsa) =
  lappend (kfilter p n bs) (kfilter p (n + the-enat (llength bs)) bsa)
by (meson kfilter-lappend-lfinite)
have f10: lfinite (lbutlast nellxa)
using a5 by (meson lbutlast-lfinite)
have f11: lappend (kfilter Pa ka (lbutlast nellxa))
  (kfilter Pa (ka + the-enat (llength (lbutlast nellxa))) LNil) =
  kfilter Pa ka (lbutlast nellxa)
by simp
have LCons (the-enat (epred (llength nellxa)) + ka) LNil =
  kfilter Pa (the-enat (epred (llength nellxa)) + ka) (LCons (lhd nellya) LNil)
using a2 by simp
then have f12: lappend (lappend (kfilter Pa ka (lbutlast nellxa)))
  (kfilter Pa (ka + the-enat (llength (lbutlast nellxa))) LNil))
  (LCons (the-enat (epred (llength nellxa)) + ka) LNil) = kfilter Pa ka nellxa
using f11 f10 f9 a5 a4 a3 by (metis add.commute lappend-lbutlast-llast-id-lfinite llength-lbutlast)
have ltl (kfilter Pa (ka + the-enat (epred (llength nellxa))) nellya) =
  kfilter Pa (Suc (the-enat (epred (llength nellxa)) + ka)) (ltl nellya)
using f8 f6 by (simp add: add.commute)
then show kfilter Pa ka (lappend nellxa (ltl nellya)) =
  lappend (kfilter Pa ka nellxa) (ltl (kfilter Pa (ka + the-enat (epred (llength nellxa))) nellya))
using f12 f11 f10 f9 f8 f7 f6 a5 a4 a3
by (metis add.commute lappend-lbutlast-llast-id-lfinite lappend-snocL1-conv-LCons2 llength-lbutlast)
qed
show  $\bigwedge$  nellx nelly P k x xa xb.
   $\neg$  lnull nellx  $\implies$ 
   $\neg$  lnull nelly  $\implies$ 
  llast nellx = lhd nelly  $\implies$ 
  P (lhd nelly)  $\implies$ 
  lfinite nellx  $\implies$ 
   $\forall$  b. nelly  $\neq$  LCons b LNil  $\implies$ 
   $\forall$  b. kfilter P (k + the-enat (epred (llength nellx))) nelly  $\neq$  LCons b LNil  $\implies$ 

```

```

 $x \in lset nelly \implies$ 
 $P x \implies$ 
 $xa \in lset nellx \implies$ 
 $P xa \implies$ 
 $P xb \implies$ 
 $xb \in lset (ltl nelly) \implies$ 
 $kfilter P k (lappend nellx (ltl nelly)) =$ 
 $lappend (kfilter P k nellx) (ltl (kfilter P (k + the-enat (epred (llength nellx))) nelly))$ 
by (simp add: f2)
qed
qed

```

lemma nleast-conv:

```

assumes  $\exists x \in nset nellx. P x$ 
shows nleast  $P nellx = (\text{LEAST } na. na \leq nlength nellx \wedge P (nnth nellx na))$ 
using assms
by transfer
  (auto simp add: min-def lleast-def,
   metis co.enat.exhaustsel iless-Suc-eq llength-eq-0)

```

lemma nfilter-chop:

```

assumes nlast  $nellx = nfirst nelly$ 
 $P (nlast nellx)$ 
 $nfinite nellx$ 
shows nfilter  $P (nfuse nellx nelly) = nfuse (nfilter P nellx) (nfilter P nelly)$ 
proof (cases is-NNil nelly)
case True
then show ?thesis by (metis nfilter-NNil nfuse-def1 nfuse-leftneutral)
next
case False
then show ?thesis
  proof (cases is-NNil (nfilter P nelly))
  case True
  then show ?thesis unfolding nfuse-def1 using assms nfilter-nappend2[of ntl nelly P nellx]
    nfilter-expand[of nelly P]
    by (auto simp add: nfirst-def nellist.case-eq-if )
      (metis nellist.discI(2) nellist.setsel(2) nset-nlast)
next
case False
then show ?thesis
  proof -
    have 1:  $\exists x \in nset nellx. P x$ 
    using assms nset-nlast by blast
    have 2:  $\exists x \in nset (ntl nelly). P x$ 
    using False assms
    by (metis nellist.collapse(1) nellist.collapse(2) nellist.disc(1) nfilter-NCons-a
      nfilter-NNil nnth-0 ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast)
    have 3:  $\neg \text{is-NNil} (nfilter P nelly) \implies$ 
       $nfilter P (nappend nellx (ntl nelly)) = nappend (nfilter P nellx) (nfilter P (ntl nelly))$ 
      by (simp add: 1 2 assms(3) nappend-nfilter-nfinite)

```

```

have 4: is-NNil (nfilter P nelly)  $\implies$  nfilter P nellx = nappend (nfilter P nellx) (nfilter P (ntl nelly))
  by (simp add: False)
have 5: nfilter P (nfuse nellx nelly) = nfilter P (nappend nellx (ntl nelly))
  unfolding nfuse-def1
  by (metis (full-types) False nellist.collapse(1) nfilter-NNil)
have 6: (ntl (nfilter P nelly)) = (nfilter P (ntl nelly))
  using assms 2 False nfilter-expand[of nelly P]
  by (metis in-nset-ntlD nellist.case-eq-if nellist.sel(5) nfirst-def)
show ?thesis
  by (metis 3 5 6 False nfuse-def1)
qed
qed
qed
lemma nfilter-chop1:
assumes  $n \leq \text{nlength } \text{nellx}$ 
   $P (\text{nlast} (\text{ntaken } n \text{ nellx}))$ 
shows nfilter P nellx = nfuse (nfilter P (ntaken n nellx)) (nfilter P (ndropn n nellx))
using assms
by (metis nfuse-ntaken-ndropn ndropn-nfirst nfilter-chop nfinite-ntaken ntaken-nlast)
lemma nfilter-chop1-ntaken:
assumes  $n \leq \text{nlength } \text{nellx}$ 
   $P (\text{nlast} (\text{ntaken } n \text{ nellx}))$ 
shows ntaken (the-enat (nlength(nfilter P (ntaken n nellx)))) (nfilter P nellx) =
   $(\text{nfilter P (ntaken } n \text{ nellx}))$ 
using assms nfilter-nappend-ntaken by (metis nfinite-ntaken nset-nlast)
lemma nfilter-nlast:
assumes  $n \leq \text{nlength } \text{nellx}$ 
   $P (\text{nlast} (\text{ntaken } n \text{ nellx}))$ 
shows nlast(nfilter P (ntaken n nellx)) = (nlast(ntaken n nellx))
using assms
proof (induction n arbitrary: nellx)
case 0
then show ?case by simp
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
  proof (cases nellx)
    case (NNil x1)
    then show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case (NCons x21 x22)
    then show ?thesis using Suc
    by auto
    (metis Suc-ile-eq iless-Suc-eq nfilter-NCons nfinite-ntaken nlast-NCons nlenth-NCons
     nset-nlast ntaken-Suc-NCons)
qed

```

qed

lemma *nfilter-nfirst*:

assumes $P(\text{nfirst}(\text{ndropn } n \text{ nellx}))$

shows $\text{nfirst}(\text{nfilter } P(\text{ndropn } n \text{ nellx})) = (\text{nfirst}(\text{ndropn } n \text{ nellx}))$

using assms

proof (*induction n arbitrary: nellx*)

case 0

then show ?*case*

by (*auto simp add: ndropn-nfirst*)
(*metis nellist.set-intros(1) nfilter-NNil nfilter-nappend-ntaken nlast-NNil nlength-NNil ntaken-0 the-enat-0 zero-enat-def zero-le*)

next

case (*Suc n*)

then show ?*case*

by (*metis ndropn-ndropn plus-1-eq-Suc*)

qed

lemma *nfilter-chop1-ndropn*:

assumes $n \leq \text{nlength } \text{nellx}$
 $P(\text{nlast}(\text{ntaken } n \text{ nellx}))$

shows $\text{ndropn}(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nfilter } P(\text{ntaken } n \text{ nellx})))) (\text{nfilter } P \text{ nellx}) = (\text{nfilter } P(\text{ndropn } n \text{ nellx}))$

proof -

have 1: $(\text{nfilter } P \text{ nellx}) = \text{nfuse}(\text{nfilter } P(\text{ntaken } n \text{ nellx})) (\text{nfilter } P(\text{ndropn } n \text{ nellx}))$
by (*simp add: assms nfilter-chop1*)

have 2: $\text{nlast}(\text{nfilter } P(\text{ntaken } n \text{ nellx})) = \text{nfirst}(\text{nfilter } P(\text{ndropn } n \text{ nellx}))$
by (*metis assms(1) assms(2) ndropn-nfirst nfilter-nfirst nfilter-nlast ntaken-nlast*)

have 3: $\text{ndropn}(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nfilter } P(\text{ntaken } n \text{ nellx}))))$
 $(\text{nfuse}(\text{nfilter } P(\text{ntaken } n \text{ nellx})) (\text{nfilter } P(\text{ndropn } n \text{ nellx}))) = (\text{nfilter } P(\text{ndropn } n \text{ nellx}))$
by (*metis 2 assms(1) enat-the-enat infinity-ileE length-nfilter-le min-absorb1 ndropn-nfuse nfinite-conv-nlength-enat ntaken-nlength*)

show ?*thesis* **by** (*simp add: 1 3*)

qed

lemma *nkfilter-chop1*:

assumes (*enat n*) $\leq \text{nlength } \text{nellx}$
 $P(\text{nlast}(\text{ntaken } n \text{ nellx}))$

shows $\text{nkfilter } P k \text{ nellx} = \text{nfuse}(\text{nkfilter } P k(\text{ntaken } n \text{ nellx})) (\text{nkfilter } P(k+n)(\text{ndropn } n \text{ nellx}))$

using assms nfuse-ntaken-ndropn[of n nellx] nkfilter-chop[of (ntaken n nellx) (ndropn n nellx) P k]

by (*metis min.orderE ndropn-nfirst nfinite-ntaken ntaken-nlast ntaken-nlength the-enat.simps*)

lemma *nkfilter-nlast*:

assumes $n \leq \text{nlength } \text{nellx}$
 $P(\text{nlast}(\text{ntaken } n \text{ nellx}))$

shows $\text{nlast}(\text{nkfilter } P k(\text{ntaken } n \text{ nellx})) = k+n$

using assms

proof (*induction n arbitrary: k nellx*)

case 0

```

then show ?case by simp
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
proof (cases nellx)
case (NNil x1)
then show ?thesis
using Suc.prem(1) enat-0-iff(1) by auto
next
case (NCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis
using Suc
proof auto
assume a1: P (nlast (ntaken n x22))
assume a2:  $\bigwedge_{k \in \text{nellx}} \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \text{nellx} \Rightarrow P (\text{nlast } (\text{ntaken } n \text{ nellx})) \Rightarrow$ 
          nlast (nkfilter P k (ntaken n nellx)) = k + n
assume enat (Suc n)  $\leq e\text{Suc } (\text{nlength } x22)$ 
then have enat n < eSuc (nlength x22)
using Suc-ile-eq by blast
then have f3: enat n  $\leq \text{nlength } x22$ 
by (meson iless-Suc-eq)
have  $\exists a. a \in \text{nset } (\text{ntaken } n \text{ x22}) \wedge P a$ 
using a1 nfinite-ntaken nset-nlast by blast
then show nlast (nkfilter P k (NCons x21 (ntaken n x22))) = Suc (k + n)
using f3 a2 a1 by (simp add: Bex-def-raw)
qed
qed
qed

lemma nkfilter-nfirst:
assumes P (nfirst(ndropn n nellx))
shows nfirst(nkfilter P k (ndropn n nellx)) = k
using assms
proof (induction n arbitrary: k nellx)
case 0
then show ?case
by (metis enat-defs(1) nellist.set-intros(1) nkfilter-NNil nkfilter-nappend-ntaken nlength-NNil
      nnth-NNil ntaken-0 the-enat.simps zero-le)
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
proof (cases nellx)
case (NNil x1)
then show ?thesis using Suc
by (metis ndropn-ndropn plus-1-eq-Suc)
next
case (NCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis using Suc
by auto
qed

```

qed

lemma *nkfilter-chop1-ndropn*:
assumes $n \leq n\text{length } nellx$
 $P (nlast (ntaken n nellx))$
shows $\text{ndropn} (\text{the-enat} (n\text{length}(\text{nkfilter } P k (ntaken n nellx)))) (\text{nkfilter } P k nellx) =$
 $(\text{nkfilter } P (k+n) (\text{ndropn } n nellx))$
proof –
 have 1: $(\text{nkfilter } P k nellx) = \text{nfuse} (\text{nkfilter } P k (ntaken n nellx)) (\text{nkfilter } P (k+n) (\text{ndropn } n nellx))$
 by (*simp add: assms nkfilter-chop1*)
 have 2: $nlast(\text{nkfilter } P k (ntaken n nellx)) = \text{nfist} (\text{nkfilter } P (k+n) (\text{ndropn } n nellx))$
 by (*metis assms(1) assms(2) ndropn-nfirst nkfilter-nfirst nkfilter-nlast ntaken-nlast*)
 have 3: $\text{ndropn} (\text{the-enat} (n\text{length}(\text{nkfilter } P k (ntaken n nellx))))$
 $(\text{nfuse} (\text{nkfilter } P k (ntaken n nellx)) (\text{nkfilter } P (k+n) (\text{ndropn } n nellx))) =$
 $(\text{nkfilter } P (k+n) (\text{ndropn } n nellx))$
 by (*metis 2 assms(2) enat-the-enat infinity-ileE ndropn-nfuse nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nfinite-ntaken nlength-nkfilter-le nset-nlast*)
 show ?thesis **by** (*simp add: 1 3*)
qed

lemma *nkfilter-chop1-ntaken*:
assumes $n \leq n\text{length } nellx$
 $P (nlast (ntaken n nellx))$
shows $\text{ntaken} (\text{the-enat} (n\text{length}(\text{nkfilter } P k (ntaken n nellx)))) (\text{nkfilter } P k nellx) =$
 $(\text{nkfilter } P k (ntaken n nellx))$
proof –
 have 1: $(\text{nkfilter } P k nellx) = \text{nfuse} (\text{nkfilter } P k (ntaken n nellx)) (\text{nkfilter } P (k+n) (\text{ndropn } n nellx))$
 by (*simp add: assms nkfilter-chop1*)
 have 2: $nlast(\text{nkfilter } P k (ntaken n nellx)) = \text{nfist} (\text{nkfilter } P (k+n) (\text{ndropn } n nellx))$
 by (*metis assms(1) assms(2) ndropn-nfirst nkfilter-nfirst nkfilter-nlast ntaken-nlast*)
 have 3: $\text{ntaken} (\text{the-enat} (n\text{length}(\text{nkfilter } P k (ntaken n nellx))))$
 $(\text{nfuse} (\text{nkfilter } P k (ntaken n nellx)) (\text{nkfilter } P (k+n) (\text{ndropn } n nellx))) =$
 $(\text{nkfilter } P k (ntaken n nellx))$
 by (*metis 1 assms(1) assms(2) nfinite-ntaken nkfilter-nappend-ntaken nset-nlast*)
 show ?thesis **by** (*simp add: 1 3*)
qed

lemma *nfilter-nsubn*:
assumes $\text{enat } j \leq n\text{length } nellx$
 $P (nnth nellx j)$
 $\text{enat } i \leq n\text{length } nellx$
 $P (nnth nellx i)$
 $i \leq j$
 $\text{enat } ni = (n\text{length}(\text{nfilter } P (ntaken i nellx)))$
 $\text{enat } nj = (n\text{length}(\text{nfilter } P (ntaken j nellx)))$
shows $(\text{nfilter } P (nsubn nellx i j)) = (\text{nsubn} (\text{nfilter } P nellx) ni nj)$
proof –
 have 1: $(\text{nfilter } P (nsubn nellx i j)) = (\text{nfilter } P (ntaken (j-i) (\text{ndropn } i nellx)))$
 by (*simp add: nsubn-def1*)
 have 2: $(\text{nfilter } P (ntaken (j-i) (\text{ndropn } i nellx))) =$

```

ntaken (the-enat (nlength(nfilter P (ntaken (j-i) (ndropn i nellx)))))

(nfilter P (ndropn i nellx))
by (metis assms(2) assms(5) enat-ile le-add-diff-inverse2 linorder-le-cases nfilter-chop1-ntaken
ntaken-all ntaken-ndropn-nlast)
have 3: ntaken (the-enat (nlength(nfilter P (ntaken (j-i) (ndropn i nellx)))))

(nfilter P (ndropn i nellx)) =
ntaken (the-enat (nlength(nfilter P (ntaken (j-i) (ndropn i nellx)))))

(ndropn (the-enat (nlength(nfilter P (ntaken i nellx)))) (nfilter P nellx))
by (simp add: assms(3) assms(4) nfilter-chop1-ndropn ntaken-nlast)
have 4: ntaken (the-enat (nlength(nfilter P (ntaken (j-i) (ndropn i nellx)))))

(ndropn (the-enat (nlength(nfilter P (ntaken i nellx)))) (nfilter P nellx)) =
nsubn (nfilter P nellx)
(the-enat (nlength(nfilter P (ntaken i nellx))))
((the-enat (nlength(nfilter P (ntaken (j-i) (ndropn i nellx))))) + 
(the-enat (nlength(nfilter P (ntaken i nellx)))))
using ntaken-ndropn by blast
have 5: ((the-enat (nlength(nfilter P (ntaken (j-i) (ndropn i nellx))))) + 
(the-enat (nlength(nfilter P (ntaken i nellx))))) =
((the-enat (nlength(nfilter P (nsubn nellx i j)))) + 
(the-enat (nlength(nfilter P (ntaken i nellx)))))
using 1 by auto
have 6: ntaken j nellx = nfuse (ntaken i nellx) (nsubn nellx i j)
using nsubn-nfuse[of 0 i j nellx]
by (simp add: assms(1) assms(5) nsubn-def1)
have 7: nlength (nfilter P (nfuse (ntaken i nellx) (nsubn nellx i j))) =
(nlength (nfilter P (ntaken i nellx)) + nlength (nfilter P (nsubn nellx i j)))
by (simp add: assms(4) ndropn-nfirst nfilter-chop nfuse-nlength nsubn-def1 ntaken-nfirst ntaken-nlast)
have 70: nfinite (nfilter P (nfuse (ntaken i nellx) (nsubn nellx i j)))
by (metis 6 assms(1) assms(2) nfilter-chop1-ntaken nfinite-ntaken ntaken-nlast)
have 71: nfinite (nfilter P (ntaken i nellx))
by (metis assms(3) assms(4) nfilter-chop1-ntaken nfinite-ntaken ntaken-nlast)
have 72: nfinite (nsubn nellx i j)
by (simp add: nsubn-def1)
have 8: nj =
((the-enat (nlength(nfilter P (nsubn nellx i j)))) + ni)
by (metis 6 7 add.commute assms(6) assms(7) enat-le-plus-same(2) enat-the-enat infinity-ileE
plus-enat-simps(1) the-enat.simps)
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 4 8 by (metis assms(6) the-enat.simps)
qed

lemma nfilter-nsubn-zero:
assumes enat j ≤ nlength nellx
P (nnth nellx j)
shows (nfilter P (nsubn nellx 0 j)) =
(nsubn (nfilter P nellx)
  0
  (the-enat (nlength(nfilter P (ntaken j nellx)))))

)

```

```

using assms
by (simp add: nfilter-chop1-ntaken nsubn-def1 ntaken-nlast)

end

context includes lifting-syntax
begin
lemma ndropns-transfer2 [transfer-rule]:
  (nellist-all2 A ==> nellist-all2 (nellist-all2 A)) ndropns ndropns
unfolding rel-fun-def
by (auto intro: nellist-all2-ndropnsI )

end

end

```

4 Finite and Infinite ITL Semantics

```

theory Semantics
imports NELList-Extras HOL-TLA.Intensional
begin

```

This theory mechanises a *shallow* embedding of Finite and Infinite ITL using the *NELList* and *Intensional* theories.

4.1 Types of Formulas

To mechanise the Finite and Infinite ITL semantics, the following type abbreviations are used:

type-synonym '*a* intervals = '*a* nellist

type-synonym ('*a','*b*) formfun = '*a* intervals \Rightarrow '*b**

type-synonym '*a* formula = ('*a,bool*) formfun

type-synonym ('*a','*b*) stfun = '*a* \Rightarrow '*b**

type-synonym '*a* stpred = ('*a,bool*) stfun

instance

fun :: (type,type) world ..

instance

prod :: (type,type) world ..

instance

sum :: (type,type) world ..

instance

nellist :: (type) world ..

Pair, function, sum, and interval are instantiated to be of type class world. This allows use of the lifted Intensional logic for formulas, and standard logical connectives can therefore be used.

4.2 Semantics of ITL

The semantics of ITL is defined.

definition *skip-d* :: ('a :: world) formula
where *skip-d* \equiv ($\lambda s. \text{nlenth } s = (\text{enat } (\text{Suc } 0))$)

definition *chop-d* :: ('a :: world) formula \Rightarrow ('a :: world) formula \Rightarrow ('a :: world) formula
where *chop-d* $F1\ F2 \equiv$

$$(\lambda s. (\exists n \leq \text{nlenth } s. ((\text{ntaken } n s) \models F1) \wedge ((\text{ndropn } n s) \models F2)) \\ \vee (\neg \text{nfinite } s \wedge (s \models F1)))$$

)

definition *current-val-d* :: ('a :: world, 'b) stfun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) formfun
where *current-val-d* $f = (\lambda s. (\text{nfirst } s) \models f)$)

definition *next-val-d* :: ('a :: world, 'b) stfun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) formfun
where *next-val-d* $f \equiv$

$$(\lambda s. (\text{if } \text{nlenth } s \neq (\text{enat } 0) \text{ then } ((\text{nnth } s 1) \models f) \text{ else } (\epsilon (x :: 'b). x = x)))$$

definition *fin-val-d* :: ('a :: world, 'b) stfun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) formfun
where *fin-val-d* $f \equiv \lambda s. ((\text{if } \text{nfinite } s \text{ then } ((\text{nlast } s) \models f) \text{ else } (\epsilon (x :: 'b). x = x)))$

definition *penult-val-d* :: ('a :: world, 'b) stfun \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) formfun
where *penult-val-d* $f \equiv$

$$(\lambda s. (\text{if } \text{nfinite } s \text{ then } (\text{if } \text{nlenth } s \neq (\text{enat } 0) \text{ then } ((\text{nnth } s (\text{the-enat } (\text{epred } (\text{nlenth } s)))) \models f) \text{ else } (\epsilon (x :: 'b). x = x)) \text{ else } (\epsilon (x :: 'b). x = x)))$$

syntax

- <i>skip-d</i>	:: lift	((<i>skip</i>))
- <i>chop-d</i>	:: [lift, lift] \Rightarrow lift	((;-;) [84, 84] 83)
- <i>current-val-d</i>	:: lift \Rightarrow lift	((-\$) [100] 99)
- <i>next-val-d</i>	:: lift \Rightarrow lift	((-\$) [100] 99)
- <i>fin-val-d</i>	:: lift \Rightarrow lift	((!-) [100] 99)
- <i>penult-val-d</i>	:: lift \Rightarrow lift	((!-) [100] 99)
<i>TEMP</i>	:: lift \Rightarrow 'b	((<i>TEMP</i> -))

syntax (ASCII)

- <i>skip-d</i>	:: lift	((<i>skip</i>))
- <i>chop-d</i>	:: [lift, lift] \Rightarrow lift	((;-;) [84, 84] 83)
- <i>current-val-d</i>	:: lift \Rightarrow lift	((-\$) [100] 99)
- <i>next-val-d</i>	:: lift \Rightarrow lift	((-\$) [100] 99)

```

-fin-val-d    :: lift  $\Rightarrow$  lift      ((!-) [100] 99)
-penult-val-d :: lift  $\Rightarrow$  lift      ((-!) [100] 99)

```

translations

```

-skip-d        $\rightleftharpoons$  CONST skip-d
-chop-d        $\rightleftharpoons$  CONST chop-d
-current-val-d  $\rightleftharpoons$  CONST current-val-d
-next-val-d    $\rightleftharpoons$  CONST next-val-d
-fin-val-d    $\rightleftharpoons$  CONST fin-val-d
-penult-val-d  $\rightleftharpoons$  CONST penult-val-d
TEMP F         $\rightarrow$  (F:: (- intervals)  $\Rightarrow$  -)

```

4.3 Abbreviations

Some standard temporal abbreviations, with their concrete syntax.

definition *infinite-d* :: ('a ::world) formula
where *infinite-d* \equiv LIFT(#True;#False)

syntax

```
-infinite-d :: lift      (inf)
```

syntax (ASCII)

```
-infinite-d :: lift      (inf)
```

translations

```
-infinite-d  $\rightleftharpoons$  CONST infinite-d
```

definition *finite-d* :: ('a ::world) formula
where *finite-d* \equiv LIFT(\neg (inf))

syntax

```
-finite-d :: lift      (finite)
```

syntax (ASCII)

```
-finite-d :: lift      (finite)
```

translations

```
-finite-d  $\rightleftharpoons$  CONST finite-d
```

definition *schop-d* :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *schop-d* *F1 F2* \equiv LIFT((*F1* \wedge *finite*);*F2*)

definition *sometimes-d* :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *sometimes-d* *F* \equiv LIFT(*finite*;*F*)

definition *di-d* :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *di-d* *F* \equiv LIFT(*F*;#True)

definition *da-d* :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula

where $da-d F \equiv LIFT(finite; (F; \# True))$

definition $next-d :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula$
where $next-d F \equiv LIFT(skip; F)$

definition $prev-d :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula$
where $prev-d F \equiv LIFT(F; skip)$

syntax

-schop-d :: [lift, lift] $\Rightarrow lift ((- \sim -) [84, 84] 83)$
-sometimes-d :: lift $\Rightarrow lift ((\diamondsuit -) [88] 87)$
-di-d :: lift $\Rightarrow lift ((di -) [88] 87)$
-da-d :: lift $\Rightarrow lift ((da -) [88] 87)$
-next-d :: lift $\Rightarrow lift ((\circlearrowleft -) [88] 87)$
-prev-d :: lift $\Rightarrow lift ((\circlearrowright -) [88] 87)$

syntax (ASCII)

-schop-d :: [lift, lift] $\Rightarrow lift ((- schop -) [84, 84] 83)$
-sometimes-d :: lift $\Rightarrow lift ((<>-) [88] 87)$
-di-d :: lift $\Rightarrow lift ((di -) [88] 87)$
-da-d :: lift $\Rightarrow lift ((da -) [88] 87)$
-next-d :: lift $\Rightarrow lift ((next -) [88] 87)$
-prev-d :: lift $\Rightarrow lift ((prev -) [88] 87)$

translations

-schop-d $\equiv CONST schop-d$
-sometimes-d $\equiv CONST sometimes-d$
-di-d $\equiv CONST di-d$
-da-d $\equiv CONST da-d$
-next-d $\equiv CONST next-d$
-prev-d $\equiv CONST prev-d$

definition $df-d :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula$
where $df-d F \equiv LIFT(F \sim \# True)$

definition $sda-d :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula$
where $sda-d F \equiv LIFT(\# True \sim (F \sim \# True))$

definition $always-d :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula$
where $always-d F \equiv LIFT(\neg(\diamondsuit(\neg F)))$

definition $bi-d :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula$
where $bi-d F \equiv LIFT(\neg(di(\neg F)))$

definition $ba-d :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula$
where $ba-d F \equiv LIFT(\neg(da(\neg F)))$

definition $wnext-d :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula$

where $wnext-d F \equiv LIFT(\neg(\circ(\neg F)))$

definition $wprev-d :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula$
where $wprev-d F \equiv LIFT(\neg(prev(\neg F)))$

definition $more-d :: ('a::world) formula$
where $more-d \equiv LIFT(\circ(\# True))$

syntax

```
-df-d      :: lift ⇒ lift ((df -) [88] 87)
-sda-d     :: lift ⇒ lift ((sda -) [88] 87)
-always-d   :: lift ⇒ lift ((□ -) [88] 87)
-bi-d       :: lift ⇒ lift ((bi -) [88] 87)
-ba-d       :: lift ⇒ lift ((ba -) [88] 87)
-wnext-d    :: lift ⇒ lift ((wnext -) [88] 87)
-wprev-d    :: lift ⇒ lift ((wprev -) [88] 87)
-more-d     :: lift      ((more))
```

syntax (ASCII)

```
-df-d      :: lift ⇒ lift ((df -) [88] 87)
-sda-d     :: lift ⇒ lift ((sda -) [88] 87)
-always-d   :: lift ⇒ lift (([] -) [88] 87)
-bi-d       :: lift ⇒ lift ((bi -) [88] 87)
-ba-d       :: lift ⇒ lift ((ba -) [88] 87)
-wnext-d    :: lift ⇒ lift ((wnext -) [88] 87)
-wprev-d    :: lift ⇒ lift ((wprev -) [88] 87)
-more-d     :: lift      ((more))
```

translations

```
-df-d      ⇐ CONST df-d
-sda-d     ⇐ CONST sda-d
-always-d   ⇐ CONST always-d
-bi-d       ⇐ CONST bi-d
-ba-d       ⇐ CONST ba-d
-wnext-d    ⇐ CONST wnext-d
-wprev-d    ⇐ CONST wprev-d
-more-d     ⇐ CONST more-d
```

definition $bf-d :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula$
where $bf-d F \equiv LIFT(\neg(df(\neg F)))$

definition $sba-d :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula$
where $sba-d F \equiv LIFT(\neg(sda(\neg F)))$

definition $empty-d :: ('a::world) formula$
where $empty-d \equiv LIFT(\neg(more))$

definition *fmore-d* :: ('a::world) formula
where *fmore-d* \equiv LIFT(*more* \wedge *finite*)

definition *dm-d* :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *dm-d* *F* \equiv LIFT(#True;(*more* \wedge *F*))

syntax

-*bf-d* :: lift \Rightarrow lift ((*bf* -) [88] 87)
-*sba-d* :: lift \Rightarrow lift ((*sba* -) [88] 87)
-*empty-d* :: lift ((empty))
-*fmore-d* :: lift ((*fmore*))
-*dm-d* :: lift \Rightarrow lift ((*dm* -) [88] 87)

syntax (ASCII)

-*bf-d* :: lift \Rightarrow lift ((*bf* -) [88] 87)
-*sba-d* :: lift \Rightarrow lift ((*sba* -) [88] 87)
-*empty-d* :: lift ((empty))
-*fmore-d* :: lift ((*fmore*))
-*dm-d* :: lift \Rightarrow lift ((*dm* -) [88] 87)

translations

-*bf-d* \rightleftharpoons CONST *bf-d*
-*sba-d* \rightleftharpoons CONST *sba-d*
-*empty-d* \rightleftharpoons CONST *empty-d*
-*fmore-d* \rightleftharpoons CONST *fmore-d*
-*dm-d* \rightleftharpoons CONST *dm-d*

definition *bm-d* :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *bm-d* *F* \equiv LIFT(\neg (*dm*(\neg *F*)))

definition *init-d* :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *init-d* *F* \equiv LIFT((empty \wedge *F*);#True)

definition *fin-d* :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *fin-d* *F* \equiv LIFT(\square (empty \longrightarrow *F*))

definition *halt-d* :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *halt-d* *F* \equiv LIFT(\square (empty = *F*))

definition *initonly-d* :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *initonly-d* *F* \equiv LIFT(*bi*(empty = *F*))

definition *keep-d* :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *keep-d* *F* \equiv LIFT(*ba*(skip \longrightarrow *F*))

definition *yields-d* :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *yields-d* *F1 F2* \equiv LIFT(\neg (*F1*;(\neg *F2*)))

definition *syields-d* :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *syields-d* *F1 F2* \equiv LIFT($\neg(F1 \neg(F2))$)

definition *ifthenelse-d* :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula \Rightarrow 'a formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *ifthenelse-d* *F G H* \equiv LIFT((*F* \wedge *G*) \vee ($\neg F$ \wedge *H*))

syntax

- <i>bm-d</i>	:: lift \Rightarrow lift	((<i>bm</i> -) [88] 87)
- <i>init-d</i>	:: lift \Rightarrow lift	((<i>init</i> -) [88] 87)
- <i>fin-d</i>	:: lift \Rightarrow lift	((<i>fin</i> -) [88] 87)
- <i>halt-d</i>	:: lift \Rightarrow lift	((<i>halt</i> -) [88] 87)
- <i>initonly-d</i>	:: lift \Rightarrow lift	((<i>initonly</i> -) [88] 87)
- <i>keep-d</i>	:: lift \Rightarrow lift	((<i>keep</i> -) [88] 87)
- <i>yields-d</i>	:: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift	((- <i>yields</i> -) [88,88] 87)
- <i>syields-d</i>	:: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift	((- <i>syields</i> -) [88,88] 87)
- <i>ifthenelse-d</i>	:: [lift,lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift	((<i>if</i> _i - then - else -) [88,88,88] 87)

syntax (ASCII)

- <i>bm-d</i>	:: lift \Rightarrow lift	((<i>bm</i> -) [88] 87)
- <i>init-d</i>	:: lift \Rightarrow lift	((<i>init</i> -) [88] 87)
- <i>fin-d</i>	:: lift \Rightarrow lift	((<i>fin</i> -) [88] 87)
- <i>halt-d</i>	:: lift \Rightarrow lift	((<i>halt</i> -) [88] 87)
- <i>initonly-d</i>	:: lift \Rightarrow lift	((<i>initonly</i> -) [88] 87)
- <i>keep-d</i>	:: lift \Rightarrow lift	((<i>keep</i> -) [88] 87)
- <i>yields-d</i>	:: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift	((- <i>yields</i> -) [88,88] 87)
- <i>syields-d</i>	:: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift	((- <i>syields</i> -) [88,88] 87)
- <i>ifthenelse-d</i>	:: [lift,lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift	((<i>if</i> _i - then - else -) [88,88,88] 87)

translations

- <i>bm-d</i>	\Rightarrow CONST <i>bm-d</i>
- <i>init-d</i>	\Rightarrow CONST <i>init-d</i>
- <i>fin-d</i>	\Rightarrow CONST <i>fin-d</i>
- <i>halt-d</i>	\Rightarrow CONST <i>halt-d</i>
- <i>initonly-d</i>	\Rightarrow CONST <i>initonly-d</i>
- <i>keep-d</i>	\Rightarrow CONST <i>keep-d</i>
- <i>yields-d</i>	\Rightarrow CONST <i>yields-d</i>
- <i>syields-d</i>	\Rightarrow CONST <i>syields-d</i>
- <i>ifthenelse-d</i>	\Rightarrow CONST <i>ifthenelse-d</i>

definition *sfin-d* :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *sfin-d* *F* \equiv LIFT($\neg(\text{fin } (\neg F))$)

definition *ifthen-d* :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *ifthen-d* *F G* \equiv LIFT(*if*_i *F* then *G* else #True)

syntax

-ifthen-d :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift ((if_i - then -) [88,88] 87)
-sfin-d :: lift \Rightarrow lift ((sfin -) [88] 87)

syntax (ASCII)

-ifthen-d :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift ((if_i - then -) [88,88] 87)
-sfin-d :: lift \Rightarrow lift ((sfin -) [88] 87)

translations

-ifthen-d \Rightarrow CONST ifthen-d
-sfin-d \Rightarrow CONST sfin-d

definition *next-assign-d* :: ('a::world,'b) stfun \Rightarrow ('a,'b) formfun \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *next-assign-d* v e \equiv LIFT(v\$ = e)

definition *prev-assign-d* :: ('a::world,'b) stfun \Rightarrow ('a,'b) formfun \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *prev-assign-d* v e \equiv LIFT(finite \longrightarrow v! = e)

definition *always-eq-d* :: ('a::world,'b) stfun \Rightarrow ('a,'b) formfun \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *always-eq-d* v e \equiv λ s. s \models \Box (\\$v = e)

definition *temporal-assign-d* :: ('a::world,'b) stfun \Rightarrow ('a,'b) formfun \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *temporal-assign-d* v e \equiv λ s. s \models finite \longrightarrow !v = e

definition *gets-d* :: ('a::world,'b) stfun \Rightarrow ('a,'b) formfun \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *gets-d* v e \equiv λ s. s \models keep(*temporal-assign-d* v e)

definition *stable-d* :: ('a::world,'b) stfun \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *stable-d* v \equiv λ s. s \models gets-d v (current-val-d v)

definition *padded-d* :: ('a::world,'b) stfun \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *padded-d* v \equiv λ s. s \models (stable-d v);skip \vee empty

definition *padded-temp-assign-d* :: ('a::world,'b) stfun \Rightarrow ('a,'b) formfun \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *padded-temp-assign-d* v e \equiv λ s. s \models (*temporal-assign-d* v e) \wedge (*padded-d* v)

syntax

-next-assign-d :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift ((- := -) [50,51] 50)
-prev-assign-d :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift ((- =: -) [50,51] 50)
-always-eq-d :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift ((- \approx -) [50,51] 50)
-temporal-assign-d :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift ((- \leftarrow -) [50,51] 50)
-gets-d :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift ((- gets -) [50,51] 50)
-stable-d :: lift \Rightarrow lift ((stable -) [51] 50)
-padded-d :: lift \Rightarrow lift ((padded -) [51] 50)
-padded-temp-assign-d :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift ((- $<\sim$ -) [50,51] 50)

syntax (ASCII)

-next-assign-d :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift ((- := -) [50,51] 50)
-prev-assign-d :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift ((- =: -) [50,51] 50)

```

-always-eq-d      :: [lift,lift] ⇒ lift ((- alweqv -) [50,51] 50)
-temporal-assign-d :: [lift,lift] ⇒ lift ((- <-- -) [50,51] 50)
-gets-d          :: [lift,lift] ⇒ lift ((- gets -) [50,51] 50)
-stable-d        :: lift ⇒ lift      ((stable -) [51] 50)
-padded-d         :: lift ⇒ lift      ((padded -) [51] 50)
-padded-temp-assign-d :: [lift,lift] ⇒ lift ((- <~ -) [50,51] 50)

```

translations

```

-next-assign-d     ≈ CONST next-assign-d
-prev-assign-d    ≈ CONST prev-assign-d
-always-eq-d      ≈ CONST always-eq-d
-temporal-assign-d ≈ CONST temporal-assign-d
-gets-d           ≈ CONST gets-d
-stable-d         ≈ CONST stable-d
-padded-d         ≈ CONST padded-d
-padded-temp-assign-d ≈ CONST padded-temp-assign-d

```

lemmas *itl-def* = *skip-d-def* *chop-d-def* *current-val-d-def* *next-val-d-def* *fin-val-d-def* *penult-val-d-def*
infinite-d-def *finite-d-def* *schop-d-def* *sometimes-d-def* *di-d-def* *da-d-def* *next-d-def* *prev-d-def*
df-d-def *sda-d-def* *always-d-def* *bi-d-def* *ba-d-def* *wnext-d-def* *wprev-d-def* *more-d-def* *bf-d-def*
sba-d-def *empty-d-def* *fmore-d-def* *dm-d-def* *bm-d-def* *init-d-def* *fin-d-def* *halt-d-def* *initonly-d-def*
keep-d-def *yields-d-def* *syields-d-def* *ifthenelse-d-def* *sfin-d-def* *ifthen-d-def* *next-assign-d-def*
prev-assign-d-def *always-eq-d-def* *temporal-assign-d-def* *gets-d-def* *stable-d-def* *padded-d-def*
padded-temp-assign-d-def

4.4 Properties of Operators

The following lemmas show that above operators have the expected semantics.

lemma *skip-defs* :

$(w \models \text{skip}) = (\text{nlength } w = (\text{enat } 1))$

by (*simp add: itl-def*)

lemma *chop-defs* :

$(w \models F1 ; F2) =$

$(\exists n \leq \text{nlength } w. (\text{ntaken } n w \models F1) \wedge ((\text{ndropn } n w \models F2)))$
 $\vee (\neg \text{nfinite } w \wedge (w \models F1))$

)

by (*simp add: itl-def*)

lemma *yields-defs* :

$(w \models F1 \text{ yields } F2) =$

$((\forall n. (\text{ntaken } n w \models F1) \longrightarrow \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } w \longrightarrow (\text{ndropn } n w \models F2)) \wedge (\text{nfinite } w \vee (w \models \neg F1)))$

by (*simp add: itl-def*)

lemma *infinite-defs*:

$(w \models \text{inf}) = (\neg \text{nfinite } w)$

by (*simp add: itl-def*)

```

lemma finite-defs :
  ( $w \models \text{finite}$ ) = ( $\text{nfinite } w$ )
by (simp add: itl-def)

lemma schop-defs :
  ( $w \models F1 \frown F2$ ) =
  (
    ( $\exists n \leq \text{nlength } w. (\text{ntaken } n w) \models F1 \wedge (\text{ndropn } n w) \models F2$ )
  )
by (auto simp add: itl-def chop-defs finite-defs)

lemma syields-defs :
  ( $w \models F1 \text{ syields } F2$ ) =
  ( $\forall n. (\text{ntaken } n w) \models F1 \rightarrow \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } w \rightarrow ((\text{ndropn } n w) \models F2))$ )
by (simp add: itl-def)

lemma sometimes-defs :
  ( $w \models \diamond F$ ) = ( $\exists n \leq \text{nlength } w. (\text{ndropn } n w) \models F$ )
by (simp add: itl-def finite-defs chop-defs)

lemma always-defs :
  ( $w \models \Box F$ ) =
  ( $\forall n \leq \text{nlength } w. (\text{ndropn } n w) \models F)$ )
by (simp add: itl-def sometimes-defs)

lemma di-defs :
  ( $w \models \text{di } F$ ) =
  ( $(\exists n \leq \text{nlength } w. (\text{ntaken } n w) \models F) \vee (\neg \text{nfinite } w \wedge (w \models F))$ )
by (simp add: itl-def)

lemma df-defs :
  ( $w \models \text{df } F$ ) =
  ( $\exists n \leq \text{nlength } w. (\text{ntaken } n w) \models F)$ )
by (simp add: df-d-def schop-defs)

lemma bi-defs :
  ( $w \models \text{bi } F$ ) =
  ( $((\forall n \leq \text{nlength } w. (\text{ntaken } n w) \models F)) \wedge (\text{nfinite } w \vee (w \models F))$ )
by (simp add: itl-def di-defs)

lemma bf-defs :
  ( $w \models \text{bf } F$ ) =
  ( $\forall n \leq \text{nlength } w. (\text{ntaken } n w) \models F)$ )
by (simp add: bf-d-def df-defs)

lemma da-defs :
  ( $w \models \text{da } F$ ) =

```

```
( (exists n na. ( n+na ≤ nlength w ∧ ( (nsubn w n (n+ na)) ⊨ F)) ∨ (¬nfinite w ∧ ( (ndropn n w) ⊨ F))) )
```

proof

(auto simp add: itl-def chop-defs nsubn-def1)

show $\bigwedge n \text{ na.}$

enat n ≤ nlength w \implies

enat na ≤ nlength w – enat n \implies

$F (\text{ntaken na} (\text{ndropn n w})) \implies \exists n. (\exists na. \text{enat} (n + na) \leq \text{nlength w} \wedge F (\text{ntaken na} (\text{ndropn n w})))$

$\vee \neg \text{nfinite w} \wedge F (\text{ndropn n w})$

by (metis add-left-mono enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same le-iff-add plus-enat-simps(1))

next

fix n :: nat **and** na :: nat

assume a1: enat (n + na) ≤ nlength w

assume a2: F (ntaken na (ndropn n w))

have enat n ≤ nlength w

using a1 **by** (meson enat-ord-simps(1) le-iff-add order-subst2)

then show $\exists n. \text{enat} n \leq \text{nlength w} \wedge$

$((\exists na. \text{enat} na \leq \text{nlength w} – \text{enat} n \wedge F (\text{ntaken na} (\text{ndropn n w})))$
 $\vee \neg \text{nfinite w} \wedge F (\text{ndropn n w}))$

using a2 a1 **by** (metis (no-types) add-diff-cancel-left' enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat)

next

show $\bigwedge n. \neg \text{nfinite w} \implies$

$F (\text{ndropn n w}) \implies$

$\exists n. \text{enat} n \leq \text{nlength w} \wedge$

$((\exists na. \text{enat} na \leq \text{nlength w} – \text{enat} n \wedge F (\text{ntaken na} (\text{ndropn n w}))) \vee F (\text{ndropn n w}))$

by (meson enat-ile le-cases nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)

qed

lemma ba-defs :

$(w \models ba F) =$

$(\forall n na. (\text{enat} (n + na) \leq \text{nlength w} \longrightarrow ((\text{nsubn w n (n+na)}) \models F))$
 $\wedge (\text{nfinite w} \vee ((\text{ndropn n w}) \models F)))$

by (simp add: ba-d-def da-defs)

lemma sda-defs :

$(w \models sda F) =$

$(\exists n na. (n+na \leq \text{nlength w} \wedge ((\text{nsubn w n (n+na)}) \models F)))$

proof

(auto simp add: sda-d-def schop-defs nsubn-def1)

show $\bigwedge n \text{ na.}$

enat n ≤ nlength w \implies

enat na ≤ nlength w – enat n $\implies F (\text{ntaken na} (\text{ndropn n w})) \implies$

$\exists n na. \text{enat} (n + na) \leq \text{nlength w} \wedge F (\text{ntaken na} (\text{ndropn n w}))$

by (metis add-le-cancel-left enat-ord-simps(1) idiff-enat-enat le-add-diff-inverse le-cases
nfinite-nlength-enat nfinite-ntaken ntaken-all)

next

fix n :: nat **and** na :: nat

assume a1: F (ntaken na (ndropn n w))

assume a2: enat (n + na) ≤ nlength w

```

have enat na ≤ nlength w – enat n
by (metis a2 add-diff-cancel-left' enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat)
then show ∃ n. enat n ≤ nlength w ∧
    ( $\exists$  na. enat na ≤ nlength w – enat n ∧ F (ntaken na (ndropn n w)))
using a2 a1
by (metis add.right-neutral le-cases le-zero-eq ndropn-all ndropn-nlength nlength-NNil
    the-enat.simps the-enat-0)
qed

lemma sba-defs :
(w ⊨ sba F) =
(∀ n na. n+na ≤ nlength w → ( (nsubn w n (n+na)) ⊨ F))
by (simp add: sba-d-def sda-defs)

lemma next-defs :
(w ⊨ ○ F) =
(nlength w ≠ (enat 0) ∧ ((ndropn 1 w) ⊨ F))
by (simp add: itl-def chop-defs)
(metis One-nat-def Suc-ile-eq dual-order.order-iff-strict enat-le-plus-same(1) gen-nlength-def
min.orderE min-enat-simps(2) nlength-code nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD one-enat-def
the-enat.simps zero-enat-def zero-one-enat-neq(1))

lemma wnext-defs :
(w ⊨ wnext F) =
(nlength w = (enat 0) ∨ ((ndropn 1 w) ⊨ F) )
by (simp add: wnext-d-def next-defs)

lemma prev-defs :
(w ⊨ prev F) =
((nlength w ≠ (enat 0) ∧ nfinite w ∧
  ( (ntaken (the-enat(epred(nlength w))) ) w) ⊨ F) ) ∨ (¬nfinite w ∧ (w ⊨ F)))
proof (cases nfinite w)
case True
then show ?thesis
by (auto simp add: prev-d-def chop-defs skip-defs )
(metis One-nat-def diff-diff-cancel enat-ord-simps(1) epred-enat idiff-enat-enat nfinite-nlength-enat
the-enat.simps,
metis One-nat-def diff-le-self eSuc-epred enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same enat-ord-simps(1)
epred-enat nfinite-nlength-enat one-enat-def plus-1-eSuc(2) the-enat.simps zero-enat-def)
next
case False
then show ?thesis
by (auto simp add: prev-d-def chop-defs skip-defs )
(metis ndropn-nlength nfinite-ndropn-b nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD)
qed

lemma wprev-defs :
(w ⊨ wprev F) =
( (nfinite w → (nlength w = (enat 0) ∨ ( (ntaken (the-enat(epred(nlength w))) ) w) ⊨ F) ) )
  ∧ ( nfinite w ∨ (w ⊨ F)))

```

```

by (simp add: uprev-d-def prev-defs)

lemma more-defs :
  ( $w \models \text{more}$ ) = (0 < nlength w)
using zero-enat-def by (auto simp add: more-d-def next-defs)

lemma fmore-defs :
  ( $w \models \text{fmore}$ ) = (nfinite w ∧ (0 < nlength w))
by (auto simp add: fmore-d-def more-defs finite-defs)

lemma empty-defs :
  ( $w \models \text{empty}$ ) = (nlength w = 0)
by (simp add: empty-d-def more-defs)

lemma init-defs :
  ( $w \models \text{init } F$ ) = ((ntaken 0 w)  $\models F$ )
by (simp add: init-d-def chop-defs empty-defs min-def)
(metis ntaken-0 ntaken-all the-enat.simps zero-enat-def zero-le)

lemma initalt-defs :
  ( $w \models bi(\text{empty} \longrightarrow F)$ ) = ((ntaken 0 w)  $\models F$ )
by (simp add: bi-defs empty-defs min-def)
(metis enat-0-iff(2) nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD ntaken-0 zero-le)

lemma fin-defs :
  ( $w \models \text{fin } F$ ) =
  ( (nfinite w ∧ ((ndropn (the-enat(nlength w)) w)  $\models F$ ) ∨ (¬nfinite w) )
by (simp add: fin-d-def empty-defs always-defs)
(metis add.right-neutral enat.distinct(2) enat-add-sub-same le-iff-add nfinite-nlength-enat
nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD the-enat.simps)

lemma finalt-defs :
  ( $w \models \#True; (F \wedge \text{empty})$ ) =
  ( (nfinite w ∧ ((ndropn (the-enat(nlength w)) w)  $\models F$ ) ∨ (¬nfinite w) )
by (simp add: chop-defs empty-defs)
(metis add.right-neutral enat.distinct(2) enat-add-sub-same le-iff-add nfinite-nlength-enat
the-enat.simps)

lemma sfin-defs :
  ( $w \models \text{sfin } F$ ) = (nfinite w ∧ ((ndropn (the-enat(nlength w)) w)  $\models F$ ) )
by (auto simp add: sfin-d-def fin-defs)

lemma halt-defs :
  ( $w \models \text{halt}(F)$ ) = (∀ n. n ≤ nlength w → (nlength w = n) = ((ndropn n w)  $\models F$ ))
by (simp add: halt-d-def empty-defs always-defs)
(metis add.right-neutral dual-order.strict-iff-order enat-add-sub-same enat-ord-code(4)
le-iff-add)

```

lemma *initonly-defs* :

$$(w \models \text{initonly}(F)) = (\forall n. n \leq \text{nlength } w \longrightarrow (n = 0) = ((\text{ntaken } n w) \models F) \wedge (n \neq \text{nfinite } w \vee (\text{nlength } w = 0) = F w))$$

by (*simp add: initonly-d-def bi-defs empty-defs zero-enat-def*)

lemma *ifthenelse-defs*:

$$(w \models \text{if}_i F \text{ then } G \text{ else } H) = ((w \models F) \wedge (w \models G)) \vee ((\neg(w \models F) \wedge (w \models H)))$$

by (*simp add: itl-def*)

lemma *currentval-defs* :

$$(s \models \$v) = (v (\text{nfirst } s))$$

by (*simp add: itl-def*)

lemma *nextval-defs* :

$$(s \models v\$) = (\text{if } \text{nlength } s \neq (\text{enat } 0) \text{ then } (v (\text{nnth } s 1)) \text{ else } (\epsilon x. x=x))$$

by (*simp add: itl-def*)

lemma *finval-defs* :

$$(s \models !v) = (\text{if } \text{nfinite } s \text{ then } (v (\text{nlast } s)) \text{ else } (\epsilon x. x=x))$$

by (*simp add: itl-def*)

lemma *penultval-defs* :

$$(s \models v!) = (\text{if } \text{nfinite } s \text{ then } (v (\text{nnth } s (\text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(\text{nlength } s)))))) \text{ else } (\epsilon x. x=x))$$

by (*simp add: itl-def*)

lemma *next-assign-defs* :

$$(s \models v := e) = ((\text{if } \text{nlength } s \neq (\text{enat } 0) \text{ then } v (\text{nnth } s 1) \text{ else } (\epsilon x. x=x)) = e s)$$

by (*auto simp: itl-def*)

lemma *prev-assign-defs* :

$$(s \models v =: e) = (\text{if } \text{nfinite } s \text{ then } (v (\text{nnth } s (\text{the-enat}(\text{epred}(\text{nlength } s)))))) = e s \text{ else } ((\epsilon x. x=x) = e s))$$

by (*simp add: itl-def finite-defs*)

```

lemma always-eqv-defs :
  ( $s \models v \approx e$ ) =
  (  $(\forall i. i \leq nlength s \rightarrow v (nnth s i) = e (ndropn i s))$ 
  )
by (simp add: always-eq-d-def always-defs current-val-d-def ndropn-nfirst)

lemma temporal-assign-defs :
  ( $s \models v \leftarrow e$ ) =
  (if nfinite s then ( $v (nlast s)$ ) =  $e s$ 
   else True
  )
by (simp add: itl-def finite-defs)

lemma gets-defs :
  ( $s \models v \text{ gets } e$ ) =
  (  $(\forall i. i < nlength s \rightarrow v (nnth s (Suc i)) = e ((nsubn s i (Suc i))) )$ 
  )
proof (auto simp add: min-def finite-defs gets-d-def keep-d-def ba-defs skip-defs
      temporal-assign-d-def fin-val-d-def nsubn-nlength Suc-ile-eq nsubn-def1 ntaken-ndropn-nlast)
show  $\bigwedge i. \forall n na. (enat na \leq nlength s - enat n \rightarrow$ 
     $enat (n + na) \leq nlength s \rightarrow$ 
     $na = Suc 0 \rightarrow v (nnth s (Suc n)) = e (ntaken (Suc 0) (ndropn n s)) \wedge$ 
     $(\neg enat na \leq nlength s - enat n \rightarrow$ 
     $enat (n + na) \leq nlength s \rightarrow$ 
     $nlength s - enat n = enat (Suc 0) \rightarrow$ 
     $v (nnth s (na + n)) = e (ntaken na (ndropn n s)) \Rightarrow$ 
     $enat i < nlength s \Rightarrow$ 
     $v (nnth s (Suc i)) = e (ntaken (Suc 0) (ndropn i s))$ 
by (metis One-nat-def add.commute eSuc-enat i0-less ileI1 ileSSuc-eq ndropn-Suc-conv-ndropn
      ndropn-nlength nlength-NCons one-eSuc one-enat-def plus-1-eq-Suc zero-le)
show  $\bigwedge n na.$ 
   $\forall i. enat i < nlength s \rightarrow v (nnth s (Suc i)) = e (ntaken (Suc 0) (ndropn i s)) \Rightarrow$ 
   $\neg na \leq Suc 0 \Rightarrow enat (n + na) \leq nlength s \Rightarrow nlength s - enat n = enat (Suc 0) \Rightarrow$ 
   $v (nnth s (na + n)) = e (ntaken na (ndropn n s))$ 
by (metis (no-types) add-diff-cancel-left' enat-minus-mono1 enat-ord-simps(1) idiff-enat-enat)
qed

lemma stable-defs-helpa:
assumes ( $\forall i. i < nlength s \rightarrow v (nnth s (Suc i)) = v (nnth s i)$ )
   $i \leq nlength s$ 
shows ( $v (nnth s i) = v (nfirst s)$ )
using assms
proof (induct i arbitrary:s)
case 0
then show ?case by (metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst)
next
case ( $Suc i$ )
then show ?case
by (simp add: Suc-ile-eq)

```

qed

lemma *stable-defs-help*:
assumes $(\forall i. i \leq nlength s \rightarrow v(nnth s i) = v(nfirst s))$
 $i < nlength s$
shows $v(nnth s (Suc i)) = v(nnth s i)$
using *assms*
proof (*induct i arbitrary:s*)
case 0
 then show ?case **using** *Suc-ile-eq* **by** *auto*
next
 case (*Suc i*)
 then show ?case **by** (*metis eSuc-enat ileI1 less-imp-le*)
qed

lemma *stable-defs-help*:
 $(\forall i. i < nlength s \rightarrow v(nnth s (Suc i)) = v(nnth s i)) =$
 $(\forall i. i \leq nlength s \rightarrow v(nnth s i) = v(nfirst s))$
using *stable-defs-helpa*[*of s v*] *stable-defs-helpb*[*of s v*]
by *blast*

lemma *stable-defs*:
 $(s \models stable v) =$
 $(\forall i. i \leq nlength s \rightarrow (v(nnth s i)) = (v(nfirst s)))$
proof (*simp add: stable-d-def gets-defs current-val-d-def*)
have 1: $\bigwedge i. i < nlength s \rightarrow v(nfirst(nsubn s i (Suc i))) = v(nnth s i)$
 by (*simp add: nsubn-def1 ntaken-ndropn-nfirst*)
have 2: $(\forall i. enat i < nlength s \rightarrow v(nnth s (Suc i)) = v(nfirst(nsubn s i (Suc i)))) =$
 $(\forall i. enat i < nlength s \rightarrow v(nnth s (Suc i)) = v(nnth s i))$
 by (*simp add: 1*)
have 3: $(\forall i. enat i < nlength s \rightarrow v(nnth s (Suc i)) = v(nnth s i)) =$
 $(\forall i. i \leq nlength s \rightarrow (v(nnth s i)) = (v(nfirst s)))$
using *stable-defs-help*[*of s v*] **by** *blast*
show $(\forall i. enat i < nlength s \rightarrow v(nnth s (Suc i)) = v(nfirst(nsubn s i (Suc i)))) =$
 $(\forall i. enat i \leq nlength s \rightarrow v(nnth s i) = v(nfirst s))$
 by (*simp add: 2 3*)
qed

lemma *padded-defs* :
 $(s \models padded v) =$
 $((\forall i. i < nlength s \rightarrow (v(nnth s i)) = (v(nfirst s)))) \vee nlength s = (enat 0)$
proof (*cases s*)
case (*NNil x1*)
then show ?thesis
by (*auto simp add: padded-d-def stable-defs chop-d-def skip-defs empty-defs ntaken-nfirst ntaken-nnth zero-enat-def*)
next
case (*NCons x21 x22*)
then show ?thesis
by (*auto simp add: padded-d-def stable-defs chop-d-def skip-defs empty-defs ntaken-nfirst*)

```

  ntaken-nnth zero-enat-def)
(metis One-nat-def enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same enat-ord-simps(1) iless-Suc-eq le-iff-add
  less-imp-le one-enat-def plus-1-eSuc(2),
meson iless-Suc-eq less-imp-le,
metis One-nat-def enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same enat-ord-simps(1) ile-eSuc nfinite-nlength-enat
  one-enat-def plus-1-eSuc(2),
metis One-nat-def antisym-conv2 co.enat.sel(2) diff-le-self enat-add-sub-same enat-ord-code(4)
  enat-ord-simps(1) epred-enat iless-Suc-eq one-enat-def plus-1-eSuc(2))
qed

```

lemma padded-temporal-assign-defs :

$$(s \models v < \sim e) = \\ ((s \models \text{padded } v) \wedge \\ (\text{if } \text{nfinite } s \text{ then } (v \ (nlast } s)) = e \ s \text{ else } \text{True}) \\)$$

by (auto simp add: padded-temp-assign-d-def padded-defs temporal-assign-defs)

lemma chop-nfuse-1 :

$$(\exists \sigma_1 \sigma_2. \sigma = \text{nfuse } \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma_1 \wedge \\ (\sigma_1 \models f) \wedge (\sigma_2 \models g) \wedge \\ (\text{nlast } \sigma_1 = \text{nfirst } \sigma_2)) = \\ ((\exists i. 0 \leq i \wedge i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge (\text{ntaken } i \ \sigma \models f) \wedge (\text{ndropn } i \ \sigma \models g)))$$

by auto

(metis enat-le-plus-same(1) nfuse-nlength ndropn-nfuse nfinite-conv-nlength-enat ntaken-nfuse
the-enat.simps,
metis nfuse-ntaken-ndropn ndropn-nfirst nfinite-ntaken ntaken-nlast)

lemma chop-nfuse-2 :

$$(\exists \sigma_1 \sigma_2. \sigma = \text{nfuse } \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma_1 \wedge \\ (\sigma_1 \in X) \wedge (\sigma_2 \in Y) \wedge \\ (\text{nlast } \sigma_1 = \text{nfirst } \sigma_2)) = \\ (\exists i. i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge (\text{ntaken } i \ \sigma) \in X \wedge (\text{ndropn } i \ \sigma) \in Y)$$

by auto

(metis enat-le-plus-same(1) ndropn-nfuse nfinite-nlength-enat nfuse-nlength ntaken-nfuse
the-enat.simps,
metis ndropn-nfirst nfinite-ntaken nfuse-ntaken-ndropn ntaken-nlast)

lemma chop-nfuse:

$$(\sigma \models f;g) = (\\ (\exists \sigma_1 \sigma_2. \sigma = \text{nfuse } \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma_1 \wedge \\ (\sigma_1 \models f) \wedge (\sigma_2 \models g) \wedge (\text{nlast } \sigma_1 = \text{nfirst } \sigma_2)) \\ \vee (\neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge (\sigma \models f)) \\)$$

by (simp add: chop-defs chop-nfuse-1)

lemmas itl-defs = skip-defs chop-defs yields-defs infinite-defs schop-defs syields-defs
sometimes-defs always-defs di-defs df-defs bi-defs bf-defs da-defs ba-defs sda-defs sba-defs
next-defs wnext-defs prev-defs wprev-defs more-defs fmore-defs empty-defs init-defs
initalt-defs fin-defs finalt-defs sfin-defs halt-defs initonly-defs ifthenelse-defs

`currentval-defs nextval-defs finval-defs penultval-defs next-assign-defs prev-assign-defs`
`always-eqv-defs temporal-assign-defs gets-defs stable-defs padded-defs`
`padded-temporal-assign-defs`

4.5 Soundness Axioms

4.5.1 ChopAssoc

lemma `ChopAssocSemHelpa`:

assumes $((\exists n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma) \wedge$
 $f(\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\exists na. \text{enat } na \leq \text{nlength } \sigma - \text{enat } n \wedge g(\text{ntaken } na (\text{ndropn } n \sigma)) \wedge h(\text{ndropn } na (\text{ndropn } n \sigma)))$

\vee

$\neg \text{nfinite } (\text{ndropn } n \sigma) \wedge g(\text{ndropn } n \sigma)) \vee$
 $\neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge f \sigma)$

shows $((\exists n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge (\exists na \leq n. \text{enat } na \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$
 $f(\text{ntaken } (\text{min } na n) \sigma) \wedge g(\text{ndropn } na (\text{ntaken } n \sigma))) \wedge h(\text{ndropn } n \sigma)) \vee$
 $\neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge ((\exists n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge f(\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \wedge g(\text{ndropn } n \sigma)) \vee \neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge f \sigma))$

proof –

have 1: $((\exists n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$
 $f(\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\exists na. \text{enat } na \leq \text{nlength } \sigma - \text{enat } n \wedge g(\text{ntaken } na (\text{ndropn } n \sigma)) \wedge h(\text{ndropn } na (\text{ndropn } n \sigma)))$

\vee

$\neg \text{nfinite } (\text{ndropn } n \sigma) \wedge g(\text{ndropn } n \sigma)) \vee$
 $\neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge f \sigma)$

using assms by auto

have 2: $\neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge f \sigma \implies$
 $((\exists n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists na \leq n. \text{enat } na \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge f(\text{ntaken } (\text{min } na n) \sigma) \wedge g(\text{ndropn } na (\text{ntaken } n \sigma)))$
 $\wedge h(\text{ndropn } n \sigma)) \vee$
 $\neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge ((\exists n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge f(\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \wedge g(\text{ndropn } n \sigma)) \vee \neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge f \sigma))$

by simp

have 3: $((\exists n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$
 $f(\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\exists na. \text{enat } na \leq \text{nlength } \sigma - \text{enat } n \wedge g(\text{ntaken } na (\text{ndropn } n \sigma)) \wedge h(\text{ndropn } na (\text{ndropn } n \sigma)))$

\vee

$\neg \text{nfinite } (\text{ndropn } n \sigma) \wedge g(\text{ndropn } n \sigma)) \implies$
 $((\exists n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists na \leq n. \text{enat } na \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge f(\text{ntaken } (\text{min } na n) \sigma) \wedge g(\text{ndropn } na (\text{ntaken } n \sigma)))$
 $\wedge h(\text{ndropn } n \sigma)) \vee$
 $\neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge ((\exists n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge f(\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \wedge g(\text{ndropn } n \sigma)) \vee \neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge f \sigma))$

proof –

assume 4: $((\exists n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$
 $f(\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\exists na. \text{enat } na \leq \text{nlength } \sigma - \text{enat } n \wedge g(\text{ntaken } na (\text{ndropn } n \sigma)) \wedge h(\text{ndropn } na (\text{ndropn } n \sigma)))$

\vee

$\neg \text{nfinite } (\text{ndropn } n \sigma) \wedge g(\text{ndropn } n \sigma))$

obtain n where 5: $\text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$
 $f(\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\exists na. \text{enat } na \leq \text{nlength } \sigma - \text{enat } n \wedge g(\text{ntaken } na (\text{ndropn } n \sigma)) \wedge h(\text{ndropn } na (\text{ndropn } n \sigma)))$

\vee

```

 $\neg nfinite(ndropn\ n\ \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn\ n\ \sigma)$ 
using 4 by auto
have 6:  $enat\ n \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge f(ntaken\ n\ \sigma)$ 
using 5 by auto
have 7:  $\neg nfinite(ndropn\ n\ \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn\ n\ \sigma) \implies$ 
 $((\exists n. enat\ n \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge$ 
 $(\exists na \leq n. enat\ na \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge f(ntaken(min\ na\ n)\ \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn\ na\ (ntaken\ n\ \sigma)))$ 
 $\wedge h(ndropn\ n\ \sigma)) \vee$ 
 $\neg nfinite\ \sigma \wedge ((\exists n. enat\ n \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge f(ntaken\ n\ \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn\ n\ \sigma)) \vee \neg nfinite\ \sigma \wedge f\ \sigma))$ 
using 6 nfinite-ndropn-a by blast
have 8:  $(\exists na. enat\ na \leq nlength\ \sigma - enat\ n \wedge g(ntaken\ na\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma)) \wedge h(ndropn\ na\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma))) \implies$ 
 $((\exists n. enat\ n \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge$ 
 $(\exists na \leq n. enat\ na \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge f(ntaken(min\ na\ n)\ \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn\ na\ (ntaken\ n\ \sigma)))$ 
 $\wedge h(ndropn\ n\ \sigma)) \vee$ 
 $\neg nfinite\ \sigma \wedge ((\exists n. enat\ n \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge f(ntaken\ n\ \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn\ n\ \sigma)) \vee \neg nfinite\ \sigma \wedge f\ \sigma))$ 
proof -
assume 9:  $(\exists na. enat\ na \leq nlength\ \sigma - enat\ n \wedge g(ntaken\ na\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma)) \wedge h(ndropn\ na\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma)))$ 
show  $((\exists n. enat\ n \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge$ 
 $(\exists na \leq n. enat\ na \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge f(ntaken(min\ na\ n)\ \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn\ na\ (ntaken\ n\ \sigma)))$ 
 $\wedge h(ndropn\ n\ \sigma)) \vee$ 
 $\neg nfinite\ \sigma \wedge ((\exists n. enat\ n \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge f(ntaken\ n\ \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn\ n\ \sigma)) \vee \neg nfinite\ \sigma \wedge f\ \sigma))$ 
proof -
obtain na where 10:  $enat\ na \leq nlength\ \sigma - enat\ n \wedge g(ntaken\ na\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma)) \wedge$ 
 $h(ndropn\ na\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma))$ 
using 9 by auto
have 11:  $h(ndropn(na+n)\ \sigma)$ 
by (metis 10 add.commute ndropn-ndropn)
have 12:  $na+n \leq nlength\ \sigma$ 
by (metis 10 6 Groups.add-ac(2) dual-order.strict-implies-order enat.simps(3)
enat-add-sub-same enat-less-enat-plusI2 le-iff-add not-le-imp-less
order.not-eq-order-implies-strict plus-enat-simps(1))
have 13:  $g(ndropn\ n\ (ntaken(n+na)\ \sigma))$ 
by (metis 10 12 add.commute ntaken-ndropn-swap plus-enat-simps(1))
have 14:  $f(ntaken(min\ n\ (n+na))\ \sigma)$ 
using 6 by linarith
show ?thesis
by (metis 10 12 13 14 6 add.commute le-add1 ndropn-ndropn)
qed
qed
show ?thesis
using 5 7 8 by blast
qed
show ?thesis
using 3 assms by blast
qed

```

lemma ChopAssocSemHelpb:
assumes $((\exists n. enat\ n \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge$

$(\exists na \leq n. enat na \leq nlength \sigma \wedge f(ntaken(min na n) \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn na (ntaken n \sigma)))$
 $\wedge h(ndropn n \sigma)) \vee$
 $\neg nfinite \sigma \wedge ((\exists n. enat n \leq nlength \sigma \wedge f(ntaken n \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn n \sigma)) \vee \neg nfinite \sigma \wedge f \sigma))$
shows $((\exists n. enat n \leq nlength \sigma \wedge$
 $f(ntaken n \sigma) \wedge$
 $((\exists na. enat na \leq nlength \sigma - enat n \wedge g(ntaken na (ndropn n \sigma)) \wedge h(ndropn na (ndropn n \sigma)))$
 \vee
 $\neg nfinite(ndropn n \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn n \sigma))) \vee$
 $\neg nfinite \sigma \wedge f \sigma)$
proof –
have 1: $((\exists n. enat n \leq nlength \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists na \leq n. enat na \leq nlength \sigma \wedge f(ntaken(min na n) \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn na (ntaken n \sigma)))$
 $\wedge h(ndropn n \sigma)) \vee$
 $\neg nfinite \sigma \wedge ((\exists n. enat n \leq nlength \sigma \wedge f(ntaken n \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn n \sigma)) \vee \neg nfinite \sigma \wedge f \sigma))$
using assms by auto
have 2: $\neg nfinite \sigma \wedge ((\exists n. enat n \leq nlength \sigma \wedge f(ntaken n \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn n \sigma)) \vee \neg nfinite \sigma \wedge f \sigma)$
 \implies
 $((\exists n. enat n \leq nlength \sigma \wedge$
 $f(ntaken n \sigma) \wedge$
 $((\exists na. enat na \leq nlength \sigma - enat n \wedge g(ntaken na (ndropn n \sigma)) \wedge h(ndropn na (ndropn n \sigma)))$
 \vee
 $\neg nfinite(ndropn n \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn n \sigma))) \vee$
 $\neg nfinite \sigma \wedge f \sigma)$
using nfinite-ndropn by blast
have 3: $(\exists n. enat n \leq nlength \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists na \leq n. enat na \leq nlength \sigma \wedge f(ntaken(min na n) \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn na (ntaken n \sigma)))$
 $\wedge h(ndropn n \sigma)) \implies$
 $((\exists n. enat n \leq nlength \sigma \wedge$
 $f(ntaken n \sigma) \wedge$
 $((\exists na. enat na \leq nlength \sigma - enat n \wedge g(ntaken na (ndropn n \sigma)) \wedge h(ndropn na (ndropn n \sigma)))$
 \vee
 $\neg nfinite(ndropn n \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn n \sigma))) \vee$
 $\neg nfinite \sigma \wedge f \sigma)$
proof –
assume 4: $(\exists n. enat n \leq nlength \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists na \leq n. enat na \leq nlength \sigma \wedge f(ntaken(min na n) \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn na (ntaken n \sigma)))$
 $\wedge h(ndropn n \sigma))$
show $((\exists n. enat n \leq nlength \sigma \wedge$
 $f(ntaken n \sigma) \wedge$
 $((\exists na. enat na \leq nlength \sigma - enat n \wedge g(ntaken na (ndropn n \sigma)) \wedge h(ndropn na (ndropn n \sigma)))$
 \vee
 $\neg nfinite(ndropn n \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn n \sigma))) \vee$
 $\neg nfinite \sigma \wedge f \sigma)$
proof –
obtain n where 5: $enat n \leq nlength \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists na \leq n. enat na \leq nlength \sigma \wedge f(ntaken(min na n) \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn na (ntaken n \sigma)))$
 $\wedge h(ndropn n \sigma)$
using 4 by auto
have 6: $(\exists na \leq n. enat na \leq nlength \sigma \wedge f(ntaken(min na n) \sigma) \wedge g(ndropn na (ntaken n \sigma)))$
using 5 by auto

```

obtain na where 7:  $na \leq n \wedge enat\ na \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge f\ (ntaken\ (min\ na\ n)\ \sigma) \wedge g\ (ndropn\ na\ (ntaken\ n\ \sigma))$ 
  using 6 by auto
  have 8:  $na \leq nlength\ \sigma$ 
    by (simp add: 7)
  have 9:  $n-na \leq nlength\ \sigma - na$ 
    by (metis 5 enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat)
  have 10:  $f\ (ntaken\ na\ \sigma)$ 
    using 7 by linarith
  have 11:  $g\ (ntaken\ (n-na)\ (ndropn\ na\ \sigma))$ 
    by (simp add: 5 7 ntakep-ndropn-swap)
  have 12:  $h\ (ndropn\ ((n-na)+na)\ \sigma)$ 
    by (simp add: 5 7)
  have 13:  $h\ (ndropn\ (n-na)\ (ndropn\ na\ \sigma))$ 
    by (metis 12 add.commute ndropn-ndropn)
  show ?thesis
    using 10 11 13 7 9 by auto
qed
qed
show ?thesis
using 2 3 assms by blast
qed

```

lemma ChopAssocSemHelp:

$$((\exists n. enat\ n \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge f\ (ntaken\ n\ \sigma) \wedge ((\exists na. enat\ na \leq nlength\ \sigma - enat\ n \wedge g\ (ntaken\ na\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma)) \wedge h\ (ndropn\ na\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma)))) \vee \neg\ nfinite\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma) \wedge g\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma))) \vee \neg\ nfinite\ \sigma \wedge f\ \sigma) =$$

$$((\exists n. enat\ n \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge (\exists na \leq n. enat\ na \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge f\ (ntaken\ (min\ na\ n)\ \sigma) \wedge g\ (ndropn\ na\ (ntaken\ n\ \sigma))) \wedge h\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma)) \vee \neg\ nfinite\ \sigma \wedge ((\exists n. enat\ n \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge f\ (ntaken\ n\ \sigma) \wedge g\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma)) \vee \neg\ nfinite\ \sigma \wedge f\ \sigma))$$

using ChopAssocSemHelpa[of σ f g h] ChopAssocSemHelpb[of σ f g h] **by** blast

lemma ChopAssocSemHelp1:

$$((\sigma) \models f ; (g ; h)) = ((\sigma) \models (f;g);h)$$

proof –

have $(\sigma \models f ; (g ; h)) = ((\exists n. enat\ n \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge f\ (ntaken\ n\ \sigma) \wedge ((\exists na. enat\ na \leq nlength\ \sigma - enat\ n \wedge g\ (ntaken\ na\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma)) \wedge h\ (ndropn\ na\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma)))) \vee \neg\ nfinite\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma) \wedge g\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma))) \vee \neg\ nfinite\ \sigma \wedge f\ \sigma)$

by (simp add: chop-defs)

also have ... =

$$((\exists n. enat\ n \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge (\exists na \leq n. enat\ na \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge f\ (ntaken\ (min\ na\ n)\ \sigma) \wedge g\ (ndropn\ na\ (ntaken\ n\ \sigma))) \wedge h\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma)) \vee$$

```

 $\neg nfinite \sigma \wedge ((\exists n. enat n \leq nlength \sigma \wedge f (ntaken n \sigma) \wedge g (ndropn n \sigma)) \vee \neg nfinite \sigma \wedge f \sigma))$ 
using ChopAssocSemHelp[of  $\sigma f g h$ ] by blast
also have ... =
  ( $\sigma \models (f;g);h$ ) by (simp add: chop-defs)
finally show ( $\sigma \models f ; (g ; h)$ ) = ( $\sigma \models (f;g);h$ ) .
qed

```

```

lemma ChopAssocSem:
  ( $\sigma \models f ; (g ; h)$ ) = ( $(f;g);h$ )
using ChopAssocSemHelp1[of  $f g h \sigma$ ] by auto

```

4.5.2 OrChopImp

```

lemma OrChopImpSem:
  ( $\sigma \models (f \vee g);h \longrightarrow f;h \vee g;h$ )
by (auto simp add: chop-defs )

```

4.5.3 ChopOrImp

```

lemma ChopOrImpSem:
  ( $\sigma \models f;(g \vee h) \longrightarrow f;g \vee f;h$ )
by (auto simp add: chop-defs )

```

4.5.4 EmptyChop

```

lemma EmptyChopSem:
  ( $\sigma \models empty ; f = f$ )
by (simp add: chop-defs empty-defs min-def)
(metis enat-0-iff(1) ndropn-0 nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD zero-le)

```

4.5.5 ChopEmpty

```

lemma ChopEmptySem:
  ( $\sigma \models f;empty = f$ )
by (simp add: chop-defs empty-defs min-def)
(metis cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel enat-diff-cancel-left idiff-enat-enat
nfinite-nlength-enat ntaken-all order-refl zero-enat-def)

```

4.5.6 StateImpBi

```

lemma StateImpBiSem:
  ( $\sigma \models init f \longrightarrow bi (init f)$ )
by (simp add: init-defs bi-defs )

```

4.5.7 NextImpNotNextNot

```

lemma NextImpNotNextNotSem:
  ( $\sigma \models \circ f \longrightarrow \neg (\circ (\neg f))$ )
by (simp add: next-defs)

```

4.5.8 BiBoxChopImpChop

```

lemma BiBoxChopImpChopSem:
  ( $\sigma \models bi(f \rightarrow f1) \wedge \square(g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow f; g \rightarrow f1; g1$ )
by (simp add: bi-defs always-defs chop-defs)
  fastforce

```

4.5.9 BoxInduct

```

lemma box-induct-help-1 :
   $\bigwedge j. \forall n. enat n \leq nlength \sigma \rightarrow f(ndropn n \sigma) \rightarrow$ 
     $nlength \sigma - enat n = (enat 0) \vee f(ndropn(Suc 0)(ndropn n \sigma)) \Rightarrow\Rightarrow$ 
     $f \sigma \Rightarrow$ 
     $enat j \leq nlength \sigma \Rightarrow\Rightarrow$ 
     $f(ndropn j \sigma)$ 
proof -
  fix  $j$ 
  show  $\forall n. enat n \leq nlength \sigma \rightarrow f(ndropn n \sigma) \rightarrow$ 
     $nlength \sigma - enat n = (enat 0) \vee f(ndropn(Suc 0)(ndropn n \sigma)) \Rightarrow\Rightarrow$ 
     $f \sigma \Rightarrow$ 
     $enat j \leq nlength \sigma \Rightarrow\Rightarrow$ 
     $f(ndropn j \sigma)$ 
  proof (induct  $j$  arbitrary:  $\sigma$ )
  case 0
  then show ?case by simp
  next
  case (Suc  $j$ )
  then show ?case by (simp add: ndropn-ndropn)
    (metis Suc-ileq add.right-neutral enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same le-cases
     le-iff-add not-less zero-enat-def)
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma BoxInductSem:
  ( $\sigma \models \square(f \rightarrow wnext f) \wedge f \rightarrow \square f$ )
proof
  (auto simp add: always-defs wnext-defs)
  show  $\bigwedge n. \forall n. enat n \leq nlength \sigma \rightarrow f(ndropn n \sigma) \rightarrow$ 
     $nlength \sigma - enat n = enat 0 \vee f(ndropn(Suc 0)(ndropn n \sigma)) \Rightarrow\Rightarrow$ 
     $f \sigma \Rightarrow$ 
     $enat n \leq nlength \sigma \Rightarrow\Rightarrow$ 
     $f(ndropn n \sigma)$ 
  using box-induct-help-1 by blast
  qed

```

4.6 Quantification over State (Flexible) Variables

Quantification in Infinite ITL is done similarly as in Finite ITL.

```
typedec state
```

```

instance state :: world ..

type-synonym 'a statefun = (state,'a) stfun
type-synonym statepred = bool statefun
type-synonym 'a tempfun = (state,'a) formfun
type-synonym temporal = state formula

```

4.7 Temporal Quantifiers

definition exist-state-d :: $('a \text{ statefun} \Rightarrow \text{temporal}) \Rightarrow \text{temporal}$ (**binder** Eex 10)
where exist-state-d F $\equiv (\lambda s. (\exists x. s \models F x))$

syntax

-Eex :: [idts, lift] \Rightarrow lift $((\exists \exists _ / _) [0,10] 10)$

translations

-Eex v A == Eex v. A

definition forall-state-d :: $('a \text{ statefun} \Rightarrow \text{temporal}) \Rightarrow \text{temporal}$ (**binder** Aall 10)
where forall-state-d F $\equiv \text{LIFT}(\neg(\exists \exists x. \neg(F x)))$

syntax

-Aall :: [idts, lift] \Rightarrow lift $((\exists \forall _ / _) [0,10] 10)$

translations

-Aall v A == Aall v. A

end

5 Finite and Infinite ITL: Axioms and Rules

theory ITL

imports

 Semantics

begin

The Finite and Infinite ITL axiom and proof rules are introduced (taken from [9]). The soundness of the rules and axioms are checked using the lemmas of Semantics.thy.

5.1 Rules

```

lemma MP :
assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow g$ 
          $\vdash f$ 
shows  $\vdash g$ 
using assms by fastforce

```

```

lemma BoxGen :

```

```

assumes  $\vdash f$ 
shows  $\vdash \Box f$ 
using assms
by (auto simp add: itl-defs Valid-def)

```

```

lemma BiGen:
assumes  $\vdash f$ 
shows  $\vdash bi f$ 
using assms
by (auto simp add: itl-defs Valid-def)

```

5.2 Axioms

```

lemma ChopAssoc :
 $\vdash f ; (g ; h) = (f;g);h$ 
using ChopAssocSem Valid-def by blast

```

```

lemma OrChopImp :
 $\vdash (f \vee g);h \longrightarrow f;h \vee g;h$ 
using OrChopImpSem Valid-def by blast

```

```

lemma ChopOrImp :
 $\vdash f;(g \vee h) \longrightarrow f;g \vee f;h$ 
using ChopOrImpSem Valid-def by blast

```

```

lemma EmptyChop :
 $\vdash empty ; f = f$ 
using EmptyChopSem Valid-def by blast

```

```

lemma ChopEmpty :
 $\vdash f;empty = f$ 
using ChopEmptySem Valid-def by blast

```

```

lemma StateImpBi :
 $\vdash init f \longrightarrow bi (init f)$ 
using StateImpBiSem Valid-def by blast

```

```

lemma NextImpNotNextNot :
 $\vdash \Diamond f \longrightarrow \neg (\Diamond (\neg f))$ 
using NextImpNotNextNotSem Valid-def by blast

```

```

lemma BiBoxChopImpChop :
 $\vdash bi (f \longrightarrow f1) \wedge \Box(g \longrightarrow g1) \longrightarrow f;g \longrightarrow f1;g1$ 
using BiBoxChopImpChopSem Valid-def by blast

```

```

lemma BoxInduct :
 $\vdash \Box(f \longrightarrow wnext f) \wedge f \longrightarrow \Box f$ 
using BoxInductSem Valid-def by blast

```

5.3 Additional Lemmas

The following is again from [4, 2] but adapted for our need.

```
lemma int-eq-true:
assumes ⊢ P
shows ⊢ P = #True
using assms by auto
```

```
lemma int-eq:
assumes ⊢ X = Y
shows X = Y
using assms by (auto simp: inteq-reflection)
```

```
lemma int-iffI:
assumes ⊢ F → G and ⊢ G → F
shows ⊢ F = G
using assms by force
```

```
lemma int-iffD1: assumes h: ⊢ F = G shows ⊢ F → G
using h by auto
```

```
lemma int-iffD2: assumes h: ⊢ F = G shows ⊢ G → F
using h by auto
```

```
lemma lift-imp-trans:
assumes ⊢ A → B and ⊢ B → C
shows ⊢ A → C
using assms by force
```

```
lemma lift-imp-neg: assumes ⊢ A → B shows ⊢ ¬B → ¬A
using assms by auto
```

```
lemma lift-and-com: ⊢ (A ∧ B) = (B ∧ A)
by auto
```

5.4 Quantification

```
lemma EExI :
⊢ F y → (Ǝ Ǝ x . F x)
by (auto simp add: exist-state-d-def Valid-def)
```

```
lemma EExE:
assumes ⋀x. ⊢ F x → G
shows ⊢ (Ǝ Ǝ x . F x) → G
using assms by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Valid-def exist-state-d-def unl-lift2)
```

```
lemma EExVal:
(( w) ⊨ (Ǝ Ǝ x . F x)) =
(Ǝ x (val :: 'a nellist). (( val = (nmap x w) ∧ (( w) ⊨ F x))))
```

```

by (simp add: exist-state-d-def)

lemma AAxDef:
   $\vdash (\forall \forall x. F x) = (\neg(\exists \exists x. \neg(F x)))$ 
by (simp add: Valid-def forall-state-d-def exist-state-d-def)

```

```

lemma ExEqvRule:
assumes  $\bigwedge x. \vdash (f x) = (g x)$ 
shows  $\vdash (\exists x. f x) = (\exists x. g x)$ 
using assms by fastforce

```

5.5 Lemmas about current-val

```

lemma current-const:  $\vdash \$\#c = \#c$ 
by (simp add: current-val-d-def intI)

```

```

lemma current-fun1:  $\vdash \$\langle f \rangle = f \langle \rangle$ 
by (simp add: current-val-d-def intI)

```

```

lemma current-fun2:  $\vdash \$\langle f \rangle = f \langle \rangle$ 
by (auto simp: current-val-d-def intI)

```

```

lemma current-fun3:  $\vdash \$\langle f \rangle = f \langle \rangle$ 
by (auto simp: current-val-d-def intI)

```

```

lemma current-forall:  $\vdash \$\langle \forall x. P x \rangle = (\forall x. \$\langle P x \rangle)$ 
by (auto simp: current-val-d-def intI)

```

```

lemma current-exists:  $\vdash \$\langle \exists x. P x \rangle = (\exists x. \$\langle P x \rangle)$ 
by (auto simp: current-val-d-def intI)

```

```

lemma current-exists1:  $\vdash \$\langle \exists! x. P x \rangle = (\exists! x. \$\langle P x \rangle)$ 
by (auto simp: current-val-d-def intI)

```

```

lemmas all-current = current-const current-fun1 current-fun2 current-fun3
         current-forall current-exists current-exists1

```

```

lemmas all-current-unl = all-current[THEN intD]
lemmas all-current-eq = all-current[THEN inteq-reflection]

```

5.6 Lemmas about next-val

```

lemma next-const:  $\vdash \text{more} \longrightarrow (\#c)\$ = \#c$ 
by (auto simp: next-val-d-def more-defs zero-enat-def intI)

```

```

lemma next-fun1:  $\vdash \text{more} \longrightarrow f\langle x \rangle\$ = f\langle x \rangle\$$ 
by (auto simp: next-val-d-def more-defs zero-enat-def intI)

```

```

lemma next-fun2:  $\vdash \text{more} \longrightarrow f\langle x, y \rangle\$ = f\langle x\$, y\$ \rangle$ 
by (auto simp: next-val-d-def more-defs zero-enat-def intI)

```

```

lemma next-fun3:  $\vdash \text{more} \longrightarrow f <x,y,z> \$ = f <x\$,y\$,z\$>$   

by (auto simp: next-val-d-def more-defs zero-enat-def intI)

lemma next-forall:  $\vdash \text{more} \longrightarrow (\forall x. P x) \$ = (\forall x. (P x) \$)$   

by (auto simp: next-val-d-def intI)

lemma next-exists:  $\vdash \text{more} \longrightarrow (\exists x. P x) \$ = (\exists x. (P x) \$)$   

by (auto simp: next-val-d-def intI)

lemma next-exists1:  $\vdash \text{more} \longrightarrow (\exists! x. P x) \$ = (\exists! x. (P x) \$)$   

by (auto simp: next-val-d-def more-defs zero-enat-def intI)

lemmas all-next = next-const next-fun1 next-fun2 next-fun3  

    next-forall next-exists next-exists1

lemmas all-next-unl = all-next[THEN intD]

```

5.7 Lemmas about fin-val

```

lemma fin-const:  $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow !(\#c) = \#c$   

by (auto simp: fin-val-d-def finite-defs intI)

lemma fin-fun1:  $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow !(f <x>) = f <!x>$   

by (auto simp: fin-val-d-def finite-defs intI)

lemma fin-fun2:  $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow !(f <x,y>) = f <!x, !y>$   

by (auto simp: fin-val-d-def finite-defs intI)

lemma fin-fun3:  $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow !(f <x,y,z>) = f <!x, !y, !z>$   

by (auto simp: fin-val-d-def finite-defs intI)

lemma fin-forall:  $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow !(\forall x. P x) = (\forall x. !(P x))$   

by (auto simp: fin-val-d-def finite-defs intI)

lemma fin-exists:  $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow !(\exists x. P x) = (\exists x. !(P x))$   

by (auto simp: fin-val-d-def finite-defs intI)

lemma fin-exists1:  $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow !(\exists! x. P x) = (\exists! x. !(P x))$   

by (auto simp: fin-val-d-def finite-defs intI)

```

```

lemmas all-fin = fin-const fin-fun1 fin-fun2 fin-fun3  

    fin-forall fin-exists fin-exists1

```

```

lemmas all-fin-unl = all-fin[THEN intD]

```

5.8 Lemmas about penult-val

```

lemma penult-const:  $\vdash \text{more} \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow (\#c)! = \#c$   

by (auto simp: penult-val-d-def more-defs finite-defs zero-enat-def intI)

```

```

lemma penult-fun1:  $\vdash \text{more} \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow f <x>! = f <x!>$ 
by (auto simp: penult-val-d-def more-defs finite-defs zero-enat-def intI)

lemma penult-fun2:  $\vdash \text{more} \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow f <x,y>! = f <x!,y!>$ 
by (auto simp: penult-val-d-def more-defs finite-defs zero-enat-def intI)

lemma penult-fun3:  $\vdash \text{more} \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow f <x,y,z>! = f <x!,y!,z!>$ 
by (auto simp: penult-val-d-def more-defs finite-defs zero-enat-def intI)

lemma penult-forall:  $\vdash \text{more} \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow (\forall x. P x)! = (\forall x. (P x)!)$ 
by (auto simp: penult-val-d-def finite-defs intI)

lemma penult-exists:  $\vdash \text{more} \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow (\exists x. P x)! = (\exists x. (P x)!)$ 
by (auto simp: penult-val-d-def finite-defs intI)

lemma penult-exists1:  $\vdash \text{more} \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow (\exists! x. P x)! = (\exists! x. (P x)!)$ 
by (auto simp: penult-val-d-def more-defs finite-defs zero-enat-def intI)

lemmas all-penult = penult-const penult-fun1 penult-fun2 penult-fun3
penult-forall penult-exists penult-exists1

lemmas all-penult-unl = all-penult[THEN intD]

```

5.9 Basic temporal variables properties

```

lemma empty-imp-fin-equiv-curr:
 $\vdash \text{empty} \longrightarrow !v = \$v$ 
by (simp add: Valid-def itl-defs nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD)
(metis nlast-NNil nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD nnth-nlast ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast the-enat-0 zero-enat-def)

lemma skip-imp-fin-equiv-next:
 $\vdash \text{skip} \longrightarrow !v = v\$$ 
by (simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)
(metis One-nat-def le-numeral-extra(4) ndropn-0 nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD
ntaken-all ntaken-ndropn-nlast one-enat-def plus-1-eq-Suc)

lemma skip-imp-penult-equiv-curr:
 $\vdash \text{skip} \longrightarrow v! = \$v$ 
by (simp add: Valid-def itl-defs current-val-d-def nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD)
(metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst)

end

```

6 Finite and Infinite ITL theorems using Weak Chop

```
theory Theorems
imports
  ITL
begin
```

We give the proofs of a list of Finite and Infinite ITL theorems. These proofs and theorems are from [8] but adapted for infinite and finite intervals.

6.1 Propositional reasoning

This is a list of propositional logic theorems used in the proofs of the ITL theorems.

```
lemma IfThenElseImp:
  ⊢ (ifi g then f else f1) → ((g → f) ∧ (¬g → f1))
by (simp add: itl-def Valid-def)
```

```
lemma Prop01:
assumes ⊢ f → ¬g ∨ h
shows ⊢ g ∧ f → h
using assms by auto
```

```
lemma Prop02:
assumes ⊢ f → g
      ⊢ f1 → g
shows ⊢ f ∨ f1 → g
using assms by fastforce
```

```
lemma Prop03:
assumes ⊢ f = (g ∨ h)
shows ⊢ h → f
using assms by auto
```

```
lemma Prop04:
assumes ⊢ f = h
      ⊢ f = h1
shows ⊢ h1 = h
using assms using int-eq by auto
```

```
lemma Prop05:
assumes ⊢ f → g
shows ⊢ f → h ∨ g
using assms by auto
```

```
lemma Prop06:
assumes ⊢ f = (g ∨ h)
      ⊢ h = h1
shows ⊢ f = (g ∨ h1)
using assms by fastforce
```

```

lemma Prop07:
assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow g \vee h$ 
shows  $\vdash f \wedge \neg g \rightarrow h$ 
using assms by auto

```

```

lemma Prop08:
assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow g \vee h$ 
 $\vdash h \rightarrow h1$ 
shows  $\vdash f \rightarrow g \vee h1$ 
using assms by fastforce

```

```

lemma Prop09:
assumes  $\vdash f \wedge g \rightarrow h$ 
shows  $\vdash f \rightarrow (g \rightarrow h)$ 
using assms by auto

```

```

lemma Prop10:
assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow g$ 
shows  $\vdash f = (f \wedge g)$ 
using assms by auto

```

```

lemma Prop11:
 $(\vdash f = f1) = ((\vdash f \rightarrow f1) \wedge (\vdash f1 \rightarrow f))$ 
by (auto simp: Valid-def)

```

```

lemma Prop12:
 $(\vdash f \rightarrow (f1 \wedge f2)) = ((\vdash f \rightarrow f1) \wedge (\vdash f \rightarrow f2))$ 
by (auto simp: Valid-def)

```

```

lemma Prop13:
assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow g \vee h$ 
shows  $\vdash f \wedge \neg h \rightarrow g$ 
using assms by (auto simp: Valid-def)

```

6.2 State formulas

The *init* operator denotes state formulas, i.e., ITL formula that only constrain the first state of an interval.

```

lemma Initprop :
 $\vdash ((\text{init } f) \wedge (\text{init } g)) = \text{init}(f \wedge g)$ 
 $\vdash (\neg (\text{init } f)) = \text{init}(\neg f)$ 
 $\vdash ((\text{init } f) \vee (\text{init } g)) = \text{init}(f \vee g)$ 
 $\vdash \text{init} \# \text{True}$ 
by (auto simp: itl-defs)

```

```

lemma Finprop :
 $\vdash ((\# \text{True};(f \wedge \text{empty})) \wedge (\# \text{True};(g \wedge \text{empty}))) = (\# \text{True};((f \wedge g) \wedge \text{empty}))$ 
 $\vdash ((\# \text{True};(f \wedge \text{empty})) \vee (\# \text{True};(g \wedge \text{empty}))) = (\# \text{True};((f \vee g) \wedge \text{empty}))$ 
 $\vdash (\# \text{True};((\# \text{True}) \wedge \text{empty}))$ 
 $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow (\neg (\# \text{True};(f \wedge \text{empty}))) = (\# \text{True};(\neg f \wedge \text{empty}))$ 

```

```

 $\vdash (\neg (\# \text{True}; (f \wedge \text{empty}))) = (\# \text{True}; (\neg f \wedge \text{empty})) \wedge \text{finite}$ 
using nfinite-nlength-enat
by (auto simp: finalt-defs finite-defs zero-enat-def,
      auto simp add: itl-defs nfinite-nlength-enat zero-enat-def, force)

```

6.3 finite and inf properties

lemma *EmptyImpFinite*:

```

 $\vdash \text{empty} \longrightarrow \text{finite}$ 
using nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD by (auto simp add: itl-defs zero-enat-def)

```

lemma *SkipChopFiniteImpFinite*:

```

 $\vdash \text{skip}; \text{finite} \longrightarrow \text{finite}$ 
using nfinite-ndropn nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD
by (simp add: Valid-def itl-defs, force)

```

lemma *FiniteChopSkipImpFinite*:

```

 $\vdash \text{finite}; \text{skip} \longrightarrow \text{finite}$ 
using nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD
by (simp add: Valid-def itl-defs, force)

```

lemma *FiniteChopSkipImpMore*:

```

 $\vdash \text{finite}; \text{skip} \longrightarrow \text{more}$ 
using nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD one-enat-def
by (simp add: Valid-def itl-defs, force)

```

lemma *FiniteAndMoreImpFiniteChopSkip*:

```

 $\vdash \text{finite} \wedge \text{more} \longrightarrow \text{finite}; \text{skip}$ 
by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs zero-enat-def)
  (metis Suc-ile-eq diff-diff-cancel diff-le-self enat-ord-simps(1) idiff-enat nfinite-nlength-enat)

```

lemma *FiniteChopSkipEqvFiniteAndMore*:

```

 $\vdash \text{finite}; \text{skip} = (\text{finite} \wedge \text{more})$ 
by (simp add: FiniteAndMoreImpFiniteChopSkip FiniteChopSkipImpFinite FiniteChopSkipImpMore
Prop12 int-iffI)

```

lemma *FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite*:

```

 $\vdash \text{finite}; \text{skip} = \text{skip}; \text{finite}$ 
by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)
  (metis enat.distinct(1) enat-add-sub-same enat-le-plus-same(2) le-iff-add ,
   metis eSuc-enat enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same idiff-enat-0-right iless-Suc-eq le-zero-eq
less-eqE min.orderE nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD not-le one-eSuc plus-1-eSuc(2),
metis add.commute enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same idiff-enat le-iff-add
nfinite-nlength-enat)

```

lemma *FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty*:

```

 $\vdash (\text{finite} \wedge \text{empty}) = \text{empty}$ 
by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD
zero-enat-def)

```

```

lemma FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite:
  ⊢ finite;finite = finite
by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs) (metis zero-enat-def zero-le)

lemma InfChopInfEqvInf:
  ⊢ inf;inf = inf
by (simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)

lemma InfChopFiniteEqvInf:
  ⊢ inf;finite = inf
by (simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)

lemma FiniteChopInfEqvInf:
  ⊢ finite;inf = inf
by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs) (metis zero-enat-def zero-le)

lemma InfEqvNotFinite:
  ⊢ inf = (¬ finite)
by (simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)

lemma FiniteEqvNotInf:
  ⊢ finite = (¬ inf)
by (simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)

lemma ChopTrueAndFiniteEqvAndFiniteChopFinite:
  ⊢ ((f;# True) ∧ finite) = (f ∧ finite);finite
by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)

lemma TrueChopAndFiniteEqvAndFiniteChopFinite:
  ⊢ ((# True;f) ∧ finite) = finite;(f ∧ finite)
by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)

lemma FiniteChopMoreEqvMore:
  ⊢ finite;more = more
by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)
  (metis idiff-0-right zero-enat-def zero-le)

lemma ChopAndFiniteDist:
  ⊢ ((f;g) ∧ finite) = (f ∧ finite);(g ∧ finite)
by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)

lemma FiniteOrInfinite:
  ⊢ finite ∨ inf
by (simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)

lemma FiniteImpAnd:
  assumes ⊢ finite → f = g
  shows ⊢ (f ∧ finite) = (g ∧ finite)
  using assms by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)

```

lemma *FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite*:
 $\vdash \text{fmore} = \text{skip};\text{finite}$
by (*metis FiniteChopSkipEqvFiniteAndMore FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite fmore-d-def inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)

lemma *FiniteImp*:
 $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow g) = (f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow g \wedge \text{finite})$
by (*simp add: itl-defs Valid-def*)

lemma *ChopAndInf*:
 $\vdash ((f;g) \wedge \text{inf}) = (f;(g \wedge \text{inf}))$
by (*auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs*)

lemma *ChopAndInfB*:
 $\vdash ((f;g) \wedge \text{inf}) = ((f \wedge \text{inf}) \vee (f \wedge \text{finite});(g \wedge \text{inf}))$
by (*auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs*)

lemma *MoreAndInfEqvInf*:
 $\vdash (\text{more} \wedge \text{inf}) = \text{inf}$
by (*metis ChopAndInf EmptyImpFinite FiniteChopMoreEqvMore InfEqvNotFinite Prop11 Prop12 empty-d-def finite-d-def int-simps(32) inteq-reflection*)

lemma *AndInfChopAndInfEqvAndInf*:
 $\vdash (f \wedge \text{inf});(f \wedge \text{inf}) = (f \wedge \text{inf})$
by (*auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs*)

lemma *AndInfChopEqvAndInf*:
 $\vdash (f \wedge \text{inf});g = (f \wedge \text{inf})$
by (*auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs*)

lemma *AndMoreAndInfEqvAndInf*:
 $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{inf}) = (f \wedge \text{inf})$
by (*auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD zero-enat-def*)
 $(\text{metis gr-zeroI nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD zero-enat-def})$

lemma *AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore*:
 $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) = (f \wedge \text{fmore})$
by (*auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs*)

lemma *NotFmoreAndEmpty*:
 $\vdash \neg (\text{empty} \wedge \text{fmore})$
by (*auto simp add: fmore-d-def empty-d-def*)

lemma *NotFmoreAndInf*:
 $\vdash \neg ((f \wedge \text{inf}) \wedge \text{fmore})$
by (*auto simp add: fmore-d-def finite-d-def infinite-d-def*)

lemma *FmoreChopAnd*:
 $\vdash (((f \wedge \text{more});g) \wedge \text{fmore}) = ((f \wedge \text{fmore});(g \wedge \text{finite}))$
by (*auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs*)

```

lemma NotEmptyAndInf:
   $\vdash \neg(\text{empty} \wedge \text{inf})$ 
by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD zero-enat-def)

```

```

lemma OrFiniteInf:
   $\vdash f = ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}))$ 
by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)

```

```

lemma AndInfEqvChopFalse:
   $\vdash (f \wedge \text{inf}) = f; \# \text{False}$ 
by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)

```

6.4 Basic Theorems

```

lemma BiChopImpChop :
   $\vdash bi(f \rightarrow f1) \rightarrow f; g \rightarrow f1; g$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash g \rightarrow g$  by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash \Box(g \rightarrow g)$  by (rule BoxGen)
  have 3:  $\vdash bi(f \rightarrow f1) \wedge \Box(g \rightarrow g) \rightarrow f; g \rightarrow f1; g$  by (rule BiBoxChopImpChop)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma AndChopA:
   $\vdash (f \wedge f1); g \rightarrow f; g$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f \wedge f1 \rightarrow f$  by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash bi(f \wedge f1 \rightarrow f)$  by (rule BiGen)
  have 3:  $\vdash bi(f \wedge f1 \rightarrow f) \rightarrow (f \wedge f1); g \rightarrow f; g$  by (rule BiChopImpChop)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis using MP by blast
qed

```

```

lemma AndChopB:
   $\vdash (f \wedge f1); g \rightarrow f1; g$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f \wedge f1 \rightarrow f1$  by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash bi(f \wedge f1 \rightarrow f1)$  by (rule BiGen)
  have 3:  $\vdash bi(f \wedge f1 \rightarrow f1) \rightarrow (f \wedge f1); g \rightarrow f1; g$  by (rule BiChopImpChop)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis using MP by blast
qed

```

```

lemma NextChop:
   $\vdash (\bigcirc f); g = \bigcirc(f; g)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash skip; (f; g) = (skip; f); g$  by (rule ChopAssoc)
  show ?thesis by (metis 1 int-eq next-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma BoxChopImpChop :

```

$\vdash \Box(g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow f;g \rightarrow f;g1$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash g \rightarrow g$ by auto

hence 2: $\vdash bi(g \rightarrow g)$ by (rule BiGen)

have 3: $\vdash bi(f \rightarrow f) \wedge \Box(g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow f;g \rightarrow f;g1$ by (rule BiBoxChopImpChop)

from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce

qed

lemma LeftChopImpChop:

assumes $\vdash f \rightarrow f1$

shows $\vdash f;g \rightarrow f1;g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow f1$ using assms by auto

hence 2: $\vdash bi(f \rightarrow f1)$ by (rule BiGen)

have 3: $\vdash bi(f \rightarrow f1) \rightarrow f;g \rightarrow f1;g$ by (rule BiChopImpChop)

from 2 3 show ?thesis using MP by blast

qed

lemma RightChopImpChop:

assumes $\vdash g \rightarrow g1$

shows $\vdash f;g \rightarrow f;g1$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash g \rightarrow g1$ using assms by auto

hence 2: $\vdash \Box(g \rightarrow g1)$ by (rule BoxGen)

have 3: $\vdash \Box(g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow f;g \rightarrow f;g1$ by (rule BoxChopImpChop)

from 2 3 show ?thesis using MP by blast

qed

lemma RightChopEqvChop:

assumes $\vdash g = g1$

shows $\vdash (f;g) = (f;g1)$

using assms RightChopImpChop[of g g1 f] RightChopImpChop[of g1 g f]

by fastforce

lemma InfRightChopEqvChop:

assumes $\vdash inf \rightarrow g = g1$

shows $\vdash inf \rightarrow (f;g) = (f;g1)$

using assms

by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)

lemma ChopOrEqv:

$\vdash f;(g \vee g1) = (f;g \vee f;g1)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash g \rightarrow g \vee g1$ by auto

hence 2: $\vdash f;g \rightarrow f;(g \vee g1)$ by (rule RightChopImpChop)

have 3: $\vdash g1 \rightarrow g \vee g1$ by auto

hence 4: $\vdash f;g1 \rightarrow f;(g \vee g1)$ by (rule RightChopImpChop)

from 2 4 show ?thesis by (meson ChopOrImp Prop02 Prop11)

qed

lemma *OrChopEqv*:
 $\vdash (f \vee f1);g = (f;g \vee f1;g)$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow f \vee f1$ **by auto**
 hence 2: $\vdash f;g \rightarrow (f \vee f1);g$ **by** (rule *LeftChopImpChop*)
 have 3: $\vdash f1 \rightarrow f \vee f1$ **by auto**
 hence 4: $\vdash f1;g \rightarrow (f \vee f1);g$ **by** (rule *LeftChopImpChop*)
 from 2 4 **show** ?thesis
by (meson *OrChopImp int-iffI Prop02*)
qed

lemma *OrChopImpRule*:
assumes $\vdash f \rightarrow f1 \vee f2$
shows $\vdash f;g \rightarrow (f1;g) \vee (f2;g)$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow f1 \vee f2$ **using assms by auto**
 hence 2: $\vdash f;g \rightarrow (f1 \vee f2);g$ **by** (rule *LeftChopImpChop*)
 have 3: $\vdash (f1 \vee f2);g = (f1;g \vee f2;g)$ **by** (rule *OrChopEqv*)
 from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *LeftChopEqvChop*:
assumes $\vdash f = f1$
shows $\vdash f;g = (f1;g)$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash f = f1$ **using assms by auto**
 hence 2: $\vdash f \rightarrow f1$ **by auto**
 hence 3: $\vdash f;g \rightarrow f1;g$ **by** (rule *LeftChopImpChop*)
 have $\vdash f1 \rightarrow f$ **using 1 by auto**
 hence 4: $\vdash f1;g \rightarrow f;g$ **by** (rule *LeftChopImpChop*)
 from 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: *int-iffI*)
qed

lemma *OrChopEqvRule*:
assumes $\vdash f = (f1 \vee f2)$
shows $\vdash f;g = ((f1;g) \vee (f2;g))$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash f = (f1 \vee f2)$ **using assms by auto**
 hence 2: $\vdash f;g = ((f1 \vee f2);g)$ **by** (rule *LeftChopEqvChop*)
 have 3: $\vdash (f1 \vee f2);g = (f1;g \vee f2;g)$ **by** (rule *OrChopEqv*)
 from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *NextImpNext*:
assumes $\vdash f \rightarrow g$
shows $\vdash \circ f \rightarrow \circ g$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow g$ **using assms by auto**
 hence 2: $\vdash \Box(f \rightarrow g)$ **by** (rule *BoxGen*)
 have 3: $\vdash \Box(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (\text{skip};f) \rightarrow (\text{skip};g)$ **by** (rule *BoxChopImpChop*)

```

have 4:  $\vdash (skip;f) \longrightarrow (skip;g)$  by (metis 2 3 MP)
from 4 show ?thesis by (metis next-d-def)
qed

lemma ChopOrImpRule:
assumes  $\vdash g \longrightarrow g1 \vee g2$ 
shows  $\vdash f;g \longrightarrow (f;g1) \vee (f;g2)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash g \longrightarrow g1 \vee g2$  using assms by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash f;g \longrightarrow f;(g1 \vee g2)$  by (rule RightChopImpChop)
have 3:  $\vdash f;(g1 \vee g2) = (f;g1 \vee f;g2)$  by (rule ChopOrEqv)
from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma NextImpDist:
 $\vdash \circ(f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \circ f \longrightarrow \circ g$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\neg(f \longrightarrow g)) = (f \wedge \neg g)$  by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash skip;(\neg(f \longrightarrow g)) = skip;(f \wedge \neg g)$  by (rule RightChopEqvChop)
have 3:  $\vdash f \longrightarrow g \vee (f \wedge \neg g)$  by auto
hence 4:  $\vdash skip;f \longrightarrow (skip;g) \vee (skip;(f \wedge \neg g))$  by (rule ChopOrImpRule)
hence 5:  $\vdash \neg(skip;(f \wedge \neg g)) \longrightarrow (skip;f) \longrightarrow (skip;g)$  by auto
have 6:  $\vdash \neg(skip;(\neg(f \longrightarrow g))) \longrightarrow (skip;f) \longrightarrow (skip;g)$  using 2 5 by fastforce
hence 7:  $\vdash \neg(\circ(\neg(f \longrightarrow g))) \longrightarrow (\circ f) \longrightarrow (\circ g)$  by (simp add: next-d-def)
have 8:  $\vdash \circ(f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \neg(\circ(\neg(f \longrightarrow g)))$  by (rule NextImpNotNextNot)
from 7 8 show ?thesis using lift-imp-trans by blast
qed

```

```

lemma FiniteChopImpDiamond:
 $\vdash (f \wedge finite);g \longrightarrow \diamond g$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f \wedge finite \longrightarrow finite$  by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash (f \wedge finite);g \longrightarrow finite;g$  by (rule LeftChopImpChop)
from 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma NowImpDiamond:
 $\vdash f \longrightarrow \diamond f$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash empty;f = f$  by (rule EmptyChop)
have 2:  $\vdash empty \longrightarrow finite$  by (rule EmptyImpFinite)
hence 3:  $\vdash empty;f \longrightarrow finite;f$  by (rule LeftChopImpChop)
have 4:  $\vdash f \longrightarrow finite;f$  using 1 3 by fastforce
from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma BoxElim:
 $\vdash \Box f \longrightarrow f$ 
proof -

```

```

have 1:  $\vdash \neg f \rightarrow \diamond (\neg f)$  by (rule NowImpDiamond)
hence 2:  $\vdash \neg (\diamond (\neg f)) \rightarrow f$  by auto
from 2 show ?thesis by (metis always-d-def)
qed

```

lemma NextDiamondImpDiamond:

$\vdash \circ (\diamond f) \rightarrow \diamond f$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash \text{skip};(\text{finite};f) = ((\text{skip};\text{finite});f)$  by (rule ChopAssoc)
hence 2:  $\vdash (\text{skip};\text{finite});f = \text{skip};(\text{finite};f)$  by auto
hence 3:  $\vdash (\text{skip};\text{finite});f = \circ(\diamond f)$  by (simp add: next-d-def sometimes-d-def)
have 4:  $\vdash (\text{skip};\text{finite});f \rightarrow \diamond f$ 
by (simp add: SkipChopFiniteImpFinite LeftChopImpChop sometimes-d-def)
from 3 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma BoxImpNowAndWeakNext:

$\vdash \square f \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{wnext}(\square f))$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash \neg f \rightarrow \diamond (\neg f)$  by (rule NowImpDiamond)
hence 2:  $\vdash \neg (\diamond (\neg f)) \rightarrow f$  by auto
hence 3:  $\vdash \square f \rightarrow f$  by (metis always-d-def)
have 4:  $\vdash \circ (\diamond (\neg f)) \rightarrow \diamond (\neg f)$  by (rule NextDiamondImpDiamond)
have 5:  $\vdash \neg \neg (\diamond (\neg f)) \rightarrow \diamond (\neg f)$  by auto
hence 6:  $\vdash \circ (\neg \neg (\diamond (\neg f))) \rightarrow \circ (\diamond (\neg f))$  by (rule NextImpNext)
have 7:  $\vdash \circ (\neg \neg (\diamond (\neg f))) \rightarrow \diamond (\neg f)$  using 4 6 by auto
hence 8:  $\vdash \circ (\neg (\square f)) \rightarrow \diamond (\neg f)$  by (simp add: always-d-def)
hence 9:  $\vdash \neg (\diamond (\neg f)) \rightarrow \neg (\circ (\neg (\square f)))$  by auto
hence 10:  $\vdash \square f \rightarrow \text{wnext}(\square f)$  by (simp add: always-d-def wnnext-d-def)
from 3 10 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

qed

lemma BoxImpBoxRule:

assumes $\vdash f \rightarrow g$

shows $\vdash \square f \rightarrow \square g$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash f \rightarrow g$  using assms by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash \neg g \rightarrow \neg f$  by auto
hence 3:  $\vdash \square(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)$  by (rule BoxGen)
have 4:  $\vdash \square(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow (\text{finite};(\neg g)) \rightarrow (\text{finite};(\neg f))$  by (rule BoxChopImpChop)
have 5:  $\vdash (\text{finite};(\neg g)) \rightarrow (\text{finite};(\neg f))$  using 3 4 MP by blast
hence 6:  $\vdash \diamond(\neg g) \rightarrow \diamond(\neg f)$  by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)
hence 7:  $\vdash \neg (\diamond(\neg f)) \rightarrow \neg (\diamond(\neg g))$  by auto
from 7 show ?thesis by (simp add: always-d-def)
qed

```

lemma BoxImpDist:

$\vdash \square(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow \square f \rightarrow \square g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)$

```

by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash \Box(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow \Box(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)$ 
  by (rule BoxImpBoxRule)
have 3:  $\vdash \Box((\neg g) \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow (\text{finite}; (\neg g)) \rightarrow (\text{finite}; (\neg f))$ 
  by (rule BoxChopImpChop)
have 4:  $\vdash \Box(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (\text{finite}; (\neg g)) \rightarrow (\text{finite}; (\neg f))$ 
  using 2 3 lift-imp-trans by blast
hence 5:  $\vdash \Box(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow \Diamond(\neg g) \rightarrow \Diamond(\neg f)$ 
  by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)
hence 6:  $\vdash \Box(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow \neg(\Diamond(\neg f)) \rightarrow \neg(\Diamond(\neg g))$ 
  by auto
from 6 show ?thesis by (simp add: always-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma DiamondEmptyEqvFinite:
   $\vdash \Diamond \text{empty} = \text{finite}$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash \text{finite}; \text{empty} = \text{finite}$  by (rule ChopEmpty)
  from 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma NextEqvNext:
assumes  $\vdash f = g$ 
shows  $\vdash \circ f = \circ g$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f = g$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash \text{skip}; f = \text{skip}; g$  by (rule RightChopEqvChop)
  from 1 show ?thesis by (metis 2 next-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma NextAndNextImpNextRule:
assumes  $\vdash (f \wedge g) \rightarrow h$ 
shows  $\vdash (\circ f \wedge \circ g) \rightarrow \circ h$ 
using assms
by (simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)

```

```

lemma NextAndNextEqvNextRule:
assumes  $\vdash (f \wedge g) = h$ 
shows  $\vdash (\circ f \wedge \circ g) = \circ h$ 
using assms
by (simp add: NextAndNextImpNextRule NextImpNext Prop11 Prop12)

```

```

lemma WeakNextEqvWeakNext:
assumes  $\vdash f = g$ 
shows  $\vdash \text{wnext } f = \text{wnext } g$ 
using assms using inteq-reflection by force

```

```

lemma DiamondImpDiamond:
assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow g$ 
shows  $\vdash \Diamond f \rightarrow \Diamond g$ 

```

```

using assms by (simp add: RightChopImpChop sometimes-d-def)

lemma DiamondEqvDiamond:
assumes ⊢ f = g
shows ⊢ ◊ f = ◊ g
using assms using int-eq by force

lemma BoxEqvBox:
assumes ⊢ f = g
shows ⊢ □ f = □ g
using assms using inteq-reflection by force

lemma BoxAndBoxImpBoxRule:
assumes ⊢ f ∧ g → h
shows ⊢ □ f ∧ □ g → □ h
using assms by (auto simp: itl-defs Valid-def)

lemma BoxAndBoxEqvBoxRule:
assumes ⊢ (f ∧ g) = h
shows ⊢ (□ f ∧ □ g) = □ h
using assms BoxAndBoxImpBoxRule BoxImpBoxRule by (metis int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI Prop12)

lemma ImpBoxRule:
assumes ⊢ f → g
shows ⊢ □ f → □ g
using assms by (simp add: BoxImpBoxRule)

lemma WnextEqvEmptyOrNext:
⊢ wnext f = (empty ∨ ○ f)
by (auto simp: Valid-def itl-defs zero-enat-def)

lemma BoxIntro:
assumes ⊢ f → g
shows ⊢ more ∧ f → ○ f
proof -
have 1: ⊢ more ∧ f → ○ f
  using assms by auto
hence 2: ⊢ f → (empty ∨ ○ f)
  unfolding empty-d-def by fastforce
hence 3: ⊢ f → wnext f
  by (metis WnextEqvEmptyOrNext inteq-reflection)
hence 4: ⊢ □(f → wnext f)
  by (rule BoxGen)
have 5: ⊢ (□(f → wnext f)) ∧ f → □ f
  by (rule BoxInduct)
hence 6: ⊢ (□(f → wnext f)) → (f → □ f)
  by fastforce
have 7: ⊢ f → □ f
  using 4 6 MP by blast

```

```

have 8:  $\vdash \Box f \rightarrow f$ 
  by (rule BoxElim)
have 9:  $\vdash f = \Box f$ 
  using 7 8 by fastforce
have 10:  $\vdash f \rightarrow g$ 
  using assms by auto
hence 11:  $\vdash \Box f \rightarrow \Box g$ 
  by (rule ImpBoxRule)
from 7 9 11 show ?thesis
  using lift-imp-trans by blast
qed

lemma NextLoop:
assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow \Diamond f$ 
shows  $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow \neg f$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f \rightarrow \Diamond f$ 
  using assms by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash f \rightarrow (\text{more} \wedge \text{wnext } f)$ 
  unfolding more-d-def wnnext-d-def
  by (metis NextImpNext NextImpNotNextNot Prop05 Prop10 Prop12 int-iffD1 int-simps(29) lift-imp-trans)
hence 3:  $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{wnext } f$ 
  by auto
hence 4:  $\vdash \Box(f \rightarrow \text{wnext } f)$ 
  by (rule BoxGen)
have 5:  $\vdash \Box(f \rightarrow \text{wnext } f) \wedge f \rightarrow \Box f$ 
  by (rule BoxInduct)
hence 6:  $\vdash \Box(f \rightarrow \text{wnext } f) \rightarrow (f \rightarrow \Box f)$ 
  by fastforce
have 7:  $\vdash f \rightarrow \Box f$ 
  using 4 6 MP by blast
have 8:  $\vdash \Box f \rightarrow f$ 
  by (rule BoxElim)
have 9:  $\vdash f = \Box f$ 
  using 7 8 by fastforce
have 10:  $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{more}$ 
  using 2 by auto
hence 11:  $\vdash \Box f \rightarrow \Box \text{more}$ 
  by (rule ImpBoxRule)
have 12:  $\vdash \text{finite} = (\neg(\Box \text{more}))$ 
  by (metis DiamondEmptyEqvFinite InfEqvNotFinite always-d-def empty-d-def finite-d-def int-eq)
from 7 9 11 12 show ?thesis
  by fastforce
qed

lemma NotEmptyAndNext:
 $\vdash \neg(\text{empty} \wedge \Diamond f)$ 
by (auto simp: Valid-def itl-defs zero-enat-def)

lemma BoxEqvAndWnnextBox:

```

```

 $\vdash \Box f = (f \wedge \text{wnext}(\Box f))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash \Box f \longrightarrow f \wedge \text{wnext}(\Box f)$ 
    using BoxImpNowAndWeakNext by blast
  have 2:  $\vdash f \wedge \text{wnext}(\Box f) \longrightarrow f$ 
    by auto
  have 3:  $\vdash \text{more} \wedge (f \wedge \text{wnext}(\Box f)) \longrightarrow \Diamond(f \wedge \text{wnext}(\Box f))$ 
    using 1 NextImpNext WnextEqvEmptyOrNext empty-d-def int-iffD1
    by (metis Prop01 Prop05 Prop08)
  have 4:  $\vdash f \wedge \text{wnext}(\Box f) \longrightarrow \Box f$ 
    using 2 3 BoxIntro by blast
  from 1 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox:
 $\vdash \Box f = (f \wedge (\text{empty} \vee \Diamond(\Box f)))$ 
using BoxEqvAndWnextBox WnextEqvEmptyOrNext by (metis int-eq)

lemma BoxEqvBoxBox:
 $\vdash \Box \Box f = \Box (\Box f)$ 
using BoxGen BoxInduct
by (metis BoxImpNowAndWeakNext MP int-iffI Prop09 Prop12)

lemma BoxBoxImpBox:
 $\vdash \Box(\Box h) \longrightarrow \Box h$ 
by (simp add: BoxElim)

lemma BoxImpBoxBox:
 $\vdash \Box h \longrightarrow \Box(\Box h)$ 
by (simp add: BoxEqvBoxBox int-iffD1)

lemma DiamondIntroC:
assumes  $\vdash f \longrightarrow \Diamond g$ 
shows  $\vdash f \longrightarrow \Diamond g$ 
using assms
by (metis (no-types, lifting) ChopAssoc FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite NextChop
   NextDiamondImpDiamond NowImpDiamond inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans next-d-def
   sometimes-d-def)

lemma DiamondIntro:
assumes  $\vdash (f \wedge \neg g) \longrightarrow \Diamond f$ 
shows  $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \Diamond g$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f \wedge \neg g \longrightarrow \Diamond f$ 
    using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f \wedge \neg g \wedge (\Box(\neg g)) \longrightarrow (\Diamond f) \wedge (\Box(\neg g))$ 
    by auto
  have 3:  $\vdash (\Box(\neg g)) \longrightarrow \neg g$ 
    by (rule BoxElim)
  hence 4:  $\vdash \Box(\neg g) = ((\Box(\neg g)) \wedge \neg g)$ 

```

```

using BoxImpBoxBox BoxBoxImpBox by fastforce
have 5: ⊢ f ∧ (□ (¬ g)) → □ f ∧ □ (¬ g)
  using 2 4 by fastforce
have 6: ⊢ □ (¬ g) = ((¬ g) ∧ wnext(□ (¬ g)))
  using BoxEqvAndWnextBox by metis
have 7: ⊢ □ f ∧ □ (¬ g) → □ f ∧ wnext(□ (¬ g))
  using 6 by auto
have 8: ⊢ f ∧ (□ (¬ g)) → □ f ∧ wnext(□ (¬ g))
  using 5 7 using lift-imp-trans by blast
hence 9: ⊢ f ∧ (□ (¬ g)) → more ∧ wnext f ∧ wnext(□ (¬ g))
  using zero-enat-def by (auto simp: Valid-def itl-defs)
hence 10: ⊢ f ∧ (□ (¬ g)) → wnext f ∧ wnext(□ (¬ g))
  by auto
hence 11: ⊢ f ∧ (□ (¬ g)) → wnext (f ∧ □ (¬ g))
  by (auto simp: Valid-def itl-defs)
hence 12: ⊢ □(f ∧ (□ (¬ g))) → wnext (f ∧ □ (¬ g))
  by (rule BoxGen)
have 13: ⊢ □(f ∧ (□ (¬ g))) → wnext (f ∧ □ (¬ g)) ∧ f ∧ (□ (¬ g)) → □(f ∧ (□ (¬ g)))
  by (rule BoxInduct)
hence 14: ⊢ □(f ∧ (□ (¬ g))) → wnext (f ∧ □ (¬ g)) → ((f ∧ (□ (¬ g))) → □(f ∧ (□ (¬ g))))
  by fastforce
have 15: ⊢ ((f ∧ (□ (¬ g))) → □(f ∧ (□ (¬ g)))) using 12 14 MP by blast
have 16: ⊢ □(f ∧ (□ (¬ g))) → (f ∧ (□ (¬ g)))
  by (rule BoxElim)
have 17: ⊢ □(f ∧ (□ (¬ g))) = (f ∧ (□ (¬ g)))
  using 16 15 by fastforce
have 18: ⊢ (f ∧ (□ (¬ g))) → more
  using 9 by auto
hence 19: ⊢ □(f ∧ (□ (¬ g))) → □ more
  by (rule ImpBoxRule)
have 20: ⊢ finite = (¬(□ more))
  by (metis DiamondEmptyEqvFinite InfEqvNotFinite always-d-def empty-d-def finite-d-def int-eq)
have 21: ⊢ finite → ¬(f ∧ (□ (¬ g)))
  using 17 19 20 by fastforce
hence 22: ⊢ finite → ¬ f ∨ ¬ (□ (¬ g))
  by auto
have 23: ⊢ (¬ (□ (¬ g))) = ◊ g
  by (auto simp: always-d-def)
from 22 23 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma DiamondIntroB:

```

assumes ⊢ (f ∧ ¬ g) → □ (f ∧ ¬ g)
shows ⊢ f ∧ finite → ◊ g
proof -
have 1: ⊢ (f ∧ ¬ g) → □ (f ∧ ¬ g) using assms by auto
hence 2: ⊢ finite → ¬(f ∧ ¬ g) by (rule NextLoop)
hence 3: ⊢ f ∧ finite → g by auto
have 4: ⊢ g → ◊ g by (rule NowImpDiamond)

```

```

from 3 4 show ?thesis using lift-imp-trans by blast
qed

lemma NextContra :
assumes ⊢ (f ∧ ¬ g) → (○ f ∧ ¬(○ g))
shows ⊢ f ∧ finite → g
proof -
have 1: ⊢ (f ∧ ¬ g) → (○ f ∧ ¬(○ g)) using assms by auto
hence 2: ⊢ ¬(f → g) → ○(¬(f → g)) by (auto simp: itl-defs Valid-def)
hence 3: ⊢ finite → ¬(f → g) by (rule NextLoop)
from 3 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma DiamondDiamondEqvDiamond:
⊢ ◊(◊ f) = ◊ f
proof -
have 1: ⊢ finite;finite = finite by (simp add: FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite)
hence 2: ⊢ (finite;finite);f = finite;f using LeftChopEqvChop by blast
have 3: ⊢ (finite;finite);f = finite;(finite;f) using ChopAssoc by fastforce
from 2 3 show ?thesis by (metis inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def)
qed

lemma WeakNextDiamondInduct:
assumes ⊢ wnext (◊ f) → f
shows ⊢ finite → f
proof -
have 1: ⊢ wnext (◊ f) → f using assms by blast
hence 2: ⊢ ¬ f → ¬(wnext (◊ f)) by fastforce
hence 3: ⊢ ¬ f → ○(¬(◊ f)) by (simp add: wnext-d-def)
have 4: ⊢ f → ◊ f by (rule NowImpDiamond)
hence 5: ⊢ ¬(◊ f) → ¬ f by auto
have 6: ⊢ ¬f → ○(¬f) using 3 5 using NextImpNext lift-imp-trans by blast
hence 7: ⊢ finite → ¬¬ f by (rule NextLoop)
from 7 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma EmptyNextInducta:
assumes ⊢ empty → f
      ⊢ ○ f → f
shows ⊢ finite → f
proof -
have 1: ⊢ empty → f using assms by auto
have 2: ⊢ ○ f → f using assms by blast
have 3: ⊢ (empty ∨ ○ f) → f using 1 2 by fastforce
have 4: ⊢ wnext f = (empty ∨ ○ f) by (rule WnextEqvEmptyOrNext)
hence 5: ⊢ wnext f → f using 3 by fastforce
hence 6: ⊢ ¬f → ¬(wnext f) by auto
hence 7: ⊢ ¬f → ○(¬f) by (auto simp: wnext-d-def)
hence 8: ⊢ finite → ¬¬ f by (rule NextLoop)
from 8 show ?thesis by auto

```

qed

```
lemma EmptyNextInductb:
assumes ⊢ empty ∧ f → g
      ⊢ ○(f → g) ∧ f → g
shows ⊢ f ∧ finite → g
proof -
have 1: ⊢ empty ∧ f → g using assms by auto
have 2: ⊢ ○(f → g) ∧ f → g using assms by blast
have 3: ⊢ (empty ∨ ○(f → g)) ∧ f → g using 1 2 by fastforce
hence 4: ⊢ wnext(f → g) ∧ f → g using WnextEqvEmptyOrNext by fastforce
hence 5: ⊢ wnext(f → g) → (f → g) by fastforce
hence 6: ⊢ ¬(f → g) → ¬(wnext(f → g)) by fastforce
hence 7: ⊢ ¬(f → g) → ○(¬(f → g)) by (simp add: wnext-d-def)
hence 8: ⊢ finite → ¬(f → g) by (rule NextLoop)
from 8 show ?thesis by auto
qed
```

```
lemma FinImpFin:
assumes ⊢ f → g
shows ⊢ fin f → fin g
using ImpBoxRule[of LIFT (empty → f) LIFT (empty → g)] assms
fin-d-def[of f] fin-d-def[of g] by fastforce
```

```
lemma FinEqvFin:
assumes ⊢ f = g
shows ⊢ fin f = fin g
using assms by (simp add: FinImpFin Prop11)
```

```
lemma FinAndFinImpFinRule:
assumes ⊢ f ∧ g → h
shows ⊢ fin f ∧ fin g → fin h
using assms by (auto simp add: itl-defs Valid-def)
```

```
lemma FinAndFinEqvFinRule:
assumes ⊢ (f ∧ g) = h
shows ⊢ (fin f ∧ fin g) = fin h
using assms
by (simp add: FinAndFinImpFinRule FinImpFin Prop11 Prop12)
```

```
lemma HaltEqvHalt:
assumes ⊢ f = g
shows ⊢ halt f = halt g
proof -
have 1: ⊢ f = g using assms by auto
hence 2: ⊢ (empty = f) = (empty = g) by auto
hence 3: ⊢ □(empty = f) = □(empty = g) by (rule BoxEqvBox)
from 3 show ?thesis by (simp add: halt-d-def)
qed
```

```

lemma BiImpDiImpDi:
  ⊢ bi (f → g) → di f → di g
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ bi (f → g) → (f; #True) → (g; #True) by (rule BiChopImpChop)
  from 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: di-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma DiImpDi:
  assumes ⊢ f → g
  shows ⊢ di f → di g
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ f → g using assms by auto
  hence 2: ⊢ f; #True → g; #True by (rule LeftChopImpChop)
  from 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: di-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma BiImpBiRule:
  assumes ⊢ f → g
  shows ⊢ bi f → bi g
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ f → g using assms by auto
  hence 2: ⊢ ¬ g → ¬ f by auto
  hence 3: ⊢ di (¬ g) → di (¬ f) by (rule DiImpDi)
  hence 4: ⊢ ¬ (di (¬ f)) → ¬ (di (¬ g)) by auto
  from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: bi-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma DiEqvDi:
  assumes ⊢ f = g
  shows ⊢ di f = di g
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ f = g using assms by auto
  hence 2: ⊢ f; #True = g; #True by (rule LeftChopEqvChop)
  from 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: di-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma BiEqvBi:
  assumes ⊢ f = g
  shows ⊢ bi f = bi g
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ f = g using assms by auto
  hence 2: ⊢ (¬ f) = (¬ g) by auto
  hence 3: ⊢ di (¬ f) = di (¬ g) by (rule DiEqvDi)
  hence 4: ⊢ (¬ (di (¬ f))) = (¬ (di (¬ g))) by auto
  from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: bi-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma LeftChopChopImpChopRule:
  assumes ⊢ (f; g) → g

```

shows $\vdash (f; g); h \rightarrow (g; h)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f; g) \rightarrow g$ **using assms by blast**

hence 2: $\vdash (f; g); h \rightarrow g; h$ **by (rule LeftChopImpChop)**

have 3: $\vdash f; (g; h) = (f; g); h$ **by (rule ChopAssoc)**

from 2 3 **show ?thesis by auto**

qed

lemma AndChopCommute :

$\vdash (f \wedge f1); g = (f1 \wedge f); g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge f1) = (f1 \wedge f)$ **by auto**

from 1 **show ?thesis by (rule LeftChopEqvChop)**

qed

lemma BiAndChopImport:

$\vdash bi\ f \wedge (f1; g) \rightarrow (f \wedge f1); g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow (f1 \rightarrow f \wedge f1)$ **by auto**

hence 2: $\vdash bi\ f \rightarrow bi\ (f1 \rightarrow f \wedge f1)$ **by (rule BiImpBiRule)**

have 3: $\vdash bi\ (f1 \rightarrow (f \wedge f1)) \rightarrow f1; g \rightarrow (f \wedge f1); g$ **by (rule BiChopImpChop)**

from 2 3 **show ?thesis using MP by fastforce**

qed

lemma StateAndChopImport:

$\vdash (init\ w) \wedge (f; g) \rightarrow ((init\ w) \wedge f); g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (init\ w) \rightarrow bi\ (init\ w)$ **by (rule StateImpBi)**

hence 2: $\vdash (init\ w) \wedge (f; g) \rightarrow bi\ (init\ w) \wedge (f; g)$ **by auto**

have 3: $\vdash bi\ (init\ w) \wedge (f; g) \rightarrow ((init\ w) \wedge f); g$ **by (rule BiAndChopImport)**

from 2 3 **show ?thesis using MP by fastforce**

qed

6.5 Further Properties Di and Bi

lemma ImpDi:

$\vdash f \rightarrow di\ f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f; empty = f$ **by (rule ChopEmpty)**

have 2: $\vdash empty \rightarrow \#True$ **by auto**

hence 3: $\vdash f; empty \rightarrow f; \#True$ **by (rule RightChopImpChop)**

have 4: $\vdash f \rightarrow f; \#True$ **using 1 3 by fastforce**

from 4 **show ?thesis by (simp add: di-d-def)**

qed

lemma DiState:

$\vdash di\ (init\ w) = (init\ w)$

proof –

have 0: $\vdash (init(\neg w)) \rightarrow bi\ (init(\neg w))$ **using StateImpBi by fastforce**

hence 1: $\vdash \neg(init w) \rightarrow bi\ (\neg(init w))$ **using Initprop(2) by (metis inteq-reflection)**

```

hence 2:  $\vdash (\neg (init w)) \rightarrow \neg (di (\neg \neg (init w)))$  by (simp add: bi-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash (\neg (init w) \rightarrow \neg (di (\neg \neg (init w)))) \rightarrow$ 
 $(di (\neg \neg (init w)) \rightarrow (init w))$  by auto
have 4:  $\vdash di (\neg \neg (init w)) \rightarrow (init w)$  using 2 3 MP by blast
have 5:  $\vdash (init w) \rightarrow \neg \neg (init w)$  by auto
hence 6:  $\vdash di (init w) \rightarrow di (\neg \neg (init w))$  by (rule DiImpDi)
have 7:  $\vdash di (init w) \rightarrow (init w)$  using 6 4 using lift-imp-trans by metis
have 8:  $\vdash (init w) \rightarrow di (init w)$  by (rule ImpDi)
from 7 8 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma StateChop:
 $\vdash (init w); f \rightarrow (init w)$ 
by (metis ChopAssoc Prop12 RightChopImpChop TrueW init-d-def int-simps(13) int-simps(17)
inteq-reflection)

lemma StateChopExportA:
 $\vdash ((init w) \wedge f); g \rightarrow (init w)$ 
using DiState AndChopA StateChop by fastforce

lemma StateAndChop:
 $\vdash ((init w) \wedge f); g = ((init w) \wedge (f; g))$ 
by (simp add: AndChopB StateAndChopImport StateChopExportA Prop11 Prop12)

lemma StateAndChopImpChopRule:
assumes  $\vdash (init w) \wedge f \rightarrow f1$ 
shows  $\vdash (init w) \wedge (f; g) \rightarrow (f1; g)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (init w) \wedge f \rightarrow f1$  using assms by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash ((init w) \wedge f); g \rightarrow f1; g$  by (rule LeftChopImpChop)
have 3:  $\vdash ((init w) \wedge f); g = ((init w) \wedge (f; g))$  by (rule StateAndChop)
from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma StateImpChopEqvChop :
assumes  $\vdash (init w) \rightarrow (f = f1)$ 
shows  $\vdash (init w) \rightarrow ((f; g) = (f1; g))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (init w) \rightarrow (f = f1)$  using assms by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash (init w) \wedge f \rightarrow f1$  by auto
hence 3:  $\vdash (init w) \wedge (f; g) \rightarrow (f1; g)$  by (rule StateAndChopImpChopRule)
have 4:  $\vdash (init w) \wedge f1 \rightarrow f$  using 1 by auto
hence 5:  $\vdash (init w) \wedge (f1; g) \rightarrow (f; g)$  by (rule StateAndChopImpChopRule)
from 3 5 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma ChopEqvStateAndChop:
assumes  $\vdash f = (init w) \wedge f1$ 
shows  $\vdash (f; g) = ((init w) \wedge (f1; g))$ 
proof -

```

```

have 1:  $\vdash f = ((init w) \wedge f1)$  using assms by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash f; g = (((init w) \wedge f1); g)$  by (rule LeftChopEqvChop)
have 3:  $\vdash ((init w) \wedge f1); g = ((init w) \wedge (f1; g))$  by (rule StateAndChop)
from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma DiIntro:
 $\vdash f \rightarrow di\ f$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f; empty = f$  by (rule ChopEmpty)
  have 2:  $\vdash empty \rightarrow \#True$  by auto
  hence 3:  $\vdash \square( empty \rightarrow \#True)$  by (rule BoxGen)
  have 4:  $\vdash \square( empty \rightarrow \#True) \rightarrow (f; empty \rightarrow f; \#True)$  by (rule BoxChopImpChop)
  have 5:  $\vdash f; empty \rightarrow f; \#True$  using 3 4 MP by fastforce
  hence 6:  $\vdash f; empty \rightarrow di\ f$  by (simp add: di-d-def)
  from 1 6 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma BiElim:
 $\vdash bi\ f \rightarrow f$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash \neg f \rightarrow di(\neg f)$  by (rule DiIntro)
  have 2:  $\vdash (\neg f \rightarrow di(\neg f)) \rightarrow (\neg(di(\neg f)) \rightarrow f)$  by auto
  have 3:  $\vdash \neg(di(\neg f)) \rightarrow f$  using 1 2 MP by blast
  from 3 show ?thesis by (metis bi-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma BiContraPosImpDist:
 $\vdash bi(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow (bi\ f) \rightarrow (bi\ g)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash bi(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow (di(\neg g)) \rightarrow (di(\neg f))$  by (rule BiImpDiImpDi)
  hence 2:  $\vdash bi(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow (\neg(di(\neg f))) \rightarrow (\neg(di(\neg g)))$  by auto
  from 2 show ?thesis by (metis bi-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma BiImpDist:
 $\vdash bi(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (bi\ f) \rightarrow (bi\ g)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)$  by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash \neg(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow \neg(f \rightarrow g)$  by auto
  hence 3:  $\vdash bi(\neg(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)) \rightarrow \neg(f \rightarrow g)$  by (rule BiGen)
  have 4:  $\vdash bi(\neg(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)) \rightarrow \neg(f \rightarrow g)$ 
     $\qquad \qquad \qquad \rightarrow$ 
     $\qquad \qquad \qquad bi(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow bi(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)$  by (rule BiContraPosImpDist)
  have 5:  $\vdash bi(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow bi(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)$  using 3 4 MP by blast
  have 6:  $\vdash bi(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow (bi\ f) \rightarrow (bi\ g)$  by (rule BiContraPosImpDist)
  from 5 6 show ?thesis using lift-imp-trans by blast
qed

```

lemma IfChopEqvRule:

```

assumes  $\vdash f = \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } f1 \text{ else } f2$ 
shows  $\vdash f; g = \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (f1; g) \text{ else } (f2; g)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f = \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } f1 \text{ else } f2$ 
  using assms by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash f = (((\text{init } w) \wedge f1) \vee ((\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge f2))$ 
  by (metis Initprop(2) ifthenelse-d-def inteq-reflection)
hence 3:  $\vdash f; g = (((\text{init } w) \wedge f1); g \vee ((\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge f2); g)$ 
  by (rule OrChopEqvRule)
have 4:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge f1); g = ((\text{init } w) \wedge (f1; g))$ 
  by (rule StateAndChop)
have 5:  $\vdash ((\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge f2); g = ((\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge (f2; g))$ 
  by (rule StateAndChop)
have 6:  $\vdash f; g = (((\text{init } w) \wedge f1); g) \vee ((\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge f2); g)$ 
  using 3 4 5 by fastforce
from 6 show ?thesis
  by (metis Initprop(2) ifthenelse-d-def inteq-reflection)
qed

```

lemma ChopOrEqvRule:

```

assumes  $\vdash g = (g1 \vee g2)$ 
shows  $\vdash f; g = ((f; g1) \vee (f; g2))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash g = (g1 \vee g2)$  using assms by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash f; g = (f; (g1 \vee g2))$  by (rule RightChopEqvChop)
have 3:  $\vdash f; (g1 \vee g2) = (f; g1 \vee f; g2)$  by (rule ChopOrEqv)
from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma EmptyOrChopEqv:

```

 $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee f); g = (g \vee (f; g))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee f); g = ((\text{empty}; g) \vee (f; g))$  by (rule OrChopEqv)
have 2:  $\vdash \text{empty}; g = g$  by (rule EmptyChop)
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma EmptyOrNextChopEqv:

```

 $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee \circ f); g = (g \vee \circ(f; g))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee \circ f); g = (g \vee ((\circ f); g))$  by (rule EmptyOrChopEqv)
have 2:  $\vdash (\circ f); g = \circ(f; g)$  by (rule NextChop)
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma EmptyOrChopImpRule:

```

assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{empty} \vee f1$ 
shows  $\vdash f; g \rightarrow g \vee (f1; g)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{empty} \vee f1$  using assms by auto

```

```

hence 2:  $\vdash f; g \rightarrow (\text{empty} \vee f1); g$  by (rule LeftChopImpChop)
have 3:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee f1); g = (g \vee (f1; g))$  by (rule EmptyOrChopEqv)
from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma EmptyOrChopEqvRule:
assumes  $\vdash f = (\text{empty} \vee f1)$ 
shows  $\vdash f; g = (g \vee (f1; g))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f = (\text{empty} \vee f1)$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f; g = ((\text{empty} \vee f1); g)$  by (rule LeftChopEqvChop)
  have 3:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee f1); g = (g \vee (f1; g))$  by (rule EmptyOrChopEqv)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma EmptyOrNextChopImpRule:
assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{empty} \vee \circ f1$ 
shows  $\vdash f; g \rightarrow g \vee \circ(f1; g)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{empty} \vee \circ f1$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f; g \rightarrow (\text{empty} \vee \circ f1); g$  by (rule LeftChopImpChop)
  have 3:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee \circ f1); g = (g \vee \circ(f1; g))$  by (rule EmptyOrNextChopEqv)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma EmptyOrNextChopEqvRule:
assumes  $\vdash f = (\text{empty} \vee \circ f1)$ 
shows  $\vdash f; g = (g \vee \circ(f1; g))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f = (\text{empty} \vee \circ f1)$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f; g = ((\text{empty} \vee \circ f1); g)$  by (rule LeftChopEqvChop)
  have 3:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee \circ f1); g = (g \vee \circ(f1; g))$  by (rule EmptyOrNextChopEqv)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma ChopEmptyOrImpRule:
assumes  $\vdash g \rightarrow \text{empty} \vee g1$ 
shows  $\vdash f; g \rightarrow f \vee (f; g1)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash g \rightarrow \text{empty} \vee g1$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f; g \rightarrow (f; \text{empty}) \vee (f; g1)$  by (rule ChopOrImpRule)
  have 3:  $\vdash f; \text{empty} = f$  by (rule ChopEmpty)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma StateAndEmptyImpBoxState:
 $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty} \rightarrow \square (\text{init } w)$ 
using BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox by fastforce

```

```

lemma BoxEqvAndBox:

```

$\vdash \Box f = (f \wedge \Box f)$
using *BoxElim* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *NotBoxImpNotOrNotNextBox*:
 $\vdash \neg(\Box f) \longrightarrow \neg f \vee \neg(\Diamond(\Box f))$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \wedge (\Diamond(\Box f)) \longrightarrow \Box f$ **using** *BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox* **by** *fastforce*
hence 2: $\vdash \neg(\Box f) \longrightarrow \neg(f \wedge (\Diamond(\Box f)))$ **by** *fastforce*
have 3: $\vdash (\neg(f \wedge (\Diamond(\Box f)))) = (\neg f \vee \neg(\Diamond(\Box f)))$ **by** *auto*
from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *BoxStateChopBoxAndInfImpBox*:
 $\vdash \Box(\text{init } w); \Box(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{inf} \longrightarrow \Box(\text{init } w)$
by (*auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs nfirst-eq-nnth-zero*)
(*metis enat-ile le-add-diff-inverse linorder-le-cases min-def ndropn-nlength nfinite-ndropn-b
nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD ntaken-nnth*)

lemma *BoxStateChopBoxEqvBox*:
 $\vdash \Box(\text{init } w); \Box(\text{init } w) = \Box(\text{init } w)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\Box(\text{init } w)) = ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{empty} \vee \Diamond(\Box(\text{init } w))))$
by (*rule BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox*)
hence 2: $\vdash (\Box(\text{init } w); \Box(\text{init } w)) =$
 $((\text{init } w) \wedge ((\text{empty} \vee \Diamond(\Box(\text{init } w)); \Box(\text{init } w)))$
by (*metis StateAndChop inteq-reflection*)
have 3: $\vdash ((\text{empty} \vee \Diamond(\Box(\text{init } w)); \Box(\text{init } w)) =$
 $(\Box(\text{init } w) \vee \Diamond(\Box(\text{init } w); \Box(\text{init } w)))$
by (*rule EmptyOrNextChopEqv*)
have 4: $\vdash (\Box(\text{init } w); \Box(\text{init } w)) =$
 $((\text{init } w) \wedge (\Box(\text{init } w) \vee \Diamond(\Box(\text{init } w); \Box(\text{init } w))))$
using 2 3 **by** *fastforce*
have 5: $\vdash \neg(\Box(\text{init } w)) \longrightarrow \neg(\text{init } w) \vee \neg(\Diamond(\Box(\text{init } w)))$
by (*rule NotBoxImpNotOrNotNextBox*)
have 6: $\vdash (\Box(\text{init } w); \Box(\text{init } w)) \wedge \neg(\Box(\text{init } w)) \longrightarrow$
 $\Diamond(\Box(\text{init } w); \Box(\text{init } w)) \wedge \neg(\Diamond(\Box(\text{init } w)))$
using 4 5 **by** *fastforce*
hence 7: $\vdash \Box(\text{init } w); \Box(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \Box(\text{init } w)$
by (*rule NextContra*)
have 8: $\vdash \Box(\text{init } w); \Box(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{inf} \longrightarrow \Box(\text{init } w)$
by (*rule BoxStateChopBoxAndInfImpBox*)
have 9: $\vdash \Box(\text{init } w); \Box(\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{finite} \vee \text{inf}) \longrightarrow \Box(\text{init } w)$
using 7 8 **by** *fastforce*
hence 10: $\vdash \Box(\text{init } w); \Box(\text{init } w) \longrightarrow \Box(\text{init } w)$
using *FiniteOrInfinite* **by** *fastforce*
have 11: $\vdash \Box(\text{init } w) = ((\text{init } w) \wedge \Box(\text{init } w))$
by (*rule BoxEqvAndBox*)
have 12: $\vdash \text{empty} ; \Box(\text{init } w) = \Box(\text{init } w)$

```

by (rule EmptyChop)
have 13:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) ; \square (\text{init } w) = ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{empty} ; \square (\text{init } w)))$ 
  by (rule StateAndChop)
have 14:  $\vdash \square (\text{init } w) = ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) ; \square (\text{init } w)$ 
  using 11 12 13 by fastforce
have 15:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty} \longrightarrow \square (\text{init } w)$ 
  by (rule StateAndEmptyImpBoxState)
hence 16:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) ; \square (\text{init } w) \longrightarrow \square (\text{init } w) ; \square (\text{init } w)$ 
  by (rule LeftChopImpChop)
have 17:  $\vdash \square (\text{init } w) \longrightarrow \square (\text{init } w) ; \square (\text{init } w)$ 
  using 14 16 by fastforce
from 10 17 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma NotBoxStateImpBoxYieldsNotBox:
 $\vdash \neg(\square (\text{init } w)) \longrightarrow (\square (\text{init } w)) \text{ yields } (\neg(\square (\text{init } w)))$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash \square (\text{init } w) ; \square (\text{init } w) = \square (\text{init } w)$  by (rule BoxStateChopBoxEqvBox)
have 2:  $\vdash \square (\text{init } w) = (\neg \neg(\square (\text{init } w)))$  by auto
hence 3:  $\vdash \square (\text{init } w) ; \square (\text{init } w) = \square (\text{init } w) ; (\neg \neg(\square (\text{init } w)))$  by (rule RightChopEqvChop)
have 4:  $\vdash \neg(\square (\text{init } w)) \longrightarrow \neg(\square (\text{init } w) ; (\neg \neg(\square (\text{init } w))))$  using 1 3 by auto
from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma StateEqvBi:
 $\vdash (\text{init } w) = bi (\text{init } w)$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \longrightarrow bi (\text{init } w)$  by (rule StateImpBi)
have 2:  $\vdash bi (\text{init } w) \longrightarrow (\text{init } w)$  by (rule BiElim)
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma FiniteChopEqvDiamond:
 $\vdash \text{finite}; f = \diamond f$ 
by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)

```

6.6 Properties of Da and Ba

```

lemma DaEqvDtDi:
 $\vdash da f = \diamond (di f)$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash \text{finite}; (f; \# \text{True}) = \text{finite}; (f; \# \text{True})$  by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash \text{finite}; (f; \# \text{True}) = \text{finite}; di f$  by (simp add: di-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash \text{finite}; di f = \diamond(di f)$  by (rule FiniteChopEqvDiamond)
have 4:  $\vdash \text{finite}; (f; \# \text{True}) = \diamond(di f)$  using 2 3 by fastforce
from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add:da-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma DaEqvDiDt:
 $\vdash da f = di (\diamond f)$ 

```

proof -

```
have 1: ⊢ finite; f = ◊ f by (rule FiniteChopEqvDiamond)
hence 2: ⊢ (finite; f); #True = (◊ f); #True by (rule LeftChopEqvChop)
hence 3: ⊢ (finite; f); #True = di(◊ f) by (simp add: di-d-def)
have 4: ⊢ finite; (f; #True) = (finite; f); #True by (rule ChopAssoc)
have 5: ⊢ finite; (f; #True) = di(◊ f) using 3 4 by fastforce
from 5 show ?thesis by (simp add: da-d-def)
qed
```

lemma DxDiEqvDiDt:

```
⊢ ◊ (di f) = di (◊ f)
by (metis ChopAssoc di-d-def sometimes-d-def)
```

lemma DiamondNotEqvNotBox:

```
⊢ ◊ (¬ f) = (¬ (□ f))
by (simp add: always-d-def)
```

lemma BaEqvBiBt:

```
⊢ ba f = bi(□ f)
```

proof -

```
have 1: ⊢ da (¬ f) = di(◊ (¬ f)) by (rule DaEqvDiDt)
have 2: ⊢ ◊ (¬ f) = (¬ (□ f)) by (rule DiamondNotEqvNotBox)
hence 3: ⊢ di (◊ (¬ f)) = di (¬ (□ f)) by (rule DiEqvDi)
have 4: ⊢ da (¬ f) = di (¬ (□ f)) using 1 3 by fastforce
hence 5: ⊢ (¬ (da (¬ f))) = (¬ (di (¬ (□ f)))) by auto
hence 6: ⊢ (¬ (da (¬ f))) = bi(□ f) by (simp add: bi-d-def)
from 6 show ?thesis by (simp add: ba-d-def)
qed
```

lemma DiNotEqvNotBi:

```
⊢ di (¬ f) = (¬ (bi f))
```

proof -

```
have 1: ⊢ bi f = (¬ (di (¬ f))) by (simp add: bi-d-def)
from 1 show ?thesis by auto
qed
```

lemma NotDiamondNotEqvBox:

```
⊢ (¬ (◊ (¬ f))) = □ f
by (simp add: always-d-def)
```

lemma BaEqvBtBi:

```
⊢ ba f = □ (bi f)
```

proof -

```
have 1: ⊢ da (¬ f) = ◊ (di (¬ f)) by (rule DaEqvDtDi)
have 2: ⊢ di (¬ f) = (¬ (bi f)) by (rule DiNotEqvNotBi)
hence 3: ⊢ ◊ (di (¬ f)) = ◊ (¬ (bi f)) by (rule DiamondEqvDiamond)
have 4: ⊢ (¬ (◊ (¬ (bi f)))) = □ (bi f) by (rule NotDiamondNotEqvBox)
have 5: ⊢ (¬ (da (¬ f))) = □ (bi f) using 1 2 3 4 by fastforce
from 5 show ?thesis by (simp add: ba-d-def)
qed
```

```

lemma BtBiEqvBiBt:
 $\vdash \square(bi\ f) = bi(\square f)$ 
proof –
  have 1:  $\vdash ba\ f = \square(bi\ f)$  by (rule BaEqvBtBi)
  have 2:  $\vdash ba\ f = bi(\square f)$  by (rule BaEqvBiBt)
  from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma BoxStateEqvBaBoxState:
 $\vdash \square(init\ w) = ba(\square(init\ w))$ 
proof –
  have 1:  $\vdash (init\ w) = bi\ (init\ w)$  by (rule StateEqvBi)
  hence 2:  $\vdash \square(init\ w) = \square(bi\ (init\ w))$  by (rule BoxEqvBox)
  have 3:  $\vdash \square(bi\ (init\ w)) = bi(\square(init\ w))$  by (rule BtBiEqvBiBt)
  have 4:  $\vdash \square(init\ w) = \square(\square(init\ w))$  by (rule BoxEqvBoxBox)
  hence 5:  $\vdash bi(\square(init\ w)) = bi(\square(\square(init\ w)))$  by (rule BiEqvBi)
  have 6:  $\vdash ba(\square(init\ w)) = bi(\square(\square(init\ w)))$  by (rule BaEqvBiBt)
  from 2 3 5 6 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma BaImpBi:
 $\vdash ba\ f \longrightarrow bi\ f$ 
proof –
  have 1:  $\vdash ba\ f = \square(bi\ f)$  by (rule BaEqvBtBi)
  have 2:  $\vdash \square(bi\ f) \longrightarrow bi\ f$  by (rule BoxElim)
  from 1 2 show ?thesis using lift-imp-trans by fastforce
qed

lemma BaImpBt:
 $\vdash ba\ f \longrightarrow \square f$ 
proof –
  have 1:  $\vdash ba\ f = bi(\square f)$  by (rule BaEqvBiBt)
  have 2:  $\vdash bi(\square f) \longrightarrow \square f$  by (rule BiElim)
  from 1 2 show ?thesis using lift-imp-trans by fastforce
qed

lemma DiamondImpDa:
 $\vdash \diamond f \longrightarrow da\ f$ 
by (metis DiIntro DiamondImpDiamond da-d-def di-d-def sometimes-d-def)

lemma DiImpDa:
 $\vdash di\ f \longrightarrow da\ f$ 
by (metis NowImpDiamond da-d-def di-d-def sometimes-d-def)

lemma BoxAndChopImport:
 $\vdash \square h \wedge f; g \longrightarrow f; (h \wedge g)$ 
proof –
  have 1:  $\vdash h \longrightarrow g \longrightarrow (h \wedge g)$  by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash \square h \longrightarrow \square(g \longrightarrow (h \wedge g))$  by (rule ImpBoxRule)

```

have 3: $\vdash \Box(g \rightarrow (h \wedge g)) \rightarrow f; g \rightarrow f; (h \wedge g)$ **by** (rule BoxChopImpChop)
from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma BaAndChopImport:

$\vdash ba\ f \wedge (g; g1) \rightarrow (f \wedge g); (f \wedge g1)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ba\ f \rightarrow bi\ f$ **by** (rule BaImpBi)
have 2: $\vdash bi\ f \wedge (g; g1) \rightarrow (f \wedge g); g1$ **by** (rule BiAndChopImport)
have 3: $\vdash ba\ f \rightarrow \Box f$ **by** (rule BaImpBt)
have 4: $\vdash \Box f \wedge (f \wedge g); g1 \rightarrow (f \wedge g); (f \wedge g1)$ **by** (rule BoxAndChopImport)
from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma ChopAndCommute:

$\vdash f; (g \wedge g1) = f; (g1 \wedge g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (g \wedge g1) = (g1 \wedge g)$ **by** auto
from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** (rule RightChopEqvChop)
qed

lemma ChopAndA:

$\vdash f; (g \wedge g1) \rightarrow f; g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (g \wedge g1) \rightarrow g$ **by** auto
from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** (rule RightChopImpChop)
qed

lemma ChopAndB:

$\vdash f; (g \wedge g1) \rightarrow f; g1$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (g \wedge g1) \rightarrow g1$ **by** auto
from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** (rule RightChopImpChop)
qed

lemma BoxStateAndChopEqvChop:

$\vdash (\Box(\text{init } w) \wedge (f; g)) = ((\Box(\text{init } w) \wedge f); (\Box(\text{init } w) \wedge g))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \Box(\text{init } w) = ba(\Box(\text{init } w))$
by (rule BoxStateEqvBaBoxState)
have 2: $\vdash ba(\Box(\text{init } w)) \wedge (f; g) \rightarrow (\Box(\text{init } w) \wedge f); (\Box(\text{init } w) \wedge g)$
by (rule BaAndChopImport)
have 3: $\vdash \Box(\text{init } w) \wedge (f; g) \rightarrow (\Box(\text{init } w) \wedge f); (\Box(\text{init } w) \wedge g)$
using 1 2 **by** fastforce
have 11: $\vdash (\Box(\text{init } w) \wedge f); (\Box(\text{init } w) \wedge g) \rightarrow (\Box(\text{init } w)); (\Box(\text{init } w) \wedge g)$
by (rule AndChopA)
have 12: $\vdash (\Box(\text{init } w)); (\Box(\text{init } w) \wedge g) \rightarrow (\Box(\text{init } w)); (\Box(\text{init } w))$
by (rule ChopAndA)
have 13: $\vdash (\Box(\text{init } w)); (\Box(\text{init } w)) = \Box(\text{init } w)$
by (rule BoxStateChopBoxEqvBox)

```

have 14:  $\vdash (\square (init w) \wedge f); (\square (init w) \wedge g) \rightarrow f; (\square (init w) \wedge g)$ 
  by (rule AndChopB)
have 15:  $\vdash f; (\square (init w) \wedge g) \rightarrow f; g$ 
  by (rule ChopAndB)
have 16:  $\vdash (\square (init w) \wedge f); (\square (init w) \wedge g) \rightarrow \square (init w) \wedge (f; g)$ 
  using 11 12 13 14 15 by fastforce
from 3 16 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma DiEqvNotBiNot:

$\vdash di f = (\neg(bi (\neg f)))$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash bi (\neg f) = (\neg ( di (\neg \neg f)))$  by (simp add: bi-d-def)
hence 2:  $\vdash di (\neg \neg f) = (\neg( bi (\neg f)))$  by auto
have 3:  $\vdash f = (\neg \neg f)$  by auto
hence 4:  $\vdash di f = di (\neg \neg f)$  by (rule DiEqvDi)
from 2 4 show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

lemma ChopAndBoxImport:

$\vdash f; g \wedge \square h \rightarrow f; (g \wedge h)$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash \square h \wedge f; g \rightarrow f; (h \wedge g)$  by (rule BoxAndChopImport)
have 2:  $\vdash f; (h \wedge g) = f; (g \wedge h)$  by (rule ChopAndCommute)
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma AndChopAndCommute:

$\vdash (f \wedge g); (f1 \wedge g1) = (g \wedge f); (g1 \wedge f1)$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash (f \wedge g); (f1 \wedge g1) = (g \wedge f); (f1 \wedge g1)$  by (rule AndChopCommute)
have 2:  $\vdash (g \wedge f); (f1 \wedge g1) = (g \wedge f); (g1 \wedge f1)$  by (rule ChopAndCommute)
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma ChopImpChop:

assumes $\vdash f \rightarrow f1$

$\vdash g \rightarrow g1$

shows $\vdash f; g \rightarrow f1; g1$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash f \rightarrow f1$  using assms by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash f; g \rightarrow f1; g$  by (rule LeftChopImpChop)
have 3:  $\vdash g \rightarrow g1$  using assms by auto
hence 4:  $\vdash f1; g \rightarrow f1; g1$  by (rule RightChopImpChop)
from 2 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma ChopEqvChop:

assumes $\vdash f = f1$

$\vdash g = g1$

```

shows ⊢  $f;g = f1;g1$ 
proof –
  have 1: ⊢  $f = f1$  using assms by auto
  hence 2: ⊢  $f; g = f1; g$  by (rule LeftChopEqvChop)
  have 3: ⊢  $g = g1$  using assms by auto
  hence 4: ⊢  $f1; g = f1; g1$  by (rule RightChopEqvChop)
  from 2 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma BoxImpBoxImpBox:
  ⊢  $\square h \rightarrow \square(g \rightarrow \square h \wedge g)$ 
proof –
  have 1: ⊢  $\square h \rightarrow (g \rightarrow \square h \wedge g)$  by auto
  hence 2: ⊢  $\square(\square h) \rightarrow \square(g \rightarrow \square h \wedge g)$  by (rule ImpBoxRule)
  have 3: ⊢  $\square h = \square(\square h)$  by (rule BoxEqvBoxBox)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma BoxChopImpChopBox:
  ⊢  $\square h \rightarrow f; g \rightarrow f; (\square h \wedge g)$ 
proof –
  have 1: ⊢  $\square h \rightarrow \square(g \rightarrow \square h \wedge g)$  by (rule BoxImpBoxImpBox)
  have 2: ⊢  $\square(g \rightarrow \square h \wedge g) \rightarrow f; g \rightarrow f; (\square h \wedge g)$  by (rule BoxChopImpChop)
  from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma NotChopEqvYieldsNot:
  ⊢  $(\neg(f; g)) = f$  yields  $(\neg g)$ 
proof –
  have 1: ⊢  $g = (\neg \neg g)$  by auto
  hence 2: ⊢  $f; g = f; (\neg \neg g)$  by (rule RightChopEqvChop)
  hence 3: ⊢  $(\neg(f; g)) = (\neg(f; (\neg \neg g)))$  by auto
  from 3 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma NotDiFalse:
  ⊢  $\neg(di \#False)$ 
proof –
  have 1: ⊢  $(init \#True) \rightarrow bi$  (init #True) by (rule StateImpBi)
  hence 2: ⊢  $\#True \rightarrow bi \#True$  by (simp add: BiGen)
  have 3: ⊢  $\#True$  by auto
  have 4: ⊢  $bi \#True$  using 2 3 MP by auto
  hence 5: ⊢  $\neg(di (\neg \#True))$  by (simp add: bi-d-def)
  have 6: ⊢  $(\neg \#True) = \#False$  by auto
  hence 7: ⊢  $di (\neg \#True) = di \#False$  by (rule DiEqvDi)
  from 5 7 show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma StateAndEmptyChop:
  ⊢  $((init w) \wedge empty); f = ((init w) \wedge f)$ 

```

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}); f = ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty} ; f)$ **by** (rule StateAndChop)
 have 2: $\vdash \text{empty} ; f = f$ **by** (rule EmptyChop)
 from 1 2 show ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma StateAndNextChop:
 $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge \circ f); g = ((\text{init } w) \wedge \circ(f; g))$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge \circ f); g = ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\circ f); g)$ **by** (rule StateAndChop)
 have 2: $\vdash (\circ f); g = \circ(f; g)$ **by** (rule NextChop)
 from 1 2 show ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma NextAndEqvNextAndNext:
 $\vdash \circ(f \wedge g) = (\circ f \wedge \circ g)$
by (metis NextAndNextEqvNextRule int-eq lift-and-com)

lemma NextStateAndChop:
 $\vdash \circ(((\text{init } w) \wedge f); g) = (\circ(\text{init } w) \wedge \circ(f; g))$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge f); g = ((\text{init } w) \wedge f; g)$ **by** (rule StateAndChop)
 hence 2: $\vdash \circ(((\text{init } w) \wedge f); g) = \circ((\text{init } w) \wedge f; g)$ **by** (rule NextEqvNext)
 have 3: $\vdash \circ((\text{init } w) \wedge f; g) = (\circ(\text{init } w) \wedge \circ(f; g))$ **by** (rule NextAndEqvNextAndNext)
 from 2 3 show ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma StateYieldsEqv:
 $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \rightarrow (f \text{ yields } g)) = ((\text{init } w) \wedge f) \text{ yields } g$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge f); (\neg g) = ((\text{init } w) \wedge f; (\neg g))$ **by** (rule StateAndChop)
 hence 2: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \rightarrow \neg(f; (\neg g))) = (\neg(((\text{init } w) \wedge f); (\neg g)))$ **by** auto
 from 2 show ?thesis **by** (simp add: yields-d-def)
qed

lemma StateAndDi:
 $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge di f) = di ((\text{init } w) \wedge f)$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge f); \#True = ((\text{init } w) \wedge f; \#True)$ **by** (rule StateAndChop)
 from 1 show ?thesis **by** (metis di-d-def inteq-reflection)
qed

lemma DiNext:
 $\vdash di(\circ f) = \circ(di f)$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (\circ f); \#True = \circ(f; \#True)$ **by** (rule NextChop)
 from 1 show ?thesis **by** (simp add: di-d-def)
qed

lemma DiNextState:

```

 $\vdash \text{di}(\circ (init w)) = \circ (init w)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash \text{di}(\circ (init w)) = \circ (\text{di} (init w))$  by (rule DiNext)
  have 2:  $\vdash \text{di} (init w) = (init w)$  by (rule DiState)
  hence 3:  $\vdash \circ (\text{di} (init w)) = \circ (init w)$  by (rule NextEqvNext)
  from 1 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma StateImpBiGen:
  assumes  $\vdash (init w) \rightarrow f$ 
  shows  $\vdash (init w) \rightarrow bi\ f$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (init w) \rightarrow f$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash \neg f \rightarrow \neg (init w)$  by auto
  hence 3:  $\vdash \text{di} (\neg f) \rightarrow \text{di} (\neg (init w))$  by (rule DiImpDi)
  hence 4:  $\vdash \text{di} (\neg f) \rightarrow \text{di} (init (\neg w))$  by (metis Initprop(2) inteq-reflection)
  have 5:  $\vdash \text{di} (init (\neg w)) = (init (\neg w))$  by (rule DiState)
  have 6:  $\vdash \text{di} (\neg f) \rightarrow \neg (init w)$  using 4 5 using Initprop(2) by fastforce
  hence 7:  $\vdash (init w) \rightarrow \neg (\text{di} (\neg f))$  by auto
  from 7 show ?thesis by (simp add: bi-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma ChopAndNotChopImp:
 $\vdash f; g \wedge \neg (f; g1) \rightarrow f; (g \wedge \neg g1)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash g \rightarrow (g \wedge \neg g1) \vee g1$  by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f; g \rightarrow f; ((g \wedge \neg g1) \vee g1)$  by (rule RightChopImpChop)
  have 3:  $\vdash f; ((g \wedge \neg g1) \vee g1) \rightarrow (f; (g \wedge \neg g1)) \vee (f; g1)$  by (rule ChopOrImp)
  have 4:  $\vdash f; g \rightarrow f; (g \wedge \neg g1) \vee f; g1$  using 2 3 MP by fastforce
  from 4 show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma ChopAndYieldsImp:
 $\vdash f; g \wedge f \text{ yields } g1 \rightarrow f; (g \wedge g1)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash g \rightarrow (g \wedge g1) \vee \neg g1$  by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f; g \rightarrow f; ((g \wedge g1) \vee \neg g1)$  by (rule RightChopImpChop)
  have 3:  $\vdash f; ((g \wedge g1) \vee \neg g1) \rightarrow (f; (g \wedge g1)) \vee (f; (\neg g1))$  by (rule ChopOrImp)
  have 4:  $\vdash f; g \rightarrow f; (g \wedge g1) \vee f; (\neg g1)$  using 2 3 MP by fastforce
  hence 5:  $\vdash f; g \wedge \neg (f; (\neg g1)) \rightarrow f; (g \wedge g1)$  by auto
  from 5 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma ChopAndYieldsMP:
 $\vdash f; g \wedge f \text{ yields } (g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow f; g1$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f; g \wedge f \text{ yields } (g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow f; (g \wedge (g \rightarrow g1))$  by (rule ChopAndYieldsImp)
  have 2:  $\vdash g \wedge (g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow g1$  by auto
  hence 3:  $\vdash f; (g \wedge (g \rightarrow g1)) \rightarrow f; g1$  by (rule RightChopImpChop)
  from 1 3 show ?thesis by fastforce

```

qed

lemma *OrYieldsImp*:

$\vdash (f \vee f1) \text{ yields } g = ((f \text{ yields } g) \wedge (f1 \text{ yields } g))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ((f \vee f1); (\neg g)) = ((f; (\neg g)) \vee (f1; (\neg g)))$ **by** (*rule OrChopEqv*)

hence 2: $\vdash (\neg ((f \vee f1); (\neg g))) = (\neg (f; (\neg g)) \wedge \neg (f1; (\neg g)))$ **by** *auto*

from 2 **show** ?*thesis* **by** (*simp add: yields-d-def*)

qed

lemma *LeftYieldsImp Yields*:

assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow f1$

shows $\vdash (f1 \text{ yields } g) \longrightarrow (f \text{ yields } g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \longrightarrow f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash f; (\neg g) \longrightarrow f1; (\neg g)$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)

hence 3: $\vdash \neg (f1; (\neg g)) \longrightarrow \neg (f; (\neg g))$ **by** *auto*

from 3 **show** ?*thesis* **by** (*simp add: yields-d-def*)

qed

lemma *LeftYieldsEqv Yields*:

assumes $\vdash f = f1$

shows $\vdash (f \text{ yields } g) = (f1 \text{ yields } g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f = f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash f; (\neg g) = f1; (\neg g)$ **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)

hence 3: $\vdash \neg (f; (\neg g)) = \neg (f1; (\neg g))$ **by** *auto*

from 3 **show** ?*thesis* **by** (*simp add: yields-d-def*)

qed

6.7 Properties of Fin

lemma *FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty*:

$\vdash \text{fin } f = \# \text{True}; (f \wedge \text{empty})$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{fin } f = \square(\text{empty} \longrightarrow f)$

by (*simp add: fin-d-def*)

have 2: $\vdash \square(\text{empty} \longrightarrow f) = (\neg(\diamond(\neg(\text{empty} \longrightarrow f))))$

by (*simp add: always-d-def*)

have 3: $\vdash (\neg(\text{empty} \longrightarrow f)) = (\neg f \wedge \text{empty})$

by *auto*

hence 4: $\vdash \diamond(\neg(\text{empty} \longrightarrow f)) = \diamond(\neg f \wedge \text{empty})$

using *DiamondEqvDiamond* **by** *blast*

hence 5: $\vdash \neg(\diamond(\neg(\text{empty} \longrightarrow f))) = (\neg(\diamond(\neg f \wedge \text{empty})))$

by *auto*

have 51: $\vdash \text{finite}; ((\neg f \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow \text{finite} ; (\neg f \wedge \text{empty})$

by (*simp add: ChopAndA*)

have 52: $\vdash (\# \text{True}; (\neg f \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow \text{finite} ; (\neg f \wedge \text{empty})$

by (*metis 51 TrueChopAndFiniteEqvAndFiniteChopFinite int-eq*)

have 53: $\vdash \neg (\# \text{True}; (f \wedge \text{empty})) \longrightarrow \text{finite} ; (\neg f \wedge \text{empty})$

```

by (metis 52 Finprop(5) int-eq)
have 54:  $\vdash \neg \text{finite};(\neg f \wedge \text{empty}) \longrightarrow \# \text{True};(f \wedge \text{empty})$ 
  using 53 by auto
have 6:  $\vdash (\neg(\Diamond(\neg f \wedge \text{empty}))) \longrightarrow \# \text{True};(f \wedge \text{empty})$ 
  unfolding sometimes-d-def using 54 by auto
have 61:  $\vdash \neg f \wedge \text{empty} \longrightarrow \text{finite}$ 
  by (metis ChopAndB DiamondEmptyEqvFinite NowImpDiamond inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans sometimes-d-def)
have 62:  $\vdash (\neg \# \text{True};(f \wedge \text{empty})) = \text{finite};(\neg f \wedge \text{empty})$ 
  using 61
  by (metis (no-types) Finprop(5) Prop10 TrueChopAndFiniteEqvAndFiniteChopFinite inteq-reflection)
have 7:  $\vdash \# \text{True};(f \wedge \text{empty}) \longrightarrow (\neg(\Diamond(\neg f \wedge \text{empty})))$ 
  unfolding sometimes-d-def using TrueChopAndFiniteEqvAndFiniteChopFinite[of LIFT(f \wedge empty)]
  using 62 by auto
have 8:  $\vdash (\neg(\Diamond(\neg f \wedge \text{empty}))) = \# \text{True};(f \wedge \text{empty})$ 
  by (simp add: 6 7 int-iffI)
from 1 2 5 8 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma DiamondFin:

$$\vdash \Diamond(\text{fin } w) = \text{fin } w$$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) ChopAssoc ChopOrEqv FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite FiniteChopInfEqvInf FiniteOrInfinite int-eq-true inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def)

lemma FiniteChopFinExportA:

$$\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite});(g \wedge \text{fin } w) \longrightarrow \text{fin } w$$

using DiamondFin

by (metis ChopAndB FiniteChopImpDiamond inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans)

lemma FinImpBox:

$$\vdash \text{fin } w \longrightarrow \Box(\text{fin } w)$$

by (metis BoxImpBoxBox fin-d-def)

lemma FinAndChopImport:

$$\vdash (\text{fin } w) \wedge (f;g) \longrightarrow f;((\text{fin } w) \wedge g)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{fin } w \longrightarrow \Box(\text{fin } w)$ **by** (rule FinImpBox)

hence 2: $\vdash \text{fin } w \wedge f;g \longrightarrow \Box(\text{fin } w) \wedge (f;g)$ **by** auto

have 3: $\vdash \Box(\text{fin } w) \wedge (f;g) \longrightarrow f;((\text{fin } w) \wedge g)$ **using** BoxAndChopImport **by** blast

from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **using** MP **by** fastforce

qed

lemma FinAndChop:

$$\vdash ((f \wedge \text{finite});(g \wedge \text{fin } w)) = (\text{fin } w \wedge (f \wedge \text{finite});g)$$

using FinAndChopImport FiniteChopFinExportA ChopAndA ChopAndCommute

by fastforce

lemma ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty:

$$\vdash ((f;g) \wedge \text{empty}) = (f \wedge \text{empty});(g \wedge \text{empty})$$

```

by (auto simp: itl-defs min-absorb1)

lemma FinAndEmpty:
  ⊢ ((fin w) ∧ empty) = (w ∧ empty)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ ((fin w) ∧ empty) = (#True; (w ∧ empty) ∧ empty)
    using FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty by fastforce
  have 2: ⊢ (#True; (w ∧ empty) ∧ empty) = ((#True ∧ empty); (w ∧ empty))
    using ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty[of LIFT(#True) LIFT(w ∧ empty)]
      by (metis AndChopA ChopAndA ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty Prop11 Prop12 int-eq)
  have 3: ⊢ (#True ∧ empty); (w ∧ empty) = (empty; (w ∧ empty))
    using LeftChopEqvChop by fastforce
  have 4: ⊢ (empty; (w ∧ empty)) = (w ∧ empty)
    using EmptyChop by blast
  from 1 2 3 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty:
  ⊢ ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin g) = (f ∧ finite); (g ∧ empty)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin g) = ((f ∧ finite); empty ∧ fin g)
    using ChopEmpty by (metis inteq-reflection)
  have 2: ⊢ (fin g ∧ (f ∧ finite); empty) = ((f ∧ finite); (empty ∧ fin g))
    using FinAndChop by fastforce
  have 3: ⊢ (empty ∧ fin g) = (fin g ∧ empty)
    by auto
  have 4: ⊢ (fin g ∧ empty) = (g ∧ empty)
    using FinAndEmpty by metis
  have 5: ⊢ (empty ∧ fin g) = (g ∧ empty)
    using 3 4 by auto
  hence 6: ⊢ (f ∧ finite); (empty ∧ fin g) = (f ∧ finite); (g ∧ empty)
    using RightChopEqvChop by blast
  from 1 2 5 show ?thesis by (metis inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
qed

lemma AndFinEqvChopStateAndEmpty:
  ⊢ ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin (init w)) = (f ∧ finite); ((init w) ∧ empty)
using AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty by blast

lemma FinStateEqvStateAndEmptyOrNextFinState:
  ⊢ fin (init w) = (((init w) ∧ empty) ∨ ○(fin (init w)))
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ fin (init w) = □(empty → init w)
    by (simp add: fin-d-def)
  have 2: ⊢ □(empty → init w) =
    ((empty → init w) ∧ wnext (□(empty → init w)))
    by (rule BoxEqvAndWnextBox)
  have 3: ⊢ fin (init w) = ((empty → init w) ∧ wnext (fin (init w)))
    using 1 2 by (simp add: fin-d-def)
  have 4: ⊢ wnext (fin (init w)) = (empty ∨ ○(fin (init w)))
    ...

```

```

by (rule WnextEqvEmptyOrNext)
have 5:  $\vdash \text{fin}(\text{init } w) = ((\text{empty} \rightarrow \text{init } w) \wedge (\text{empty} \vee \circ(\text{fin}(\text{init } w))))$ 
  using 3 4 by fastforce
have 6:  $\vdash ((\text{empty} \rightarrow \text{init } w) \wedge (\text{empty} \vee \circ(\text{fin}(\text{init } w)))) =$ 
   $\quad (((\text{empty} \rightarrow \text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee ((\text{empty} \rightarrow \text{init } w) \wedge \circ(\text{fin}(\text{init } w))))$ 
  by auto
have 7:  $\vdash ((\text{empty} \rightarrow \text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) = ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})$ 
  by auto
have 8:  $\vdash ((\text{empty} \rightarrow \text{init } w) \wedge \circ(\text{fin}(\text{init } w))) = \circ(\text{fin}(\text{init } w))$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 5 DiamondFin NextDiamondImpDiamond Prop10 Prop12 int-eq
    lift-and-com)
have 9:  $\vdash (((\text{empty} \rightarrow \text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee ((\text{empty} \rightarrow \text{init } w) \wedge \circ(\text{fin}(\text{init } w)))) =$ 
   $\quad ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee \circ(\text{fin}(\text{init } w))$ 
  using 7 8 by auto
from 5 6 8 9 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma FinChopEqvOr:
 $\vdash (\text{fin}(\text{init } w); f = (((\text{init } w) \wedge f) \vee \circ((\text{fin}(\text{init } w)); f))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \text{fin}(\text{init } w) = (((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee \circ(\text{fin}(\text{init } w)))$ 
  by (rule FinStateEqvStateAndEmptyOrNextFinState)
hence 2:  $\vdash (\text{fin}(\text{init } w); f = (((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee \circ(\text{fin}(\text{init } w)); f)$ 
  by (rule LeftChopEqvChop)
have 3:  $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee \circ(\text{fin}(\text{init } w)); f$ 
   $= (((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}); f \vee (\circ(\text{fin}(\text{init } w)); f))$ 
  by (rule OrChopEqv)
have 4:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}); f = ((\text{init } w) \wedge f)$ 
  by (rule StateAndEmptyChop)
have 5:  $\vdash (\circ(\text{fin}(\text{init } w)); f = \circ((\text{fin}(\text{init } w)); f)$ 
  by (rule NextChop)
from 2 3 4 5 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma FinChopEqvDiamond:
 $\vdash (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}); f = \diamond((\text{init } w) \wedge f)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}) = (\text{finite}; ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}))$ 
  by (metis AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty int-simps(17) inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
hence 2:  $\vdash (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}); f = (\text{finite}; ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})); f$ 
  by (rule LeftChopEqvChop)
have 3:  $\vdash \text{finite}; ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}); f = (\text{finite}; ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})); f$ 
  by (rule ChopAssoc)
have 4:  $\vdash \text{finite}; ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}); f = \diamond((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}); f$ 
  by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)
have 5:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}); f = ((\text{init } w) \wedge f)$ 
  using StateAndEmptyChop by blast
hence 6:  $\vdash \diamond((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}); f = \diamond((\text{init } w) \wedge f)$ 
  by (rule DiamondEqvDiamond)
from 2 3 4 6 show ?thesis by fastforce

```

qed

lemma *NotDiamondAndNot*:

$\vdash \neg(\diamond(f \wedge \neg f))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\neg(\diamond(f \wedge \neg f))) = \square(\neg(f \wedge \neg f))$ **using** *NotDiamondNotEqvBox* **by** *fastforce*

have 2: $\vdash \neg(f \wedge \neg f)$ **by** *simp*

have 3: $\vdash \square(\neg(f \wedge \neg f))$ **using** 2 **by** (*simp add: BoxGen*)

from 1 3 **show** ?thesis **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *FinYields*:

$\vdash (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}) \text{ yields } (\text{init } w)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}); (\neg(\text{init } w)) = \diamond((\text{init } w) \wedge \neg(\text{init } w))$
by (*rule FinChopEqvDiamond*)

have 2: $\vdash \neg(\diamond((\text{init } w) \wedge \neg(\text{init } w)))$
by (*rule NotDiamondAndNot*)

have 3: $\vdash \neg((\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}); (\neg(\text{init } w)))$
using 1 2 **by** *fastforce*

from 3 **show** ?thesis **by** (*simp add: yields-d-def*)

qed

lemma *ImpAndFinStateOrFinNotState*:

$\vdash f \longrightarrow (f \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w)) \vee (f \wedge \text{fin}(\neg(\text{init } w)))$

by (*simp add: itl-defs Valid-def*)

lemma *AndFinChopEqvStateAndChop*:

$\vdash ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w)); g = (f \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}) \text{ yields } (\text{init } w)$
by (*rule FinYields*)

have 2: $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w) \longrightarrow \text{fin}(\text{init } w)$
by *auto*

hence 3: $\vdash (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}) \text{ yields } (\text{init } w) \longrightarrow$
 $((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w)) \text{ yields } (\text{init } w)$

using *LeftYieldsImpYields*

by (*metis AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty Prop11 Prop12 inteq-reflection*)

have 4: $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w)) \text{ yields } (\text{init } w)$

using 1 3 **MP** **by** *fastforce*

have 5: $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w)); g \wedge ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w)) \text{ yields } (\text{init } w)$
 $\longrightarrow ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w)); (g \wedge (\text{init } w))$

by (*rule ChopAndYieldsImp*)

have 6: $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w)); g \longrightarrow$
 $((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w)); (g \wedge (\text{init } w))$

using 4 5 **by** *fastforce*

have 7: $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w)); (g \wedge (\text{init } w)) \longrightarrow (f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge (\text{init } w))$
by (*rule AndChopA*)

have 8: $\vdash g \wedge (\text{init } w) \longrightarrow (\text{init } w) \wedge g$

by *auto*

```

hence 9:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge (\text{init } w)) \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)$ 
  by (rule RightChopImpChop)
have 10:  $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); g \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)$ 
  using 6 7 9 by fastforce
have 11:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \vee ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\neg (\text{init } w)))$ 
  using ImpAndFinStateOrFinNotState by blast
hence 12:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w) \wedge g) \rightarrow$ 
   $((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \vee$ 
   $((\text{finite} \wedge f) \wedge \text{fin } (\neg (\text{init } w))); ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)$ 
  using LeftChopImpChop
  by (metis inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
have 13:  $\vdash (((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \vee ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\neg (\text{init } w)))); ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)$ 
  =
   $((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\text{init } w));$ 
   $((\text{init } w) \wedge g) \vee ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\neg (\text{init } w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge g))$ 
  by (rule OrChopEqv)
have 14:  $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\text{init } (\neg w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge g) \rightarrow$ 
   $\diamond((\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge ((\text{init } w) \wedge g))$ 
  using FinChopEqvDiamond
  by (metis AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty ChopEmpty FiniteChopImpDiamond LeftChopImpChop int-eq)
have 141:  $\vdash \neg(\diamond((\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge ((\text{init } w) \wedge g))) \rightarrow$ 
   $\neg((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\text{init } (\neg w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge g))$ 
  using 14 by fastforce
have 142:  $\vdash ((\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)) = \#False$ 
  using Initprop(2) by fastforce
have 15:  $\vdash \neg(\diamond((\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)))$ 
  by (metis 142 NotDiamondAndNot int-simps(21) inteq-reflection)
have 151:  $\vdash \neg((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\text{init } (\neg w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge g))$ 
  using 15 141 by fastforce
have 1511:  $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\neg (\text{init } w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge g) \rightarrow \#False$ 
  using 151 by (metis Initprop(2) int-simps(14) inteq-reflection)
have 152:  $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\text{init } w));$ 
   $((\text{init } w) \wedge g) \vee ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\neg (\text{init } w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge g) \rightarrow$ 
   $((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)$ 
  using 1511 by fastforce
have 16:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w) \wedge g) \rightarrow ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)$ 
  using 12 13 152
  proof -
    have  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{init } w \wedge g) \rightarrow$ 
       $((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \vee (f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\neg \text{init } w); (\text{init } w \wedge g)$ 
      by (metis 12 inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
    then show ?thesis
      using 13 152 by fastforce
  qed
have 17:  $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge g) \rightarrow ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); g$ 
  by (rule ChopAndB)
have 18:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w) \wedge g) \rightarrow ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); g$ 
  using 16 17 by fastforce
from 10 18 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma DiAndFinEqvChopState:
  ⊢ di ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin (init w)) = (f ∧ finite); (init w)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin(init w)); #True = (f ∧ finite);((init w) ∧ #True)
    by (rule AndFinChopEqvStateAndChop)
  have 2: ⊢ ((init w) ∧ #True) = (init w)
    by auto
  hence 3: ⊢ ((f ∧ finite); ((init w) ∧ #True)) = ((f ∧ finite); (init w))
    by (rule RightChopEqvChop)
  have 4: ⊢ ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin (init w)); #True = (f ∧ finite); (init w)
    using 1 3 by auto
  from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: di-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma FinNotStateEqvNotFinState:
  ⊢ (¬(fin (init w)) ∧ finite ) = (fin (init (¬ w)) ∧ finite )
using FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty Finprop(4) Initprop(2) FiniteImpAnd by (metis inteq-reflection)

```

```

lemma BiImpFinEqvYieldsState:
  ⊢ bi (f ∧ finite → fin (init w)) = (f ∧ finite)yields (init w)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ di ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin (init (¬ w))) = (f ∧ finite); (init (¬ w))
    by (rule DiAndFinEqvChopState)
  have 2: ⊢ ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin(init (¬ w))) = ((f ∧ finite) ∧ ¬(fin(init w)))
    using FinNotStateEqvNotFinState by fastforce
  have 3: ⊢ ((f ∧ finite) ∧ ¬(fin(init w))) = (¬(f ∧ finite → fin (init w)))
    by auto
  have 4: ⊢ ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin(init (¬ w))) = (¬(f ∧ finite → fin(init w)))
    using 2 3 by fastforce
  hence 5: ⊢ di ((f ∧ finite) ∧ fin (init (¬ w))) = di (¬(f ∧ finite → fin(init w)))
    by (rule DiEqvDi)
  have 6: ⊢ di (¬(f ∧ finite → fin (init w))) = (¬( bi (f ∧ finite → fin(init w))))
    by (rule DiNotEqvNotBi)
  have 7: ⊢ ¬(bi (f ∧ finite → fin (init w))) = (f ∧ finite);(init (¬ w))
    using 1 5 6 Initprop by fastforce
  hence 8: ⊢ bi (f ∧ finite → fin (init w)) = (¬ ((f ∧ finite); (¬ (init w))))
    by (metis Initprop(2) int-eq int-simps(7))
  from 8 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma StateImpYields:
assumes ⊢ (init w) ∧ f ∧ finite → fin (init w1)
shows ⊢ (init w) → ((f ∧ finite) yields (init w1))
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ (init w) ∧ f ∧ finite → fin (init w1)
    using assms by auto
  hence 2: ⊢ (init w) → (f ∧ finite → fin (init w1))
    by auto
  hence 3: ⊢ (init w) → bi (f ∧ finite → fin (init w1))
    
```

```

by (rule StateImpBiGen)
have 4:  $\vdash bi(f \wedge finite \rightarrow fin (init w1)) = (f \wedge finite) yields (init w1)$ 
  by (rule BiImpFinEqvYieldsState)
from 3 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma StateAndYieldsImpYields:
assumes  $\vdash (init w) \wedge f \rightarrow f1$ 
shows  $\vdash (init w) \wedge (f1 yields g) \rightarrow (f yields g)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (init w) \wedge f \rightarrow f1$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash (init w) \wedge (f; (\neg g)) \rightarrow f1; (\neg g)$  by (rule StateAndChopImpChopRule)
  hence 3:  $\vdash (init w) \wedge \neg (f1; (\neg g)) \rightarrow \neg (f; (\neg g))$  by auto
  from 3 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)
qed

lemma AndYieldsA:
 $\vdash f yields g \rightarrow (f \wedge f1) yields g$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f \wedge f1 \rightarrow f$  by auto
  from 1 show ?thesis by (rule LeftYieldsImpYields)
qed

lemma AndYieldsB:
 $\vdash f1 yields g \rightarrow (f \wedge f1) yields g$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f \wedge f1 \rightarrow f1$  by auto
  from 1 show ?thesis by (rule LeftYieldsImpYields)
qed

lemma RightYieldsImpYields:
assumes  $\vdash g \rightarrow g1$ 
shows  $\vdash (f yields g) \rightarrow (f yields g1)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash g \rightarrow g1$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash \neg g1 \rightarrow \neg g$  by auto
  hence 3:  $\vdash f; (\neg g1) \rightarrow f; (\neg g)$  by (rule RightChopImpChop)
  hence 4:  $\vdash \neg (f; (\neg g)) \rightarrow \neg (f; (\neg g1))$  by auto
  from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)
qed

lemma RightYieldsEqvYields:
assumes  $\vdash g = g1$ 
shows  $\vdash (f yields g) = (f yields g1)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash g = g1$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash (\neg g) = (\neg g1)$  by auto
  hence 3:  $\vdash f; (\neg g) = f; (\neg g1)$  by (rule RightChopEqvChop)
  hence 4:  $\vdash (\neg (f; (\neg g))) = (\neg (f; (\neg g1)))$  by auto
  from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)

```

qed

lemma *BoxImpYields*:

$\vdash \square g \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{finite}) \text{ yields } g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}); (\neg g) \rightarrow \diamond(\neg g)$ **by** (rule *FiniteChopImpDiamond*)

hence 2: $\vdash \neg(\diamond(\neg g)) \rightarrow \neg((f \wedge \text{finite}); (\neg g))$ **by** *auto*

from 2 **show** ?*thesis* **by** (*simp add: yields-d-def always-d-def*)

qed

lemma *BoxEqvFiniteYields*:

$\vdash \square f = \text{finite} \text{ yields } f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{finite}; (\neg f) = \diamond(\neg f)$ **by** (rule *FiniteChopEqvDiamond*)

hence 2: $\vdash (\neg(\text{finite}; (\neg f))) = (\neg(\diamond(\neg f)))$ **by** *auto*

have 3: $\vdash \square f = (\neg(\diamond(\neg f)))$ **by** (*simp add: always-d-def*)

have 4: $\vdash \square f = (\neg(\text{finite}; (\neg f)))$ **using** 2 3 **by** *fastforce*

from 4 **show** ?*thesis* **by** (*simp add: yields-d-def*)

qed

lemma *YieldsGen*:

assumes $\vdash g$

shows $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) \text{ yields } g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash \square g$ **by** (rule *BoxGen*)

have 3: $\vdash \square g \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{finite}) \text{ yields } g$ **by** (rule *BoxImpYields*)

from 2 3 **show** ?*thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *YieldsAndYieldsEqvYieldsAnd*:

$\vdash ((f \text{ yields } g) \wedge (f \text{ yields } g1)) = f \text{ yields } (g \wedge g1)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f; (\neg g \vee \neg g1) = ((f; (\neg g)) \vee (f; (\neg g1)))$

by (rule *ChopOrEqv*)

hence 2: $\vdash ((f; (\neg g)) \vee (f; (\neg g1))) = f; (\neg g \vee \neg g1)$

by *auto*

have 3: $\vdash (\neg g \vee \neg g1) = (\neg(g \wedge g1))$

by *auto*

hence 4: $\vdash f; (\neg g \vee \neg g1) = f; (\neg(g \wedge g1))$

by (rule *RightChopEqvChop*)

have 5: $\vdash (f; (\neg g)) \vee (f; (\neg g1)) = f; (\neg(g \wedge g1))$

using 2 4 **by** *fastforce*

hence 6: $\vdash (\neg(f; (\neg g)) \wedge \neg(f; (\neg g1))) = (\neg(f; (\neg(g \wedge g1))))$

by (metis 1 3 int-simps(14) int-simps(33) inteq-reflection)

from 6 **show** ?*thesis* **by** (*simp add: yields-d-def*)

qed

lemma *YieldsAndYieldsImpAndYieldsAnd*:

$\vdash (f \text{ yields } g) \wedge (f1 \text{ yields } g1) \rightarrow (f \wedge f1) \text{ yields } (g \wedge g1)$

proof –

```
have 1: ⊢ f yields g → (f ∧ f1) yields g
  by (rule AndYieldsA)
have 2: ⊢ f1 yields g1 → (f ∧ f1) yields g1
  by (rule AndYieldsB)
have 3: ⊢ ((f ∧ f1) yields g ∧ (f ∧ f1) yields g1) = (f ∧ f1) yields (g ∧ g1)
  by (rule YieldsAndYieldsEqvYieldsAnd)
from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
```

lemma YieldsYieldsEqvChopYields:

```
⊢ f yields (g yields h) = (f; g) yields h
```

proof –

```
have 1: ⊢ f; (g; (¬ h)) = (f; g); (¬ h) by (rule ChopAssoc)
hence 2: ⊢ f; (g; (¬ h)) = (f; g); (¬ h) by auto
have 3: ⊢ g; (¬ h) = (¬ ¬ (g; (¬ h))) by auto
hence 4: ⊢ f; (g; (¬ h)) = f; (¬ ¬ (g; (¬ h))) by (rule RightChopEqvChop)
have 5: ⊢ f; (¬ ¬ (g; (¬ h))) = (f; g); (¬ h) using 2 4 by auto
hence 6: ⊢ f; (¬ (g yields h)) = (f; g); (¬ h) by (simp add: yields-d-def)
hence 7: ⊢ (¬ (f; (¬ (g yields h)))) = (¬ ((f; g); (¬ h))) by auto
from 7 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)
qed
```

lemma EmptyYields:

```
⊢ empty yields f = f
```

proof –

```
have 1: ⊢ empty ; (¬ f) = (¬ f) by (rule EmptyChop)
hence 2: ⊢ (¬ (empty ; (¬ f))) = f by auto
from 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)
qed
```

lemma NextYields:

```
⊢ (○ f) yields g = wnext (f yields g)
```

proof –

```
have 1: ⊢ (○ f); (¬ g) = ○(f; (¬ g)) by (rule NextChop)
hence 2: ⊢ (¬ ((○ f); (¬ g))) = (¬ (○(f; (¬ g)))) by auto
hence 3: ⊢ (○ f) yields g = (¬ (○(f; (¬ g)))) by (simp add: yields-d-def)
have 4: ⊢ (¬ (○(f; (¬ g)))) = wnext (¬ (f; (¬ g))) by (auto simp: wnext-d-def)
have 5: ⊢ (○ f) yields g = wnext (¬ (f; (¬ g))) using 3 4 by fastforce
from 5 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)
qed
```

lemma SkipChopEqvNext:

```
⊢ skip ; f = ○ f
by (simp add: next-d-def)
```

lemma SkipYieldsEqvWeakNext:

```
⊢ skip yields f = wnext f
```

proof –

```
have 1: ⊢ skip ; (¬ f) = ○(¬ f) by (rule SkipChopEqvNext)
qed
```

```

hence 2:  $\vdash (\neg(\text{skip} ; (\neg f))) = (\neg(\bigcirc(\neg f)))$  by auto
have 3:  $\vdash (\bigcirc(\neg f)) = \text{wnext } f$  by (auto simp: wnnext-d-def)
have 4:  $\vdash (\neg(\text{skip} ; (\neg f))) = \text{wnext } f$  using 2 3 by fastforce
from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma NextImpSkipYields:
 $\vdash \bigcirc f \longrightarrow \text{skip} \text{ yields } f$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \bigcirc f \longrightarrow \text{wnext } f$  using WnextEqvEmptyOrNext by fastforce
have 2:  $\vdash \text{skip} \text{ yields } f = \text{wnext } f$  by (rule SkipYieldsEqvWeakNext)
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma MoreEqvSkipChopTrue:
 $\vdash \text{more} = \text{skip} ; \# \text{True}$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \text{skip} ; \# \text{True} = \bigcirc \# \text{True}$  by (rule SkipChopEqvNext)
hence 2:  $\vdash \bigcirc \# \text{True} = \text{skip} ; \# \text{True}$  by auto
from 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: more-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma MoreChopImpMore:
 $\vdash \text{more} ; f \longrightarrow \text{more}$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\bigcirc \# \text{True}) ; f = \bigcirc(\# \text{True}; f)$  by (rule NextChop)
have 2:  $\vdash \bigcirc(\# \text{True}; f) \longrightarrow \text{more}$  by (simp add: NextImpNext more-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash (\bigcirc \# \text{True}; f) \longrightarrow \text{more}$  using 1 2 by fastforce
from 3 show ?thesis by (metis more-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma FmoreChopImpFmore:
 $\vdash \text{fmore} ; (f \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow \text{fmore}$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \text{fmore} ; (f \wedge \text{finite}) = \bigcirc(\text{finite}; (f \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
using FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite by (metis NextChop inteq-reflection next-d-def)
have 2:  $\vdash \bigcirc(\text{finite}; (f \wedge \text{finite})) \longrightarrow \text{fmore}$ 
by (metis ChopAndB FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite RightChopImpChop
      inteq-reflection next-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash (\bigcirc \text{finite}; (f \wedge \text{finite})) \longrightarrow \text{fmore}$  using 1 2
by (metis FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite inteq-reflection next-d-def)
from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma ChopMoreImpMore:
 $\vdash f ; \text{more} \longrightarrow \text{more}$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) ; \text{more} \longrightarrow \diamond \text{more}$ 
by (rule FiniteChopImpDiamond)
have 11:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{inf}) ; \text{more} \longrightarrow \text{more}$ 

```

```

by (metis AndInfChopEqvAndInf MoreAndInfEqvInf Prop11 Prop12 lift-imp-trans)
have 2:  $\vdash \Diamond \text{more} \rightarrow \text{more}$ 
by (metis FiniteChopMoreEqvMore NowImpDiamond inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) ; \text{more} \rightarrow \text{more}$ 
using 1 2 by fastforce
have 4:  $\vdash f = ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}))$ 
by (simp add: OrFiniteInf)
hence 5:  $\vdash f ; \text{more} = ((f \wedge \text{finite}) ; \text{more} \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}) ; \text{more})$ 
by (simp add: OrChopEqvRule)
from 11 3 5 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma MoreChopEqvNextDiamond:
 $\vdash \text{fmore} ; f = \bigcirc(\Diamond f)$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash \text{fmore} ; f = (\bigcirc \text{finite}) ; f$ 
by (simp add: FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite LeftChopEqvChop next-d-def)
have 2:  $\vdash (\bigcirc \text{finite}) ; f = \bigcirc(\text{finite} ; f)$ 
by (rule NextChop)
have 3:  $\vdash \text{fmore} ; f = \bigcirc(\text{finite} ; f)$ 
using 1 2 by fastforce
from 3 show ?thesis by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma WeakNextBoxImpMoreYields:
 $\vdash \text{fmore yields } f = \text{wnext}(\Box f)$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash \text{fmore} ; (\neg f) = \bigcirc(\Diamond (\neg f))$  by (rule MoreChopEqvNextDiamond)
have 2:  $\vdash \bigcirc(\Diamond (\neg f)) = \bigcirc(\neg(\Box f))$  by (auto simp: always-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash \bigcirc(\neg(\Box f)) = (\neg(\text{wnext}(\Box f)))$  by (auto simp: wnext-d-def)
have 4:  $\vdash \text{fmore} ; (\neg f) = (\neg(\text{fmore yields } f))$  by (simp add: yields-d-def)
from 1 2 3 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma NotEqvYieldsMore:
 $\vdash (\neg f) = f \text{ yields more}$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash f ; \text{empty} = f$  by (rule ChopEmpty)
hence 2:  $\vdash (\neg(f ; \text{empty})) = (\neg f)$  by auto
have 3:  $\vdash \text{empty} = (\neg \text{more})$  by (auto simp: empty-d-def)
hence 4:  $\vdash f ; \text{empty} = f ; (\neg \text{more})$  by (rule RightChopEqvChop)
hence 5:  $\vdash (\neg(f ; \text{empty})) = (\neg(f ; (\neg \text{more})))$  by auto
have 6:  $\vdash (\neg f) = (\neg(f ; (\neg \text{more})))$  using 2 5 by fastforce
from 6 show ?thesis by (metis yields-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma LeftChopImpMoreRule:
assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{more}$ 
shows  $\vdash f ; g \rightarrow \text{more}$ 
proof –

```

```

have 1:  $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{more}$  using assms by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash f; g \rightarrow \text{more} ; g$  by (rule LeftChopImpChop)
have 3:  $\vdash \text{more} ; g \rightarrow \text{more}$  by (rule MoreChopImpMore)
from 2 3 show ?thesis using lift-imp-trans by blast
qed

lemma LeftChopImpFMoreRule:
assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{fmore}$ 
shows  $\vdash f; (g \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow \text{fmore}$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{fmore}$  using assms by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash f; (g \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow \text{fmore} ; (g \wedge \text{finite})$  by (rule LeftChopImpChop)
have 3:  $\vdash \text{fmore} ; (g \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow \text{fmore}$  using FmoreChopImpFmore by fastforce
from 2 3 show ?thesis using lift-imp-trans by blast
qed

lemma RightChopImpMoreRule:
assumes  $\vdash g \rightarrow \text{more}$ 
shows  $\vdash f; g \rightarrow \text{more}$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash g \rightarrow \text{more}$  using assms by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash f; g \rightarrow f; \text{more}$  by (rule RightChopImpChop)
have 3:  $\vdash f; \text{more} \rightarrow \text{more}$  by (rule ChopMoreImpMore)
from 2 3 show ?thesis using lift-imp-trans by blast
qed

lemma NotDiEqvBiNot:
 $\vdash (\neg (\text{di } f)) = \text{bi } (\neg f)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f = (\neg \neg f)$  by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash \text{di } f = \text{di } (\neg \neg f)$  by (rule DiEqvDi)
hence 3:  $\vdash (\neg (\text{di } f)) = (\neg (\text{di } (\neg \neg f)))$  by auto
from 3 show ?thesis by (simp add: bi-d-def)
qed

lemma ChopImpDi:
 $\vdash f; g \rightarrow \text{di } f$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash g \rightarrow \#True$  by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash f; g \rightarrow f; \#True$  by (rule RightChopImpChop)
from 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: di-d-def)
qed

lemma TrueEqvTrueChopTrue:
 $\vdash \#True = \#True; \#True$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \#True; \#True \rightarrow \#True$  by auto
have 2:  $\vdash \#True \rightarrow \text{di } \#True$  by (rule DiIntro)
hence 3:  $\vdash \#True \rightarrow \#True; \#True$  by (simp add: di-d-def)
from 1 3 show ?thesis by auto

```

qed

lemma *DiEqvDiDi*:

$$\vdash \text{di } f = \text{di}(\text{ di } f)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \#True = \#True$ **by** (rule *TrueEqvTrueChopTrue*)
hence 2: $\vdash f; \#True = f; (\#True; \#True)$ **by** (rule *RightChopEqvChop*)
have 3: $\vdash f; (\#True; \#True) = (f; \#True); \#True$ **by** (rule *ChopAssoc*)
have 4: $\vdash f; \#True = (f; \#True); \#True$ **using** 2 3 **by** *fastforce*
from 4 show ?thesis **by** (*metis di-d-def*)

qed

lemma *BiEqvBiBi*:

$$\vdash \text{bi } f = \text{bi}(\text{ bi } f)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{di}(\neg f) = \text{di}(\text{ di } (\neg f))$ **by** (rule *DiEqvDiDi*)
have 2: $\vdash \text{di } (\neg f) = (\neg(\text{ bi } f))$ **by** (rule *DiNotEqvNotBi*)
hence 3: $\vdash \text{di } (\text{ di } (\neg f)) = \text{di } (\neg(\text{ bi } f))$ **by** (rule *DiEqvDi*)
have 4: $\vdash \text{di } (\neg f) = \text{di } (\neg(\text{ bi } f))$ **using** 1 3 **by** *fastforce*
hence 5: $\vdash (\neg(\text{ di } (\neg f))) = (\neg(\text{ di } (\neg(\text{ bi } f))))$ **by** *fastforce*
from 5 show ?thesis **by** (*metis bi-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DiOrEqv*:

$$\vdash \text{di } (f \vee g) = (\text{di } f \vee \text{di } g)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \vee g); \#True = (f; \#True \vee g; \#True)$ **by** (rule *OrChopEqv*)
from 1 show ?thesis **by** (*simp add: di-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DiAndA*:

$$\vdash \text{di } (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow \text{di } f$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge g); \#True \longrightarrow f; \#True$ **by** (rule *AndChopA*)
from 1 show ?thesis **by** (*simp add: di-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DiAndB*:

$$\vdash \text{di } (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow \text{di } g$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge g); \#True \longrightarrow g; \#True$ **by** (rule *AndChopB*)
from 1 show ?thesis **by** (*simp add: di-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DiAndImpAnd*:

$$\vdash \text{di } (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow \text{di } f \wedge \text{di } g$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{di } (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow \text{di } f$ **by** (rule *DiAndA*)
have 2: $\vdash \text{di } (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow \text{di } g$ **by** (rule *DiAndB*)
from 1 2 show ?thesis **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *DiSkipEqvMore*:

$\vdash di \text{ skip} = more$

proof –

 have 1: $\vdash skip ; \#True = \circ \#True$ **by** (rule *SkipChopEqvNext*)

 have 2: $\vdash \circ \#True = more$ **by** (auto simp: *more-d-def*)

 have 3: $\vdash skip ; \#True = more$ **using** 1 2 **by** fastforce

from 3 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: *di-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DiMoreEqvMore*:

$\vdash di \text{ more} = more$

proof –

 have 1: $\vdash di (\circ \#True) = \circ (di \#True)$
 by (rule *DiNext*)

 have 2: $\vdash \circ (di \#True) \rightarrow more$
 by (metis 1 *ChopImpDi* *TrueEqvTrueChopTrue* *di-d-def* *int-eq* *more-d-def*)

 have 3: $\vdash di (\circ \#True) \rightarrow more$
 using 1 2 **by** fastforce

hence 4: $\vdash di \text{ more} \rightarrow more$
 by (simp add: *more-d-def*)

 have 5: $\vdash more \rightarrow di \text{ more}$
 by (rule *ImpDi*)

from 4 5 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce

qed

lemma *DiIfEqvRule*:

assumes $\vdash f = if_i (init w) \text{ then } g \text{ else } h$

shows $\vdash di f = if_i (init w) \text{ then } (di g) \text{ else } (di h)$

proof –

 have 1: $\vdash f = if_i (init w) \text{ then } g \text{ else } h$ **using** assms **by** auto

hence 2: $\vdash f; \#True = if_i (init w) \text{ then } (g; \#True) \text{ else } (h; \#True)$ **by** (rule *IfChopEqvRule*)
 from 2 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: *di-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DiEmpty*:

$\vdash di \text{ empty}$

proof –

 have 1: $\vdash \#True$ **by** auto

 have 2: $\vdash empty ; \#True = \#True$ **by** (rule *EmptyChop*)

 have 3: $\vdash empty ; \#True$ **using** 1 2 **by** auto

from 3 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: *di-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DaNotEqvNotBa*:

$\vdash da (\neg f) = (\neg (ba f))$

proof –

 have 1: $\vdash ba f = (\neg (da (\neg f)))$ **by** (simp add: *ba-d-def*)

from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce

qed

lemma *DaEqvDa*:
assumes $\vdash f = g$
shows $\vdash da\ f = da\ g$
using *assms* **using** *int-eq* **by** *force*

lemma *DaEqvNotBaNot*:
 $\vdash da\ f = (\neg(\ ba(\neg f)))$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash ba(\neg f) = (\neg(da(\neg\neg f)))$ **by** (*simp add: ba-d-def*)
hence 2: $\vdash da(\neg\neg f) = (\neg(ba(\neg f)))$ **by** *fastforce*
have 3: $\vdash f = (\neg\neg f)$ **by** *simp*
hence 4: $\vdash da\ f = da\ (\neg\neg f)$ **by** (*rule DaEqvDa*)
from 2 4 **show** ?*thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *BaElim*:
 $\vdash ba\ f \rightarrow f$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash ba\ f = \square(bi\ f)$ **by** (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)
have 2: $\vdash bi\ f \rightarrow f$ **by** (*rule BiElim*)
hence 3: $\vdash \square(bi\ f \rightarrow f)$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
have 4: $\vdash \square(bi\ f \rightarrow f) \rightarrow \square(bi\ f) \rightarrow \square f$ **by** (*rule BoxImpDist*)
have 5: $\vdash \square(bi\ f) \rightarrow \square f$ **using** 3 4 *MP* **by** *fastforce*
have 6: $\vdash \square f \rightarrow f$ **by** (*rule BoxElim*)
from 1 5 6 **show** ?*thesis* **using** *BaImpBt lift-imp-trans* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *DaIntro*:
 $\vdash f \rightarrow da\ f$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash ba(\neg f) \rightarrow (\neg f)$ **by** (*rule BaElim*)
hence 2: $\vdash \neg\neg f \rightarrow \neg(ba(\neg f))$ **by** *fastforce*
have 3: $\vdash f = (\neg\neg f)$ **by** *simp*
have 4: $\vdash da\ f = (\neg(ba(\neg f)))$ **by** (*rule DaEqvNotBaNot*)
from 2 3 4 **show** ?*thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaGen*:
assumes $\vdash f$
shows $\vdash ba\ f$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \square f$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
hence 3: $\vdash bi(\square f)$ **by** (*rule BiGen*)
have 4: $\vdash ba\ f = bi(\square f)$ **by** (*rule BaEqvBiBt*)
from 3 4 **show** ?*thesis* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaImpDist*:

$\vdash \text{ba } (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow \text{ba } f \rightarrow \text{ba } g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{bi } (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (\text{bi } f \rightarrow \text{bi } g)$

by (*rule BiImpDist*)

hence 2: $\vdash \square(\text{bi } (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (\text{bi } f \rightarrow \text{bi } g))$

by (*rule BoxGen*)

have 3: $\vdash \square(\text{bi } (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (\text{bi } f \rightarrow \text{bi } g))$

\rightarrow

$(\square(\text{bi } (f \rightarrow g)) \rightarrow (\square(\text{bi } f) \rightarrow \square(\text{bi } g)))$

by (*meson 2 BoxImpDist MP lift-imp-trans Prop01 Prop05 Prop09*)

have 4: $\vdash \square(\text{bi } (f \rightarrow g)) \rightarrow (\square(\text{bi } f) \rightarrow \square(\text{bi } g))$

using 2 3 *MP* **by** *fastforce*

have 5: $\vdash \text{ba } (f \rightarrow g) = \square(\text{bi } (f \rightarrow g))$

by (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)

have 6: $\vdash \text{ba } f = \square(\text{bi } f)$

by (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)

have 7: $\vdash \text{ba } g = \square(\text{bi } g)$

by (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)

from 4 5 6 7 **show** ?*thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *BiAndEqv*:

$\vdash \text{bi } (f \wedge g) = (\text{bi } f \wedge \text{bi } g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{di } (\neg f \vee \neg g) = (\text{di } (\neg f) \vee \text{di } (\neg g))$

by (*simp add: DiOrEqv*)

have 2: $\vdash (\neg (\text{di } (\neg f \vee \neg g))) = (\neg \text{di } (\neg f) \wedge \neg \text{di } (\neg g))$

using 1 **by** *auto*

have 3: $\vdash (f \wedge g) = (\neg (\neg f \vee \neg g))$

by *fastforce*

have 4: $\vdash \text{bi } (f \wedge g) = (\neg (\text{di } (\neg f \vee \neg g)))$

unfolding *bi-d-def* **using** 3 **by** (*metis int-simps(4) inteq-reflection*)

from 2 4 **show** ?*thesis* **unfolding** *bi-d-def* **by** (*metis inteq-reflection*)

qed

lemma *BaAndEqv*:

$\vdash \text{ba } (f \wedge g) = (\text{ba } f \wedge \text{ba } g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{ba } (f \wedge g) = \square(\text{bi } (f \wedge g))$

by (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)

have 2: $\vdash \text{bi } (f \wedge g) = (\text{bi } f \wedge \text{bi } g)$

by (*simp add: BiAndEqv*)

hence 3: $\vdash \square(\text{bi } (f \wedge g)) = \square(\text{bi } f \wedge \text{bi } g)$

using *BoxEqvBox* **by** *blast*

have 4: $\vdash \square(\text{bi } f \wedge \text{bi } g) = (\square(\text{bi } f) \wedge \square(\text{bi } g))$

by (*metis 2 BoxAndBoxEqvBoxRule inteq-reflection*)

have 5: $\vdash \text{ba } f = \square(\text{bi } f)$

by (*rule BaEqvBtBi*)

have 6: $\vdash \text{ba } g = \square(\text{bi } g)$

```

by (rule BaEqvBtBi)
from 1 3 4 5 6 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma BaImpBaEqvBa:
  ⊢ ba (f = g) → (ba f = ba g)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ ba (f → g) → ba f → ba g by (rule BaImpDist)
  have 2: ⊢ ba (g → f) → ba g → ba f by (rule BaImpDist)
  have 25: ⊢ (f = g) = ((f → g) ∧ (g → f)) by fastforce
  have 3: ⊢ ba (f = g) = ba ((f → g) ∧ (g → f)) by (metis 25 BaAndEqv inteq-reflection)
  have 4: ⊢ ba ((f → g) ∧ (g → f)) = (ba(f → g) ∧ ba(g → f)) by (rule BaAndEqv)
  have 5: ⊢ ((ba f → ba g) ∧ (ba g → ba f)) = (ba f = ba g) by auto
  from 1 2 3 4 5 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma BaImpBa:
assumes ⊢ f → g
shows ⊢ ba f → ba g
using BaGen BaImpDist MP assms by metis

lemma BaEqvBa:
assumes ⊢ f = g
shows ⊢ ba f = ba g
using BaGen BaImpBaEqvBa MP assms by metis

lemma DaImpDa:
assumes ⊢ f → g
shows ⊢ da f → da g
using assms by (metis DaEqvDtDi DiAndB DiamondImpDiamond inteq-reflection Prop10)

lemma DiamondEqvDiamondDiamond:
  ⊢ ◊ f = ◊ (◊ f)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ ◊ (◊ f) = finite;(finite;f)
    by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)
  have 2: ⊢ finite;(finite;f) = (finite;finite);f
    by (rule ChopAssoc)
  have 3: ⊢ (finite;finite);f = finite;
    by (simp add: LeftChopEqvChop FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite)
  have 4: ⊢ finite;f = ◊ f
    by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)
  from 1 2 3 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma DaEqvDaDa:
  ⊢ da f = da ( da f)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ da f = ◊( di f)
    by (rule DaEqvDtDi)

```

```

have 2:  $\vdash \text{di } f = (\text{di} (\text{ di } f))$ 
  by (rule DiEqvDiDi)
hence 3:  $\vdash \diamond (\text{ di } f) = \diamond (\text{di} (\text{ di } f))$ 
  by (rule DiamondEqvDiamond)
have 4:  $\vdash \diamond (\text{di } f) = \diamond(\diamond (\text{di} (\text{ di } f)))$ 
  using DiamondEqvDiamondDiamondDiEqvDiDi using 3 by fastforce
have 5:  $\vdash \diamond (\text{di} (\text{ di } f)) = \text{di} (\diamond (\text{ di } f))$ 
  by (rule DtDiEqvDiDt)
hence 6:  $\vdash \diamond(\diamond (\text{di} (\text{ di } f))) = \diamond (\text{di} (\diamond (\text{ di } f)))$ 
  by (rule DiamondEqvDiamond)
have 7:  $\vdash \text{da } f = \diamond (\text{di} (\diamond (\text{ di } f)))$ 
  using 1 3 4 6 by fastforce
have 8:  $\vdash \text{da} (\diamond (\text{ di } f)) = \diamond (\text{ di } (\diamond (\text{ di } f)))$ 
  by (rule DaEqvDtDi)
have 9:  $\vdash \text{da} (\text{ da } f) = \text{da} (\diamond (\text{ di } f))$ 
  using 1 by (rule DaEqvDa)
from 7 8 9 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *BaEqvBaBa*:

$\vdash \text{ba } f = \text{ba} (\text{ba } f)$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash \text{da} (\neg f) = \text{da} (\text{da} (\neg f))$  by (rule DaEqvDaDa)
have 2:  $\vdash \text{da} (\text{da} (\neg f)) = (\neg (\text{ba} (\neg (\text{da} (\neg f)))))$  by (rule DaEqvNotBaNot)
have 3:  $\vdash (\neg (\text{da} (\text{da} (\neg f)))) = \text{ba} (\neg (\text{da} (\neg f)))$  by (auto simp: ba-d-def)
have 4:  $\vdash (\neg (\text{da} (\neg f))) = \text{ba} (\neg (\text{da} (\neg f)))$  using 1 2 3 by fastforce
from 4 show ?thesis by (metis ba-d-def)
qed

```

lemma *BaLeftChopImpChop*:

$\vdash \text{ba} (f \rightarrow f1) \rightarrow f; g \rightarrow f1; g$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash \text{ba} (f \rightarrow f1) \rightarrow \text{bi} (f \rightarrow f1)$  by (rule BaImpBi)
have 2:  $\vdash \text{bi} (f \rightarrow f1) \rightarrow f; g \rightarrow f1; g$  by (rule BiChopImpChop)
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *BaRightChopImpChop*:

$\vdash \text{ba} (g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow f; g \rightarrow f; g1$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash \text{ba} (g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow \square(g \rightarrow g1)$  by (rule BaImpBt)
have 2:  $\vdash \square(g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow f; g \rightarrow f; g1$  by (rule BoxChopImpChop)
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *ChopAndBaImport*:

$\vdash (f; f1) \wedge \text{ba } g \rightarrow (f \wedge g); (f1 \wedge g)$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash \text{ba } g \wedge (f; f1) \rightarrow (g \wedge f); (g \wedge f1)$  by (rule BaAndChopImport)
have 2:  $\vdash (g \wedge f); (g \wedge f1) = (f \wedge g); (f1 \wedge g)$  by (rule AndChopAndCommute)

```

```

from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma BaAndChopImportA:
  ⊢ ba f ∧ g; g1 → (f ∧ g); g1
  by (meson BaAndChopImport ChopAndB lift-imp-trans)

lemma BaAndChopImportB:
  ⊢ ba f ∧ g; g1 → (f ∧ g); (ba f ∧ g1)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ ba f = ba (ba f)
    by (simp add: BaEqvBaBa)
  have 2: ⊢ ba (ba f) ∧ g; g1 → g; (ba f ∧ g1)
    by (metis AndChopB BaAndChopImport lift-imp-trans)
  have 3: ⊢ ba f ∧ g; (ba f ∧ g1) → (f ∧ g); (ba f ∧ g1)
    by (simp add: BaAndChopImportA)
  from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma BaImpBaImpBaAnd:
  ⊢ ba h → ba(g → ba h ∧ g )
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ ba h → (g → ba h ∧ g ) by fastforce
  hence 2: ⊢ ba(ba h) → ba(g → ba h ∧ g ) by (rule BaImpBa)
  have 3: ⊢ ba h = ba(ba h) by (rule BaEqvBaBa)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma BaChopImpChopBa:
  ⊢ ba f → g; g1 → g; (( ba f) ∧ g1)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ ba f → ba (g1 → (ba f) ∧ g1) by (rule BaImpBaImpBaAnd)
  have 2: ⊢ ba (g1 → ba f ∧ g1) → g; g1 → g; ( ba f ∧ g1) by (rule BaRightChopImpChop)
  from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma DiNotBaImpNotBa:
  ⊢ di (¬ ( ba f)) → ¬ ( ba f)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ ba f = ba( ba f) by (rule BaEqvBaBa)
  have 2: ⊢ ba ( ba f) → bi ( ba f) by (rule BaImpBi)
  have 3: ⊢ ba f → bi ( ba f) using 1 2 by fastforce
  hence 4: ⊢ ba f → ¬ ( di (¬ ( ba f))) by (simp add: bi-d-def)
  from 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma NotBaChopImpNotBa:
  ⊢ (¬ ( ba f)); g → ¬ ( ba f)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ (¬ ( ba f)); g → di (¬ ( ba f)) by (rule ChopImpDi)

```

```

have 2:  $\vdash \text{di}(\neg(\text{ba } f)) \longrightarrow \neg(\text{ba } f)$  by (rule DiNotBaImpNotBa)
from 1 2 show ?thesis using lift-imp-trans by blast
qed

lemma DiamondFinImpFin:
 $\vdash \diamond(f \text{in } f) \longrightarrow f \text{in } f$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f \text{in } f = \# \text{True};(f \wedge \text{empty})$ 
by (rule FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty)
hence 2:  $\vdash \diamond(f \text{in } f) = \text{finite};(\# \text{True};(f \wedge \text{empty}))$ 
by (metis FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite LeftChopEqvChop inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash \text{finite};(\# \text{True};(f \wedge \text{empty})) = (\text{finite};\# \text{True});(f \wedge \text{empty})$ 
by (rule ChopAssoc)
have 4:  $\vdash (\text{finite};\# \text{True});(f \wedge \text{empty}) \longrightarrow \# \text{True};(f \wedge \text{empty})$ 
using 1 2 3 DiamondFin by fastforce
from 1 2 3 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma ChopFinImpFin:
 $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}); f \text{in } (\text{init } w) \longrightarrow f \text{in } (\text{init } w)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}); f \text{in } (\text{init } w) \longrightarrow \diamond(f \text{in } (\text{init } w))$  by (rule FiniteChopImpDiamond)
have 2:  $\vdash \diamond(f \text{in } (\text{init } w)) \longrightarrow f \text{in } (\text{init } w)$  by (rule DiamondFinImpFin)
from 1 2 show ?thesis using lift-imp-trans by blast
qed

lemma FiniteRightChopEqvChop:
assumes  $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow g = g_1$ 
shows  $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow f;g = f;g_1$ 
using assms by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)

lemma FinImpYieldsFin:
 $\vdash f \text{in } (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow (f \wedge \text{finite}) \text{ yields } (f \text{in } (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}); (f \text{in } (\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow (f \text{in } (\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) ChopAndB FiniteChopEqvDiamond FiniteChopFinExportA
      FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite FiniteChopImpDiamond Prop12 inteq-reflection
      lift-and-com lift-imp-trans)
have 2:  $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow (\neg(f \text{in } (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite})) = (f \text{in } (\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
using FinNotStateEqvNotFinState by fastforce
hence 3:  $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow (f \wedge \text{finite}); (\neg(f \text{in } (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite})) =$ 
           $(f \wedge \text{finite}); (\neg(f \text{in } (\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
using FiniteRightChopEqvChop[of LIFT( $\neg(f \text{in } (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite})$ )
                           LIFT( $f \text{in } (\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge \text{finite}$ ) LIFT( $f \wedge \text{finite}$ )]
by blast
have 4:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}); (\neg(f \text{in } (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite})) \longrightarrow (\neg(f \text{in } (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
using 1 2 3 by fastforce
hence 5:  $\vdash f \text{in } (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \neg((f \wedge \text{finite}); (\neg(f \text{in } (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite})))$ 
by fastforce
from 5 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)

```

qed

lemma *ChopAndFin*:

$$\vdash ((f; g) \wedge (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite})) = (f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}))$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{finite}) \text{ yields } (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite})$

by (rule *FinImpYieldsFin*)

have 10: $\vdash ((f; g) \wedge (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite})) = (((f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge \text{finite})) \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite})$

using *ChopAndFiniteDist*[of *f g*] **by** *auto*

have 2: $\vdash (f; g) \wedge (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow$

$((f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge \text{finite})) \wedge (f \wedge \text{finite}) \text{ yields } (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite})$

using 1 10 **by** *fastforce*

have 3: $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge \text{finite})) \wedge (f \wedge \text{finite}) \text{ yields } (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow$

$(f \wedge \text{finite}); ((g \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}))$

using *ChopAndYieldsImp* **by** *blast*

have 30: $\vdash ((g \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite})) = (g \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite})$

by *auto*

have 4: $\vdash (f; g) \wedge (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite})$

using 2 3 30

by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) *inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans*)

have 11: $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge \text{finite})$

using *ChopAndA* **by** (metis 30 *inteq-reflection*)

have 12: $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow$

$(f \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite})$

by (rule *ChopAndB*)

have 13: $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow \diamond (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite})$

using *FiniteChopImpDiamond* **by** *blast*

have 14: $\vdash \diamond (\text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow \text{fin}(\text{init } w)$

by (metis *ChopAndA DiamondFin inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def*)

have 15: $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow$

$((f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge \text{finite})) \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w)$

using 11 12 13 14 **by** *fastforce*

from 4 15 **show** ?thesis **by** (metis *ChopAndFiniteDist Prop12 int-iffI inteq-reflection*)

qed

lemma *ChopAndNotFin*:

$$\vdash (f; g \wedge \neg (\text{fin}(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}) = (f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge \neg (\text{fin}(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite})$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f; g \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge \text{finite}) =$

$(f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge \text{finite})$

by (rule *ChopAndFin*)

have 2: $\vdash (\text{fin}(\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge \text{finite}) = (\neg (\text{fin}(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite})$

using *FinNotStateEqvNotFinState* **by** *fastforce*

show ?thesis **by** (metis 1 2 *int-eq*)

qed

lemma *FinChopChain*:

$$\vdash (((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin}(\text{init } w1));$$

$$((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin}(\text{init } w2)))$$

```

 $\wedge \text{finite}$ 
 $\rightarrow (((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \wedge$ 
     $((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w1)); ((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w2))$ 
 $\rightarrow$ 
     $((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \wedge ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w1)));$ 
     $((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)) \wedge \text{finite}$ 
using ChopAndFiniteDist StateAndChopImport
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
have 2:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \wedge ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w1)) \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite}$ 
by auto
have 3:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \wedge ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w1)));$ 
     $((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)) \wedge \text{finite}$ 
 $\rightarrow$ 
     $(\text{fin } (\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite}); (((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
using 2 LeftChopImpChop by blast
have 4:  $\vdash (\text{fin } (\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite}); (((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)) \wedge \text{finite}) =$ 
     $\Diamond((\text{init } w1) \wedge ((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
using FinChopEqvDiamond by blast
have 41:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \wedge ((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w2))) \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)$ 
by auto
have 42:  $\vdash \Diamond((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \wedge ((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w2))) \rightarrow \Diamond(\text{fin } (\text{init } w2))$ 
using 41 DiamondImpDiamond by blast
have 5:  $\vdash \Diamond(\text{fin } (\text{init } w2)) \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)$ 
using DiamondFinImpFin by blast
have 6:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \wedge ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w1));$ 
     $((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w2))$ 
 $\rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)$ 
using 1 3 4 5 42
using ChopAndCommute FinChopEqvDiamond by fastforce
from 6 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma ChopRule:

assumes $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w1)$
 $\vdash (\text{init } w1) \wedge f1 \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)$

shows $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge (f; f1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)$

proof -

have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge (f; f1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge f \wedge \text{finite}); (f1 \wedge \text{finite})$
using StateAndChopImport
by (metis ChopAndFiniteDist inteq-reflection)

have 2: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite}$
using assms by auto

hence 3: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge f \wedge \text{finite}); (f1 \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow (\text{fin } (\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite}); (f1 \wedge \text{finite})$
by (rule LeftChopImpChop)

have 4: $\vdash (\text{fin } (\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite}); (f1 \wedge \text{finite}) = \Diamond((\text{init } w1) \wedge f1 \wedge \text{finite})$
by (rule FinChopEqvDiamond)

have 5: $\vdash (\text{init } w1) \wedge f1 \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)$
using assms by auto

```

hence 6:  $\vdash \Diamond((\text{init } w1) \wedge f1 \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow \Diamond(\text{fin} (\text{init } w2))$ 
  by (rule DiamondImpDiamond)
have 7:  $\vdash \Diamond(\text{fin} (\text{init } w2)) \longrightarrow \text{fin} (\text{init } w2)$ 
  using DiamondFinImpFin by blast
from 1 3 4 6 7 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma ChopRep:
assumes  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow f1 \wedge \text{fin} (\text{init } w1)$ 
   $\vdash (\text{init } w1) \wedge g \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow g1$ 
shows  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge (f; g) \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow ((f1 \wedge \text{finite}); g1)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow ((f1 \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin} (\text{init } w1))$ 
  using assms by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge ((f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge \text{finite})) \longrightarrow$ 
   $((f1 \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin} (\text{init } w1)); (g \wedge \text{finite})$ 
  using StateAndChopImpChopRule by blast
have 3:  $\vdash ((f1 \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin} (\text{init } w1)); (g \wedge \text{finite}) =$ 
   $(f1 \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w1) \wedge (g \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
  using AndFinChopEqvStateAndChop by blast
have 4:  $\vdash (\text{init } w1) \wedge g \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow g1$ 
  using assms by auto
hence 5:  $\vdash (f1 \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w1) \wedge g \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow (f1 \wedge \text{finite}); g1$ 
  using RightChopImpChop by blast
from 2 3 5 show ?thesis using ChopAndFiniteDist by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma ChopRepAndFin:
assumes  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow f1 \wedge \text{fin} (\text{init } w1)$ 
   $\vdash (\text{init } w1) \wedge g \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow g1 \wedge \text{fin} (\text{init } w2)$ 
shows  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge (f; g) \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow ((f1 \wedge \text{finite}); g1) \wedge \text{fin} (\text{init } w2)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow f1 \wedge \text{fin} (\text{init } w1)$ 
  using assms by auto
have 2:  $\vdash (\text{init } w1) \wedge g \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow g1 \wedge \text{fin} (\text{init } w2)$ 
  using assms by auto
have 3:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge (f; g) \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow (f1 \wedge \text{finite}); (g1 \wedge \text{fin} (\text{init } w2))$ 
  using 1 2 by (rule ChopRep)
have 4:  $\vdash (f1 \wedge \text{finite}); (g1 \wedge \text{fin} (\text{init } w2)) \longrightarrow (f1 \wedge \text{finite}); g1$ 
  by (rule ChopAndA)
have 5:  $\vdash (f1 \wedge \text{finite}); (g1 \wedge \text{fin} (\text{init } w2)) \longrightarrow (f1 \wedge \text{finite}); \text{fin} (\text{init } w2)$ 
  by (rule ChopAndB)
have 6:  $\vdash (f1 \wedge \text{finite}); \text{fin} (\text{init } w2) \longrightarrow \text{fin} (\text{init } w2)$ 
  by (rule ChopFinImpFin)
from 1 2 3 4 5 6 show ?thesis by (meson Prop12 lift-imp-trans)
qed

```

```

lemma TrueChopMoreEqvMore:
 $\vdash \# \text{True} ; \text{more} = \text{more}$ 
by (metis ChopMoreImpMore EmptyImpFinite FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty FiniteChopMoreEqvMore

```

LeftChopImpChop Prop09 int-eq-true int-iffI inteq-reflection)

lemma *FiniteChopFmoreEqvFmore*:

$\vdash \text{finite}; \text{fmore} = \text{fmore}$

by (*metis TrueChopAndFiniteEqvAndFiniteChopFinite TrueChopMoreEqvMore fmore-d-def inteq-reflection*)

lemma *MoreChopLoop*:

assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow \text{fmore} ; f$

shows $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow \neg f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \longrightarrow \text{fmore} ; f$

using assms by auto

hence 11: $\vdash \Diamond(f) \longrightarrow \Diamond(\text{fmore}; f)$

using DiamondImpDiamond by blast

have 12: $\vdash \Diamond(\text{fmore}; f) = \text{finite}; (\text{fmore}; f)$

by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)

have 13: $\vdash \text{finite}; (\text{fmore}; f) = (\text{finite}; \text{fmore}); f$

by (rule ChopAssoc)

have 14: $\vdash \Diamond(\text{fmore}; f) = \text{fmore}; f$

using FiniteChopFmoreEqvFmore 12 13 by (metis int-eq)

have 2: $\vdash \text{fmore} ; f = \bigcirc(\Diamond f)$

using MoreChopEqvNextDiamond by blast

have 3: $\vdash \Diamond(f) \longrightarrow \bigcirc(\Diamond f)$

using 11 14 2 by fastforce

hence 4: $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow \neg(\Diamond f)$

using NextLoop by blast

have 5: $\vdash \neg(\Diamond f) \longrightarrow \neg f$

using NowImpDiamond by fastforce

from 4 5 **show** ?thesis **using lift-imp-trans by blast**

qed

lemma *MoreChopContra*:

assumes $\vdash f \wedge \neg g \longrightarrow (\text{fmore} ; (f \wedge \neg g))$

shows $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \wedge \neg g \longrightarrow (\text{fmore} ; (f \wedge \neg g))$ **using assms by auto**

hence 2: $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow \neg(f \wedge \neg g)$ **by (rule MoreChopLoop)**

from 2 **show** ?thesis **by auto**

qed

lemma *MoreChopLoopFinite*:

assumes $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \text{fmore} ; f$

shows $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow \neg f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \text{fmore} ; f$

using assms by auto

hence 11: $\vdash \Diamond(f \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow \Diamond(\text{fmore}; f)$

using DiamondImpDiamond by blast

have 12: $\vdash \Diamond(\text{fmore}; f) = \text{finite}; (\text{fmore}; f)$

by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)

```

have 13:  $\vdash \text{finite};(\text{fmore};f) = (\text{finite};\text{fmore});f$ 
  by (rule ChopAssoc)
have 14:  $\vdash \Diamond (\text{fmore};f) = \text{fmore};f$ 
  using FiniteChopFmoreEqvFmore 12 13 by (metis int-eq)
have 2:  $\vdash \text{fmore} ; f = \circ(\Diamond f)$ 
  using MoreChopEqvNextDiamond by blast
have 3:  $\vdash \Diamond (f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow \circ(\Diamond f)$ 
  using 11 14 2 by fastforce
have 31:  $\vdash \Diamond (f \wedge \text{finite}) = ((\Diamond f) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 3 ChopAndB ChopAndNotChopImp DiamondDiamondEqvDiamond
    DiamondIntroC FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite FiniteChopInfEqvInf Prop11 Prop12 finite-d-def
    inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def)
have 32:  $\vdash (\Diamond f) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \circ(\Diamond f)$ 
  using 3 31 by fastforce
hence 4:  $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow \neg(\Diamond f)$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) DiamondIntro FiniteChopInfEqvInf InfEqvNotFinite Prop09
    finite-d-def int-simps(15) int-simps(32) inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def)
have 5:  $\vdash \neg(\Diamond f) \rightarrow \neg f$ 
  by (simp add: NowImpDiamond)
from 4 5 show ?thesis using lift-imp-trans by fastforce
qed

lemma MoreChopEqvFmoreOrInf:
 $\vdash \text{more} ; f = ( (\text{fmore};f) \vee \text{inf} )$ 
  by (metis AndInfChopEqvAndInf MoreAndInfEqvInf OrChopEqv OrFiniteInf fmore-d-def int-eq)

lemma MoreChopLoopFiniteB:
assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{more} ; f$ 
shows  $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow \neg f$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{more} ; f$ 
  using assms by auto
have 10:  $\vdash f \rightarrow (\text{fmore};f) \vee \text{inf}$ 
  using MoreChopEqvFmoreOrInf assms by fastforce
hence 100:  $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow (\text{fmore};f)$ 
  by (simp add: Prop13 finite-d-def)
hence 11:  $\vdash \Diamond (f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow \Diamond (\text{fmore};f)$ 
  using DiamondImpDiamond by blast
have 12:  $\vdash \Diamond (\text{fmore};f) = \text{finite};(\text{fmore};f)$ 
  by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)
have 13:  $\vdash \text{finite};(\text{fmore};f) = (\text{finite};\text{fmore});f$ 
  by (rule ChopAssoc)
have 14:  $\vdash \Diamond (\text{fmore};f) = \text{fmore};f$ 
  using FiniteChopFmoreEqvFmore 12 13 by (metis int-eq)
have 2:  $\vdash \text{fmore} ; f = \circ(\Diamond f)$ 
  using MoreChopEqvNextDiamond by blast
have 3:  $\vdash \Diamond (f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow \circ(\Diamond f)$ 
  using 11 14 2 by fastforce
have 31:  $\vdash \Diamond (f \wedge \text{finite}) = ((\Diamond f) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ChopAndA ChopAndB ChopAndNotChopImp FiniteChopFiniteEqvFi-
```

nite

FiniteChopInfEqvInf Prop11 Prop12 finite-d-def inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def

have 32: $\vdash (\diamond f) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \circ(\diamond f)$

using 3 31 **by** fastforce

hence 4: $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow \neg(\diamond f)$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) DiamondIntro FiniteChopInfEqvInf InfEqvNotFinite Prop09

finite-d-def int-simps(15) int-simps(32) inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def)

have 5: $\vdash \neg(\diamond f) \rightarrow \neg f$

by (simp add: NowImpDiamond)

from 4 5 **show** ?thesis **using** lift-imp-trans **by** fastforce

qed

lemma MoreChopContraFinite:

assumes $\vdash (f \wedge \neg g) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow (f\text{more}; (f \wedge \neg g))$

shows $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge \neg g) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow (f\text{more}; (f \wedge \neg g))$ **using** assms **by** auto

hence 2: $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow \neg(f \wedge \neg g)$ **using** MoreChopLoopFinite **by** (simp add: MoreChopLoopFinite)

from 2 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: Valid-def)

qed

lemma MoreChopContraFiniteB:

assumes $\vdash (f \wedge \neg g) \rightarrow (more; (f \wedge \neg g))$

shows $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge \neg g) \rightarrow (more; (f \wedge \neg g))$ **using** assms **by** auto

hence 2: $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow \neg(f \wedge \neg g)$ **using** MoreChopLoopFinite **by** (simp add: MoreChopLoopFiniteB)

from 2 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: Valid-def)

qed

lemma ChopLoop:

assumes $\vdash f \rightarrow g; f$

$\vdash g \rightarrow f\text{more}$

shows $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow \neg f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow g; f$ **using** assms **by** auto

have 2: $\vdash g \rightarrow f\text{more}$ **using** assms **by** auto

hence 3: $\vdash g; f \rightarrow f\text{more}; f$ **by** (rule LeftChopImpChop)

have 4: $\vdash f \rightarrow f\text{more}; f$ **using** 1 3 **by** fastforce

from 4 **show** ?thesis **using** MoreChopLoop **by** auto

qed

lemma ChopLoopB:

assumes $\vdash f \rightarrow g; f$

$\vdash g \rightarrow more$

shows $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow \neg f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow g; f$ **using** assms **by** auto

have 2: $\vdash g \rightarrow more$ **using** assms **by** auto

hence 3: $\vdash g; f \rightarrow more; f$ **by** (rule LeftChopImpChop)

```

have 4:  $\vdash f \rightarrow more ; f$  using 1 3 by fastforce
from 4 show ?thesis using MoreChopLoopFiniteB by auto
qed

lemma ChopContra:
assumes  $\vdash f \wedge \neg g \rightarrow h; f \wedge \neg (h; g)$ 
          $\vdash h \rightarrow fmore$ 
shows  $\vdash f \wedge finite \rightarrow g$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f \wedge \neg g \rightarrow h; f \wedge \neg (h; g)$  using assms by auto
have 2:  $\vdash h \rightarrow fmore$  using assms by auto
have 3:  $\vdash h; f \wedge \neg (h; g) \rightarrow h; (f \wedge \neg g)$  by (rule ChopAndNotChopImp)
have 4:  $\vdash h; (f \wedge \neg g) \rightarrow fmore ; (f \wedge \neg g)$  using 2 by (rule LeftChopImpChop)
have 5:  $\vdash f \wedge \neg g \rightarrow fmore ; (f \wedge \neg g)$  using 1 3 4 by fastforce
from 5 show ?thesis using MoreChopContra by auto
qed

```

```

lemma ChopContraB:
assumes  $\vdash f \wedge \neg g \rightarrow h; f \wedge \neg (h; g)$ 
          $\vdash h \rightarrow more$ 
shows  $\vdash f \wedge finite \rightarrow g$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f \wedge \neg g \rightarrow h; f \wedge \neg (h; g)$  using assms by auto
have 2:  $\vdash h \rightarrow more$  using assms by auto
have 3:  $\vdash h; f \wedge \neg (h; g) \rightarrow h; (f \wedge \neg g)$  by (rule ChopAndNotChopImp)
have 4:  $\vdash h; (f \wedge \neg g) \rightarrow more ; (f \wedge \neg g)$  using 2 by (rule LeftChopImpChop)
have 5:  $\vdash f \wedge \neg g \rightarrow more ; (f \wedge \neg g)$  using 1 3 4 by fastforce
from 5 show ?thesis using MoreChopContraFiniteB by auto
qed

```

6.8 Properties of Halt

```

lemma WnextAndMoreEqvNext:
 $\vdash (wnext f \wedge more) = \circ f$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash wnext f = (empty \vee \circ f)$ 
  by (simp add: WnextEqvEmptyOrNext)
have 2:  $\vdash \circ f \rightarrow more$ 
  by (metis DiIntro LeftChopImpMoreRule di-d-def more-d-def next-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash ((empty \vee \circ f) \wedge more) = \circ f$ 
  unfolding empty-d-def using 2 by auto
show ?thesis by (metis 1 3 int-eq)
qed

```

```

lemma BoxStateAndEmptyEqvStateAndEmpty:
 $\vdash (\square(empty = (init w)) \wedge empty) = ((init w) \wedge empty)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash ((empty = (init w)) \wedge empty) = ((init w) \wedge empty)$ 
  by force
have 2:  $\vdash (\square(empty = (init w)) \wedge empty) \rightarrow ((init w) \wedge empty)$ 

```

```

using BoxElim by fastforce
have 3:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \longrightarrow (\square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{empty})$ 
  using BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox by fastforce
  show ?thesis
    by (simp add: 2 3 int-iffI)
qed

lemma BoxEmptyEqvIStateEqvEmptyAndStateOrNotStateNext:
 $\vdash \square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w)) = ((\text{empty} \wedge \text{init } w) \vee (\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \bigcirc(\square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w)))))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash \square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w)) =$ 
     $((\square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee (\square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{more}))$ 
    by (auto simp: empty-d-def)
  have 2:  $\vdash (\square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{empty}) = ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})$ 
    using BoxStateAndEmptyEqvStateAndEmpty by blast
  have 3:  $\vdash \square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w)) = ((\text{empty} = (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{wnext}(\square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w))))$ 
    using BoxEqvAndWnextBox by blast
  hence 4:  $\vdash (\square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{more}) =$ 
     $((\text{empty} = (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge (\text{wnext}(\square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w))) \wedge \text{more})$ 
    by auto
  have 5:  $\vdash ((\text{empty} = (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{more}) = (\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more})$ 
    by (auto simp: empty-d-def)
  have 6:  $\vdash (\text{wnext}(\square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w))) \wedge \text{more}) = \bigcirc(\square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w)))$ 
    using WnextAndMoreEqvNext by metis
  have 7:  $\vdash (\square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{more}) =$ 
     $((\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge (\text{wnext}(\square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w))) \wedge \text{more}))$ 
    using 4 5 by fastforce
  have 8:  $\vdash ((\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge (\text{wnext}(\square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w))) \wedge \text{more})) =$ 
     $((\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge (\text{wnext}(\square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w))) \wedge \text{more}))$ 
    by auto
  have 9:  $\vdash ((\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge (\text{wnext}(\square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w))) \wedge \text{more})) =$ 
     $((\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \bigcirc(\square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w))))$ 
    using 8 6 by auto
  have 10:  $\vdash \square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w)) = (((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee (\square(\text{empty} = (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{more}))$ 
    using 1 2 by fastforce
  show ?thesis
    using 10 7 9 by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma HaltStateEqvIfStateThenEmptyElseNext:
 $\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w) = \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then empty else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w)))$ 
by (metis BoxEmptyEqvIStateEqvEmptyAndStateOrNotStateNext halt-d-def ifthenelse-d-def inteq-reflection lift-and-com)

lemma HaltChopEqv:
 $\vdash ((\text{halt}(\text{init } w); f) = (\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc((\text{halt}(\text{init } w)); f))))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w) =$ 
     $(\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then empty else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w))))$ 
    by (rule HaltStateEqvIfStateThenEmptyElseNext)

```

```

hence 2:  $\vdash ((halt(\text{init } w)); f) =$   

 $\quad (\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (\text{empty}; f) \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w)); f))$   

by (rule IfChopEqvRule)  

have 3:  $\vdash \text{empty} ; f = f$   

by (rule EmptyChop)  

have 4:  $\vdash (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w)); f) = \bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w); f)$   

by (rule NextChop)  

from 2 3 4 show ?thesis by (metis inteq-reflection)  

qed

```

```

lemma AndHaltChopImp:  

 $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge (\text{halt}(\text{init } w); f) \longrightarrow f$   

proof –  

have 1:  $\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w); f = \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w); f))$   

by (rule HaltChopEqv)  

have 2:  $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w); f)) \longrightarrow f$   

by (auto simp: ifthenelse-d-def)  

from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce  

qed

```

```

lemma NotAndHaltChopImpNext:  

 $\vdash \neg(\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{halt}(\text{init } w); f) \longrightarrow \bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w); f)$   

proof –  

have 1:  $\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w); f = \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w); f))$   

by (rule HaltChopEqv)  

have 2:  $\vdash \neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w); f)) \longrightarrow$   

 $\quad \bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w); f)$   

by (auto simp: ifthenelse-d-def)  

from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce  

qed

```

```

lemma NotAndHaltChopImpSkipYields:  

 $\vdash \neg(\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{halt}(\text{init } w); f) \longrightarrow \text{skip} \text{ yields } (\text{halt}(\text{init } w); f)$   

proof –  

have 1:  $\vdash \neg(\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{halt}(\text{init } w); f) \longrightarrow \bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w); f)$   

by (rule NotAndHaltChopImpNext)  

have 2:  $\vdash \bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w); f) \longrightarrow \text{skip} \text{ yields } (\text{halt}(\text{init } w); f)$   

by (rule NextImpSkipYields)  

from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce  

qed

```

```

lemma FiniteChopAndEmptyEqvChopAndEmpty:  

 $\vdash ((\text{finite}; (f \wedge \text{empty})) \wedge g) = ((g \wedge \text{finite}); (f \wedge \text{empty}))$   

proof –  

have 1:  $\vdash g \wedge \text{finite}; (f \wedge \text{empty}) \longrightarrow \text{fin } f$   

by (metis ChopAndA DiamondFin FinAndEmpty Prop01 Prop05 inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def)  

have 2:  $\vdash g \wedge \text{finite}; (f \wedge \text{empty}) \longrightarrow (\text{finite} \wedge g) \wedge \text{fin } f$   

using 1 by (metis (no-types, lifting) ChopAndB ChopEmpty Prop10 Prop12 int-iffD1  

inteq-reflection)  

have 3:  $\vdash ((\text{finite}; (f \wedge \text{empty})) \wedge g) \longrightarrow ((g \wedge \text{finite}); (f \wedge \text{empty}))$ 

```

```

using 2 using AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty by fastforce
have 4:  $\vdash ((g \wedge \text{finite});(f \wedge \text{empty})) \rightarrow ((\text{finite};(f \wedge \text{empty})) \wedge g)$ 
  by (metis AndChopB ChopAndB ChopEmpty Prop12 inteq-reflection)
from 3 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma WprevEqvEmptyOrPrev:
 $\vdash \text{wprev } f = (\text{empty} \vee \text{prev } f)$ 
using nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs zero-enat-def)

lemma NotChopSkipEqvMoreAndNotChopSkip:
 $\vdash (\neg f); \text{skip} = (\text{more} \wedge \neg(f; \text{skip}))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \text{wprev } f = (\text{empty} \vee \text{prev } f)$  using WprevEqvEmptyOrPrev by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash (\neg(\text{wprev } f)) = (\neg(\text{empty} \vee \text{prev } f))$  by auto
have 3:  $\vdash \neg(\text{wprev } f) = ((\neg f); \text{skip})$  by (simp add: wprev-d-def prev-d-def)
have 31:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee \text{prev } f) = (\text{empty} \vee (f; \text{skip}))$  by (simp add: prev-d-def)
have 32:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (f; \text{skip})) = (\neg \text{more} \vee \neg \neg(f; \text{skip}))$  by (simp add: empty-d-def)
have 33:  $\vdash (\neg \text{more} \vee \neg \neg(f; \text{skip})) = (\neg(\text{more} \wedge \neg(f; \text{skip})))$  by fastforce
have 34:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee \text{prev } f) = (\neg(\text{more} \wedge \neg(f; \text{skip})))$  using 31 32 33 by (metis int-eq)
have 4:  $\vdash \neg(\text{empty} \vee \text{prev } f) = (\text{more} \wedge \neg(f; \text{skip}))$  using 34 by fastforce
from 2 3 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma HaltChopImpNotHaltChopNot:
 $\vdash \text{halt} (\text{init } w); f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \neg (\text{halt} (\text{init } w); (\neg f))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \text{halt} (\text{init } w); f = \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc (\text{halt} (\text{init } w); f))$ 
  by (rule HaltChopEqv)
have 2:  $\vdash \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc (\text{halt} (\text{init } w); f)) \rightarrow$ 
   $((\text{init } w) \rightarrow f) \wedge (\neg(\text{init } w) \rightarrow (\bigcirc (\text{halt} (\text{init } w); f)))$ 
  by (rule IfThenElseImp)
have 3:  $\vdash \text{halt} (\text{init } w); (\neg f) =$ 
   $\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (\neg f) \text{ else } (\bigcirc (\text{halt} (\text{init } w); (\neg f)))$ 
  by (rule HaltChopEqv)
have 4:  $\vdash \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (\neg f) \text{ else } (\bigcirc (\text{halt} (\text{init } w); (\neg f))) \rightarrow$ 
   $((\text{init } w) \rightarrow \neg f) \wedge (\neg(\text{init } w) \rightarrow (\bigcirc (\text{halt} (\text{init } w); (\neg f))))$ 
  by (rule IfThenElseImp)
have 5:  $\vdash \text{halt} (\text{init } w); f \wedge \text{halt} (\text{init } w); (\neg f) \rightarrow$ 
   $((\text{init } w) \rightarrow f) \wedge (\neg(\text{init } w) \rightarrow (\bigcirc (\text{halt} (\text{init } w); f))) \wedge$ 
   $((\text{init } w) \rightarrow \neg f) \wedge (\neg(\text{init } w) \rightarrow (\bigcirc (\text{halt} (\text{init } w); (\neg f))))$ 
  using 1 2 3 4 by fastforce
have 6:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \rightarrow f) \wedge (\neg(\text{init } w) \rightarrow (\bigcirc (\text{halt} (\text{init } w); f))) \wedge$ 
   $((\text{init } w) \rightarrow \neg f) \wedge (\neg(\text{init } w) \rightarrow (\bigcirc (\text{halt} (\text{init } w); (\neg f)))) \rightarrow$ 
   $(\bigcirc (\text{halt} (\text{init } w); f)) \wedge (\bigcirc (\text{halt} (\text{init } w); (\neg f)))$ 
  by auto
have 7:  $\vdash \text{halt} (\text{init } w); f \wedge \text{halt} (\text{init } w); (\neg f) \rightarrow$ 
   $(\bigcirc (\text{halt} (\text{init } w); f)) \wedge (\bigcirc (\text{halt} (\text{init } w); (\neg f)))$ 
  using 5 6 lift-imp-trans by blast
have 8:  $\vdash ((\bigcirc (\text{halt} (\text{init } w); f)) \wedge (\bigcirc (\text{halt} (\text{init } w); (\neg f)))) =$ 

```

```

○ (halt ( init w); f ∧ halt ( init w); (¬f))
using NextAndEqvNextAndNext by fastforce
have 9: ⊢ halt ( init w); f ∧ halt ( init w); (¬f) —→
○ (halt ( init w); f ∧ halt ( init w); (¬f))
using 7 8 by fastforce
hence 10: ⊢ finite —→ ¬(halt ( init w); f ∧ halt ( init w); (¬f))
using NextLoop by blast
from 10 show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

lemma *HaltChopImpHaltYields*:

```
⊢ halt ( init w); f ∧ finite —→ ( halt ( init w)) yields f
```

proof –

```

have 1: ⊢ halt ( init w); f ∧ finite —→ ¬ ( halt ( init w); (¬ f))
    by (rule HaltChopImpNotHaltChopNot)
from 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)
qed

```

lemma *HaltChopAnd*:

```
⊢ ( halt (init w)); f ∧ ( halt (init w)); g ∧ finite —→ ( halt (init w)); (f ∧ g)
```

proof –

```

have 1: ⊢ ( halt (init w)); g ∧ finite —→ ( halt (init w)) yields g
    by (rule HaltChopImpHaltYields)
hence 2: ⊢ ( halt (init w)); f ∧ ( halt (init w)); g ∧ finite —→
        ( halt (init w)); f ∧ ( halt (init w)) yields g
    by auto
have 3: ⊢ ( halt (init w)); f ∧ ( halt (init w)) yields g —→
        ( halt (init w)); (f ∧ g)
    by (rule ChopAndYieldsImp)
from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *HaltAndChopAndHaltChopImpHaltAndChopAnd*:

```
⊢ (halt (init w) ∧ f); f1 ∧ (halt (init w); g) ∧ finite —→ ( halt ( init w) ∧ f); (f1 ∧ g)
```

proof –

```

have 1: ⊢ f1 —→ ¬ g ∨ (f1 ∧ g)
    by auto
hence 2: ⊢ ( halt (init w) ∧ f); f1 —→
        ( halt (init w) ∧ f); (¬ g) ∨ (( halt (init w) ∧ f); (f1 ∧ g))
    by (rule ChopOrImpRule)
have 3: ⊢ ( halt (init w) ∧ f); (¬ g) —→ halt (init w); (¬ g)
    by (rule AndChopA)
have 31: ⊢ ( halt (init w) ∧ f); f1 —→
        halt (init w); (¬ g) ∨ (( halt (init w) ∧ f); (f1 ∧ g))
    using 23 by fastforce
have 4: ⊢ halt (init w); g ∧ finite —→ ¬ ( halt (init w); (¬ g))
    by (rule HaltChopImpNotHaltChopNot)
hence 41: ⊢ ( halt (init w); (¬ g)) ∧ finite —→ ¬(halt (init w); g)
    by auto
have 42: ⊢ ( halt (init w) ∧ f); f1 ∧ finite —→

```

$\neg(\text{halt } (\text{init } w); g) \vee ((\text{halt } (\text{init } w) \wedge f); (f1 \wedge g))$

using 31 41 by fastforce
from 42 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma *HaltImpBoxYields*:

$\vdash (\text{halt } (\text{init } w)); f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow (\square(\neg(\text{init } w))) \text{ yields } ((\text{halt } (\text{init } w)); f)$

proof -

have 1: $\vdash (\square(\neg(\text{init } w))); (\neg(\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f)) \longrightarrow \text{di } (\square(\neg(\text{init } w)))$
by (rule ChopImpDi)

have 2: $\vdash \square(\neg(\text{init } w)) \longrightarrow \neg(\text{init } w)$
by (rule BoxElim)

hence 3: $\vdash \text{di } (\square(\neg(\text{init } w))) \longrightarrow \text{di } (\neg(\text{init } w))$
by (rule DiImpDi)

have 4: $\vdash \text{di } (\text{init } (\neg w)) = (\text{init } (\neg w))$
by (rule DiState)

have 41: $\vdash (\text{init } (\neg w)) = (\neg(\text{init } w))$
using Initprop(2) by fastforce

have 42: $\vdash \text{di } (\neg(\text{init } w)) = (\neg(\text{init } w))$
using 4 41 by (metis inteq-reflection)

have 5: $\vdash ((\square(\neg(\text{init } w)); (\neg(\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f))) \longrightarrow \neg(\text{init } w))$
using 1 2 42 using 3 by fastforce

hence 51: $\vdash (\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f) \wedge ((\square(\neg(\text{init } w)); (\neg(\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f))) \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f) \wedge \neg(\text{init } w))$
by fastforce

have 6: $\vdash \text{halt } (\text{init } w); f = \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f))$
by (rule HaltChopEqv)

hence 61: $\vdash (\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f \wedge \neg(\text{init } w)) =$
 $((\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f))) \wedge \neg(\text{init } w))$
using 6 by auto

have 62: $\vdash (\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f))) \wedge$
 $\neg(\text{init } w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc(\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f))$
by (auto simp: ifthenelse-d-def)

have 63: $\vdash \text{halt } (\text{init } w); f \wedge \neg(\text{init } w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc(\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f))$
using 61 62 by fastforce

have 7: $\vdash (\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f) \wedge (\square(\neg(\text{init } w)); (\neg(\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f))) \longrightarrow$
 $\bigcirc((\text{halt } (\text{init } w)); f)$
using 51 63 using lift-imp-trans by blast

have 8: $\vdash \square(\neg(\text{init } w)) \longrightarrow \text{empty} \vee \bigcirc(\square(\neg(\text{init } w)))$
by (metis BoxImpYields WeakNextBoxImpMoreYields WnextEqvEmptyOrNext fmore-d-def int-eq)
hence 9: $\vdash ((\square(\neg(\text{init } w)); (\neg(\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f))) \longrightarrow$

$\neg(\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f) \vee \bigcirc((\square(\neg(\text{init } w)); (\neg(\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f)))$
by (rule EmptyOrNextChopImpRule)

hence 10: $\vdash ((\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f) \wedge (\square(\neg(\text{init } w)); (\neg(\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f))) \longrightarrow$
 $\bigcirc((\square(\neg(\text{init } w)); (\neg(\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f)))$
by fastforce

have 11: $\vdash (\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f \wedge (\square(\neg(\text{init } w)); (\neg(\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f))) \longrightarrow$
 $\bigcirc((\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f) \wedge \bigcirc((\square(\neg(\text{init } w)); (\neg(\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f)))$
using 7 10 by fastforce

have 12: $\vdash \bigcirc((\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f) \wedge \bigcirc((\square(\neg(\text{init } w)); (\neg(\text{halt } (\text{init } w); f))))$

```

→ ○((( halt (init w)); f) ∧ ((□(¬ (init w))); (¬ ( halt (init w); f))))
using NextAndEqvNextAndNext by fastforce
have 13: ⊢ ( halt (init w)); f ∧ (□(¬ (init w))); (¬ ( halt (init w); f))) →
    ○((( halt (init w)); f) ∧ ((□(¬ (init w))); (¬ ( halt (init w); f))))
using 11 12 by fastforce
hence 14: ⊢ finite → ¬ (( halt (init w)); f ∧ (□(¬ (init w))); (¬ ( halt (init w); f)))
using NextLoop by blast
hence 15: ⊢ ( halt (init w)); f ∧ finite → ¬ ((□(¬ (init w))); (¬ ( halt (init w); f)))
by auto
from 15 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)
qed

```

6.9 Properties of Groups of chops

```

lemma NestedChopImpChop:
assumes ⊢ init w ∧ f → g; (init w1 ∧ f1)
    ⊢ init w1 ∧ f1 → g1; (init w2 ∧ f2)
shows ⊢ init w ∧ f → g; (g1; (init w2 ∧ f2))
proof -
have 1: ⊢ init w ∧ f → g; (init w1 ∧ f1) using assms(1) by auto
have 2: ⊢ init w1 ∧ f1 → g1; (init w2 ∧ f2) using assms(2) by auto
hence 3: ⊢ g; (init w1 ∧ f1) → g; (g1; (init w2 ∧ f2)) by (rule RightChopImpChop)
from 1 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

end

7 Finite and Infinite ITL theorems using strong chop

```

theory SChopTheorems
imports
  Theorems
begin

```

We give the proofs of a list of Finite and Infinite ITL theorems but now using the strong chop.

7.1 Strong Chop axioms

```

lemma SChopAssoc:
  ⊢ f ∘ (g ∘ h) = (f ∘ g) ∘ h
proof -
have 1: ⊢ f ∘ (g ∘ h) = (f ∧ finite);((g ∧ finite);h)
  by (simp add: schop-d-def)
have 2: ⊢ (f ∧ finite);((g ∧ finite);h) = ((f ∧ finite);(g ∧ finite));h
  using ChopAssoc by blast
have 3: ⊢ ((f ∧ finite);(g ∧ finite));h = (f ∘ (g ∧ finite));h
  by (simp add: schop-d-def)
have 4: ⊢ f ∘ (g ∧ finite) = (f ∘ g ∧ finite)
  by (simp add: schop-d-def)

```

```

(metis AndChopA ChopAndA ChopAndFiniteDist Prop11 Prop12 inteq-reflection)
have 5: ⊢ (f ∼ (g ∧ finite));h = (f ∼ g ∧ finite);h
  using 4 by (simp add: LeftChopEqvChop)
have 6: ⊢ (f ∼ g ∧ finite);h = (f ∼ g) ∼ h
  by (simp add: schop-d-def)
from 1 2 3 5 6 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma FiniteOr:
  ⊢ ((f ∨ g) ∧ finite) = ((f ∧ finite) ∨ (g ∧ finite))
by auto

lemma OrSChopImp :
  ⊢ (f ∨ g) ∼ h → f ∼ h ∨ g ∼ h
unfolding schop-d-def by (simp add: FiniteOr OrChopImpRule int-iffD1)

lemma SChopOrImp :
  ⊢ f ∼ (g ∨ h) → f ∼ g ∨ f ∼ h
unfolding schop-d-def by (simp add: ChopOrImp)

lemma EmptySChop :
  ⊢ empty ∼ f = f
by (metis EmptyChopSem FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty intI inteq-reflection lift-and-com schop-d-def)

lemma SChopEmpty :
  ⊢ finite → f ∼ empty = f
unfolding schop-d-def
proof -
have f1: ⊢ (f ∧ finite);empty = (f ∧ finite)
  by (simp add: ChopEmpty int-eq)
then show ⊢ finite → (f ∧ finite);empty = f
  by fastforce
qed

lemma StateImpBf :
  ⊢ init f → bf (init f)
unfolding bf-d-def df-d-def schop-d-def
by (metis (no-types) AndChopA StateImpBi bi-d-def di-d-def lift-imp-neg lift-imp-trans)

lemma BfBoxSChopImpSChop :
  ⊢ bf (f → f1) ∧ □(g → g1) → f ∼ g → f1 ∼ g1
by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)

lemma AndMoreSChopEqvAndFmoreChop:
  ⊢ (f ∧ more) ∼ g = (f ∧ fmore);g
by (simp add: LeftChopEqvChop AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore schop-d-def)

```

```

lemma FiniteBfGen:
assumes  $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow f$ 
shows  $\vdash \text{bf } f$ 
using assms
by (simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)

lemma BfGen:
assumes  $\vdash f$ 
shows  $\vdash \text{bf } f$ 
using assms
by (metis EmptyImpFinite FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty FiniteBfGen Prop09 int-eq-true intereq-reflection)

```

7.2 ITL operators in terms of SChop

```

lemma NextSChopdef:
 $\vdash \bigcirc f = \text{skip} \sim f$ 
by (metis FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite NowImpDiamond Prop10 SkipChopFiniteImpFinite
      intereq-reflection lift-imp-trans next-d-def schop-d-def sometimes-d-def)

```

```

lemma DiamondSChopdef:
 $\vdash \lozenge f = \#\text{True} \sim f$ 
by (simp add: schop-d-def sometimes-d-def)

```

```

lemma FiniteSChopdef:
 $\vdash \text{finite} = \lozenge \text{empty}$ 
by (simp add: DiamondEmptyEqvFinite int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI)

```

```

lemma ChopSChopdef:
 $\vdash f;g = ((f \sim g) \vee (f \wedge \text{empty}))$ 
by (metis AndInfChopEqvAndInf OrChopEqv OrFiniteInf intereq-reflection schop-d-def)

```

```

lemma SFinprop :
 $\vdash ((\#\text{True} \sim (f \wedge \text{empty})) \wedge (\#\text{True} \sim (g \wedge \text{empty}))) = (\#\text{True} \sim ((f \wedge g) \wedge \text{empty}))$ 
 $\vdash ((\#\text{True} \sim (f \wedge \text{empty})) \vee (\#\text{True} \sim (g \wedge \text{empty}))) = (\#\text{True} \sim ((f \vee g) \wedge \text{empty}))$ 
 $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow (\neg (\#\text{True} \sim (f \wedge \text{empty}))) = (\#\text{True} \sim (\neg f \wedge \text{empty}))$ 
 $\vdash (\neg (\#\text{True} \sim (f \wedge \text{empty}))) = ((\#\text{True} \sim (\neg f \wedge \text{empty})) \vee \text{inf})$ 
by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs zero-enat-def)
      (metis add.right-neutral enat.distinct(2) enat-add-sub-same less-eqE the-enat.simps zero-enat-def,
       metis ndropn-eq-NNil ndropn-nlast ndropn-nlength nfinite-nlength-enat nlength-NNil the-enat.simps
       zero-enat-def,
       metis add.right-neutral enat.distinct(2) enat-add-sub-same le-iff-add the-enat.simps zero-enat-def,
       metis ndropn-eq-NNil ndropn-nlast ndropn-nlength nfinite-nlength-enat nlength-NNil the-enat.simps
       zero-enat-def,
       metis add.right-neutral enat.distinct(2) enat-add-sub-same le-iff-add the-enat.simps zero-enat-def,

```

metis ndropn-nlength nfinite-ndropn-b nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD)

7.3 Basic Theorems

lemma *BfSChopImpSChop* :
 $\vdash \text{bf } (f \rightarrow f1) \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f1 \sim g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash g \rightarrow g$ **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \square (g \rightarrow g)$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
have 3: $\vdash \text{bf } (f \rightarrow f1) \wedge \square(g \rightarrow g) \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f1 \sim g$ **by** (*rule BfBoxSChopImpSChop*)
from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BiImpBf*:
 $\vdash \text{bi } f \rightarrow \text{bf } f$
unfolding *bi-d-def bf-d-def di-d-def df-d-def schop-d-def*
by (*simp add: AndChopA*)

lemma *BiSChopImpSChop* :
 $\vdash \text{bi } (f \rightarrow f1) \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f1 \sim g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash g \rightarrow g$
by *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \square (g \rightarrow g)$
by (*rule BoxGen*)
have 3: $\vdash \text{bi } (f \rightarrow f1) \wedge \square(g \rightarrow g) \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f1 \sim g$
using *BiImpBf BfBoxSChopImpSChop* **using** *BfSChopImpSChop* **by** *fastforce*
from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *AndSChopA*:
 $\vdash (f \wedge f1) \sim g \rightarrow f \sim g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \wedge f1 \rightarrow f$ **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \text{bf } (f \wedge f1 \rightarrow f)$ **by** (*rule BfGen*)
have 3: $\vdash \text{bf } (f \wedge f1 \rightarrow f) \rightarrow (f \wedge f1) \sim g \rightarrow f \sim g$ **by** (*rule BfSChopImpSChop*)
from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **using** *MP* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *AndSChopB*:
 $\vdash (f \wedge f1) \sim g \rightarrow f1 \sim g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \wedge f1 \rightarrow f1$ **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \text{bf } (f \wedge f1 \rightarrow f1)$ **by** (*rule BfGen*)
have 3: $\vdash \text{bf } (f \wedge f1 \rightarrow f1) \rightarrow (f \wedge f1) \sim g \rightarrow f1 \sim g$ **by** (*rule BfSChopImpSChop*)
from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **using** *MP* **by** *blast*
qed

```

lemma NextSChop:
   $\vdash (\circ f) \sim g = \circ(f \sim g)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash \text{skip} \sim (f \sim g) = (\text{skip} \sim f) \sim g$  by (rule SChopAssoc)
  from 1 show ?thesis using NextSChopdef by (metis inteq-reflection)
qed

lemma BoxSChopImpSChop :
   $\vdash \Box(g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim g1$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash g \rightarrow g$  by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash \text{bf}(g \rightarrow g)$  by (rule BfGen)
  have 3:  $\vdash \text{bf}(f \rightarrow f) \wedge \Box(g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim g1$  by (rule BfBoxSChopImpSChop)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma LeftSChopImpSChop:
  assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow f1$ 
  shows  $\vdash f \sim g \rightarrow f1 \sim g$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f \rightarrow f1$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash \text{bf}(f \rightarrow f1)$  by (rule BfGen)
  have 3:  $\vdash \text{bf}(f \rightarrow f1) \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f1 \sim g$  by (rule BfSChopImpSChop)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis using MP by blast
qed

lemma RightSChopImpSChop:
  assumes  $\vdash g \rightarrow g1$ 
  shows  $\vdash f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim g1$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash g \rightarrow g1$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash \Box(g \rightarrow g1)$  by (rule BoxGen)
  have 3:  $\vdash \Box(g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim g1$  by (rule BoxSChopImpSChop)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis using MP by blast
qed

lemma RightSChopEqvSChop:
  assumes  $\vdash g = g1$ 
  shows  $\vdash (f \sim g) = (f \sim g1)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash g = g1$  using assms by auto
  have 2:  $(\vdash g \rightarrow g1) \implies (\vdash f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim g1)$  by (rule RightSChopImpSChop)
  have 3:  $(\vdash g1 \rightarrow g) \implies (\vdash f \sim g1 \rightarrow f \sim g)$  by (rule RightSChopImpSChop)
  from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma BoxRightSChopEqvSChop:
   $\vdash \Box(g = g1) \rightarrow (f \sim g) = (f \sim g1)$ 
proof -
  have 0:  $\vdash (g = g1) = ((g \rightarrow g1) \wedge (g1 \rightarrow g))$ 
    by fastforce
  have 1:  $\vdash \Box(g = g1) = (\Box(g \rightarrow g1) \wedge \Box(g1 \rightarrow g))$ 
    by (metis 0 BoxAndBoxEqvBoxRule intereq-reflection)
  have 2:  $\vdash \Box(g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow (f \sim g) \rightarrow (f \sim g1)$ 
    by (simp add: BoxSChopImpSChop)
  have 3:  $\vdash \Box(g1 \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (f \sim g1) \rightarrow (f \sim g)$ 
    by (simp add: BoxSChopImpSChop)
  from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma FiniteRightSChopEqvSChop:
assumes  $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow g = g1$ 
shows  $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow (f \sim g) = (f \sim g1)$ 
using assms unfolding schop-d-def
by (simp add: FiniteRightChopEqvChop)

```

```

lemma SChopOrEqv:
   $\vdash f \sim (g \vee g1) = (f \sim g \vee f \sim g1)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash g \rightarrow g \vee g1$  by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim (g \vee g1)$  by (rule RightSChopImpSChop)
  have 3:  $\vdash g1 \rightarrow g \vee g1$  by auto
  hence 4:  $\vdash f \sim g1 \rightarrow f \sim (g \vee g1)$  by (rule RightSChopImpSChop)
  from 2 4 show ?thesis by (meson SChopOrImp Prop02 Prop11)
qed

```

```

lemma OrSChopEqv:
   $\vdash (f \vee f1) \sim g = (f \sim g \vee f1 \sim g)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f \rightarrow f \vee f1$  by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f \sim g \rightarrow (f \vee f1) \sim g$  by (rule LeftSChopImpSChop)
  have 3:  $\vdash f1 \rightarrow f \vee f1$  by auto
  hence 4:  $\vdash f1 \sim g \rightarrow (f \vee f1) \sim g$  by (rule LeftSChopImpSChop)
  from 2 4 show ?thesis
    by (meson OrSChopImp int-iffI Prop02)
qed

```

```

lemma OrSChopImpRule:
assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow f1 \vee f2$ 
shows  $\vdash f \sim g \rightarrow (f1 \sim g) \vee (f2 \sim g)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f \rightarrow f1 \vee f2$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f \sim g \rightarrow (f1 \vee f2) \sim g$  by (rule LeftSChopImpSChop)

```

```

have 3:  $\vdash (f1 \vee f2) \sim g = (f1 \sim g \vee f2 \sim g)$  by (rule OrSChopEqv)
from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma LeftSChopEqvSChop:
assumes  $\vdash f = f1$ 
shows  $\vdash f \sim g = (f1 \sim g)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f = f1$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f \rightarrow f1$  by auto
  hence 3:  $\vdash f \sim g \rightarrow f1 \sim g$  by (rule LeftSChopImpSChop)
  have 4:  $\vdash f1 \rightarrow f$  using 1 by auto
  hence 5:  $\vdash f1 \sim g \rightarrow f \sim g$  by (rule LeftSChopImpSChop)
  from 3 5 show ?thesis by (simp add: int-iffI)
qed

```

```

lemma OrSChopEqvRule:
assumes  $\vdash f = (f1 \vee f2)$ 
shows  $\vdash f \sim g = ((f1 \sim g) \vee (f2 \sim g))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f = (f1 \vee f2)$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f \sim g = ((f1 \vee f2) \sim g)$  by (rule LeftSChopEqvSChop)
  have 3:  $\vdash (f1 \vee f2) \sim g = (f1 \sim g \vee f2 \sim g)$  by (rule OrSChopEqv)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma SChopOrImpRule:
assumes  $\vdash g \rightarrow g1 \vee g2$ 
shows  $\vdash f \sim g \rightarrow (f \sim g1) \vee (f \sim g2)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash g \rightarrow g1 \vee g2$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim (g1 \vee g2)$  by (rule RightSChopImpSChop)
  have 3:  $\vdash f \sim (g1 \vee g2) = (f \sim g1 \vee f \sim g2)$  by (rule SChopOrEqv)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma SChopImpDiamond:
 $\vdash f \sim g \rightarrow \diamond g$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f \rightarrow \#True$  by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f \sim g \rightarrow \#True \sim g$  by (rule LeftSChopImpSChop)
  from 2 show ?thesis using DiamondSChopdef by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma BfImpDfImpDf:
 $\vdash bf(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow df f \rightarrow df g$ 
proof -

```

```

have 1:  $\vdash \text{bf } (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (f \sim \# \text{True}) \rightarrow (g \sim \# \text{True})$  by (rule BfSChopImpSChop)
from 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: df-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma DfImpDf:
assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow g$ 
shows  $\vdash \text{df } f \rightarrow \text{df } g$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f \rightarrow g$  using assms by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash f \sim \# \text{True} \rightarrow g \sim \# \text{True}$  by (rule LeftSChopImpSChop)
from 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: df-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma BfImpBfRule:
assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow g$ 
shows  $\vdash \text{bf } f \rightarrow \text{bf } g$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f \rightarrow g$  using assms by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash \neg g \rightarrow \neg f$  by auto
hence 3:  $\vdash \text{df } (\neg g) \rightarrow \text{df } (\neg f)$  by (rule DfImpDf)
hence 4:  $\vdash \neg (\text{df } (\neg f)) \rightarrow \neg (\text{df } (\neg g))$  by auto
from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: bf-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma DfEqvDf:
assumes  $\vdash f = g$ 
shows  $\vdash \text{df } f = \text{df } g$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f = g$  using assms by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash f \sim \# \text{True} = g \sim \# \text{True}$  by (rule LeftSChopEqvSChop)
from 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: df-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma BfEqvBf:
assumes  $\vdash f = g$ 
shows  $\vdash \text{bf } f = \text{bf } g$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f = g$  using assms by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash (\neg f) = (\neg g)$  by auto
hence 3:  $\vdash \text{df } (\neg f) = \text{df } (\neg g)$  by (rule DfEqvDf)
hence 4:  $\vdash (\neg (\text{df } (\neg f))) = (\neg (\text{df } (\neg g)))$  by auto
from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: bf-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma LeftSChopSChopImpSChopRule:
assumes  $\vdash (f \sim g) \rightarrow g$ 

```

shows $\vdash (f \sim g) \sim h \rightarrow (g \sim h)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \sim g) \rightarrow g$ **using assms by blast**
hence 2: $\vdash (f \sim g) \sim h \rightarrow g \sim h$ **by (rule LeftSChopImpSChop)**
have 3: $\vdash f \sim (g \sim h) = (f \sim g) \sim h$ **by (rule SChopAssoc)**
from 2 3 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma AndSChopCommute :
 $\vdash (f \wedge f1) \sim g = (f1 \wedge f) \sim g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge f1) = (f1 \wedge f)$ **by auto**
from 1 show ?thesis by (rule LeftSChopEqvSChop)
qed

lemma BfAndSChopImport:
 $\vdash bff \wedge (f1 \sim g) \rightarrow (f \wedge f1) \sim g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow (f1 \rightarrow f \wedge f1)$ **by auto**
hence 2: $\vdash bf \ f \rightarrow bf \ (f1 \rightarrow f \wedge f1)$ **by (rule BfImpBfRule)**
have 3: $\vdash bf \ (f1 \rightarrow (f \wedge f1)) \rightarrow f1 \sim g \rightarrow (f \wedge f1) \sim g$ **by (rule BfSChopImpSChop)**
from 2 3 show ?thesis using MP by fastforce
qed

lemma BiAndSChopImport:
 $\vdash bi \ f \wedge (f1 \sim g) \rightarrow (f \wedge f1) \sim g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow (f1 \rightarrow f \wedge f1)$ **by auto**
hence 2: $\vdash bi \ f \rightarrow bi \ (f1 \rightarrow f \wedge f1)$ **by (rule BiImpBiRule)**
have 3: $\vdash bi \ (f1 \rightarrow (f \wedge f1)) \rightarrow f1 \sim g \rightarrow (f \wedge f1) \sim g$ **by (rule BiSChopImpSChop)**
from 2 3 show ?thesis using MP by fastforce
qed

lemma StateAndSChopImport:
 $\vdash (init w) \wedge (f \sim g) \rightarrow ((init w) \wedge f) \sim g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (init w) \rightarrow bf \ (init w)$ **by (rule StateImpBf)**
hence 2: $\vdash (init w) \wedge (f \sim g) \rightarrow bf \ (init w) \wedge (f \sim g)$ **by auto**
have 3: $\vdash bf \ (init w) \wedge (f \sim g) \rightarrow ((init w) \wedge f) \sim g$ **by (rule BfAndSChopImport)**
from 2 3 show ?thesis using MP by fastforce
qed

7.4 Further Properties Df and Bf

lemma AndFiniteImpDf:
 $\vdash f \wedge finite \rightarrow df \ f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash finite \rightarrow f \sim empty = f$ **by (rule SChopEmpty)**

```

have 2:  $\vdash \text{empty} \rightarrow \#True$  by auto
hence 3:  $\vdash f \sim \text{empty} \rightarrow f \sim \#True$  by (rule RightSChopImpSChop)
have 4 :  $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow f \sim \#True$  using 1 3 by fastforce
from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: df-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma DfState:
 $\vdash df(\text{init } w) = (\text{init } w)$ 
proof -
have 0:  $\vdash (\text{init } (\neg w)) \rightarrow bf(\text{init } (\neg w))$  using StateImpBf by fastforce
hence 1:  $\vdash \neg(\text{init } w) \rightarrow bf(\neg(\text{init } w))$  using Initprop(2) by (metis inteq-reflection)
hence 2:  $\vdash \neg(\text{init } w) \rightarrow \neg(df(\neg(\text{init } w)))$  by (simp add: bf-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash \neg(\text{init } w) \rightarrow \neg(df(\neg(\text{init } w))) \rightarrow (df(\neg(\text{init } w)) \rightarrow (\text{init } w))$  by auto
have 4:  $\vdash df(\neg(\text{init } w)) \rightarrow (\text{init } w)$  using 2 3 MP by blast
have 5:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \rightarrow \neg(\text{init } w)$  by auto
hence 6:  $\vdash df(\text{init } w) \rightarrow df(\neg(\text{init } w))$  by (rule DfImpDf)
have 7:  $\vdash df(\text{init } w) \rightarrow (\text{init } w)$  using 6 4 using lift-imp-trans by metis
have 8:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow df(\text{init } w)$  by (rule AndFiniteImpDf)
from 7 8 show ?thesis
by (metis NowImpDiamond Prop10 StateAndChop df-d-def int-simps(17) inteq-reflection
      lift-and-com schop-d-def sometimes-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma StateSChop:
 $\vdash (\text{init } w) \sim f \rightarrow (\text{init } w)$ 
by (simp add: StateChopExportA schop-d-def)

```

```

lemma StateSChopExportA:
 $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim g \rightarrow (\text{init } w)$ 
by (meson AndSChopA StateSChop lift-imp-trans)

```

```

lemma StateAndSChop:
 $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim g = ((\text{init } w) \wedge (f \sim g))$ 
by (simp add: AndSChopB StateAndSChopImport StateSChopExportA Prop11 Prop12)

```

```

lemma StateAndSChopImpSChopRule:
assumes  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge f \rightarrow f1$ 
shows  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge (f \sim g) \rightarrow (f1 \sim g)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge f \rightarrow f1$  using assms by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim g \rightarrow f1 \sim g$  by (rule LeftSChopImpSChop)
have 3:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim g = ((\text{init } w) \wedge (f \sim g))$  by (rule StateAndSChop)
from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma StateImpSChopEqvSChop :

```

```

assumes  $\vdash (init w) \rightarrow (f = f1)$ 
shows  $\vdash (init w) \rightarrow ((f \sim g) = (f1 \sim g))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (init w) \rightarrow (f = f1)$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash (init w) \wedge f \rightarrow f1$  by auto
  hence 3:  $\vdash (init w) \wedge (f \sim g) \rightarrow (f1 \sim g)$  by (rule StateAndSChopImpSChopRule)
  have 4:  $\vdash (init w) \wedge f1 \rightarrow f$  using 1 by auto
  hence 5:  $\vdash (init w) \wedge (f1 \sim g) \rightarrow (f \sim g)$  by (rule StateAndSChopImpSChopRule)
  from 3 5 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma ChopEqvStateAndSChop:
  assumes  $\vdash f = (init w) \wedge f1$ 
  shows  $\vdash (f \sim g) = ((init w) \wedge (f1 \sim g))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f = ((init w) \wedge f1)$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f \sim g = (((init w) \wedge f1) \sim g)$  by (rule LeftSChopEqvSChop)
  have 3:  $\vdash ((init w) \wedge f1) \sim g = ((init w) \wedge (f1 \sim g))$  by (rule StateAndSChop)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma DfIntro:
 $\vdash f \wedge finite \rightarrow df f$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash finite \rightarrow f \sim empty = f$  by (rule SChopEmpty)
  have 2:  $\vdash empty \rightarrow \#True$  by auto
  hence 3:  $\vdash \square(\ empty \rightarrow \#True)$  by (rule BoxGen)
  have 4:  $\vdash \square(\ empty \rightarrow \#True) \rightarrow (f; empty \rightarrow f; \#True)$  by (rule BoxChopImpChop)
  have 5:  $\vdash f \sim empty \rightarrow f \sim \#True$  using 3 4 MP by (simp add: RightSChopImpSChop)
  hence 6:  $\vdash f \sim empty \rightarrow df f$  by (simp add: df-d-def)
  from 1 6 show ?thesis using AndFiniteImpDf by blast
qed

```

```

lemma BfElim:
 $\vdash bf f \wedge finite \rightarrow f$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash \neg f \wedge finite \rightarrow df (\neg f)$  by (rule DfIntro)
  have 2:  $\vdash (\neg f \wedge finite \rightarrow df (\neg f)) \rightarrow (\neg(df (\neg f)) \rightarrow \neg(\neg f \wedge finite))$  by simp
  have 21:  $\vdash \neg(\neg f \wedge finite) = (f \vee inf)$  by (simp add: Valid-def finite-d-def)
  have 3:  $\vdash \neg(df (\neg f)) \rightarrow f \vee inf$  using 1 2 21 by fastforce
  from 3 show ?thesis by (simp add: Prop13 bf-d-def finite-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma BfContraPosImpDist:
 $\vdash bf (\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow (bf f) \rightarrow (bf g)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash bf (\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow (df (\neg g)) \rightarrow (df (\neg f))$  by (rule BfImpDfImpDf)
  hence 2:  $\vdash bf (\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow (\neg(df (\neg f))) \rightarrow (\neg(df (\neg g)))$  by auto
  from 2 show ?thesis by (metis bf-d-def)

```

qed

lemma *BfImpDist*:

$\vdash \text{bf } (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (\text{bf } f) \rightarrow (\text{bf } g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)$ **by** auto

hence 2: $\vdash \neg (\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow \neg (f \rightarrow g)$ **by** auto

hence 3: $\vdash \text{bf } (\neg (\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow \neg (f \rightarrow g))$ **by** (rule *BfGen*)

have 4: $\vdash \text{bf } (\neg (\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow \neg (f \rightarrow g))$

\rightarrow

$\text{bf } (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow \text{bf } (\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)$ **by** (rule *BfContraPosImpDist*)

have 5: $\vdash \text{bf } (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow \text{bf } (\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)$ **using** 3 4 MP **by** blast

have 6: $\vdash \text{bf } (\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow (\text{bf } f) \rightarrow (\text{bf } g)$ **by** (rule *BfContraPosImpDist*)

from 5 6 **show** ?thesis **using** lift-imp-trans **by** blast

qed

lemma *FiniteImpBfImpBfRule*:

assumes $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow (f \rightarrow g)$

shows $\vdash \text{bf } f \rightarrow \text{bf } g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow f \rightarrow g$ **using** assms **by** auto

have 2: $\vdash \text{bf}(f \rightarrow g)$ **using** 1 **by** (simp add: *FiniteBfGen*)

have 3: $\vdash \text{bf}(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow \text{bf } f \rightarrow \text{bf } g$ **using** *BfImpDist* **by** blast

from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce

qed

lemma *FiniteImpBfEqvRule*:

assumes $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow (f = g)$

shows $\vdash \text{bf } f = \text{bf } g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow (f = g)$ **using** assms **by** blast

have 2: $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow (f \rightarrow g)$ **using** 1 **by** auto

have 3: $\vdash \text{bf } f \rightarrow \text{bf } g$ **by** (simp add: 2 *FiniteImpBfImpBfRule*)

have 4: $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow (g \rightarrow f)$ **using** 1 **by** auto

have 5: $\vdash \text{bf } g \rightarrow \text{bf } f$ **by** (simp add: 4 *FiniteImpBfImpBfRule*)

from 3 5 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce

qed

lemma *IfSChopEqvRule*:

assumes $\vdash f = \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } f1 \text{ else } f2$

shows $\vdash f \sim g = \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (f1 \sim g) \text{ else } (f2 \sim g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f = \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } f1 \text{ else } f2$

using assms **by** auto

hence 2: $\vdash f = (((\text{init } w) \wedge f1) \vee ((\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge f2))$

unfolding ifthenelse-d-def **by** (metis Initprop(2) int-eq)

hence 3: $\vdash f \sim g = (((\text{init } w) \wedge f1) \sim g \vee ((\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge f2) \sim g)$

```

by (rule OrSChopEqvRule)
have 4:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge f1 \sim g = ((\text{init } w) \wedge (f1 \sim g))$ 
  by (rule StateAndSChop)
have 5:  $\vdash ((\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge f2 \sim g = ((\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge (f2 \sim g))$ 
  by (rule StateAndSChop)
have 6:  $\vdash f \sim g = (((\text{init } w) \wedge f1 \sim g) \vee ((\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge f2 \sim g))$ 
  using 3 4 5 by fastforce
from 6 show ?thesis unfolding ifthenelse-d-def by (metis Initprop(2) inteq-reflection)
qed

```

```

lemma SChopOrEqvRule:
assumes  $\vdash g = (g1 \vee g2)$ 
shows  $\vdash f \sim g = ((f \sim g1) \vee (f \sim g2))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash g = (g1 \vee g2)$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f \sim g = (f \sim (g1 \vee g2))$  by (rule RightSChopEqvSChop)
  have 3:  $\vdash f \sim (g1 \vee g2) = (f \sim g1 \vee f \sim g2)$  by (rule SChopOrEqv)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma EmptyOrSChopEqv:
 $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee f) \sim g = (g \vee (f \sim g))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee f) \sim g = ((\text{empty} \sim g) \vee (f \sim g))$  by (rule OrSChopEqv)
  have 2:  $\vdash \text{empty} \sim g = g$  by (rule EmptySChop)
  from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma EmptyOrNextSChopEqv:
 $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee \circ f) \sim g = (g \vee \circ(f \sim g))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee \circ f) \sim g = (g \vee ((\circ f) \sim g))$  by (rule EmptyOrSChopEqv)
  have 2:  $\vdash (\circ f) \sim g = \circ(f \sim g)$  by (rule NextSChop)
  from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma EmptyOrSChopImpRule:
assumes  $\vdash f \longrightarrow \text{empty} \vee f1$ 
shows  $\vdash f \sim g \longrightarrow g \vee (f1 \sim g)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f \longrightarrow \text{empty} \vee f1$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f \sim g \longrightarrow (\text{empty} \vee f1) \sim g$  by (rule LeftSChopImpSChop)
  have 3:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee f1) \sim g = (g \vee (f1 \sim g))$  by (rule EmptyOrSChopEqv)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma EmptyOrSChopEqvRule:
assumes  $\vdash f = (\text{empty} \vee f1)$ 
shows  $\vdash f \sim g = (g \vee (f1 \sim g))$ 
proof -

```

```

have 1: ⊢ f = (empty ∨ f1)  using assms by auto
hence 2: ⊢ f ∼ g = ((empty ∨ f1) ∼ g)  by (rule LeftSChopEqvSChop)
have 3: ⊢ (empty ∨ f1) ∼ g = (g ∨ (f1 ∼ g))  by (rule EmptyOrSChopEqv)
from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *EmptyOrNextSChopImpRule*:

```

assumes ⊢ f → empty ∨ ○ f1
shows ⊢ f ∼ g → g ∨ ○(f1 ∼ g)

```

proof –

```

have 1: ⊢ f → empty ∨ ○ f1  using assms by auto
hence 2: ⊢ f ∼ g → (empty ∨ ○ f1) ∼ g  by (rule LeftSChopImpSChop)
have 3: ⊢ (empty ∨ ○ f1) ∼ g = (g ∨ ○(f1 ∼ g))  by (rule EmptyOrNextSChopEqv)
from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *EmptyOrNextSChopEqvRule*:

```

assumes ⊢ f = (empty ∨ ○ f1)
shows ⊢ f ∼ g = (g ∨ ○(f1 ∼ g))

```

proof –

```

have 1: ⊢ f = (empty ∨ ○ f1)  using assms by auto
hence 2: ⊢ f ∼ g = ((empty ∨ ○ f1) ∼ g)  by (rule LeftSChopEqvSChop)
have 3: ⊢ (empty ∨ ○ f1) ∼ g = (g ∨ ○(f1 ∼ g))  by (rule EmptyOrNextSChopEqv)
from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *SChopEmptyOrImpRule*:

```

assumes ⊢ g → empty ∨ g1
shows ⊢ f ∼ g ∧ finite → f ∨ (f ∼ g1)

```

proof –

```

have 1: ⊢ g → empty ∨ g1  using assms by auto
hence 2: ⊢ f ∼ g → (f ∼ empty) ∨ (f ∼ g1)  by (rule SChopOrImpRule)
have 3: ⊢ finite → f ∼ empty = f  by (rule SChopEmpty)
from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *BoxStateSChopBoxAndInfImpBox*:

```

⊢ □(init w) ∼ □(init w) ∧ inf → □(init w)
by (metis AndChopA BoxStateChopBoxEqvBox OrFiniteInf Prop03 int-eq lift-imp-trans schop-d-def)

```

lemma *BoxStateSChopBoxEqvBox*:

```

⊢ □(init w) ∼ □(init w) = □(init w)

```

proof –

```

have 1: ⊢ (□(init w)) = ((init w) ∧ (empty ∨ ○(□(init w))))
  by (rule BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox)
hence 2: ⊢ (□(init w) ∼ □(init w)) =
  (((init w) ∧ (empty ∨ ○(□(init w)))) ∼ □(init w))
  by (metis StateAndSChop inteq-reflection)
have 3: ⊢ ((empty ∨ ○(□(init w))) ∼ □(init w)) =
  (□(init w) ∨ ○(□(init w) ∼ □(init w)))

```

```

by (rule EmptyOrNextSChopEqv)
have 4:  $\vdash (\square (init w) \sim \square (init w)) =$   

 $((init w) \wedge (\square (init w) \vee \square (\square (init w) \sim \square (init w))))$ 
using 2 3 by fastforce
have 5:  $\vdash \neg (\square (init w)) \rightarrow \neg (init w) \vee \neg (\square (init w))$ 
by (rule NotBoxImpNotOrNotNextBox)
have 6:  $\vdash (\square (init w) \sim \square (init w)) \wedge \neg (\square (init w)) \rightarrow$   

 $\square (\square (init w) \sim \square (init w)) \wedge \neg (\square (init w))$ 
using 4 5 by fastforce
hence 7:  $\vdash \square (init w) \sim \square (init w) \wedge finite \rightarrow \square (init w)$ 
by (rule NextContra)
have 8:  $\vdash \square (init w) \sim \square (init w) \wedge inf \rightarrow \square (init w)$ 
by (rule BoxStateSChopBoxAndInfImpBox)
have 9:  $\vdash \square (init w) \sim \square (init w) \wedge (finite \vee inf) \rightarrow \square (init w)$ 
using 7 8 by fastforce
hence 10:  $\vdash \square (init w) \sim \square (init w) \rightarrow \square (init w)$ 
using FiniteOrInfinite by fastforce
have 11:  $\vdash \square (init w) = ((init w) \wedge \square (init w))$ 
by (rule BoxEqvAndBox)
have 12:  $\vdash empty \sim \square (init w) = \square (init w)$ 
by (rule EmptySChop)
have 13:  $\vdash ((init w) \wedge empty) \sim \square (init w) = ((init w) \wedge (empty \sim \square (init w)))$ 
by (rule StateAndSChop)
have 14:  $\vdash \square (init w) = ((init w) \wedge empty) \sim \square (init w)$ 
using 11 12 13 by fastforce
have 15:  $\vdash (init w) \wedge empty \rightarrow \square (init w)$ 
by (rule StateAndEmptyImpBoxState)
hence 16:  $\vdash ((init w) \wedge empty) \sim \square (init w) \rightarrow \square (init w) \sim \square (init w)$ 
by (rule LeftSChopImpSChop)
have 17:  $\vdash \square (init w) \rightarrow \square (init w) \sim \square (init w)$ 
using 14 16 by fastforce
from 10 17 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma NotBoxStateImpBoxSYieldsNotBox:
 $\vdash \neg (\square (init w)) \rightarrow (\square (init w)) \text{ syields } (\neg (\square (init w)))$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash \square (init w) \sim \square (init w) = \square (init w)$  by (rule BoxStateSChopBoxEqvBox)
have 2:  $\vdash \square (init w) = (\neg \neg (\square (init w)))$  by auto
hence 3:  $\vdash \square (init w) \sim \square (init w) = \square (init w) \sim (\neg \neg (\square (init w)))$  by (rule RightSChopEqvSChop)
have 4:  $\vdash \neg (\square (init w)) \rightarrow \neg (\square (init w) \sim (\neg \neg (\square (init w))))$  using 1 3 by auto
from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: syields-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma StateEqvBf:
 $\vdash (init w) = bf (init w)$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash (init w) \rightarrow bf (init w)$  by (rule StateImpBf)
have 2:  $\vdash bf (init w) \wedge finite \rightarrow (init w)$  by (rule BfElim)

```

```

from 1 2 show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types) DfState Initprop(2) bf-d-def int-simps(4) inteq-reflection)
qed

```

```

lemma TrueSChopEqvDiamond:
 $\vdash \#True \sim f = \diamond f$ 
using DiamondSChopdef by fastforce

```

```

lemma BfAndEqvBfAndBf:
 $\vdash bf(f \wedge g) = (bf f \wedge bf g)$ 
proof –
  have 1:  $\vdash f \wedge g \rightarrow f$  by auto
  have 2:  $\vdash bf(f \wedge g) \rightarrow bf f$  by (simp add: 1 BfImpBfRule)
  have 3:  $\vdash f \wedge g \rightarrow g$  by auto
  have 4:  $\vdash bf(f \wedge g) \rightarrow bf g$  by (simp add: 3 BfImpBfRule)
  have 5:  $\vdash f \rightarrow (g \rightarrow f \wedge g)$  by auto
  have 6:  $\vdash bf f \rightarrow bf(g \rightarrow f \wedge g)$  by (simp add: 5 BfImpBfRule)
  have 7:  $\vdash bf(g \rightarrow f \wedge g) \rightarrow (bf g \rightarrow bf(f \wedge g))$  by (simp add: BfImpDist)
  have 8:  $\vdash bf f \wedge bf g \rightarrow bf(f \wedge g)$  using 6 7 by fastforce
  from 2 4 8 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma BfEqvBfImpAndBfImp:
 $\vdash bf(f = g) = (bf(f \rightarrow g) \wedge bf(g \rightarrow f))$ 
proof –
  have 1:  $\vdash (f = g) = ((f \rightarrow g) \wedge (g \rightarrow f))$  by auto
  have 2:  $\vdash bf(f = g) = bf((f \rightarrow g) \wedge (g \rightarrow f))$  by (simp add: 1 BfEqvBf)
  have 3:  $\vdash bf((f \rightarrow g) \wedge (g \rightarrow f)) = (bf(f \rightarrow g) \wedge bf(g \rightarrow f))$  by (simp add: BfAndEqvBfAndBf)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma BfEqvImpSChopEqvSChop:
 $\vdash bf(f = f1) \rightarrow f \sim g = f1 \sim g$ 
proof –
  have 1:  $\vdash bf(f = f1) = (bf(f \rightarrow f1) \wedge bf(f1 \rightarrow f))$  by (simp add: BfEqvBfImpAndBfImp)
  have 2:  $\vdash bf(f \rightarrow f1) \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f1 \sim g$  by (simp add: BfSChopImpSChop)
  have 3:  $\vdash bf(f1 \rightarrow f) \rightarrow f1 \sim g \rightarrow f \sim g$  by (simp add: BfSChopImpSChop)
  from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma BfEqvDfEqvDf:
 $\vdash bf(f = g) \rightarrow (df f = df g)$ 
proof –
  have 1:  $\vdash bf(f = g) \rightarrow (f \sim \#True) = (g \sim \#True)$ 
  using BfEqvImpSChopEqvSChop by fastforce
  from 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: df-d-def)

```

qed

```
lemma FiniteImpEqvDfImpRule:
assumes ⊢ finite → f = g
shows ⊢ df f = df g
proof -
have 1: ⊢ finite → f = g using assms by auto
have 2: ⊢ bf(f = g) using 1 by (simp add: FiniteBfGen)
have 3: ⊢ bf(f = g) → (df f = df g) by (simp add: BfEqvDfEqvDf)
from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
```

```
lemma DfEmpty:
⊢ df empty
proof -
have 1: ⊢ #True by auto
have 2: ⊢ empty ∼ #True = #True by (rule EmptySChop)
have 3: ⊢ empty ∼ #True using 1 2 by auto
from 3 show ?thesis by (simp add: df-d-def)
qed
```

```
lemma BfImpDf:
⊢ bf f → df f
proof -
have 1: ⊢ f → (empty → f) by auto
have 2: ⊢ bf f → bf(empty → f) by (simp add: 1 BfImpBfRule)
have 3: ⊢ bf(empty → f) → df empty → df f by (simp add: BfImpDfImpDf)
have 4: ⊢ bf f → df empty → df f using 2 3 lift-imp-trans by blast
have 5: ⊢ df empty by (simp add: DfEmpty)
from 4 5 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
```

7.5 Properties of SDa and SBa

```
lemma SDaEqvDtDf:
⊢ sda f = ◊(df f)
proof -
have 1: ⊢ #True ∼ (f ∼ #True) = #True ∼ (f ∼ #True) by auto
hence 2: ⊢ #True ∼ (f ∼ #True) = #True ∼ df f by (simp add: df-d-def)
have 3: ⊢ #True ∼ (df f) = ◊(df f) by (simp add: TrueSChopEqvDiamond)
have 4: ⊢ #True ∼ (f ∼ #True) = ◊(df f) using 2 3 by fastforce
from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add:sda-d-def)
qed
```

```
lemma SDaEqvDfDt:
⊢ sda f = df (◊ f)
proof -
```

```

have 1:  $\vdash \#True \sim f = \diamond f$  by (rule TrueSChopEqvDiamond)
hence 2:  $\vdash (\#True \sim f) \sim \#True = (\diamond f) \sim \#True$  by (rule LeftSChopEqvSChop)
hence 3:  $\vdash (\#True \sim f) \sim \#True = df(\diamond f)$  by (simp add: df-d-def)
have 4:  $\vdash \#True \sim (f \sim \#True) = (\#True \sim f) \sim \#True$  by (rule SChopAssoc)
have 5:  $\vdash \#True \sim (f \sim \#True) = df(\diamond f)$  using 3 4 by fastforce
from 5 show ?thesis by (simp add: sda-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma DtDfEqvDfDt:
 $\vdash \diamond(df\ f) = df(\diamond\ f)$ 
by (meson Prop04 SDaEqvDfDt SDaEqvDtDf)

```

```

lemma SBaEqvBfBt:
 $\vdash sba\ f = bf(\square\ f)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash sda(\neg\ f) = df(\diamond(\neg\ f))$  by (rule SDaEqvDfDt)
have 2:  $\vdash \diamond(\neg\ f) = (\neg(\square\ f))$  by (rule DiamondNotEqvNotBox)
hence 3:  $\vdash df(\diamond(\neg\ f)) = df(\neg(\square\ f))$  by (rule DfEqvDf)
have 4:  $\vdash sda(\neg\ f) = df(\neg(\square\ f))$  using 1 3 by fastforce
hence 5:  $\vdash (\neg(sda(\neg\ f))) = (\neg(df(\neg(\square\ f))))$  by auto
hence 6:  $\vdash (\neg(sda(\neg\ f))) = bf(\square\ f)$  by (simp add: bf-d-def)
from 6 show ?thesis by (simp add: sba-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma DfNotEqvNotBf:
 $\vdash df(\neg\ f) = (\neg(bf\ f))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash bf\ f = (\neg(df(\neg\ f)))$  by (simp add: bf-d-def)
from 1 show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma DfDfNotEqvNotBfBf:
 $\vdash df(df(\neg\ f)) = (\neg(bf\ (bf\ f)))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash df(\neg\ f) = (\neg bf\ f)$  by (simp add: DfNotEqvNotBf)
have 2:  $\vdash df(df(\neg\ f)) = df(\neg bf\ f)$  by (simp add: 1 DfEqvDf)
have 3:  $\vdash df(\neg bf\ f) = (\neg bf(bf\ f))$  by (simp add: DfNotEqvNotBf)
from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma DfDtEqvDtDf:
 $\vdash df(\diamond\ f) = \diamond(df\ f)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\#True \sim f) \sim \#True = \#True \sim (f \sim \#True)$ 
using SChopAssoc by fastforce
have 2:  $\vdash (\diamond\ f) \sim \#True = \diamond(f \sim \#True)$ 
using 1 by (metis TrueSChopEqvDiamond int-eq)

```

```

from 1 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: df-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma DfDtNotEqvNotBfBt:
  ⊢ df(◊(¬ f)) = (¬(bf(□ f)))
proof –
  have 1: ⊢ ◊(¬ f) = (¬(□ f)) by (simp add: DiamondNotEqvNotBox)
  have 2: ⊢ df(◊(¬ f)) = df(¬(□ f)) by (simp add: 1 DfEqvDf)
  have 3: ⊢ df(¬(□ f)) = (¬(bf(□ f))) by (simp add: DfNotEqvNotBf)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma DtDfNotEqvNotBtBf:
  ⊢ ◊(df(¬ f)) = (¬(□(bf f)))
proof –
  have 1: ⊢ df(¬ f) = (¬(bf f)) using DfNotEqvNotBf by blast
  have 2: ⊢ ◊(df(¬ f)) = ◊(¬(bf f)) by (simp add: 1 DiamondEqvDiamond)
  have 3: ⊢ ◊(¬(bf f)) = (¬□(bf f)) by (simp add: DiamondNotEqvNotBox)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma SBaEqvBtBf:
  ⊢ sba f = □(bf f)
proof –
  have 1: ⊢ sda(¬ f) = ◊(df(¬ f)) by (rule SDaEqvDtDf)
  have 2: ⊢ df(¬ f) = (¬(bf f)) by (rule DfNotEqvNotBf)
  hence 3: ⊢ ◊(df(¬ f)) = ◊(¬(bf f)) by (rule DiamondEqvDiamond)
  have 4: ⊢ (¬(◊(¬(bf f)))) = □(bf f) by (rule NotDiamondNotEqvBox)
  have 5: ⊢ (¬(sda(¬ f))) = □(bf f) using 1 2 3 4 by fastforce
  from 5 show ?thesis by (simp add: sba-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma BaImpSBa:
  ⊢ ba f → sba f
using BaEqvBiBt BiImpBf SBaEqvBfBt by fastforce

```

```

lemma SDaImpDa:
  ⊢ sda f → da f
proof –
  have 1: ⊢ ba(¬ f) → sba(¬ f)
    using BaImpSBa by blast
  have 2: ⊢ ¬ sba(¬ f) → ¬ ba(¬ f)
    using 1 by fastforce
  from 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: sba-d-def ba-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma BtBfEqvBfBt:

```

$\vdash \square(bf\ f) = bf(\square f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash sba\ f = \square(bf\ f)$ **by** (rule *SBaEqvBtBf*)
have 2: $\vdash sba\ f = bf(\square f)$ **by** (rule *SBaEqvBfBt*)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *BoxStateEqvSBaBoxState*:
 $\vdash \square(init\ w) = sba(\square(init\ w))$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (init\ w) = bf\ (init\ w)$ **by** (rule *StateEqvBf*)
hence 2: $\vdash \square(init\ w) = \square(bf\ (init\ w))$ **by** (rule *BoxEqvBox*)
have 3: $\vdash \square(bf\ (init\ w)) = bf(\square(init\ w))$ **by** (rule *BtBfEqvBfBt*)
have 4: $\vdash \square(init\ w) = \square(\square(init\ w))$ **by** (rule *BoxEqvBoxBox*)
hence 5: $\vdash bf(\square(init\ w)) = bf(\square(\square(init\ w)))$ **by** (rule *BfEqvBf*)
have 6: $\vdash sba(\square(init\ w)) = bf(\square(\square(init\ w)))$ **by** (rule *SBaEqvBfBt*)
from 2 3 5 6 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *SBaImpBf*:
 $\vdash sba\ f \longrightarrow bf\ f$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash sba\ f = \square(bf\ f)$ **by** (rule *SBaEqvBtBf*)
have 2: $\vdash \square(bf\ f) \longrightarrow bf\ f$ **by** (rule *BoxElim*)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **using** lift-imp-trans **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *BaImpBf*:
 $\vdash ba\ f \longrightarrow bf\ f$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash ba\ f = \square(bi\ f)$ **by** (rule *BaEqvBtBi*)
have 2: $\vdash \square(bi\ f) \longrightarrow bi\ f$ **by** (rule *BoxElim*)
have 3: $\vdash bi\ f \longrightarrow bf\ f$ **by** (simp add: *BiImpBf*)
from 1 2 3 **show** ?thesis **using** lift-imp-trans **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *SBaImpBt*:
 $\vdash sba\ f \wedge finite \longrightarrow \square f$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash sba\ f = bf(\square f)$ **by** (rule *SBaEqvBfBt*)
have 2: $\vdash bf(\square f) \wedge finite \longrightarrow \square f$ **by** (rule *BfElim*)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *DiamondImpSDa*:
 $\vdash \diamond f \wedge finite \longrightarrow sda\ f$
by (metis AndFiniteImpDf SDaEqvDfDt inteq-reflection)

lemma *DfImpSDa*:
 $\vdash df\ f \longrightarrow sda\ f$

using NowImpDiamond SDaEqvDtDf by fastforce

lemma BoxAndSChopImport:

$$\vdash \square h \wedge f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim (h \wedge g)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash h \rightarrow (h \wedge g)$ by auto

hence 2: $\vdash \square(h \rightarrow (h \wedge g))$ by (rule ImpBoxRule)

have 3: $\vdash \square(g \rightarrow (h \wedge g)) \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim (h \wedge g)$ by (rule BoxSChopImpSChop)

from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce

qed

lemma SBaAndSChopImport:

$$\vdash sba f \wedge finite \wedge (g \sim g1) \rightarrow (f \wedge g) \sim (f \wedge g1)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash sba f \rightarrow bf f$ by (rule SBaImpBf)

have 2: $\vdash bf f \wedge (g \sim g1) \rightarrow (f \wedge g) \sim g1$ by (rule BfAndSChopImport)

have 3: $\vdash sba f \wedge finite \rightarrow \square f$ by (rule SBaImpBt)

have 4: $\vdash \square f \wedge (f \wedge g) \sim g1 \rightarrow (f \wedge g) \sim (f \wedge g1)$ by (rule BoxAndSChopImport)

from 1 2 3 4 show ?thesis by fastforce

qed

lemma BaAndSChopImport:

$$\vdash ba f \wedge (g \sim g1) \rightarrow (f \wedge g) \sim (f \wedge g1)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ba f \rightarrow bi f$ by (rule BaImpBi)

have 2: $\vdash bi f \wedge (g \sim g1) \rightarrow (f \wedge g) \sim g1$ by (rule BiAndSChopImport)

have 3: $\vdash ba f \rightarrow \square f$ by (rule BaImpBt)

have 4: $\vdash \square f \wedge (f \wedge g) \sim g1 \rightarrow (f \wedge g) \sim (f \wedge g1)$ by (rule BoxAndSChopImport)

from 1 2 3 4 show ?thesis by fastforce

qed

lemma SChopAndCommute:

$$\vdash f \sim (g \wedge g1) = f \sim (g1 \wedge g)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (g \wedge g1) = (g1 \wedge g)$ by auto

from 1 show ?thesis by (rule RightSChopEqvSChop)

qed

lemma SChopAndA:

$$\vdash f \sim (g \wedge g1) \rightarrow f \sim g$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (g \wedge g1) \rightarrow g$ by auto

from 1 show ?thesis by (rule RightSChopImpSChop)

qed

lemma SChopAndB:

$$\vdash f \sim (g \wedge g1) \rightarrow f \sim g1$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (g \wedge g1) \rightarrow g1$ by auto

from 1 show ?thesis by (rule RightSChopImpSChop)

qed

lemma *BoxStateAndSChopEqvSChop*:

$$\vdash (\square (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \wedge (f \sim g)) = ((\square (\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim (\square (\text{init } w) \wedge g) \wedge \text{finite})$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \square (\text{init } w) = \text{sba}(\square (\text{init } w))$

by (*rule BoxStateEqvSBaBoxState*)

have 2: $\vdash \text{sba}(\square (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite} \wedge (f \sim g) \rightarrow (\square (\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim (\square (\text{init } w) \wedge g)$

by (*rule SBaAndSChopImport*)

have 3: $\vdash \square (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \wedge (f \sim g) \rightarrow (\square (\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim (\square (\text{init } w) \wedge g)$

using 1 2 **by** *fastforce*

have 11: $\vdash (\square (\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim (\square (\text{init } w) \wedge g) \rightarrow (\square (\text{init } w)) \sim (\square (\text{init } w) \wedge g)$

by (*rule AndSChopA*)

have 12: $\vdash (\square (\text{init } w)) \sim (\square (\text{init } w) \wedge g) \rightarrow (\square (\text{init } w)) \sim (\square (\text{init } w))$

by (*rule SChopAndA*)

have 13: $\vdash (\square (\text{init } w)) \sim (\square (\text{init } w)) = \square (\text{init } w)$

by (*rule BoxStateSChopBoxEqvBox*)

have 14: $\vdash (\square (\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim (\square (\text{init } w) \wedge g) \rightarrow f \sim (\square (\text{init } w) \wedge g)$

by (*rule AndSChopB*)

have 15: $\vdash f \sim (\square (\text{init } w) \wedge g) \rightarrow f \sim g$

by (*rule SChopAndB*)

have 16: $\vdash (\square (\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim (\square (\text{init } w) \wedge g) \rightarrow \square (\text{init } w) \wedge (f \sim g)$

using 11 12 13 14 15 **by** *fastforce*

from 3 16 **show** ?*thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *DfEqvNotBfNot*:

$$\vdash df f = (\neg(bf(\neg f)))$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash bf(\neg f) = (\neg(df(\neg \neg f)))$ **by** (*simp add: bf-d-def*)

hence 2: $\vdash df(\neg \neg f) = (\neg(bf(\neg f)))$ **by** *auto*

have 3: $\vdash f = (\neg \neg f)$ **by** *auto*

hence 4: $\vdash df f = df(\neg \neg f)$ **by** (*rule DfEqvDf*)

from 2 4 **show** ?*thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *SChopAndBoxImport*:

$$\vdash f \sim g \wedge \square h \rightarrow f \sim (g \wedge h)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \square h \wedge f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim (h \wedge g)$ **by** (*rule BoxAndSChopImport*)

have 2: $\vdash f \sim (h \wedge g) = f \sim (g \wedge h)$ **by** (*rule SChopAndCommute*)

from 1 2 **show** ?*thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *AndSChopAndCommute*:

$$\vdash (f \wedge g) \sim (f1 \wedge g1) = (g \wedge f) \sim (g1 \wedge f1)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge g) \sim (f1 \wedge g1) = (g \wedge f) \sim (f1 \wedge g1)$ **by** (*rule AndSChopCommute*)

have 2: $\vdash (g \wedge f) \sim (f1 \wedge g1) = (g \wedge f) \sim (g1 \wedge f1)$ **by** (*rule SChopAndCommute*)

```

from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma SChopImpSChop:
assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow f_1$ 
 $\vdash g \rightarrow g_1$ 
shows  $\vdash f \sim g \rightarrow f_1 \sim g_1$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f \rightarrow f_1$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f \sim g \rightarrow f_1 \sim g_1$  by (rule LeftSChopImpSChop)
  have 3:  $\vdash g \rightarrow g_1$  using assms by auto
  hence 4:  $\vdash f_1 \sim g \rightarrow f_1 \sim g_1$  by (rule RightSChopImpSChop)
  from 2 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma SChopEqvSChop:
assumes  $\vdash f = f_1$ 
 $\vdash g = g_1$ 
shows  $\vdash f \sim g = f_1 \sim g_1$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f = f_1$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f \sim g = f_1 \sim g$  by (rule LeftSChopEqvSChop)
  have 3:  $\vdash g = g_1$  using assms by auto
  hence 4:  $\vdash f_1 \sim g = f_1 \sim g_1$  by (rule RightSChopEqvSChop)
  from 2 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma BoxSChopImpSChopBox:
 $\vdash \square h \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim (\square h \wedge g)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash \square h \rightarrow \square(g \rightarrow \square h \wedge g)$  by (rule BoxImpBoxImpBox)
  have 2:  $\vdash \square(g \rightarrow \square h \wedge g) \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim (\square h \wedge g)$  by (rule BoxSChopImpSChop)
  from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma NotChopEqvSYieldsNot:
 $\vdash (\neg(f \sim g)) = f$  syields  $(\neg g)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash g = (\neg \neg g)$  by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash f \sim g = f \sim (\neg \neg g)$  by (rule RightSChopEqvSChop)
  hence 3:  $\vdash (\neg(f \sim g)) = (\neg(f \sim (\neg \neg g)))$  by auto
  from 3 show ?thesis by (simp add: syields-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma NotDffFalse:
 $\vdash \neg(df \# \text{False})$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (\text{init} \# \text{True}) \rightarrow bf(\text{init} \# \text{True})$  by (rule StateImpBf)
  hence 2:  $\vdash \# \text{True} \rightarrow bf \# \text{True}$  by (simp add: BfGen)
  have 3:  $\vdash \# \text{True}$  by auto

```

```

have 4:  $\vdash bf \# True$  using 2 3 MP by auto
hence 5:  $\vdash \neg (df (\neg \# True))$  by (simp add: bf-d-def)
have 6:  $\vdash (\neg \# True) = \# False$  by auto
hence 7:  $\vdash df (\neg \# True) = df \# False$  by (rule DfEqvDf)
from 5 7 show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma StateAndEmptySChop:
 $\vdash ((init w) \wedge empty) \sim f = ((init w) \wedge f)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash ((init w) \wedge empty) \sim f = ((init w) \wedge empty \sim f)$  by (rule StateAndSChop)
have 2:  $\vdash empty \sim f = f$  by (rule EmptySChop)
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma StateAndNextSChop:
 $\vdash ((init w) \wedge \circ f) \sim g = ((init w) \wedge \circ(f \sim g))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash ((init w) \wedge \circ f) \sim g = ((init w) \wedge (\circ f) \sim g)$  by (rule StateAndSChop)
have 2:  $\vdash (\circ f) \sim g = \circ(f \sim g)$  by (rule NextSChop)
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma NextStateAndSChop:
 $\vdash \circ(((init w) \wedge f) \sim g) = (\circ (init w) \wedge \circ(f \sim g))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash ((init w) \wedge f) \sim g = ((init w) \wedge f \sim g)$  by (rule StateAndSChop)
hence 2:  $\vdash \circ(((init w) \wedge f) \sim g) = \circ((init w) \wedge f \sim g)$  by (rule NextEqvNext)
have 3:  $\vdash \circ((init w) \wedge f \sim g) = (\circ (init w) \wedge \circ(f \sim g))$  by (rule NextAndEqvNextAndNext)
from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma StateSYieldsEqv:
 $\vdash ((init w) \longrightarrow (f \text{ syields } g)) = ((init w) \wedge f) \text{ syields } g$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash ((init w) \wedge f) \sim (\neg g) = ((init w) \wedge f \sim (\neg g))$  by (rule StateAndSChop)
hence 2:  $\vdash ((init w) \longrightarrow (\neg(f \sim (\neg g)))) = (\neg ((init w) \wedge f) \sim (\neg g))$  by auto
from 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: syields-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma StateAndDf:
 $\vdash ((init w) \wedge df f) = df ((init w) \wedge f)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash ((init w) \wedge f) \sim \# True = ((init w) \wedge f \sim \# True)$  by (rule StateAndSChop)
from 1 show ?thesis by (metis df-d-def inteq-reflection)
qed

```

lemma DfNext:

$\vdash df(\circ f) = \circ(df\ f)$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (\circ f) \sim \#True = \circ(f \sim \#True)$ by (rule NextSChop)
 from 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: df-d-def)
qed

lemma DfNextState:
 $\vdash df(\circ (init w)) = \circ(init w)$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash df(\circ (init w)) = \circ(df\ (init w))$ by (rule DfNext)
 have 2: $\vdash df\ (init w) = (init w)$ by (rule DfState)
 hence 3: $\vdash \circ(df\ (init w)) = \circ(init w)$ by (rule NextEqvNext)
 from 1 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma DfStateAndNextStateEqvStateAndNextState:
 $\vdash df(init w \wedge \circ(init w1)) = (init w \wedge \circ(init w1))$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (init w \wedge \circ(init w1)) \sim \#True = (init w \wedge \circ((init w1) \sim \#True))$
 using StateAndNextSChop by blast
 have 2: $\vdash df(init w \wedge \circ(init w1)) = (init w \wedge \circ((init w1) \sim \#True))$
 using 1 by (simp add: df-d-def)
 have 3: $\vdash df(init w1) = init w1$
 by (simp add: DfState)
 have 4: $\vdash skip \sim df(init w1) = skip \sim (init w1)$
 by (simp add: 3 RightSChopEqvSChop)
 have 5: $\vdash \circ(df(init w1)) = \circ(init w1)$
 by (simp add: 3 NextEqvNext)
 from 2 5 show ?thesis by (metis df-d-def int-eq)
qed

lemma StateImpBfGen:
assumes $\vdash (init w) \rightarrow f$
shows $\vdash (init w) \rightarrow bf\ f$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (init w) \rightarrow f$ using assms by auto
 hence 2: $\vdash \neg f \rightarrow \neg (init w)$ by auto
 hence 3: $\vdash df(\neg f) \rightarrow df(\neg (init w))$ by (rule DfImpDf)
 hence 4: $\vdash df(\neg f) \rightarrow df(init(\neg w))$ by (metis Initprop(2) integ-reflection)
 have 5: $\vdash df(init(\neg w)) = (init(\neg w))$ by (rule DfState)
 have 6: $\vdash df(\neg f) \rightarrow \neg (init w)$ using 4 5 using Initprop(2) by fastforce
 hence 7: $\vdash (init w) \rightarrow \neg(df(\neg f))$ by auto
 from 7 show ?thesis by (simp add: bf-d-def)
qed

lemma SChopAndNotSChopImp:
 $\vdash f \sim g \wedge \neg(f \sim g1) \rightarrow f \sim (g \wedge \neg g1)$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash g \rightarrow (g \wedge \neg g1) \vee g1$ by auto

hence 2: $\vdash f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim ((g \wedge \neg g1) \vee g1)$ **by** (rule RightSChopImpSChop)
have 3: $\vdash f \sim ((g \wedge \neg g1) \vee g1) \rightarrow (f \sim (g \wedge \neg g1)) \vee (f \sim g1)$ **by** (rule SChopOrImp)
have 4: $\vdash f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim (g \wedge \neg g1) \vee f \sim g1$ **using** 2 3 MP **by** fastforce
from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma SChopAndSYieldsImp:

$$\vdash f \sim g \wedge f \text{ syields } g1 \rightarrow f \sim (g \wedge g1)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash g \rightarrow (g \wedge g1) \vee \neg g1$ **by** auto
hence 2: $\vdash f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim ((g \wedge g1) \vee \neg g1)$ **by** (rule RightSChopImpSChop)
have 3: $\vdash f \sim ((g \wedge g1) \vee \neg g1) \rightarrow (f \sim (g \wedge g1)) \vee (f \sim (\neg g1))$ **by** (rule SChopOrImp)
have 4: $\vdash f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim (g \wedge g1) \vee f \sim (\neg g1)$ **using** 2 3 MP **by** fastforce
hence 5: $\vdash f \sim g \wedge \neg (f \sim (\neg g1)) \rightarrow f \sim (g \wedge g1)$ **by** auto
from 5 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: syields-d-def)
qed

lemma SChopAndSYieldsMP:

$$\vdash f \sim g \wedge f \text{ syields } (g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow f \sim g1$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \sim g \wedge f \text{ syields } (g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow f \sim (g \wedge (g \rightarrow g1))$ **by** (rule SChopAndSYieldsImp)
have 2: $\vdash g \wedge (g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow g1$ **by** auto
hence 3: $\vdash f \sim (g \wedge (g \rightarrow g1)) \rightarrow f \sim g1$ **by** (rule RightSChopImpSChop)
from 1 3 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma OrSYieldsImp:

$$\vdash (f \vee f1) \text{ syields } g = ((f \text{ syields } g) \wedge (f1 \text{ syields } g))$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ((f \vee f1) \sim (\neg g)) = ((f \sim (\neg g)) \vee (f1 \sim (\neg g)))$ **by** (rule OrSChopEqv)
hence 2: $\vdash (\neg ((f \vee f1) \sim (\neg g))) = (\neg (f \sim (\neg g)) \wedge \neg (f1 \sim (\neg g)))$ **by** auto
from 2 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: syields-d-def)
qed

lemma LeftSYieldsImpSYields:

$$\text{assumes } \vdash f \rightarrow f1$$

$$\text{shows } \vdash (f1 \text{ syields } g) \rightarrow (f \text{ syields } g)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow f1$ **using** assms **by** auto
hence 2: $\vdash f \sim (\neg g) \rightarrow f1 \sim (\neg g)$ **by** (rule LeftSChopImpSChop)
hence 3: $\vdash \neg (f1 \sim (\neg g)) \rightarrow \neg (f \sim (\neg g))$ **by** auto
from 3 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: syields-d-def)
qed

lemma LeftSYieldsEqvSYields:

$$\text{assumes } \vdash f = f1$$

$$\text{shows } \vdash (f \text{ syields } g) = (f1 \text{ syields } g)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f = f1$ **using** assms **by** auto
hence 2: $\vdash f \sim (\neg g) = f1 \sim (\neg g)$ **by** (rule LeftSChopEqvSChop)

```

hence 3:  $\vdash (\neg(f \sim (\neg g))) = (\neg(f1 \sim (\neg g)))$  by auto
from 3 show ?thesis by (simp add: syields-d-def)
qed

```

7.6 Properties of SFin

lemma *SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty*:

$\vdash sfin f = \# True \sim (f \wedge empty)$

proof –

have 01: $\vdash sfin f = (\neg fin (\neg f))$

by (simp add: sfin-d-def)

have 02: $\vdash (\neg fin (\neg f)) = (\neg (\square (empty \longrightarrow \neg f)))$

by (simp add: fin-d-def)

have 03: $\vdash (\neg (\square (empty \longrightarrow \neg f))) = \diamond(\neg(empty \longrightarrow \neg f))$

by (simp add: always-d-def)

have 04: $\vdash \neg(empty \longrightarrow \neg f) = (empty \wedge f)$

by auto

have 05: $\vdash \diamond(\neg(empty \longrightarrow \neg f)) = \diamond(empty \wedge f)$

using 04 *inteq-reflection* **by** fastforce

from 01 02 03 05 **show** ?thesis

by (metis *SChopAndCommute* *TrueSChopEqvDiamond* *inteq-reflection*)

qed

lemma *DiamondsSFin*:

$\vdash \diamond(sfin w) = sfin w$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) *ChopAssoc* *FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite* *FiniteOr* *FiniteOrInfinite* *InfEqvNotFinite* *OrFiniteInf* *SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty* finite-d-def int-eq-true int-simps(21) *inteq-reflection* *schop-d-def* sometimes-d-def)

lemma *SChopSFinExportA*:

$\vdash f \sim (g \wedge sfin w) \longrightarrow sfin w$

using *DiamondsSFin*

by (metis *SChopAndB* *SChopImpDiamond* *inteq-reflection* *lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *SFinImpBox*:

$\vdash sfin w \longrightarrow \square(sfin w)$

by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) *DiamondFin* always-d-def intI int-eq int-simps(4) sfin-d-def unl-lift2)

lemma *SFinAndSChopImport*:

$\vdash (sfin w) \wedge (f \sim g) \longrightarrow f \sim ((sfin w) \wedge g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash sfin w \longrightarrow \square(sfin w)$ **by** (rule *SFinImpBox*)

hence 2: $\vdash sfin w \wedge (f \sim g) \longrightarrow \square(sfin w) \wedge (f \sim g)$ **by** auto

have 3: $\vdash \square(sfin w) \wedge (f \sim g) \longrightarrow f \sim ((sfin w) \wedge g)$ **using** *BoxAndSChopImport* **by** blast

from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **using** MP **by** fastforce

qed

lemma *SFinAndSChop*:

$\vdash (f \sim (g \wedge sfin w)) = (sfin w \wedge f \sim g)$

using *SFinAndSChopImport* *SChopSFinExportA* *SChopAndA* *SChopAndCommute*

by fastforce

lemma *SChopAndEmptyEqvEmptySChopEmpty*:

$$\vdash ((f \sim g) \wedge \text{empty}) = (f \wedge \text{empty}) \sim (g \wedge \text{empty})$$

by (auto simp: itl-defs zero-enat-def)

lemma *SFinAndEmpty*:

$$\vdash ((\text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{empty}) = (w \wedge \text{empty})$$

proof -

have 1: $\vdash ((\text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{empty}) = (\# \text{True} \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{empty})$

using *SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty* by fastforce

have 2: $\vdash (\# \text{True} \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{empty}) = ((\# \text{True} \wedge \text{empty}) \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}))$

by (simp add: FiniteChopAndEmptyEqvChopAndEmpty schop-d-def)

have 3: $\vdash (\# \text{True} \wedge \text{empty}) \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) = (\text{empty} \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}))$

using *LeftSChopEqvSChop* by fastforce

have 4: $\vdash (\text{empty} \sim (w \wedge \text{empty})) = (w \wedge \text{empty})$

using *EmptySChop* by blast

from 1 2 3 4 show ?thesis by fastforce

qed

lemma *AndSFinEqvSChopAndEmpty*:

$$\vdash ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{sfin } g) = f \sim (g \wedge \text{empty})$$

proof -

have 1: $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{sfin } g) = (f \sim \text{empty} \wedge \text{sfin } g)$

using *SChopEmpty*

by (metis (no-types, lifting) DiamondEmptyEqvFinite FiniteImpAnd Prop10 SChopImpDiamond inteq-reflection lift-and-com)

have 2: $\vdash (\text{sfin } g \wedge f \sim \text{empty}) = (f \sim (\text{empty} \wedge \text{sfin } g))$

using *SFinAndSChop* by fastforce

have 3: $\vdash (\text{empty} \wedge \text{sfin } g) = (\text{sfin } g \wedge \text{empty})$

by auto

have 4: $\vdash (\text{sfin } g \wedge \text{empty}) = (g \wedge \text{empty})$

using *SFinAndEmpty* by metis

have 5: $\vdash (\text{empty} \wedge \text{sfin } g) = (g \wedge \text{empty})$

using 3 4 by auto

hence 6: $\vdash f \sim (\text{empty} \wedge \text{sfin } g) = f \sim (g \wedge \text{empty})$

using *RightSChopEqvSChop* by blast

from 1 2 5 show ?thesis by (metis inteq-reflection lift-and-com)

qed

lemma *AndSFinEqvSChopStateAndEmpty*:

$$\vdash ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{sfin } (\text{init } w)) = f \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})$$

using *AndSFinEqvSChopAndEmpty* by blast

lemma *DiamondEqvEmptyOrNextDiamond*:

$$\vdash \diamond f = (f \vee \bigcirc(\diamond f))$$

proof -

have 1: $\vdash \square (\neg f) = ((\neg f) \wedge \text{wnext}(\square (\neg f)))$

by (simp add: BoxEqvAndWnextBox)

have 2: $\vdash (\neg \diamond f) = ((\neg f) \wedge \text{wnext}(\square (\neg f)))$

```

using 1 by (simp add: always-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash \Diamond f = (f \vee \neg(\text{wnext}(\Box (\neg f))))$ 
  using 2 by auto
have 4:  $\vdash (\neg(\text{wnext}(\Box (\neg f)))) = \Box(\neg(\neg f))$ 
  by (simp add: wnnext-d-def)
have 5:  $\vdash \neg\Box(\neg f) = \Diamond f$ 
  by (simp add: always-d-def)
have 6:  $\vdash \Box(\neg(\neg f)) = \Box(\Diamond f)$ 
  using 5 using inteq-reflection by force
from 3 4 6 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma SFinStateEqvStateAndEmptyOrNextSFinState:
 $\vdash \text{sfin} (\text{init } w) = (((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee \Box(\text{sfin} (\text{init } w)))$ 
proof -
have 01:  $\vdash \text{sfin} (\text{init } w) = \# \text{True} \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})$ 
  by (simp add: SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty)
have 02:  $\vdash \# \text{True} \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) = \Diamond ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})$ 
  by (simp add: TrueSChopEqvDiamond)
have 03:  $\vdash \Diamond ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) = (((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee \Box(\text{sfin} (\text{init } w)))$ 
  using DiamondEqvEmptyOrNextDiamond 02 01 by (metis inteq-reflection)
from 01 02 03 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma SFinSChopEqvOr:
 $\vdash (\text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \sim f = (((\text{init } w) \wedge f) \vee \Box((\text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \sim f))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \text{sfin} (\text{init } w) = (((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee \Box(\text{sfin} (\text{init } w)))$ 
  by (rule SFinStateEqvStateAndEmptyOrNextSFinState)
hence 2:  $\vdash (\text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \sim f = (((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee \Box((\text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \sim f))$ 
  by (rule LeftSChopEqvSChop)
have 3:  $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee \Box((\text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \sim f)) \sim f$ 
  =  $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \sim f \vee (\Box((\text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \sim f)) \sim f)$ 
  by (rule OrSChopEqv)
have 4:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \sim f = ((\text{init } w) \wedge f)$ 
  by (rule StateAndEmptySChop)
have 5:  $\vdash (\Box((\text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \sim f)) \sim f = \Box((\text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \sim f)$ 
  by (rule NextSChop)
from 2 3 4 5 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma SFinSChopEqvDiamond:
 $\vdash (\text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \sim f = \Diamond ((\text{init } w) \wedge f)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) = (\# \text{True} \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}))$ 
  by (simp add: SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty)
hence 2:  $\vdash (\text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \sim f = (\# \text{True} \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})) \sim f$ 
  by (rule LeftSChopEqvSChop)
have 3:  $\vdash \# \text{True} \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \sim f = (\# \text{True} \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})) \sim f$ 
  by (rule SChopAssoc)

```

```

have 4:  $\vdash \#True \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \sim f = \diamond ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \sim f$ 
  using TrueSChopEqvDiamond by blast
have 5:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \sim f = ((\text{init } w) \wedge f)$ 
  using StateAndEmptySChop by blast
hence 6:  $\vdash \diamond ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \sim f = \diamond ((\text{init } w) \wedge f)$ 
  by (rule DiamondEqvDiamond)
from 2 3 4 6 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma SFinSYields:
 $\vdash (\text{sfin } (\text{init } w)) \text{ syields } (\text{init } w)$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash (\text{sfin } (\text{init } w)) \sim (\neg(\text{init } w)) = \diamond((\text{init } w) \wedge \neg(\text{init } w))$ 
  by (rule SFinSChopEqvDiamond)
have 2:  $\vdash \neg(\diamond((\text{init } w) \wedge \neg(\text{init } w)))$ 
  by (rule NotDiamondAndNot)
have 3:  $\vdash \neg((\text{sfin } (\text{init } w)) \sim (\neg(\text{init } w)))$ 
  using 1 2 by fastforce
from 3 show ?thesis by (simp add: syields-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma SFinEQuFinAndFinite:
 $\vdash (\text{finite} \wedge \text{fin } f) = \text{sfin } f$ 
by (metis AndFinEQuChopAndEmpty DiamondSChopdef SFinEQuTrueSChopAndEmpty int-simps(20)
  inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def)

```

```

lemma AndFiniteImpAndSFinStateOrSFinNotState:
 $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow (f \wedge \text{sfin } (\text{init } w)) \vee (f \wedge \text{sfin } (\neg(\text{init } w)))$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash (\neg \text{fin } (\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge \text{finite}) = (\text{fin } (\text{init } (\neg \neg w)) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
  using FinNotStateEqvNotFinState by blast
have 2:  $\vdash (\text{fin } (\text{init } (\neg \neg w)) \wedge \text{finite}) = (\text{fin } (\text{init } (w)) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
  by simp
have 3:  $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow (f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\text{init } w) \vee (f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\neg \text{init } w)$ 
  by (simp add: ImpAndFinStateOrFinNotState)
have 4:  $\vdash (\text{finite} \wedge \text{fin } (\text{init } w)) = \text{sfin } (\text{init } w)$ 
  using SFinEQuFinAndFinite[of LIFT(init w)] by fastforce
have 5:  $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\text{init } w)) = (f \wedge \text{sfin } (\text{init } w))$ 
  using 4 by auto
have 6:  $\vdash (\text{finite} \wedge \text{fin } (\neg(\text{init } w))) = \text{sfin } (\neg(\text{init } w))$ 
  using SFinEQuFinAndFinite[of LIFT(\neg(init w))] by fastforce
have 7:  $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin } (\neg(\text{init } w))) = (f \wedge \text{sfin } (\neg(\text{init } w)))$ 
  using 6 by auto
show ?thesis
  using 3 5 7 by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma AndSFinSChopEqvStateAndSChop:
 $\vdash (f \wedge \text{sfin } (\text{init } w)) \sim g = f \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)$ 
proof –

```

```

have 1:  $\vdash (\text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \text{ syields } (\text{init } w)$ 
  by (rule SFinSYields)
have 2:  $\vdash f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } w) \rightarrow \text{sfin} (\text{init } w)$ 
  by auto
hence 3:  $\vdash (\text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \text{ syields } (\text{init } w) \rightarrow$ 
   $(f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \text{ syields } (\text{init } w)$ 
  using LeftSYieldsImpSYields by metis
have 4:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \text{ syields } (\text{init } w)$ 
  using 1 3 MP by fastforce
have 5:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \text{ } \sim \text{ } g \wedge (f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \text{ syields } (\text{init } w)$ 
   $\rightarrow (f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \text{ } \sim \text{ } (g \wedge (\text{init } w))$ 
  by (rule SChopAndSYieldsImp)
have 6:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \text{ } \sim \text{ } g \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \text{ } \sim \text{ } (g \wedge (\text{init } w))$ 
  using 4 5 by fastforce
have 7:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \text{ } \sim \text{ } (g \wedge (\text{init } w)) \rightarrow f \text{ } \sim \text{ } (g \wedge (\text{init } w))$ 
  by (rule AndSChopA)
have 8:  $\vdash g \wedge (\text{init } w) \rightarrow (\text{init } w) \wedge g$ 
  by auto
hence 9:  $\vdash f \text{ } \sim \text{ } (g \wedge (\text{init } w)) \rightarrow f \text{ } \sim \text{ } ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)$ 
  by (rule RightSChopImpSChop)
have 10:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \text{ } \sim \text{ } g \rightarrow f \text{ } \sim \text{ } ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)$ 
  using 6 7 9 by fastforce
have 11:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \vee (f \wedge \text{sfin} (\neg (\text{init } w)))$ 
  using AndFiniteImpAndSFinStateOrSFinNotState by blast
hence 12:  $\vdash f \text{ } \sim \text{ } ((\text{init } w) \wedge g) \rightarrow$ 
   $((f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \vee (f \wedge \text{sfin} (\neg (\text{init } w)))) \text{ } \sim \text{ } ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)$ 
  by (metis FiniteImp LeftChopImpChop inteq-reflection schop-d-def)
have 13:  $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \vee (f \wedge \text{sfin} (\neg (\text{init } w)))) \text{ } \sim \text{ } ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)$ 
  =
   $((f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \text{ } \sim \text{ } ((\text{init } w) \wedge g) \vee (f \wedge \text{sfin} (\neg (\text{init } w))) \text{ } \sim \text{ } ((\text{init } w) \wedge g))$ 
  by (rule OrSChopEqv)
have 14:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } (\neg w))) \text{ } \sim \text{ } ((\text{init } w) \wedge g) \rightarrow \diamond((\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge ((\text{init } w) \wedge g))$ 
  using SFinSChopEqvDiamond
  by (metis SChopImpSChop Prop12 int-iffD1 inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
have 141:  $\vdash \neg(\diamond((\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge ((\text{init } w) \wedge g))) \rightarrow$ 
   $\neg((f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } (\neg w))) \text{ } \sim \text{ } ((\text{init } w) \wedge g))$ 
  using 14 by fastforce
have 150:  $\vdash ((\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)) = \#False$ 
  using Initprop(2) by fastforce
have 15:  $\vdash \neg(\diamond((\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)))$ 
  by (metis 150 NotDiamondAndNot int-eq int-simps(21))
have 151:  $\vdash \neg((f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } (\neg w))) \text{ } \sim \text{ } ((\text{init } w) \wedge g))$ 
  using 15 141 by fastforce
have 1511:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{sfin} (\neg (\text{init } w))) \text{ } \sim \text{ } ((\text{init } w) \wedge g) \rightarrow \#False$ 
  using 151 by (metis Initprop(2) int-simps(14) inteq-reflection)
have 152:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \text{ } \sim \text{ } ((\text{init } w) \wedge g) \vee (f \wedge \text{sfin} (\neg (\text{init } w))) \text{ } \sim \text{ } ((\text{init } w) \wedge g) \rightarrow$ 
   $(f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \text{ } \sim \text{ } ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)$ 
  using 1511 by fastforce
have 16:  $\vdash f \text{ } \sim \text{ } ((\text{init } w) \wedge g) \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{sfin} (\text{init } w)) \text{ } \sim \text{ } ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)$ 
  using 12 13 152 by fastforce

```

```

have 17:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{sfm}(\text{init } w)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge g) \longrightarrow (f \wedge \text{sfm}(\text{init } w)) \sim g$ 
  by (rule SChopAndB)
have 18:  $\vdash f \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge g) \longrightarrow (f \wedge \text{sfm}(\text{init } w)) \sim g$ 
  using 16 17 by fastforce
from 10 18 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma DfAndSFinEqvSChopState:
 $\vdash df(f \wedge \text{sfm}(\text{init } w)) = f \sim (\text{init } w)$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{sfm}(\text{init } w)) \sim \# \text{True} = f \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge \# \text{True})$ 
  by (rule AndSFinSChopEqvStateAndSChop)
have 2:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge \# \text{True}) = (\text{init } w)$ 
  by auto
hence 3:  $\vdash (f \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge \# \text{True})) = (f \sim (\text{init } w))$ 
  by (rule RightSChopEqvSChop)
have 4:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{sfm}(\text{init } w)) \sim \# \text{True} = f \sim (\text{init } w)$ 
  using 1 3 by auto
from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: df-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma SFinNotStateEqvNotSFinState:
 $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow (\neg(\text{sfm}(\text{init } w)) = (\text{sfm}(\text{init } (\neg w))) )$ 
using SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty
by (metis Initprop(2) SFinprop(3) int-eq)

lemma BfImpSFinEqvSYieldsState:
 $\vdash bf(f \longrightarrow \text{sfm}(\text{init } w)) = f \text{ syields } (\text{init } w)$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash df(f \wedge \text{sfm}(\text{init } (\neg w))) = f \sim (\text{init } (\neg w))$ 
  by (rule DfAndSFinEqvSChopState)
have 2:  $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow (f \wedge \text{sfm}(\text{init } (\neg w))) = (f \wedge \neg(\text{sfm}(\text{init } w)))$ 
  using SFinNotStateEqvNotSFinState by fastforce
have 3:  $\vdash (f \wedge \neg(\text{sfm}(\text{init } w))) = (\neg(f \longrightarrow \text{sfm}(\text{init } w)))$ 
  by auto
have 4:  $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow (f \wedge \text{sfm}(\text{init } (\neg w))) = (\neg(f \longrightarrow \text{sfm}(\text{init } w)))$ 
  using 2 3 by fastforce
hence 5:  $\vdash df(f \wedge \text{sfm}(\text{init } (\neg w))) = df(\neg(f \longrightarrow \text{sfm}(\text{init } w)))$ 
  by (metis DfEqvNotBfNot FiniteImpAnd df-d-def inteq-reflection schop-d-def)
have 6:  $\vdash df(\neg(f \longrightarrow \text{sfm}(\text{init } w))) = (\neg(bf(f \longrightarrow \text{sfm}(\text{init } w))))$ 
  by (rule DfNotEqvNotBf)
have 7:  $\vdash \neg(bf(f \longrightarrow \text{sfm}(\text{init } w))) = f \sim (\text{init } (\neg w))$ 
  using 1 5 6 Initprop by fastforce
hence 8:  $\vdash bf(f \longrightarrow \text{sfm}(\text{init } w)) = (\neg(f \sim (\neg(\text{init } w))))$ 
  by (metis Initprop(2) int-eq int-simps(7))
from 8 show ?thesis by (simp add: syields-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma StateImpSYields:
assumes  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge f \longrightarrow \text{sfm}(\text{init } w)$ 

```

shows $\vdash (init w) \longrightarrow (f \text{ syields } (init w1))$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (init w) \wedge f \longrightarrow sfin (init w1)$ **using assms by auto**
hence 2: $\vdash (init w) \longrightarrow (f \longrightarrow sfin (init w1))$ **by auto**
hence 3: $\vdash (init w) \longrightarrow bf(f \longrightarrow sfin (init w1))$ **using StateImpBfGen by auto**
have 4: $\vdash bf(f \longrightarrow sfin (init w1)) = f \text{ syields } (init w1)$ **by (rule BfImpSFinEqvSYieldsState)**
from 3 4 **show ?thesis by fastforce**
qed

lemma StateAndSYieldsImpSYields:
assumes $\vdash (init w) \wedge f \longrightarrow f1$
shows $\vdash (init w) \wedge (f1 \text{ syields } g) \longrightarrow (f \text{ syields } g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (init w) \wedge f \longrightarrow f1$ **using assms by auto**
hence 2: $\vdash (init w) \wedge (f \sim (\neg g)) \longrightarrow f1 \sim (\neg g)$ **by (rule StateAndSChopImpSChopRule)**
hence 3: $\vdash (init w) \wedge \neg(f1 \sim (\neg g)) \longrightarrow \neg(f \sim (\neg g))$ **by auto**
from 3 **show ?thesis by (simp add: syields-d-def)**
qed

lemma AndSYieldsA:
 $\vdash f \text{ syields } g \longrightarrow (f \wedge f1) \text{ syields } g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \wedge f1 \longrightarrow f$ **by auto**
from 1 **show ?thesis by (rule LeftSYieldsImpSYields)**
qed

lemma AndSYieldsB:
 $\vdash f1 \text{ syields } g \longrightarrow (f \wedge f1) \text{ syields } g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \wedge f1 \longrightarrow f1$ **by auto**
from 1 **show ?thesis by (rule LeftSYieldsImpSYields)**
qed

lemma RightSYieldsImpSYields:
assumes $\vdash g \longrightarrow g1$
shows $\vdash (f \text{ syields } g) \longrightarrow (f \text{ syields } g1)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash g \longrightarrow g1$ **using assms by auto**
hence 2: $\vdash \neg g1 \longrightarrow \neg g$ **by auto**
hence 3: $\vdash f \sim (\neg g1) \longrightarrow f \sim (\neg g)$ **by (rule RightSChopImpSChop)**
hence 4: $\vdash \neg(f \sim (\neg g)) \longrightarrow \neg(f \sim (\neg g1))$ **by auto**
from 4 **show ?thesis by (simp add: syields-d-def)**
qed

lemma RightSYieldsEqvSYields:
assumes $\vdash g = g1$
shows $\vdash (f \text{ syields } g) = (f \text{ syields } g1)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash g = g1$ **using assms by auto**
hence 2: $\vdash (\neg g) = (\neg g1)$ **by auto**

hence 3: $\vdash f \sim (\neg g) = f \sim (\neg g1)$ **by** (rule RightSChopEqvSChop)
hence 4: $\vdash (\neg(f \sim (\neg g))) = (\neg(f \sim (\neg g1)))$ **by** auto
from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: syields-d-def)
qed

lemma BoxImpSYields:

$\vdash \Box g \longrightarrow f$ syields g

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \sim (\neg g) \longrightarrow \Diamond(\neg g)$ **by** (rule SChopImpDiamond)
hence 2: $\vdash \neg(\Diamond(\neg g)) \longrightarrow \neg(f \sim (\neg g))$ **by** auto
from 2 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: syields-d-def always-d-def)
qed

lemma BoxEqvTrueSYields:

$\vdash \Box f = \# \text{True}$ syields f

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \# \text{True} \sim (\neg f) = \Diamond(\neg f)$ **by** (rule TrueSChopEqvDiamond)
hence 2: $\vdash (\neg(\# \text{True} \sim (\neg f))) = (\neg(\Diamond(\neg f)))$ **by** auto
have 3: $\vdash \Box f = (\neg(\Diamond(\neg f)))$ **by** (simp add: always-d-def)
have 4: $\vdash \Box f = (\neg(\# \text{True} \sim (\neg f)))$ **using** 2 3 **by** fastforce
from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: syields-d-def)
qed

lemma SYieldsGen:

assumes $\vdash g$

shows $\vdash f$ syields g

proof –

have 1: $\vdash g$ **using** assms **by** auto
hence 2: $\vdash \Box g$ **by** (rule BoxGen)
have 3: $\vdash \Box g \longrightarrow f$ syields g **by** (rule BoxImpSYields)
from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **using** MP **by** fastforce
qed

lemma SYieldsAndSYieldsEqvSYieldsAnd:

$\vdash ((f \text{ syields } g) \wedge (f \text{ syields } g1)) = f \text{ syields } (g \wedge g1)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \sim (\neg g \vee \neg g1) = ((f \sim (\neg g)) \vee (f \sim (\neg g1)))$ **by** (rule SChopOrEqv)
hence 2: $\vdash ((f \sim (\neg g)) \vee (f \sim (\neg g1))) = f \sim (\neg g \vee \neg g1)$ **by** auto
have 3: $\vdash (\neg g \vee \neg g1) = (\neg(g \wedge g1))$ **by** auto
hence 4: $\vdash f \sim (\neg g \vee \neg g1) = f \sim (\neg(g \wedge g1))$ **by** (rule RightSChopEqvSChop)
have 5: $\vdash (f \sim (\neg g)) \vee (f \sim (\neg g1)) = f \sim (\neg(g \wedge g1))$ **using** 2 4 **by** fastforce
hence 6: $\vdash (\neg(f \sim (\neg g)) \wedge \neg(f \sim (\neg g1))) = (\neg(f \sim (\neg(g \wedge g1))))$ **using** 1 4 **by** fastforce
from 6 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: syields-d-def)
qed

lemma SYieldsAndSYieldsImpAndSYieldsAnd:

$\vdash (f \text{ syields } g) \wedge (f1 \text{ syields } g1) \longrightarrow (f \wedge f1) \text{ syields } (g \wedge g1)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \text{ syields } g \longrightarrow (f \wedge f1) \text{ syields } g$
by (rule AndSYieldsA)

```

have 2:  $\vdash f1 \text{ syields } g1 \longrightarrow (f \wedge f1) \text{ syields } g1$ 
  by (rule AndSYieldsB)
have 3:  $\vdash ((f \wedge f1) \text{ syields } g \wedge (f \wedge f1) \text{ syields } g1) = (f \wedge f1) \text{ syields } (g \wedge g1)$ 
  by (rule SYieldsAndSYieldsEqvSYieldsAnd)
from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma SYieldsSYieldsEqvSChopSYields:
 $\vdash f \text{ syields } (g \text{ syields } h) = (f \smallfrown g) \text{ syields } h$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f \smallfrown (g \smallfrown (\neg h)) = (f \smallfrown g) \smallfrown (\neg h)$  by (rule SChopAssoc)
hence 2:  $\vdash f \smallfrown (g \smallfrown (\neg h)) = (f \smallfrown g) \smallfrown (\neg h)$  by auto
have 3:  $\vdash g \smallfrown (\neg h) = (\neg \neg (g \smallfrown (\neg h)))$  by auto
hence 4:  $\vdash f \smallfrown (g \smallfrown (\neg h)) = f \smallfrown (\neg \neg (g \smallfrown (\neg h)))$  by (rule RightSChopEqvSChop)
have 5:  $\vdash f \smallfrown (\neg \neg (g \smallfrown (\neg h))) = (f \smallfrown g) \smallfrown (\neg h)$  using 2 4 by auto
hence 6:  $\vdash f \smallfrown (\neg (g \text{ syields } h)) = (f \smallfrown g) \smallfrown (\neg h)$  by (simp add: syields-d-def)
hence 7:  $\vdash (\neg (f \smallfrown (\neg (g \text{ syields } h)))) = (\neg ((f \smallfrown g) \smallfrown (\neg h)))$  by auto
from 7 show ?thesis by (simp add: syields-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma EmptyYields:
 $\vdash \text{empty} \text{ syields } f = f$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \text{empty} \smallfrown (\neg f) = (\neg f)$  by (rule EmptySChop)
hence 2:  $\vdash (\neg (\text{empty} \smallfrown (\neg f))) = f$  by auto
from 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: syields-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma NextSYields:
 $\vdash (\circlearrowleft f) \text{ syields } g = \text{wnext } (f \text{ syields } g)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\circlearrowleft f) \smallfrown (\neg g) = \circlearrowleft (f \smallfrown (\neg g))$  by (rule NextSChop)
hence 2:  $\vdash (\neg ((\circlearrowleft f) \smallfrown (\neg g))) = (\neg (\circlearrowleft (f \smallfrown (\neg g))))$  by auto
hence 3:  $\vdash (\circlearrowleft f) \text{ syields } g = (\neg (\circlearrowleft (f \smallfrown (\neg g))))$  by (simp add: syields-d-def)
have 4:  $\vdash (\neg (\circlearrowleft (f \smallfrown (\neg g)))) = \text{wnext } (\neg (f \smallfrown (\neg g)))$  by (auto simp: wnext-d-def)
have 5:  $\vdash (\circlearrowleft f) \text{ syields } g = \text{wnext } (\neg (f \smallfrown (\neg g)))$  using 3 4 by fastforce
from 5 show ?thesis by (simp add: syields-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma SkipSChopEqvNext:
 $\vdash \text{skip} \smallfrown f = \circlearrowleft f$ 
by (meson NextSChopdef Prop11)

```

```

lemma SkipSYieldsEqvWeakNext:
 $\vdash \text{skip} \text{ syields } f = \text{wnext } f$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \text{skip} \smallfrown (\neg f) = \circlearrowleft (\neg f)$  by (rule SkipSChopEqvNext)
hence 2:  $\vdash (\neg (\text{skip} \smallfrown (\neg f))) = (\neg (\circlearrowleft (\neg f)))$  by auto
have 3:  $\vdash (\neg (\circlearrowleft (\neg f))) = \text{wnext } f$  by (auto simp: wnext-d-def)
have 4:  $\vdash (\neg (\text{skip} \smallfrown (\neg f))) = \text{wnext } f$  using 2 3 by fastforce

```

```

from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: syields-d-def)
qed

lemma NextImpSkipSYields:
 $\vdash \circ f \rightarrow \text{skip} \text{ syields } f$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash \circ f \rightarrow \text{wnext } f$  using WnextEqvEmptyOrNext by fastforce
  have 2:  $\vdash \text{skip} \text{ syields } f = \text{wnext } f$  by (rule SkipSYieldsEqvWeakNext)
  from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma MoreEqvSkipSChopTrue:
 $\vdash \text{more} = \text{skip} \frown \# \text{True}$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash \text{skip} \frown \# \text{True} = \circ \# \text{True}$  by (rule SkipSChopEqvNext)
  hence 2:  $\vdash \circ \# \text{True} = \text{skip} \frown \# \text{True}$  by auto
  from 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: more-d-def)
qed

lemma MoreSChopImpMore:
 $\vdash \text{more} \frown f \rightarrow \text{more}$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (\circ \# \text{True}) \frown f = \circ (\# \text{True} \frown f)$ 
    by (rule NextSChop)
  have 2:  $\vdash \circ (\# \text{True} \frown f) \rightarrow \text{more}$ 
    by (metis DiIntro LeftChopImpMoreRule di-d-def more-d-def next-d-def)
  have 3:  $\vdash (\circ \# \text{True} \frown f) \rightarrow \text{more}$ 
    using 1 2 by fastforce
  from 3 show ?thesis by (metis more-d-def)
qed

lemma MoreSChopImpFmore:
 $\vdash \text{more} \frown (f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow \text{fmore}$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash \text{more} \frown (f \wedge \text{finite}) = \circ (\# \text{True} \frown (f \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
    by (simp add: NextSChop more-d-def)
  have 2:  $\vdash \circ (\# \text{True} \frown (f \wedge \text{finite})) \rightarrow \text{fmore}$ 
    by (metis 1 FmoreChopImpFmore fmore-d-def int-eq schop-d-def)
  from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma SChopMoreImpMore:
 $\vdash f \frown \text{more} \rightarrow \text{more}$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f \frown \text{more} \rightarrow \diamond \text{more}$  by (rule SChopImpDiamond)
  have 2:  $\vdash \diamond \text{more} \rightarrow \text{more}$  by (simp add: FiniteChopMoreEqvMore int-iffD1 sometimes-d-def)
  from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma MoreSChopEqvNextDiamond:

```

$\vdash \text{more} \frown f = \circ(\diamond f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{more} \frown f = (\circ \# \text{True}) \frown f$ **by** (simp add: more-d-def)
have 2: $\vdash (\circ \# \text{True}) \frown f = \circ(\# \text{True} \frown f)$ **by** (rule NextSChop)
have 3: $\vdash \text{more} \frown f = \circ(\# \text{True} \frown f)$ **using** 1 2 **by** fastforce
from 3 **show** ?thesis **by** (metis TrueSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection)
qed

lemma WeakNextBoxImpMoreSYields:
 $\vdash \text{more syields } f = \text{wnext}(\square f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{more} \frown (\neg f) = \circ(\diamond (\neg f))$ **by** (rule MoreSChopEqvNextDiamond)
have 2: $\vdash \circ(\diamond (\neg f)) = \circ(\neg(\square f))$ **by** (auto simp: always-d-def)
have 3: $\vdash \circ(\neg(\square f)) = (\neg(\text{wnext}(\square f)))$ **by** (auto simp: wnext-d-def)
have 4: $\vdash \text{more} \frown (\neg f) = (\neg(\text{more syields } f))$ **by** (simp add: syields-d-def)
from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma NotEqvSYieldsMore:
 $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow (\neg f) = f \text{ syields more}$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow f \frown \text{empty} = f$ **by** (rule SChopEmpty)
hence 2: $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow (\neg(f \frown \text{empty})) = (\neg f)$ **by** auto
have 3: $\vdash \text{empty} = (\neg \text{more})$ **by** (auto simp: empty-d-def)
hence 4: $\vdash f \frown \text{empty} = f \frown (\neg \text{more})$ **by** (rule RightSChopEqvSChop)
hence 5: $\vdash (\neg(f \frown \text{empty})) = (\neg(f \frown (\neg \text{more})))$ **by** auto
have 6: $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow (\neg f) = (\neg(f \frown (\neg \text{more})))$ **using** 2 5 **by** fastforce
from 6 **show** ?thesis **by** (metis syields-d-def)
qed

lemma LeftSChopImpMoreRule:
assumes $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{more}$
shows $\vdash f \frown g \rightarrow \text{more}$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{more}$ **using** assms **by** auto
hence 2: $\vdash f \frown g \rightarrow \text{more} \frown g$ **by** (rule LeftSChopImpSChop)
have 3: $\vdash \text{more} \frown g \rightarrow \text{more}$ **by** (rule MoreSChopImpMore)
from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **using** lift-imp-trans **by** blast
qed

lemma LeftSChopImpFMoreRule:
assumes $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{fmore}$
shows $\vdash f \frown (g \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow \text{fmore}$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{fmore}$
using assms **by** auto
hence 2: $\vdash f \frown (g \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow \text{more} \frown (g \wedge \text{finite})$
by (metis FiniteChopSkipEqvFiniteAndMore FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite
 FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite LeftSChopImpSChop Prop12 inteq-reflection)
have 3: $\vdash \text{more} \frown (g \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow \text{fmore}$

```

using MoreSChopImpFmore by fastforce
from 2 3 show ?thesis using lift-imp-trans by blast
qed

lemma RightSChopImpMoreRule:
assumes ⊢ g → more
shows ⊢ f ∼ g → more
proof -
have 1: ⊢ g → more using assms by auto
hence 2: ⊢ f ∼ g → f ∼ more by (rule RightSChopImpSChop)
have 3: ⊢ f ∼ more → more by (rule SChopMoreImpMore)
from 2 3 show ?thesis using lift-imp-trans by blast
qed

lemma NotDfEqvBfNot:
⊢ (¬ ( df f)) = bf (¬ f)
proof -
have 1: ⊢ f = (¬ ¬ f) by auto
hence 2: ⊢ df f = df (¬ ¬ f) by (rule DfEqvDf)
hence 3: ⊢ (¬ ( df f)) = (¬ ( df (¬ ¬ f))) by auto
from 3 show ?thesis by (simp add: bf-d-def)
qed

lemma SChopImpDf:
⊢ f ∼ g → df f
proof -
have 1: ⊢ g → #True by auto
hence 2: ⊢ f ∼ g → f ∼ #True by (rule RightSChopImpSChop)
from 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: df-d-def)
qed

lemma TrueEqvTrueSChopTrue:
⊢ #True = #True ∼ #True
proof -
have 1: ⊢ #True ∼ #True → #True
by auto
have 2: ⊢ #True → #True ∼ #True
by (metis DfState Initprop(4) df-d-def int-eq-true int-iffD1 inteq-reflection)
from 1 2 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma DfEqvDfDf:
⊢ df f = df ( df f)
proof -
have 1: ⊢ #True = #True ∼ #True by (rule TrueEqvTrueSChopTrue)
hence 2: ⊢ f ∼ #True = f ∼ (#True ∼ #True) by (rule RightSChopEqvSChop)
have 3: ⊢ f ∼ (#True ∼ #True) = (f ∼ #True) ∼ #True by (rule SChopAssoc)
have 4: ⊢ f ∼ #True = (f ∼ #True) ∼ #True using 2 3 by fastforce

```

```

from 4 show ?thesis by (metis df-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma BfEqvBfBf:
  ⊢ bf f = bf( bf f)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ df (¬ f) = df( df (¬ f)) by (rule DfEqvDfDf)
  have 2: ⊢ df (¬ f) = (¬ ( bf f)) by (rule DfNotEqvNotBf)
  hence 3: ⊢ df ( df (¬ f)) = df (¬ ( bf f)) by (rule DfEqvDf)
  have 4: ⊢ df (¬ f) = df (¬( bf f)) using 1 3 by fastforce
  hence 5: ⊢ (¬ ( df (¬ f))) = (¬ ( df (¬ ( bf f)))) by fastforce
  from 5 show ?thesis by (metis bf-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma BfImpBfBf:
  ⊢ bf f —> bf(bf f)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ bf(bf f) = bf f using BfEqvBfBf by fastforce
  from 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: int-iffD2)
qed

```

```

lemma DfOrEqv:
  ⊢ df (f ∨ g) = (df f ∨ df g)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ (f ∨ g) ∼ #True = (f ∼ #True ∨ g ∼ #True) by (rule OrSChopEqv)
  from 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: df-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma DfAndA:
  ⊢ df (f ∧ g) —> df f
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ (f ∧ g) ∼ #True —> f ∼ #True by (rule AndSChopA)
  from 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: df-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma DfAndB:
  ⊢ df (f ∧ g) —> df g
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ (f ∧ g) ∼ #True —> g ∼ #True by (rule AndSChopB)
  from 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: df-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma DfAndImpAnd:
  ⊢ df (f ∧ g) —> df f ∧ df g
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ df (f ∧ g) —> df f by (rule DfAndA)
  have 2: ⊢ df (f ∧ g) —> df g by (rule DfAndB)

```

```

from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma DfSkipEqvMore:
  ⊢ df skip = more
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ skip ∼ #True = ○#True by (rule SkipSChopEqvNext)
  have 2: ⊢ ○#True = more by (auto simp: more-d-def)
  have 3: ⊢ skip ∼ #True = more using 1 2 by fastforce
  from 3 show ?thesis by (simp add: df-d-def)
qed

lemma DfMoreEqvMore:
  ⊢ df more = more
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ df (○ #True) = ○( df #True) by (rule DfNext)
  have 2: ⊢ ○( df #True) → more
  by (metis ChopImpDi di-d-def more-d-def next-d-def)
  have 3: ⊢ df( ○ #True) → more using 1 2 by fastforce
  hence 4: ⊢ df more → more by (simp add: more-d-def)
  have 5: ⊢ more → df more
  by (metis 1 4 TrueEqvTrueSChopTrue df-d-def inteq-reflection more-d-def)
  from 4 5 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma DfIfEqvRule:
  assumes ⊢ f = ifi (init w) then g else h
  shows ⊢ df f = ifi (init w) then ( df g) else (df h)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ f = ifi (init w) then g else h using assms by auto
  hence 2: ⊢ f ∼ #True = ifi (init w) then (g ∼ #True) else (h ∼ #True) by (rule IfSChopEqvRule)
  from 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: df-d-def)
qed

lemma SDaNotEqvNotSba:
  ⊢ sda (¬ f) = (¬ ( sba f))
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ sba f = (¬ ( sda (¬ f))) by (simp add: sba-d-def)
  from 1 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma SDaEqvSDa:
  assumes ⊢ f = g
  shows ⊢ sda f = sda g
  using assms using int-eq by force

lemma SDaEqvNotSbaNot:
  ⊢ sda f = (¬ ( sba (¬ f)))
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ sba (¬ f) = (¬ ( sda (¬ ¬ f))) by (simp add: sba-d-def)

```

```

hence 2:  $\vdash sda(\neg \neg f) = (\neg(sba(\neg f)))$  by fastforce
have 3:  $\vdash f = (\neg \neg f)$  by simp
hence 4:  $\vdash sda f = sda(\neg \neg f)$  by (rule SDaEqvSDa)
from 2 4 show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma SBaElim:
 $\vdash sba f \wedge finite \longrightarrow f$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash sba f = \square(bf f)$ 
    by (rule SBaEqvBtBf)
  have 2:  $\vdash bf f \wedge finite \longrightarrow f$ 
    by (rule BfElim)
  hence 3:  $\vdash \square(bf f \wedge finite \longrightarrow f)$ 
    by (rule BoxGen)
  have 4:  $\vdash \square(bf f \wedge finite \longrightarrow f) \longrightarrow \square(bf f \wedge finite) \longrightarrow \square f$ 
    by (rule BoxImpDist)
  have 5:  $\vdash \square(bf f \wedge finite) \longrightarrow \square f$ 
    using 3 4 MP by fastforce
  have 6:  $\vdash \square(bf f \wedge finite) = (\square(bf f) \wedge finite)$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) BoxEqvFiniteYields FiniteChopInfEqvInf NotChopEqvYieldsNot
      YieldsAndYieldsEqvYieldsAnd finite-d-def inteq-reflection)
  have 7:  $\vdash \square f \longrightarrow f$ 
    by (rule BoxElm)
from 1 5 6 7 show ?thesis using SBaImpBt lift-imp-trans by metis
qed

```

```

lemma SDaIntro:
 $\vdash f \wedge finite \longrightarrow sda f$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash sba(\neg f) \wedge finite \longrightarrow (\neg f)$  by (rule SBaElim)
  hence 2:  $\vdash \neg \neg f \longrightarrow \neg(sba(\neg f) \wedge finite)$  by fastforce
  have 3:  $\vdash f = (\neg \neg f)$  by simp
  have 4:  $\vdash sda f = (\neg(sba(\neg f)))$  by (rule SDaEqvNotSBaNot)
from 2 3 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma SBaGen:
assumes  $\vdash f$ 
shows  $\vdash sba f$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f$  using assms by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash \square f$  by (rule BoxGen)
  hence 3:  $\vdash bf(\square f)$  by (rule BfGen)
  have 4:  $\vdash sba f = bf(\square f)$  by (rule SBaEqvBfBt)
from 3 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma SBaImpDist:
 $\vdash sba(f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow sba f \longrightarrow sba g$ 

```

proof –

have 1: $\vdash bf(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (bf f \rightarrow bf g)$
 by (rule *BfImpDist*)
 hence 2: $\vdash \square(bf(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (bf f \rightarrow bf g))$
 by (rule *BoxGen*)
 have 3: $\vdash \square(bf(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (bf f \rightarrow bf g))$
 \rightarrow
 $(\square(bf(f \rightarrow g)) \rightarrow (\square(bf f) \rightarrow \square(bf g)))$
 by (meson 2 *BoxImpDist MP lift-imp-trans Prop01 Prop05 Prop09*)
 have 4: $\vdash \square(bf(f \rightarrow g)) \rightarrow (\square(bf f) \rightarrow \square(bf g))$
 using 2 3 *MP* by *fastforce*
 have 5: $\vdash sba(f \rightarrow g) = \square(bf(f \rightarrow g))$
 by (rule *SBaEqvBtBf*)
 have 6: $\vdash sba f = \square(bf f)$
 by (rule *SBaEqvBtBf*)
 have 7: $\vdash sba g = \square(bf g)$
 by (rule *SBaEqvBtBf*)
 from 4 5 6 7 show ?thesis by *fastforce*
 qed

lemma *SBaAndEqv*:

$\vdash sba(f \wedge g) = (sba f \wedge sba g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash sba(f \wedge g) = \square(bf(f \wedge g))$
 by (rule *SBaEqvBtBf*)
 have 2: $\vdash bf(f \wedge g) = (bf f \wedge bf g)$
 by (simp add: *BfAndEqvBfAndBf*)
 hence 3: $\vdash \square(bf(f \wedge g)) = \square(bf f \wedge bf g)$
 using *BoxEqvBox* by *blast*
 have 4: $\vdash \square(bf f \wedge bf g) = (\square(bf f) \wedge \square(bf g))$
 by (metis 2 *BoxAndBoxEqvBoxRule inteq-reflection*)
 have 5: $\vdash sba f = \square(bf f)$
 by (rule *SBaEqvBtBf*)
 have 6: $\vdash sba g = \square(bf g)$
 by (rule *SBaEqvBtBf*)
 from 1 3 4 5 6 show ?thesis by *fastforce*
 qed

lemma *SBaImpSBaEqvSBa*:

$\vdash sba(f = g) \rightarrow (sba f = sba g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash sba(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow sba f \rightarrow sba g$
 by (rule *SBaImpDist*)
 have 2: $\vdash sba(g \rightarrow f) \rightarrow sba g \rightarrow sba f$
 by (rule *SBaImpDist*)
 have 3: $\vdash (f = g) = ((f \rightarrow g) \wedge (g \rightarrow f))$
 by auto
 hence 31: $\vdash sba(f = g) = sba((f \rightarrow g) \wedge (g \rightarrow f))$
 using *inteq-reflection* by *force*
 have 4: $\vdash sba((f \rightarrow g) \wedge (g \rightarrow f)) = (sba((f \rightarrow g)) \wedge sba((g \rightarrow f)))$

```

by (rule SBaAndEqv)
have 5:  $\vdash ((sba\ f \longrightarrow sba\ g) \wedge (sba\ g \longrightarrow sba\ f)) = (sba\ f = sba\ g)$ 
  by auto
from 1 2 31 4 5 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma SBaImpSBa:
assumes  $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$ 
shows  $\vdash sba\ f \longrightarrow sba\ g$ 
using SBaGen SBaImpDist MP assms by metis

lemma SBaEqvSBa:
assumes  $\vdash f = g$ 
shows  $\vdash sba\ f = sba\ g$ 
using SBaGen SBaImpSBaEqvSBa MP assms by metis

lemma SDaImpSDa:
assumes  $\vdash f \longrightarrow g$ 
shows  $\vdash sda\ f \longrightarrow sda\ g$ 
using assms by (metis SDaEqvDtDf DfAndB DiamondImpDiamond inteq-reflection Prop10)

lemma SDaEqvSDaSDa:
 $\vdash sda\ f = sda\ (sda\ f)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash sda\ f = \diamondsuit(df\ f)$ 
  by (rule SDaEqvDtDf)
have 2:  $\vdash df\ f = (df\ (df\ f))$ 
  by (rule DfEqvDfDf)
hence 3:  $\vdash \diamondsuit(df\ f) = \diamondsuit(df\ (df\ f))$ 
  by (rule DiamondEqvDiamond)
have 4:  $\vdash \diamondsuit(df\ f) = \diamondsuit(\diamondsuit(df\ (df\ f)))$ 
  using DiamondEqvDiamondDiamond DfEqvDfDf using 3 by fastforce
have 5:  $\vdash \diamondsuit(df\ (df\ f)) = df\ (\diamondsuit(df\ f))$ 
  by (rule DtDfEqvDfDt)
hence 6:  $\vdash \diamondsuit(\diamondsuit(df\ (df\ f))) = \diamondsuit(df\ (\diamondsuit(df\ f)))$ 
  by (rule DiamondEqvDiamond)
have 7:  $\vdash sda\ f = \diamondsuit(df\ (\diamondsuit(df\ f)))$ 
  using 1 3 4 6 by fastforce
have 8:  $\vdash sda\ (\diamondsuit(df\ f)) = \diamondsuit(df\ (\diamondsuit(df\ f)))$ 
  by (rule SDaEqvDtDf)
have 9:  $\vdash sda\ (sda\ f) = sda\ (\diamondsuit(df\ f))$ 
  using 1 by (rule SDaEqvSDa)
from 7 8 9 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma SBaEqvSBaSBa:
 $\vdash sba\ f = sba\ (sba\ f)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash sda\ (\neg f) = sda\ (sda\ (\neg f))$  by (rule SDaEqvSDaSDa)
have 2:  $\vdash sda\ (sda\ (\neg f)) = (\neg(sba\ (\neg(sda\ (\neg f))))))$  by (rule SDaEqvNotSBaNot)

```

have 3: $\vdash (\neg (sda (sda (\neg f)))) = sba (\neg (sda (\neg f)))$ **by** (auto simp: sba-d-def)
have 4: $\vdash (\neg (sda (\neg f))) = sba (\neg (sda (\neg f)))$ **using** 1 2 3 **by** fastforce
from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (metis sba-d-def)
qed

lemma SBaLeftSChopImpSChop:
 $\vdash sba (f \rightarrow f1) \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f1 \sim g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash sba (f \rightarrow f1) \rightarrow bf (f \rightarrow f1)$ **by** (rule SBaImpBf)
have 2: $\vdash bf (f \rightarrow f1) \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f1 \sim g$ **by** (rule BfSChopImpSChop)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma BaLeftSChopImpSChop:
 $\vdash ba (f \rightarrow f1) \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f1 \sim g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash ba (f \rightarrow f1) \rightarrow bf (f \rightarrow f1)$ **by** (rule BaImpBf)
have 2: $\vdash bf (f \rightarrow f1) \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f1 \sim g$ **by** (rule BfSChopImpSChop)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma SBaRightSChopImpSChop:
 $\vdash sba (g \rightarrow g1) \wedge finite \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim g1$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash sba (g \rightarrow g1) \wedge finite \rightarrow \Box(g \rightarrow g1)$ **by** (rule SBaImpBt)
have 2: $\vdash \Box(g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim g1$ **by** (rule BoxSChopImpSChop)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma BaRightSChopImpSChop:
 $\vdash ba (g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim g1$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash ba (g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow \Box(g \rightarrow g1)$ **by** (rule BaImpBt)
have 2: $\vdash \Box(g \rightarrow g1) \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow f \sim g1$ **by** (rule BoxSChopImpSChop)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma SChopAndSBaImport:
 $\vdash (f \sim f1) \wedge sba g \wedge finite \rightarrow (f \wedge g) \sim (f1 \wedge g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash sba g \wedge finite \wedge (f \sim f1) \rightarrow (g \wedge f) \sim (g \wedge f1)$ **by** (rule SBaAndSChopImport)
have 2: $\vdash (g \wedge f) \sim (g \wedge f1) = (f \wedge g) \sim (f1 \wedge g)$ **by** (rule AndSChopAndCommute)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma SChopAndBaImport:
 $\vdash (f \sim f1) \wedge ba g \rightarrow (f \wedge g) \sim (f1 \wedge g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash ba g \wedge (f \sim f1) \rightarrow (g \wedge f) \sim (g \wedge f1)$ **by** (rule BaAndSChopImport)
have 2: $\vdash (g \wedge f) \sim (g \wedge f1) = (f \wedge g) \sim (f1 \wedge g)$ **by** (rule AndSChopAndCommute)

```

from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma BaAndSChopImportA:
  ⊢ ba f ∧ g ∼ g1 → (f ∧ g) ∼ g1
  by (meson BaAndSChopImport SChopAndB lift-imp-trans)

lemma BaAndSChopImportB:
  ⊢ ba f ∧ g ∼ g1 → (f ∧ g) ∼ (ba f ∧ g1)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ ba f = ba (ba f)
    by (simp add: BaEqvBaBa)
  have 2: ⊢ ba (ba f) ∧ g ∼ g1 → g ∼ (ba f ∧ g1)
    by (metis AndSChopB BaAndSChopImport lift-imp-trans)
  have 3: ⊢ ba f ∧ g ∼ (ba f ∧ g1) → (f ∧ g) ∼ (ba f ∧ g1)
    by (simp add: BaAndSChopImportA)
  from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma SBaImpSBaImpSBaAnd:
  ⊢ sba h → sba(g → sba h ∧ g )
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ sba h → (g → sba h ∧ g ) by fastforce
  hence 2: ⊢ sba(sba h) → sba(g → sba h ∧ g ) by (rule SBaImpSBa)
  have 3: ⊢ sba h = sba(sba h) by (rule SBaEqvSBaSBa)
  from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma SBaSChopImpSChopSBa:
  ⊢ sba f ∧ finite → g ∼ g1 → g ∼ (( sba f) ∧ g1)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ sba f → sba (g1 → (sba f) ∧ g1 )
    by (rule SBaImpSBaImpSBaAnd)
  have 2: ⊢ sba (g1 → sba f ∧ g1 ) ∧ finite → g ∼ g1 → g ∼ ( sba f ∧ g1)
    by (rule SBaRightSChopImpSChop)
  from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma BaSChopImpSChopBa:
  ⊢ ba f → g ∼ g1 → g ∼ (( ba f) ∧ g1)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ ba f → ba (g1 → (ba f) ∧ g1 )
    by (rule BaImpBaImpBaAnd)
  have 2: ⊢ ba (g1 → ba f ∧ g1 ) → g ∼ g1 → g ∼ ( ba f ∧ g1)
    by (rule BaRightSChopImpSChop)
  from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma DfNotSBaImpNotSBa:
  ⊢ df (¬ ( sba f)) → ¬ ( sba f)

```

proof –

```
have 1: ⊢ sba f = sba( sba f) by (rule SBaEqvSBaSBa)
have 2: ⊢ sba ( sba f) → bf ( sba f) by (rule SBaImpBf)
have 3: ⊢ sba f → bf ( sba f) using 1 2 by fastforce
hence 4: ⊢ sba f → ¬ ( df (¬ ( sba f))) by (simp add: bf-d-def)
from 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
```

lemma DfNotBaImpNotBa:

```
⊢ df (¬ ( ba f)) → ¬ ( ba f)
```

proof –

```
have 1: ⊢ ba f = ba( ba f) by (rule BaEqvBaBa)
have 2: ⊢ ba ( ba f) → bf ( ba f) by (rule BaImpBf)
have 3: ⊢ ba f → bf ( ba f) using 1 2 by fastforce
hence 4: ⊢ ba f → ¬ ( df (¬ ( ba f))) by (simp add: bf-d-def)
from 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
```

lemma NotSBaSChopImpNotSBa:

```
⊢ (¬ ( sba f))¬ g → ¬ ( sba f)
```

proof –

```
have 1: ⊢ (¬ ( sba f))¬ g → df (¬ ( sba f)) by (rule SChopImpDf)
have 2: ⊢ df (¬ ( sba f)) → ¬ ( sba f) by (rule DfNotSBaImpNotSBa)
from 1 2 show ?thesis using lift-imp-trans by blast
qed
```

lemma NotBaSChopImpNotSBa:

```
⊢ (¬ ( ba f))¬ g → ¬ ( ba f)
```

proof –

```
have 1: ⊢ (¬ ( ba f))¬ g → df (¬ ( ba f)) by (rule SChopImpDf)
have 2: ⊢ df (¬ ( ba f)) → ¬ ( ba f) by (rule DfNotBaImpNotBa)
from 1 2 show ?thesis using lift-imp-trans by blast
qed
```

lemma DiamondSFinImpSFin:

```
⊢ ◇ (sfin f) → sfin f
```

proof –

```
have 1: ⊢ sfin f = #True¬(f ∧ empty)
  by (rule SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty)
hence 2: ⊢ ◇ (sfin f) = #True¬(#True¬(f ∧ empty))
  by (metis DiamondSChopdef inteq-reflection)
have 3: ⊢ #True¬(#True¬(f ∧ empty)) = (#True¬#True)¬(f ∧ empty)
  by (rule SChopAssoc)
have 4: ⊢ (#True¬#True)¬(f ∧ empty) → #True¬(f ∧ empty)
  using 1 2 3
  by (metis SChopImpDiamond TrueEqvTrueSChopTrue inteq-reflection)
from 1 2 3 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
```

lemma SChopSFinImpSFin:

$\vdash f \sim sfin (init w) \rightarrow sfin (init w)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \sim sfin (init w) \rightarrow \diamond(sfin (init w))$ by (rule *SChopImpDiamond*)

have 2: $\vdash \diamond(sfin (init w)) \rightarrow sfin (init w)$ by (rule *DiamondSFinImpSFin*)

from 1 2 show ?thesis using *lift-imp-trans* by *blast*

qed

lemma *SFinImpSYieldsSFin*:

$\vdash sfin (init w) \rightarrow f \text{ syields } (sfin (init w))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \sim (sfin (init (\neg w))) \rightarrow (sfin (init (\neg w)))$
by (simp add: *SChopSFinImpSFin*)

have 2: $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow (\neg (sfin (init w))) = (sfin (init (\neg w)))$
using *SFinNotStateEqvNotSFinState* by *fastforce*

hence 3: $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow f \sim (\neg (sfin (init w))) = f \sim (sfin (init (\neg w)))$
using *FiniteRightSChopEqvSChop* by *blast*

have 4: $\vdash f \sim (\neg (sfin (init w))) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow (\neg (sfin (init w)))$
using 1 2 3 by *fastforce*

hence 5: $\vdash sfin (init w) \rightarrow \neg(f \sim (\neg (sfin (init w))))$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) *DiamondFin SChopImpDiamond* int-simps(32) int-simps(4)
inteq-reflection *sfin-d-def*)

from 5 show ?thesis by (simp add: *syields-d-def*)

qed

lemma *SChopAndSFin*:

$\vdash ((f \sim g) \wedge (sfin (init w))) = f \sim (g \wedge (sfin (init w)))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash sfin (init w) \rightarrow f \text{ syields } (sfin (init w))$
by (rule *SFinImpSYieldsSFin*)

have 2: $\vdash (f \sim g) \wedge (sfin (init w)) \rightarrow (f \sim g) \wedge f \text{ syields } (sfin (init w))$
using 1 by *fastforce*

have 3: $\vdash f \sim g \wedge f \text{ syields } (sfin (init w)) \rightarrow$
 $f \sim (g \wedge (sfin (init w)))$

using *SChopAndSYieldsImp* by *blast*

have 4: $\vdash (f \sim g) \wedge (sfin (init w)) \rightarrow f \sim (g \wedge sfin (init w))$
using 2 3 by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) *lift-imp-trans*)

from 4 show ?thesis

by (simp add: *Prop12 SChopAndA SChopSFinExportA* int-iffI)

qed

lemma *SChopAndNotSFin*:

$\vdash (f \sim g \wedge \neg(sfin (init w)) \wedge \text{finite}) = f \sim (g \wedge \neg(sfin (init w)) \wedge \text{finite})$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \sim g \wedge sfin (init (\neg w))) = f \sim (g \wedge sfin (init (\neg w)))$
by (rule *SChopAndSFin*)

have 2: $\vdash (sfin (init (\neg w)) \wedge \text{finite}) = (\neg(sfin (init w)) \wedge \text{finite})$
using *SFinNotStateEqvNotSFinState* by *fastforce*

hence 3: $\vdash (g \wedge sfin (init (\neg w))) = (g \wedge \neg(sfin (init w)) \wedge \text{finite})$

using *DiamondEmptyEqvFinite SChopAndB SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty TrueSChopEqvDiamond*
by *fastforce*

hence 4: $\vdash f \sim (g \wedge \text{sfm } (\text{init } (\neg w))) = f \sim (g \wedge \neg (\text{sfm } (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite})$
using RightSChopEqvSChop by blast
from 1 2 4 show ?thesis
by (metis DiamondEmptyEqvFinite Prop10 SChopAndB SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty TrueSChopEqvDiamond
inteq-reflection)
qed

lemma *SFinSChopChain*:

$$\vdash (((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w1))) \sim (((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w2))) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow (((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w2)))$$

proof -

have 01: $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \wedge ((\text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w1)) \wedge \text{finite}; (\text{init } w1 \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w2)) \wedge \text{finite})) = (\text{init } w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim (((\text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w1)) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{init } w1 \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w2)) \wedge \text{finite})$

by (meson Prop04 SChopAndCommute StateAndEmptySChop)

have 02: $\vdash (\text{finite} \wedge \text{init } w) = (\text{init } w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim \text{finite}$

by (metis StateAndEmptySChop inteq-reflection lift-and-com)

have 03: $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \wedge ((\text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w1)) \wedge \text{finite}; (\text{init } w1 \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w2)) \rightarrow \text{finite} \wedge \text{init } w)$

by force

have 04: $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \wedge (\text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w1)); (\text{init } w1 \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w2)) = (\text{init } w \wedge (\text{finite} \wedge (\text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w1)); (\text{init } w1 \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w2)))$

by (simp add: StateAndChop)

have 05: $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \wedge ((\text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w1)) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{init } w1 \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w2)) \rightarrow (\text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \wedge (\text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w1)); (\text{init } w1 \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w2))$

by (metis 04 AndChopCommute ChopAndB StateAndEmptyChop int-eq)

have 06: $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \wedge ((\text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w1)) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{init } w1 \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w2)) \rightarrow (\text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \wedge (\text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w1)); (\text{init } w1 \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w2)) \wedge \text{finite}$

by (meson 03 05 Prop12)

have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \wedge ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w1)) \sim ((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w2)) \rightarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \wedge ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w1))) \sim (((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w2)) \wedge \text{finite})$

unfolding schop-d-def **using** 06 ChopAndFiniteDist **by** fastforce

have 2: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \wedge ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w1)) \rightarrow \text{sfm } (\text{init } w1)$

by auto

```

have 3:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \wedge ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w1))) \sim$ 
         $\quad (((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
         $\longrightarrow$ 
         $\quad (\text{sfin } (\text{init } w1)) \sim (((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
using 2 LeftSChopImpSChop by blast
have 4:  $\vdash (\text{sfin } (\text{init } w1)) \sim (((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2))) =$ 
         $\quad \Diamond((\text{init } w1) \wedge ((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)))$ 
using SFinSChopEqvDiamond by blast
have 41:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \wedge ((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2))) \longrightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)$ 
by auto
have 42:  $\vdash \Diamond((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \wedge ((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2))) \longrightarrow \Diamond(\text{sfin } (\text{init } w2))$ 
using 41 DiamondImpDiamond by blast
have 5:  $\vdash \Diamond(\text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)) \longrightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)$ 
using DiamondSFinImpSFin by blast
have 51:  $\vdash \Diamond(\text{init } w1 \wedge \text{finite} \wedge (\text{init } w1 \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2))) \longrightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)$ 
using 42 5 lift-imp-trans by blast
have 52:  $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \wedge (\text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w1)) \sim (\text{init } w1 \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2))$ 
         $\longrightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w1) \sim ((\text{init } w1 \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
by (meson 1 3 lift-imp-trans)
have 53:  $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \wedge (\text{init } w \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w1)) \sim (\text{init } w1 \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2))$ 
         $\longrightarrow \Diamond(\text{init } w1 \wedge (\text{init } w1 \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
by (metis 52 SFinSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection)
have 6:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \wedge ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w1)) \sim$ 
         $\quad ((\text{init } w1) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2))$ 
         $\longrightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)$ 
by (metis 42 5 53 inteq-reflection lift-and-com lift-imp-trans)
from 6 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma SChopRule:
assumes  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w1)$ 
          $\vdash (\text{init } w1) \wedge f1 \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)$ 
shows  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge (f \sim f1) \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge (f \sim f1) \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim (f1 \wedge \text{finite})$ 
by (metis ChopEmpty SChopAssoc StateAndSChopImport inteq-reflection schop-d-def)
have 2:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w1)$ 
using assms by auto
have 21:  $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge f \wedge \text{finite});(f1 \wedge \text{finite}) = ((\text{init } w \wedge f);f1 \wedge \text{finite})$ 
by (metis ChopAndFiniteDist StateAndChop StateAndSChop inteq-reflection schop-d-def)
have 22:  $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge f \wedge \text{finite}) = ((\text{init } w \wedge f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{sfin } (\text{init } w1))$ 
using 2 Prop10 by blast
hence 3:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim (f1 \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow (\text{sfin } (\text{init } w1)) \sim (f1 \wedge \text{finite})$ 
using 21 22
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) 2 ChopEmpty EmptySChop SChopAssoc StateAndSChop
      StateAndSChopImpSChopRule int-eq schop-d-def)
have 4:  $\vdash (\text{sfin } (\text{init } w1)) \sim (f1 \wedge \text{finite}) = \Diamond((\text{init } w1) \wedge f1 \wedge \text{finite})$ 
by (rule SFinSChopEqvDiamond)
have 5:  $\vdash (\text{init } w1) \wedge f1 \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)$ 
using assms by auto

```

```

hence 6:  $\vdash \Diamond((\text{init } w1) \wedge f1 \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow \Diamond(\text{sfin } (\text{init } w2))$ 
  by (rule DiamondImpDiamond)
have 7:  $\vdash \Diamond(\text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)) \longrightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)$ 
  using DiamondSFinImpSFin by blast
from 1 3 4 6 7 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma SChopRep:
assumes  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow f1 \wedge \text{sfin } (\text{init } w1)$ 
   $\vdash (\text{init } w1) \wedge g \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow g1$ 
shows  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge ((f \sim g) \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow (f1 \sim g1)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow (f1 \wedge \text{sfin } (\text{init } w1))$ 
  using assms by auto
hence 2:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge (f \sim (g \wedge \text{finite})) \longrightarrow (f1 \wedge \text{sfin } (\text{init } w1)) \sim (g \wedge \text{finite})$ 
  by (metis DiamondEmptyEqvFinite FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty Prop12 SChopSFinExportA
    SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty StateAndChopImpChopRule StateAndEmptySChop
    TrueSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection schop-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash (f1 \wedge \text{sfin } (\text{init } w1)) \sim (g \wedge \text{finite}) = f1 \sim ((\text{init } w1) \wedge (g \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
  using AndSFinSChopEqvStateAndSChop by blast
have 31:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge ((f \sim g) \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow f1 \sim ((\text{init } w1) \wedge (g \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
  using 2 3 by (metis ChopEmpty SChopAssoc inteq-reflection schop-d-def)
have 4:  $\vdash (\text{init } w1) \wedge (g \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow g1$ 
  using assms by auto
hence 5:  $\vdash f1 \sim ((\text{init } w1) \wedge (g \wedge \text{finite})) \longrightarrow f1 \sim g1$ 
  using RightSChopImpSChop by blast
show ?thesis using 31 5 by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma SChopRepAndSFin:
assumes  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow f1 \wedge \text{sfin } (\text{init } w1)$ 
   $\vdash (\text{init } w1) \wedge g \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow g1 \wedge \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)$ 
shows  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge (f \sim g) \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow (f1 \sim g1) \wedge \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow f1 \wedge \text{sfin } (\text{init } w1)$ 
  using assms by auto
have 2:  $\vdash (\text{init } w1) \wedge g \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow g1 \wedge \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)$ 
  using assms by auto
have 3:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge (f \sim g) \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow f1 \sim (g1 \wedge \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2))$ 
  using 1 2 by (rule SChopRep)
have 4:  $\vdash f1 \sim (g1 \wedge \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)) \longrightarrow f1 \sim g1$ 
  by (rule SChopAndA)
have 5:  $\vdash f1 \sim (g1 \wedge \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)) \longrightarrow f1 \sim \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)$ 
  by (rule SChopAndB)
have 6:  $\vdash f1 \sim \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2) \longrightarrow \text{sfin } (\text{init } w2)$ 
  by (rule SChopSFinImpSFin)
show ?thesis
  by (metis 3 4 5 6 Prop12 lift-imp-trans)
qed

```

lemma *TrueSChopMoreEqvMore*:
 $\vdash \# \text{True} \sim \text{more} = \text{more}$
by (*metis ChopAssoc TrueChopMoreEqvMore TrueEqvTrueSChopTrue inteq-reflection schop-d-def*)

lemma *SChopFmoreEqvFmore*:
 $\vdash \# \text{True} \sim \text{fmore} = \text{fmore}$
by (*simp add: FiniteChopFmoreEqvFmore schop-d-def*)

lemma *MoreSChopLoop*:
assumes $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{more} \sim f$
shows $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow \neg f$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{more} \sim f$
 using *assms* by auto
 hence 11: $\vdash \Diamond(f) \rightarrow \Diamond(\text{more} \sim f)$
 using *DiamondImpDiamond* by blast
 have 12: $\vdash \Diamond(\text{more} \sim f) = \# \text{True} \sim (\text{more} \sim f)$
 by (*simp add: DiamondSChopdef*)
 have 13: $\vdash \# \text{True} \sim (\text{more} \sim f) = (\# \text{True} \sim \text{more}) \sim f$
 by (*rule SChopAssoc*)
 have 14: $\vdash \Diamond(\text{more} \sim f) = \text{more} \sim f$
 using 12 13 by (*metis TrueSChopMoreEqvMore inteq-reflection*)
 have 2: $\vdash \text{more} \sim f = \bigcirc(\Diamond f)$
 using *MoreSChopEqvNextDiamond* by blast
 have 3: $\vdash \Diamond(f) \rightarrow \bigcirc(\Diamond f)$
 using 11 14 2 by *fastforce*
 hence 4: $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow \neg(\Diamond f)$
 using *NextLoop* by blast
 have 5: $\vdash \neg(\Diamond f) \rightarrow \neg f$
 using *NowImpDiamond* by *fastforce*
 from 4 5 show ?thesis using *lift-imp-trans* by *blast*
qed

lemma *MoreSChopContra*:
assumes $\vdash f \wedge \neg g \rightarrow (\text{more} \sim (f \wedge \neg g))$
shows $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow g$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash f \wedge \neg g \rightarrow (\text{more} \sim (f \wedge \neg g))$ using *assms* by auto
 hence 2: $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow \neg(f \wedge \neg g)$ by (*rule MoreSChopLoop*)
 from 2 show ?thesis by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *MoreSChopLoopFinite*:
assumes $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{more} \sim f$
shows $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow \neg f$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{more} \sim f$
 using *assms* by auto
 hence 11: $\vdash \Diamond(f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow \Diamond(\text{more} \sim f)$
 using *DiamondImpDiamond* by blast

```

have 12:  $\vdash \Diamond (\text{more} \sim f) = \# \text{True} \sim (\text{more} \sim f)$ 
  by (simp add: DiamondSChopdef)
have 13:  $\vdash \# \text{True} \sim (\text{more} \sim f) = (\# \text{True} \sim \text{more}) \sim f$ 
  by (rule SChopAssoc)
have 14:  $\vdash \Diamond (\text{more} \sim f) = \text{more} \sim f$ 
  using 12 13 by (metis TrueSChopMoreEqvMore inteq-reflection)
have 2:  $\vdash \text{more} \sim f = \circ(\Diamond f)$ 
  using MoreSChopEqvNextDiamond by blast
have 3:  $\vdash \Diamond(f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow \circ(\Diamond f)$ 
  using 11 14 2 by fastforce
have 31:  $\vdash \Diamond(f \wedge \text{finite}) = ((\Diamond f) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) DiamondEmptyEqvFinite FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty SFinAndSChop
    SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty TrueSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
have 32:  $\vdash (\Diamond f) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \circ(\Diamond f)$ 
  using 3 31 by fastforce
hence 4:  $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow \neg(\Diamond f)$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) DiamondIntro FiniteChopInfEqvInf InfEqvNotFinite Prop09
    finite-d-def int-simps(15) int-simps(32) inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def)
have 5:  $\vdash \neg(\Diamond f) \rightarrow \neg f$ 
  by (simp add: NowImpDiamond)
from 4 5 show ?thesis using lift-imp-trans by fastforce
qed

```

lemma MoreSChopContraFinite:

assumes $\vdash (f \wedge \neg g) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow (\text{more} \sim (f \wedge \neg g))$

shows $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge \neg g) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow (\text{more} \sim (f \wedge \neg g))$ **using assms by auto**

hence 2: $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow \neg(f \wedge \neg g)$ **by (simp add: MoreSChopLoopFinite)**

from 2 show ?thesis **by (simp add: Valid-def)**

qed

lemma SChopLoop:

assumes $\vdash f \rightarrow g \sim f$

$\vdash g \rightarrow f \text{more}$

shows $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow \neg f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow g \sim f$ **using assms by auto**

have 2: $\vdash g \rightarrow \text{more}$ **using assms by (simp add: Prop12 fmore-d-def)**

hence 3: $\vdash g \sim f \rightarrow \text{more} \sim f$ **by (rule LeftSChopImpSChop)**

have 4: $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{more} \sim f$ **using 1 3 by fastforce**

from 4 show ?thesis **using MoreSChopLoop by auto**

qed

lemma SChopLoopB:

assumes $\vdash f \rightarrow g \sim f$

$\vdash g \rightarrow \text{more}$

shows $\vdash \text{finite} \rightarrow \neg f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow g \sim f$ **using assms by auto**

```

have 2:  $\vdash g \rightarrow \text{more using assms by auto}$ 
hence 3:  $\vdash g \sim f \rightarrow \text{more} \sim f$  by (rule LeftSChopImpSChop)
have 4:  $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{more} \sim f$  using 1 3 by fastforce
from 4 show ?thesis using MoreSChopLoop by blast
qed

```

lemma SChopContra:

```

assumes  $\vdash f \wedge \neg g \rightarrow h \sim f \wedge \neg(h \sim g)$ 
          $\vdash h \rightarrow \text{fmore}$ 
shows  $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow g$ 

```

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash f \wedge \neg g \rightarrow h \sim f \wedge \neg(h \sim g)$  using assms by auto
have 2:  $\vdash h \rightarrow \text{more}$  using assms by (simp add: Prop12 fmore-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash h \sim f \wedge \neg(h \sim g) \rightarrow h \sim(f \wedge \neg g)$  by (rule SChopAndNotSChopImp)
have 4:  $\vdash h \sim(f \wedge \neg g) \rightarrow \text{more} \sim(f \wedge \neg g)$  using 2 by (rule LeftSChopImpSChop)
have 5:  $\vdash f \wedge \neg g \rightarrow \text{more} \sim(f \wedge \neg g)$  using 1 3 4 by fastforce
from 5 show ?thesis using MoreSChopContra by auto
qed

```

lemma SChopContraB:

```

assumes  $\vdash f \wedge \neg g \rightarrow h \sim f \wedge \neg(h \sim g)$ 
          $\vdash h \rightarrow \text{more}$ 
shows  $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow g$ 

```

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash f \wedge \neg g \rightarrow h \sim f \wedge \neg(h \sim g)$  using assms by auto
have 2:  $\vdash h \rightarrow \text{more}$  using assms by auto
have 3:  $\vdash h \sim f \wedge \neg(h \sim g) \rightarrow h \sim(f \wedge \neg g)$  by (rule SChopAndNotSChopImp)
have 4:  $\vdash h \sim(f \wedge \neg g) \rightarrow \text{more} \sim(f \wedge \neg g)$  using 2 by (rule LeftSChopImpSChop)
have 5:  $\vdash f \wedge \neg g \rightarrow \text{more} \sim(f \wedge \neg g)$  using 1 3 4 by fastforce
from 5 show ?thesis using MoreSChopContra by blast
qed

```

7.7 Properties of Halt

lemma HaltSChopEqv:

```

 $\vdash ((\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \sim f) = (\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (f) \text{ else } (\bigcirc((\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \sim f)))$ 

```

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w) =$ 
          $(\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } \text{empty} \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w))))$ 
by (rule HaltStateEqvIfStateThenEmptyElseNext)

```

```

hence 2:  $\vdash ((\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \sim f) =$ 
          $(\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (\text{empty} \sim f) \text{ else } (\bigcirc((\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \sim f)))$ 
by (rule IfSChopEqvRule)

```

```

have 3:  $\vdash \text{empty} \sim f = f$ 
by (rule EmptySChop)

```

```

have 4:  $\vdash (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w))) \sim f = \bigcirc((\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \sim f)$ 
by (rule NextSChop)

```

```

from 2 3 4 show ?thesis by (metis integ-reflection)
qed

```

lemma *AndHaltSChopImp*:
 $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge (\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \rightsquigarrow f) \longrightarrow f$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \rightsquigarrow f = \text{if}_i(\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \rightsquigarrow f))$
by (*rule HaltSChopEqv*)
have 2: $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge \text{if}_i(\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \rightsquigarrow f)) \longrightarrow f$
by (*auto simp: ifthenelse-d-def*)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *NotAndHaltSChopImpNext*:
 $\vdash \neg(\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \rightsquigarrow f) \longrightarrow \bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \rightsquigarrow f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \rightsquigarrow f = \text{if}_i(\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \rightsquigarrow f))$
by (*rule HaltSChopEqv*)
have 2: $\vdash \neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{if}_i(\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \rightsquigarrow f)) \longrightarrow$
 $\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \rightsquigarrow f)$
by (*auto simp: ifthenelse-d-def*)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *NotAndHaltSChopImpSkipSYields*:
 $\vdash \neg(\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \rightsquigarrow f) \longrightarrow \text{skip} \text{ syields}(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \rightsquigarrow f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \neg(\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \rightsquigarrow f) \longrightarrow \bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \rightsquigarrow f)$
by (*rule NotAndHaltSChopImpNext*)
have 2: $\vdash \bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \rightsquigarrow f) \longrightarrow \text{skip} \text{ syields}(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \rightsquigarrow f)$
by (*rule NextImpSkipSYields*)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *SChopAndEmptyEqvSChopAndEmpty*:
 $\vdash ((\# \text{True} \rightsquigarrow (f \wedge \text{empty})) \wedge g) = (g \rightsquigarrow (f \wedge \text{empty}))$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\# \text{True} \rightsquigarrow (f \wedge \text{empty})) \wedge g \longrightarrow g \rightsquigarrow (f \wedge \text{empty})$
by (*simp add: FiniteChopAndEmptyEqvChopAndEmpty int-iffD1 schop-d-def*)
have 2: $\vdash g \rightsquigarrow (f \wedge \text{empty}) \longrightarrow (\# \text{True} \rightsquigarrow (f \wedge \text{empty})) \wedge g$
by (*metis AndSFinEqvSChopAndEmpty Prop12 SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty int-iffD1 inteq-reflection*)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *NotSChopSkipEqvFmoreAndNotSChopSkip*:
 $\vdash (\neg f) \rightsquigarrow \text{skip} = (f \text{more} \wedge \neg(f \rightsquigarrow \text{skip}))$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\neg f) \rightsquigarrow \text{skip} = ((\neg f \wedge \text{finite}); \text{skip})$
by (*simp add: schop-d-def*)
have 2: $\vdash (\neg f \wedge \text{finite}); \text{skip} = (\neg(f \vee \text{inf})); \text{skip}$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) LeftChopEqvChop finite-d-def int-simps(14) int-simps(33) inteq-reflection*)
have 3: $\vdash (\neg(f \vee \text{inf})); \text{skip} = (\text{more} \wedge \neg((f \vee \text{inf}); \text{skip}))$

```

using NotChopSkipEqvMoreAndNotChopSkip by blast
have 4:  $\vdash (f \vee \text{inf});\text{skip} = (f;\text{skip} \vee \text{inf})$ 
  by (metis AndInfChopEqvAndInf MoreAndInfEqvInf OrChopEqv inteq-reflection)
have 5:  $\vdash (\text{more} \wedge \neg((f \vee \text{inf});\text{skip})) = (\text{more} \wedge \neg(f;\text{skip} \vee \text{inf}))$ 
  using 4 by auto
have 6:  $\vdash (\text{more} \wedge \neg(f;\text{skip} \vee \text{inf})) = (\text{more} \wedge \neg(f;\text{skip}) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
  unfolding finite-d-def by fastforce
have 7:  $\vdash (\text{more} \wedge \neg(f;\text{skip}) \wedge \text{finite}) = (\text{more} \wedge \neg(f \sim \text{skip} \vee (f \wedge \text{inf})) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
  by (metis ChopEmpty ChopSChopdef inteq-reflection)
have 8:  $\vdash (\text{more} \wedge \neg(f \sim \text{skip} \vee (f \wedge \text{inf})) \wedge \text{finite}) =$ 
   $(\text{more} \wedge \neg(f \sim \text{skip}) \wedge \neg(f \wedge \text{inf}) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
  by auto
have 9:  $\vdash (\neg(f \wedge \text{inf}) \wedge \text{finite}) = \text{finite}$ 
  unfolding finite-d-def by force
have 10:  $\vdash (\text{more} \wedge \neg(f \sim \text{skip}) \wedge \neg(f \wedge \text{inf}) \wedge \text{finite}) =$ 
   $(\text{more} \wedge \neg(f \sim \text{skip}) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
  using 9 by fastforce
have 11:  $\vdash (\text{more} \wedge \neg(f \sim \text{skip}) \wedge \text{finite}) = (\text{fmore} \wedge \neg(f \sim \text{skip}))$ 
  using fmore-d-def by (metis Prop11 Prop12 lift-and-com)
from 1 2 3 5 6 7 8 10 11 show ?thesis by (metis inteq-reflection)
qed

```

lemma HaltSChopImpNotHaltSChopNot:

$$\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \neg(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg f))$$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f = \text{if}_i(\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f))$ 
  by (rule HaltSChopEqv)
have 2:  $\vdash \text{if}_i(\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f)) \longrightarrow$ 
   $((\text{init } w) \longrightarrow f) \wedge (\neg(\text{init } w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f)))$ 
  by (rule IfThenElseImp)
have 3:  $\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg f) =$ 
   $\text{if}_i(\text{init } w) \text{ then } (\neg f) \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg f)))$ 
  by (rule HaltSChopEqv)
have 4:  $\vdash \text{if}_i(\text{init } w) \text{ then } (\neg f) \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg f))) \longrightarrow$ 
   $((\text{init } w) \longrightarrow \neg f) \wedge (\neg(\text{init } w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg f))))$ 
  by (rule IfThenElseImp)
have 5:  $\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f \wedge \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg f) \longrightarrow$ 
   $((\text{init } w) \longrightarrow f) \wedge (\neg(\text{init } w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f))) \wedge$ 
   $((\text{init } w) \longrightarrow \neg f) \wedge (\neg(\text{init } w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg f))))$ 
  using 1 2 3 4 by fastforce
have 6:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \longrightarrow f) \wedge (\neg(\text{init } w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f))) \wedge$ 
   $((\text{init } w) \longrightarrow \neg f) \wedge (\neg(\text{init } w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg f)))) \longrightarrow$ 
   $(\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f)) \wedge (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg f)))$ 
  by auto
have 7:  $\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f \wedge \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg f) \longrightarrow$ 
   $(\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f)) \wedge (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg f)))$ 
  using 5 6 lift-imp-trans by blast
have 8:  $\vdash ((\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f)) \wedge (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg f)))) =$ 
   $\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f \wedge \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg f))$ 
  using NextAndEqvNextAndNext by fastforce

```

have 9: $\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f \wedge \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg f) \longrightarrow$
 ○ $(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f \wedge \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg f))$
using 7 8 **by** fastforce
hence 10: $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow \neg(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f \wedge \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg f))$
using NextLoop **by** blast
from 10 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma HaltSChopImpHaltSYields:
 $\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow (\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \text{ syields } f$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \neg(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg f))$
by (rule HaltSChopImpNotHaltSChopNot)
from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: syields-d-def)
qed

lemma HaltSChopAnd:
 $\vdash (\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \sim f \wedge (\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \sim g \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow (\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \sim (f \wedge g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \sim g \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow (\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \text{ syields } g$
by (rule HaltSChopImpHaltSYields)
hence 2: $\vdash (\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \sim f \wedge (\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \sim g \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \sim f \wedge (\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \text{ syields } g$
by auto
have 3: $\vdash (\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \sim f \wedge (\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \text{ syields } g \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \sim (f \wedge g)$
by (rule SChopAndSYieldsImp)
from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma HaltAndSChopAndHaltSChopImpHaltAndSChopAnd:
 $\vdash (\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim f1 \wedge (\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim g) \wedge \text{finite}$
 $\longrightarrow (\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim (f1 \wedge g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f1 \longrightarrow \neg g \vee (f1 \wedge g)$
by auto
hence 2: $\vdash (\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim f1 \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim (\neg g) \vee ((\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim (f1 \wedge g))$
by (rule SChopOrImpRule)
have 3: $\vdash (\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim (\neg g) \longrightarrow \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg g)$
by (rule AndSChopA)
have 31: $\vdash (\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim f1 \longrightarrow$
 $\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg g) \vee ((\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim (f1 \wedge g))$
using 23 **by** fastforce
have 4: $\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim g \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \neg(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg g))$
by (rule HaltSChopImpNotHaltSChopNot)
hence 41: $\vdash (\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim (\neg g)) \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \neg(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim g)$
by auto
have 42: $\vdash (\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim f1 \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow$
 $\neg(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim g) \vee ((\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \wedge f) \sim (f1 \wedge g))$

```

using 31 41 by fastforce
from 42 show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

lemma *HaltImpBoxSYields*:

$\vdash (\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \sim f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow (\square(\neg(\text{init } w))) \text{ syields } ((\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \sim f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\square(\neg(\text{init } w))) \sim (\neg(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f)) \longrightarrow df(\square(\neg(\text{init } w)))$

by (rule *SChopImpDf*)

have 2: $\vdash \square(\neg(\text{init } w)) \longrightarrow \neg(\text{init } w)$

by (rule *BoxElim*)

hence 3: $\vdash df(\square(\neg(\text{init } w))) \longrightarrow df(\neg(\text{init } w))$

by (rule *DfImpDf*)

have 4: $\vdash df(\text{init}(\neg w)) = (\text{init}(\neg w))$

by (rule *DfState*)

have 41: $\vdash (\text{init}(\neg w)) = (\neg(\text{init } w))$

using *Initprop(2)* by fastforce

have 42: $\vdash df(\neg(\text{init } w)) = (\neg(\text{init } w))$

using 4 41 by (metis integ-reflection)

have 5: $\vdash ((\square(\neg(\text{init } w))) \sim (\neg(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f))) \longrightarrow \neg(\text{init } w)$

using 1 2 42 using 3 by fastforce

hence 51: $\vdash (\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f) \wedge ((\square(\neg(\text{init } w))) \sim (\neg(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f))) \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f) \wedge \neg(\text{init } w)$

by fastforce

have 6: $\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f = \text{if}_i(\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f))$
 by (rule *HaltSChopEqv*)

hence 61: $\vdash (\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f) \wedge \neg(\text{init } w) =$
 $((\text{if}_i(\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f))) \wedge \neg(\text{init } w))$

using 6 by auto

have 62: $\vdash (\text{if}_i(\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f))) \wedge$
 $\neg(\text{init } w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f))$

by (auto simp: ifthenelse-d-def)

have 63: $\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f \wedge \neg(\text{init } w) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f))$
 using 61 62 by fastforce

have 7: $\vdash (\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f) \wedge ((\square(\neg(\text{init } w))) \sim (\neg(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f))) \longrightarrow$
 $\bigcirc((\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f))$

using 51 63 using lift-imp-trans by blast

have 8: $\vdash \square(\neg(\text{init } w)) \longrightarrow \text{empty} \vee \bigcirc(\square(\neg(\text{init } w)))$

by (metis *BoxImpYields WeakNextBoxImpMoreYields WnextEqvEmptyOrNext fmore-d-def int-eq*)

hence 9: $\vdash ((\square(\neg(\text{init } w))) \sim (\neg(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f))) \longrightarrow$
 $\neg(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f) \vee \bigcirc((\square(\neg(\text{init } w))) \sim (\neg(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f)))$

by (rule *EmptyOrNextSChopImpRule*)

hence 10: $\vdash ((\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f) \wedge ((\square(\neg(\text{init } w))) \sim (\neg(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f))) \longrightarrow$
 $\bigcirc((\square(\neg(\text{init } w))) \sim (\neg(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f)))$

by fastforce

have 11: $\vdash (\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f) \wedge ((\square(\neg(\text{init } w))) \sim (\neg(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f))) \longrightarrow$
 $\bigcirc((\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f)) \wedge \bigcirc((\square(\neg(\text{init } w))) \sim (\neg(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f)))$

using 7 10 by fastforce

have 12: $\vdash \bigcirc((\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f)) \wedge \bigcirc((\square(\neg(\text{init } w))) \sim (\neg(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f)))$
 $\longrightarrow \bigcirc(((\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f)) \wedge ((\square(\neg(\text{init } w))) \sim (\neg(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \sim f))))$

```

using NextAndEqvNextAndNext by fastforce
have 13: ⊢ (halt (init w) ⊖ f ∧ (□ (¬ (init w))) ⊖ (¬ (halt (init w) ⊖ f))) →
    ○(((halt (init w) ⊖ f) ∧ ((□ (¬ (init w))) ⊖ (¬ (halt (init w) ⊖ f)))))

using 11 12 by fastforce
hence 14: ⊢ finite → ((halt (init w) ⊖ f ∧ (□ (¬ (init w))) ⊖ (¬ (halt (init w) ⊖ f)))) →
    using NextLoop by blast
hence 15: ⊢ (halt (init w) ⊖ f ∧ finite → (□ (¬ (init w))) ⊖ (¬ (halt (init w) ⊖ f)))
    by auto
from 15 show ?thesis by (simp add: syields-d-def)
qed

```

7.8 Properties of Groups of strong chops

```

lemma NestedSChopImpSChop:
assumes ⊢ init w ∧ f → g ⊖ (init w1 ∧ f1)
    ⊢ init w1 ∧ f1 → g1 ⊖ (init w2 ∧ f2)
shows ⊢ init w ∧ f → g ⊖ (g1 ⊖ (init w2 ∧ f2))
proof -
have 1: ⊢ init w ∧ f → g ⊖ (init w1 ∧ f1) using assms(1) by auto
have 2: ⊢ init w1 ∧ f1 → g1 ⊖ (init w2 ∧ f2) using assms(2) by auto
hence 3: ⊢ g ⊖ (init w1 ∧ f1) → g ⊖ (g1 ⊖ (init w2 ∧ f2)) by (rule RightSChopImpSChop)
from 1 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

end

8 Finite and Infinite ITL theorems using Weak Chop

```

theory Chopstar
imports
  SChopTheorems
begin

```

This theory defines the chopstar operator for infinite ITL and provides a library of lemmas. We also define the strong version schopstar, the weak version wpowerstar and a semantic version achopstar. The wpowerstar corresponds to the Kleene star operator from Kleene Algebra [1]. We provide lemmas that express various relationships between them. We also ported the numerous Kleene algebra lemmas from [1] to ITL.

8.1 Definitions

```

primrec wpower-d :: ('a::world) formula ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a formula
where wpow-0 : (wpower-d F 0) = LIFT(empty)
  | wpow-Suc: (wpower-d F (Suc n)) = LIFT((F);(wpower-d F' n))

```

syntax

$-w\text{power-}d :: [\text{lift}, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow \text{lift} ((w\text{power} - -) [88, 88] 87)$

syntax (ASCII)

$-w\text{power-}d :: [\text{lift}, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow \text{lift} ((w\text{power} - -) [88, 88] 87)$

translations

$-w\text{power-}d \rightleftharpoons \text{CONST } w\text{power-}d$

definition $f\text{power-}d :: ('a::\text{world}) \text{ formula} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ formula}$

where

$f\text{power-}d F n \equiv \text{LIFT}(w\text{power} (F \wedge \text{finite}) n)$

syntax

$-f\text{power-}d :: [\text{lift}, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow \text{lift} ((f\text{power} - -) [88, 88] 87)$

syntax (ASCII)

$-f\text{power-}d :: [\text{lift}, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow \text{lift} ((f\text{power} - -) [88, 88] 87)$

translations

$-f\text{power-}d \rightleftharpoons \text{CONST } f\text{power-}d$

definition $l\text{en-}d :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a::\text{world}) \text{ formula}$

where $l\text{en-}d n \equiv \text{LIFT}(w\text{power} \text{ skip } n)$

definition $w\text{powerstar-}d :: ('a::\text{world}) \text{ formula} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ formula}$

where $w\text{powerstar-}d F \equiv \text{LIFT}(\exists k. w\text{power} F k)$

definition $f\text{powerstar-}d :: ('a::\text{world}) \text{ formula} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ formula}$

where $f\text{powerstar-}d F \equiv \text{LIFT}(\exists k. f\text{power} F k)$

syntax

$-l\text{en-}d :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{lift} ((l\text{en} -) [88] 87)$

$-w\text{powerstar-}d :: \text{lift} \Rightarrow \text{lift} ((w\text{powerstar} -) [85] 85)$

$-f\text{powerstar-}d :: \text{lift} \Rightarrow \text{lift} ((f\text{powerstar} -) [85] 85)$

syntax (ASCII)

$-l\text{en-}d :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{lift} ((l\text{en} -) [88] 87)$

$-w\text{powerstar-}d :: \text{lift} \Rightarrow \text{lift} ((w\text{powerstar} -) [85] 85)$

$-f\text{powerstar-}d :: \text{lift} \Rightarrow \text{lift} ((f\text{powerstar} -) [85] 85)$

translations

$-l\text{en-}d \rightleftharpoons \text{CONST } l\text{en-}d$

$-w\text{powerstar-}d \rightleftharpoons \text{CONST } w\text{powerstar-}d$

$-f\text{powerstar-}d \rightleftharpoons \text{CONST } f\text{powerstar-}d$

definition $\text{powerstar-}d :: ('a::\text{world}) \text{ formula} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ formula}$
where $\text{powerstar-}d F \equiv \text{LIFT}(\text{fpowerstar } F; (\text{empty} \vee (F \wedge \text{inf})))$

syntax

$\text{-powerstar-}d :: \text{lift} \Rightarrow \text{lift} \quad ((\text{powerstar } -) [85] 85)$

syntax (ASCII)

$\text{-powerstar-}d :: \text{lift} \Rightarrow \text{lift} \quad ((\text{powerstar } -) [85] 85)$

translations

$\text{-powerstar-}d \rightleftharpoons \text{CONST powerstar-}d$

definition $\text{chopstar-}d :: ('a::\text{world}) \text{ formula} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ formula}$
where $\text{chopstar-}d F \equiv \text{LIFT}(\text{powerstar } (F \wedge \text{more}))$

definition $\text{schopstar-}d :: ('a::\text{world}) \text{ formula} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ formula}$
where $\text{schopstar-}d F \equiv \text{LIFT}(\text{fpowerstar } (F \wedge \text{more}))$

syntax

$\text{-chopstar-}d :: \text{lift} \Rightarrow \text{lift} \quad ((\text{-}^*) [85] 85)$

$\text{-schopstar-}d :: \text{lift} \Rightarrow \text{lift} \quad ((\text{schopstar } -) [85] 85)$

syntax (ASCII)

$\text{-chopstar-}d :: \text{lift} \Rightarrow \text{lift} \quad ((\text{chopstar } -) [85] 85)$

$\text{-schopstar-}d :: \text{lift} \Rightarrow \text{lift} \quad ((\text{schopstar } -) [85] 85)$

translations

$\text{-chopstar-}d \rightleftharpoons \text{CONST chopstar-}d$

$\text{-schopstar-}d \rightleftharpoons \text{CONST schopstar-}d$

definition $\text{while-}d :: ('a::\text{world}) \text{ formula} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ formula} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ formula}$
where $\text{while-}d F G \equiv \text{LIFT}((F \wedge G)^* \wedge (\text{fin } ((\neg F))))$

syntax

$\text{-while-}d :: [\text{lift}, \text{lift}] \Rightarrow \text{lift} \quad ((\text{while } - \text{ do } -) [88, 88] 87)$

syntax (ASCII)

$\text{-while-}d :: [\text{lift}, \text{lift}] \Rightarrow \text{lift} \quad ((\text{while } - \text{ do } -) [88, 88] 87)$

translations

$\text{-while-}d \rightleftharpoons \text{CONST while-}d$

definition $\text{swhile-}d :: ('a::\text{world}) \text{ formula} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ formula} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ formula}$

where $swhile-d F G \equiv LIFT(schopstar(F \wedge G) \wedge (sfin ((\neg F))))$

definition $repeat-d :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula \Rightarrow 'a formula$
where $repeat-d F G \equiv LIFT(F; while (\neg G) do F)$

syntax

- $swhile-d :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift ((swhile - do -) [88,88] 87)$
- $repeat-d :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift ((repeat - until -) [88,88] 87)$

syntax (ASCII)

- $swhile-d :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift ((swhile - do -) [88,88] 87)$
- $repeat-d :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift ((repeat - until -) [88,88] 87)$

translations

- $swhile-d \rightleftharpoons CONST swhile-d$
- $repeat-d \rightleftharpoons CONST repeat-d$

definition $srepeat-d :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula \Rightarrow 'a formula$
where $srepeat-d F G \equiv LIFT(F \sim swhile (\neg G) do F)$

syntax

- $srepeat-d :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift ((srepeat - until -) [88,88] 87)$

syntax (ASCII)

- $srepeat-d :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift ((srepeat - until -) [88,88] 87)$

translations

- $srepeat-d \rightleftharpoons CONST srepeat-d$

definition $aschopstar-d :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula$

where $aschopstar-d F \equiv$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda s. (\exists (l :: nat nellist). \\ &\quad (nnth l 0) = 0 \wedge nfinite l \wedge nidx l \wedge \\ &\quad (enat (nlast l)) = (nlength s) \wedge nfinite s \wedge \\ &\quad (\forall (i :: nat) . (enat i) < (nlength l) \longrightarrow \\ &\quad \quad ((nsubn s (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))) \models F)) \\ &\quad) \end{aligned}$$

syntax

- $aschopstar-d :: lift \Rightarrow lift ((aschopstar -) [85] 85)$

syntax (ASCII)

- $aschopstar-d :: lift \Rightarrow lift ((aschopstar -) [85] 85)$

translations

- $aschopstar-d \rightleftharpoons CONST aschopstar-d$

lemma $FChopSem-var$ [mono]:

$$\begin{aligned} &(w \models f; g) = \\ &((\exists n. enat n \leq nlength w \wedge f ((ntaken n w)) \wedge g (ndropn n w)) \vee \end{aligned}$$

```

 $(\neg nfinite w \wedge f w)) )$ 
by (simp add: itl-defs)

inductive istar-d :: ('a::world) formula  $\Rightarrow$  'a formula
for F where
   $(s \models empty) \Rightarrow (s \models (istar-d F))$ 
  |  $(s \models F; (istar-d F)) \Rightarrow (s \models (istar-d F))$ 

```

syntax
 $-istar-d :: lift \Rightarrow lift$ ((istar -) [85] 85)

syntax (ASCII)
 $-istar-d :: lift \Rightarrow lift$ ((istar -) [85] 85)

translations
 $-istar-d \equiv CONST\ istar-d$

8.2 Semantic lemmas

lemma ChopExist:

```

 $\vdash (\exists k. f; g k) = f; (\exists k. g k)$ 
by (auto simp add: itl-defs Valid-def)

```

lemma SChopExist:

```

 $\vdash (\exists k. f \sim g k) = f \sim (\exists k. g k)$ 
by (auto simp add: itl-defs Valid-def)

```

lemma ExistChop:

```

 $\vdash (\exists k. (g k); f) = (\exists k. g k); f$ 
by (auto simp add: itl-defs Valid-def)

```

lemma ExistSChop:

```

 $\vdash (\exists k. (g k) \sim f) = (\exists k. g k) \sim f$ 
by (auto simp add: itl-defs Valid-def)

```

lemma wpowersem1:

 $(\sigma \models (\exists k. wpower f k) = (\text{empty} \vee (\exists k. wpower f (\text{Suc } k))))$

proof (auto)

show $\bigwedge x. \sigma \models (wpower f x) \Rightarrow \forall k. \neg (\sigma \models f; wpower f k) \Rightarrow \sigma \models empty$

by (metis not0-implies-Suc wpow-0 wpow-Suc)

show $\sigma \models empty \Rightarrow \exists x. \sigma \models (wpower f x)$

by (metis wpow-0)

show $\bigwedge k. \sigma \models (f; wpower f k) \Rightarrow \exists x. \sigma \models (wpower f x)$

by (metis wpow-Suc)

qed

lemma fpowersem1:

 $(\sigma \models (\exists k. fpower f k) = (\text{empty} \vee (\exists k. fpower f (\text{Suc } k))))$

unfolding *fpower-d-def* **using** *wpowersem1*[of LIFT ($f \wedge finite$) σ]
by *blast*

lemma *wpowersem*:

$$\vdash (\exists k. wpower f k) = (\emptyset \vee f; (\exists k. (wpower f k)))$$

proof –

$$\text{have } 1: \vdash (\exists k. wpower f k) = (\emptyset \vee (\exists k. wpower f (Suc k)))$$

using *wpowersem1* **by** *blast*

$$\text{have } 2: \vdash (\exists k. wpower f (Suc k)) = (\exists k. f; wpower f k)$$

by *simp*

$$\text{have } 3: \vdash (\exists k. f; (wpower f k)) = f; (\exists k. (wpower f k))$$

using *ChopExist* **by** *blast*

from 1 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *fpowersem*:

$$\vdash (\exists k. fpower f k) = (\emptyset \vee (f \wedge finite); (\exists k. (fpower f k)))$$

unfolding *fpower-d-def* **using** *wpowersem*[of LIFT ($f \wedge finite$)]

by *blast*

lemma *finite-nidx-bounded-nlast*:

assumes *nfinite l*

nidx l

$$(\text{enat} (nlast l)) = (nlength s)$$

$$(\text{enat} i) \leq (nlength l)$$

shows $(nnth l i) \leq nlength s$

using *assms*

by (*metis enat-ord-simps(1)* *nfinite-nlength-enat nidx-less-eq nnth-nlast*

the-enat.simps verit-comp-simplify1(2))

lemma *aschopstar-wpower-chain-a*:

assumes $(\exists (l :: nat nellist).$

$$(nlength l) = (Suc n) \wedge nfinite l \wedge nidx l \wedge (nnth l 0) = 0 \wedge$$

$$(\text{enat} (nlast l)) = (nlength \sigma) \wedge nfinite \sigma \wedge$$

$$(\forall (i :: nat). i < (nlength l) \longrightarrow$$

$$((nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))) \models f)$$

)

)

shows $(\exists k. 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq nlength \sigma \wedge 0 < k \wedge (nsubn \sigma 0 k \models f) \wedge$

$$(\exists ls. nfinite ls \wedge (nlength ls) = n \wedge nidx ls \wedge (nnth ls 0) = 0 \wedge$$

$$(\text{enat} (nlast ls)) = (nlength (ndropn k \sigma)) \wedge nfinite(ndropn k \sigma) \wedge$$

$$(\forall (i :: nat). i < (nlength ls) \longrightarrow$$

$$((nsubn (ndropn k \sigma) (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \models f)$$

)

)

proof –

```

obtain l where 1:  $(nlength l) = (Suc n) \wedge nfinite l \wedge nidx l \wedge (nnth l 0) = 0 \wedge$ 
     $(enat (nlast l)) = (nlength \sigma) \wedge nfinite \sigma \wedge$ 
     $(\forall (i::nat). i < (nlength l) \longrightarrow$ 
     $((nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))) \models f)$ 
    )
using assms by auto
have 2:  $nlength l > 0$ 
using 1 by (simp add: enat-0-iff(1))
have 3:  $l = NCons (nnth l 0) (ndropn 1 l)$ 
using 2 by (simp add: ndropn-Suc-conv-ndropn zero-enat-def)
have 4:  $nlength (ndropn 1 l) = n$ 
by (simp add: 1)
have 5:  $0 \leq (nnth l 0)$ 
by simp
have 6:  $(nnth l 0) \leq nlength \sigma$ 
using 1 using i0-lb zero-enat-def by presburger
have 7:  $(nnth l 0) = 0$ 
using 1 by blast
have 71:  $(nnth (ndropn 1 l) 0) = (nnth l 1)$ 
by auto
have 8:  $nnth l 0 < nnth (ndropn 1 l) 0$ 
by (metis 1 71 One-nat-def eSuc-enat enat-ord-simps(2) ileI1 nidx-gr-first zero-less-Suc)
have 9:  $nidx (ndropn 1 l)$ 
by (metis 1 2 One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-ile-eq enat-min-eq ndropn-nlength ndropn-nnth
    nidx-expand plus-1-eq-Suc plus-enat-simps(1) zero-enat-def)
have 10:  $(enat (nlast (ndropn 1 l))) = (nlength \sigma)$ 
using 1 3 by (metis nlast-NCons)
have 101:  $\bigwedge j. j \leq nlength (ndropn 1 l) \longrightarrow$ 
     $nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l)) j =$ 
     $(nnth l (Suc j)) - (nnth l 1)$ 
by simp
have 102:  $\bigwedge j. j \leq nlength (ndropn 1 l) \longrightarrow$ 
     $(nnth l 1) \leq (nnth l (Suc j))$ 
by (simp add: 1 nidx-less-eq)
have 103:  $\bigwedge j. j < nlength (ndropn 1 l) \longrightarrow$ 
     $(nnth l (Suc j)) - (nnth l 1) <$ 
     $(nnth l (Suc (Suc j))) - (nnth l 1)$ 
using 1 nidx-expand[of l]
by (metis 102 4 diff-less-mono eSuc-enat ileI1 iless-Suc-eq order-less-imp-le)
have 11:  $nidx (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l))$ 
using 103 101 nidx-expand[of (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l))]
using Suc-ile-eq by force
have 12:  $nlength (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l)) = n$ 
using 4 by auto
have 13:  $(enat (nlast (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l))))$ 
    =
     $(nlength (ndropn (nnth l 1) \sigma))$ 
by (metis 1 10 idiff-enat-enat ndropn-nlength nfinite-ndropn nlast-nmap)
have 14:  $(nsubn \sigma 0 (nnth l 1) \models f)$ 
by (metis 1 One-nat-def enat-ord-simps(2) zero-less-Suc)

```

have 15: $(\forall (i:\text{nat}). i < \text{nlength} (\text{ndropn } 1 l) \rightarrow (nsubn \sigma (\text{nnth} (\text{ndropn } 1 l) i) (\text{nnth} (\text{ndropn } 1 l) (\text{Suc } i)) \models f))$
using 1 3 eSuc-enat ileI1 iless-Suc-eq ndropn-nnth nlength-NCons plus-1-eq-Suc **by** metis

have 16: $(\forall (i:\text{nat}). i < \text{nlength} (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth } l 1)) (\text{ndropn } 1 l)) \rightarrow (nsubn \sigma ((\text{nnth} (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth } l 1)) (\text{ndropn } 1 l)) i) + (\text{nnth } l 1)) ((\text{nnth} (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth } l 1)) (\text{ndropn } 1 l)) (\text{Suc } i)) + (\text{nnth } l 1)) \models f))$
using 102 12 15 4 **by** force

have 17: $(\forall (i:\text{nat}). i < \text{nlength} (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth } l 1)) (\text{ndropn } 1 l)) \rightarrow ((nsubn (\text{ndropn} (\text{nnth } l 1) \sigma) (\text{nnth} (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth } l 1)) (\text{ndropn } 1 l)) i) (\text{nnth} (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth } l 1)) (\text{ndropn } 1 l)) (\text{Suc } i))) \models f))$
by (metis 11 16 eSuc-enat ileI1 nidx-expand nsubn-ndropn)

have 18: $\text{nfinite} (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth } l 1)) (\text{ndropn } 1 l))$
using 12 nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD **by** blast

have 19: $\text{nfinite} (\text{ndropn} (\text{nnth } l 1) \sigma)$
using 1 nfinite-ndropn-a **by** blast

have 20: $(\exists ls. \text{nfinite } ls \wedge (\text{nlength } ls) = n \wedge \text{nidx } ls \wedge (\text{nnth } ls 0) = 0 \wedge (\text{enat } (\text{nlast } ls)) = (\text{nlength } (\text{ndropn } (\text{nnth } l 1) \sigma)) \wedge \text{nfinite} (\text{ndropn } (\text{nnth } l 1) \sigma) \wedge (\forall (i:\text{nat}). i < (\text{nlength } ls) \rightarrow ((nsubn (\text{ndropn } (\text{nnth } l 1) \sigma) (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \models f)))$
by (metis 11 12 13 17 18 19 71 diff-self-eq-0 enat-le-plus-same(1) gen-nlength-def nlength-code nnth-nmap)

show ?thesis
by (metis 1 2 20 71 8 One-nat-def Suc-ile-eq finite-nidx-bounded-nlast zero-enat-def zero-order(1))

qed

lemma aschopstar-wpower-chain-b:
assumes $(\exists k. 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge 0 < k \wedge (nsubn \sigma 0 k \models f) \wedge (\exists ls. \text{nfinite } ls \wedge (\text{nlength } ls) = n \wedge \text{nidx } ls \wedge (\text{nnth } ls 0) = 0 \wedge (\text{enat } (\text{nlast } ls)) = (\text{nlength } (\text{ndropn } k \sigma)) \wedge \text{nfinite} (\text{ndropn } k \sigma) \wedge (\forall (i:\text{nat}). i < (\text{nlength } ls) \rightarrow ((nsubn (\text{ndropn } k \sigma) (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \models f)))$
shows $(\exists (l::\text{nat nellist}). (\text{nlength } l) = (\text{Suc } n) \wedge \text{nfinite } l \wedge \text{nidx } l \wedge (\text{nnth } l 0) = 0 \wedge (\text{enat } (\text{nlast } l)) = (\text{nlength } \sigma) \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge (\forall (i:\text{nat}). i < (\text{nlength } l) \rightarrow ((nsubn \sigma (\text{nnth } l i) (\text{nnth } l (\text{Suc } i))) \models f)))$

proof –
obtain k **where** 1: $0 \leq k \wedge k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge (nsubn \sigma 0 k \models f) \wedge (\exists ls. \text{nfinite } ls \wedge (\text{nlength } ls) = n \wedge \text{nidx } ls \wedge (\text{nnth } ls 0) = 0 \wedge (\text{enat } (\text{nlast } ls)) = (\text{nlength } (\text{ndropn } k \sigma)) \wedge \text{nfinite} (\text{ndropn } k \sigma) \wedge (\forall (i:\text{nat}). i < (\text{nlength } ls) \rightarrow ((nsubn \sigma (\text{nnth } l i) (\text{nnth } l (\text{Suc } i))) \models f)))$

```


$$(\forall (i::nat). i < (nlength ls) \rightarrow ((nsubn (ndropn k \sigma) (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \models f))$$

using assms by auto
have 2:  $(\exists ls. nfinite ls \wedge (nlength ls) = n \wedge nidx ls \wedge (nnth ls 0) = 0 \wedge (enat (nlast ls)) = (nlength (ndropn k \sigma)) \wedge nfinite(ndropn k \sigma) \wedge (\forall (i::nat). i < (nlength ls) \rightarrow ((nsubn (ndropn k \sigma) (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \models f)))$ 
using 1 by auto
obtain ls where 3:  $nfinite ls \wedge (nlength ls) = n \wedge nidx ls \wedge (nnth ls 0) = 0 \wedge (enat (nlast ls)) = (nlength (ndropn k \sigma)) \wedge nfinite(ndropn k \sigma) \wedge (\forall (i::nat). i < (nlength ls) \rightarrow ((nsubn (ndropn k \sigma) (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \models f))$ 
using 2 by auto
have 4:  $nidx (nmap (\lambda x. x + k) ls)$ 
using 3
by (simp add: nidx-expand)
have 41:  $\bigwedge j. j \leq nlength (nmap (\lambda x. x + k) ls) \rightarrow 0 < (nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x + k) ls) j)$ 
by (simp add: 1)
have 5:  $nidx (NCons 0 (nmap (\lambda x. x + k) ls))$ 

using 3 4 nidx-expand[of ls] nidx-expand[of (nmap (\lambda x. x + k) ls)]
by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 Suc-ile-eq diff-zero illess-Suc-eq le-add-diff-inverse lessI less-Suc-eq-0-disj nlength-NCons nlength-nmap nnth-0 nnth-Suc-NCons nnth-nmap)
have 6:  $(nlength ((NCons 0 (nmap (\lambda x. x + k) ls)))) = (Suc n)$ 
by (simp add: 3 eSuc-enat)
have 7:  $(enat (nlast ((NCons 0 (nmap (\lambda x. x + k) ls))))) = (nlength \sigma)$ 
by (metis 1 3 add.commute enat.distinct(2) enat-add-sub-same less-eqE ndropn-nlength nlast-NCons nlast-nmap plus-enat-simps(1))
have 8:  $(nsubn \sigma 0 k \models f)$ 
using 1 by auto

have 9:  $(\forall (i::nat). i < (nlength ls) \rightarrow ((nsubn (ndropn k \sigma) (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \models f))$ 
using 3 by auto
have 10:  $(\forall (i::nat). i < (nlength ls) \rightarrow ((nsubn \sigma ((nnth ls (i))+k) ((nnth ls ((i)+1))+k)) \models f))$ 
by (metis 3 Suc-ile-eq add.commute add.right-neutral nidx-less nsubn-ndropn plus-1-eq-Suc)

have 11:  $(\forall (i::nat). i < nlength (((nmap (\lambda x. x + k) ls)))) \rightarrow (nsubn \sigma (nnth (((nmap (\lambda x. x + k) ls)))) i) (nnth (((nmap (\lambda x. x + k) ls))) (Suc i)) \models f)$ 
by (metis 10 add.commute eSuc-enat ileI1 nlength-nmap nnth-nmap order-less-imp-le plus-1-eq-Suc)
have 12:  $(\forall i. (0 < i \wedge i < 1 + (nlength (nmap (\lambda x. x + k) ls)))) \rightarrow$ 

```

```

        ((nsubn σ ((nnth (nmap (λx. x+ k) ls) (i-1)))
          ((nnth (nmap (λx. x+ k) ls) ((i)))) ) ⊨ f)
      )
using 11
by (metis 3 Suc-diff-1 Suc-ile-eq eSuc-enat illess-Suc-eq nlength-nmap one-enat-def plus-1-eq-Suc
plus-enat-simps(1))
have 13: (∀ (i::nat). i < nlength ((NCons 0 ( (nmap (λx. x+ k) ls)))) —→
  (nsubn σ (nnth (((NCons 0 (nmap (λx. x+ k) ls)))) i)
    (nnth (((NCons 0 (nmap (λx. x+ k) ls)))) (Suc i)) ⊨ f))
using 12
using 1 11 3 6 less-Suc-eq-0-disj by auto

have 14: (nnth (NCons 0 (nmap (λx. x+ k) ls)) 0) = 0
by simp
have 15: (nnth (NCons 0 (nmap (λx. x+ k) ls)) (1)) = k
using 4 by (simp add: 3)
have 16: (nsubn σ (nnth (NCons 0 (nmap (λx. x+ k) ls)) 0) k ⊨ f)
by (simp add: 8)
have 17: nfinite (NCons 0 (nmap (λx. x+ k) ls))
using 6 nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD by blast
show ?thesis
by (metis 13 3 5 6 7 nfinite-NCons nfinite-ndropn nfinite-nmap nnth-0)
qed

```

lemma chop-wpower-equiv-sem:

$$(\sigma \models (\exists n. (wpower ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) n))) = \\ ((\sigma \models empty) \vee (\sigma \models ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite); (\exists n. (wpower ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) n))))$$
using wpowersem **by** fastforce

lemma aschopstar-equiv-wpower-chop-help:

$$(\sigma \models wpower ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) n) = \\ (\exists (l:: nat nellist). \\ (nlength l) = (n) \wedge nfinite l \wedge nidx l \wedge (nnth l 0) = 0 \wedge \\ (enat (nlast l)) = (nlength σ) \wedge nfinite σ \wedge \\ (\forall (i::nat). i < (nlength l) —→ \\ ((nsubn σ (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))) \models f) \\)) \\)$$

proof

(induct n arbitrary: σ)

case 0

then show ?case

by (auto simp add: empty-defs nidx-expand nnth-nlast zero-enat-def)

(metis eSuc-enat enat-0-iff(1) illess-Suc-eq leD nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nlast-NNil nlength-NNil nnth-NNil zero-le)

next

case (Suc n)

then show ?case

proof –

have $(\sigma \models wpower ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) (Suc n)) =$
 $(\sigma \models (((f \wedge more) \wedge finite);(wpower ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) n)))$

by simp

also have ... =

$$(\exists k. 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq nlength (\sigma) \wedge k > 0 \wedge
(n taken k (\sigma) \models f) \wedge
(ndropn k (\sigma) \models wpower ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) n)
)$$

using enat-0-iff(1) le-zero-eq **by** (auto simp add: more-defs chop-defs finite-defs)

also have ... =

$$(\exists k. 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq nlength (\sigma) \wedge k > 0 \wedge
(n subn \sigma 0 k \models f) \wedge
(ndropn k (\sigma) \models wpower ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) n)
)$$

by (metis One-nat-def Suc-diff-1 Suc-diff-Suc diff-add ndropn-0 ntaken-ndropn)

also have ... =

$$(\exists k. 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq nlength (\sigma) \wedge k > 0 \wedge
(n subn \sigma 0 k \models f) \wedge
(\exists l. nfinite l \wedge (nlength l) = n \wedge nidx l \wedge (nnth l 0) = 0 \wedge
(enat (nlast l)) = (nlength (ndropn k \sigma)) \wedge nfinite (ndropn k \sigma) \wedge
(\forall (i::nat). i < (nlength l) \longrightarrow
((n subn (ndropn k \sigma) (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))) \models f)
))
)$$

using Suc.hyps **by** blast

also have ... =

$$(\exists (l:: nat nellist).
(nlength l) = (Suc n) \wedge nfinite l \wedge nidx l \wedge (nnth l 0) = 0 \wedge
(enat (nlast l)) = (nlength \sigma) \wedge nfinite \sigma \wedge
(\forall (i::nat). i < (nlength l) \longrightarrow
((n subn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))) \models f)
))
)$$

using aschopstar-wpower-chain-a[of n \sigma f]

aschopstar-wpower-chain-b [of \sigma f n] **by** auto

finally show $(\sigma \models wpower ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) (Suc n)) =$

$(\exists (l:: nat nellist).$

$$(nlength l) = (Suc n) \wedge nfinite l \wedge nidx l \wedge (nnth l 0) = 0 \wedge
(enat (nlast l)) = (nlength \sigma) \wedge nfinite \sigma \wedge$$

$$(\forall (i::nat). i < (nlength l) \longrightarrow
((n subn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))) \models f)
)$$

) .

qed

qed

lemma aschopstar-equiv-power-chop:

```

 $(\sigma \models \text{aschopstar } f) = (\sigma \models (\exists k. \text{wpower } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) k))$ 
using nfinite-conv-nlength-enat by (simp add: aschopstar-d-def aschopstar-eqv-wpower-chop-help )
blast

```

lemma ASChopstarEqvSem:

```

 $(\sigma \models (\text{aschopstar } f = (\text{empty} \vee ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{aschopstar } f))))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $(\sigma \models \text{aschopstar } f) = (\sigma \models (\exists k. \text{wpower } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) k))$ 
  using aschopstar-eqv-power-chop by simp
  have 2:  $(\sigma \models (\exists k. \text{wpower } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) k)) =$ 
     $(\sigma \models \text{empty}) \vee (\sigma \models ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\exists n. \text{wpower } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) n))$ 
  using chop-wpower-eqv-sem by simp
  have 3:  $(\sigma \models ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\exists n. \text{wpower } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) n)) =$ 
     $(\exists n. n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge ((\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \models (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge$ 
       $((\text{ndropn } n \sigma) \models (\exists x. (\text{wpower } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) x)))$ 
  by (simp add: chop-defs finite-defs) blast
  have 4:  $(\exists n. n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge ((\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \models (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge$ 
     $((\text{ndropn } n \sigma) \models (\exists x. (\text{wpower } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) x))) =$ 
     $(\exists n. n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge ((\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \models (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge ((\text{ndropn } n \sigma) \models \text{aschopstar } f))$ 
  by (simp add: aschopstar-eqv-power-chop)
  have 5:  $(\exists n. n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge ((\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \models (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge ((\text{ndropn } n \sigma) \models \text{aschopstar } f)) =$ 
     $(\sigma \models ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{aschopstar } f))$ 
  by (simp add: chop-defs finite-defs) blast
  have 6:  $(\sigma \models \text{empty}) \vee (\sigma \models ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\exists n. \text{wpower } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) n)) =$ 
     $(\sigma \models (\text{empty} \vee ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{aschopstar } f)))$ 
  using 3 4 5 by auto
  show ?thesis using 1 2 6 by auto
qed

```

lemma ASChopstarEqvSChopstar:

```

 $\vdash (\text{aschopstar } f) = (\text{schopstar } f)$ 
by (simp add: Valid-def schopstar-d-def aschopstar-eqv-power-chop fpowerstar-d-def fpower-d-def)

```

lemma len-defs :

```

 $(w \models \text{len } n) = (\text{nlength } w = n)$ 
proof
  (simp add: len-d-def)
  show  $(w \models (\text{wpower } \text{skip } n)) = (\text{nlength } w = n)$ 
  proof (induct n arbitrary:w)
    case 0
    then show ?case by (simp add: empty-defs zero-enat-def)
    next
    case (Suc n)
    then show ?case
    by (auto simp add: min-def len-d-def empty-defs chop-defs skip-defs finite-defs nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD)
      (metis One-nat-def enat.distinct(2) enat-add-sub-same le-iff-add plus-1-eq-Suc plus-enat-simps(1))
    qed
qed

```

lemma *PowerstarEqvSemhelp1*:
 $\vdash \text{empty};(\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{inf})) = (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}))$
using *EmptyChopSem* **by** *blast*

lemma *PowerstarEqvSemhelp2*:
 $\vdash (f \wedge \text{inf}) = (f \wedge \text{inf});g$
by (*auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs*)

lemma *PowerstarEqvSemhelp3*:
 $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{inf});g \vee (f \wedge \text{finite});g) = (f ;g)$
by (*auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs*)

lemma *WPowerstarEqvSem*:
 $(\sigma \models (\text{wpowerstar } f) = (\text{empty} \vee f;(\text{wpowerstar } f)))$
by (*metis intD wpowersem wpowerstar-d-def*)

lemma *FPowerstarEqvSem*:
 $(\sigma \models (\text{fpowerstar } f) = (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{finite});(\text{fpowerstar } f)))$
by (*metis fpowersem fpowerstar-d-def intD*)

lemma *PowerstarEqvSem*:
 $(\sigma \models (\text{powerstar } f) = (\text{empty} \vee f;(\text{powerstar } f)))$
proof -
have 1: $(\sigma \models (\text{powerstar } f)) =$
 $(\sigma \models (\exists k. \text{fpower } f k);(\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf}))$
by (*simp add: powerstar-d-def fpowerstar-d-def*)
have 2: $(\sigma \models (\exists k. \text{fpower } f k);(\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf})) =$
 $(\sigma \models (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{finite});(\exists k. (\text{fpower } f k));(\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf})))$
using fpowersem by (*metis inteq-reflection*)
have 3: $(\sigma \models (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{finite});(\exists k. (\text{fpower } f k));(\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf}))) =$
 $(\sigma \models \text{empty};(\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{inf})) \vee$
 $((f \wedge \text{finite});(\exists k. (\text{fpower } f k));(\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf}))$
by (*metis OrChopEqv inteq-reflection*)
have 4: $(\sigma \models \text{empty};(\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{inf})) \vee$
 $((f \wedge \text{finite});(\exists k. (\text{fpower } f k));(\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf})) =$
 $(\sigma \models (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{inf})) \vee ((f \wedge \text{finite});(\exists k. (\text{fpower } f k));(\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf})))$
using PowerstarEqvSemhelp1
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) inteq-reflection unl-lift2*)
have 5: $(\sigma \models (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{inf})) \vee ((f \wedge \text{finite});(\exists k. (\text{fpower } f k));(\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf}))) =$
 $(\sigma \models (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{inf});((\exists k. (\text{fpower } f k));(\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf})) \vee$
 $((f \wedge \text{finite});(\exists k. (\text{fpower } f k));(\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf})))$
using PowerstarEqvSemhelp2

```

by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) inteq-reflection)
have 51: ( $\sigma \models ((f \wedge \text{finite}); (\exists k. (\text{fpower } f k))) ; (\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf}) =$ 
 $(f \wedge \text{finite}); ((\exists k. (\text{fpower } f k)); (\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf}))$ )
by (auto simp add: ChopAssocSemHelp1)
have 6: ( $\sigma \models (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}); ((\exists k. (\text{fpower } f k)); (\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf})) \vee$ 
 $((f \wedge \text{finite}); (\exists k. (\text{fpower } f k)); (\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf}))) =$ 
 $(\sigma \models (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}); ((\exists k. (\text{fpower } f k)); (\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf}))) \vee$ 
 $(f \wedge \text{finite}); ((\exists k. (\text{fpower } f k)); (\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf})))$ )
using 51 by auto
have 7 : ( $\sigma \models (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}); ((\exists k. (\text{fpower } f k)); (\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf}))) \vee$ 
 $(f \wedge \text{finite}); ((\exists k. (\text{fpower } f k)); (\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf}))) =$ 
 $(\sigma \models (\text{empty} \vee f; ((\exists k. (\text{fpower } f k)); (\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf}))))$ )
using PowerstarEqvSemhelp3 by fastforce
have 8: ( $\sigma \models (\text{empty} \vee f; ((\exists k. (\text{fpower } f k)); (\text{empty} \vee f \wedge \text{inf}))) =$ 
 $(\sigma \models (\text{empty} \vee f; (\text{powerstar } f)))$ )
by (simp add: powerstar-d-def fpowerstar-d-def)
from 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma wpowerchopsem:

$$\vdash (\exists k. \text{wpower } (f \wedge \text{more}) k) =$$

$$(\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{more}); (\exists k. (\text{wpower } (f \wedge \text{more}) k)))$$

$$)$$
by (simp add: wpowersem)

lemma powerchopsem:

$$\vdash (\exists k. \text{fpower } (f \wedge \text{more}) k) =$$

$$(\text{empty} \vee ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\exists k. (\text{fpower } (f \wedge \text{more}) k)))$$

$$)$$
using fpowersem **by** auto

lemma ChopstarEqvSem:

$$(\sigma \models f^* = (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{more}); f^*))$$
by (metis PowerstarEqvSem chopstar-d-def)

lemma SChopstarEqvSem:

$$(\sigma \models (\text{schopstar } f) = (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{more}) \leftarrow (\text{schopstar } f)))$$
by (metis FPowerstarEqvSem schop-d-def schopstar-d-def)

lemma ChopstarEqv :

$$\vdash f^* = (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{more}); f^*)$$
using ChopstarEqvSem Valid-def **by** blast

lemma IStarIntros:

$$\vdash \text{empty} \vee f; (\text{istar } f) \longrightarrow (\text{istar } f)$$
unfolding Valid-def **using** istar-d.intros **by** fastforce

```

lemma IStarCases:
  ( $w \models (\text{istar } F)$ )  $\implies$ 
  (( $w \models \text{empty} \vee (F; \text{istar } F)$ )  $\implies P$ )  $\implies P$ 
  using istar-d.cases[of  $F w P$ ] by auto

lemma IStarEqvIStarSem:
  ( $w \models (\text{istar } f)$ ) = ( $w \models \text{empty} \vee f; (\text{istar } f)$ )
  using IStarCases[of  $f$ ] by (metis istar-d.intros(1) istar-d.intros(2) unl-lift2)

lemma IStarEqvIStar:
   $\vdash (\text{istar } f) = (\text{empty} \vee f; (\text{istar } f))$ 
  using IStarEqvIStarSem[of  $f$ ] unfolding Valid-def by auto

lemma IStarInductSem:
  assumes ( $\bigwedge s. (s \models \text{empty}) \implies P s$ )
  ( $\bigwedge s. (s \models (F; ((\text{istar } F) \wedge P))) \implies P s$ )
  shows ( $w \models (\text{istar } F) \longrightarrow P$ )
  using assms istar-d.induct[of  $F w P$ ]
  chop-defs[of  $F$  LIFT  $((\text{istar } F) \wedge P)$ ]
  unfolding chop-d-def by (metis intensional-rews(3))

lemma IStarInduct:
  assumes  $\vdash \text{empty} \vee f; ((\text{istar } f) \wedge g) \longrightarrow g$ 
  shows  $\vdash (\text{istar } f) \longrightarrow g$ 
  using assms IStarInductSem[of  $g$ ] unfolding Valid-def by (metis intensional-rews(3))

lemma IStarWeakInductSem:
  assumes ( $\bigwedge s. (s \models \text{empty}) \implies P s$ )
  ( $\bigwedge s. (s \models (F; P)) \implies P s$ )
  shows ( $w \models (\text{istar } F) \longrightarrow P$ )
  using assms istar-d.induct[of  $F w P$ ] using chop-defs[of  $F P$ ]
  chop-defs[of  $F$  LIFT  $((\text{istar } F) \wedge P)$ ] unfolding chop-d-def
  by (metis intensional-rews(3))

lemma IStarWeakInduct:
  assumes  $\vdash \text{empty} \vee f; g \longrightarrow g$ 
  shows  $\vdash (\text{istar } f) \longrightarrow g$ 
  using assms IStarWeakInductSem[of  $g$ ] unfolding Valid-def
  by (metis intensional-rews(3))

```

8.3 Helper lemmas

```

lemma AndEmptyChopAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty:
   $\vdash (f \wedge \text{empty}); (f \wedge \text{empty}) = (f \wedge \text{empty})$ 
  proof -
    have 1:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{empty}); (f \wedge \text{empty}) \longrightarrow (f \wedge \text{empty})$ 

```

```

by (metis ChopAndB ChopEmpty int-eq)
have 2:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{empty}) \longrightarrow (f \wedge \text{empty});(f \wedge \text{empty})$ 
by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs zero-enat-def)
  (metis iless-Suc-eq less-numeral-extra(1) ntake-0 ntake-all one-eSuc zero-enat-def)
show ?thesis
  by (simp add: 1 2 int-iffI)
qed

```

8.4 Properties of Chopstar and Chopplus

```

lemma FPowerstardef:
 $\vdash \text{fpowerstar } f = (\exists n. \text{fpower } f n)$ 
by (simp add: fpowerstar-d-def)

```

```

lemma Powerstardef:
 $\vdash \text{powerstar } f = (\text{fpowerstar } f);(\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}))$ 
by (simp add: fpowerstar-d-def powerstar-d-def)

```

```

lemma Chopstardef:
 $\vdash \text{chopstar } f = \text{powerstar } (f \wedge \text{more})$ 
by (simp add: chopstar-d-def)

```

```

lemma SChopstardef:
 $\vdash \text{schopstar } f = \text{fpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{more})$ 
by (simp add: schopstar-d-def)

```

```

lemma WPowerEqRule:
assumes  $\vdash f = g$ 
shows  $\vdash \text{wpower } f n = \text{wpower } g n$ 
using assms
by (metis int-eq int-simps(20))

```

```

lemma WPowerCommute:
 $\vdash (f) ; (\text{wpower } f n) = (\text{wpower } f n);(f)$ 
proof
  (induct n)
  case 0
  then show ?case
  by (metis ChopEmpty EmptyChop inteq-reflection wpow-0)
  next
  case (Suc n)
  then show ?case
  by (metis ChopAssoc inteq-reflection wpow-Suc)
qed

```

```

lemma FPowerCommute:
 $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) ; \text{fpower } f n = \text{fpower } f n;(f \wedge \text{finite})$ 
unfolding fpower-d-def using WPowerCommute[of LIFT (f \wedge finite)]

```

by *blast*

```
lemma WPowerChopInductL:
assumes ⊢ g ∨ f;h → h
shows ⊢ (wpower f n);g → h
using assms
proof
(induct n)
case 0
then show ?case using EmptyChop
by (metis MP Prop12 int-eq int-iffD1 int-simps(33) wpow-0)
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
by (metis ChopAssoc Prop05 Prop11 RightChopImpChop lift-imp-trans wpow-Suc)
qed

lemma FPowerChopInductL:
assumes ⊢ g ∨ f;h → h
shows ⊢ (fpower f n);g → h
unfolding fpower-d-def using assms WPowerChopInductL[of g LIFT (f ∧ finite) h n]
using AndChopA by fastforce

lemma FPowerChopInductFiniteL:
assumes ⊢ g ∨ (f ∧ finite);h → h
shows ⊢ (fpower f n);g → h
unfolding fpower-d-def using assms WPowerChopInductL[of g LIFT (f ∧ finite) h n]
by blast

lemma WPowerChopInductMoreL:
assumes ⊢ g ∨ (f ∧ more);h → h
shows ⊢ (wpower f n);g → h
using assms
proof
(induct n)
case 0
then show ?case
by (metis WPowerChopInductL wpow-0)
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
proof -
have 1: ⊢ wpower f (Suc n);g = (f;wpower f n);g
  by simp
have 2: ⊢ (f;wpower f n);g = f;((wpower f n);g)
  by (meson ChopAssoc Prop11)
have 3: ⊢ f;((wpower f n);g) → f;h
  using RightChopImpChop Suc.hyps Suc.prefs by blast
have 31: ⊢ f = ((f ∧ more) ∨ (f ∧ empty))
  unfolding empty-d-def by fastforce
```

```

have 4:  $\vdash f;h = ((f \wedge more));h \vee ((f \wedge empty));h$ 
  using 31 OrChopEqvRule by blast
have 5:  $\vdash (f \wedge more);h \longrightarrow h$ 
  by (metis Prop03 Prop10 assms inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans)
have 6:  $\vdash (f \wedge empty);h \longrightarrow h$ 
  by (metis AndChopB EmptyChop inteq-reflection )
from 5 6 4 3 2 1 show ?thesis
  by (metis Prop02 inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma FPowerChopInductFiniteMoreL:
assumes  $\vdash g \vee ((f \wedge finite) \wedge more);h \longrightarrow h$ 
shows  $\vdash (fpower f n);g \longrightarrow h$ 
unfolding fpower-d-def using assms
  WPowerChopInductMoreL[of g LIFT (f \wedge finite) h n]
by blast

```

```

lemma FPowerChopInductInfL:
assumes  $\vdash g \vee f;h \longrightarrow h$ 
shows  $\vdash ((fpower f n);(f \wedge inf));g \longrightarrow h$ 
using assms
proof
  (induct n)
  case 0
  then show ?case
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) AndInfChopEqvAndInf ChopAssoc FPowerChopInductFiniteL PowerstarEqvSemhelp3
    Prop03 Prop10 Prop12 inteq-reflection)
  next
  case (Suc n)
  then show ?case
  proof -
    have  $\vdash (f \wedge finite);(fpower f n;((f \wedge inf);g)) = (fpower f (Suc n);(f \wedge inf));g$ 
    by (metis ChopAssoc fpower-d-def int-eq wpow-Suc)
    then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) AndChopA ChopAndB ChopAssoc Prop03 Prop10 Suc assms int-eq lift-imp-trans)

  qed
qed

```

```

lemma FChopInductInfMoreL:
assumes  $\vdash g \vee f;h \longrightarrow h$ 
shows  $\vdash ((fpower f n);((f \wedge more) \wedge inf));g \longrightarrow h$ 
using FPowerChopInductInfL
by (metis AndMoreAndInfEqvAndInf assms inteq-reflection)

```

```

lemma WPowerChopInductR:

```

```

assumes  $\vdash g \vee h; f \longrightarrow h$ 
shows  $\vdash g; (w\text{power } f n) \longrightarrow h$ 
using assms
proof
  (induct n)
  case 0
  then show ?case using ChopEmpty
  by (metis MP Prop12 int-iffD2 int-simps(33) inteq-reflection wpow-0)
  next
  case (Suc n)
  then show ?case
  by (metis AndChopB ChopAssoc Prop03 Prop10 WPowerCommute inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans wpow-Suc)
qed

```

```

lemma FPowerChopInductR:
assumes  $\vdash g \vee h; f \longrightarrow h$ 
shows  $\vdash g; (f\text{power } f n) \longrightarrow h$ 
unfolding fpower-d-def using assms WPowerChopInductR[of g h LIFT (f  $\wedge$  finite) n]
using ChopAndA by fastforce

```

```

lemma FpowerChopInductInfR:
assumes  $\vdash g \vee h; f \longrightarrow h$ 
shows  $\vdash g; ((f\text{power } f n); (f \wedge \text{inf})) \longrightarrow h$ 
using assms
by (metis ChopAndA ChopAssoc FPowerChopInductR LeftChopImpChop Prop05 int-iffD1 lift-imp-trans)

```

```

lemma WPowerStarCommute:
 $\vdash f; (\exists n. w\text{power } f n) = (\exists n. w\text{power } f n); f$ 
proof –
  have 1:  $\vdash f; (\exists n. w\text{power } f n) = (\exists n. f; w\text{power } f n)$ 
  by (metis ChopExist Prop11)
  have 2:  $\vdash (\exists n. f; w\text{power } f n) = (\exists n. (w\text{power } f n); f)$ 
  using WPowerCommute by (metis ExEqvRule)
  have 3:  $\vdash (\exists n. (w\text{power } f n); f) = (\exists n. (w\text{power } f n)); f$ 
  by (simp add: ExistChop)
  from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma FPowerStarCommute:
 $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}); (\exists n. f\text{power } f n) = (\exists n. f\text{power } f n); (f \wedge \text{finite})$ 
unfolding fpower-d-def using WPowerStarCommute[of LIFT (f  $\wedge$  finite)]
by blast

```

```

lemma WPowerSucAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty:
 $\vdash (w\text{power } (f \wedge \text{empty}) (Suc n)) = (f \wedge \text{empty})$ 
proof
  (induct n)
  case 0
  then show ?case

```

```

by (metis ChopEmpty wpow-0 wpow-Suc)
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
by (metis AndEmptyChopAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty int-eq wpow-Suc)
qed

lemma FPowerSucAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty:
 $\vdash (f \text{power} (f \wedge \text{empty}) (\text{Suc } n)) = (f \wedge \text{empty})$ 
unfolding fpower-d-def using WPowerSucAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty[of LIFT (f  $\wedge$  finite) n]
WPowerEqRule[of LIFT ((f  $\wedge$  empty)  $\wedge$  finite) LIFT ((f  $\wedge$  finite)  $\wedge$  empty) (Suc n)]
by (meson EmptyImpFinite Prop01 Prop04 Prop05 Prop10 WPowerEqRule WPowerSucAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty)

lemma WPowerOr:
 $\vdash (wpower (f \vee g) (\text{Suc } n)) = ((f; wpower (f \vee g) n) \vee (g; wpower (f \vee g) n))$ 
by (simp add: OrChopEqv)

lemma FPowerOr:
 $\vdash (f \text{power} (f \vee g) (\text{Suc } n)) = (((f \wedge \text{finite}); f \text{power} (f \vee g) n) \vee$ 
 $\quad ((g \wedge \text{finite}); f \text{power} (f \vee g) n))$ 
by (simp add: FiniteOr OrChopEqvRule fpower-d-def)

lemma WPowerEmptyOrMore:
 $\vdash (wpower ((f \wedge \text{empty}) \vee (f \wedge \text{more})) (\text{Suc } n)) =$ 
 $\quad (((f \wedge \text{empty}); (wpower ((f \wedge \text{empty}) \vee (f \wedge \text{more})) n)) \vee$ 
 $\quad ((f \wedge \text{more}); (wpower ((f \wedge \text{empty}) \vee (f \wedge \text{more})) n)))$ 
using WPowerOr by blast

lemma FPowerEmptyOrMore:
 $\vdash (f \text{power} ((f \wedge \text{empty}) \vee (f \wedge \text{more})) (\text{Suc } n)) =$ 
 $\quad (((f \wedge \text{empty}); (f \text{power} ((f \wedge \text{empty}) \vee (f \wedge \text{more})) n)) \vee$ 
 $\quad ((f \wedge \text{more}); (f \text{power} ((f \wedge \text{empty}) \vee (f \wedge \text{more})) n)))$ 
using FPowerOr[of LIFT (f  $\wedge$  empty) LIFT (f  $\wedge$  more) n]
by (metis (no-types, lifting) AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore EmptyImpFinite Prop01 Prop05 Prop10
int-eq)

lemma WPowerstarInductL:
assumes  $\vdash g \vee f; h \rightarrow h$ 
shows  $\vdash (wpowerstar f); g \rightarrow h$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (wpowerstar f); g = ((\exists n. wpower (f) n)); g$ 
by (simp add: wpowerstar-d-def LeftChopEqvChop)
have 2:  $\vdash (\exists n. wpower (f) n); g =$ 
 $\quad (\exists n. (wpower (f) n); g)$ 
by (metis ExistChop inteq-reflection)
have 3:  $\bigwedge n. \vdash (wpower (f) n); g \rightarrow h$ 
using WPowerChopInductL[of g LIFT(f) h] assms by auto
have 4:  $\vdash (\exists n. ((wpower (f) n)); g) \rightarrow h$ 

```

```

by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) 3 Prop10 intI int-eq unl-Rex unl-lift2)
from 1 2 4 show ?thesis
  by (metis inteq-reflection)
qed

```

lemma FPowerstar-WPowerstar:

$$\vdash \text{fpowerstar } f = \text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite})$$

unfolding fpowerstar-d-def wpowerstar-d-def fpower-d-def by simp

lemma FPowerstarInductL:

assumes $\vdash g \vee (f \wedge \text{finite}); h \rightarrow h$

shows $\vdash (\text{fpowerstar } f); g \rightarrow h$

using assms WPowerstarInductL[of g LIFT (f \wedge finite) h]
FPowerstar-WPowerstar[of f] by (metis int-eq)

lemma WPowerstarInductR:

assumes $\vdash g \vee h; f \rightarrow h$

shows $\vdash g; (\text{wpowerstar } f) \rightarrow h$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash g; (\text{wpowerstar } f) = g; (\exists n. \text{wpower } f n)$
 by (simp add: wpowerstar-d-def)

have 2: $\vdash (g; (\exists n. \text{wpower } f n)) = (\exists n. g; (\text{wpower } f n))$
 by (metis Prop04 ChopExist int-simps(31))

have 3: $\bigwedge n. \vdash g; (\text{wpower } f n) \rightarrow h$
 using WPowerChopInductR assms by blast

have 4: $\vdash (\exists n. g; (\text{wpower } f n)) \rightarrow h$
 by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) 3 Prop10 intI int-eq unl-Rex unl-lift2)

from 1 2 4 show ?thesis by (metis inteq-reflection)

qed

lemma FPowerstarInductR:

assumes $\vdash g \vee h; f \rightarrow h$

shows $\vdash g; (\text{fpowerstar } f) \rightarrow h$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash g \vee h; (f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow h$
 using assms using ChopAndA by fastforce

show ?thesis

using 1 WPowerstarInductR[of g h LIFT (f \wedge finite)]
FPowerstar-WPowerstar[of f]
by (metis inteq-reflection)

qed

lemma WPowerstarEqv :

$$\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } f) = (\text{empty} \vee f; (\text{wpowerstar } f))$$

using WPowerstarEqvSem by blast

lemma FPowerstarEqv :

$$\vdash (\text{fpowerstar } f) = (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{fpowerstar } f))$$

by (simp add: fpowersem fpowerstar-d-def)

lemma *SChopstarEqv* :

$$\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) = (\text{empty} \vee ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{schopstar } f))$$

by (*simp add: FPowerstarEqv schopstar-d-def*)

lemma *WPowerstar-more-absorb*:

$$\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{more})) = (\text{wpowerstar } f)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{more})) \rightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } f)$

using *WPowerstarInductL*[of *LIFT empty LIFT f* \wedge *more LIFT (wpowerstar f)*]

by (*metis AndChopA ChopEmpty Prop02 Prop05 WPowerChopInductL WPowerstarEqv int-iffD2 in-teq-reflection wpow-0*)

have 2: $\vdash \text{empty} \rightarrow \text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{more})$

using *WPowerstarEqv*[of *LIFT f* \wedge *more*] **by** *fastforce*

have 20: $\vdash (f \wedge \text{more}); \text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{more}) \rightarrow \text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{more})$

by (*meson Prop03 WPowerstarEqv*)

have 21: $\vdash (f \wedge \text{empty}); \text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{more}) \rightarrow \text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{more})$

by (*metis AndChopB EmptyChop int-eq*)

have 22: $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{more}) \vee (f \wedge \text{empty})); \text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{more}) = ((f \wedge \text{more}); \text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{more}) \vee (f \wedge \text{empty}); \text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{more}))$

by (*simp add: OrChopEqv*)

have 23: $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{more}) \vee (f \wedge \text{empty})) = f$

unfolding *empty-d-def* **by** *fastforce*

have 3: $\vdash f; \text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{more}) \rightarrow \text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{more})$

by (*metis 20 21 22 23 Prop02 ineq-reflection*)

have 4: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee f; \text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{more}) \rightarrow \text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{more})$

using 2 3 **by** *fastforce*

have 5: $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } f) \rightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{more}))$

using 4 *WPowerstarInductL*[of *LIFT empty f LIFT (wpowerstar (f \wedge more))*]

by (*metis ChopEmpty ineq-reflection*)

show ?thesis **using** 1 5 **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *FPowerstar-more-absorb*:

$$\vdash (\text{fpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{more})) = (\text{fpowerstar } f)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) = ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{more})$

by *fastforce*

show ?thesis

using *FPowerstar-WPowerstar*[of *f*] *FPowerstar-WPowerstar*[of *LIFT (f \wedge more)*]

WPowerstar-more-absorb[of *LIFT (f \wedge finite)*] 1

by (*metis int-eq*)

qed

lemma *SChopstar-WPowerstar*:

$$\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) = (\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite}))$$

by (*metis FPowerstar-WPowerstar FPowerstar-more-absorb ineq-reflection schopstar-d-def*)

lemma *SChopstar-and-more*:

$$\vdash (\text{schopstar } (f \wedge \text{more})) = (\text{schopstar } f)$$

by (*simp add: FPowerstar-more-absorb schopstar-d-def*)

lemma *IStarWPowerstar*:

$\vdash (\text{istar } f) = (\text{wpowerstar } f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{istar } f) \longrightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } f)$

by (*metis IStarWeakInduct WPowerstarEqv int-iffD2*)

have 2: $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } f) \longrightarrow (\text{istar } f)$

using *WPowerstarInductL*[of *LIFT empty f LIFT istar f*]

by (*metis ChopEmpty IStarIntros inteq-reflection*)

show ?*thesis*

by (*simp add: 1 2 Prop11*)

qed

8.5 Kleene Algebra

lemma *WPowerstar-imp-empty*:

$\vdash \text{empty} \longrightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } f)$

using *WPowerstarEqv*[of *f*] **by** *fastforce*

lemma *SChopstar-imp-empty*:

$\vdash \text{empty} \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } f)$

using *SChopstarEqv*[of *f*] **by** *fastforce*

lemma *WPowerstar-swap*:

$\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } (f \vee g)) = (\text{wpowerstar } (g \vee f))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \vee g) = (g \vee f)$

by *fastforce*

show ?*thesis*

by (*metis 1 WPowerstarEqv inteq-reflection*)

qed

lemma *SChopstar-swap*:

$\vdash (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g)) = (\text{schopstar } (g \vee f))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \vee g) = (g \vee f)$

by *fastforce*

show ?*thesis*

by (*metis 1 SChopstarDef int-eq*)

qed

lemma *WPowerstar-1L*:

$\vdash f;(\text{wpowerstar } f) \longrightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } f)$

by (*meson Prop03 WPowerstarEqv*)

lemma *SChopstar-1L*:

$\vdash (f) \sim (\text{schopstar } f) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } f)$

by (*metis SChopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-1L inteq-reflection schop-d-def*)

```

lemma SChopstarMore-1L:
   $\vdash (f \wedge more) \rightsquigarrow (schopstar f) \longrightarrow (schopstar f)$ 
  by (meson AndSChopA SChopstar-1L lift-imp-trans)

lemma WPowerstar-trans-eq:
   $\vdash (wpowerstar f);(wpowerstar f) = (wpowerstar f)$ 
  proof -
    have 1:  $\vdash (wpowerstar f) \vee f;(wpowerstar f) \longrightarrow (wpowerstar f)$ 
      by (simp add: WPowerstar-1L)
    have 2:  $\vdash (wpowerstar f);(wpowerstar f) \longrightarrow (wpowerstar f)$ 
      using 1 WPowerstarInductL by blast
    have 3:  $\vdash (wpowerstar f) \longrightarrow (wpowerstar f);(wpowerstar f)$ 
      by (metis AndChopB EmptyChop Prop10 WPowerstar-imp-empty inteq-reflection)
    show ?thesis
      by (simp add: 2 3 int-iffI)
  qed

lemma SChopstar-trans-eq:
   $\vdash (schopstar f);(schopstar f) = (schopstar f)$ 
  by (metis SChopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-trans-eq inteq-reflection)

lemma WPowerstar-trans:
   $\vdash (wpowerstar f);(wpowerstar f) \longrightarrow (wpowerstar f)$ 
  using WPowerstar-trans-eq by fastforce

lemma SChopstar-trans:
   $\vdash (schopstar f);(schopstar f) \longrightarrow (schopstar f)$ 
  using SChopstar-trans-eq by fastforce

lemma WPowerstar-induct-lvar:
  assumes  $\vdash f;g \longrightarrow g$ 
  shows  $\vdash (wpowerstar f);g \longrightarrow g$ 
  using assms
  by (simp add: WPowerstarInductL)

lemma SChopstar-induct-lvar:
  assumes  $\vdash (f) \rightsquigarrow g \longrightarrow g$ 
  shows  $\vdash (schopstar f) \rightsquigarrow g \longrightarrow g$ 
  using assms
  by (metis AndChopA SChopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-induct-lvar inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans schop-d-def)

lemma SChopstarMore-induct-lvar:
  assumes  $\vdash (f \wedge more) \rightsquigarrow g \longrightarrow g$ 
  shows  $\vdash (schopstar f) \rightsquigarrow g \longrightarrow g$ 
  using assms
  by (metis FPowerstar-WPowerstar SChopstar-induct-lvar SChopstar-WPowerstar inteq-reflection schop-star-d-def)

lemma WPowerstar-inductL-var-equiv:

```

```

( $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f); g \rightarrow g$ ) = ( $\vdash f; g \rightarrow g$ )
proof -
  have 1: ( $\vdash f; g \rightarrow g$ )  $\implies$  ( $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f); g \rightarrow g$ )
    by (simp add: WPowerstar-induct-lvar)
  have 2: ( $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f); g \rightarrow g$ )  $\implies$  ( $\vdash f; g \rightarrow g$ )
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) AndChopB ChopAssoc EmptyChop Prop10 WPowerstar-1L
      WPowerstar-imp-empty int-eq lift-and-com)
  show ?thesis
  using 1 2 by blast
qed

```

```

lemma SChopstar-inductL-var-equiv:
( $\vdash (schopstar f) \sim g \rightarrow g$ ) = ( $\vdash (f) \sim g \rightarrow g$ )
proof -
  have 1: ( $\vdash (f) \sim g \rightarrow g$ )  $\implies$  ( $\vdash (schopstar f) \sim g \rightarrow g$ )
    by (simp add: SChopstar-induct-lvar)
  have 10:  $\vdash (schopstar f) \rightarrow (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee f \sim (schopstar f))$ 
    by (metis AndSChopA FPowerstarEqv Prop05 Prop08 Prop11 schop-d-def schopstar-d-def)
  have 101:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow (schopstar f)$ 
    by (metis ChopEmpty RightChopImpChop SChopstar-1L SChopstar-imp-empty int-eq lift-imp-trans schop-d-def)
  have 11:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee f \sim (schopstar f)) \rightarrow (schopstar f)$ 
    by (meson 101 Prop02 SChopstar-1L SChopstar-imp-empty)
  have 12:  $\vdash (schopstar f) = (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee f \sim (schopstar f))$ 
    using 10 11 int-iffI by blast
  have 2: ( $\vdash (schopstar f) \sim g \rightarrow g$ )  $\implies$  ( $\vdash (f) \sim g \rightarrow g$ )
    using 12
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) EmptySChop LeftSChopImpSChop SChopAssoc SChopstar-1L
      SChopstar-imp-empty int-iffI inteq-reflection)
  show ?thesis
  using 1 2 by blast
qed

```

```

lemma SChopstarMore-induct-lvar-equiv:
( $\vdash (schopstar f) \sim g \rightarrow g$ ) = ( $\vdash (f \wedge \text{more}) \sim g \rightarrow g$ )
using SChopstar-inductL-var-equiv[of LIFT f  $\wedge$  more g]
SChopstar-and-more[of f] by (metis int-eq)

```

```

lemma WPowerstar-induct-lvar-eq:
assumes  $\vdash f; g = g$ 
shows  $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f); g \rightarrow g$ 
using assms
using WPowerstar-induct-lvar int-iffD1 by blast

```

```

lemma SChopstar-induct-lvar-eq:
assumes  $\vdash (f) \sim g = g$ 
shows  $\vdash (schopstar f) \sim g \rightarrow g$ 
using assms
using SChopstar-induct-lvar int-iffD1 by blast

```

```

lemma SChopstarMore-induct-lvar-eq:
  assumes  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{more}) \rightsquigarrow g = g$ 
  shows  $\vdash (\text{sChopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow g \longrightarrow g$ 
  using assms SChopstar-induct-lvar-eq[of LIFT f  $\wedge$  more g] SChopstar-and-more[of f] by (metis int-eq)

lemma WPowerstar-induct-lvar-eq2:
  assumes  $\vdash f; g = g$ 
  shows  $\vdash (\text{wPowerstar } f); g = g$ 
  using assms
  by (meson ChopImpChop EmptyChop Prop11 WPowerstar-imp-empty WPowerstar-induct-lvar-eq lift-imp-trans)

lemma SChopstar-induct-lvar-eq2:
  assumes  $\vdash (f) \rightsquigarrow g = g$ 
  shows  $\vdash (\text{sChopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow g = g$ 
  using assms
  by (metis AndSChopB EmptySChop Prop10 SChopstar-imp-empty SChopstar-induct-lvar int-eq int-iffD1
    int-iffI)

lemma SChopstarMore-induct-lvar-eq2:
  assumes  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{more}) \rightsquigarrow g = g$ 
  shows  $\vdash (\text{sChopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow g = g$ 
  using assms SChopstar-induct-lvar-eq2[of LIFT f  $\wedge$  more g] SChopstar-and-more[of f] by (metis int-eq)

lemma WPowerstar-induct-lvar-empty:
  assumes  $\vdash \text{empty} \vee f ; g \longrightarrow g$ 
  shows  $\vdash (\text{wPowerstar } f) \longrightarrow g$ 
  using assms
  by (metis ChopEmpty WPowerstarInductL inteq-reflection)

lemma SChopstar-induct-lvar-empty:
  assumes  $\vdash \text{empty} \vee (f) \rightsquigarrow g \longrightarrow g$ 
  shows  $\vdash (\text{sChopstar } f) \longrightarrow g$ 
  using assms
  by (metis FPowerstar-WPowerstar FPowerstar-more-absorb WPowerstar-induct-lvar-empty inteq-reflection
    schop-d-def sChopstar-d-def)

lemma SChopstarMore-induct-lvar-empty:
  assumes  $\vdash \text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{more}) \rightsquigarrow g \longrightarrow g$ 
  shows  $\vdash (\text{sChopstar } f) \longrightarrow g$ 
  using assms SChopstar-induct-lvar-empty[of LIFT f  $\wedge$  more g] SChopstar-and-more[of f] by (metis int-eq)

lemma WPowerstar-induct-lvar-star:
  assumes  $\vdash f ; (\text{wPowerstar } g) \longrightarrow (\text{wPowerstar } g)$ 
  shows  $\vdash (\text{wPowerstar } f) \longrightarrow (\text{wPowerstar } g)$ 
  using assms
  by (meson Prop02 WPowerstar-imp-empty WPowerstar-induct-lvar-empty)

```

```

lemma SChopstar-induct-lvar-star:
  assumes  $\vdash (f) \sim (schopstar g) \rightarrow (schopstar g)$ 
  shows  $\vdash (schopstar f) \rightarrow (schopstar g)$ 
  using assms
  by (meson Prop02 SChopstar-imp-empty SChopstar-induct-lvar-empty)

lemma SChopstarMore-induct-lvar-star:
  assumes  $\vdash (f \wedge more) \sim (schopstar g) \rightarrow (schopstar g)$ 
  shows  $\vdash (schopstar f) \rightarrow (schopstar g)$ 
  using assms using SChopstar-induct-lvar-star[of LIFT f ∧ more g] SChopstar-and-more[of f] by (metis int-eq)

lemma WPowerstar-induct-leq:
  assumes  $\vdash (h \vee f; g) = g$ 
  shows  $\vdash (wpowerstar f); h \rightarrow g$ 
  using assms
  using WPowerstarInductL int-iffD1 by blast

lemma SChopstar-induct-leq:
  assumes  $\vdash (h \vee (f) \sim g) = g$ 
  shows  $\vdash (schopstar f) \sim h \rightarrow g$ 
  using assms
  by (metis AndChopA SChopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-induct-leq integ-reflection lift-imp-trans schop-d-def)

lemma SChopstarMore-induct-leq:
  assumes  $\vdash (h \vee (f \wedge more) \sim g) = g$ 
  shows  $\vdash (schopstar f) \sim h \rightarrow g$ 
  using assms SChopstar-induct-leq[of h LIFT f ∧ more g] SChopstar-and-more[of f] by (metis int-eq)

lemma WPowerstar-subid:
  assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow empty$ 
  shows  $\vdash (wpowerstar f) = empty$ 
  using assms
  by (meson ChopEmpty Prop02 Prop11 WPowerstar-imp-empty WPowerstar-induct-lvar-empty lift-imp-trans)

lemma SChopstar-subid:
  assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow empty$ 
  shows  $\vdash (schopstar f) = empty$ 
  using assms
  by (metis EmptyImpFinite FPowerstar-WPowerstar FPowerstar-more-absorb Prop10 WPowerstar-subid int-eq
       lift-imp-trans schopstar-d-def)

lemma WPowerstar-subdist:
   $\vdash (wpowerstar f) \rightarrow (wpowerstar (f \vee g))$ 

```

proof -

have 1: $\vdash f; (w\text{powerstar} (f \vee g)) \rightarrow (f \vee g); (w\text{powerstar} (f \vee g))$
using *OrChopEqv* **by** *fastforce*
have 2: $\vdash (f \vee g); (w\text{powerstar} (f \vee g)) \rightarrow (w\text{powerstar} (f \vee g))$
by (*simp add: WPowerstar-1L*)
show *?thesis*
using 1 2 *WPowerstar-induct-lvar-star lift-imp-trans* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *SChopstar-subdist*:

$\vdash (schopstar f) \rightarrow (schopstar (f \vee g))$
by (*metis FPowerstar-WPowerstar FPowerstar-more-absorb FiniteOr WPowerstar-subdist int-eq schopstar-d-def*)

lemma *WPowerstar-subdist-var*:

$\vdash (w\text{powerstar} f) \vee (w\text{powerstar} g) \rightarrow (w\text{powerstar} (f \vee g))$
by (*metis Prop02 WPowerstar-subdist WPowerstar-swap inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopstar-subdist-var*:

$\vdash (schopstar f) \vee (schopstar g) \rightarrow (schopstar (f \vee g))$
by (*metis Prop02 SChopstar-subdist SChopstar-swap inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-iso*:

assumes $\vdash f \rightarrow g$
shows $\vdash (w\text{powerstar} f) \rightarrow (w\text{powerstar} g)$
by (*metis AndChopB Prop05 Prop10 WPowerstar-induct-lvar-star WPowerstarEqv assms inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopstar-iso*:

assumes $\vdash f \rightarrow g$
shows $\vdash (schopstar f) \rightarrow (schopstar g)$
using *assms*
by (*metis AndSChopB Prop10 SChopstar-1L SChopstar-induct-lvar-star inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *WPowerstar-involutivity*:

$\vdash (w\text{powerstar} (w\text{powerstar} f)) = (w\text{powerstar} f)$

proof -

have 1: $\vdash (w\text{powerstar} f); (w\text{powerstar} f) = (w\text{powerstar} f)$
by (*simp add: WPowerstar-trans-eq*)
have 2: $\vdash (w\text{powerstar} (w\text{powerstar} f)) \rightarrow (w\text{powerstar} f)$
using 1 *WPowerstar-induct-lvar-star int-iffD1* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash (w\text{powerstar} (w\text{powerstar} f)); (w\text{powerstar} (w\text{powerstar} f)) \rightarrow (w\text{powerstar} (w\text{powerstar} f))$
by (*simp add: WPowerstar-trans*)
have 4: $\vdash f; (w\text{powerstar} (w\text{powerstar} f)) \rightarrow (w\text{powerstar} (w\text{powerstar} f))$
using *WPowerstar-1L WPowerstar-inductL-var-equiv* **by** *blast*
have 5: $\vdash (w\text{powerstar} f) \rightarrow (w\text{powerstar} (w\text{powerstar} f))$
by (*simp add: 4 WPowerstar-induct-lvar-star*)
show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: 2 5 Prop11*)
qed

lemma *SChopstar-invol*:
 $\vdash (\text{schopstar} (\text{schopstar } f)) = (\text{schopstar } f)$
by (meson Prop11 SChopstar-1L SChopstar-inductL-var-equiv SChopstar-induct-lvar-star)

lemma *WPowerstar-star2*:
 $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar} (\text{empty} \vee f)) = (\text{wpowerstar } f)$
by (meson EmptyOrChopEqv Prop02 Prop03 Prop11 WPowerstar-imp-empty WPowerstar-induct-lvar-empty
 $\quad \text{WPowerstarEqv lift-imp-trans}$)

lemma *SChopstar-star2*:
 $\vdash (\text{schopstar} (\text{empty} \vee f)) = (\text{schopstar } f)$
by (metis EmptyImpFinite FiniteOr Prop10 SChopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-star2 int-eq)

lemma *Chop-WPowerstar-Closure*:
assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } h)$
 $\quad \vdash g \longrightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } h)$
shows $\vdash f;g \longrightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } h)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash g \vee (\text{wpowerstar } h); (\text{wpowerstar } h) \longrightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } h)$
by (metis Prop02 WPowerstar-1L WPowerstar-induct-lvar assms(2))
have 2: $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } h); g \longrightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } h)$
by (meson Prop02 WPowerstarInductL WPowerstar-1L assms(2))
have 3: $\vdash f;g \longrightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } h); g$
by (simp add: LeftChopImpChop assms(1))
show ?thesis
using 2 3 lift-imp-trans **by** blast
qed

lemma *SChop-SChopstar-Closure*:
assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } h)$
 $\quad \vdash g \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } h)$
shows $\vdash f \sim g \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } h)$
using assms
by (metis AndSChopA Prop10 SChopAndB SChopstarMore-1L SChopstarMore-induct-lvar inteq-reflection
lift-and-com lift-imp-trans)

lemma *WPowerstar-wpowerstar-closure*:
assumes $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } f) \longrightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } h)$
shows $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar} (\text{wpowerstar } f)) \longrightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } h)$
using assms
by (simp add: Chop-WPowerstar-Closure WPowerstar-induct-lvar-star)

lemma *SChopstar-SChopstar-closure*:
assumes $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } h)$
shows $\vdash (\text{schopstar} (\text{schopstar } f)) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } h)$
using assms
by (metis SChopstar-invol inteq-reflection)

lemma *WPowerstar-closed-unfold*:

assumes $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f) = f$

shows $\vdash f = (\text{empty} \vee f; f)$

using *assms*

by (*metis WPowerstarEqv int-eq*)

lemma *SChopstar-closed-unfold*:

assumes $\vdash (s\text{chopstar } f) = f$

shows $\vdash f = (\text{empty} \vee (f) \neg f)$

using *assms*

by (*metis SChopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstarEqv inteq-reflection schop-d-def*)

lemma *SChopstarMore-closed-unfold*:

assumes $\vdash (s\text{chopstar } f) = f$

shows $\vdash f = (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{more}) \neg f)$

using *assms*

by (*metis SChopstarEqv int-eq schop-d-def*)

lemma *WPowerstar-ext*:

$\vdash f \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \longrightarrow f; (w\text{powerstar } f)$

by (*metis ChopEmpty RightChopImpChop WPowerstar-imp-empty int-eq*)

show ?thesis **by** (*meson 1 WPowerstar-1L lift-imp-trans*)

qed

lemma *SChopstar-ext*:

$\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow (s\text{chopstar } f)$

by (*metis SChopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-ext inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopstarMore-ext*:

$\vdash f \wedge \text{more} \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow (s\text{chopstar } f)$

by (*metis AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore FPowerstar-WPowerstar SChopstar-ext SChopstar-WPowerstar*

fmore-d-def int-eq schopstar-d-def)

lemma *WPowerstar-1R*:

$\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f); f \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } f)$

by (*simp add: Chop-WPowerstar-Closure WPowerstar-ext*)

lemma *SChopstar-1R*:

$\vdash (s\text{chopstar } f) \neg (f \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow (s\text{chopstar } f)$

by (*simp add: SChop-SChopstar-Closure SChopstar-ext*)

lemma *SChopstarMore-1R*:

$\vdash (s\text{chopstar } f) \neg (f \wedge \text{fmore}) \longrightarrow (s\text{chopstar } f)$

by (*simp add: SChop-SChopstar-Closure SChopstarMore-ext fmore-d-def*)

lemma *WPowerstar-unfoldR*:
 $\vdash \text{empty} \vee (\text{wpowerstar } f) ; f \longrightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } f)$
by (meson Prop02 *WPowerstar-1R* *WPowerstar-imp-empty*)

lemma *SChopstar-unfoldR*:
 $\vdash \text{empty} \vee (\text{schopstar } f) \frown (f \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } f)$
by (meson Prop02 *SChopstar-1R* *SChopstar-imp-empty*)

lemma *SChopstarMore-unfoldR*:
 $\vdash \text{empty} \vee (\text{schopstar } f) \frown (f \wedge \text{fmore}) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } f)$
by (meson Prop02 *SChopstarMore-1R* *SChopstar-imp-empty*)

lemma *WPowerstar-sim1*:
assumes $\vdash f ; h \longrightarrow h ; g$
shows $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } f) ; h \longrightarrow h ; (\text{wpowerstar } g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (f ; h) ; (\text{wpowerstar } g) \longrightarrow (h ; g) ; (\text{wpowerstar } g)$
by (simp add: LeftChopImpChop assms)
have 2: $\vdash (h ; g) ; (\text{wpowerstar } g) \longrightarrow h ; (\text{wpowerstar } g)$
by (metis ChopAssoc RightChopImpChop WPowerstar-1L inteq-reflection)
have 3: $\vdash (f ; h) ; (\text{wpowerstar } g) \longrightarrow h ; (\text{wpowerstar } g)$
using 1 2 lift-imp-trans **by** blast
have 4: $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } g) = (\text{empty} \vee g ; (\text{wpowerstar } g))$
by (simp add: WPowerstarEqv)
have 41: $\vdash h ; (\text{empty} \vee g ; (\text{wpowerstar } g)) = (h ; \text{empty} \vee h ; (g ; (\text{wpowerstar } g)))$
by (simp add: ChopOrEqv)
have 42: $\vdash h ; (g ; (\text{wpowerstar } g)) = (h ; g) ; (\text{wpowerstar } g)$
by (simp add: ChopAssoc)
have 5: $\vdash h ; (\text{wpowerstar } g) = (h \vee (h ; g) ; (\text{wpowerstar } g))$
by (metis 4 41 42 ChopEmpty inteq-reflection)
have 6: $\vdash h \longrightarrow h ; (\text{wpowerstar } g)$
using 5 **by** fastforce
have 7: $\vdash h \vee (f ; h) ; (\text{wpowerstar } g) \longrightarrow h ; (\text{wpowerstar } g)$
using 3 6 Prop02 **by** blast
show ?thesis
using WPowerstarInductL[*of LIFT h f LIFT h ;(wpowerstar g)*]
by (metis 3 6 ChopAssoc Prop02 inteq-reflection)
qed

lemma *SChopstar-sim1*:
assumes $\vdash f \frown h \longrightarrow h \frown g$
shows $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \frown (h \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow h \frown (\text{schopstar } g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (f \frown h) \frown (\text{schopstar } g) \longrightarrow (h \frown g) \frown (\text{schopstar } g)$
by (simp add: LeftSChopImpSChop assms)
have 2: $\vdash (h \frown g) \frown (\text{schopstar } g) \longrightarrow h \frown (\text{schopstar } g)$
by (metis RightSChopImpSChop SChopAssoc SChopstar-1L inteq-reflection)
have 3: $\vdash (f \frown h) \frown (\text{schopstar } g) \longrightarrow h \frown (\text{schopstar } g)$
using 1 2 lift-imp-trans **by** blast

```

have 4:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } g) = (\text{empty} \vee (g \wedge \text{more}) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } g))$ 
by (simp add: SChopstarEqv schop-d-def)
have 5:  $\vdash h \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } g) = ((h \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (h \rightsquigarrow (g \wedge \text{more})) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } g))$ 
  by (metis ChopEmpty SChopAssoc SChopOrEqv SChopstarEqv int-eq schop-d-def)
have 6:  $\vdash h \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow h \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } g)$ 
  using 5 by fastforce
have 7:  $\vdash (h \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (f \rightsquigarrow h) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } g) \longrightarrow h \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } g)$ 
  using 3 6 Prop02 by blast
show ?thesis using 7
by (metis 3 6 Prop10 SChopAndB SChopAssoc SChopstar-induct-lvar inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans)
qed

```

lemma SChopstarMore-sim1:

```

assumes  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{more}) \rightsquigarrow h \longrightarrow h \rightsquigarrow (g \wedge \text{more})$ 
shows  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow (h \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow h \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } g)$ 
using assms SChopstar-sim1[of LIFT f  $\wedge$  more h LIFT g  $\wedge$  more]
by (metis SChopstar-and-more int-eq)

```

lemma WPowerstar-Quasicomm-varA:

```

assumes  $\vdash (g; f \longrightarrow f ; (\text{wpowerstar } (f \vee g)))$ 
shows  $\vdash ((\text{wpowerstar } g); f \longrightarrow f ; (\text{wpowerstar } (f \vee g)))$ 
proof -
have 0:  $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } (\text{wpowerstar } (f \vee g))) = (\text{wpowerstar } (f \vee g))$ 
  by (meson WPowerstar-1L WPowerstar-inductL-var-equiv WPowerstar-induct-lvar-star int-iffI)
have 2:  $\vdash f ; \text{wpowerstar } \text{wpowerstar } (f \vee g) = f ; (\text{wpowerstar } (f \vee g))$ 
  by (simp add: 0 RightChopEqvChop)
have 4:  $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } g ; f \longrightarrow f ; \text{wpowerstar } (\text{wpowerstar } (f \vee g))) =$ 
   $(\text{wpowerstar } g ; f \longrightarrow f ; \text{wpowerstar } \text{wpowerstar } (f \vee g))$ 
  using 2 by fastforce
show ?thesis
  using 4 WPowerstar-sim1[of LIFT g LIFT f LIFT (wpowerstar (f  $\vee$  g))] by (metis 0 assms int-eq)
qed

```

lemma SChopstar-Quasicomm-varA:

```

assumes  $\vdash ((g) \rightsquigarrow ((f) \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow (f) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g)))$ 
shows  $\vdash ((\text{schopstar } g) \rightsquigarrow ((f) \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow (f) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g)))$ 
proof -
have 0:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))) = (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))$ 
  by (simp add: SChopstar-inv)
have 2:  $\vdash ((f) \wedge \text{finite}) \rightsquigarrow \text{schopstar } \text{schopstar } (f \vee g) =$ 
   $((f) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g)))$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 0 AndSChopA Prop11 Prop12 inteq-reflection itl-def(9) lift-and-com)
have 3:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } (g) \rightsquigarrow (((f) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{finite})) =$ 
   $((\text{schopstar } g) \rightsquigarrow ((f) \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
  by (metis Prop12 RightSChopImpSChop int-iffD2 int-iffI lift-and-com)

```

have 4: $\vdash (schopstar(g) \sim (((f) \wedge finite)) \rightarrow$
 $(f) \sim schopstar(f \vee g)) =$
 $(schopstar(g) \sim (((f) \wedge finite) \wedge finite) \rightarrow$
 $((f) \wedge finite) \sim schopstar(schopstar(f \vee g)))$

using 2 3 by fastforce
show ?thesis
using 4 SChopstar-sim1[of LIFT g LIFT (f) \wedge finite LIFT (schopstar (f \vee g))]
by (metis 0 2 assms int-eq)
qed

lemma SChopstarMore-or-and:

$\vdash schopstar(f \wedge more \vee g \wedge more) = (schopstar((f \vee g) \wedge more))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge more \vee g \wedge more) = ((f \vee g) \wedge more)$
by fastforce
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 SChopstardef int-eq)
qed

lemma SChopstar-QuasicommMore-varA:

assumes $\vdash ((g \wedge more) \sim ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) \rightarrow (f \wedge more) \sim (schopstar(f \vee g)))$
shows $\vdash ((schopstar(g) \sim ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) \rightarrow (f \wedge more) \sim (schopstar(f \vee g)))$
using assms SChopstar-Quasicomm-varA[of LIFT g \wedge more LIFT f \wedge more]
SChopstar-and-more[of f] SChopstar-and-more[of g] SChopstar-and-more[of LIFT (f \vee g)]
AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore[of f]
by (metis SChopstarMore-or-and inteq-reflection)

lemma WPowerstar-Quasicomm-varB:

assumes $\vdash ((wpowerstar g); f \rightarrow f; (wpowerstar(f \vee g)))$

shows $\vdash (g; f \rightarrow f; (wpowerstar(f \vee g)))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash g; f \rightarrow (wpowerstar g); f$
by (simp add: LeftChopImpChop WPowerstar-ext)
show ?thesis
using 1 assms lift-imp-trans by blast
qed

lemma SChopstar-Quasicomm-varB:

assumes $\vdash ((schopstar g) \sim ((f) \wedge finite) \rightarrow (f) \sim (schopstar(f \vee g)))$

shows $\vdash ((g) \sim ((f) \wedge finite) \rightarrow (f) \sim (schopstar(f \vee g)))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (g) \sim ((f) \wedge finite) \rightarrow (schopstar(g) \sim ((f) \wedge finite))$
by (metis LeftChopImpChop Prop12 SChopstar-ext int-iffD2 lift-and-com schop-d-def)
show ?thesis
using 1 assms lift-imp-trans by blast
qed

lemma SChopstar-QuasicommMore-varB:

assumes $\vdash ((schopstar g) \sim ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) \rightarrow (f \wedge more) \sim (schopstar (f \vee g)))$
shows $\vdash ((g \wedge more) \sim ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) \rightarrow (f \wedge more) \sim (schopstar (f \vee g)))$
using assms *SChopstar-Quasicomm-varB*[of *LIFT g* \wedge *more LIFT f* \wedge *more*]
SChopstar-and-more[of *f*] *SChopstar-and-more*[of *g*] *SChopstar-and-more*[of *LIFT (f \vee g)*]
AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore[of *f*]
by (*metis SChopstarMore-or-and inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-Quasicomm-var*:
 $(\vdash (g; f \rightarrow f ; (wpowerstar (f \vee g))) =$
 $(\vdash ((wpowerstar g); f \rightarrow f ; (wpowerstar (f \vee g))))$
using *WPowerstar-Quasicomm-varA* *WPowerstar-Quasicomm-varB* **by** *blast*

lemma *SChopstar-Quasicomm-var*:
 $(\vdash ((g) \sim ((f) \wedge finite) \rightarrow (f) \sim (schopstar (f \vee g))) =$
 $(\vdash ((schopstar g) \sim ((f) \wedge finite) \rightarrow (f) \sim (schopstar (f \vee g))))$
using *SChopstar-Quasicomm-varA* *SChopstar-Quasicomm-varB* **by** *blast*

lemma *SChopstar-QuasicommMore-var*:
 $(\vdash ((g \wedge more) \sim ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) \rightarrow (f \wedge more) \sim (schopstar (f \vee g))) =$
 $(\vdash ((schopstar g) \sim ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) \rightarrow (f \wedge more) \sim (schopstar (f \vee g))))$
using *SChopstar-QuasicommMore-varA* *SChopstar-QuasicommMore-varB* **by** *blast*

lemma *WPowerstar-slide1*:
 $\vdash (wpowerstar (f ; g)); f \rightarrow f; (wpowerstar (g; f))$
by (*simp add: ChopAssoc WPowerstar-sim1 int-iffD2*)

lemma *SChopstar-slide1*:
 $\vdash (schopstar (f \sim g)) \sim (f \wedge finite) \rightarrow f \sim (schopstar (g \sim f))$
using *SChopstar-sim1*
by (*metis SChopAssoc int-iffD2*)

lemma *WPowerstar-slide1-var1*:
 $\vdash (wpowerstar f); f \rightarrow f; (wpowerstar f)$
by (*meson Prop04 WPowerstar-sim1 int-iffD1 int-simps(27)*)

lemma *SChopstar-slide1-var1*:
 $\vdash (schopstar f) \sim (f \wedge finite) \rightarrow f \sim (schopstar f)$
by (*simp add: SChopstar-sim1*)

lemma *SChopstarMore-slide1-var1*:
 $\vdash (schopstar f) \sim ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) \rightarrow (f \wedge more) \sim (schopstar f)$
using *SChopstar-slide1-var1*[of *LIFT f* \wedge *more*] *SChopstar-and-more*[of *f*]
by (*metis inteq-reflection*)

lemma *wpowerstar-unfoldl-eq*:
 $\vdash (empty \vee f; (wpowerstar f)) = (wpowerstar f)$
by (*meson Prop04 WPowerstar-1L WPowerstar-induct-lvar-star WPowerstarEqv int-iffI*)

lemma *SChopstar-unfoldl-eq*:
 $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee f \sim (\text{schopstar } f)) = (\text{schopstar } f)$
by (*metis SChopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstarEqv inteq-reflection schop-d-def*)

lemma *SChopstarMore-unfoldl-eq*:
 $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{more}) \sim (\text{schopstar } f)) = (\text{schopstar } f)$
using *SChopstar-unfoldl-eq*[of *LIFT f* \wedge *more*] *SChopstar-and-more*[of *f*]
by (*metis inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-rtc1-eq*:
 $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee f \vee (\text{wpowerstar } f); (\text{wpowerstar } f)) = (\text{wpowerstar } f)$
by (*meson Prop02 Prop05 Prop11 WPowerstar-ext WPowerstar-imp-empty WPowerstar-trans-eq*)

lemma *SChopstar-rtc1-eq*:
 $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } f)) = (\text{schopstar } f)$
by (*meson EmptySChop LeftSChopImpSChop Prop02 Prop04 Prop05 Prop08 Prop11 SChop-SChopstar-Closure SChopstar-ext SChopstar-imp-empty*)

lemma *SChopstarMore-rtc1-eq*:
 $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } f)) = (\text{schopstar } f)$
using *SChopstar-rtc1-eq*[of *LIFT f* \wedge *more*] *SChopstar-and-more*[of *f*]
by (*metis inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-rtc1*:
 $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee f \vee (\text{wpowerstar } f); (\text{wpowerstar } f)) \rightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } f)$
by (*meson WPowerstar-rtc1-eq int-iffD1*)

lemma *SChopstar-rtc1*:
 $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } f)) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } f)$
by (*meson SChopstar-rtc1-eq int-iffD1*)

lemma *SChopstarMore-rtc1*:
 $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } f)) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } f)$
using *SChopstar-rtc1*[of *LIFT f* \wedge *more*] *SChopstar-and-more*[of *f*]
by (*metis inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-rtc2*:
 $(\vdash \text{empty} \vee f; f \rightarrow f) = (\vdash f = (\text{wpowerstar } f))$
proof –
have 1: $(\vdash \text{empty} \vee f; f \rightarrow f) \implies (\vdash f = (\text{wpowerstar } f))$
using *WPowerstar-induct-lvar-empty*[of *f* *LIFT f*]
by (*simp add: WPowerstar-ext int-iffI*)
have 2: $(\vdash f = (\text{wpowerstar } f)) \implies (\vdash \text{empty} \vee f; f \rightarrow f)$
by (*metis WPowerstar-unfoldR inteq-reflection*)
show ?thesis
by (*metis 1 2 inteq-reflection*)

qed

lemma *SChopstar-rtc2*:

$$(\vdash \text{empty} \vee (f) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{finite})) = (\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) = (\text{schopstar } f))$$

proof –

have 1: $(\vdash \text{empty} \vee (f) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{finite})) \Rightarrow (\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) = (\text{schopstar } f))$

using *SChopstar-induct-lvar-empty*[of f *LIFT* $f \wedge \text{finite}$]

by (simp add: *Prop11 SChopstar-ext*)

have 2: $(\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) = (\text{schopstar } f)) \Rightarrow (\vdash \text{empty} \vee (f) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{finite}))$

by (metis *Prop02 SChopstar-1L SChopstar-imp-empty* *inteq-reflection*)

show ?thesis

by (metis 1 2 *inteq-reflection*)

qed

lemma *SChopstarMore-rtc2*:

$$(\vdash \text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{more}) \sim ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})) =$$

$$(\vdash ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) = (\text{schopstar } f))$$

using *SChopstar-rtc2*[of *LIFT* $f \wedge \text{more}$] *SChopstar-and-more*[of f]

by (metis *inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopstarMore-rtc2-alt*:

$$(\vdash \text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{more}) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{finite})) = (\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) = (\text{schopstar } f))$$

proof –

have 1: $(\vdash \text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{more}) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{finite})) \Rightarrow (\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) = (\text{schopstar } f))$

using *SChopstarMore-induct-lvar-empty*[of f *LIFT* $f \wedge \text{finite}$]

by (simp add: *SChopstar-ext int-iffI*)

have 2: $(\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) = (\text{schopstar } f)) \Rightarrow (\vdash \text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{more}) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{finite}))$

by (metis *SChopstarMore-unfoldl-eq* *int-eq* *int-iffD1*)

show ?thesis

by (metis 1 2 *inteq-reflection*)

qed

lemma *WPowerstar-rtc3*:

$$(\vdash (\text{empty} \vee f; f) = f) = (\vdash f = (\text{wpowerstar } f))$$

by (metis *WPowerstar-rtc2* *int-iffD1* *inteq-reflection* *wpowerstar-unfoldl-eq*)

lemma *SChopstar-rtc3*:

$$(\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (f) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite})) = (f \wedge \text{finite})) = (\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) = (\text{schopstar } f))$$

by (metis *SChopstar-rtc2* *SChopstar-unfoldl-eq* *int-iffD1* *inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopstarMore-rtc3*:

$$(\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{more}) \sim ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})) = ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})) =$$

$$(\vdash ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) = (\text{schopstar } f))$$

using *SChopstar-rtc3*[of *LIFT* $f \wedge \text{more}$] *SChopstar-and-more*[of f]

by (metis *inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopstarMore-rtc3-alt*:

$$(\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{more}) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite})) = (f \wedge \text{finite})) = (\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) = (\text{schopstar } f))$$

by (metis *SChopstarMore-induct-lvar-empty* *SChopstarMore-unfoldl-eq* *SChopstar-ext* *int-iffD1* *int-iffI*)

inteq-reflection)

lemma *WPowerstar-rtc-least*:

assumes $\vdash \text{empty} \vee f \vee g; g \rightarrow g$

shows $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } f) \rightarrow g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow g$

using assms by fastforce

have 2: $\vdash g; g \rightarrow g$

using assms by fastforce

have 3: $\vdash f; g \rightarrow g ; g$

by (metis 1 LeftChopImpChop)

have 4: $\vdash f ; g \rightarrow g$

using 2 3 lift-imp-trans **by** blast

have 5: $\vdash \text{empty} \rightarrow g$

using assms by fastforce

show ?thesis

by (meson 4 5 Prop02 WPowerstar-induct-lvar-empty)

qed

lemma *SChopstar-rtc-least*:

assumes $\vdash \text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (g) \sim (g) \rightarrow (g)$

shows $\vdash (\text{schoopstar } f) \rightarrow g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow (g \wedge \text{finite})$

using assms by fastforce

have 2: $\vdash (g) \sim (g) \rightarrow g$

using assms by fastforce

have 3: $\vdash (f) \sim (g) \rightarrow (g) \sim (g)$

by (metis 1 LeftChopImpChop schoop-d-def)

have 4: $\vdash (f) \sim (g) \rightarrow g$

using 2 3 lift-imp-trans **by** blast

have 5: $\vdash \text{empty} \rightarrow g$

using assms by fastforce

show ?thesis

by (meson 4 5 Prop02 SChopstar-induct-lvar-empty)

qed

lemma *SChopstarMore-rtc-least*:

assumes $\vdash \text{empty} \vee ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (g) \sim (g) \rightarrow (g)$

shows $\vdash (\text{schoopstar } f) \rightarrow g$

using assms *SChopstar-rtc-least*[of LIFT f \wedge more] *SChopstar-and-more*[of f]

by (metis inteq-reflection)

lemma *WPowerstar-rtc-least-eq*:

assumes $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee f \vee g; g) = g$

shows $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } f) \rightarrow g$

using assms

using *WPowerstar-rtc-least int-iffD1* **by** *blast*

lemma *SChopstar-rtc-least-eq*:

assumes $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (g) \sim (g)) = (g)$

shows $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \rightarrow g$

using *SChopstar-rtc-least assms int-iffD1* **by** *blast*

lemma *SChopstarMore-rtc-least-eq*:

assumes $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (g) \sim (g)) = (g)$

shows $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \rightarrow g$

using *assms SChopstar-rtc-least-eq[of LIFT f \wedge more] SChopstar-and-more[of f]*

by (*metis inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-subdist-var-1*:

$\vdash f \rightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } (f \vee g))$

by (*meson WPowerstar-ext WPowerstar-subdist lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *SChopstar-subdist-var-1*:

$\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))$

by (*meson SChopstar-ext SChopstar-subdist lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *WPowerstar-subdist-var-2*:

$\vdash f;g \rightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } (f \vee g))$

by (*metis Chop-WPowerstar-Closure WPowerstar-subdist-var-1 WPowerstar-swap inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopstar-subdist-var-2*:

$\vdash (f) \sim (g \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))$

by (*metis Chop-WPowerstar-Closure SChopstar-swap SChopstar-WPowerstar SChopstar-subdist-var-1*

inteq-reflection schop-d-def)

lemma *SChopstarMore-subdist-var-2*:

$\vdash (f \wedge \text{more}) \sim ((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))$

using *SChopstar-subdist-var-2[of LIFT f \wedge more LIFT g \wedge more]*

SChopstar-and-more[of f] SChopstar-and-more[of g]

SChopstar-and-more[of LIFT (f \vee g)]

SChopstarMore-or-and[of f g]

by (*metis inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-subdist-var-3*:

$\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } f); (\text{wpowerstar } g) \rightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } (f \vee g))$

by (*metis Chop-WPowerstar-Closure WPowerstar-subdist WPowerstar-swap inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopstar-subdist-var-3*:

$\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } g) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))$

by (*metis SChop-SChopstar-Closure SChopstar-subdist SChopstar-swap inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-denest*:

$\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } (f \vee g)) = (\text{wpowerstar } ((\text{wpowerstar } f); (\text{wpowerstar } g)))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } f)$

```

by (simp add: WPowerstar-ext)
have 2:  $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f) \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)$ 
  by (metis ChopEmpty RightChopImpChop WPowerstar-imp-empty int-eq)
have 3:  $\vdash f \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)$ 
  using 1 2 by fastforce
have 4:  $\vdash g \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } g)$ 
  by (simp add: WPowerstar-ext)
have 5:  $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } g) \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)$ 
  by (meson EmptyChop LeftChopImpChop Prop11 WPowerstar-imp-empty lift-imp-trans)
have 6:  $\vdash g \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)$ 
  using 4 5 by fastforce
have 7:  $\vdash f \vee g \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)$ 
  using 3 6 by fastforce
have 9:  $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)))$ 
  using 7 WPowerstar-iso by blast
have 10:  $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g) \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g))$ 
  by (simp add: WPowerstar-subdist-var-3)
have 11:  $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g))) \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g))$ 
  by (simp add: 10 Chop-WPowerstar-Closure WPowerstar-induct-lvar-star)
show ?thesis using 11 9 int-iffI by blast
qed

```

lemma SChopstar-denest:

$$\vdash (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g)) = (\text{schopstar } ((\text{schopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } g)))$$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } f)$ 
  by (simp add: SChopstar-ext)
have 2:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } g)$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) AndEmptyChopAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty ChopImpChop EmptyImpFinite
    FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty Prop02 Prop12 SChopAssoc SChopImpSChop SChopstar-1L SChop-
    star-imp-empty
    SChopstar-induct-lvar-empty inteq-reflection schop-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } g)$ 
  using 1 2 by fastforce
have 4:  $\vdash (g \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } g)$ 
  by (simp add: SChopstar-ext)
have 5:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } g) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } g)$ 
  by (meson EmptySChop LeftSChopImpSChop Prop11 SChopstar-imp-empty lift-imp-trans)
have 6:  $\vdash (g \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } g)$ 
  using 4 5 by fastforce
have 7:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (g \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } g)$ 
  using 3 6 by fastforce
have 8:  $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (g \wedge \text{finite})) = ((f \vee g) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
  by fastforce
have 9:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g)) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } ((\text{schopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } g)))$ 
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) 7 8 ChopEmpty EmptySChop FPowerstar-WPowerstar
    FPowerstar-more-absorb SChopAssoc SChopstar-iso inteq-reflection schop-d-def schopstar-d-def)
have 10:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } g) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))$ 
  by (simp add: SChopstar-subdist-var-3)
have 11:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } ((\text{schopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } g))) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))$ 

```

```

by (simp add: 10 SChop-SChopstar-Closure SChopstar-induct-lvar-star)
show ?thesis using 11 9 int-iffI by blast
qed

```

lemma WPowerstar-or-var:

```

 $\vdash (\text{wPowerstar} ((\text{wPowerstar } f) \vee (\text{wPowerstar } g))) = (\text{wPowerstar} (f \vee g))$ 
using WPowerstar-denest[of f g]
    WPowerstar-denest[of LIFT (wPowerstar f) ]
    WPowerstar-invol[of f] WPowerstar-invol[of g]
by (metis int-eq)

```

lemma SChopstar-or-var:

```

 $\vdash (\text{sChopstar} ((\text{sChopstar } f) \vee (\text{sChopstar } g))) = (\text{sChopstar} (f \vee g))$ 
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) FPowerstar-WPowerstar FPowerstar-more-absorb FiniteOr
    SChopstar-invol WPowerstar-denest inteq-reflection sChopstar-d-def)

```

lemma WPowerstar-denest-var:

```

 $\vdash (\text{wPowerstar } f) ; (\text{wPowerstar} (g; (\text{wPowerstar } f))) = (\text{wPowerstar} (f \vee g))$ 

```

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash \text{empty} \longrightarrow (\text{wPowerstar } f); (\text{wPowerstar} (g; (\text{wPowerstar } f)))$ 

```

```

by (metis AndEmptyChopAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty ChopImpChop FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty WPower-
star-imp-empty
    inteq-reflection)

```

```

have 2:  $\vdash (f; (\text{wPowerstar } f)); (\text{wPowerstar} (g; (\text{wPowerstar } f))) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\text{wPowerstar } f); (\text{wPowerstar} (g; (\text{wPowerstar } f)))$ 

```

```

by (simp add: LeftChopImpChop WPowerstar-1L)

```

```

have 3:  $\vdash (g; (\text{wPowerstar } f)); (\text{wPowerstar} (g; (\text{wPowerstar } f))) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\text{wPowerstar } f); (\text{wPowerstar} (g; (\text{wPowerstar } f)))$ 

```

```

by (metis EmptyChop LeftChopImpChop WPowerstar-1L WPowerstar-imp-empty inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans)

```

```

have 4:  $\vdash ((f; (\text{wPowerstar } f)); (\text{wPowerstar} (g; (\text{wPowerstar } f))) \vee$ 
 $(g; (\text{wPowerstar } f)); (\text{wPowerstar} (g; (\text{wPowerstar } f)))) =$ 
 $((f \vee g); (\text{wPowerstar } f)); (\text{wPowerstar} (g; (\text{wPowerstar } f)))$ 

```

```

by (metis OrChopEqv int-eq)

```

```

have 5:  $\vdash \text{empty} \vee ((f \vee g); (\text{wPowerstar } f)); (\text{wPowerstar} (g; (\text{wPowerstar } f))) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\text{wPowerstar } f); (\text{wPowerstar} (g; (\text{wPowerstar } f)))$ 

```

```

using 1 2 3 4 by (metis Prop02 int-eq)

```

```

have 6:  $\vdash (\text{wPowerstar} (f \vee g)) \longrightarrow (\text{wPowerstar } f); (\text{wPowerstar} (g; (\text{wPowerstar } f)))$ 
by (metis 5 ChopAssoc WPowerstar-induct-lvar-empty int-eq)

```

```

have 7:  $\vdash (\text{wPowerstar} (g ; (\text{wPowerstar } f))) \longrightarrow (\text{wPowerstar} ((\text{wPowerstar } g); (\text{wPowerstar } f)))$ 
by (simp add: LeftChopImpChop WPowerstar-ext WPowerstar-iso)

```

```

have 8:  $\vdash (\text{wPowerstar} (g ; (\text{wPowerstar } f))) \longrightarrow (\text{wPowerstar} (f \vee g))$ 
by (metis 7 WPowerstar-denest WPowerstar-swap inteq-reflection)

```

```

have 9:  $\vdash (\text{wPowerstar } f) \longrightarrow (\text{wPowerstar} (f \vee g))$ 
by (simp add: WPowerstar-subdist)

```

```

have 10:  $\vdash (\text{wPowerstar } f) ; (\text{wPowerstar} (g; (\text{wPowerstar } f))) \longrightarrow (\text{wPowerstar} (f \vee g))$ 
using 8 9 Chop-WPowerstar-Closure by blast

```

show ?thesis

```

by (simp add: 10 6 int-iffI)

```

qed

lemma *SChopstar-denest-var*:
 $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f))) = (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{empty} \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } ((g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f)))$
by (*simp add: SChopstar-imp-empty*)

have 11: $\vdash \text{empty} \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f)))$
by (*metis AndEmptyChopAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty ChopImpChop EmptyImpFinite FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty*)
 $\quad \text{Prop12 SChopstar-imp-empty inteq-reflection itl-def(9)}$

have 2: $\vdash ((f) \sim (\text{schopstar } f)) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f))) \longrightarrow$
 $\quad (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f)))$
using *LeftSChopImpSChop SChopstar-1L* **by** *blast*

have 3: $\vdash ((g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f)) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f))) \longrightarrow$
 $\quad (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f)))$
by (*metis EmptySChop LeftSChopImpSChop SChopstar-1L SChopstar-imp-empty inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans*)

have 4: $\vdash ((f \vee g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f)) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f))) =$
 $\quad (((f) \sim (\text{schopstar } f)) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f)))) \vee$
 $\quad ((g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f)) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f))))$
by (*metis OrSChopEqv inteq-reflection*)

have 5: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee ((f \vee g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f)) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f))) \longrightarrow$
 $\quad (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f)))$
using 11 2 3 4
by (*metis Prop02 inteq-reflection*)

have 6: $\vdash (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g)) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f)))$
by (*metis 5 SChopAssoc SChopstar-induct-lvar-empty inteq-reflection*)

have 7: $\vdash (\text{schopstar } (g \sim (\text{schopstar } f))) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } ((\text{schopstar } g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f)))$
by (*metis AndChopB ChopEmpty LeftChopImpChop Prop12 SChopstar-ext SChopstar-iso inteq-reflection schop-d-def*)

have 8: $\vdash (\text{schopstar } (g \sim (\text{schopstar } f))) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))$
by (*metis 7 SChopstar-denest SChopstar-swap inteq-reflection*)

have 9: $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))$
by (*simp add: SChopstar-subdist*)

have 10: $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f))) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))$
by (*simp add: 8 9 SChop-SChopstar-Closure*)

show ?thesis
by (*simp add: 10 6 int-iffI*)

qed

lemma *SChopstarMore-denest-var*:
 $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((g \wedge \text{more}) \sim (\text{schopstar } f))) = (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))$

using *SChopstar-denest-var*[of *LIFT f* \wedge *more LIFT g* \wedge *more*]
SChopstar-and-more[of *f*] *SChopstar-and-more*[of *g*]
SChopstar-and-more[of *LIFT (f \vee g)*]
SChopstarMore-or-and[of *f g*]
by (*metis inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-denest-var-2*:
 $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } f); (\text{wpowerstar } (g; (\text{wpowerstar } f))) =$

($w\text{powerstar} ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)))$
by (*metis WPowerstar-denest WPowerstar-denest-var int-eq*)

lemma *SChopstar-denest-var-2*:

$\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar} ((g \sim (\text{schopstar } f)))) = (\text{schopstar} ((\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } g)))$
by (*metis SChopstar-denest SChopstar-denest-var inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopstarMore-denest-var-2*:

$\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar} ((g \wedge \text{more}) \sim (\text{schopstar } f))) = (\text{schopstar} ((\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } g)))$
using *SChopstar-denest-var-2*[*of f LIFT g \wedge more*] *SChopstar-and-more*[*of g*]
by (*metis inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-denest-var-3*:

$\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f); (w\text{powerstar} ((w\text{powerstar } g);(w\text{powerstar } f))) =$
 $(w\text{powerstar} ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)))$

by (*metis WPowerstar-denest WPowerstar-denest-var WPowerstar-ext WPowerstar-induct-lvar-star WPowerstar-trans int-iffI inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopstar-denest-var-3*:

$\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar} ((\text{schopstar } g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f))) = (\text{schopstar} ((\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } g)))$
by (*metis SChopstar-denest-var-2 SChopstar-involut int-eq*)

lemma *WPowerstar-denest-var-4*:

$\vdash (w\text{powerstar} ((w\text{powerstar } g);(w\text{powerstar } f))) =$
 $(w\text{powerstar} ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)))$
by (*metis WPowerstar-denest WPowerstar-swap inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopstar-denest-var-4*:

$\vdash (\text{schopstar} ((\text{schopstar } g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f))) = (\text{schopstar} ((\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } g)))$
by (*metis SChopstar-denest SChopstar-swap inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-denest-var-5*:

$\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f); (w\text{powerstar} (g;(w\text{powerstar } f))) =$
 $(w\text{powerstar } g); (w\text{powerstar} (f;(w\text{powerstar } g)))$
by (*metis WPowerstar-denest-var WPowerstar-swap int-eq*)

lemma *SChopstar-denest-var-5*:

$\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar} ((g \sim (\text{schopstar } f)))) = (\text{schopstar } g) \sim (\text{schopstar} ((f \sim (\text{schopstar } g))))$
by (*metis SChopstar-denest-var SChopstar-swap inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopstarMore-denest-var-5*:

$\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar} ((g \wedge \text{more}) \sim (\text{schopstar } f))) = (\text{schopstar } g) \sim (\text{schopstar} ((f \sim (\text{schopstar } g))))$

using *SChopstar-denest-var-5*[*of f LIFT g \wedge more*]

SChopstar-and-more[*of g*]

by (*metis inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-denest-var-6*:

$\vdash ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g));(w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) = (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g))$
by (*metis ChopAssoc WPowerstar-denest WPowerstar-denest-var-3 inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopstar-denest-var-6*:

$\vdash ((s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g))\sim(s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g)) = (s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g))$
by (*metis SChopAssoc SChopstar-denest SChopstar-denest-var-3 inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-denest-var-7*:

$\vdash (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) ; ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)) = (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g))$

proof -

have 1: $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) ; ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)) \longrightarrow$
 $(w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) ; (w\text{powerstar } ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)))$
by (*simp add: RightChopImpChop WPowerstar-ext*)
have 2: $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) ; ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)) \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g))$
by (*simp add: Chop-WPowerstar-Closure WPowerstar-subdist-var-3*)
have 3: $\vdash \text{empty} \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) ; ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g))$
by (*metis ChopEmpty ChopImpChop WPowerstar-imp-empty inteq-reflection*)
have 4: $\vdash (f \vee g) ; ((w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) ; ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g))) \longrightarrow$
 $((w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) ; ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)))$
by (*metis ChopAssoc LeftChopImpChop WPowerstar-1L inteq-reflection*)
have 5: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee (f \vee g) ; ((w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) ; ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g))) \longrightarrow$
 $((w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) ; ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)))$
using 3 4 Prop02 by blast
have 6: $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) \longrightarrow ((w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) ; ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)))$
using 5 WPowerstar-induct-lvar-empty by blast
show ?thesis using 2 6 int-iffI by blast
qed

lemma *SChopstar-denest-var-7*:

$\vdash (s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g)) \sim ((s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g)) = (s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g))$

proof -

have 1: $\vdash (s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g)) \sim ((s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g)) \longrightarrow$
 $(s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g)) \sim (s\text{chopstar } ((s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g)))$
by (*meson RightSChopImpSChop SChopstar-denest SChopstar-subdist-var-3 int-iffD1 lift-imp-trans*)
have 2: $\vdash (s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g)) \sim ((s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g)) \longrightarrow (s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g))$
by (*simp add: SChop-SChopstar-Closure SChopstar-subdist-var-3*)
have 3: $\vdash \text{empty} \longrightarrow (s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g)) \sim ((s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g))$
by (*metis EmptySChop SChopImpSChop SChopstar-imp-empty inteq-reflection*)
have 4: $\vdash (f \vee g) \sim ((s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g)) \sim ((s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g))) \longrightarrow$
 $((s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g)) \sim ((s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g)))$
by (*metis LeftSChopImpSChop SChopAssoc SChopstar-1L inteq-reflection*)
have 5: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee (f \vee g) \sim ((s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g)) \sim ((s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g))) \longrightarrow$
 $((s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g)) \sim ((s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g)))$
using 3 4 Prop02 by blast
have 6: $\vdash (s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g)) \longrightarrow ((s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g)) \sim ((s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g)))$
using 5 SChopstar-induct-lvar-empty by blast
show ?thesis using 2 6 int-iffI by blast

qed

lemma *WPowerstar-denest-var-8*:

$$\vdash ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g));(w\text{powerstar } ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g))) = \\ (w\text{powerstar } ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)))$$

by (*metis ChopAssoc WPowerstar-denest-var-3 int-eq*)

lemma *SChopstar-denest-var-8*:

$$\vdash ((s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g))\sim(s\text{chopstar } ((s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g))) = \\ (s\text{chopstar } ((s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g)))$$

by (*metis SChopstar-denest SChopstar-denest-var-6 inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-denest-var-9*:

$$\vdash (w\text{powerstar } ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)));((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)) = \\ (w\text{powerstar } ((w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)))$$

by (*metis WPowerstar-denest WPowerstar-denest-var-7 inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopstar-denest-var-9*:

$$\vdash (s\text{chopstar } ((s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g)))\sim((s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g)) = \\ (s\text{chopstar } ((s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g)))$$

by (*metis SChopstar-denest SChopstar-denest-var-7 inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-confluence*:

$$(\vdash g ; (w\text{powerstar } f) \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)) = \\ (\vdash (w\text{powerstar } g) ; (w\text{powerstar } f) \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g))$$

proof –

have 1: $(\vdash g ; (w\text{powerstar } f) \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)) \implies \\ (\vdash (w\text{powerstar } g) ; (w\text{powerstar } f) \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g))$

by (*metis Prop02 WPowerstar-imp-empty WPowerstar-rtc2 WPowerstar-sim1 WPowerstar-trans inteq-reflection*)

have 2: $(\vdash (w\text{powerstar } g) ; (w\text{powerstar } f) \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g)) \implies \\ (\vdash g ; (w\text{powerstar } f) \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g))$

by (*metis AndChopB Prop10 WPowerstar-ext inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans*)

show ?*thesis* **using** 1 2 **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *SChopstar-finite*:

$$\vdash s\text{chopstar } f \longrightarrow \text{finite}$$

by (*metis EmptyImpFinite FiniteChopEqvDiamond FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite Prop02 SChopImpDiamond*

SChopstar-induct-lvar-empty inteq-reflection)

lemma *SChopstar-confluence*:

$$(\vdash g \sim (s\text{chopstar } f) \longrightarrow (s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g)) = \\ (\vdash (s\text{chopstar } g) \sim (s\text{chopstar } f) \longrightarrow (s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g))$$

proof –

have 1: $(\vdash g \sim (s\text{chopstar } f) \longrightarrow (s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g)) \implies \\ (\vdash (s\text{chopstar } g) \sim (s\text{chopstar } f) \longrightarrow (s\text{chopstar } f)\sim(s\text{chopstar } g))$

```

using SChopstar-sim1[of g LIFT (schopstar f) LIFT (schopstar g)]
by (metis Prop10 SChopstar-finite SChopstar-invol inteq-reflection)
have 2: ( $\vdash (schopstar g) \sim (schopstar f) \rightarrow (schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g)$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
 $(\vdash g \sim (schopstar f) \rightarrow (schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g))$ 
by (metis AndChopB Prop10 SChopstar-ext SChopstar-finite int-eq lift-imp-trans schop-d-def)
show ?thesis using 1 2 by blast
qed

```

lemma SChopstarMore-confluence:

```

( $\vdash (g \wedge more) \sim (schopstar f) \rightarrow (schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g)$ ) =
( $\vdash (schopstar g) \sim (schopstar f) \rightarrow (schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g)$ )

```

using SChopstar-confluence[of LIFT g \wedge more f]

SChopstar-and-more[of g]

by (metis inteq-reflection)

lemma WPoerstar-church-rosser:

assumes $\vdash (wpowerstar g) ; (wpowerstar f) \rightarrow (wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar g)$

shows $\vdash (wpowerstar (f \vee g)) = (wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ((wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar g)) ; ((wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar g)) \rightarrow$
 $((wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar f)) ; ((wpowerstar g) ; (wpowerstar g))$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) ChopAssoc ChopImpChop WPoerstar-trans WPoerstar-trans-eq assms int-eq)

have 2: $\vdash ((wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar g)) ; ((wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar g)) \rightarrow$
 $((wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar g))$

by (metis 1 WPoerstar-trans-eq inteq-reflection)

have 3: $\vdash empty \rightarrow ((wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar g))$

by (metis 2 WPoerstar-denest-var-9 WPoerstar-imp-empty WPoerstar-induct-lvar int-eq lift-imp-trans)

have 4: $\vdash empty \vee ((wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar g)) ; ((wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar g)) \rightarrow$
 $((wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar g))$

using 2 3 Prop02 **by** blast

have 5: $\vdash (wpowerstar ((wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar g))) \rightarrow$
 $((wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar g))$

using 4 WPoerstar-induct-lvar-empty **by** blast

have 6: $\vdash (wpowerstar (f \vee g)) \rightarrow (wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar g)$

by (metis 5 WPoerstar-denest inteq-reflection)

have 7: $\vdash (wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar g) \rightarrow (wpowerstar (f \vee g))$

by (simp add: WPoerstar-subdist-var-3)

show ?thesis

by (simp add: 6 7 int-iffI)

qed

lemma SChopstar-church-rosser:

assumes $\vdash (schopstar g) \sim (schopstar f) \rightarrow (schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g)$

shows $\vdash (schopstar (f \vee g)) = (schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g)$

proof –

have 0: $\vdash ((schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g)) \sim ((schopstar f)) \rightarrow$
 $((schopstar f) \sim (schopstar f)) \sim ((schopstar g))$

by (metis RightSChopImpSChop SChopAssoc assms inteq-reflection)

have 01: $\vdash (((schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g)) \sim ((schopstar f))) \sim (schopstar g) \rightarrow$

```

 $((schopstar f) \sim (schopstar f)) \sim ((schopstar g))) \sim (schopstar g)$ 
by (simp add: 0 LeftSChopImpSChop)
have 1:  $\vdash ((schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g)) \sim ((schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g)) \rightarrow$ 
 $((schopstar f) \sim (schopstar f)) \sim ((schopstar g) \sim (schopstar g))$ 
by (metis 01 SChopAssoc inteq-reflection)
have 2:  $\vdash ((schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g)) \sim ((schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g)) \rightarrow$ 
 $((schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g))$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 SChopstar-denest SChopstar-denest-var-3 int-eq int-simps(27))
have 3:  $\vdash empty \rightarrow ((schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g))$ 
by (meson EmptySChop Prop11 SChopImpSChop SChopstar-imp-empty lift-imp-trans)
have 4:  $\vdash empty \vee ((schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g)) \sim ((schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g)) \rightarrow$ 
 $((schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g))$ 
using 2 3 Prop02 by blast
have 5:  $\vdash (schopstar ((schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g))) \rightarrow ((schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g))$ 
using 4 SChopstar-induct-lvar-empty by blast
have 6:  $\vdash (schopstar (f \vee g)) \rightarrow (schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g)$ 
by (metis 5 SChopstar-denest inteq-reflection)
have 7:  $\vdash (schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g) \rightarrow (schopstar (f \vee g))$ 
by (simp add: SChopstar-subdist-var-3)
show ?thesis
by (simp add: 6 7 int-iffI)
qed

```

lemma *WPowerstar-church-rosser-var*:
assumes $\vdash g ; (wpowerstar f) \rightarrow (wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar g)$
shows $\vdash (wpowerstar (f \vee g)) = (wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar g)$
using assms
by (*simp add: WPowerstar-church-rosser WPowerstar-confluence*)

lemma *SChopstar-church-rosser-var*:
assumes $\vdash g \sim (schopstar f) \rightarrow (schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g)$
shows $\vdash (schopstar (f \vee g)) = (schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g)$
using assms
using *SChopstar-church-rosser SChopstar-confluence* **by** *blast*

lemma *SChopstarMore-church-rosser-var*:
assumes $\vdash (g \wedge more) \sim (schopstar f) \rightarrow (schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g)$
shows $\vdash (schopstar (f \vee g)) = (schopstar f) \sim (schopstar g)$
using assms *SChopstar-church-rosser-var*[of *LIFT g* \wedge *more LIFT f* \wedge *more*]
SChopstar-and-more[of *g*] *SChopstar-and-more*[of *f*]
SChopstar-and-more[of *LIFT (f \vee g)*]
SChopstarMore-or-and[of *f g*]
by (*metis inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-church-rosser-to-confluence*:
assumes $\vdash (wpowerstar (f \vee g)) = (wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar g)$
shows $\vdash (wpowerstar g) ; (wpowerstar f) \rightarrow (wpowerstar f) ; (wpowerstar g)$
using assms
by (*metis WPowerstar-subdist-var-3 WPowerstar-swap inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopstar-church-rosser-to-confluence*:

assumes $\vdash (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g)) = (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } g)$
shows $\vdash (\text{schopstar } g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } g)$
using *assms*
by (*metis SChopstar-subdist-var-3 SChopstar-swap inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-church-rosser-equiv*:

$(\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } g) ; (\text{wpowerstar } f) \rightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } f) ; (\text{wpowerstar } g)) =$
 $(\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } (f \vee g)) = (\text{wpowerstar } f) ; (\text{wpowerstar } g))$
using *WPowerstar-church-rosser WPowerstar-church-rosser-to-confluence* **by** *blast*

lemma *SChopstar-church-rosser-equiv*:

$(\vdash (\text{schopstar } g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } g)) =$
 $(\vdash (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g)) = (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } g))$
using *SChopstar-church-rosser SChopstar-church-rosser-to-confluence* **by** *blast*

lemma *WPowerstar-confluence-to-local-confluence*:

assumes $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } g) ; (\text{wpowerstar } f) \rightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } f) ; (\text{wpowerstar } g)$
shows $\vdash g ; f \rightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } f) ; (\text{wpowerstar } g)$
using *assms*

WPowerstar-church-rosser[*of g f*]

by (*metis WPowerstar-denest WPowerstar-denest-var-4 WPowerstar-subdist-var-2 inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopstar-confluence-to-local-confluence*:

assumes $\vdash (\text{schopstar } g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } g)$
shows $\vdash (g) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } g)$
using *assms*

SChopstar-church-rosser[*of g f*]

by (*metis SChopstar-denest SChopstar-denest-var-4 SChopstar-subdist-var-2 inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopstarMore-confluence-to-local-confluence*:

assumes $\vdash (\text{schopstar } g) \sim (\text{schopstar } f) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } g)$
shows $\vdash (g \wedge \text{more}) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (\text{schopstar } g)$
using *assms*

SChopstar-confluence-to-local-confluence[*of LIFT g \wedge more f*]

SChopstar-and-more[*of g*]

by (*metis inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-sup-id-star1*:

assumes $\vdash \text{empty} \rightarrow f$
shows $\vdash f ; (\text{wpowerstar } f) = (\text{wpowerstar } f)$
using *assms*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) AndEmptyChopAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty ChopImpChop ChopOrEqv ChopOrImp*

FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty Prop02 WPowerstarEqv WPowerstar-1L WPowerstar-imp-empty int-iffI inteq-reflection)

```

lemma SChopstar-sup-id-star1:
assumes  $\vdash \text{empty} \rightarrow f$ 
shows  $\vdash f \sim (\text{schopstar } f) = (\text{schopstar } f)$ 
using assms
by (metis EmptyImpFinite Prop12 SChopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-sup-id-star1 inteq-reflection schop-d-def)

lemma WPowerstar-sup-id-star2:
assumes  $\vdash \text{empty} \rightarrow f$ 
shows  $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } f);f = (\text{wpowerstar } f)$ 
using assms
by (metis ChopEmpty ChopImpChop WPowerstar-1R int-eq int-iffD2 int-iffI)

lemma SChopstar-sup-id-star2:
assumes  $\vdash \text{empty} \rightarrow f$ 
shows  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}) = (\text{schopstar } f)$ 
using assms
WPowerstar-sup-id-star2[of LIFT  $(f \wedge \text{finite})$ ] SChopstar-WPowerstar[of  $f$ ]
by (metis EmptyImpFinite Prop10 Prop12 SChopstar-finite inteq-reflection schop-d-def)

lemma WPowerstar-unfoldr-eq:
 $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (\text{wpowerstar } f);f) = (\text{wpowerstar } f)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (\text{wpowerstar } f);f) \rightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } f)$ 
  using WPowerstar-unfoldR by auto
  have 2:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee f;(\text{empty} \vee (\text{wpowerstar } f));f) =$ 
     $(\text{empty} \vee (\text{empty} \vee f;(\text{wpowerstar } f));f)$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) ChopAssoc ChopEmpty ChopOrEqv EmptyOrChopEqv inteq-reflection)
  have 3:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (\text{empty} \vee f;(\text{wpowerstar } f));f) =$ 
     $(\text{empty} \vee (\text{wpowerstar } f);f)$ 
  by (metis 2 inteq-reflection wpowerstar-unfoldl-eq)
  have 4:  $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } f) \rightarrow (\text{empty} \vee (\text{wpowerstar } f);f)$ 
  by (metis 2 3 WPowerstar-induct-lvar-empty int-eq int-iffD1)
  show ?thesis
  using 1 4 int-iffI by blast
qed

lemma SChopstar-unfoldr-eq:
 $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite})) = (\text{schopstar } f)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite})) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } f)$ 
  using SChopstar-unfoldR by auto
  have 2:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee f \sim (\text{empty} \vee (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}))) =$ 
     $(\text{empty} \vee (\text{empty} \vee f \sim (\text{schopstar } f)) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) ChopEmpty EmptyOrSChopEqv SChopAssoc SChopOrEqv inteq-reflection itl-def(9))
  have 3:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (\text{empty} \vee f \sim (\text{schopstar } f)) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite})) =$ 
     $(\text{empty} \vee (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
  by (metis 2 SChopstar-unfoldl-eq inteq-reflection)
  have 4:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \rightarrow (\text{empty} \vee (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
  by (metis 2 3 SChopstar-induct-lvar-empty int-eq int-iffD1)

```

```

show ?thesis
using 1 4 int-iffI by blast
qed

lemma SChopstarMore-unfoldr-eq:
 $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (\text{schopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})) = (\text{schopstar } f)$ 
using SChopstar-unfoldr-eq[of LIFT f  $\wedge$  more]
SChopstar-and-more[of f]
by (metis inteq-reflection)

lemma WPowerstar-star-prod-unfold:
 $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee f;((\text{wpowerstar } (g;f));g)) = (\text{wpowerstar } (f ; g))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } (f ; g)) = (\text{empty} \vee (\text{wpowerstar } (f;g));(f;g))$ 
by (meson WPowerstar-unfoldR WPowerstar-unfoldr-eq int-iffD2 int-iffI)
have 2:  $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } (f;g));(f;g) \longrightarrow f;((\text{wpowerstar } (g;f));g)$ 
using WPowerstar-slide1[of f g]
by (metis AndChopB ChopAssoc Prop10 int-eq)
have 3:  $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } (f ; g)) \longrightarrow (\text{empty} \vee f;((\text{wpowerstar } (g;f));g))$ 
using 1 2 by fastforce
have 4:  $\vdash f;((\text{wpowerstar } (g;f));g) \longrightarrow (f;g);(\text{wpowerstar } (f ; g))$ 
using WPowerstar-slide1[of g f]
by (metis ChopAssoc RightChopImpChop inteq-reflection)
have 5:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee f;((\text{wpowerstar } (g;f));g)) \longrightarrow$ 
 $\text{empty} \vee (f;g);(\text{wpowerstar } (f ; g))$ 
using 4 by fastforce
have 6:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (f;g);(\text{wpowerstar } (f ; g))) \longrightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } (f ; g))$ 
by (meson WPowerstarEqv int-iffD2)
show ?thesis
by (meson 3 5 6 int-iffI lift-imp-trans)
qed

lemma FiniteImportSChopRight:
 $\vdash (\text{finite} \wedge (f \rightsquigarrow g)) = f \rightsquigarrow (g \wedge \text{finite})$ 
by (metis ChopEmpty SChopAssoc inteq-reflection lift-and-com schop-d-def)

lemma SChopstar-star-prod-unfold:
 $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (f) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{schopstar } (g \rightsquigarrow f)) \rightsquigarrow (g \wedge \text{finite}))) = (\text{schopstar } (f \rightsquigarrow g))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } (f \rightsquigarrow g)) = (\text{empty} \vee (\text{schopstar } (f \rightsquigarrow g)) \rightsquigarrow (f \rightsquigarrow (g \wedge \text{finite})))$ 
by (metis FiniteImportSChopRight SChopAndCommute SChopstar-unfoldr-eq inteq-reflection)
have 2:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } (f \rightsquigarrow g)) \rightsquigarrow (f \rightsquigarrow (g \wedge \text{finite})) \longrightarrow (f) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{schopstar } (g \rightsquigarrow f)) \rightsquigarrow (g \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
using SChopstar-slide1[of f g]
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ChopAndFiniteDist ChopEmpty LeftSChopImpSChop SChopAssoc inteq-reflection schop-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } (f \rightsquigarrow g)) \longrightarrow (\text{empty} \vee (f) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{schopstar } (g \rightsquigarrow f)) \rightsquigarrow (g \wedge \text{finite})))$ 
using 1 2 by fastforce
have 4:  $\vdash (f) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{schopstar } (g \rightsquigarrow f)) \rightsquigarrow (g \wedge \text{finite})) \longrightarrow (f \rightsquigarrow g) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } (f \rightsquigarrow g))$ 
using SChopstar-slide1[of g f]

```

```

by (metis RightSChopImpSChop SChopAssoc inteq-reflection)
have 5:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (f \sim ((\text{schopstar}(g \sim f)) \sim (g \wedge \text{finite}))) \rightarrow$ 
 $\text{empty} \vee (f \sim g) \sim (\text{schopstar}(f \sim g))$ 
using 4 by fastforce
have 6:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (f \sim g) \sim (\text{schopstar}(f \sim g))) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar}(f \sim g))$ 
by (meson Prop02 SChopstar-1L SChopstar-imp-empty)
show ?thesis
by (meson 3 5 6 int-iffI lift-imp-trans)
qed

```

```

lemma WPowerstar-slide :
 $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar}(f;g);f = f;(\text{wpowerstar}(g;f))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f;(\text{wpowerstar}(g;f)) = f;(\text{empty} \vee g;((\text{wpowerstar}(f;g));f))$ 
by (metis RightChopEqvChop WPowerstar-star-prod-unfold inteq-reflection)
have 2:  $\vdash f;(\text{empty} \vee g;((\text{wpowerstar}(f;g));f)) =$ 
 $(f;\text{empty} \vee f;(g;((\text{wpowerstar}(f;g));f)))$ 
by (simp add: ChopOrEqv)
have 3:  $\vdash f;\text{empty} = \text{empty};f$ 
by (metis ChopEmpty EmptyChop int-eq)
have 4:  $\vdash f;(g;((\text{wpowerstar}(f;g));f)) =$ 
 $((f;g);(\text{wpowerstar}(f;g)));f$ 
by (metis ChopAssoc int-eq)
have 5:  $\vdash (f;\text{empty} \vee f;(g;((\text{wpowerstar}(f;g));f))) =$ 
 $(\text{empty};f \vee ((f;g);(\text{wpowerstar}(f;g))));f$ 
using 3 4 by fastforce
have 6:  $\vdash (\text{empty};f \vee ((f;g);(\text{wpowerstar}(f;g))));f =$ 
 $(\text{empty} \vee ((f;g);(\text{wpowerstar}(f;g))));f$ 
by (meson OrChopEqv Prop11)
have 7:  $\vdash f;(\text{empty} \vee g;((\text{wpowerstar}(f;g));f)) =$ 
 $(\text{empty} \vee (f;g);(\text{wpowerstar}(f;g)));f$ 
by (metis 2 3 4 6 inteq-reflection)
have 8:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (f;g);(\text{wpowerstar}(f;g))) = (\text{wpowerstar}(f;g))$ 
by (simp add: wpowerstar-unfoldl-eq)
show ?thesis by (metis 1 7 8 int-eq)
qed

```

```

lemma SChopstar-slide :
 $\vdash (\text{schopstar}(f \sim g)) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}) = f \sim (\text{schopstar}(g \sim f))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f \sim (\text{schopstar}(g \sim f)) = f \sim (\text{empty} \vee g \sim ((\text{schopstar}(f \sim g)) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite})))$ 
by (metis RightSChopEqvSChop SChopstar-star-prod-unfold inteq-reflection)
have 2:  $\vdash f \sim (\text{empty} \vee g \sim ((\text{schopstar}(f \sim g)) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}))) =$ 
 $(f \sim \text{empty} \vee f \sim (g \sim ((\text{schopstar}(f \sim g)) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}))))$ 
by (simp add: SChopOrEqv)
have 3:  $\vdash f \sim \text{empty} = \text{empty} \sim (f \wedge \text{finite})$ 
by (metis DiamondEmptyEqvFinite EmptySChop FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty SChopAndCommute
SChopAndEmptyEqvSChopAndEmpty TrueSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection)
have 4:  $\vdash f \sim (g \sim ((\text{schopstar}(f \sim g)) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}))) =$ 
 $((f \sim g) \sim (\text{schopstar}(f \sim g))) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite})$ 

```

```

by (metis SChopAssoc inteq-reflection)
have 5:  $\vdash (f \sim empty \vee f \sim (g \sim ((schopstar (f \sim g)) \sim (f \wedge finite)))) =$   

 $(empty \sim (f \wedge finite) \vee ((f \sim g) \sim (schopstar (f \sim g))) \sim (f \wedge finite))$ 
using 3 4 by fastforce
have 6:  $\vdash (empty \sim (f \wedge finite) \vee ((f \sim g) \sim (schopstar (f \sim g))) \sim (f \wedge finite)) =$   

 $(empty \vee ((f \sim g) \sim (schopstar (f \sim g)))) \sim (f \wedge finite)$ 
by (meson OrSChopEqv Prop11)
have 7:  $\vdash f \sim (empty \vee g \sim ((schopstar (f \sim g)) \sim (f \wedge finite))) =$   

 $(empty \vee (f \sim g) \sim (schopstar (f \sim g))) \sim (f \wedge finite)$ 
by (metis 2 3 4 6 inteq-reflection)
have 8:  $\vdash (empty \vee (f \sim g) \sim (schopstar (f \sim g))) = (schopstar (f \sim g))$ 
by (simp add: SChopstar-unfoldl-eq)
show ?thesis by (metis 1 7 8 int-eq)
qed

lemma WPowerstar-slide-var :
 $\vdash (wpowerstar f) ; f = f ; (wpowerstar f)$ 
by (metis EmptyOrChopEqv Prop11 WPowerstarInductR WPowerstar-slide1-var1 WPowerstar-unfoldr-eq
int-eq)

lemma SChopstar-slide-var :
 $\vdash (schopstar f) \sim (f \wedge finite) = f \sim (schopstar f)$ 
using WPowerstar-slide-var
by (metis (no-types, lifting) FPowerstar-WPowerstar Prop10 SChopstar-finite SChopstar-WPowerstar
int-eq schop-d-def)

lemma SChopstarMore-slide-var :
 $\vdash (schopstar f) \sim ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) = (f \wedge more) \sim (schopstar f)$ 
using SChopstar-slide-var[of LIFT f \wedge more]
SChopstar-and-more[of f]
by (metis inteq-reflection)

lemma WPowerstar-or-unfold-var:
 $\vdash (empty \vee (wpowerstar f); ((wpowerstar (f \vee g)); (wpowerstar g))) = (wpowerstar (f \vee g))$ 
by (metis ChopAssoc WPowerstar-denest WPowerstar-denest-var-4 WPowerstar-slide inteq-reflection
wpowerstar-unfoldl-eq)

lemma SChopstar-or-unfold-var:
 $\vdash (empty \vee (schopstar f) \sim ((schopstar (f \vee g)) \sim (schopstar g))) = (schopstar (f \vee g))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (schopstar f) \sim ((schopstar (f \vee g)) \sim (schopstar g)) =$   

 $(schopstar f) \sim ((schopstar (f \vee g)) \sim ((schopstar g) \wedge finite))$ 
by (simp add: Prop10 RightSChopEqvSChop SChopstar-finite)
have 2:  $\vdash (schopstar f) \sim ((schopstar (f \vee g)) \sim ((schopstar g) \wedge finite)) =$   

 $(schopstar (f \vee g))$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) SChopstar-denest-var SChopstar-denest-var-2 SChopstar-denest-var-3
SChopstar-slide SChopstar-swap int-eq)
show ?thesis
using 1 2 SChopstar-imp-empty by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *WPowerstar-or-unfold*:

$$\vdash ((w\text{powerstar } f) \vee (w\text{powerstar } f);(g;(w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)))) = (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g))$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) = (w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } (g;(w\text{powerstar } f)))$
by (meson Prop11 *WPowerstar-denest-var*)

have 2: $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } (g;(w\text{powerstar } f))) =$
 $(w\text{powerstar } f);(\text{empty} \vee (g;(w\text{powerstar } f));(w\text{powerstar } (g;(w\text{powerstar } f))))$
using RightChopEqvChop *WPowerstarEqv* **by** blast

have 3: $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f);(\text{empty} \vee (g;(w\text{powerstar } f));(w\text{powerstar } (g;(w\text{powerstar } f)))) =$
 $(w\text{powerstar } f);(\text{empty} \vee g;(w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)))$
by (metis 1 2 ChopAssoc int-eq)

have 4: $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f);(\text{empty} \vee g;(w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g))) =$
 $((w\text{powerstar } f);\text{empty} \vee (w\text{powerstar } f);(g;(w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g))))$
using ChopOrEqv **by** blast

have 5: $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f);\text{empty} = (w\text{powerstar } f)$
by (simp add: ChopEmpty)

have 6: $\vdash ((w\text{powerstar } f);\text{empty} \vee (w\text{powerstar } f);(g;(w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)))) =$
 $((w\text{powerstar } f) \vee (w\text{powerstar } f);(g;(w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g))))$
using 5 **by** auto

show ?thesis
by (metis 1 2 3 4 6 int-eq)

qed

lemma *SChopstar-or-unfold*:

$$\vdash ((s\text{chopstar } f) \vee (s\text{chopstar } f)\text{``}(g\text{``}(s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g)))) = (s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g))$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g)) = (s\text{chopstar } f)\text{``}(s\text{chopstar } (g\text{``}(s\text{chopstar } f)))$
by (meson Prop11 *SChopstar-denest-var*)

have 2: $\vdash (s\text{chopstar } f)\text{``}(s\text{chopstar } (g\text{``}(s\text{chopstar } f))) =$
 $(s\text{chopstar } f)\text{``}(\text{empty} \vee (g\text{``}(s\text{chopstar } f))\text{``}(s\text{chopstar } (g\text{``}(s\text{chopstar } f))))$
by (meson Prop11 RightSChopEqvSChop *SChopstar-unfoldl-eq*)

have 3: $\vdash (s\text{chopstar } f)\text{``}(\text{empty} \vee (g\text{``}(s\text{chopstar } f))\text{``}(s\text{chopstar } (g\text{``}(s\text{chopstar } f)))) =$
 $(s\text{chopstar } f)\text{``}(\text{empty} \vee g\text{``}(s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g)))$
by (metis 1 2 SChopAssoc inteq-reflection)

have 4: $\vdash (s\text{chopstar } f)\text{``}(\text{empty} \vee g\text{``}(s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g))) =$
 $((s\text{chopstar } f)\text{``}\text{empty} \vee (s\text{chopstar } f)\text{``}(g\text{``}(s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g))))$
using SChopOrEqv **by** blast

have 5: $\vdash (s\text{chopstar } f)\text{``}\text{empty} = (s\text{chopstar } f)$
by (metis ChopEmpty Prop10 *SChopstar-finite inteq-reflection schop-d-def*)

have 6: $\vdash ((s\text{chopstar } f)\text{``}\text{empty} \vee (s\text{chopstar } f)\text{``}(g\text{``}(s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g)))) =$
 $((s\text{chopstar } f) \vee (s\text{chopstar } f)\text{``}(g\text{``}(s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g))))$
using 5 **by** auto

show ?thesis
by (metis 1 2 3 4 6 int-eq)

qed

lemma *SChopstarMore-or-unfold*:

$$\vdash ((s\text{chopstar } f) \vee (s\text{chopstar } f)\text{``}((g \wedge \text{more})\text{``}(s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g)))) = (s\text{chopstar } (f \vee g))$$

using $SChopstar\text{-}or\text{-}unfold$ [of LIFT $f \wedge$ more LIFT $g \wedge$ more]
 $SChopstar\text{-}and\text{-}more$ [of f] $SChopstar\text{-}and\text{-}more$ [of g]
 $SChopstar\text{-}and\text{-}more$ [of LIFT $(f \vee g)$]
 $SChopstarMore\text{-}or\text{-}and$ [of $f g$]
by (metis *inteq-reflection*)

lemma $WPowerstar\text{-}troeger$:

$$\vdash (wPowerstar (f \vee g)); h = (wPowerstar f); (g; ((wPowerstar (f \vee g)); h) \vee h)$$

proof –

$$\text{have 1: } \vdash (wPowerstar (f \vee g)); h = ((wPowerstar f) \vee (wPowerstar f); (g; (wPowerstar (f \vee g)))); h$$

using $WPowerstar\text{-}or\text{-}unfold$ [of $f g$] **by** (metis *LeftChopEqvChop int-eq*)

$$\text{have 2: } \vdash ((wPowerstar f) \vee (wPowerstar f); (g; ((wPowerstar (f \vee g)); h)) \vee h = ((wPowerstar f); h \vee ((wPowerstar f); (g; (wPowerstar (f \vee g)))); h)$$

by (simp add: *OrChopEqv*)

$$\text{have 3: } \vdash ((wPowerstar f); (g; ((wPowerstar (f \vee g)); h)) \vee h = (wPowerstar f); (g; ((wPowerstar (f \vee g)); h)))$$

by (metis *ChopAssoc inteq-reflection*)

$$\text{have 3: } \vdash ((wPowerstar f); h \vee ((wPowerstar f); (g; (wPowerstar (f \vee g)))); h) = (wPowerstar f); (h \vee g; ((wPowerstar (f \vee g)); h))$$

by (metis 3 *ChopOrEqv inteq-reflection*)

$$\text{have 4: } \vdash (h \vee g; ((wPowerstar (f \vee g)); h)) = (g; ((wPowerstar (f \vee g)); h)) \vee h$$

by fastforce

show ?thesis

by (metis 1 2 3 4 *int-eq*)

qed

lemma $SChopstar\text{-}troeger$:

$$\vdash (schopstar (f \vee g)) \neg (h) = (schopstar f) \neg (g \neg ((schopstar (f \vee g)) \neg (h)) \vee (h))$$

proof –

$$\text{have 1: } \vdash (schopstar (f \vee g)) \neg (h) = ((schopstar f) \vee (schopstar f) \neg (g \neg ((schopstar (f \vee g)) \neg (h)) \neg (h)))$$

using $SChopstar\text{-}or\text{-}unfold$ [of $f g$] **by** (metis *LeftSChopEqvSChop int-eq*)

$$\text{have 2: } \vdash ((schopstar f) \vee (schopstar f) \neg (g \neg ((schopstar (f \vee g)) \neg (h)) \neg (h)) \neg (h) = ((schopstar f) \neg h \vee ((schopstar f) \neg (g \neg ((schopstar (f \vee g)) \neg (h)) \neg h)) \neg h)$$

by (simp add: *OrSChopEqv*)

$$\text{have 3: } \vdash ((schopstar f) \neg (g \neg ((schopstar (f \vee g)) \neg (h))) \neg h = (schopstar f) \neg (g \neg ((schopstar (f \vee g)) \neg (h)))$$

by (metis *SChopAssoc inteq-reflection*)

$$\text{have 3: } \vdash ((schopstar f) \neg h \vee ((schopstar f) \neg (g \neg ((schopstar (f \vee g)) \neg (h))) \neg h) = (schopstar f) \neg ((h) \vee g \neg ((schopstar (f \vee g)) \neg (h)))$$

by (metis 3 *SChopOrEqv inteq-reflection*)

$$\text{have 4: } \vdash ((h) \vee g \neg ((schopstar (f \vee g)) \neg (h))) = (g \neg ((schopstar (f \vee g)) \neg (h))) \vee (h)$$

by fastforce

show ?thesis

by (*metis 1 2 3 4 int-eq*)
qed

lemma *SChopstarMore-troeger*:

$$\vdash (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g)) \neg (h) = \\ (\text{schopstar } f) \neg ((g \wedge \text{more}) \neg ((\text{schopstar } (f \vee g)) \neg (h)) \vee (h))$$

using *SChopstar-troeger*[of *LIFT f* \wedge *more LIFT g* \wedge *more h*]

SChopstar-and-more[of *f*] *SChopstar-and-more*[of *g*]

SChopstar-and-more[of *LIFT (f \vee g)*]

SChopstarMore-or-and[of *f g*]

by (*metis integ-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-square*:

$$\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } (f; f)) \longrightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } f)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f; f); (\text{wpowerstar } f) \longrightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } f)$

by (*simp add: Chop-WPowerstar-Closure WPowerstar-ext*)

show ?thesis

by (*simp add: 1 WPowerstar-induct-lvar-star*)

qed

lemma *SChopstar-square*:

$$\vdash (\text{schopstar } (f \neg f)) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } f)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \neg f) \neg (\text{schopstar } f) \longrightarrow (\text{schopstar } f)$

by (*metis Prop05 RightSChopImpSChop SChopAssoc SChopstar-1L SChopstar-unfoldl-eq integ-reflection*)

show ?thesis

by (*simp add: 1 SChopstar-induct-lvar-star*)

qed

lemma *WPowerstar-meyer-1*:

$$\vdash (\text{empty} \vee f); (\text{wpowerstar } (f ; f)) = (\text{wpowerstar } f)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f; ((\text{empty} \vee f); (\text{wpowerstar } (f ; f))) =$

$$f; (\text{empty}; (\text{wpowerstar } (f ; f)) \vee f; (\text{wpowerstar } (f ; f)))$$

by (*simp add: OrChopEqv RightChopEqvChop*)

have 2: $\vdash (\text{empty}; (\text{wpowerstar } (f ; f)) \vee f; (\text{wpowerstar } (f ; f))) =$

$$((\text{wpowerstar } (f ; f)) \vee f; (\text{wpowerstar } (f ; f)))$$

by (*meson EmptyOrChopEqv OrChopEqv Prop04*)

have 3: $\vdash f; (\text{empty}; (\text{wpowerstar } (f ; f)) \vee f; (\text{wpowerstar } (f ; f))) =$

$$f; ((\text{wpowerstar } (f ; f)) \vee f; (\text{wpowerstar } (f ; f)))$$

using 2 RightChopEqvChop by blast

have 4: $\vdash f; ((\text{wpowerstar } (f ; f)) \vee f; (\text{wpowerstar } (f ; f))) \longrightarrow$

$$f; (\text{wpowerstar } (f ; f)) \vee (\text{wpowerstar } (f ; f))$$

by (*metis ChopAssoc ChopOrImp Prop05 Prop08 int-eq int-iffD2 wpowerstar-unfoldl-eq*)

have 41: $\vdash f; ((\text{empty} \vee f); (\text{wpowerstar } (f ; f))) \longrightarrow f; (\text{wpowerstar } (f; f) \vee f; \text{wpowerstar } (f; f))$

using *EmptyOrChopEqv*[of *f LIFT (wpowerstar (f ; f))*]

by (*metis 1 Prop11 integ-reflection*)

have 42: $\vdash f; (\text{wpowerstar } (f; f) \vee f; \text{wpowerstar } (f; f)) = (f; \text{wpowerstar } (f; f) \vee f; (f; \text{wpowerstar } (f; f)))$

using *ChopOrEqv*[of *f LIFT wpowerstar (f; f) LIFT f; wpowerstar (f; f)*]

```

by auto
have 43:  $\vdash (f; wpowerstar (f; f) \vee f; (f; wpowerstar (f; f))) =$ 
 $(f; wpowerstar (f; f) \vee (f; f); wpowerstar (f; f))$ 
using ChopAssoc[of f f LIFT wpowerstar (f; f)] by auto
have 44:  $\vdash (f; wpowerstar (f; f) \vee (f; f); wpowerstar (f; f)) \longrightarrow ((empty \vee f); (wpowerstar (f ; f)))$ 
using wpowerstar-unfoldl-eq[of LIFT (f; f) ]
using EmptyOrChopEqv by fastforce
have 5:  $\vdash f; ((empty \vee f); (wpowerstar (f ; f))) \longrightarrow$ 
 $((empty \vee f); (wpowerstar (f ; f)))$ 
by (metis 1 3 42 43 44 int-eq)
have 6:  $\vdash empty \longrightarrow ((empty \vee f); (wpowerstar (f ; f)))$ 
using EmptyOrChopEqv wpowerstar-unfoldl-eq by fastforce
have 7:  $\vdash empty \vee f; ((empty \vee f); (wpowerstar (f ; f))) \longrightarrow$ 
 $((empty \vee f); (wpowerstar (f ; f)))$ 
using 5 6 Prop02 by blast
have 8:  $\vdash (wpowerstar f) \longrightarrow ((empty \vee f); (wpowerstar (f ; f)))$ 
using 7 WPowerstar-induct-lvar-empty by blast
have 9:  $\vdash ((empty \vee f); (wpowerstar (f ; f))) \longrightarrow (empty \vee f) ; (wpowerstar f)$ 
using WPowerstar-square[of f]
using RightChopImpChop by blast
have 10:  $\vdash (empty \vee f) ; (wpowerstar f) \longrightarrow (wpowerstar f)$ 
by (meson Prop02 WPowerstarInductR WPowerstar-1R WPowerstar-ext WPowerstar-imp-empty)
show ?thesis
by (meson 10 8 9 int-iffI lift-imp-trans)
qed

```

lemma SChopstar-meyer-1:

$$\vdash (empty \vee f) \sim (schopstar (f \sim f)) = (schopstar f)$$

proof -

```

have 1:  $\vdash f \sim ((empty \vee f) \sim (schopstar (f \sim f))) =$ 
 $f \sim (empty \sim (schopstar (f \sim f))) \vee f \sim (schopstar (f \sim f))$ 
by (simp add: OrSChopEqv RightSChopEqvSChop)
have 2:  $\vdash (empty \sim (schopstar (f \sim f))) \vee f \sim (schopstar (f \sim f)) =$ 
 $((schopstar (f \sim f)) \vee f \sim (schopstar (f \sim f)))$ 
by (meson EmptyOrSChopEqv OrSChopEqv Prop04)
have 3:  $\vdash f \sim (empty \sim (schopstar (f \sim f))) \vee f \sim (schopstar (f \sim f)) =$ 
 $f \sim ((schopstar (f \sim f)) \vee f \sim (schopstar (f \sim f)))$ 
using 2 RightSChopEqvSChop by blast
have 4:  $\vdash f \sim ((schopstar (f \sim f)) \vee f \sim (schopstar (f \sim f))) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(schopstar (f \sim f)) \vee f \sim (schopstar (f \sim f))$ 
using SChopAssoc SChopOrEqv SChopstar-unfoldl-eq by fastforce
have 41:  $\vdash ((schopstar (f \sim f)) \vee f \sim (schopstar (f \sim f))) =$ 
 $((empty \vee f) \sim (schopstar (f \sim f)))$ 
by (metis 2 OrSChopEqv inteq-reflection)
have 5:  $\vdash f \sim ((empty \vee f) \sim (schopstar (f \sim f))) \longrightarrow$ 
 $((empty \vee f) \sim (schopstar (f \sim f)))$ 
by (metis 4 41 int-eq)
have 6:  $\vdash empty \longrightarrow ((empty \vee f) \sim (schopstar (f \sim f)))$ 
using EmptyOrSChopEqv SChopstar-imp-empty by fastforce
have 7:  $\vdash empty \vee f \sim ((empty \vee f) \sim (schopstar (f \sim f))) \longrightarrow$ 

```

```

((empty ∨ f)¬(schopstar (f ∼ f)))
using 5 6 Prop02 by blast
have 8: ⊢ (schopstar f) → ((empty ∨ f)¬(schopstar (f ∼ f)))
using 7 SChopstar-induct-lvar-empty by blast
have 9: ⊢ ((empty ∨ f)¬(schopstar (f ∼ f))) → (empty ∨ f) ¬(schopstar f)
using SChopstar-square[of f]
using RightSChopImpSChop by blast
have 10: ⊢ (empty ∨ f) ¬(schopstar f) → (schopstar f)
by (metis SChopstar-1L SChopstar-star2 inteq-reflection)
show ?thesis
by (meson 10 8 9 int-iffI lift-imp-trans)
qed

lemma SChopstarMore-meyer-1:
⊢ (empty ∨ (f ∧ more))¬(schopstar (f ∼ f)) = (schopstar f)
proof -
have 1: ⊢ (f ∧ more)¬((empty ∨ (f ∧ more))¬(schopstar (f ∼ f))) =
(f ∧ more)¬(empty¬(schopstar (f ∼ f)) ∨ (f ∧ more)¬(schopstar (f ∼ f)))
by (simp add: OrSChopEqv RightSChopEqvSChop)
have 2: ⊢ (empty¬(schopstar (f ∼ f)) ∨ (f ∧ more)¬(schopstar (f ∼ f))) =
((schopstar (f ∼ f)) ∨ (f ∧ more)¬(schopstar (f ∼ f)))
by (meson EmptyOrSChopEqv OrSChopEqv Prop04)
have 3: ⊢ (f ∧ more)¬(empty¬(schopstar (f ∼ f)) ∨ (f ∧ more)¬(schopstar (f ∼ f))) =
(f ∧ more)¬((schopstar (f ∼ f)) ∨ (f ∧ more)¬(schopstar (f ∼ f)))
using 2 RightSChopEqvSChop by blast
have 30: ⊢ ((f ∧ more)¬(f ∧ more)) → f ∼ f
by (metis Prop11 Prop12 SChopImpSChop lift-and-com)
have 31: ⊢ ((f ∧ more)¬(f ∧ more)) ¬(schopstar (f ∼ f)) → (schopstar (f ∼ f))
by (metis 30 AndSChopA Prop05 Prop10 SChopstar-unfoldl-eq int-eq lift-and-com)
have 4: ⊢ (f ∧ more)¬((schopstar (f ∼ f)) ∨ (f ∧ more)¬(schopstar (f ∼ f))) →
(f ∧ more)¬(schopstar (f ∼ f)) ∨ (schopstar (f ∼ f))
using 31 SChopAssoc SChopOrImp by fastforce
have 5: ⊢ (f ∧ more)¬((empty ∨ (f ∧ more))¬(schopstar (f ∼ f))) →
((empty ∨ (f ∧ more))¬(schopstar (f ∼ f)))
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) 4 EmptyOrSChopEqv Prop11 int-simps(33)
inteq-reflection lift-and-com lift-imp-trans)
have 6: ⊢ empty → ((empty ∨ (f ∧ more))¬(schopstar (f ∼ f)))
using EmptyOrSChopEqv SChopstar-unfoldl-eq by fastforce
have 7: ⊢ empty ∨ (f ∧ more)¬((empty ∨ (f ∧ more))¬(schopstar (f ∼ f))) →
((empty ∨ (f ∧ more))¬(schopstar (f ∼ f)))
using 5 6 Prop02 by blast
have 8: ⊢ (schopstar f) → ((empty ∨ (f ∧ more))¬(schopstar (f ∼ f)))
using 7 SChopstarMore-induct-lvar-empty by blast
have 9: ⊢ ((empty ∨ (f ∧ more))¬(schopstar (f ∼ f))) → (empty ∨ (f ∧ more)) ¬(schopstar f)
using SChopstar-square[of f]
using RightSChopImpSChop by blast
have 10: ⊢ (empty ∨ (f ∧ more)) ¬(schopstar f) → (schopstar f)
by (metis SChopstar-1L SChopstar-and-more SChopstar-star2 inteq-reflection)
show ?thesis
by (meson 10 8 9 int-iffI lift-imp-trans)

```

qed

lemma *WPowerstar-tc*:
assumes $\vdash f; f \rightarrow f$
shows $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f); f = f$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash f \vee f; f \rightarrow f$
 using assms by fastforce
 have 2: $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f); f \rightarrow f$
 by (simp add: *WPowerstar-inductL-var-equiv assms*)
 have 3: $\vdash f \rightarrow (w\text{powerstar } f); f$
 by (metis AndChopB EmptyChop Prop10 *WPowerstar-imp-empty inteq-reflection*)
 show ?thesis
 by (simp add: 2 3 Prop11)
qed

lemma *SChopstar-tc*:
assumes $\vdash f \sim f \rightarrow f$
shows $\vdash (s\text{chopstar } f) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}) = (f \wedge \text{finite})$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash f \vee f \sim f \rightarrow f$
 using assms by fastforce
 have 2: $\vdash (s\text{chopstar } f) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow f \wedge \text{finite}$
 by (metis Prop12 *SChopAndA SChopstar-1R SChopstar-finite SChopstar-induct-lvar assms lift-imp-trans*)
 have 3: $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow (s\text{chopstar } f) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite})$
 by (metis EmptySChop LeftSChopImpSChop *SChopstar-imp-empty inteq-reflection*)
 show ?thesis
 by (simp add: 2 3 Prop11)
qed

lemma *WPowerstar-tc-eq*:
assumes $\vdash f; f = f$
shows $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f); f = f$
using assms
by (simp add: *WPowerstar-induct-lvar-eq2*)

lemma *SChopstar-tc-eq*:
assumes $\vdash f \sim f = f$
shows $\vdash (s\text{chopstar } f) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}) = (f \wedge \text{finite})$
using assms
by (simp add: *SChopstar-tc int-iffD1*)

lemma *WPowerstar-boffa-var*:
assumes $\vdash f; f \rightarrow f$
shows $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f) = (\text{empty} \vee f)$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f) = (\text{empty} \vee (w\text{powerstar } f); f)$
 by (metis *WPowerstar-slide-var WPowerstarEqv int-eq*)
 show ?thesis
 using 1 Prop06 *WPowerstar-tc assms by blast*

qed

lemma *SChopstar-boffa-var*:
assumes $\vdash f \sim f \rightarrow f$
shows $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) = (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{finite}))$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) = (\text{empty} \vee (\text{schopstar } f) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}))$
 by (meson *SChopstar-unfoldR SChopstar-unfoldr-eq int-iffD2 int-iffI*)
 show ?thesis
 using 1 Prop06 *SChopstar-tc assms* **by** blast
qed

lemma *WPowerstar-boffa*:
assumes $\vdash f; f = f$
shows $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } f) = (\text{empty} \vee f)$
using *assms*
by (simp add: *WPowerstar-boffa-var int-iffD1*)

lemma *SChopstar-boffa*:
assumes $\vdash f \sim f = f$
shows $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) = (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{finite}))$
using *assms*
by (simp add: *SChopstar-boffa-var int-iffD1*)

lemma *WPowerstar-sim2*:
assumes $\vdash h ; f \rightarrow g ; h$
shows $\vdash h ; (\text{wpowerstar } f) \rightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } g) ; h$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } g) ; (h ; f) \rightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } g) ; (g ; h)$
 by (simp add: *RightChopImpChop assms*)
 have 2: $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } g) ; (g ; h) \rightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } g) ; h$
 by (metis *ChopAssoc LeftChopImpChop WPowerstar-1L WPowerstar-slide-var inteq-reflection*)
 have 3: $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } g) ; (h ; f) \rightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } g) ; h$
 using 1 2 lift-imp-trans **by** blast
 have 4: $\vdash h \rightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } g) ; h$
 by (metis *AndChopB EmptyChop Prop10 WPowerstar-imp-empty inteq-reflection*)
 have 5: $\vdash h \vee (\text{wpowerstar } g) ; (h ; f) \rightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } g) ; h$
 using 3 4 Prop02 **by** blast
 show ?thesis
 by (metis 5 *ChopAssoc WPowerstarInductR inteq-reflection*)
qed

lemma *SChopstarInductR*:
assumes $\vdash g \vee h \sim f \rightarrow h$
shows $\vdash g \sim \text{schopstar } f \rightarrow h$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash g \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow h$
 using *assms* **by** fastforce
 have 2: $\vdash h \sim f \rightarrow h$

```

using assms by fastforce
have 3: ⊢ g ∧ finite ∨ h ∼ f → h
  using 1 2 by fastforce
have 4: ⊢ (g ∧ finite);fpowerstar f → h
  by (metis 1 2 ChopSChopdef FPowerstarInductR OrFiniteInf Prop02 Prop03 inteq-reflection)
show ?thesis
using FPowerstar-more-absorb[of f] 4
  by (metis int-eq schop-d-def schopstar-d-def)
qed

```

lemma SChopstar-sim2:

assumes $\vdash h \sim f \rightarrow g \sim h$

shows $\vdash h \sim (\text{schopstar } f) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } g) \sim h$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{schopstar } g) \sim (h \sim (f)) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } g) \sim (g \sim h)$

by (simp add: RightSChopImpSChop assms)

have 2: $\vdash (\text{schopstar } g) \sim (g \sim h) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } g) \sim h$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) ChopAssoc LeftChopImpChop Prop10 SChopstar-1L SChopstar-finite SChopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-slide-var inteq-reflection schop-d-def)

have 3: $\vdash (\text{schopstar } g) \sim (h \sim (f)) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } g) \sim h$

using 1 2 lift-imp-trans by blast

have 4: $\vdash h \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } g) \sim h$

by (meson EmptySChop LeftSChopImpSChop Prop11 SChopstar-imp-empty lift-imp-trans)

have 5: $\vdash h \vee (\text{schopstar } g) \sim (h \sim (f)) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } g) \sim h$

using 3 4 Prop02 by blast

show ?thesis

by (metis 5 SChopAssoc SChopstarInductR inteq-reflection)

qed

lemma SChopImpFinite:

$\vdash f \rightarrow \text{finite} \implies \vdash g \sim f \rightarrow \text{finite}$

by (metis DiamondEmptyEqvFinite FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty Prop10 SChopSFinExportA SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty TrueSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection)

lemma SChopstar-sim2-finite:

assumes $\vdash h \sim f \rightarrow g \sim h$

shows $\vdash h \sim (\text{schopstar } f) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } g) \sim (h \wedge \text{finite})$

using assms SChopstar-sim2

by (metis FiniteImportSChopRight Prop12 SChopImpFinite SChopstar-finite inteq-reflection)

lemma WPowerstar-inductr-var:

assumes $\vdash g ; f \rightarrow g$

shows $\vdash g ; (\text{wpowerstar } f) \rightarrow g$

using assms

by (simp add: WPowerstarInductR)

lemma SChopstar-inductr-var:

assumes $\vdash g \sim f \rightarrow g$

shows $\vdash g \sim (\text{schopstar } f) \rightarrow g$

using assms

by (*simp add: SChopstarInductR*)

lemma *SChopstarMore-inductr-var*:

assumes $\vdash g \sim (f \wedge more) \rightarrow g$

shows $\vdash g \sim (schopstar f) \rightarrow g$

using *assms*

by (*metis SChopstar-and-more SChopstar-inductr-var inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopstar-finite-absorb*:

$\vdash schopstar (f \wedge finite) = schopstar f$

by (*metis Prop10 SChopstar-ext SChopstar-finite SChopstar-WPowerstar int-eq lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *SChopstar-inductr-finite-var*:

assumes $\vdash g \sim (f \wedge finite) \rightarrow g$

shows $\vdash g \sim (schopstar f) \rightarrow g$

using *assms*

by (*metis SChopstar-finite-absorb SChopstar-inductr-var inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopstarMore-inductr-finite-var*:

assumes $\vdash g \sim ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) \rightarrow g$

shows $\vdash g \sim (schopstar f) \rightarrow g$

using *assms*

by (*metis SChopstar-and-more SChopstar-inductr-finite-var inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-inductr-var-equiv*:

$(\vdash g ; f \rightarrow g) = (\vdash g ; (wpowerstar f) \rightarrow g)$

proof -

have 1: $(\vdash g ; f \rightarrow g) \Rightarrow (\vdash g ; (wpowerstar f) \rightarrow g)$

by (*simp add: WPowerstar-inductr-var*)

have 2: $(\vdash g ; (wpowerstar f) \rightarrow g) \Rightarrow (\vdash g ; f \rightarrow g)$

by (*metis ChopAndB Prop10 WPowerstar-ext int-eq lift-imp-trans*)

show ?thesis using 1 2 by blast

qed

lemma *SChopstar-inductr-var-equiv*:

$(\vdash g \sim (f \wedge finite) \rightarrow g) = (\vdash g \sim (schopstar f) \rightarrow g)$

proof -

have 1: $(\vdash g \sim (f \wedge finite) \rightarrow g) \Rightarrow (\vdash g \sim (schopstar f) \rightarrow g)$

by (*simp add: SChopstar-inductr-finite-var*)

have 2: $(\vdash g \sim (schopstar f) \rightarrow g) \Rightarrow (\vdash g \sim (f \wedge finite) \rightarrow g)$

by (*metis Prop10 Prop12 SChopAndB SChopstar-ext inteq-reflection*)

show ?thesis using 1 2 by blast

qed

lemma *SChopstarMore-inductr-var-equiv*:

$(\vdash g \sim ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) \rightarrow g) = (\vdash g \sim (schopstar f) \rightarrow g)$

using *SChopstar-inductr-var-equiv*[*of g LIFT f* *and more*]

SChopstar-and-more[*of f*]

by (*metis inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-sim3*:
assumes $\vdash h ; f = g ; h$
shows $\vdash h ; (w\text{powerstar } f) = (w\text{powerstar } g) ; h$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: Prop11 WPowerstar-sim1 WPowerstar-sim2*)

lemma *SChopstar-sim3*:
assumes $\vdash h \frown f = g \frown h$
shows $\vdash h \frown (s\text{chopstar } f) = (s\text{chopstar } g) \frown (h \wedge \text{finite})$
using *SChopstar-sim1 SChopstar-sim2-finite*
by (*metis Prop11 assms*)

lemma *SChopstarMore-sim3*:
assumes $\vdash h \frown (f \wedge \text{more}) = (g \wedge \text{more}) \frown h$
shows $\vdash h \frown (s\text{chopstar } f) = (s\text{chopstar } g) \frown (h \wedge \text{finite})$
by (*metis SChopstar-and-more SChopstar-sim3 assms inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-sim4*:
assumes $\vdash f ; g \longrightarrow g ; f$
shows $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f);(w\text{powerstar } g) \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } g) ; (w\text{powerstar } f)$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: WPowerstar-sim1 WPowerstar-sim2*)

lemma *SChopstar-sim4*:
assumes $\vdash f \frown g \longrightarrow g \frown f$
shows $\vdash (s\text{chopstar } f) \frown (s\text{chopstar } g) \longrightarrow (s\text{chopstar } g) \frown (s\text{chopstar } f)$
using *assms*
by (*metis Prop10 SChopstar-finite SChopstar-sim1 SChopstar-sim2 inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-inductr-eq*:
assumes $\vdash (h \vee g ; f) = g$
shows $\vdash h;(w\text{powerstar } f) \longrightarrow g$
using *assms*
using *WPowerstarInductR int-iffD1* **by** *blast*

lemma *SChopstar-inductr-eq*:
assumes $\vdash (h \vee g \frown f) = g$
shows $\vdash h \frown (s\text{chopstar } f) \longrightarrow g$
using *assms* **using** *SChopstarInductR int-iffD1* **by** *blast*

lemma *SChopstarMore-inductr-eq*:
assumes $\vdash (h \vee g \frown (f \wedge \text{more})) = g$
shows $\vdash h \frown (s\text{chopstar } f) \longrightarrow g$
using *assms*
by (*metis SChopstar-and-more SChopstar-inductr-eq inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-inductr-var-eq*:

```

assumes  $\vdash (g ; f) = g$ 
shows  $\vdash g;(\text{wpowerstar } f) \longrightarrow g$ 
using assms
using WPowerstar-inductr-var int-iffD1 by blast

lemma SChopstar-inductr-var-eq:
assumes  $\vdash (g \frown f) = g$ 
shows  $\vdash g \frown (\text{schopstar } f) \longrightarrow g$ 
using assms
using SChopstar-inductr-var int-iffD1 by blast

lemma SChopstarMore-inductr-var-eq:
assumes  $\vdash (g \frown (f \wedge \text{more})) = g$ 
shows  $\vdash g \frown (\text{schopstar } f) \longrightarrow g$ 
using assms
by (simp add: SChopstarMore-inductr-var int-iffD1)

lemma WPowerstar-inductr-var-eq2:
assumes  $\vdash (g ; f) = g$ 
shows  $\vdash g;(\text{wpowerstar } f) = g$ 
using assms
by (metis Prop11 RightChopImpChop WPowerstar-ext WPowerstar-inductr-var-eq inteq-reflection)

lemma SChopstar-inductr-var-eq2:
assumes  $\vdash (g \frown (f \wedge \text{finite})) = g$ 
shows  $\vdash g \frown (\text{schopstar } f) = g$ 
using assms
by (metis Prop11 RightSChopImpSChop SChopstar-ext SChopstar-inductr-finite-var inteq-reflection)

lemma SChopstarMore-inductr-var-eq2:
assumes  $\vdash (g \frown ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})) = g$ 
shows  $\vdash g \frown (\text{schopstar } f) = g$ 
using assms
SChopstar-inductr-var-eq2[of g LIFT f  $\wedge$  more]
SChopstar-and-more[of f]
by (metis inteq-reflection)

lemma WPowerstar-bubble-sort:
assumes  $\vdash g ; f \longrightarrow f ; g$ 
shows  $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } (f \vee g)) = (\text{wpowerstar } f) ; (\text{wpowerstar } g)$ 
using assms
using WPowerstar-church-rosser WPowerstar-sim4 by blast

lemma SChopstar-bubble-sort:
assumes  $\vdash g \frown f \longrightarrow f \frown g$ 
shows  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g)) = (\text{schopstar } f) \frown (\text{schopstar } g)$ 
using assms by (simp add: SChopstar-church-rosser SChopstar-sim4)

```

lemma WPowerstar-indepence1:

```

assumes  $\vdash f ; g = \#False$ 
shows  $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f);g = g$ 
using assms
by (metis EmptyOrChopEqv WPowerstar-induct-lvar-eq2 WPowerstar-star2 assms int-eq int-simps(25))

lemma SChopRightFalse:
 $\vdash f \sim \#False = \#False$ 
by (simp add: intI itl-defs)

lemma SChopstar-indepence1:
assumes  $\vdash f \sim g = \#False$ 
shows  $\vdash (schopstar f) \sim g = g$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (schopstar f) \sim g = (g \vee (schopstar f) \sim (f \sim g))$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) ChopAssoc EmptyOrSChopEqv Prop10 SChopstar-1L SChopstar-finite
      SChopstar-unfoldr-eq SChopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-slide-var inteq-reflection
      lift-imp-trans schop-d-def)
have 2:  $\vdash (schopstar f) \sim (f \sim g) = (schopstar f) \sim (\#False)$ 
by (simp add: RightSChopEqvSChop assms)
have 3:  $\vdash (schopstar f) \sim (\#False) = \#False$ 
by (simp add: SChopRightFalse)
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 by fastforce
qed

lemma WPowerstar-indepence2:
assumes  $\vdash f ; g = \#False$ 
shows  $\vdash f ; (w\text{powerstar } g) = f$ 
using assms WPowerstar-inductr-var-eq2[of f g] WPowerstar-star2[of g]
by (metis ChopEmpty RightChopImpChop WPowerstar-imp-empty WPowerstar-inductr-var-equiv
      int-eq int-iffI int-simps(11))

lemma SChopstar-indepence2:
assumes  $\vdash f \sim g = \#False$ 
shows  $\vdash f \sim (schopstar g) = (f \wedge \text{finite})$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f \sim (schopstar g) = ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (f \sim g) \sim (schopstar g))$ 
by (metis ChopEmpty FPowerstarEqv FPowerstar-more-absorb SChopAssoc SChopOrEqv int-eq
      schop-d-def schopstar-d-def)
have 2:  $\vdash (f \sim g) \sim (schopstar g) = \#False$ 
by (metis AndInfChopEqvAndInf assms int-eq int-simps(19) schop-d-def)
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 2 int-simps(25) inteq-reflection)
qed

lemma WPowerstar-lazy-comm:
 $(\vdash g; f \longrightarrow f; (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) \vee g) =$ 

```

$(\vdash g ; (w\text{powerstar } f) \longrightarrow f ; (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) \vee g)$
proof
assume 1: $\vdash g; f \longrightarrow f; (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) \vee g$
show $\vdash g ; (w\text{powerstar } f) \longrightarrow f ; (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) \vee g$
proof –
have 2: $\vdash (f; (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) \vee g); f = ((f; (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g))); f \vee g; f)$
by (simp add: OrChopEqv)
have 3: $\vdash ((f; (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g))); f \vee g; f) \longrightarrow ((f; (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g))); f \vee (f; (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g))) \vee g)$
using 1 **by** fastforce
have 4: $\vdash (f; (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)); f \longrightarrow (f; (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g))))$
by (metis ChopAssoc RightChopImpChop WPowerstar-subdist-var-1 WPowerstar-trans-eq inteq-reflection)
have 5: $\vdash ((f; (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g))); f \vee (f; (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g))) \vee g) \longrightarrow ((f; (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g))) \vee g)$
using 4 **by** fastforce
have 6: $\vdash g \vee ((f; (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g))) \vee g) \longrightarrow ((f; (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g))) \vee g)$
by fastforce
show ?thesis **using** WPowerstarInductR[of g LIFT $(f; w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g) \vee g) f$]
using 2 3 5 **by** fastforce
qed
next
assume 7: $\vdash g ; (w\text{powerstar } f) \longrightarrow f ; (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) \vee g$
show $\vdash g; f \longrightarrow f; (w\text{powerstar } (f \vee g)) \vee g$
proof –
have 80: $\vdash f; (w\text{powerstar } f) = (f \vee f; (w\text{powerstar } f))$
by (meson ChopEmpty Prop02 Prop05 Prop11 RightChopImpChop WPowerstar-imp-empty lift-imp-trans)
have 8: $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f) = (\text{empty} \vee f \vee f; (w\text{powerstar } f))$
by (meson 80 Prop06 WPowerstarEqv)
have 9: $\vdash g ; (w\text{powerstar } f) = (g; \text{empty} \vee g; f \vee g; (f; (w\text{powerstar } f)))$
by (metis 8 ChopOrEqv int-eq)
show ?thesis
using 7 9 **by** fastforce
qed
qed
lemma SChopstar-lazy-comm:
 $(\vdash g \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow f \sim (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g)) \vee g) =$
 $(\vdash g \sim (\text{schopstar } f) \longrightarrow f \sim (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g)) \vee g)$
proof –
assume 1: $\vdash g \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow f \sim (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g)) \vee g$
show $\vdash g \sim (\text{schopstar } f) \longrightarrow f \sim (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g)) \vee g$
proof –
have 2: $\vdash (f \sim (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g)) \vee g) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}) = ((f \sim (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee g \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}))$
by (simp add: OrSChopEqv)
have 3: $\vdash ((f \sim (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee g \sim (f \wedge \text{finite})) \longrightarrow ((f \sim (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))) \sim (f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (f \sim (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))) \vee g)$
using 1

```

  using SChopAndA by fastforce
have 4: ⊢ (f ∼(schopstar (f ∨ g))) ∼(f ∧ finite) → (f ∼(schopstar (f ∨ g)))
  using SChopstar-subdist-var-1
  by (metis Prop11 RightSChopImpSChop SChopAssoc SChop-SChopstar-Closure SChopstardef lift-imp-trans)
have 5: ⊢ ((f ∼(schopstar (f ∨ g))) ∼(f ∧ finite) ∨ (f ∼(schopstar (f ∨ g))) ∨ g) →
          ((f ∼(schopstar (f ∨ g))) ∨ g)
  using 4 by fastforce
have 6: ⊢ g ∨ ((f ∼(schopstar (f ∨ g))) ∨ g) → ((f ∼(schopstar (f ∨ g))) ∨ g)
  by fastforce
show ?thesis
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) 3 5 OrSChopEqv Prop03 SChopstar-inductr-var-equiv int-eq lift-imp-trans)

qed
next
assume 7: ⊢ g ∼(schopstar f) → f ∼(schopstar (f ∨ g)) ∨ g
show ⊢ g ∼(f ∧ finite) → f ∼(schopstar (f ∨ g)) ∨ g
proof -
  have 8: ⊢ (schopstar f) = (empty ∨ (f ∧ finite) ∨ f ∼(schopstar f))
  by (meson AndSChopA Prop02 Prop05 Prop08 SChopstarMore-unfoldl-eq SChopstar-1L SChopstar-ext
      SChopstar-imp-empty int-iffD2 int-iffI)
  have 9: ⊢ g ∼(schopstar f) = (g ∼empty ∨ g ∼(f ∧ finite) ∨ g ∼(f ∼(schopstar f)))
  by (metis 8 SChopOrEqv inteq-reflection)
show ?thesis
  using 7 9 by fastforce
qed
qed

```

8.6 WPowerstar

```

lemma WPowerstar-and-inf:
  ⊢ (wpowerstar (f ∧ inf)) = (empty ∨ (f ∧ inf))
  by (simp add: AndInfChopEqvAndInf WPowerstar-boffa)

lemma WPowerstar-chop-and-finite-inf:
  ⊢ (wpowerstar f) = (wpowerstar (f ∧ finite));(wpowerstar (f ∧ inf))
  by (metis AndInfChopEqvAndInf OrFiniteInf WPowerstar-denest-var inteq-reflection)

lemma WPowerstar-empty:
  ⊢ (wpowerstar empty) = empty
  by (simp add: WPowerstar-subid)

lemma WPowerstar-more:
  ⊢ (wpowerstar more)
  by (metis ChopImpDi DiMoreEqvMore TrueW WPowerstar-boffa-var empty-d-def int-simps(29) inteq-reflection)

lemma WPowerstar-false:
  ⊢ (wpowerstar #False) = empty
  by (simp add: WPowerstar-subid)

```

lemma *WPowerstar-true*:
 $\vdash (w\text{powerstar} \# \text{True})$
by (*metis MP TrueW WPowerstar-ext*)

lemma *WPowerstar-inf*:
 $\vdash (w\text{powerstar inf}) = (\text{empty} \vee \text{inf})$
by (*simp add: InfChopInfEqvInf WPowerstar-boffa*)

lemma *WPowerstar-finite*:
 $\vdash (w\text{powerstar finite}) = \text{finite}$
by (*meson EmptyImpFinite FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite Prop02 Prop11 WPowerstar-ext WPowerstar-induct-lvar-emp*)

lemma *WPowerstar-imp-finite*:
assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow \text{finite}$
shows $\vdash w\text{powerstar } f \longrightarrow \text{finite}$
using *assms*
by (*metis WPowerstar-finite WPowerstar-iso inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstar-and-empty*:
 $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } (f \wedge \text{empty})) = \text{empty}$
by (*metis AndEmptyChopAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty Prop11 Prop12 WPowerstar-subid inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WPowerstarIntro*:
assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow (g \wedge \text{more}); f$
shows $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow w\text{powerstar } g$
proof -
have 14: $\vdash w\text{powerstar } g = (\text{empty} \vee (g \wedge \text{more}); (w\text{powerstar } g))$
using *WPowerstar-more-absorb[of g] WPowerstarEqv[of LIFT (g \wedge more)]*
by (*metis int-eq*)
have 15: $\vdash (\neg(w\text{powerstar } g)) = (\text{more} \wedge \neg((g \wedge \text{more}); w\text{powerstar } g))$
using 14 **unfolding** *empty-d-def* **by** *fastforce*
have 20: $\vdash f \wedge \neg(w\text{powerstar } g) \longrightarrow (g \wedge \text{more}); f \wedge \neg((g \wedge \text{more}); w\text{powerstar } g)$
using *assms* 15 **by** *fastforce*
have 21: $\vdash g \wedge \text{more} \longrightarrow \text{more}$
by *auto*
from 20 21 **show** ?thesis **using** *ChopContraB[of f LIFT w\text{powerstar } g LIFT (g \wedge more)]*
by *blast*
qed

lemma *WPowerstarIntroMore*:
assumes $\vdash f \wedge \text{more} \longrightarrow (g \wedge \text{more}); f$
shows $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow w\text{powerstar } g$
proof -
have 14: $\vdash w\text{powerstar } g = (\text{empty} \vee (g \wedge \text{more}); (w\text{powerstar } g))$
using *WPowerstar-more-absorb[of g] WPowerstarEqv[of LIFT (g \wedge more)]*
by (*metis int-eq*)
have 15: $\vdash (\neg(w\text{powerstar } g)) = (\text{more} \wedge \neg((g \wedge \text{more}); w\text{powerstar } g))$
using 14 **unfolding** *empty-d-def* **by** *fastforce*

```

have 20:  $\vdash f \wedge \neg(w\text{powerstar } g) \longrightarrow (g \wedge \text{more}); f \wedge \neg((g \wedge \text{more}); w\text{powerstar } g)$ 
  using assms 15 by fastforce
have 21:  $\vdash g \wedge \text{more} \longrightarrow \text{more}$ 
  by auto
from 20 21 show ?thesis using ChopContraB[of f LIFT w\powerstar g LIFT (g \wedge more) ]
  by blast
qed

```

lemma Chopstar-WPowerstar:
 $\vdash \text{chopstar } f = w\text{powerstar } (f \wedge \text{more})$
by (metis FPowerstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-and-inf WPowerstar-chop-and-finite-inf chopstar-d-def
 inteq-reflection powerstar-d-def)

lemma Chopstar-FPowerstar:
 $\vdash \text{chopstar } f = (\text{fpowerstar } f); (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}))$
by (metis Chopstar-WPowerstar FPowerstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-and-inf WPowerstar-chop-and-finite-inf
 WPowerstar-more-absorb inteq-reflection)

lemma SChopstar-WPowerstar-more:
 $\vdash \text{schopstar } f = w\text{powerstar } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})$
by (simp add: FPowerstar-WPowerstar schopstar-d-def)

lemma SChopstar-FPowerstar:
 $\vdash \text{schopstar } f = (\text{fpowerstar } f)$
by (simp add: FPowerstar-more-absorb schopstar-d-def)

lemma WPowerstar-skip-finite:
 $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } \text{skip}) = \text{finite}$
by (metis EmptyImpFinite EmptyNextInducta Prop02 SkipChopFiniteImpFinite WPowerstar-1L
 WPowerstar-imp-empty WPowerstar-induct-lvar-empty int-iffI next-d-def)

lemma SPSEqvEmptyOrSChopSPS:
 $\vdash \text{fpowerstar } f = (\text{empty} \vee f \sim \text{fpowerstar } f)$
by (simp add: FPowerstarEqv schop-d-def)

lemma ChopstarEqvPowerstar:
 $\vdash f^* = \text{powerstar } f$
by (metis Chopstar-FPowerstar powerstar-d-def)

lemma ChopplusCommute:
 $\vdash f; f^* = f^*; f$
by (metis Chopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-more-absorb WPowerstar-slide-var int-eq)

lemma SChopplusCommute:
 $\vdash f \sim \text{schopstar } f = \text{schopstar } f \sim (f \wedge \text{finite})$
by (meson Prop04 SChopstar-sim3 int-simps(27))

lemma *CSEqvOrChopCSB*:
 $\vdash f^* = (\text{empty} \vee (f^*; f))$
by (*metis ChopplusCommute ChopstarEqvPowerstar PowerstarEqvSem intI inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SCSEqvOrChopSCSB*:
 $\vdash \text{schopstar } f = (\text{empty} \vee (\text{schopstar } f \frown (f \wedge \text{finite})))$
by (*metis SChopplusCommute SChopstar-FPowerstar SPSEqvEmptyOrSChopSPS inteq-reflection*)

lemma *CSChopCS*:
 $\vdash f^* ; f^* = f^*$
by (*metis Chopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-trans-eq inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SCSSChopSCS*:
 $\vdash \text{schopstar } f \frown \text{schopstar } f = \text{schopstar } f$
by (*metis SChopstar-imp-empty SChopstar-invol SChopstar-sup-id-star1 inteq-reflection*)

lemma *CSCSEqvCS*:
 $\vdash (f^*)^* = f^*$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Chopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-invol WPowerstar-more-absorb int-eq*)

lemma *ChopPlusEqvOrChopChopPlus*:
 $\vdash (f; f^*) = (f \vee f; (f; f^*))$
by (*metis CSEqvOrChopCSB ChopEmpty ChopOrEqv ChopplusCommute inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopPlusEqvOrSChopSChopPlus*:
 $\vdash (f \frown \text{schopstar } f) = ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee f \frown (f \frown \text{schopstar } f))$
by (*metis ChopEmpty SChopOrEqvRule SChopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstarEqv inteq-reflection schop-d-def*)

lemma *ChopPlusEqv*:
 $\vdash (f; f^*) = (f \vee (f \wedge \text{more}); (f; f^*))$
by (*metis ChopAssoc ChopplusCommute ChopstarEqv EmptyOrChopEqv inteq-reflection*)

lemma *SChopPlusEqv*:
 $\vdash (f \frown \text{schopstar } f) = ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (f \wedge \text{more}) \frown (f \frown \text{schopstar } f))$
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ChopAssoc EmptyOrChopEqv Prop10 SChopstarEqv SChopplusCommute SChopstar-finite inteq-reflection schop-d-def*)

lemma *CSIintro*:
assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow (g \wedge \text{more}); f$
shows $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow g^*$
by (*metis Chopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstarIntro WPowerstar-more-absorb assms inteq-reflection*)

lemma *CSIintroMore*:
assumes $\vdash f \wedge \text{more} \longrightarrow (g \wedge \text{more}); f$

shows $\vdash f \wedge finite \rightarrow g^*$
by (metis Chopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstarIntroMore WPowerstar-more-absorb assms inteq-reflection)

lemma SCSIntro:

assumes $\vdash f \rightarrow (g \wedge more) \sim f$

shows $\vdash f \wedge finite \rightarrow schopstar g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ((g \wedge more) \wedge finite) = ((g \wedge finite) \wedge more)$

by auto

show ?thesis

using assms SChopstar-WPowerstar[of g] WPowerstarIntro[of f LIFT (g \wedge finite)] 1

by (metis inteq-reflection schop-d-def)

qed

lemma SCSIntroMore:

assumes $\vdash f \wedge more \rightarrow (g \wedge more) \sim f$

shows $\vdash f \wedge finite \rightarrow schopstar g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ((g \wedge more) \wedge finite) = ((g \wedge finite) \wedge more)$

by auto

show ?thesis

using assms SChopstar-WPowerstar[of g] WPowerstarIntroMore[of f LIFT (g \wedge finite)] 1

by (metis inteq-reflection schop-d-def)

qed

lemma CSElim:

assumes $\vdash empty \rightarrow g$

$\vdash (f \wedge more); g \rightarrow g$

shows $\vdash f^* \rightarrow g$

using assms

by (metis Chopstar-WPowerstar Prop02 WPowerstar-induct-lvar-empty inteq-reflection)

lemma SCSElim:

assumes $\vdash empty \rightarrow g$

$\vdash (f \wedge more) \sim g \rightarrow g$

shows $\vdash schopstar f \rightarrow g$

using assms

by (metis Prop02 SChopstar-WPowerstar-more WPowerstar-induct-lvar-empty inteq-reflection schop-d-def)

lemma CSElimWithoutMore:

assumes $\vdash empty \rightarrow g$

$\vdash f; g \rightarrow g$

shows $\vdash f^* \rightarrow g$

using assms

by (metis AndChopA CSElim Prop10 Prop12 int-eq)

lemma SCSElimWithoutMore:

assumes $\vdash empty \rightarrow g$

$\vdash f \sim g \rightarrow g$

shows $\vdash schopstar f \rightarrow g$

using *assms*
by (*metis Prop02 SChopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-induct-lvar-empty inteq-reflection schop-d-def*)

lemma *WPowerstarChopEqvChopOrRule*:
assumes $\vdash f = ((w\text{powerstar } g); h)$
shows $\vdash f = ((g; f) \vee h)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f = ((w\text{powerstar } g); h)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have 2: $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } g) = (\text{empty} \vee (g; (w\text{powerstar } g)))$ **by** (*simp add: WPowerstarEqv*)
hence 3: $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } g); h = (h \vee ((g; (w\text{powerstar } g)); h))$ **by** (*rule EmptyOrChopEqvRule*)
have 4: $\vdash (g; (w\text{powerstar } g)); h = g; ((w\text{powerstar } g); h)$ **by** (*meson ChopAssoc Prop11*)
hence 41: $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } g); h = (h \vee g; ((w\text{powerstar } g); h))$ **using** 3 **by** *fastforce*
have 5: $\vdash g; f = g; ((w\text{powerstar } g); h)$ **using** 1 **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
hence 6: $\vdash ((w\text{powerstar } g); h) = (h \vee g; f)$ **using** 41 **by** *fastforce*
hence 61: $\vdash ((w\text{powerstar } g); h) = ((g; f) \vee h)$ **by** *auto*
from 1 61 **show** ?thesis **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *CSChopEqvChopOrRule*:
assumes $\vdash f = (g^*; h)$
shows $\vdash f = ((g; f) \vee h)$
using *assms*
by (*metis Chopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstarChopEqvChopOrRule WPowerstar-more-absorb int-eq*)

lemma *SCSChopEqvSChopOrRule*:
assumes $\vdash f = (schopstar g \rightsquigarrow h)$
shows $\vdash f = ((g \rightsquigarrow f) \vee h)$
using *assms*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) FPowerstar-WPowerstar FPowerstar-more-absorb Prop10 SChopstar-finite WPowerstarChopEqvChopOrRule int-eq schop-d-def schopstar-d-def*)

lemma *WPowerstarChopIntroRule*:
assumes $\vdash f \wedge \neg h \longrightarrow g; f$
 $\quad \vdash g \longrightarrow \text{more}$
shows $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow (w\text{powerstar } g); h$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \wedge \neg h \longrightarrow g; f$
using *assms* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash g \longrightarrow \text{more}$
using *assms* **by** *blast*
hence 3: $\vdash g \longrightarrow g \wedge \text{more}$
by *auto*
hence 4: $\vdash g; f \longrightarrow (g); f$
by *auto*
have 5: $\vdash f \longrightarrow (g); f \vee h$
using 1 4 **by** *fastforce*
have 6: $\vdash w\text{powerstar } g = (\text{empty} \vee g; w\text{powerstar } g)$
by (*simp add: WPowerstarEqv*)

```

hence 7:  $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } g); h = (h \vee (g; w\text{powerstar } g); h)$ 
  by (rule EmptyOrChopEqvRule)
have 8:  $\vdash (g; w\text{powerstar } g); h = g ; (w\text{powerstar } g; h)$ 
  by (meson ChopAssoc Prop11)
have 9:  $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } g); h = (h \vee g ; (w\text{powerstar } g; h))$ 
  using 7 8 by fastforce
have 10:  $\vdash f \wedge \neg (w\text{powerstar } g; h) \longrightarrow (g); f \wedge \neg ((g); (w\text{powerstar } g; h))$ 
  using 5 9 by fastforce
have 11:  $\vdash g \wedge \text{more} \longrightarrow \text{more}$ 
  by fastforce
from 10 11 show ?thesis using ChopContraB using 2 by blast
qed

```

```

lemma CSChopIntroRule:
assumes  $\vdash f \wedge \neg h \longrightarrow g; f$ 
   $\vdash g \longrightarrow \text{more}$ 
shows  $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow g^*; h$ 
using assms
by (metis Chopstar-WPowerstar Prop10 WPowerstarChopIntroRule inteq-reflection)

```

```

lemma SCSChopIntroRule:
assumes  $\vdash f \wedge \neg h \longrightarrow g \rightsquigarrow f$ 
   $\vdash g \longrightarrow \text{more}$ 
shows  $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \text{schopstar } g \rightsquigarrow h$ 
using assms SChopstar-WPowerstar[of g] WPowerstarChopIntroRule[of f h LIFT (g \wedge finite)]
unfolding schop-d-def
by (metis Prop05 Prop07 Prop10 SChopstar-finite finite-d-def inteq-reflection)

```

```

lemma DiamondAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty:
 $\vdash (\Diamond f \wedge \text{empty}) = (f \wedge \text{empty})$ 
by (metis ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty EmptyChop FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty int-eq sometimes-d-def)

```

```

lemma InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty:
 $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) = (w \wedge \text{empty})$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) = ((w \wedge \text{empty}); \# \text{True} \wedge \text{empty})$ 
  by (metis init-d-def int-eq lift-and-com)
have 2:  $\vdash ((w \wedge \text{empty}); \# \text{True} \wedge \text{empty}) = (w \wedge \text{empty}); (\# \text{True} \wedge \text{empty})$ 
  by (meson AndChopA ChopAndA ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty lift-imp-trans Prop11 Prop12)
have 3:  $\vdash (w \wedge \text{empty}); (\# \text{True} \wedge \text{empty}) = (w \wedge \text{empty}); \text{empty}$ 
  using RightChopEqvChop by fastforce
have 4:  $\vdash (w \wedge \text{empty}); \text{empty} = (w \wedge \text{empty})$ 
  using ChopEmpty by blast
from 1 2 3 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma InitAndNotBoxInitImpNotEmpty:

```

$\vdash \text{init } w \wedge \neg(\Box(\text{init } w)) \longrightarrow \neg \text{empty}$

proof –

```
have 1:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) = (w \wedge \text{empty})$ 
  by (rule InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty)
have 2:  $\vdash (\neg(\Box(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{empty}) = (\Diamond(\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{empty})$ 
  by (auto simp: always-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash (\Diamond(\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{empty}) = (\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})$ 
  by (simp add: DiamondAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty)
have 4:  $\vdash (\neg(\text{init } w)) = (\text{init } (\neg w))$ 
  using Initprop(2) by blast
have 5:  $\vdash (\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) = (\neg w \wedge \text{empty})$ 
  using 4 InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty by (metis inteq-reflection)
have 6:  $\vdash (\neg(\Box(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{empty}) = (\neg w \wedge \text{empty})$ 
  using 2 3 5 by fastforce
have 7:  $\vdash \neg(\text{init } w \wedge \neg(\Box(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{empty})$ 
  using 1 6 by fastforce
from 7 show ?thesis by auto
qed
```

lemma BoxImpTrueChopAndEmpty:

$\vdash \Box f \longrightarrow \# \text{True}; (f \wedge \text{empty})$

using BoxAndChopImport Finprop(3) by fastforce

lemma BoxInitAndMoreImpBoxInitAndMoreAndFinInit:

$\vdash \Box(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more} \longrightarrow (\Box(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w)$

proof –

```
have 1:  $\vdash \text{fin}(\text{init } w) = \# \text{True} ; (\text{init } w \wedge \text{empty})$  using FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty by blast
have 2:  $\vdash \Box(\text{init } w) \longrightarrow \# \text{True}; (\text{init } w \wedge \text{empty})$  by (rule BoxImpTrueChopAndEmpty)
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
```

lemma BoxImpTrueSChopAndEmpty:

$\vdash \Box f \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \# \text{True} \sim (f \wedge \text{empty})$

by (metis BoxAndSChopImport DiamondEmptyEqvFinite TrueSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection)

lemma BoxInitAndMoreImpBoxInitAndMoreAndSFinInit:

$\vdash \Box(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more} \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow (\Box(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{sfin}(\text{init } w)$

proof –

```
have 1:  $\vdash \text{sfin}(\text{init } w) = \# \text{True} \sim (\text{init } w \wedge \text{empty})$  using SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty by blast
have 2:  $\vdash \Box(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \# \text{True} \sim (\text{init } w \wedge \text{empty})$  by (rule BoxImpTrueSChopAndEmpty)
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
```

lemma CSImpBox:

assumes $\vdash f \longrightarrow \text{empty} \vee ((\Box(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})$; f

shows $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge f) \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \Box(\text{init } w)$

proof –

```
have 1:  $\vdash f \longrightarrow \text{empty} \vee ((\Box(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})$ ;  $f$ 
  using assms by auto
have 2:  $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge \neg(\Box(\text{init } w)) \longrightarrow \neg \text{empty}$ 
```

```

by (rule InitAndNotBoxInitImpNotEmpty)
have 3:  $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge f \wedge \neg(\square(\text{init } w)) \rightarrow ((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); f$ 
  using 1 2 by fastforce
have 4:  $\vdash \square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more} \rightarrow (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w)$ 
  by (rule BoxInitAndMoreImpBoxInitAndMoreAndFinInit)
have 41:  $\vdash (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow$ 
   $((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w)$ 
  using 4 by auto
hence 5:  $\vdash ((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); f \rightarrow$ 
   $((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w); f$ 
  by (rule LeftChopImpChop)
have 6:  $\vdash (((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init } w)); f =$ 
   $((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{init } w \wedge f)$ 
  using AndFinChopEqvStateAndChop by blast
have 7:  $\vdash \neg(\square(\text{init } w)) \rightarrow (\square(\text{init } w)) \text{ yields } (\neg(\square(\text{init } w)))$ 
  by (rule NotBoxStateImpBoxYieldsNotBox)
have 8:  $\vdash (\square(\text{init } w)) \text{ yields } (\neg(\square(\text{init } w))) \rightarrow$ 
   $((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \text{ yields } (\neg(\square(\text{init } w)))$ 
  using AndYieldsA
  by (metis AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore inteq-reflection)
have 9:  $\vdash ((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{init } w \wedge f) \wedge$ 
   $((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \text{ yields } (\neg(\square(\text{init } w)))$ 
   $\rightarrow$ 
   $((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w \wedge f) \wedge \neg(\square(\text{init } w)))$ 
  by (rule ChopAndYieldsImp)
have 10:  $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge f) \wedge \neg(\square(\text{init } w)) \rightarrow$ 
   $((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w \wedge f) \wedge \neg(\square(\text{init } w)))$ 
  using 3 5 6 7 8 9 by fastforce
have 11:  $\vdash ((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w \wedge f) \wedge \neg(\square(\text{init } w))) \rightarrow$ 
   $\text{more}; ((\text{init } w \wedge f) \wedge \neg(\square(\text{init } w)))$ 
  by (metis 41 LeftChopImpChop Prop12)
have 12:  $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge f) \wedge \neg(\square(\text{init } w)) \rightarrow$ 
   $\text{more}; ((\text{init } w \wedge f) \wedge \neg(\square(\text{init } w)))$ 
  using 10 11 by fastforce
from 12 show ?thesis using MoreChopContraFiniteB by blast
qed

```

```

lemma SCSImpBox:
assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow \text{empty} \vee ((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \text{ } \sim \text{ } f)$ 
shows  $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge f) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \square(\text{init } w)$ 
using assms by (simp add: CSImpBox schop-d-def)

```

```

lemma ChopstarInductR:
assumes  $\vdash g \vee h; f \rightarrow h$ 
shows  $\vdash g; (\text{chopstar } f) \rightarrow h$ 
by (metis Chopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstarInductR WPowerstar-more-absorb assms int-eq)

```

```

lemma BoxWPowerstarEqvBox:
 $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge \text{wpowerstar } (\square(\text{init } w))) = \square(\text{init } w)$ 

```

proof -

```
have 1: ⊢ □( init w);□( init w) → □( init w)
  by (simp add: BoxStateChopBoxEqvBox int-iffD1)
have 2: ⊢ (init w ∧ empty) → □( init w)
  by (simp add: StateAndEmptyImpBoxState)
have 3: ⊢ (init w ∧ empty) ∨ □( init w);□( init w) → □( init w)
  using 1 2 by fastforce
have 4: ⊢ (init w ∧ empty);wPowerstar (□( init w)) → □ (init w)
  using 3 WPowerstarInductR by blast
have 5: ⊢ init w ∧ wPowerstar (□( init w)) → □ (init w)
  using 4 StateAndEmptyChop by fastforce
have 11: ⊢ □ (init w) → (init w)
  using BoxElim by blast
have 12: ⊢ □ (init w) → wPowerstar (□ (init w))
  by (simp add: WPowerstar-ext)
have 13: ⊢ □ (init w) → init w ∧ wPowerstar (□ (init w))
  using 11 12 by fastforce
from 5 13 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
```

lemma BoxCSEqvBox:

```
⊢ (init w ∧ (□( init w))*) = □ (init w)
by (metis BoxWPowerstarEqvBox Chopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-more-absorb inteq-reflection)
```

lemma BoxSCSEqvBox:

```
⊢ (init w ∧ schopstar (□( init w))) = (□ (init w) ∧ finite)
```

proof -

```
have 1: ⊢ □( init w)¬(□( init w) ∧ finite) → □( init w) ∧ finite
  by (metis BoxStateAndChopEqvChop FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite int-iffD2 inteq-reflection schop-d-def)
have 2: ⊢ (init w ∧ empty) → □( init w) ∧ finite
  using EmptyImpFinite StateAndEmptyImpBoxState by fastforce
have 3: ⊢ (init w ∧ empty) ∨ □( init w)¬(□( init w) ∧ finite) → □( init w) ∧ finite
  using 1 2 by fastforce
have 4: ⊢ (init w ∧ empty)¬schopstar (□( init w)) → □ (init w) ∧ finite
  using SChopstarInductR 3
  by (metis Prop12 SChopImpFinite SChopstar-finite SChopstar-finite-absorb inteq-reflection)
have 5: ⊢ init w ∧ schopstar (□( init w)) → □ (init w) ∧ finite
  using 4 StateAndEmptySChop by fastforce
have 11: ⊢ □ (init w) → (init w)
  using BoxElim by blast
have 12: ⊢ □ (init w) ∧ finite → schopstar (□ (init w))
  by (metis SChopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-ext inteq-reflection)
have 13: ⊢ □ (init w) ∧ finite → init w ∧ schopstar (□ (init w))
  using 11 12 by fastforce
from 5 13 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
```

lemma WpowerstarAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop:

```

 $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f \wedge \text{ more}) = (f \wedge \text{ more}); w\text{powerstar } f$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{ more}); w\text{powerstar } f) \wedge \text{ more} \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{ more}); w\text{powerstar } f$ 
  by (auto simp: empty-d-def)
have 2:  $\vdash w\text{powerstar } f = (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{ more}); w\text{powerstar } f)$ 
  by (metis ChopstarEqv Chopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-more-absorb inteq-reflection)
have 3:  $\vdash w\text{powerstar } f \wedge \text{ more} \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{ more}); w\text{powerstar } f$ 
  using 1 2 by fastforce
have 4:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{ more}); w\text{powerstar } f \rightarrow w\text{powerstar } f$ 
  using 2 by fastforce
have 5:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{ more}) \rightarrow \text{ more}$ 
  by auto
hence 6:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{ more}); w\text{powerstar } f \rightarrow \text{ more}$ 
  by (rule LeftChopImpMoreRule)
have 7:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{ more}); w\text{powerstar } f \rightarrow w\text{powerstar } f \wedge \text{ more}$ 
  using 4 6 by fastforce
from 3 7 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma CSAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop:
 $\vdash (f^* \wedge \text{ more}) = (f \wedge \text{ more}); f^*$ 
by (metis Chopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-more-absorb WpowerstarAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop int-eq)

```

```

lemma SCSAndMoreEqvAndMoreSChop:
 $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f \wedge \text{ more}) = (f \wedge \text{ more}) \curvearrowleft \text{schopstar } f$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{ more}) \wedge \text{ finite}) = ((f \wedge \text{ finite}) \wedge \text{ more})$ 
  by auto
show ?thesis
using SChopstar-WPowerstar[of f] WPowerstar-more-absorb[of LIFT (f \wedge finite)]
  WpowerstarAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop[of LIFT (f \wedge finite) ]
by (metis 1 int-eq schop-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma SCSAndMoreEqvAndFMoreSChop:
 $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f \wedge \text{ fmore}) = (f \wedge \text{ more}) \curvearrowleft \text{schopstar } f$ 
by (metis AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore Prop10 SCSAndMoreEqvAndMoreSChop SChopImpFinite SChop-
  star-finite
  inteq-reflection)

```

```

lemma BoxStateAndWPowerstarEqvWPowerstar:
 $\vdash (\square(\text{ init } w) \wedge w\text{powerstar } f \wedge \text{ finite}) = (\text{ init } w \wedge w\text{powerstar } (\square(\text{ init } w) \wedge f) \wedge \text{ finite})$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \square(\text{ init } w) \rightarrow \text{ init } w$ 
  using BoxElim by blast
have 2:  $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f \wedge \text{ more}) = (f \wedge \text{ more}); w\text{powerstar } f$ 
  by (simp add: WpowerstarAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop)
have 3:  $\vdash (\square(\text{ init } w) \wedge ((f \wedge \text{ more}); w\text{powerstar } f)) =$ 

```

```

 $((\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f \wedge \text{more}); (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wpowerstar } f))$ 
by (rule BoxStateAndChopEqvChop)
have 4:  $\vdash \Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f \wedge \text{more} \rightarrow (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f) \wedge \text{more}$ 
by auto
hence 5:  $\vdash (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f \wedge \text{more}); (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wpowerstar } f) \rightarrow$ 
 $((\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f) \wedge \text{more}); (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wpowerstar } f)$ 
by (rule LeftChopImpChop)
have 6:  $\vdash (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wpowerstar } f) \wedge \text{more} \rightarrow$ 
 $((\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f) \wedge \text{more}); (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wpowerstar } f)$ 
using 2 3 5 by fastforce
hence 7:  $\vdash (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wpowerstar } f) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{wpowerstar } (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f)$ 
using WPowerstarIntroMore[of LIFT ( $\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wpowerstar } f$ ) LIFT ( $\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f$ )] by blast
have 71:  $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge \Box (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wpowerstar } f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{init } w \wedge \text{wpowerstar } (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f) \wedge \text{finite}$ 
using 7 by fastforce
have 8:  $\vdash \Box (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wpowerstar } f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{init } w \wedge \text{wpowerstar } (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f) \wedge \text{finite}$ 
using 1 71 by fastforce
have 11:  $\vdash \text{wpowerstar } (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f) \rightarrow \text{wpowerstar } (\Box (\text{init } w))$ 
by (meson Prop12 WPowerstar-iso int-iffD2 lift-and-com)
have 12:  $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge \text{wpowerstar } (\Box (\text{init } w))) = \Box (\text{init } w)$ 
by (simp add: BoxWPowerstarEqvBox)
have 13:  $\vdash \text{wpowerstar } (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f) \rightarrow \text{wpowerstar } f$ 
by (meson Prop12 WPowerstar-iso int-iffD2 lift-and-com)
have 14:  $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge \text{wpowerstar } (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f) \rightarrow \text{init } w \wedge \text{wpowerstar } (\Box (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{wpowerstar } f$ 
using 11 13 by fastforce
have 15:  $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge \text{wpowerstar } (\Box (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{wpowerstar } f \rightarrow \Box (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wpowerstar } f$ 
using 12 by auto
have 16:  $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge \text{wpowerstar } (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f) \rightarrow \Box (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wpowerstar } f$ 
using 14 15 lift-imp-trans by blast
from 8 16 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma BoxStateAndCSEqvCS:
 $\vdash (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f^* \wedge \text{finite}) = (\text{init } w \wedge (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{finite})$
by (metis BoxStateAndWPowerstarEqvWPowerstar Chopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-more-absorb int-eq)

lemma BoxStateAndSCSEqvSCS:
 $\vdash (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{schopstar } f) = (\text{init } w \wedge \text{schopstar } (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f))$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f \wedge \text{finite}) = ((\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f) \wedge \text{finite})$
by auto
show ?thesis
using BoxStateAndWPowerstarEqvWPowerstar[of w LIFT ($f \wedge \text{finite}$)]
SChopstar-WPowerstar[of f] SChopstar-WPowerstar[of LIFT ($\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f$)]
SChopstar-finite[of f] SChopstar-finite[of LIFT ($\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge f$)]
by (metis 1 Prop10 inteq-reflection)
qed

lemma BaWPowerstarImpWPowerstar:

$\vdash ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge finite \rightarrow wpowerstar f \rightarrow wpowerstar g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash wpowerstar f = (\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{more}); wpowerstar f)$
by (metis ChopstarEqv Chopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-more-absorb inteq-reflection)
have 2: $\vdash wpowerstar g = (\text{empty} \vee (g \wedge \text{more}); wpowerstar g)$
by (metis ChopstarEqv Chopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-more-absorb inteq-reflection)
have 21: $\vdash \neg(wpowerstar g) = (\neg\text{empty} \wedge \neg((g \wedge \text{more}); wpowerstar g))$
using 2 **by** fastforce
hence 22: $\vdash \neg(wpowerstar g) = (\text{more} \wedge \neg((g \wedge \text{more}); wpowerstar g))$
by (simp add: empty-d-def)
have 3: $\vdash wpowerstar f \wedge \neg(wpowerstar g) \rightarrow$
 $(\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{more}); wpowerstar f) \wedge \text{more} \wedge \neg((g \wedge \text{more}); wpowerstar g)$
using 1 22 **by** fastforce
have 31: $\vdash ((\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{more}); wpowerstar f) \wedge \text{more}) = ((f \wedge \text{more}); wpowerstar f \wedge \text{more})$
by (auto simp: empty-d-def)
have 32: $\vdash wpowerstar f \wedge \neg(wpowerstar g) \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{more}); wpowerstar f \wedge \neg((g \wedge \text{more}); wpowerstar g)$
using 3 31 **by** fastforce
have 4: $\vdash (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{more} \rightarrow g \wedge \text{more})$
by auto
hence 5: $\vdash ba(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow ba(f \wedge \text{more} \rightarrow g \wedge \text{more})$
by (rule BaImpBa)
have 6: $\vdash ba(f \wedge \text{more} \rightarrow g \wedge \text{more}) \rightarrow$
 $(f \wedge \text{more}); wpowerstar f \rightarrow (g \wedge \text{more}); wpowerstar f$
by (rule BaLeftChopImpChop)
have 7: $\vdash ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge (f \wedge \text{more}); wpowerstar f \rightarrow (g \wedge \text{more}); wpowerstar f$
using 5 6 **by** fastforce
have 8: $\vdash (g \wedge \text{more}); wpowerstar f \wedge \neg((g \wedge \text{more}); wpowerstar g)$
 $\rightarrow (g \wedge \text{more}); (wpowerstar f \wedge \neg(wpowerstar g))$
by (rule ChopAndNotChopImp)
have 9: $\vdash (g \wedge \text{more}); (wpowerstar f \wedge \neg(wpowerstar g)) \rightarrow \text{more}; (wpowerstar f \wedge \neg(wpowerstar g))$
by (rule AndChopB)
have 10: $\vdash ba(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow \text{more}; (wpowerstar f \wedge \neg(wpowerstar g)) \rightarrow$
 $\text{more}; (ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge wpowerstar f \wedge \neg(wpowerstar g))$
by (rule BaChopImpChopBa)
have 11: $\vdash ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge wpowerstar f \wedge \neg(wpowerstar g) \rightarrow$
 $\text{more}; (ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge wpowerstar f \wedge \neg(wpowerstar g))$
using 32 7 8 9 10 **by** fastforce
hence 12: $\vdash finite \rightarrow \neg((ba(f \rightarrow g)) \wedge (wpowerstar f) \wedge (\neg(wpowerstar g)))$
using MoreChopLoopFiniteB **by** blast
from 12 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: Valid-def)
qed

lemma BaCSImpCS:

$\vdash ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge finite \rightarrow f^* \rightarrow g^*$
by (metis BaWPowerstarImpWPowerstar Chopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-more-absorb int-eq)

lemma *SDa-Da*:
 $\vdash sda f = da (f \wedge finite)$
unfolding *sda-d-def da-d-def schop-d-def*
by *simp*

lemma *SBa-Ba*:
 $\vdash sba f = ba (finite \rightarrow f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\neg (finite \rightarrow f)) = (finite \wedge \neg f)$
by *auto*
show ?thesis
unfolding *sba-d-def ba-d-def sda-d-def da-d-def schop-d-def*
by (*metis 1 AndChopCommute int-eq int-simps(17)*)
qed

lemma *SBaSCSImplSCS*:
 $\vdash sba (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow schopstar f \rightarrow schopstar g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash ba (finite \rightarrow f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow ba (f \wedge finite \rightarrow g \wedge finite)$
by (*simp add: BaImplBa intI*)
have 2: $\vdash schopstar f \rightarrow finite$
by (*simp add: SChopstar-finite*)
show ?thesis
using *BaWPowerstarImplWPowerstar*[*of LIFT (f ∧ finite) LIFT (g ∧ finite)*]
SChopstar-WPowerstar[*of f*] *SChopstar-WPowerstar*[*of g*]
SBa-Ba[*of LIFT (f → g)*] 1 2 **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *BaWPowerstarEqvWPowerstar*:
 $\vdash ba (f = g) \wedge finite \rightarrow (wpowerstar f = wpowerstar g)$
proof –
have 0: $\vdash (f = g) = ((f \rightarrow g) \wedge (g \rightarrow f))$
by *fastforce*
have 1: $\vdash ba (f = g) = (ba (f \rightarrow g) \wedge ba (g \rightarrow f))$
by (*metis 0 BaAndEqv int-eq*)
have 2: $\vdash ba (f \rightarrow g) \wedge finite \rightarrow (wpowerstar f \rightarrow wpowerstar g)$
by (*rule BaWPowerstarImplWPowerstar*)
have 3: $\vdash ba (g \rightarrow f) \wedge finite \rightarrow (wpowerstar g \rightarrow wpowerstar f)$
by (*rule BaWPowerstarImplWPowerstar*)
have 4: $\vdash ba (f = g) \wedge finite \rightarrow (wpowerstar f \rightarrow wpowerstar g) \wedge (wpowerstar g \rightarrow wpowerstar f)$
using 1 2 3 **by** *fastforce*
have 5: $\vdash ((wpowerstar f \rightarrow wpowerstar g) \wedge (wpowerstar g \rightarrow wpowerstar f)) = (wpowerstar f = wpowerstar g)$
by *auto*
from 4 5 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *BaCSEqvCS*:

$\vdash ba(f = g) \wedge finite \longrightarrow (f^* = g^*)$
by (metis BaWPowerstarEqvWPowerstar Chopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-more-absorb int-eq)

lemma SBaSCSEqvSCS:
 $\vdash sba(f = g) \longrightarrow (schopstar f = schopstar g)$

proof –

have 0: $\vdash (f = g) = ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (g \longrightarrow f))$
by fastforce

have 1: $\vdash sba(f = g) = (sba(f \longrightarrow g) \wedge sba(g \longrightarrow f))$
by (metis 0 SBaAndEqv int-eq)

show ?thesis **using** SBaSCSImplSCS[of f g] SBaSCSImplSCS[of g f]

1 **by** fastforce

qed

lemma BaAndWPowerstarImport:

$\vdash ba f \wedge wpowerstar g \wedge finite \longrightarrow wpowerstar(f \wedge g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \longrightarrow (g \longrightarrow f \wedge g)$ **by** auto

hence 2: $\vdash ba f \longrightarrow ba(g \longrightarrow f \wedge g)$ **by** (rule BaImpBa)

have 3: $\vdash ba(g \longrightarrow f \wedge g) \wedge finite \longrightarrow wpowerstar g \longrightarrow wpowerstar(f \wedge g)$
by (rule BaWPowerstarImplWPowerstar)

from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce

qed

lemma BaAndCSImport:

$\vdash ba f \wedge g^* \wedge finite \longrightarrow (f \wedge g)^*$

by (metis BaAndWPowerstarImport Chopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-more-absorb int-eq)

lemma SBaAndSCSImport:

$\vdash sba f \wedge schopstar g \longrightarrow schopstar(f \wedge g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \longrightarrow (g \longrightarrow f \wedge g)$ **by** auto

hence 2: $\vdash sba f \longrightarrow sba(g \longrightarrow f \wedge g)$ **by** (rule SBaImpSBa)

have 3: $\vdash sba(g \longrightarrow f \wedge g) \longrightarrow schopstar g \longrightarrow schopstar(f \wedge g)$ **by** (rule SBaSCSImplSCS)

from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce

qed

8.7 Len

lemma wpower-len:

$\vdash wpower\ skip k = len k$

by (simp add: len-d-def)

lemma wpowerstar-skip-finite:

$\vdash finite = wpowerstar\ skip$

using WPowerstar-skip-finite **by** fastforce

lemma schopstar-skip-finite:

$\vdash finite = schopstar\ skip$

by (metis Prop10 SChopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-ext WPowerstar-skip-finite inteq-reflection)

```

lemma wpowerstar-skip-fmore:
   $\vdash fmore = skip; wpowerstar\ skip$ 
by (metis FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite inteq-reflection wpowerstar-skip-finite)

lemma schopstar-skip-fmore:
   $\vdash fmore = skip \rightsquigarrow schopstar\ skip$ 
by (metis NextSChopdef WPowerstar-skip-finite inteq-reflection next-d-def schopstar-skip-finite
      wpowerstar-skip-fmore)

lemma len-k-finite:
   $\vdash len\ k \longrightarrow finite$ 
proof (induct k)
case 0
then show ?case
by (metis EmptyImpFinite len-d-def wpow-0)
next
case (Suc k)
then show ?case
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash len\ (Suc\ k) = skip; len\ k$ 
    by (simp add: len-d-def)
  have 2:  $\vdash skip \longrightarrow finite$ 
    by (metis WPowerstar-ext WPowerstar-skip-finite inteq-reflection)
  show ?thesis
    by (metis 1 2 ChopImpChop FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite Suc inteq-reflection)
qed
qed

lemma len-k-schop:
   $\vdash len\ Suc\ k = len\ k \rightsquigarrow skip$ 
unfolding len-d-def unfolding schop-d-def
by (metis Prop10 WPowerCommute int-eq len-k-finite wpow-Suc wpower-len)

lemma SkipChopAnd:
   $\vdash ((skip;f) \wedge (skip;g)) = skip;(f \wedge g)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash skip;(f \wedge g) \longrightarrow ((skip;f) \wedge (skip;g))$ 
    by (simp add: ChopAndA ChopAndB Prop12)
  have 2:  $\vdash ((skip;f) \wedge (skip;g)) \longrightarrow skip;(f \wedge g)$ 
    by (metis NextAndEqvNextAndNext SkipChopEqvNext int-eq int-iffD2)
  show ?thesis
  using 1 2 int-iffI by blast
qed

lemma SkipSChopAnd:
   $\vdash ((skip \rightsquigarrow f) \wedge (skip \rightsquigarrow g)) = skip \rightsquigarrow (f \wedge g)$ 
by (metis NextAndNextEqvNextRule NextSChopdef inteq-reflection lift-and-com)

```

```

lemma LenChopAnd:
 $\vdash (\text{len } k; f \wedge \text{len } k; g) = \text{len } k; (f \wedge g)$ 
proof -
  have 2:  $\vdash \text{len } k; (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow (\text{len } k; f \wedge \text{len } k; g)$ 
    by (simp add: ChopAndA ChopAndB Prop12)
  have 1:  $\vdash (\text{len } k; f \wedge \text{len } k; g) \longrightarrow \text{len } k; (f \wedge g)$ 
    proof (induct k arbitrary: f g)
    case 0
    then show ?case
    by (metis EmptyChop int-iffD2 inteq-reflection wpow-0 wpower-len)
    next
    case (Suc k)
    then show ?case
      proof -
        have 1:  $\vdash \text{len } \text{Suc } k; f = \text{len } k; (\text{skip}; f)$ 
          using WPowerCommute[of LIFT skip k] ChopAssoc[of LIFT len k LIFT skip f]
          by (metis inteq-reflection wpow-Suc wpower-len)
        have 2:  $\vdash \text{len } \text{Suc } k; g = \text{len } k; (\text{skip}; g)$ 
          using WPowerCommute[of LIFT skip k] ChopAssoc[of LIFT len k LIFT skip g]
          by (metis inteq-reflection wpow-Suc wpower-len)
        have 3:  $\vdash (\text{len } k; (\text{skip}; f) \wedge \text{len } k; (\text{skip}; g)) \longrightarrow \text{len } k; ((\text{skip}; f) \wedge (\text{skip}; g))$ 
          by (simp add: Suc)
        have 4:  $\vdash ((\text{skip}; f) \wedge (\text{skip}; g)) = \text{skip}; (f \wedge g)$ 
          by (simp add: SkipChopAnd)
        have 5:  $\vdash \text{len } k; ((\text{skip}; f) \wedge (\text{skip}; g)) = \text{len } (\text{Suc } k); (f \wedge g)$ 
          using WPowerCommute[of LIFT skip k] ChopAssoc[of LIFT len k LIFT skip LIFT (f \wedge g)]
          by (metis 4 inteq-reflection wpow-Suc wpower-len)
        show ?thesis
          by (metis 1 2 3 5 int-eq)
      qed
    qed
    show ?thesis
    using 1 2 int-iffI by blast
  qed

```

```

lemma LenSChopAnd:
 $\vdash (\text{len } k \frown f \wedge \text{len } k \frown g) = \text{len } k \frown (f \wedge g)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash \text{len } k \longrightarrow \text{finite}$ 
    using len-k-finite by blast
  show ?thesis unfolding schop-d-def
    by (metis 1 LenChopAnd Prop10 int-eq)
  qed

```

```

lemma LenEqvLenChopLen:
 $\vdash \text{len}(i+j) = \text{len}(i); \text{len}(j)$ 
proof
  (induct i)
  case 0
  then show ?case

```

```

by (metis EmptyChop Prop11 add-cancel-left-left len-d-def wpow-0)
next
case (Suc i)
then show ?case
by (metis ChopAssoc add-Suc int-eq len-d-def wpow-Suc)
qed

lemma LenChopFalse:
 $\vdash \text{len } k ; \# \text{False} \longrightarrow \# \text{False}$ 
by (metis AndInfEqvChopFalse ChopImpChop InfEqvNotFinite int-iffD1 int-simps(21) inteq-reflection
len-k-finite)

lemma LenSChopFalse:
 $\vdash \text{len } k \sim \# \text{False} \longrightarrow \# \text{False}$ 
by (metis AndChopB InfEqvNotFinite TrueChopAndFiniteEqvAndFiniteChopFinite infinite-d-def
int-eq int-simps(19) int-simps(22) schop-d-def)

lemma len-len-suc-not:
 $\vdash \neg(\text{len } k \wedge \text{len } (\text{Suc } k); f)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash \text{len } k = \text{len } k; \text{empty}$ 
    by (meson ChopEmpty Prop11)
  have 2:  $\vdash \text{len } (\text{Suc } k); f = \text{len } k; (\text{skip}; f)$ 
    using ChopAssoc[of LIFT len k LIFT skip f] WPowerCommute[of LIFT skip k]
    by (metis inteq-reflection wpow-Suc wpower-len)
  have 3:  $\vdash (\text{len } k; \text{empty} \wedge \text{len } k; (\text{skip}; f)) = \text{len } k; (\text{empty} \wedge \text{skip}; f)$ 
    by (simp add: LenChopAnd)
  have 4:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \wedge \text{skip}; f) \longrightarrow \# \text{False}$ 
    unfolding empty-d-def more-d-def next-d-def
    by (metis ChopAndB Prop01 int-simps(16) int-simps(25) int-simps(4) inteq-reflection)
  have 5:  $\vdash (\text{len } k \wedge \text{len } (\text{Suc } k); f) \longrightarrow \text{len } k; \# \text{False}$ 
    by (metis 1 2 3 4 RightChopImpChop inteq-reflection)
  have 6:  $\vdash \text{len } k ; \# \text{False} \longrightarrow \# \text{False}$ 
    using LenChopFalse by blast
  show ?thesis
  by (metis 5 6 int-simps(14) inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans)
qed

lemma len-len-suc-not-schop:
 $\vdash \neg(\text{len } k \wedge \text{len } (\text{Suc } k) \sim f)$ 
unfolding schop-d-def
by (metis AndInfEqvChopFalse LenChopFalse Prop09 Prop10 int-eq int-simps(14) itl-def(8) len-len-suc-not)

lemma Finite-exist-len:
 $\vdash \text{finite} = (\exists k. \text{len } k)$ 
by (metis ExEqvRule WPowerstar-skip-finite int-eq wpower-len wpowerstar-d-def)

lemma LenNPlusOneB:
 $\vdash \text{len}(n+1) = \text{len}(n); \text{skip}$ 
proof -

```

```

have 1:  $\vdash \text{len}(n+1) = \text{len}(n); \text{len}(1)$  by (rule LenEqvLenChopLen)
have 2:  $\vdash \text{len}(1) = \text{skip}$  by (simp add: ChopEmpty len-d-def)
hence 3:  $\vdash \text{len}(n); \text{len}(1) = \text{len}(n); \text{skip}$  using RightChopEqvChop by blast
from 1 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma LenCommute:
 $\vdash (\text{skip}; (\text{len } n)) = (\text{len } n); \text{skip}$ 
by (simp add: WPowerCommute len-d-def)

```

```

lemma NotFixedChop:
 $\vdash (\neg((g \wedge \text{len}(k)); f)) = (\neg(\text{di}(g \wedge \text{len}(k))) \vee ((g \wedge \text{len}(k)); (\neg f)))$ 
by (auto simp add: itl-defs len-defs Valid-def min-def nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD)

```

8.8 Properties of While

```

lemma SChopstar-Chopstar:
 $\vdash \text{schopstar } f = \text{chopstar } (f \wedge \text{finite})$ 
by (metis Chopstar-WPowerstar SChopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-more-absorb inteq-reflection)

```

```

lemma SWhile-While:
 $\vdash \text{swhile } g \text{ do } f = \text{while } g \text{ do } (f \wedge \text{finite})$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash ((g \wedge f) \wedge \text{finite}) = (g \wedge f \wedge \text{finite})$ 
by auto
have 2:  $\vdash \text{chopstar } ((g \wedge f) \wedge \text{finite}) = \text{chopstar } (g \wedge f \wedge \text{finite})$ 
using 1 by (metis Chopstardef int-eq)
show ?thesis
unfolding swhile-d-def while-d-def
using SFinEQvFinAndFinite[of LIFT  $\neg g$ ] SChopstar-Chopstar[of LIFT  $(g \wedge f)$ ]
2 SChopstar-finite[of LIFT  $(g \wedge f)$ ] by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma IfAndFiniteDist:
 $\vdash (\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (f; g) \text{ else } \text{empty} \wedge \text{finite}) =$ 
 $\quad (\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } ((f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge \text{finite})) \text{ else } \text{empty})$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash (\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (f; g) \text{ else } \text{empty} \wedge \text{finite}) =$ 
 $\quad (((\text{init } w \wedge (f; g)) \vee (\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
by (auto simp: ifthenelse-d-def)
have 2:  $\vdash (((\text{init } w \wedge (f; g)) \vee (\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})) \wedge \text{finite}) =$ 
 $\quad (((\text{init } w \wedge (f; g) \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty} \wedge \text{finite})))$ 
by auto
have 3:  $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge (f; g) \wedge \text{finite}) = (\text{init } w \wedge (f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
using ChopAndFiniteDist by fastforce
have 4:  $\vdash (\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty} \wedge \text{finite}) = (\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})$ 
using FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty by auto
have 5:  $\vdash (((\text{init } w \wedge (f; g) \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty} \wedge \text{finite}))) =$ 
 $\quad (((\text{init } w \wedge (f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge \text{finite})) \vee (\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})))$ 

```

```

by (metis 2 3 4 inteq-reflection)
have 6:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w \wedge (f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge \text{finite})) \vee (\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})) =$   

 $(\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } ((f \wedge \text{finite}); (g \wedge \text{finite})) \text{ else } \text{empty})$ 
by (auto simp: ifthenelse-d-def)
from 1 2 5 6 show ?thesis by (metis inteq-reflection)
qed

```

lemma WhileEqvIf:

```

 $\vdash ((\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f) \wedge \text{finite}) =$   

 $(\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (f; (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f)) \text{ else } \text{empty} \wedge \text{finite})$ 

```

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite}) =$   

 $((((\text{init } w) \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin}(\neg(\text{init } w))) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
by (simp add: while-d-def)
have 2:  $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge f)^* = (\text{empty} \vee ((\text{init } w \wedge f); (\text{init } w \wedge f)^*))$ 
by (metis CSEqvOrChopCSB ChopplusCommute int-eq)
have 21:  $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin}(\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}) =$   

 $((\text{empty} \vee ((\text{init } w \wedge f); (\text{init } w \wedge f)^*)) \wedge \text{fin}(\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
using 2 by fastforce
have 22:  $\vdash ((\text{empty} \vee ((\text{init } w \wedge f); (\text{init } w \wedge f)^*)) \wedge \text{fin}(\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}) =$   

 $((\text{empty} \wedge \text{fin}(\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}) \vee$   

 $((\text{init } w \wedge f); (\text{init } w \wedge f)^*) \wedge \text{fin}(\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
by auto
have 23:  $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin}(\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}) =$   

 $((\text{empty} \wedge \text{fin}(\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}) \vee$   

 $((\text{init } w \wedge f); (\text{init } w \wedge f)^*) \wedge \text{fin}(\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
using 21 22 by auto
have 3:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \wedge \text{fin}(\neg(\text{init } w))) = (\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})$ 
by (metis FinAndEmpty Prop04 lift-and-com)
hence 31:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \wedge \text{fin}(\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}) = (\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty} \wedge \text{finite})$ 
by auto
have 32:  $\vdash (\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty} \wedge \text{finite}) = (\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})$ 
using FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty by auto
have 33:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \wedge \text{fin}(\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}) = (\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})$ 
using 31 32 by fastforce
have 34:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \wedge \text{fin}(\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}) \vee$   

 $((\text{init } w \wedge f); (\text{init } w \wedge f)^*) \wedge \text{fin}(\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}) =$   

 $((\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee$   

 $((\text{init } w \wedge f); (\text{init } w \wedge f)^*) \wedge \text{fin}(\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
using 23 33 by auto
have 4:  $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge f); (\text{init } w \wedge f)^* = (\text{init } w \wedge (f; (\text{init } w \wedge f)^*))$ 
by (rule StateAndChop)
have 41:  $\vdash (((\text{init } w \wedge f); (\text{init } w \wedge f)^*) \wedge \text{fin}(\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}) =$   

 $(\text{init } w \wedge (f; (\text{init } w \wedge f)^*)) \wedge \text{fin}(\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
using 4 by auto
have 42:  $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge ((f; (\text{init } w \wedge f)^*) \wedge \text{fin}(\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite})) =$   

 $(\text{init } w \wedge ((f; (\text{init } w \wedge f)^*) \wedge \text{fin}(\text{init}(\neg w)) \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
using Initprop(2) by (metis StateAndEmptyChop int-eq)
have 5:  $\vdash ((f; ((\text{init } w \wedge f)^*)) \wedge (\text{fin}(\text{init}(\neg w)) \wedge \text{finite})$   

 $= ((f \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w \wedge f)^*) \wedge (\text{fin}(\text{init}(\neg w)) \wedge \text{finite}))$ 

```

```

using ChopAndFin by fastforce
hence 49: ⊢ (init w ∧ (f; (init w ∧ f)*) ∧ fin (¬ (init w)) ∧ finite) =
          (init w ∧ (f ∧ finite); ((init w ∧ f)* ∧ (fin (init (¬ w))) ∧ finite))
using 42 by fastforce
have 50: ⊢ (((init w ∧ f); (init w ∧ f)*) ∧ fin (¬ (init w)) ∧ finite) =
          (init w ∧ (f ∧ finite); ((init w ∧ f)* ∧ (fin (init (¬ w))) ∧ finite))
by (meson 41 49 Prop04 lift-and-com)
have 51: ⊢ (init w ∧ (f ∧ finite); ((init w ∧ f)* ∧ (fin (init (¬ w))) ∧ finite)) =
          (init w ∧ (f ∧ finite); ((init w ∧ f)* ∧ (fin (¬ (init w))) ∧ finite))
by (metis (no-types) EmptyChop Initprop(2) inteq-reflection)
have 52: ⊢ (((init w ∧ f); (init w ∧ f)*) ∧ fin (¬ (init w)) ∧ finite) =
          (init w ∧ ((f ∧ finite); ((init w ∧ f)* ∧ fin (¬ (init w)) ∧ finite)))
using 50 51 by fastforce
have 53: ⊢ ((¬ (init w) ∧ empty) ∨
          ((init w ∧ f); (init w ∧ f)* ∧ fin (¬ (init w)) ∧ finite)) =
          ((¬ (init w) ∧ empty) ∨
          (init w ∧ ((f ∧ finite); ((init w ∧ f)* ∧ fin (¬ (init w)) ∧ finite))))
using 52 34 by auto
have 6: ⊢ ((f ∧ finite); (((init w ∧ f)* ∧ fin (¬ (init w))) ∧ finite)) =
          (f ∧ finite); (while (init w) do f ∧ finite)
by (simp add: while-d-def)
have 61: ⊢ (init w ∧ ((f ∧ finite); (((init w ∧ f)* ∧ fin (¬ (init w))) ∧ finite))) =
          (init w ∧ ((f ∧ finite); (while (init w) do f ∧ finite)))
using 6 by auto
have 62: ⊢ ((¬ (init w) ∧ empty) ∨
          (init w ∧ ((f ∧ finite); (((init w ∧ f)* ∧ fin (¬ (init w))) ∧ finite)))) =
          ((¬ (init w) ∧ empty) ∨
          (init w ∧ ((f ∧ finite); (while (init w) do f ∧ finite)))) )
using 61 by fastforce
have 7: ⊢ (while (init w) do f ∧ finite) =
          (((¬ (init w) ∧ empty) ∨
          (init w ∧ (f ; while (init w) do f) ∧ finite)))
proof -
  have ⊢ (while (init w) do f ∧ finite) =
    (¬ init w ∧ empty ∨ (init w ∧ f); (init w ∧ f)* ∧ fin (¬ init w) ∧ finite)
  by (metis 1 23 34 inteq-reflection)
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis 21 22 34 52 ChopAndFiniteDist int-eq)
qed
have 71: ⊢ ((ifi (init w) then (f; (while (init w) do f)) else empty) ∧ finite) =
          (((¬ (init w) ∧ empty) ∨ (init w ∧ (f; while (init w) do f)) ∧ finite))
using FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty by (auto simp: ifthenelse-d-def)
from 7 71 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *WPower-import-finite*:
 $\vdash (\text{wpower } f \text{ } k \wedge \text{finite}) = \text{wpower } (f \wedge \text{finite}) \text{ } k$
proof (induct k)
case 0
then show ?case

```

using FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty by fastforce
next
case (Suc k)
then show ?case
by (metis ChopAndFiniteDist inteq-reflection wpow-Suc)
qed

```

```

lemma WPowerstar-import-finite:
  ⊢ (wpowerstar f ∧ finite) = (wpowerstar (f ∧ finite))
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ (wpowerstar (f ∧ finite)) → (wpowerstar f ∧ finite)
  by (metis OrFiniteInf Prop12 SChopstar-finite SChopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-subdist inteq-reflection)
  have 2: ⊢ wpowerstar f ∧ finite → (wpowerstar (f ∧ finite))
  unfolding wpowerstar-d-def using WPower-import-finite[of f] by fastforce
  show ?thesis
  using 1 2 int-iffI by blast
qed

```

```

lemma Chopstar-import-finite:
  ⊢ (chopstar f ∧ finite) = (chopstar (f ∧ finite))
using Chopstar-WPowerstar[of f] Chopstar-WPowerstar[of LIFT (f ∧ finite) ]
  by (metis WPowerstar-import-finite WPowerstar-more-absorb int-eq)

```

```

lemma AndMoreSChopAndMoreEqvAndMoreSChop:
  ⊢ ((f ∧ more) ∼ g ∧ more) = (f ∧ more) ∼ g
by (meson AndSChopB MoreSChopImpMore Prop10 Prop11 lift-imp-trans)

```

```

lemma WPowerstar-chopstar:
  ⊢ (wpowerstar (f ∧ more)) = (chopstar f)
by (meson Chopstar-WPowerstar Prop11)

```

```

lemma While-import-finite:
  ⊢ (while g do f ∧ finite) = (while g do (f ∧ finite))
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ (g ∧ f ∧ finite) = ((g ∧ f) ∧ finite)
  by auto
  have 2: ⊢ chopstar (g ∧ f ∧ finite) = chopstar ((g ∧ f) ∧ finite)
  by (metis 1 Chopstardef int-eq)
  show ?thesis
  unfolding while-d-def
  using Chopstar-import-finite[of LIFT (g ∧ f) ] 2 by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma SWhileEqvIf:
  ⊢ swhile (init w) do f = ifi (init w) then (f ∼ (swhile (init w) do f)) else empty

```

proof –

```
have 1: ⊢ ((init w ∧ f) ∧ finite) = (init w ∧ f ∧ finite)
  by auto
have 2: ⊢ chopstar ((init w ∧ f) ∧ finite) = chopstar (init w ∧ f ∧ finite)
  using 1 by (metis Chopstardef inteq-reflection)
have 3: ⊢ ((while (init w) do f) ∧ finite) = while (init w) do (f ∧ finite)
  unfolding while-d-def
  using Chopstar-import-finite[of LIFT (init w ∧ f)] 2 by fastforce
have 4: ⊢ while (init w) do (f ∧ finite) = swhile (init w) do f
  by (metis Prop04 SWhile-While lift-and-com swhile-d-def)
have 5: ⊢ ((init w ∧ (f ∧ finite)); while (init w) do (f ∧ finite) ∨ ¬ init w ∧ empty) ∧ finite =
  ((init w ∧ (f ∧ finite)); while (init w) do (f ∧ finite) ∧ finite ∨ ¬ init w ∧ empty ∧ finite))
  by fastforce
have 6: ⊢ ((f ∧ finite); while (init w) do (f ∧ finite) ∧ finite) =
  (f ∧ finite); while (init w) do (f ∧ finite)
  by (metis 3 ChopAndFiniteDist Prop10 Prop12 int-eq int-iffD2)
have 7: ⊢ (¬ init w ∧ empty ∧ finite) = (¬ init w ∧ empty)
  using FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty by auto
have 8: ⊢ (ifi (init w) then ((f ∧ finite); (while (init w) do (f ∧ finite))) else empty ∧ finite) =
  (ifi (init w) then (f ∼ (swhile (init w) do f)) else empty)
  unfolding ifthenelse-d-def schop-d-def
  by (metis 4 5 6 7 inteq-reflection)
have 9: ⊢ ((while (init w) do (f ∧ finite)) ∧ finite) =
  (ifi (init w) then ((f ∧ finite); (while (init w) do (f ∧ finite))) else empty ∧ finite)
  by (simp add: WhileEqvIf)
show ?thesis
by (metis 3 4 8 9 Prop10 Prop12 int-iffD2 inteq-reflection)
qed
```

lemma WhileChopEqvIf:

```
⊢ ( (while (init w) do f) ∧ finite); g =
  ifi (init w) then ((f ∧ finite); ( ((while (init w) do f) ∧ finite) ; g)) else g
```

proof –

```
have 1: ⊢ (while (init w) do f ∧ finite) =
  (ifi (init w) then (f; (while (init w) do f)) else empty ∧ finite)
  by (rule WhileEqvIf)
have 11: ⊢ (ifi (init w) then (f; (while (init w) do f)) else empty ∧ finite) =
  (ifi (init w) then ((f ∧ finite); (while (init w) do f ∧ finite)) else empty)
  using IfAndFiniteDist by fastforce
have 12: ⊢ (while (init w) do f ∧ finite) =
  (ifi (init w) then ((f ∧ finite); (while (init w) do f ∧ finite)) else empty)
  using 1 11 by fastforce
hence 2: ⊢ ( (while (init w) do f) ∧ finite); g =
  (ifi (init w)
    then (((f ∧ finite); (while (init w) do f ∧ finite)); g)
    else (empty; g))
  by (rule IfChopEqvRule)
have 3: ⊢ empty ; g = g
```

```

by (rule EmptyChop)
have 4:  $\vdash (\text{if}_i (\text{init } w)$ 
     $\text{then } (((f \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite})); g)$ 
     $\text{else } (\text{empty}; g)) =$ 
 $(\text{if}_i (\text{init } w)$ 
     $\text{then } (((f \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite})); g)$ 
     $\text{else } g)$ 
using 3 using inteq-reflection by fastforce
have 5:  $\vdash (((f \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite})); g) =$ 
     $((f \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite}); g))$ 
by (meson ChopAssoc Prop11)
have 6:  $\vdash (\text{if}_i (\text{init } w)$ 
     $\text{then } (((f \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite})); g)$ 
     $\text{else } g) =$ 
 $(\text{if}_i (\text{init } w)$ 
     $\text{then } ((f \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite}); g))$ 
     $\text{else } g)$ 

using 5 using inteq-reflection by fastforce
show ?thesis
using 2 4 6 by fastforce
qed

lemma SWhileSChopEqvIf:
 $\vdash (\text{swhile } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f) \sim g = \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (f \sim ((\text{swhile } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f) \sim g)) \text{ else } g$ 
unfolding schop-d-def
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ChopEmpty EmptySChop SChopAssoc SWhile-While WhileChopEqvIf
    inteq-reflection schop-d-def)

lemma WhileChopEqvIfRule:
assumes  $\vdash f = (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite}); h$ 
shows  $\vdash f = \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } ((g \wedge \text{finite}); f) \text{ else } h$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f = (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite}); h$ 
using assms by auto
have 2:  $\vdash (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite}); h =$ 
     $\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } ((g \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite}); h)) \text{ else } h$ 
by (rule WhileChopEqvIf)
have 3:  $\vdash ((g \wedge \text{finite}); f) = ((g \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite}); h))$ 
using 1 by (rule RightChopEqvChop)
have 4:  $\vdash ((g \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite}); h)) = ((g \wedge \text{finite}); f)$ 
using 3 by auto
have 5:  $\vdash \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } ((g \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite}); h)) \text{ else } h =$ 
     $\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } ((g \wedge \text{finite}); f) \text{ else } h$ 
using 4 using inteq-reflection by fastforce
from 1 2 5 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma SWhileSChopEqvIfRule:
assumes  $\vdash f = (\text{swhile } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g) \sim h$ 

```

```

shows  ⊢ f = ifi (init w) then (g ∼ f) else h
using assms
by (metis SWhileSChopEqvIf inteq-reflection)

```

lemma WhileImpFin:

⊢ while (init w) do f → fin (¬(init w))

proof –

have 1: ⊢ (init w ∧ f)* ∧ fin (¬(init w)) → fin (¬(init w)) **by auto**

from 1 show ?thesis **by** (simp add: while-d-def)

qed

lemma SWhileImpFin:

⊢ swhile (init w) do f → sfin (¬(init w))

by (simp add: Prop01 Prop05 swhile-d-def)

lemma WhileEqvEmptyOrChopWhile:

⊢ (while (init w) do f ∧ finite) =

((¬(init w) ∧ empty) ∨ (init w ∧ ((f ∧ more) ∧ finite); (while (init w) do f ∧ finite)))

proof –

have 1: ⊢ (init w ∧ f)* = (empty ∨ ((init w ∧ f) ∧ more); (init w ∧ f)*)
by (rule ChopstarEqv)

have 2: ⊢ ((init w ∧ f) ∧ more) = (init w ∧ (f ∧ more))
by auto

hence 3: ⊢ ((init w ∧ f) ∧ more); (init w ∧ f)* = (init w ∧ f ∧ more); (init w ∧ f)*
by (rule LeftChopEqvChop)

have 4: ⊢ (init w ∧ f)* = (empty ∨ (init w ∧ f ∧ more); (init w ∧ f)*)
using 1 3 **by** fastforce

have 40: ⊢ fin (¬(init w)) = fin (¬ w)

by (metis FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty Initprop(2) inteq-reflection)

have 5: ⊢ ((init w ∧ f)* ∧ fin (¬(init w)) ∧ finite) =

((empty ∧ fin (¬(init w)) ∧ finite) ∨
((init w ∧ f ∧ more); (init w ∧ f)* ∧ fin (¬(init w)) ∧ finite))

using 1 4 **by** fastforce

have 51: ⊢ ((empty ∧ fin (¬(init w))) ∧ finite) = ((¬(init w) ∧ empty) ∧ finite)

by (metis FinAndEmpty inteq-reflection lift-and-com)

have 52: ⊢ ((¬(init w) ∧ empty) ∧ finite) = (¬(init w) ∧ empty)

using EmptyImpFinite **by** auto

have 6: ⊢ ((empty ∧ fin (¬(init w))) ∧ finite) = (¬(init w) ∧ empty)

using 51 52 **by** fastforce

have 60: ⊢ ((empty ∧ fin (¬(init w))) ∧ finite) ∨

((init w ∧ f ∧ more); (init w ∧ f)* ∧ fin (¬(init w)) ∧ finite)) =
((¬(init w) ∧ empty) ∨
((init w ∧ f ∧ more); (init w ∧ f)* ∧ fin (¬(init w)) ∧ finite))

using 6 **by** fastforce

have 61: ⊢ ((init w ∧ f)* ∧ fin (¬(init w)) ∧ finite) =

((¬(init w) ∧ empty) ∨
((init w ∧ f ∧ more); (init w ∧ f)* ∧ fin (¬(init w)) ∧ finite))
by (metis 5 60 inteq-reflection)

```

have 70:  $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge f \wedge \text{more}); (\text{init } w \wedge f)^* = (\text{init } w \wedge (f \wedge \text{more}); (\text{init } w \wedge f)^*)$ 
  by (rule StateAndChop)
have 7:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w \wedge f \wedge \text{more}); (\text{init } w \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin} (\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge \text{finite}) =$ 
   $((\text{init } w \wedge (f \wedge \text{more}); (\text{init } w \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin} (\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
  using 70 by auto
have 8:  $\vdash (((f \wedge \text{more}); (\text{init } w \wedge f)^*) \wedge \text{fin} (\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge \text{finite}) =$ 
   $((((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin} (\text{init } (\neg w)) \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
  using ChopAndFin by fastforce
have 81:  $\vdash \text{fin} (\text{init } (\neg w)) = \text{fin} (\neg (\text{init } w))$ 
  by (meson FinEqvFin Initprop(2) Prop11)
have 82:  $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{more}); (\text{init } w \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin} (\neg (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}) =$ 
   $((((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin} (\neg (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
  using 8 81 by (metis integ-reflection)
have 83:  $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge (f \wedge \text{more}); (\text{init } w \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin} (\neg (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}) =$ 
   $((\text{init } w \wedge ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin} (\neg (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
  using 82 by fastforce
have 84:  $\vdash ((\neg (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee$ 
   $(\text{init } w \wedge (f \wedge \text{more}); (\text{init } w \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin} (\neg (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite})) =$ 
   $((\neg (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee$ 
   $(\text{init } w \wedge ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin} (\neg (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
  using 82 by auto
have 9:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin} (\neg (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}) =$ 
   $((\neg (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee$ 
   $(\text{init } w \wedge ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite});$ 
   $((\text{init } w \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin} (\neg (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
  by (metis 61 7 81 84 integ-reflection)
have 10:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin} (\neg (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}) =$ 
   $((((\text{init } w \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin} (\neg (\text{init } w))) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
  by auto
hence 11:  $\vdash ((\neg (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee$ 
   $(\text{init } w \wedge ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite});$ 
   $((\text{init } w \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin} (\neg (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite})) =$ 
   $((\neg (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee$ 
   $(\text{init } w \wedge ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite});$ 
   $((\text{init } w \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin} (\neg (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
  by (metis EmptyChop int-eq)
have 12:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin} (\neg (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}) =$ 
   $((\neg (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee$ 
   $(\text{init } w \wedge ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite});$ 
   $((\text{init } w \wedge f)^* \wedge \text{fin} (\neg (\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
  by (metis 11 9 integ-reflection)
from 12 show ?thesis
by (metis 10 integ-reflection while-d-def)
qed

```

lemma SWhileEqvEmptyOrSChopSWhile:

$\vdash \text{swhile} (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f = ((\neg (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee (\text{init } w \wedge (f \wedge \text{more}) \rightsquigarrow \text{swhile} (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (((f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) = ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})$
by auto

```

show ?thesis
unfolding schop-d-def
using WhileEqvEmptyOrChopWhile[of w LIFT (f) ] SWhile-While[of LIFT (init w) f]
by (metis While-import-finite inteq-reflection)
qed

```

lemma WhileIntro:

```

assumes  $\vdash \neg(\text{init } w) \wedge f \rightarrow \text{empty}$ 
 $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge f \rightarrow ((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); f$ 

```

shows $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{while}(\text{init } w) \text{ do } g$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash \neg(\text{init } w) \wedge f \rightarrow \text{empty}$ 

```

using assms by blast

```

have 2:  $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge f \rightarrow ((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); f$ 

```

using assms by blast

```

have 3:  $\vdash (\text{while}(\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite}) =$ 

```

$((\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee$

$(\text{init } w \wedge ((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while}(\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite}))$)

by (rule WhileEqvEmptyOrChopWhile)

```

hence 31:  $\vdash \neg(\text{while}(\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite}) =$ 

```

$(\neg(\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee$

$(\text{init } w \wedge ((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while}(\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite})))$)

by fastforce

```

hence 32:  $\vdash (f \wedge \neg(\text{while}(\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite})) =$ 

```

$(f \wedge \neg(\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee$

$(\text{init } w \wedge ((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while}(\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite})))$)

by fastforce

```

have 33:  $\vdash (f \wedge \neg(\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee$ 

```

$(\text{init } w \wedge ((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while}(\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite}))) =$

$(f \wedge \neg(\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge$

$\neg(\text{init } w \wedge ((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while}(\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite})))$)

by auto

```

have 34:  $\vdash (f \wedge \neg(\neg(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge$ 

```

$\neg((\text{init } w) \wedge (((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while}(\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite}))) =$

$(f \wedge ((\text{init } w) \vee \text{more}) \wedge$

$(\neg(\text{init } w) \vee \neg(((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while}(\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite})))$)

by (auto simp: empty-d-def)

```

have 35:  $\vdash (f \wedge ((\text{init } w) \vee \text{more}) \wedge$ 

```

$(\neg(\text{init } w) \vee \neg(((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while}(\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite}))) =$

$((f \wedge (\text{init } w) \wedge \neg(((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while}(\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite}))) \vee$

$(f \wedge (\text{init } w) \wedge \neg(\text{init } w)) \vee$

$(f \wedge \text{more} \wedge \neg(((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while}(\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite}))) \vee$

$(f \wedge \text{more} \wedge \neg(\text{init } w))$)

by auto

```

have 36:  $\vdash (f \wedge \neg(\text{while}(\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite})) =$ 

```

$((f \wedge (\text{init } w) \wedge \neg(((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while}(\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite}))) \vee$

$(f \wedge (\text{init } w) \wedge \neg(\text{init } w)) \vee$

$(f \wedge \text{more} \wedge \neg(((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while}(\text{init } w) \text{ do } g \wedge \text{finite}))) \vee$

$(f \wedge \text{more} \wedge \neg(\text{init } w))$)

by (metis 32 33 34 35 int-eq)

```

have 37:  $\vdash \neg(f \wedge more \wedge \neg(init w))$ 
  using 1 by (auto simp: empty-d-def)
have 38:  $\vdash (f \wedge more \wedge \neg(((g \wedge more) \wedge finite); (while (init w) do g \wedge finite))) \rightarrow$ 
   $\neg(((g \wedge more) \wedge finite); (while (init w) do g \wedge finite))$ 
  using 1 2 by (auto simp: empty-d-def Valid-def)
have 39:  $\vdash (f \wedge (init w) \wedge \neg(((g \wedge more) \wedge finite); (while (init w) do g \wedge finite))) \rightarrow$ 
   $\neg(((g \wedge more) \wedge finite); (while (init w) do g \wedge finite))$ 
  using 2 by auto
have 40:  $\vdash ((f \wedge (init w) \wedge \neg(((g \wedge more) \wedge finite); (while (init w) do g \wedge finite))) \vee$ 
   $(f \wedge (init w) \wedge \neg(init w)) \vee$ 
   $(f \wedge more \wedge \neg(((g \wedge more) \wedge finite); (while (init w) do g \wedge finite))) \vee$ 
   $(f \wedge more \wedge \neg(init w))) \rightarrow$ 
   $\neg(((g \wedge more) \wedge finite); (while (init w) do g \wedge finite))$ 
  using 39 38 37 38 by fastforce
have 4:  $\vdash f \wedge \neg(while (init w) do g \wedge finite) \rightarrow$ 
   $\neg(((g \wedge more) \wedge finite); (while (init w) do g \wedge finite))$ 
  by (meson 36 40 Prop11 lift-imp-trans)
have 50:  $\vdash g \wedge more \rightarrow more$ 
  by auto
have 5:  $\vdash (g \wedge more) \wedge finite \rightarrow more$ 
  by (simp add: 50 Prop05 Prop07 finite-d-def)
have 6:  $\vdash f \wedge finite \rightarrow (while (init w) do g \wedge finite)$ 
  using 4 5 ChopContraB by blast
from 6 show ?thesis by (simp add: Prop12)
qed

```

```

lemma SWhileIntro:
assumes  $\vdash \neg(init w) \wedge f \rightarrow empty$ 
   $\vdash init w \wedge f \rightarrow (g \wedge more) \neg f$ 
shows  $\vdash f \wedge finite \rightarrow swhile (init w) do g$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f \wedge finite \rightarrow while (init w) do g$ 
  using assms
  using assms
  unfolding schop-d-def
  using WhileIntro[of w f g]
  by blast
have 2:  $\vdash f \wedge finite \rightarrow while (init w) do g \wedge finite$ 
  using 1 by auto
show ?thesis
using 2
SWhile-While[of LIFT (init w) g]
While-import-finite[of LIFT (init w) g]
by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *WhileElim*:

assumes $\vdash \neg (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty} \rightarrow g$
 $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); g \rightarrow g$

shows $\vdash \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite}) =$
 $((\neg (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee$
 $(\text{init } w \wedge ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite})))$

by (rule *WhileEqvEmptyOrChopWhile*)

hence 11: $\vdash ((\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \neg g) =$
 $((\neg (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee$
 $(\text{init } w \wedge ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite}))) \wedge \neg g)$

by (metis *inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)

have 2: $\vdash \neg (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty} \rightarrow g$

using assms **by** *blast*

hence 21: $\vdash \neg g \rightarrow \neg (\neg (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})$

by *auto*

have 22: $\vdash ((\neg (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee$
 $(\text{init } w \wedge ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite}))) \wedge \neg g \rightarrow$
 $(\text{init } w \wedge ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite}))$

using 21 **by** *auto*

have 23: $\vdash (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \neg g \rightarrow$
 $(\text{init } w \wedge ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite})) \wedge \neg g$

using 11 21 **by** *fastforce*

have 3: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge (((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); g) \rightarrow g$

using assms **by** *blast*

hence 31: $\vdash \neg g \rightarrow \neg((\text{init } w) \wedge (((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); g))$

by *fastforce*

have 32: $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite})) \wedge \neg g \rightarrow$
 $((((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite}))) \wedge$
 $\neg (((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); g)) \wedge \neg g$

using 31 **by** *fastforce*

have 4: $\vdash (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \neg g \rightarrow$
 $((((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite}))) \wedge$
 $\neg (((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); g))$

by (meson 23 32 *Prop12 lift-imp-trans*)

have 5: $\vdash (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{more}$

by *auto*

from 4 5 **show** ?thesis **using**

ChopContraB[of *LIFT*($\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge \text{finite}$) *LIFT*(g) *LIFT*($((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})$)]

by *auto*

qed

lemma *SWhileElim*:

assumes $\vdash \neg (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty} \rightarrow g$
 $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge (f \wedge \text{more}) \rightsquigarrow g \rightarrow g$

shows $\vdash \text{swhile } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \rightarrow g$

using assms

unfolding *schop-d-def*

using *WhileElim*[of $w \ g \ f$] *SWhile-While*[of *LIFT* ($\text{init } w$) f]

While-import-finite[of LIFT (*init w*) *f*]
by *fastforce*

lemma *BaWhileImpWhile*:
 $\vdash ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge finite \rightarrow (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f) \rightarrow (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow ((\text{init } w \wedge f) \rightarrow (\text{init } w \wedge g))$
by *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash ba(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow ba((\text{init } w \wedge f) \rightarrow (\text{init } w \wedge g))$
using *BaImpBa* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash ba((\text{init } w \wedge f) \rightarrow (\text{init } w \wedge g)) \wedge finite \rightarrow ((\text{init } w \wedge f)^* \rightarrow (\text{init } w \wedge g)^*)$
by (*rule BaCSImpCS*)
have 4: $\vdash ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge finite \rightarrow ((\text{init } w \wedge f)^* \wedge fin(\neg(\text{init } w)) \rightarrow (\text{init } w \wedge g)^* \wedge fin(\neg(\text{init } w)))$
using 2 3 **by** *fastforce*
from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (*simp add: while-d-def*)
qed

lemma *SBaSWhileImpSWhile*:
 $\vdash sba(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (\text{swhile } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f) \rightarrow (\text{swhile } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow ((\text{init } w \wedge f) \rightarrow (\text{init } w \wedge g))$
by *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash sba(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow sba((\text{init } w \wedge f) \rightarrow (\text{init } w \wedge g))$
by (*rule SBaImpSBa*)
have 3: $\vdash sba((\text{init } w \wedge f) \rightarrow (\text{init } w \wedge g)) \rightarrow$
 $(schopstar(\text{init } w \wedge f) \rightarrow schopstar(\text{init } w \wedge g))$
by (*rule SBaSCSImpSCS*)
have 4: $\vdash sba(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (schopstar(\text{init } w \wedge f) \wedge sfin(\neg(\text{init } w)) \rightarrow$
 $schopstar(\text{init } w \wedge g) \wedge sfin(\neg(\text{init } w)))$
using 2 3 **by** *fastforce*
from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (*simp add: swhile-d-def*)
qed

lemma *WhileImpWhile*:
assumes $\vdash f \rightarrow g$
shows $\vdash (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f) \wedge finite \rightarrow (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow g$
using assms **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash ba(f \rightarrow g)$
by (*rule BaGen*)
have 3: $\vdash ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge finite \rightarrow (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f) \rightarrow (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g)$
by (*rule BaWhileImpWhile*)
have 4: $\vdash ba(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \wedge finite) \rightarrow (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g)$
using 3 **by** (*auto simp: Valid-def*)
from 2 4 **show** ?thesis **using** *MP* **by** *blast*
qed

```

lemma SWhileImpSWhile:
assumes ⊢ f → g
shows ⊢ (swhile (init w) do f) → (swhile (init w) do g)
proof -
have 1: ⊢ f → g
  using assms by auto
hence 2: ⊢ sba (f → g)
  by (rule SBaGen)
have 3: ⊢ sba (f → g) → (swhile (init w) do f) → (swhile (init w) do g)
  by (rule SBaSWhileImpSWhile)
from 2 3 show ?thesis using MP by blast
qed

```

end

```

theory Omega
imports Chopstar
begin

```

This theory defines the omega operator for infinite ITL and provides a library of lemmas. We also define a weak version womega that corresponds to the omega operator from Omega Algebra [1]. We also provide a semantic version aomega and provide lemmas that express various relationships between them. We also ported the numerous omega algebra lemmas from [1] to ITL.

9 Omega and variants

9.1 Definitions

9.1.1 Omega

```

lemma FMoreSem-var [ mono]:
(w ⊨ ((f ∧ more) ∧ finite);g) =
((0 < nlength w ∧ (∃ n. f ((ntaken n w)) ∧ 0 < n ∧ g (ndropn n w))) )
by (simp add: itl-defs)
(metis enat-0-iff(1) linorder-not-less ndropn-all neq0-conv
nfinite-nlength-enat nfinite-ntaken ntaken-all order-le-less)

```

```

coinductive omega-d :: ('a::world) formula ⇒ 'a formula
for F where
(s ⊨ ((F ∧ more) ∧ finite);(omega-d F)) ⇒ (s ⊨ (omega-d F))

```

syntax

-omega-d :: lift ⇒ lift ((-^ω) [85] 85)

syntax (ASCII)

-omega-d :: lift ⇒ lift ((omega -) [85] 85)

translations

$\text{-omega-}d \quad \Rightarrow \text{CONST omega-}d$

lemma *OmegaIntros*:

$\vdash ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite});(\text{omega } f) \longrightarrow (\text{omega } f)$

using *omega-d.intros* **using** *unl-lift2* **by** *blast*

lemma *OmegaCases*:

$(w \models (\text{omega } F)) \Rightarrow$

$(w \models ((F \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite});(\text{omega } F) \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow P$

using *omega-d.cases[of F w P]* **by** *auto*

lemma *OmegaUnrollSem*:

$(s \models (\text{omega } f)) = (s \models ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite});(\text{omega } f))$

using *omega-d.cases[of f]*

by (*metis omega-d.simps*)

lemma *OmegaCoinductSem*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. X x \Rightarrow x \models ((F \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite});(X \vee \text{omega } F)$

shows $x \models X \longrightarrow \text{omega } F$

using *assms omega-d.coinduct[of X x F]*

by (*auto simp add: chop-defs*)

lemma *OmegaWeakCoinductSem*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. X x \Rightarrow x \models ((F \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite});X$

shows $x \models X \longrightarrow \text{omega } F$

using *assms omega-d.coinduct[of X x F]*

by (*auto simp add: chop-defs*)

lemma *OmegaUnroll*:

$\vdash f^\omega = ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite});f^\omega$

using *OmegaUnrollSem unl-lift2* **by** *blast*

lemma *OmegaCoinduct*:

assumes $\vdash X \longrightarrow ((F \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite});(X \vee (\text{omega } F))$

shows $\vdash X \longrightarrow (\text{omega } F)$

using *assms OmegaCoinductSem[of X F]*

by (*simp add: Valid-def*)

lemma *OmegaWeakCoinduct*:

assumes $\vdash X \longrightarrow ((F \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite});X$

shows $\vdash X \longrightarrow (\text{omega } F)$

using *assms OmegaWeakCoinductSem[of X F]*

by (*simp add: Valid-def*)

9.1.2 Alternative definition for Omega

lemma *infinite-nidx-imp-infinite-interval*:

assumes $\neg \text{nfinite } l$

```

nidx l
  (nnth l 0) = 0
   $\forall i. (nnth l i) \leq nlength s$ 
shows  $\neg nfinite s$ 
proof
  assume  $nfinite s$ 
  thus False
    using assms
    proof (induct s rule: nfinite-induct)
      case (NNil y)
      then show ?case
        by (metis dual-order.antisym enat-ord-simps(1) linorder-linear nfinite-ntaken nidx-gr-first nlength-NNil not-gr-zero ntaken-all zero-le zero-less-Suc)
      next
        case (NCons x nell)
        then show ?case
          proof –
            have 1:  $\bigwedge j. (nnth l j) < (nnth l (Suc j))$ 
              by (metis assms(1) assms(2) linorder-le-cases nfinite-ntaken nidx-expand ntaken-all)
            have 2:  $\forall i. enat (nnth l i) \leq nlength (NCons x nell) \implies False$ 
              by (metis 1 NCons.hyps(2) assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) dual-order.strict-iff-order enat-ord-simps(1)iless-Suc-eq linorder-not-le nlength-NCons)
            show ?thesis
              using 2 NCons.preds(4) by auto
          qed
        qed
      qed

```

definition *aomega-d* :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *aomega-d* *F* \equiv

$$(\lambda s. (\exists (l:: nat nellist). \neg nfinite l \wedge (nnth l 0) = 0 \wedge nidx l \wedge (\forall i. (nnth l i) \leq nlength s) \wedge (\forall i. ((nsubn s (nnth l i)) (nnth l (Suc i))) \models F)))$$

syntax

-*aomega-d* :: *lift* \Rightarrow *lift* ((*aomega -*) [85] 85)

syntax (ASCII)

-*aomega-d* :: *lift* \Rightarrow *lift* ((*aomega -*) [85] 85)

translations

-*aomega-d* \equiv CONST *aomega-d*

lemma *aomega-unroll-chain-a*:

assumes ($\exists l. \neg nfinite l \wedge (nnth l 0) = 0 \wedge nidx l \wedge (\forall i. (nnth l i) \leq nlength \sigma) \wedge (\forall i. f ((nsubn \sigma (nnth l i)) (nnth l (Suc i))))$)

shows ($\exists n. enat n \leq nlength \sigma \wedge f ((ntaken n \sigma)) \wedge 0 < n \wedge enat 0 < nlength \sigma \wedge (\exists l. \neg nfinite l \wedge (nnth l 0) = 0 \wedge nidx l \wedge (\forall i. (nnth l i) \leq nlength \sigma - enat n) \wedge (\forall i. f ((nsubn (ndropn n \sigma) (nnth l i)) (nnth l (Suc i))))$)
 $)$
 $)$

proof –

obtain l **where** 1: $\neg nfinite l \wedge (nnth l 0) = 0 \wedge nidx l \wedge (\forall i. (nnth l i) \leq nlength \sigma) \wedge (\forall i. f ((nsubn \sigma (nnth l i)) (nnth l (Suc i))))$

using assms by auto

have 2: $l = NCons (nnth l 0) (ndropn 1 l)$

by (metis 1 One-nat-def gr-zeroI ndropn-0 ndropn-Suc-conv-ndropn nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD zero-enat-def)

have 3: $(nnth l 0) = 0$

using 1 **by** blast

have 4: $(nnth l 0) < (nnth l 1)$

using 1

by (metis One-nat-def Suc-ile-eq enat-defs(1) nidx-gr-first nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD not-gr-zero zero-less-one)

have 5: $nidx (ndropn 1 l)$

using 1 nidx-expand[of l] nidx-expand[of (ndropn 1 l)]

by (metis dual-order.order-iff-strict enat-defs(1) ndropn-all ndropn-nnth nfinite-ndropn-b nlength-NNil nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD not-le-imp-less plus-1-eq-Suc)

have 6: $f ((nsubn \sigma (nnth l 0)) (nnth l 1))$

by (metis 1 One-nat-def)

have 7: $(\forall i. f ((nsubn \sigma (nnth (ndropn 1 l) i)) (nnth (ndropn 1 l) (Suc i))))$

by (simp add: 1)

have 8: $f ((ntaken (nnth l 1) \sigma))$

by (metis 1 4 One-nat-def Suc-diff-1 Suc-diff-Suc ndropn-0 nsubn-def1)

have 81: $\neg nfinite (nmap (\lambda e. e - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l))$

by (simp add: 1)

have 82: $\bigwedge j. 0 < j \longrightarrow (nnth l 1) < nnth (ndropn 1 l) j$

by (metis 1 Suc-diff-1 enat-defs(1) linorder-le-cases ndropn-all ndropn-nnth nfinite-ndropn-b nidx-less nlength-NNil nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD plus-1-eq-Suc)

have 83: $\bigwedge j. nnth (nmap (\lambda e. e - nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l) j = (nnth l (Suc j)) - (nnth l 1)$

by (metis 81 le-cases ndropn-nnth nfinite-ntaken nlength-nmap nnth-nmap ntaken-all plus-1-eq-Suc)

have 84: $\bigwedge j. nnth (nmap (\lambda e. e - nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l) (Suc j) = (nnth l (Suc (Suc j))) - (nnth l 1)$

using 83 **by** blast

have 840: $\bigwedge j. (nnth l 1) \leq (nnth l (Suc j))$

by (metis 1 diff-add-zero diff-is-0-eq linorder-le-cases nfinite-ntaken nidx-less-eq ntaken-all plus-1-eq-Suc)

have 85: $\bigwedge j. (nnth l (Suc j)) - (nnth l 1) < (nnth l (Suc (Suc j))) - (nnth l 1)$
by (metis 1 840 diff-less-mono linorder-le-cases nfinite-ntaken nidx-expand ntaken-all)
have 86: $(\forall i. enat (Suc i) \leq nlength (nmap (\lambda e. e - nnth l 1) (ndropn 1 l))) \longrightarrow$
 $nnth (nmap (\lambda e. e - nnth l 1) (ndropn 1 l)) i <$
 $nnth (nmap (\lambda e. e - nnth l 1) (ndropn 1 l)) (Suc i))$
using 83 85 **by** presburger
have 9: $nidx (nmap (\lambda e. e - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l))$
using nidx-expand[of (nmap (\lambda e. e - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l))]
using 83 85 **by** presburger
have 91: $\bigwedge j. (nnth (nmap (\lambda e. e + (nnth l 1)) (nmap (\lambda e. e - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l)))) j) =$
 $(nnth l (Suc j))$
by (metis 81 82 83 One-nat-def add-Suc diff-Suc-1 diff-Suc-eq-diff-pred
diff-add diff-is-0-eq le-refl linorder-le-cases ndropn-nnth nfinite-ntaken nlength-nmap
nnth-nmap not-le-imp-less ntaken-all order-less-imp-le plus-1-eq-Suc)
have 10: $(\forall i. f ((nsubn \sigma$
 $(nnth (nmap (\lambda e. e + (nnth l 1)) (nmap (\lambda e. e - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l)))) i)$
 $(nnth (nmap (\lambda e. e + (nnth l 1)) (nmap (\lambda e. e - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l)))) (Suc i))))$
using 1 91 **by** presburger
have 11: $(\forall i. f ((nsubn \sigma$
 $((nnth (nmap (\lambda e. e - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l)) i) + (nnth l 1)))$
 $((nnth (nmap (\lambda e. e - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l)) (Suc i)) + (nnth l 1)))$
 $)))$
using 7 83 840 **by** fastforce
have 12: $(\forall i. f ((nsubn (ndropn (nnth l 1) \sigma)$
 $((nnth (nmap (\lambda e. e - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l)) i))$
 $((nnth (nmap (\lambda e. e - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l)) (Suc i)))$
 $)))$
by (metis 11 83 85 nsubn-ndropn)
have 121: $nnth (nmap (\lambda e. e - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l)) 0 = 0$
using 83 One-nat-def **by** presburger
have 122: $\neg nfinite (nmap (\lambda e. e - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l)) \wedge$
 $nnth (nmap (\lambda e. e - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l)) 0 = 0 \wedge$
 $nidx (nmap (\lambda e. e - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l)) \wedge$
 $(\forall i. f ((nsubn (ndropn (nnth l 1) \sigma)$
 $((nnth (nmap (\lambda e. e - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l)) i))$
 $((nnth (nmap (\lambda e. e - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l)) (Suc i)))$
 $)))$
using 12 121 81 9 **by** blast
have 123: $(\forall i. (nnth (nmap (\lambda e. e - (nnth l 1)) (ndropn 1 l)) i) \leq nlength (ndropn (nnth l 1) \sigma))$
by (meson 1 enat-ile infinite-nidx-imp-infinite-interval le-cases nfinite-ndropn-b
nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD)
have 13: $(\exists ls.$
 $\neg nfinite ls \wedge (nnth ls 0) = 0 \wedge nidx ls \wedge$
 $(\forall i. (nnth ls i) \leq nlength (ndropn (nnth l 1) \sigma)) \wedge$
 $(\forall i. f ((nsubn (ndropn (nnth l 1) \sigma) (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))$
 $)$
using 122 123 **by** blast
from 13 **show** ?thesis **using** 4 8
by (metis 1 enat-ord-simps(1) linorder-not-less ndropn-nlength not-gr-zero zero-enat-def)
qed

lemma aomega-unroll-chain-b:

assumes ($\exists n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge f(\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \wedge 0 < n \wedge \text{enat } 0 < \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge (\exists l. \neg \text{nfinite } l \wedge (\text{nnth } l 0) = 0 \wedge \text{nidx } l \wedge (\forall i. (\text{nnth } l i) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma - \text{enat } n) \wedge (\forall i. f(\text{nsubn } (\text{ndropn } n \sigma) (\text{nnth } l i) (\text{nnth } l (\text{Suc } i))))))$)
 $)$

shows ($\exists l. \neg \text{nfinite } l \wedge (\text{nnth } l 0) = 0 \wedge \text{nidx } l \wedge (\forall i. (\text{nnth } l i) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma) \wedge (\forall i. f(\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } l i) (\text{nnth } l (\text{Suc } i))))))$

proof –

obtain n **where** 1: $\text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge f(\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \wedge 0 < n \wedge \text{enat } 0 < \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge (\exists l. \neg \text{nfinite } l \wedge (\text{nnth } l 0) = 0 \wedge \text{nidx } l \wedge (\forall i. (\text{nnth } l i) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma - \text{enat } n) \wedge (\forall i. f(\text{nsubn } (\text{ndropn } n \sigma) (\text{nnth } l i) (\text{nnth } l (\text{Suc } i))))))$
 $)$

using assms by auto

have 2: $(\exists l. \neg \text{nfinite } l \wedge (\text{nnth } l 0) = 0 \wedge \text{nidx } l \wedge (\forall i. (\text{nnth } l i) \leq \text{nlength } (\text{ndropn } n \sigma)) \wedge (\forall i. f(\text{nsubn } (\text{ndropn } n \sigma) (\text{nnth } l i) (\text{nnth } l (\text{Suc } i))))))$
 $)$

using 1 by auto

obtain l **where** 3: $\neg \text{nfinite } l \wedge (\text{nnth } l 0) = 0 \wedge \text{nidx } l \wedge (\forall i. (\text{nnth } l i) \leq \text{nlength } (\text{ndropn } n \sigma)) \wedge (\forall i. f(\text{nsubn } (\text{ndropn } n \sigma) (\text{nnth } l i) (\text{nnth } l (\text{Suc } i))))))$

using 2 by auto

have 4: $\text{nidx } (\text{nmap } (\lambda e. e + n) l)$
using 3
by (simp add: Suc-ile-eq nidx-expand)

have 42: $\bigwedge j. \text{nnth } (\text{NCons } 0 (\text{nmap } (\lambda e. e + n) l)) j = (\text{case } j \text{ of } 0 \Rightarrow 0 \mid (\text{Suc } k) \Rightarrow \text{nnth } (\text{nmap } (\lambda e. e + n) l) k)$
by (simp add: nnth-NCons)

have 43: $\bigwedge j. \text{nnth } (\text{NCons } 0 (\text{nmap } (\lambda e. e + n) l)) (\text{Suc } j) = \text{nnth } (\text{nmap } (\lambda e. e + n) l) j$
by simp

have 44: $0 < \text{nnth } (\text{nmap } (\lambda e. e + n) l) 0$
using 1 enat-defs(1) **by** auto

have 45: $\bigwedge j. \text{nnth } (\text{nmap } (\lambda e. e + n) l) j < \text{nnth } (\text{nmap } (\lambda e. e + n) l) (\text{Suc } j)$
by (metis 3 4 add.right-neutral le-cases nfinite-ntaken nidx-less nlength-nmap ntaken-all)

have 46: $(\forall i. \text{enat } (\text{Suc } i) \leq \text{nlength } (\text{NCons } 0 (\text{nmap } (\lambda e. e + n) l))) \rightarrow$

```

nnth (NCons 0 (nmap (λe. e + n) l)) i <
nnth (NCons 0 (nmap (λe. e + n) l)) (Suc i))
by (metis 43 44 45 lessI less-Suc-eq-0-disj nnth-0)
have 5: nidx (NCons 0 (nmap (λe. e + n) l))
using 46 nidx-expand by blast
have 6: ( (ntaken n σ)) =
  ( (nsubn σ (nnth (NCons 0 (nmap (λe. e + n) l)) 0)
    (nnth (NCons 0 (nmap (λe. e + n) l)) (Suc 0)))) )
by (metis 3 43 44 One-nat-def Suc-diff-1 Suc-diff-Suc add-0 ndropn-0 nnth-0 nnth-nmap
  nsubn-def1 zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 7: (∀ i. f ( (nsubn (ndropn n σ) (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))))) )
using 3 by auto
have 8: (∀ i. f ( (nsubn σ ((nnth l i)+n) ((nnth l (Suc i)) +n) ))) )
using 7
by (simp add: add.commute ndropn-ndropn nsubn-def1)
have 9: (∀ i. f ( (nsubn σ ( (nnth (nmap (λe. e + n) l) i)
    (nnth (nmap (λe. e + n) l) (Suc i)))) ) ))
using 8
by (metis 45 Suc-ile-eq dual-order.order-iff-strict linorder-le-cases nat-neq-iff nlength-nmap
  nnth-beyond nnth-nmap)
have 10: f ( (nsubn σ (nnth (NCons 0 (nmap (λe. e + n) l)) 0)
  (nnth (NCons 0 (nmap (λe. e + n) l)) (Suc 0)))) )
using 1 6 by auto
have 11: (∀ i. i>0 →
  f ( (nsubn σ (nnth (NCons 0 (nmap (λe. e + n) l)) i)
    (nnth (NCons 0 (nmap (λe. e + n) l)) (Suc i))))) )
by (metis 9 Suc-diff-1 nnth-Suc-NCons)
have 12: (∀ i.
  f ( (nsubn σ (nnth (NCons 0 (nmap (λe. e + n) l)) i)
    (nnth (NCons 0 (nmap (λe. e + n) l)) (Suc i))))) )
using 10 11 neq0-conv by blast
have 13: ∀ i. (nnth (NCons 0 (nmap (λe. e + n) l)) i) ≤ nlength σ
by (metis 3 add.commute enat-ile infinite-nidx-imp-infinite-interval linorder-le-cases ndropn-all
  ndropn-ndropn nfinite-ndropn-b nlength-NNil nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD zero-le)
show ?thesis using 12 5
by (metis 13 3 nfinite-NCons nfinite-nmap nnth-0)
qed

```

lemma aomega-unroll-chain:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\exists l. \neg nfinite l \wedge (nnth l 0) = 0 \wedge nidx l \wedge \\
& (\forall i. (nnth l i) \leq nlength \sigma) \wedge \\
& (\forall i. f ((nsubn σ (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i)))))) \\
= & (\exists n. enat n \leq nlength \sigma \wedge \\
& f ((ntaken n σ)) \wedge \\
& 0 < n \wedge \\
& enat 0 < nlength \sigma \wedge \\
& (\exists l. \\
& \neg nfinite l \wedge (nnth l 0) = 0 \wedge nidx l \wedge \\
& (\forall i. (nnth l i) \leq nlength \sigma - enat n) \wedge
\end{aligned}$$

```

        )  

    )  

        )  

            )  

                )  

                    )  

                        )  

                            )  

                                )  

                                    )  

                                        )  

                                            )  

                                                )  

                                                    )  

                                                        )  

                                                            )  

                                                                )  

                                                                    )  

                                                                        )  

                                                                            )  

                                                                                )  

                                                                                    )  

                                                                                        )  

                                                                                            )  

                                                                                                )  

                                                                                                 )  

................................................................
```

using aomega-unroll-chain-a[of σ f] aomega-unroll-chain-b[of σ f]
by blast

lemma aomega-unroll-sem:

($\sigma \models ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite);(aomega f) = (aomega f)$)

proof

(simp add: itl-defs zero-enat-def aomega-d-def)

show ($\exists n. enat n \leq nlength \sigma \wedge$

$f (ntaken n \sigma) \wedge$

$0 < n \wedge$

$enat 0 < nlength \sigma \wedge$

$(\exists l. \neg nfinite l \wedge nnth l 0 = 0 \wedge nidx l \wedge$

$(\forall i. enat (nnth l i) \leq nlength \sigma - enat n) \wedge$

$(\forall i. f (nsubn (ndropn n \sigma) (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i)))) \vee$

$\neg nfinite \sigma \wedge f \sigma \wedge enat 0 < nlength \sigma \wedge nfinite \sigma =$

$(\exists l. \neg nfinite l \wedge nnth l 0 = 0 \wedge nidx l \wedge (\forall i. enat (nnth l i) \leq nlength \sigma) \wedge$

$(\forall i. f (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))))$

using aomega-unroll-chain[of σ f] **by** blast

qed

lemma AOMegaUnroll:

$\vdash (aomega f) = ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite);(aomega f)$

unfolding Valid-def

using aomega-unroll-sem **by** auto

lemma AOMegaInductSem-help:

($\sigma \models inf \wedge g \wedge \square(g \longrightarrow ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite);g)) =$

$(\neg nfinite \sigma \wedge g \sigma \wedge$

$(\forall n. g (ndropn n \sigma)) \longrightarrow$

$(\exists na. f (nsubn \sigma n (na+n))) \wedge$

$0 < na \wedge g (ndropn (n + na) \sigma)))$

)

by (simp add: itl-defs zero-enat-def min-def ndropn-ndropn nsubn-def1)

(metis linorder-le-cases ndropn-all ndropn-nlength nfinite-NNil nfinite-ndropn-b)

primrec cpoint :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a intervals \Rightarrow nat

where cpoint f g 0 σ = 0

| cpoint f g (Suc n) σ =

$(\epsilon x. (\exists m. ((nsubn \sigma (cpoint f g n \sigma) (m+(cpoint f g n \sigma))) \models f) \wedge$

$m > 0 \wedge ((ndropn (m+(cpoint f g n \sigma)) \sigma) \models g) \wedge$

$x = m + (cpoint f g n \sigma)$

)

)

lemma *cpoint-expand-0*:

$$(\text{cpoint } f g 0 \sigma) = 0$$

by *simp*

lemma *cpoint-expand-1*:

$$(\text{cpoint } f g 1 \sigma) =$$

$$(\text{SOME } x. (\exists m. f ((\text{nsubn } \sigma 0 (m))) \wedge m > 0 \wedge g ((\text{ndropn } (m) \sigma)) \wedge x = m))$$

by (*simp add: itl-defs*)

lemma *cpoint-expand-n*:

$$(\text{cpoint } f g (\text{Suc } n) \sigma) =$$

$$(\text{SOME } x. (\exists m. f ((\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{cpoint } f g n \sigma) (m + (\text{cpoint } f g n \sigma)))) \wedge m > 0 \wedge g ((\text{ndropn } (m + (\text{cpoint } f g n \sigma)) \sigma)) \wedge x = m + (\text{cpoint } f g n \sigma)))$$

)

by (*simp add: itl-defs*)

lemma *cpoint-0*:

assumes $\neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge g \sigma \wedge$

$$(\forall k. g ((\text{ndropn } k \sigma)) \longrightarrow (\exists m. f ((\text{nsubn } \sigma k (m+k))) \wedge 0 < m \wedge g ((\text{ndropn } (m+k) \sigma))))$$

shows $g ((\text{ndropn } (\text{cpoint } f g i \sigma) \sigma))$

proof

(*induct i*)

case 0

then show ?case **by** (*simp add: assms*)

next

case (*Suc i*)

then show ?case

proof –

have 1: $g ((\text{ndropn } (\text{cpoint } f g i \sigma) \sigma))$

by (*simp add: Suc.hyps*)

have 2: $g ((\text{ndropn } (\text{cpoint } f g i \sigma) \sigma)) \longrightarrow$

$$(\exists m. f ((\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{cpoint } f g i \sigma) (m + (\text{cpoint } f g i \sigma)))) \wedge 0 < m \wedge g ((\text{ndropn } (m + (\text{cpoint } f g i \sigma)) \sigma)))$$

using *assms* **by** *blast*

have 3: $(\exists m. f ((\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{cpoint } f g i \sigma) (m + (\text{cpoint } f g i \sigma)))) \wedge 0 < m \wedge g ((\text{ndropn } (m + (\text{cpoint } f g i \sigma)) \sigma)))$

using 1 2 **by** *auto*

have 4: $(\text{cpoint } f g (\text{Suc } i) \sigma) =$

$$(\text{SOME } x. (\exists m. f ((\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{cpoint } f g i \sigma) (m + (\text{cpoint } f g i \sigma)))) \wedge m > 0 \wedge g ((\text{ndropn } (m + (\text{cpoint } f g i \sigma)) \sigma)))$$

```

 $\wedge x = m + (\text{cpoint } f g i \sigma))$ 
by simp
have 5:  $g ((\text{ndropn } ((\text{cpoint } f g (\text{Suc } i) \sigma)) \sigma))$ 
using 3 4 someI-ex[of  $\lambda x.$  ( $\exists m. f ((\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{cpoint } f g i \sigma) (m + (\text{cpoint } f g i \sigma)))$ )  

 $\wedge m > 0 \wedge g ((\text{ndropn } (m + (\text{cpoint } f g i \sigma)) \sigma))$   

 $\wedge x = m + (\text{cpoint } f g i \sigma))]$  by auto
from 5 show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma cpoint-1:

assumes $\neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge g \in \sigma \wedge$
 $(\forall k. g ((\text{ndropn } k \sigma)) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists m. f ((\text{nsubn } \sigma k (m+k)) \wedge$
 $0 < m \wedge g ((\text{ndropn } (m+k) \sigma))))$

shows $(g ((\text{ndropn } (\text{cpoint } f g i \sigma) \sigma))$
 $\implies g ((\text{ndropn } (\text{cpoint } f g (\text{Suc } i) \sigma) \sigma)))$

using assms cpoint-0 by blast

lemma cpoint-2:

assumes $\neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge g \in \sigma \wedge$
 $(\forall k. g ((\text{ndropn } k \sigma)) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists m. f ((\text{nsubn } \sigma k (m+k)) \wedge$
 $0 < m \wedge g ((\text{ndropn } (m+k) \sigma))))$

shows $f ((\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{cpoint } f g i \sigma) (\text{cpoint } f g (\text{Suc } i) \sigma)))$

proof
 $(\text{induct } i)$
case 0
then show ?case
proof -
have 1: $g ((\text{ndropn } 0 \sigma))$
using assms cpoint-0 cpoint-expand-0 by force
have 2: $(\exists m. f ((\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{cpoint } f g 0 \sigma) (m + (\text{cpoint } f g 0 \sigma)))) \wedge$
 $0 < m \wedge g ((\text{ndropn } (m + (\text{cpoint } f g 0 \sigma)) \sigma)))$
using assms 1 by (metis cpoint-expand-0)
have 3: $(\text{cpoint } f g 1 \sigma) =$
 $(\text{SOME } x. (\exists m. f ((\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{cpoint } f g 0 \sigma) (m + (\text{cpoint } f g 0 \sigma)))) \wedge$
 $m > 0 \wedge g ((\text{ndropn } (m + (\text{cpoint } f g 0 \sigma)) \sigma)) \wedge$
 $x = m + (\text{cpoint } f g 0 \sigma))$
 $)$

by simp
have 4: $f ((\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{cpoint } f g 0 \sigma) ((\text{cpoint } f g 1 \sigma))) \wedge$
**using 2 3 someI-ex[of $\lambda x.$ ($\exists m. f ((\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{cpoint } f g 0 \sigma)$
 $(m + (\text{cpoint } f g 0 \sigma))) \wedge$**

```

 $\wedge m > 0 \wedge g((ndropn(m+(cpoint f g 0 \sigma)) \sigma))$ 
 $\wedge x = m+(cpoint f g 0 \sigma))]$  by auto
from 4 show ?thesis by auto
qed
next
case (Suc i)
then show ?case
proof -
have n1:  $g((ndropn(cpoint f g (Suc i) \sigma) \sigma))$ 
using assms cpoint-0 by blast
have n2:  $(\exists m. f((nsubn \sigma (cpoint f g (Suc i) \sigma) (m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) \sigma)))) \wedge$ 
 $0 < m \wedge g((ndropn(m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) \sigma)) \sigma)))$ 
using assms n1 by auto
have n3:  $(cpoint f g (Suc (Suc i)) \sigma) =$ 
 $(SOME x. (\exists m. f((nsubn \sigma (cpoint f g (Suc i) \sigma) (m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) \sigma)))) \wedge$ 
 $m > 0 \wedge g((ndropn(m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) \sigma)) \sigma)) \wedge$ 
 $x = m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) \sigma))$ 
 $)$ 
using cpoint-expand-n by blast
have n4:  $f((nsubn \sigma (cpoint f g (Suc i) \sigma) ((cpoint f g (Suc (Suc i)) \sigma))))$ 
using n2 n3 someI-ex[of  $\lambda x. (\exists m. f((nsubn \sigma (cpoint f g (Suc i) \sigma)$ 
 $(m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) \sigma)))) \wedge$ 
 $m > 0 \wedge g((ndropn(m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) \sigma)) \sigma)) \wedge$ 
 $x = m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) \sigma))]$  by auto
from n4 show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma cpoint-3a:
 $m > 0 \wedge x = m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) \sigma) \implies (cpoint f g (Suc i) \sigma) < x$
by auto

lemma cpoint-3:
assumes $\neg nfinite \sigma \wedge g \sigma \wedge$
 $(\forall k. g((ndropn k \sigma)) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists m. f((nsubn \sigma k (m+k)) \wedge$
 $0 < m \wedge g((ndropn(m+k) \sigma))))$

shows $(cpoint f g i \sigma) < (cpoint f g (Suc i) \sigma)$

proof
(*induct i*)
case 0
then show ?case
proof -
have 1: $g((ndropn 0 \sigma))$
using assms cpoint-0 cpoint-expand-0 **by** force
have 2: $(\exists m. f((nsubn \sigma (cpoint f g 0 \sigma) (m+(cpoint f g 0 \sigma)))) \wedge$
 $0 < m \wedge g((ndropn(m+(cpoint f g 0 \sigma)) \sigma)))$

```

using assms 1 by (metis cpoint-expand-0)
have 3: (cpoint f g 1 σ) =
  (SOME x. (exists m. f ((nsubn σ (cpoint f g 0 σ)) (m+(cpoint f g 0 σ)))) )
    ∧ m>0 ∧ g ((ndropn (m+(cpoint f g 0 σ))) σ))
    ∧ x=m+(cpoint f g 0 σ))
)

by simp
have 4: (cpoint f g 0 σ) < (cpoint f g 1 σ)
  using 2 3 someI-ex[of λx. (exists m. f ((nsubn σ (cpoint f g 0 σ)) (m+(cpoint f g 0 σ)))) )
    ∧ m>0 ∧ g ((ndropn (m+(cpoint f g 0 σ))) σ))
    ∧ x=m+(cpoint f g 0 σ))] by auto
from 4 show ?thesis by auto
qed
next
case (Suc i)
then show ?case
proof -
  have n1: g ((ndropn (cpoint f g (Suc i) σ)) σ)
    using assms cpoint-0 by blast
  have n2: (exists m. f ((nsubn σ (cpoint f g (Suc i) σ)) (m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) σ)))) ∧
    0 < m ∧ g ((ndropn (m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) σ))) σ))
    using assms n1 by auto
  have n3: (cpoint f g (Suc (Suc i)) σ) =
    (SOME x. (exists m. f ((nsubn σ (cpoint f g (Suc i) σ)) (m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) σ)))) )
      ∧ m>0 ∧ g ((ndropn (m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) σ))) σ))
      ∧ x=m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) σ))
  )
  using cpoint-expand-n by blast
  have n4: (exists m. f ((nsubn σ (cpoint f g (Suc i) σ)) (m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) σ)))) )
    ∧ m>0 ∧ g ((ndropn (m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) σ))) σ))
    ∧ (cpoint f g (Suc (Suc i)) σ)=m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) σ))
  using n2 n3 someI-ex[of λx. (exists m. f ((nsubn σ (cpoint f g (Suc i) σ)) (m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) σ)))) )
    ∧ m>0 ∧ g ((ndropn (m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) σ))) σ))
    ∧ x=m+(cpoint f g (Suc i) σ))] by auto
  have n5: (cpoint f g (Suc i) σ) < (cpoint f g (Suc (Suc i)) σ)
  using n4 using cpoint-3a by blast
  from n5 show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

```

primcorec $cpl :: ('a::world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a intervals \Rightarrow nat nellist$
where $cpl f g x σ = NCCons (cpoint f g x σ) (cpl f g (Suc x) σ)$

lemma

$nnth (cpl f g 0 σ) 0 = 0$
by (metis cpl.disc-iff cpl.simps(2) cpoint-expand-0 nhd-conv-nnth)

lemma

nnth (cpl f g 0 σ) 1 = cpoint f g 1 σ
by (metis One-nat-def cpl.code cpl.disc-iff cpl.simps(2) nhd-conv-nnth nnth-Suc-NCons)

lemma nnth-cpl:
nnth (cpl f g x σ) i = cpoint f g (x+i) σ
proof (induct i arbitrary: x)
case 0
then show ?case **by** (simp add: nnth-0-conv-nhd)
next
case (Suc i)
then show ?case **by** (metis add-Suc-shift cpl.simps(3) nnth-ntl)
qed

lemma cpl-infinite:
¬nfinite (cpl f g x σ)
proof
assume nfinite (cpl f g x σ)
thus False
proof (induct zs≡(cpl f g x σ) arbitrary: x rule: nfinite-induct)
case (NNil y)
then show ?case **by** (metis cpl.disc-iff nellist.disc(1))
next
case (NCons x nell)
then show ?case **by** (metis cpl.simps(3) nellist.sel(5))
qed
qed

lemma AOmegaInductSem:
 $(w \models (\inf \wedge g \wedge \square(g \rightarrow ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite});g)) \rightarrow \text{aomega } f)$
proof –
have 1: $(w \models (\inf \wedge g \wedge \square(g \rightarrow ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite});g))) =$
 $(\neg \text{nfinite } w \wedge g \ w \wedge$
 $(\forall k. g \ (\text{ndropn } k \ w)) \rightarrow$
 $(\exists m. f \ (\text{nsubn } w \ k \ (m+k))) \wedge$
 $0 < m \wedge g \ (\text{ndropn } (m+k) \ w))))$
using AOmegaInductSem-help[of g f w]
by (simp add: add.commute)
have 2: $(\neg \text{nfinite } w \wedge g \ w \wedge$
 $(\forall k. g \ (\text{ndropn } k \ w)) \rightarrow$
 $(\exists m. f \ (\text{nsubn } w \ k \ (m+k))) \wedge$
 $0 < m \wedge g \ (\text{ndropn } (m+k) \ w)))) \rightarrow$
nidx (cpl f g 0 w)
using nidx-expand[of (cpl f g 0 w)] nnth-cpl[of f g 0 w]
by (metis add-0 cpoint-3)
have 3: $(\neg \text{nfinite } w \wedge g \ w \wedge$
 $(\forall k. g \ (\text{ndropn } k \ w)) \rightarrow$
 $(\exists m. f \ (\text{nsubn } w \ k \ (m+k))) \wedge$
 $0 < m \wedge g \ (\text{ndropn } (m+k) \ w)))) \rightarrow$

$$(\forall i. f ((nsubn w (nnth (cpl f g 0 w) i) (nnth (cpl f g 0 w) (Suc i)))))$$

using 1 cpoint-2 by (metis add-0 nnth-cpl)

have 31: $(\neg nfinite w \wedge g w \wedge$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall k. g ((ndropn k w)) \longrightarrow \\ & (\exists m. f ((nsubn w k (m+k)) \wedge \\ & 0 < m \wedge g ((ndropn (m+k) w)))) \longrightarrow \\ & (\forall i. (nnth (cpl f g 0 w) i) \leq nlength w) \end{aligned}$$

by (simp add: nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)

have 4: $(\neg nfinite w \wedge g w \wedge$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall k. g ((ndropn k w)) \longrightarrow \\ & (\exists m. f ((nsubn w k (m+k)) \wedge \\ & 0 < m \wedge g ((ndropn (m+k) w)))) \longrightarrow \\ & \neg nfinite w \wedge \neg nfinite (cpl f g 0 w) \wedge nnth (cpl f g 0 w) 0 = 0 \wedge \\ & nidx (cpl f g 0 w) \wedge \\ & (\forall i. (nnth (cpl f g 0 w) i) \leq nlength w) \wedge \\ & (\forall i. f ((nsubn w (nnth (cpl f g 0 w) i) \\ & (nnth (cpl f g 0 w) (Suc i))))) \end{aligned}$$

using 2 3 31

by (simp add: cpl-infinite nnth-0-conv-nhd)

have 5: $(\neg nfinite w \wedge g w \wedge$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall k. g ((ndropn k w)) \longrightarrow \\ & (\exists m. f ((nsubn w k (m+k)) \wedge \\ & 0 < m \wedge g ((ndropn (m+k) w)))) \longrightarrow \\ & \neg nfinite w \wedge \\ & (\exists (ls). \neg nfinite ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge nidx ls \wedge \\ & (\forall i. (nnth ls i) \leq nlength w) \wedge \\ & (\forall i. f ((nsubn w (nnth ls i) \\ & (nnth ls (Suc i))))) \wedge \\ & ls = (cpl f g 0 w)) \end{aligned}$$

using 4 by auto

have 6: $(\neg nfinite w \wedge g w \wedge$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall k. g ((ndropn k w)) \longrightarrow \\ & (\exists m. f ((nsubn w k (m+k)) \wedge \\ & 0 < m \wedge g ((ndropn (m+k) w)))) \longrightarrow (w \models aomega f) \end{aligned}$$

using 3 5 unfolding aomega-d-def by blast

have 7: $(w \models (inf \wedge g \wedge \square(g \longrightarrow ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite);g)) \longrightarrow (aomega f))$

using 6 1 by auto

from 7 show ?thesis by blast

qed

lemma AOmegaInduct:

$$\vdash (inf \wedge g \wedge \square(g \longrightarrow ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite);g)) \longrightarrow aomega f$$

unfolding Valid-def using AOmegaInductSem[of g f] by blast

lemma AOmegaWeakCoInduct:

$$\text{assumes } \vdash g \longrightarrow ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite);g$$

$$\text{shows } \vdash inf \wedge g \longrightarrow aomega f$$

proof -

```

have 1:  $\vdash \square(g \longrightarrow ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite);g)$ 
using assms by (simp add: BoxGen)
show ?thesis using assms AOmegaInduct[of g f]
using 1 by fastforce
qed

```

9.1.3 Weak Omega

```

lemma ChopSemMono [ mono]:
 $(w \models f ; g) =$ 
 $((\exists n. enat n \leq nlength w \wedge f ((ntaken n w)) \wedge g (ndropn n w)) \vee$ 
 $(\neg nfinite w \wedge f w))$ 
by (simp add: itl-defs)

```

```

coinductive womega-d :: ('a::world) formula  $\Rightarrow$  'a formula
for F where
 $(s \models F; (womega-d F)) \implies (s \models (womega-d F))$ 

```

syntax

$-womega-d :: lift \Rightarrow lift$ $((-\mathcal{W}) [85] 85)$

syntax (ASCII)

$-womega-d :: lift \Rightarrow lift$ $((womega -) [85] 85)$

translations

$-womega-d \equiv CONST womega-d$

lemma WOmegaIntros:

```

 $\vdash f; (womega f) \longrightarrow (womega f)$ 
using womega-d.intros using unl-lift2 by blast

```

lemma WOmegaCases:

```

 $(w \models (womega F)) \implies$ 
 $(w \models F; (womega F) \implies P) \implies P$ 
using womega-d.cases[of F w P] by auto

```

lemma WOmegaUnrollSem:

```

 $(s \models (womega f)) = (s \models f; (womega f))$ 
using womega-d.cases[of f]
by (metis womega-d.simps)

```

lemma WOmegaUnroll:

```

 $\vdash (womega f) = f; (womega f)$ 
using WOmegaUnrollSem
using unl-lift2 by blast

```

```

lemma WOmegaCoinductSem:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. X x \implies x \models F; (X \vee \text{womega } F)$ 
  shows  $x \models X \implies \text{womega } F$ 
  using assms womega-d.coinduct[of X x F]
  by (auto simp add: chop-defs)

```

```

lemma WOmegaCoinduct:
  assumes  $\vdash X \implies F; (X \vee (\text{womega } F))$ 
  shows  $\vdash X \implies (\text{womega } F)$ 
  using assms WOmegaCoinductSem[of X F]
  by (simp add: Valid-def)

```

```

lemma WOmegaWeakCoinductSem:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \models X \implies x \models F; X$ 
  shows  $x \models X \implies \text{womega } F$ 
  using assms womega-d.coinduct[of X x F]
  by (auto simp add: chop-defs)

```

```

lemma WOmegaWeakCoinduct:
  assumes  $\vdash X \implies F; X$ 
  shows  $\vdash X \implies (\text{womega } F)$ 
  using assms WOmegaWeakCoinductSem[of X F]
  by (simp add: Valid-def)

```

```

lemma Omega-WOmega:
   $\vdash (\text{omega } f) = ((\text{womega } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
proof -
  have 3:  $\vdash ((\text{womega } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})) \wedge \text{finite}) \implies (\text{omega } f)$ 
  using OmegaWeakCoinduct[of LIFT ((womega ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite)) f)]
  by (simp add: WOmegaUnroll int-iffD1)
  have 4:  $\vdash (\text{omega } f) \implies ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{omega } f)$ 
  by (simp add: OmegaUnroll int-iffD1)
  have 5:  $\vdash (\text{omega } f) \implies (\text{womega } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}))$ 
  using WOmegaWeakCoinduct[of LIFT (omega f) LIFT (f \wedge more) \wedge finite]
  4 by blast
  show ?thesis
  by (simp add: 3 5 int-iffI)
qed

```

```

lemma WOmegaInducthelp:
   $\vdash (g \wedge \Box(g \implies f; g)) \implies f; ((g \wedge \Box(g \implies f; g)) \wedge \Box(f \implies g))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (g \wedge \Box(g \implies f; g)) = (g \wedge (g \implies f; g) \wedge \Box(g \implies f; g))$ 
  using BoxEqvAndBox[of LIFT g \implies f; g] by fastforce
  have 2:  $\vdash (g \wedge (g \implies f; g) \wedge \Box(g \implies f; g)) = (g \wedge (f; g) \wedge \Box(g \implies f; g))$ 
  by fastforce
  have 4:  $\vdash \Box(g \implies f; g) = \Box(\Box(g \implies f; g))$ 
  by (simp add: BoxEqvBoxBox)

```

```

have 5:  $\vdash (g \wedge (f;g) \wedge \square(g \rightarrow f;g)) = (g \wedge (f;g) \wedge \square(\square(g \rightarrow f;g)))$ 
  using 4 by fastforce
have 6:  $\vdash (g \wedge (f;g) \wedge \square(\square(g \rightarrow f;g))) \rightarrow f;((g \wedge \square(g \rightarrow f;g)))$ 
  using ChopAndBoxImport[of f g LIFT  $\square(g \rightarrow f;g)$ ]
  by (meson Prop01 Prop05)
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 2 5 6 int-eq)
qed

```

```

lemma OmegaInducthelp:
 $\vdash (g \wedge \square(g \rightarrow ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite);g))$ 
 $\quad \longrightarrow$ 
 $\quad ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite);((g \wedge \square(g \rightarrow ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite);g)))$ 
using WOmegaInducthelp by blast

```

```

lemma WOmegaInduct:
 $\vdash (g \wedge \square(g \rightarrow f;g)) \rightarrow womega f$ 
by (simp add: WOmegaInducthelp WOmegaWeakCoinduct)

```

```

lemma OmegaInduct:
 $\vdash (g \wedge \square(g \rightarrow ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite);g)) \rightarrow omega f$ 
by (simp add: OmegaInducthelp OmegaWeakCoinduct)

```

```

lemma WOmega-coinduct:
assumes  $\vdash g \rightarrow h \vee f;g$ 
shows  $\vdash g \rightarrow (womega f) \vee (wpowerstar f);h$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (wpowerstar f);h = (\text{empty} \vee f;(wpowerstar f));h$ 
  by (simp add: LeftChopEqvChop WPowerstarEqv)
have 2:  $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee f;(wpowerstar f));h = (h \vee (f;(wpowerstar f));h)$ 
  by (simp add: EmptyOrChopEqv)
have 3:  $\vdash (\neg(h \vee (f;(wpowerstar f));h)) = (\neg h \wedge \neg(f;((wpowerstar f);h)))$ 
  by (metis ChopAssoc int-eq int-simps(14) int-simps(33))
have 31:  $\vdash (\neg((wpowerstar f);h)) = (\neg h \wedge \neg(f;((wpowerstar f);h)))$ 
  by (metis 1 2 3 int-eq)
have 32:  $\vdash ((\neg h \wedge \neg(f;((wpowerstar f);h))) \wedge (h \vee f;g)) \rightarrow$ 
 $\quad (\neg(f;((wpowerstar f);h)) \wedge f;g)$ 
  by force
have 33:  $\vdash g \wedge \neg((wpowerstar f);h) \rightarrow ((\neg h \wedge \neg(f;((wpowerstar f);h))) \wedge (h \vee f;g))$ 
  using assms 31
  by (metis Prop10 Prop12 int-eq int-iffD2 lift-and-com)
have 4:  $\vdash g \wedge \neg((wpowerstar f);h) \rightarrow \neg(f;((wpowerstar f);h)) \wedge f;g$ 
  using assms 31 32 33
  using lift-imp-trans by blast
have 5:  $\vdash \neg(f;((wpowerstar f);h)) \wedge f;g \rightarrow f;(g \wedge \neg(wpowerstar f);h)$ 
  by (metis ChopAndNotChopImp int-eq lift-and-com)
have 6:  $\vdash g \wedge \neg((wpowerstar f);h) \rightarrow f;(g \wedge \neg(wpowerstar f);h)$ 
  using 4 5 lift-imp-trans by blast

```

```

have ?:  $\vdash g \wedge \neg((\text{wpowerstar } f); h) \longrightarrow (\text{womega } f)$ 
using WOmegaWeakCoinduct[of LIFT  $g \wedge \neg((\text{wpowerstar } f); h)$ ] 6
by blast
show ?thesis using ? by fastforce
qed

```

lemma Omega-coinduct:

```

assumes  $\vdash g \longrightarrow h \vee (f \wedge \text{more}) \sim g$ 
shows  $\vdash g \longrightarrow (\text{omega } f) \vee (\text{schopstar } f) \sim h$ 
using assms WOmega-coinduct[of  $g \text{ h LIFT } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})$ ]
Omega-WOmega[of  $f$ ] SChopstar-WPowerstar[of LIFT  $(f \wedge \text{more})$ ]
by (metis Prop10 SChopstar-finite SChopstar-WPowerstar-more inteq-reflection schop-d-def)

```

9.2 Omega algebra

lemma WOmega-coinduct-var1:

```

assumes  $\vdash f \longrightarrow \text{empty} \vee g; f$ 
shows  $\vdash f \longrightarrow (\text{womega } g) \vee (\text{wpowerstar } g)$ 
using assms WOmega-coinduct[of  $f \text{ LIFT empty } g$ ]
by (metis ChopEmpty inteq-reflection)

```

lemma Omega-coinduct-var1:

```

assumes  $\vdash f \longrightarrow \text{empty} \vee ((g \wedge \text{more}) \sim f)$ 
shows  $\vdash f \longrightarrow (\text{omega } g) \vee (\text{schopstar } g)$ 
using assms
Omega-WOmega[of  $g$ ] SChopstar-WPowerstar[of LIFT  $(g \wedge \text{more})$ ] SChopstar-WPowerstar-more[of  $g$ ]
WOmega-coinduct-var1[of  $f \text{ LIFT } ((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})$ ]
by (metis inteq-reflection schop-d-def)

```

lemma WOmega-coinduct-var2:

```

assumes  $\vdash f \longrightarrow g; f$ 
shows  $\vdash f \longrightarrow (\text{womega } g)$ 
using assms WOmegaWeakCoinduct by blast

```

lemma Omega-coinduct-var2:

```

assumes  $\vdash f \longrightarrow (g \wedge \text{more}) \sim f$ 
shows  $\vdash f \longrightarrow (\text{omega } g)$ 
using assms
Omega-WOmega[of  $g$ ] SChopstar-WPowerstar[of LIFT  $(g \wedge \text{more})$ ] SChopstar-WPowerstar-more[of  $g$ ]
WOmega-coinduct-var2[of  $f \text{ LIFT } ((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})$ ]
by (metis int-eq schop-d-def)

```

lemma WOmega-coinduct-eq:

```

assumes  $\vdash f = (h \vee g; f)$ 
shows  $\vdash f \longrightarrow (\text{womega } g) \vee (\text{wpowerstar } g); h$ 
using assms
by (simp add: Prop11 WOmega-coinduct)

```

lemma Omega-coinduct-eq:

```

assumes  $\vdash f = (h \vee (g \wedge \text{more}) \sim f)$ 

```

shows $\vdash f \longrightarrow (\text{omega } g) \vee (\text{schopstar } g) \rightsquigarrow h$
using assms
Omega-WOmega[of g] SChopstar-WPowerstar[of LIFT (g ∧ more)] SChopstar-WPowerstar-more[of g]
WOmega-coinduct-eq[of f h LIFT ((g ∧ more) ∧ finite)]
SChopstar-finite[of g]
unfolding schop-d-def
by (metis Prop10 inteq-reflection)

lemma WOmega-coinduct-eq-var1:
assumes $\vdash f = (\text{empty} \vee g; f)$
shows $\vdash f \longrightarrow (\text{womega } g) \vee (\text{wpowerstar } g)$
using assms
using WOmega-coinduct-var1 int-iffD1 **by** blast

lemma Omega-coinduct-eq-var1:
assumes $\vdash f = (\text{empty} \vee (g \wedge \text{more}) \rightsquigarrow f)$
shows $\vdash f \longrightarrow (\text{omega } g) \vee (\text{schopstar } g)$
using assms
Omega-WOmega[of g] SChopstar-WPowerstar[of LIFT (g ∧ more)] SChopstar-WPowerstar-more[of g]
WOmega-coinduct-eq-var1[of f LIFT ((g ∧ more) ∧ finite)]
by (metis int-eq schop-d-def)

lemma WOmega-coinduct-eq-var2:
assumes $\vdash f = g; f$
shows $\vdash f \longrightarrow (\text{womega } g)$
using assms WOmega-coinduct-var2
using int-iffD1 **by** blast

lemma Omega-coinduct-eq-var2:
assumes $\vdash f = (g \wedge \text{more}) \rightsquigarrow f$
shows $\vdash f \longrightarrow (\text{omega } g)$
using assms
Omega-WOmega[of g] SChopstar-WPowerstar[of LIFT (g ∧ more)] SChopstar-WPowerstar-more[of g]
WOmega-coinduct-eq-var2[of f LIFT ((g ∧ more) ∧ finite)]
by (metis int-eq schop-d-def)

lemma WOmega-subdist:
 $\vdash (\text{womega } f) \longrightarrow (\text{womega } (f \vee g))$
proof -
have 1: $\vdash (\text{womega } f) \longrightarrow ((f \vee g); (\text{womega } f))$
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) OrChopEqv WOmegaUnroll intI inteq-reflection unl-lift2)
have 2: $\vdash (\text{womega } f) \longrightarrow ((f \vee g); (\text{womega } f))$
by (simp add: 1 Prop12)
show ?thesis **using** WOmega-coinduct-var2 2 **by** auto
qed

lemma Omega-subdist:

$\vdash (\text{omega } f) \rightarrow (\text{omega } (f \vee g))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite} \vee (g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) = (((f \vee g) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})$

by fastforce

show ?thesis

using Omega-WOmega[of f] Omega-WOmega[of LIFT (f \vee g)]

WOmega-subdist[of LIFT ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) LIFT ((g \wedge more) \wedge finite)] 1

by (metis int-eq)

qed

lemma WOmega-iso:

assumes $\vdash f \rightarrow g$

shows $\vdash (\text{womega } f) \rightarrow (\text{womega } g)$

using assms WOmega-subdist[of f g]

by (metis LeftChopImpChop WOmegaUnroll WOmegaWeakCoinduct inteq-reflection)

lemma Omega-iso:

assumes $\vdash f \rightarrow g$

shows $\vdash (\text{omega } f) \rightarrow (\text{omega } g)$

using assms

Omega-WOmega[of f] Omega-WOmega[of g]

WOmega-iso[of LIFT ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) LIFT ((g \wedge more) \wedge finite)]

by fastforce

lemma WOmega-subdist-var:

$\vdash (\text{womega } f) \vee (\text{womega } g) \rightarrow (\text{womega } (f \vee g))$

by (meson EmptyChop Prop02 Prop04 Prop05 Prop11 WOmega-iso WOmega-subdist)

lemma Omega-subdist-var:

$\vdash (\text{omega } f) \vee (\text{omega } g) \rightarrow (\text{omega } (f \vee g))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite} \vee (g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) = (((f \vee g) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})$

by fastforce

show ?thesis

using

Omega-WOmega[of f] Omega-WOmega[of g] Omega-WOmega[of LIFT (f \vee g)]

WOmega-subdist-var[of LIFT ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) LIFT ((g \wedge more) \wedge finite)] 1

by (metis int-eq)

qed

lemma WOmega-zero:

$\vdash \neg(\text{womega } \#False)$

by (metis AndInfChopEqvAndInf InfEqvNotFinite WOmegaUnroll int-iffD1 int-simps(14) int-simps(21) inteq-reflection)

lemma Omega-zero:

$\vdash \neg(\text{omega } \#False)$

using Omega-WOmega[of LIFT #False] WOmega-zero **by** fastforce

```

lemma WOmega-star-1:
  ⊢ (wpowerstar f);(womega f) = (womega f)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ f; (womega f) → (womega f)
    by (simp add: WOmegaUnroll int-iffD2)
  have 2: ⊢ (wpowerstar f);(womega f) → (womega f)
    by (simp add: 1 WPowerstar-inductL-var-equiv)
  have 3: ⊢ (womega f) → (wpowerstar f);(womega f)
    by (meson Prop11 WOmegaUnroll WPowerstar-induct-lvar-eq2)
  show ?thesis
  by (simp add: 2 3 int-iffI)
qed

```

```

lemma Omega-star-1:
  ⊢ (schopstar f) ∼ (omega f) = (omega f)
using Omega-WOmega[of f]
WOmega-star-1[of LIFT ((f ∧ more) ∧ finite)]
SChopstar-WPowerstar[of LIFT (f ∧ more) ]
SChopstar-WPowerstar-more[of f] SChopstar-finite[of f]
unfolding schop-d-def
by (metis Prop10 inteq-reflection)

```

```

lemma WOmega-max-element:
  ⊢ f → (womega empty)
by (simp add: EmptyChop WOmegaWeakCoinduct int-iffD2)

```

```

lemma Omega-empty-zero:
  ⊢ ¬(omega empty)
using Omega-WOmega[of LIFT empty] WOmega-zero
unfolding empty-d-def by fastforce

```

```

lemma WOmega-star-3:
  ⊢ (womega (wpowerstar f))
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ empty → (wpowerstar f)
    using WPowerstar-imp-empty by auto
  have 2: ⊢ (womega empty) → (womega (wpowerstar f))
    by (simp add: 1 WOmega-iso)
  show ?thesis
  by (meson 2 MP WOmega-max-element)
qed

```

```

lemma WOmega-1:
  ⊢ (womega f); g → (womega f)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ (womega f); g → f;((womega f); g)
    by (metis ChopAssoc WOmegaUnroll int-eq int-iffD1)
  show ?thesis using WOmega-coinduct-var2[of LIFT (womega f); g f]
    using 1 by auto

```

qed

lemma *Omega-1*:

$\vdash (\omega f); g \longrightarrow (\omega f)$
 using *Omega-WOmega*[*of f*]
 WOmega-1[*of LIFT ((f ∧ more) ∧ finite)* *g*]
 by (*metis int-eq*)

lemma *WOmega-sup-id*:

assumes $\vdash \text{empty} \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash (\omega f); g = (\omega f)$
 by (*meson ChopEmpty Prop11 RightChopImpChop WOmega-1 assms lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *Omega-sup-id*:

assumes $\vdash \text{empty} \longrightarrow g$
 shows $\vdash (\omega f); g = (\omega f)$
 using *assms*
 by (*metis Omega-WOmega WOmega-sup-id int-eq*)

lemma *WOmega-top*:

$\vdash (\omega f); (\omega \text{empty}) = (\omega f)$
 by (*simp add: WOmega-max-element WOmega-sup-id*)

lemma *supid-WOmega*:

assumes $\vdash \text{empty} \longrightarrow f$
 shows $\vdash (\omega f) = (\omega \text{empty})$
 using *assms*
 by (*simp add: Prop11 WOmega-iso WOmega-max-element*)

lemma *WOmega-simulation*:

assumes $\vdash h; f \longrightarrow g ; h$
 shows $\vdash h ; (\omega f) \longrightarrow (\omega g)$
 proof –
 have 1: $\vdash h ; (\omega f) = h ; (f; (\omega f))$
 by (*simp add: RightChopEqvChop WOmegaUnroll*)
 have 2: $\vdash h ; (f; (\omega f)) \longrightarrow$
 $g ; (h; (\omega f))$
 by (*meson ChopAssoc LeftChopImpChop Prop11 assms lift-imp-trans*)
 have 3: $\vdash h ; (\omega f) \longrightarrow g ; (h ; (\omega f))$
 by (*metis 1 2 int-eq*)
 show ?thesis
 using *WOmega-coinduct-var2*[*of LIFT(h ; (ω f)) g*] 3 **by** *blast*
 qed

lemma *Omega-simulation*:

assumes $\vdash (h) \sim (f \wedge \text{more}) \longrightarrow (g \wedge \text{more}) \sim (h)$
 shows $\vdash (h) \sim (\omega f) \longrightarrow (\omega g)$
 using *assms*

$\text{Omega-WOmega}[of f]$ $\text{Omega-WOmega}[of g]$
 $\text{WOmega-simulation}[of \text{LIFT } ((h) \wedge \text{finite}) \text{LIFT } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \text{LIFT } ((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})]$
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ChopEmpty LeftSChopImpSChop SChopAssoc int-eq schop-d-def)

lemma WOmega-WOmega :

$\vdash (\text{womega}(\text{womega} f)) \rightarrow (\text{womega} f)$
by (metis WOmegaUnroll WOmega-1 int-eq)

lemma WOmega-Wagner-1 :

$\vdash (\text{womega}(f; \text{wpowerstar } f)) = (\text{womega } f)$

proof -

have 1: $\vdash (\text{womega}(f; \text{wpowerstar } f)) = ((f; (\text{wpowerstar } f)); (f; (\text{wpowerstar } f))); (\text{womega}(f; \text{wpowerstar } f))$
by (metis ChopAssoc WOmegaUnroll int-eq)

have 2: $\vdash ((f; (\text{wpowerstar } f)); (f; (\text{wpowerstar } f))); (\text{womega}(f; \text{wpowerstar } f)) = f; (\text{womega}(f; \text{wpowerstar } f))$
by (metis (no-types, lifting) ChopAssoc WOmegaUnroll WPowerstar-slide-var WPowerstar-trans-eq inteq-reflection)

have 3: $\vdash (\text{womega}(f; \text{wpowerstar } f)) \rightarrow (\text{womega } f)$

by (metis 1 2 WOmega-coinduct-eq-var2 int-eq)
have 4: $\vdash (\text{womega } f) \rightarrow (\text{womega}(f; \text{wpowerstar } f))$
by (metis ChopAssoc WOmegaUnroll WOmega-coinduct-eq-var2 WOmega-star-1 int-eq)

show ?thesis **by** (simp add: 3 4 int-iffI)
qed

lemma Omega-Wagner-1 :

$\vdash (\text{omega}(\text{schopstar } f)) = (\text{omega } f)$

using $\text{Omega-WOmega}[of f]$

$\text{WOmega-Wagner-1}[of \text{LIFT } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})]$

$\text{SChopstar-WPowerstar}[of \text{LIFT } (f \wedge \text{more})]$

$\text{SChopstar-WPowerstar-more}[of f]$

by (metis AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore Omega-WOmega SCSAndMoreEqvAndFMoreSChop int-eq schop-d-def)

lemma $\text{Omega-Wagner-1-var2}$:

$\vdash (\text{omega}((f \wedge \text{more}) \text{schopstar } f)) = (\text{omega } f)$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) LeftSChopImpMoreRule Omega-WOmega Omega-Wagner-1 OrFiniteInf Prop01 Prop05 Prop10 Prop11 SCSAndMoreEqvAndMoreSChop int-iffD1 inteq-reflection)

lemma $\text{WOmega-Wagner-2-var}$:

$\vdash f; (\text{womega}(g; f)) \rightarrow (\text{womega}(f; g))$

proof -

have 1: $\vdash f; (g; f) \rightarrow f; (g; f)$

by auto

show ?thesis

by (meson ChopAssoc Prop11 WOmega-simulation)

qed

lemma $\text{Omega-Wagner-2-var}$:

$\vdash (f \wedge \text{more}) \text{omega}((g \wedge \text{more}) \text{omega}(f \wedge \text{more})) \rightarrow (\text{omega}((f \wedge \text{more}) \text{omega}(g \wedge \text{more})))$

using *WOmega-Wagner-2-var*[of *LIFT* $((f \wedge more) \wedge finite)$ *LIFT* $((g \wedge more) \wedge finite)$]
Omega-WOmega[of *LIFT* $((g \wedge more) \wedge finite);((f \wedge more) \wedge finite))$]
Omega-WOmega[of *LIFT* $((f \wedge more) \wedge finite);((g \wedge more) \wedge finite))$]
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *AndMoreSChopAndMoreEqvAndMoreSChop Omega-simulation SChopAssoc int-eq int-iffD1*)

lemma *WOmega-Wagner-2*:

$\vdash (womega(f;g)) = f ; (womega(g;f))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f ; (womega(g;f)) \longrightarrow (womega(f;g))$

by (*simp add: WOmega-Wagner-2-var*)

have 2: $\vdash (womega(f;g)) = (f;g); (womega(f;g))$

by (*simp add: WOmegaUnroll*)

have 3: $\vdash (womega(f;g)) \longrightarrow f ; (womega(g;f))$

by (*metis 2 ChopAssocSem RightChopImpChop Valid-def WOmega-Wagner-2-var inteq-reflection*)

show ?thesis

using 1 3 *int-iffI* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *Omega-Wagner-2*:

$\vdash (\omega((f \wedge more) \sim (g \wedge more))) = (f \wedge more) \sim (\omega((g \wedge more) \sim (f \wedge more)))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge more) \sim (\omega((g \wedge more) \sim (f \wedge more))) \longrightarrow (\omega((f \wedge more) \sim (g \wedge more)))$

by (*simp add: Omega-Wagner-2-var*)

have 2: $\vdash (\omega((f \wedge more) \sim (g \wedge more))) = ((f \wedge more) \sim (g \wedge more)) \sim (\omega((f \wedge more) \sim (g \wedge more)))$

by (*metis AndMoreSChopAndMoreEqvAndMoreSChop OmegaUnroll int-eq schop-d-def*)

have 3: $\vdash (\omega((f \wedge more) \sim (g \wedge more))) \longrightarrow (f \wedge more) \sim (\omega((g \wedge more) \sim (f \wedge more)))$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) 2 Omega-Wagner-2-var RightSChopImpSChop SChopAssoc inteq-reflection*)

show ?thesis

using 1 3 *int-iffI* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *Omega-Wagner-2-var1*:

$\vdash (\omega((f) \sim (g \wedge more))) = (f) \sim (\omega((g \wedge more) \sim (f)))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f) \sim (\omega((g \wedge more) \sim (f))) \longrightarrow (\omega((f) \sim (g \wedge more)))$

using *Omega-simulation*[of *f LIFT* $(g \wedge more) \sim f LIFT f \sim (g \wedge more)$]

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) AndMoreSChopAndMoreEqvAndMoreSChop Prop10 SChopAndB SChopAssoc*

SChopMoreImpMore int-iffD1 inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans)

have 2: $\vdash (\omega((f) \sim (g \wedge more))) = ((f) \sim (g \wedge more)) \sim (\omega((f) \sim (g \wedge more)))$

by (*metis AndSChopA OmegaUnroll SChopstarMore-induct-lvar-eq SChopstar-inductL-var-equiv int-eq int-iffI schop-d-def*)

have 3: $\vdash (\omega((f) \sim (g \wedge more))) \longrightarrow (f) \sim (\omega((g \wedge more) \sim (f)))$

using 2 *Omega-coinduct-eq-var2*[of *LIFT* $(g \wedge more) \sim (\omega((f) \sim (g \wedge more)))$ *LIFT* $((g \wedge more) \sim (f))$]

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) AndMoreSChopAndMoreEqvAndMoreSChop RightSChopImpSChop SChopAs-*

```

soc inteq-reflection)
show ?thesis
using 1 3 int-iffI by blast
qed

```

lemma Omega-Wagner-2-var2:

$\vdash (\text{omega} ((f \wedge \text{more}) \neg(g))) = (f \wedge \text{more}) \neg(\text{omega} ((g) \neg(f \wedge \text{more})))$

proof -

have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge \text{more}) \neg(\text{omega} ((g) \neg(f \wedge \text{more}))) \rightarrow (\text{omega} ((f \wedge \text{more}) \neg(g)))$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) AndMoreSChopAndMoreEqvAndMoreSChop Omega-simulation SChopAndASChopAssoc int-eq)

have 2: $\vdash (\text{omega} ((f \wedge \text{more}) \neg(g))) = ((f \wedge \text{more}) \neg(g)) \neg(\text{omega} ((f \wedge \text{more}) \neg(g)))$

by (metis AndMoreSChopAndMoreEqvAndMoreSChop OmegaUnroll inteq-reflection schop-d-def)

have 3: $\vdash (\text{omega} ((f \wedge \text{more}) \neg(g))) \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{more}) \neg(\text{omega} ((g) \neg(f \wedge \text{more})))$

by (meson 2 Omega-Wagner-2-var1 Prop04 RightSChopEqvSChop SChopAssoc int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI)

show ?thesis

using 1 3 int-iffI **by** blast

qed

lemma WOmega-Wagner-3:

assumes $\vdash (f ; (\text{womega} (f \vee g)) \vee h) = (\text{womega} (f \vee g))$

shows $\vdash (\text{womega} (f \vee g)) = ((\text{womega} f) \vee (\text{wpowerstar} f); h)$

proof -

have 1: $\vdash (\text{womega} (f \vee g)) \rightarrow ((\text{womega} f) \vee (\text{wpowerstar} f); h)$

using WOmega-coinduct[of LIFT (womega (f ∨ g)) h f] assms

by fastforce

have 2: $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar} f); h \rightarrow (\text{womega} (f \vee g))$

by (metis ChopImpChop Prop03 WOmega-star-1 WPowstar-subdist assms inteq-reflection)

have 3: $\vdash ((\text{womega} f) \vee (\text{wpowerstar} f); h) \rightarrow (\text{womega} (f \vee g))$

by (meson 2 Prop02 WOmega-subdist)

show ?thesis

using 1 3 int-iffI **by** blast

qed

lemma Omega-Wagner-3:

assumes $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{more}) \neg(\text{omega} (f \vee g)) \vee (h)) = (\text{omega} (f \vee g))$

shows $\vdash (\text{omega} (f \vee g)) = ((\text{omega} f) \vee (\text{schopstar} f) \neg(h))$

proof -

have 1: $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite} \vee (g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) = (((f \vee g) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})$

by fastforce

show ?thesis

using assms

WOmega-Wagner-3[of LIFT ((f ∨ more) ∧ finite) LIFT ((g ∨ more) ∧ finite) h]

Omega-WOmega[of f] Omega-WOmega[of LIFT (f ∨ g)]

SChopstar-WPowerstar[of LIFT (f ∨ more)]

SChopstar-WPowerstar-more[of f]

unfolding schop-d-def

by (metis 1 Prop10 SChopstar-finite inteq-reflection)

qed

lemma *WOmega-Wagner-1-var*:
 $\vdash (\text{womega} ((\text{wpowerstar } f); f)) = (\text{womega } f)$
by (*metis WOmega-Wagner-1 WOmega-Wagner-2 WOmega-star-1 int-eq*)

lemma *Omega-Wagner-1-var3*:
 $\vdash (\text{omega} ((\text{schopstar } f) \neg (f \wedge \text{more}))) = (\text{omega } f)$
by (*metis Omega-Wagner-1-var2 Omega-Wagner-2-var1 Omega-star-1 inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WOmega-star-4*:
 $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } (\text{womega } f)) = (\text{empty} \vee (\text{womega } f))$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } (\text{womega } f)) = (\text{empty} \vee (\text{womega } f); (\text{wpowerstar } (\text{womega } f)))$
 by (*simp add: WPowerstarEqv*)
 have 2: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (\text{womega } f); (\text{wpowerstar } (\text{womega } f))) \rightarrow (\text{empty} \vee (\text{womega } f); (\text{womega } \text{empty}))$
 by (*metis 1 WOmega-sup-id WOmega-top WPowerstar-imp-empty int-eq int-iffD2*)
 have 3: $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } (\text{womega } f)) \rightarrow (\text{empty} \vee (\text{womega } f))$
 by (*metis 2 WOmega-top WPowerstarEqv inteq-reflection*)
 have 4: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (\text{womega } f)) \rightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } (\text{womega } f))$
 by (*meson Prop02 WPowerstar-ext WPowerstar-imp-empty*)
 show ?thesis
 using 3 4 int-iffI by blast
qed

lemma *WOmega-star-5*:
 $\vdash (\text{womega } f); (\text{wpowerstar } (\text{womega } f)) = (\text{womega } f)$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (\text{womega } f); (\text{wpowerstar } (\text{womega } f)) \rightarrow (\text{womega } f)$
 by (*simp add: WOmega-1*)
 have 2: $\vdash (\text{womega } f) \rightarrow (\text{womega } f); (\text{wpowerstar } (\text{womega } f))$
 by (*simp add: WOmega-sup-id WPowerstar-imp-empty int-iffD2*)
 show ?thesis
 by (*simp add: 1 2 int-iffI*)
qed

lemma *WOmega-or-unfold*:
 $\vdash ((\text{womega } f) \vee ((\text{wpowerstar } f); g); (\text{womega } (f \vee g))) = (\text{womega } (f \vee g))$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (\text{womega } (f \vee g)) = (f; (\text{womega } (f \vee g)) \vee g; (\text{womega } (f \vee g)))$
 using *WOmegaUnroll[of LIFT (f ∨ g)]* by (*metis OrChopEqv int-eq*)
 have 2: $\vdash ((\text{wpowerstar } f); g); (\text{womega } (f \vee g)) = (\text{wpowerstar } f); (g; (\text{womega } (f \vee g)))$
 by (*meson ChopAssoc int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI*)
 show ?thesis using 1 2 *WOmega-Wagner-3[of f g LIFT g; (womega (f ∨ g))]*
 by (*metis int-eq*)
qed

lemma *Omega-or-unfold*:
 $\vdash ((\text{omega } f) \vee ((\text{schopstar } f) \neg (g \wedge \text{more}))) \neg (\text{omega } (f \vee g)) = (\text{omega } (f \vee g))$
proof –

have 0: $\vdash (((f \vee g) \wedge more) \wedge finite) = (((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) \vee ((g \wedge more) \wedge finite))$
by fastforce
show ?thesis **using** WOmega-or-unfold[of LIFT $((f \wedge more) \wedge finite)$] LIFT $((g \wedge more) \wedge finite)$
Omega-WOmega[of f] Omega-WOmega[of LIFT $(f \vee g)$]
SChopstar-WPowerstar[of LIFT $(f \wedge more)$] SChopstar-WPowerstar-more[of f]
by (metis (no-types, lifting) 0 Prop10 ChopAssoc SChopstar-finite SChopAssoc inteq-reflection schop-d-def)
qed

lemma WOmega-or-unfold-coinduct:
 $\vdash (womega (f \vee g)) \longrightarrow (womega (((wpowerstar f);g)))$
 $\vee (wpowerstar (((wpowerstar f);g));(womega f))$
using WOmega-or-unfold[of f g]
WOmega-coinduct-eq[of LIFT $(womega (f \vee g))$] LIFT $(womega f)$
LIFT $(wpowerstar f);g$]
using WOmega-coinduct int-iffD2 **by** blast

lemma Omega-or-unfold-coinduct:
 $\vdash (omega (f \vee g)) \longrightarrow (omega (((schopstar f) \sim (g \wedge more))))$
 $\vee (schopstar (((schopstar f) \sim (g \wedge more))) \sim (omega f))$
using Omega-or-unfold[of f g]
Omega-coinduct-eq[of LIFT $(omega (f \vee g))$] LIFT $(omega f)$
LIFT $(schopstar f) \sim (g \wedge more)$]
by (metis Prop10 Prop12 RightSChopImpMoreRule int-eq int-iffD2 lift-and-com)

lemma WOmega-or-unfold-induct:
 $\vdash (wpowerstar (((wpowerstar f);g));(womega f)) \longrightarrow (womega (f \vee g))$
using WOmega-or-unfold[of f g]
using WPowerstar-induct-leq **by** blast

lemma Omega-or-unfold-induct:
 $\vdash (schopstar (((schopstar f) \sim (g \wedge more))) \sim (omega f)) \longrightarrow (omega (f \vee g))$
using Omega-or-unfold[of f g]
by (metis AndChopA SChopstar-WPowerstar-induct-leq inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans schop-d-def)

lemma WOmega-Wagner-1-gen:
 $\vdash (womega (f;(wpowerstar g))) \longrightarrow (womega (f \vee g))$
proof –
have 01: $\vdash f \longrightarrow (f \vee g)$
by auto
have 02: $\vdash (wpowerstar g) \longrightarrow (wpowerstar (f \vee g))$
by (metis WPowerstar-subdist WPowerstar-swap inteq-reflection)
have 03: $\vdash (f;(wpowerstar g)) \longrightarrow (f \vee g);(wpowerstar (f \vee g))$
using 01 02 ChopImpChop **by** blast
have 1: $\vdash (womega (f;(wpowerstar g))) \longrightarrow (womega ((f \vee g);(wpowerstar (f \vee g))))$
by (simp add: 03 WOmega-iso)
show ?thesis **using** WOmega-Wagner-1
by (metis 1 int-eq)
qed

lemma *Omega-Wagner-1-gen*:

$$\vdash (\text{omega} ((f \wedge \text{more}) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } g))) \longrightarrow (\text{omega} (f \vee g))$$

proof –

$$\text{have 1: } \vdash ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite} \vee (g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) = (((f \vee g) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})$$

by *fastforce*

show ?*thesis*

using *Omega-WOmega*[of *LIFT* ((*f* \wedge *more*) \rightsquigarrow (*schopstar g*))] *Omega-WOmega*[of *LIFT* (*f* \vee *g*)]

WOmega-Wagner-1-gen[of *LIFT* ((*f* \wedge *more*) \wedge *finite*) *LIFT* ((*g* \wedge *more*) \wedge *finite*)]

SChopstar-WPowerstar[of *LIFT* (*g* \wedge *more*)] *SChopstar-WPowerstar-more*[of *g*] 1

by (*metis AndMoreSChopAndMoreEqvAndMoreSChop Prop10 SChopImpFinite SChopstar-finite*

inteq-reflection *schop-d-def*)

qed

lemma *WOmega-swap*:

$$\vdash (\text{womega} (f \vee g)) = (\text{womega} (g \vee f))$$

proof –

$$\text{have 1: } \vdash (f \vee g) = (g \vee f)$$

by *fastforce*

show ?*thesis*

by (*metis 1 WOmega-star-5 int-eq*)

qed

lemma *Omega-swap*:

$$\vdash (\text{omega} (f \vee g)) = (\text{omega} (g \vee f))$$

proof –

$$\text{have 1: } \vdash (f \vee g) = (g \vee f)$$

by *fastforce*

show ?*thesis*

by (*metis 1 Omega-star-1 inteq-reflection*)

qed

lemma *WOmega-Wagner-1-var-gen*:

$$\vdash (\text{womega} ((\text{wpowerstar } f); g)) \longrightarrow (\text{womega} (f \vee g))$$

proof –

$$\text{have 1: } \vdash (\text{womega} ((\text{wpowerstar } f); g)) =$$

$$(\text{wpowerstar } f); (\text{womega} (g; (\text{wpowerstar } f)))$$

by (*simp add: WOmega-Wagner-2*)

$$\text{have 2: } \vdash (\text{womega} (g; (\text{wpowerstar } f))) \longrightarrow (\text{womega} (g \vee f))$$

using *WOmega-Wagner-1-gen*[of *g f*] by *blast*

$$\text{have 5: } \vdash (\text{wpowerstar } f); (\text{womega} (g; (\text{wpowerstar } f)))$$

$$\longrightarrow (\text{wpowerstar } f); (\text{womega} (f \vee g))$$

by (*metis 2 RightChopImpChop WOmega-swap int-eq*)

$$\text{have 6: } \vdash (\text{wpowerstar } f) \longrightarrow (\text{wpowerstar} (f \vee g))$$

by (*simp add: WPowerstar-subdist*)

$$\text{have 7: } \vdash (\text{wpowerstar } f); (\text{womega} (f \vee g)) \longrightarrow (\text{wpowerstar} (f \vee g)); (\text{womega} (f \vee g))$$

by (*simp add: 6 LeftChopImpChop*)

$$\text{have 8: } \vdash (\text{wpowerstar} (f \vee g)); (\text{womega} (f \vee g)) \longrightarrow (\text{womega} (f \vee g))$$

by (*simp add: WOmega-star-1 int-iffD1*)

```

show ?thesis
using 1 5 7 8
by (metis int-eq lift-imp-trans)
qed

lemma Omega-Wagner-1-var-gen:
 $\vdash (\text{omega} ((\text{schopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow (g \wedge \text{more}))) \rightarrow (\text{omega} (f \vee g))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (\text{omega} ((\text{schopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow (g \wedge \text{more}))) =$   

     $(\text{schopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow (\text{omega} ((g \wedge \text{more}) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } f)))$ 
  by (simp add: Omega-Wagner-2-var1)
  have 2:  $\vdash (\text{omega} ((g \wedge \text{more}) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } f))) \rightarrow (\text{omega} (g \vee f))$ 
  using Omega-Wagner-1-gen[of g f] by blast
  have 3:  $\vdash (g \vee f) = (f \vee g)$ 
  by fastforce
  have 4:  $\vdash (\text{omega} (g \vee f)) = (\text{omega} (f \vee g))$ 
  by (simp add: Omega-swap)
  have 5:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow (\text{omega} ((g \wedge \text{more}) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } f)))$   

     $\rightarrow (\text{schopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow (\text{omega} (f \vee g))$ 
  by (metis 2 3 RightSChopImpSChop inteq-reflection)
  have 6:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar} (f \vee g))$ 
  by (metis 3 MP Prop03 SBaGen SBaSCSImpSCS int-eq)
  have 7:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow (\text{omega} (f \vee g)) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar} (f \vee g)) \rightsquigarrow (\text{omega} (f \vee g))$ 
  by (simp add: 6 LeftSChopImpSChop)
  have 8:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar} (f \vee g)) \rightsquigarrow (\text{omega} (f \vee g)) \rightarrow (\text{omega} (f \vee g))$ 
  by (simp add: Omega-star-1 int-iffD1)
  show ?thesis
  using 1 5 7 8 by fastforce
qed

lemma WOmega-Denest:
 $\vdash (\text{womega} (f \vee g)) =$ 
 $((\text{womega} ((\text{wpowerstar } f); g)) \vee$ 
 $(\text{wpowerstar} ((\text{wpowerstar } f); g)); (\text{womega } f))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (\text{womega} (f \vee g)) \rightarrow (\text{womega} (((\text{wpowerstar } f); g)))$   

     $\vee (\text{wpowerstar} ((\text{wpowerstar } f); g)); (\text{womega } f)$ 
  by (simp add: WOmega-or-unfold-coinduct)
  have 2:  $\vdash (\text{womega} ((\text{wpowerstar } f); g)) \rightarrow (\text{womega} (f \vee g))$ 
  by (simp add: WOmega-Wagner-1-var-gen)
  have 3:  $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar} ((\text{wpowerstar } f); g)); (\text{womega } f) \rightarrow (\text{womega} (f \vee g))$ 
  by (simp add: WOmega-or-unfold-induct)
  show ?thesis
  by (meson 1 2 3 Prop02 int-iffI)
qed

lemma Omega-Denest:
 $\vdash (\text{omega} (f \vee g)) =$ 
 $((\text{omega} ((\text{schopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow (g \wedge \text{more}))) \vee$ 
 $(\text{schopstar} ((\text{schopstar } f) \rightsquigarrow (g \wedge \text{more}))) \rightsquigarrow (\text{omega } f))$ 

```

proof -

```
have 1: ⊢ (omega (f ∨ g)) → (omega (((schopstar f)¬(g ∧ more)) ))  
  ∨ (schopstar ((schopstar f)¬(g ∧ more)) )¬(omega f)  
  by (simp add: Omega-or-unfold-coinduct)  
have 2: ⊢ (omega ((schopstar f)¬(g ∧ more))) → (omega (f ∨ g))  
  by (simp add: Omega-Wagner-1-var-gen)  
have 3: ⊢ (schopstar ((schopstar f)¬(g ∧ more)))¬(omega f) → (omega (f ∨ g))  
  by (simp add: Omega-or-unfold-induct)  
show ?thesis  
by (meson 1 2 3 Prop02 int-iffI)  
qed
```

lemma WOmega-or-refine:

```
assumes ⊢ g; f → f ; (wpowerstar (f ∨ g))  
shows ⊢ (womega (f ∨ g)) = ((womega f) ∨ (wpowerstar f);(womega g))  
proof -  
have 1: ⊢ (wpowerstar g);f → f;(wpowerstar (f ∨ g))  
  using assms WPowerstar-Quasicomm-var by blast  
have 2: ⊢ (womega (f ∨ g)) = ((womega g) ∨ ((wpowerstar g);f);(womega (f ∨ g)))  
  by (metis WOmega-swap WOmega-or-unfold inteq-reflection)  
have 3: ⊢ ((womega g) ∨ ((wpowerstar g);f);(womega (f ∨ g))) →  
  (womega g) ∨ (f;(wpowerstar (f ∨ g));(womega (f ∨ g)))  
  by (metis 1 2 AndChopB Prop08 Prop10 int-iffD2 inteq-reflection)  
have 40: ⊢ (womega g) → f;(womega (f ∨ g)) ∨ (womega g)  
  by (simp add: Prop05)  
have 41: ⊢ (f;(wpowerstar (f ∨ g));(womega (f ∨ g))) →  
  f;(womega (f ∨ g)) ∨ (womega g)  
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) ChopAssoc WOmega-star-1 intI inteq-reflection unl-lift2)  
have 4: ⊢ (womega g) ∨ (f;(wpowerstar (f ∨ g));(womega (f ∨ g))) →  
  f;(womega (f ∨ g)) ∨ (womega g)  
  using 40 41 Prop02 by blast  
have 5: ⊢ (womega (f ∨ g)) → ((womega f) ∨ (wpowerstar f);(womega g))  
  by (metis 2 3 WOmega-coinduct WOmega-star-1 ChopAssoc inteq-reflection)  
have 6: ⊢ ((womega f) ∨ (wpowerstar f);(womega g)) →  
  (womega (f ∨ g)) ∨ (wpowerstar (f ∨ g));(womega (f ∨ g))  
  by (metis ChopImpChop Prop02 Prop05 WOmega-star-1 WOmega-subdist WOmega-swap WPowerstar-subdist int-eq)  
have 7: ⊢ ((womega f) ∨ (wpowerstar f);(womega g)) → (womega (f ∨ g))  
  using 6 WOmega-star-1  
  by (metis Prop02 int-iffD1 inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans)  
show ?thesis  
using 5 7 int-iffI by blast  
qed
```

lemma Omega-or-refine:

```
assumes ⊢ (g ∧ more)¬ ((f ∧ more) ∧ finite) → (f ∧ more) ¬ (schopstar (f ∨ g))  
shows ⊢ (omega (f ∨ g)) = ((omega f) ∨ (schopstar f)¬(omega g))  
proof -
```

```

have 1:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } (g)) \neg ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{more}) \neg (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))$ 
using assms
using SChopstar-QuasicommMore-var by blast
have 2:  $\vdash (\text{omega } (f \vee g)) = ((\text{omega } g) \vee ((\text{schopstar } g) \neg (f \wedge \text{more})) \neg (\text{omega } (f \vee g)))$ 
by (metis Omega-swap Omega-or-unfold inteq-reflection)
have 20:  $\vdash ((\text{schopstar } g) \neg (f \wedge \text{more})) \neg (\text{omega } (f \vee g)) =$ 
 $((\text{schopstar } g) \neg ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})) \neg (\text{omega } (f \vee g))$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore Prop10 Prop12 SChopAssoc
int-eq int-iffD2 itl-def(9))
have 25:  $\vdash ((\text{schopstar } g) \neg (f \wedge \text{more})) \neg (\text{omega } (f \vee g)) \rightarrow$ 
 $((f \wedge \text{more}) \neg (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))) \neg (\text{omega } (f \vee g))$ 
using 1 20 by (metis LeftSChopImpSChop inteq-reflection)
have 3:  $\vdash ((\text{omega } g) \vee ((\text{schopstar } g) \neg (f \wedge \text{more})) \neg (\text{omega } (f \vee g))) \rightarrow$ 
 $(\text{omega } g) \vee ((f \wedge \text{more}) \neg (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))) \neg (\text{omega } (f \vee g))$ 
using 25 by auto
have 4:  $\vdash (\text{omega } g) \vee ((f \wedge \text{more}) \neg (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))) \neg (\text{omega } (f \vee g)) \rightarrow$ 
 $(\text{omega } g) \vee (f \wedge \text{more}) \neg (\text{omega } (f \vee g))$ 
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Omega-star-1 SChopAssoc intI int-eq intensional-rews(3))
have 5:  $\vdash (\text{omega } (f \vee g)) \rightarrow ((\text{omega } f) \vee (\text{schopstar } f) \neg (\text{omega } g))$ 
by (metis 2 3 Omega-coinduct Omega-star-1 SChopAssoc inteq-reflection)
have 50:  $\vdash (\text{omega } f) \rightarrow (\text{omega } (f \vee g))$ 
by (simp add: Omega-subdist)
have 51:  $\vdash (\text{omega } g) \rightarrow (\text{omega } (f \vee g))$ 
by (metis Omega-swap Omega-subdist inteq-reflection)
have 52:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f) \rightarrow (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))$ 
by (simp add: SChopstar-subdist)
have 6:  $\vdash ((\text{omega } f) \vee (\text{schopstar } f) \neg (\text{omega } g)) \rightarrow$ 
 $(\text{omega } (f \vee g)) \vee (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g)) \neg (\text{omega } (f \vee g))$ 
by (metis 50 51 52 Omega-star-1 Prop02 Prop05 SChopImpSChop inteq-reflection)
have 7:  $\vdash ((\text{omega } f) \vee (\text{schopstar } f) \neg (\text{omega } g)) \rightarrow (\text{omega } (f \vee g))$ 
using 6 Omega-star-1 by fastforce
show ?thesis
using 5 7 int-iffI by blast
qed

```

```

lemma WOmega-bachmair-dershowitz:
assumes  $\vdash g; f \rightarrow f; (\text{wpowerstar } (f \vee g))$ 
shows  $(\vdash (\text{womega } (f \vee g)) = \#False) = (\vdash ((\text{womega } f) \vee (\text{womega } g)) = \#False)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $(\vdash (\text{womega } (f \vee g)) = \#False) \implies (\vdash ((\text{womega } f) \vee (\text{womega } g)) = \#False)$ 
using assms
by (metis Prop10 WOmega-subdist-var int-eq int-simps(18))
have 2:  $(\vdash ((\text{womega } f) \vee (\text{womega } g)) = \#False) \implies (\vdash (\text{womega } (f \vee g)) = \#False)$ 
using assms WOmega-or-refine[of g f]
by (metis Prop03 Prop10 WOmega-star-1 int-simps(18) int-simps(25) int-simps(26) inteq-reflection)
show ?thesis
using 1 2 by blast
qed

```

lemma *Omega-bachmair-dershowitz*:
assumes $\vdash (g \wedge \text{more}) \rightsquigarrow ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \rightarrow (f \wedge \text{more}) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } (f \vee g))$
shows $(\vdash (\text{omega } (f \vee g)) = \#False) = (\vdash ((\text{omega } f) \vee (\text{omega } g)) = \#False)$
proof –
have 1: $(\vdash (\text{omega } (f \vee g)) = \#False) \implies (\vdash ((\text{omega } f) \vee (\text{omega } g)) = \#False)$
 using *assms*
 by (*metis Prop10 Omega-subdist-var int-eq int-simps(18)*)
have 2: $(\vdash ((\text{omega } f) \vee (\text{omega } g)) = \#False) \implies (\vdash (\text{omega } (f \vee g)) = \#False)$
 using *assms Omega-or-refine[of g f]*
 by (*metis Prop03 SChopRightFalse int-simps(14) int-simps(16) int-simps(21) int-simps(9) inteq-reflection*)
show ?*thesis*
using 1 2 **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *Omega-and-more-imp-more*:
 $\vdash (\text{omega } (f \wedge \text{more})) \rightarrow \text{more}$
by (*metis AndSChopB MoreSChopImpMore OmegaUnroll inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans schop-d-def*)

lemma *WOmega-and-more-imp-more*:
 $\vdash (\text{womega } (f \wedge \text{more})) \rightarrow \text{more}$
by (*metis ChopImpDi DiAndB DiMoreEqvMore WOmegaUnroll int-eq lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *Omega-and-fmore-imp-more*:
 $\vdash (\text{omega } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})) \rightarrow \text{more}$
by (*metis Omega-and-more-imp-more Omega-subdist OrFiniteInf inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *WOmega-and-fmore-imp-more*:
 $\vdash (\text{womega } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})) \rightarrow \text{more}$
by (*metis LeftChopImpMoreRule Prop12 WOmegaUnroll int-iffD1 inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)

lemma *womega-len*:
 $\vdash \text{womega skip} = (\text{len } k); \text{womega skip}$
proof (*induct k*)
case 0
then show ?*case*
by (*metis EmptyChop inteq-reflection wpow-0 wpower-len*)
next
case (*Suc k*)
then show ?*case*
by (*metis ChopAssoc WOmegaUnroll int-eq wpow-Suc wpower-len*)
qed

lemma *omega-len*:
 $\vdash \text{omega skip} = (\text{len } k) \rightsquigarrow \text{omega skip}$
proof (*induct k*)
case 0

```

then show ?case
by (metis EmptyChop FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty inteq-reflection lift-and-com schop-d-def wpow-0 wpower-len)
next
case (Suc k)
then show ?case
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash \text{len Suc } k = \text{len } k \sim \text{skip}$ 
    by (simp add: len-k-schop)
  have 2:  $\vdash \text{len Suc } k \sim \text{omega skip} = \text{len } k \sim (\text{skip} \sim \text{omega skip})$ 
    by (metis 1 SChopAssoc inteq-reflection)
  have 3:  $\vdash \text{skip} \sim \text{omega skip} = \text{omega skip}$ 
  by (metis FiniteChopSkipEqvFiniteAndMore FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite NextSChopdef Omega-WOmega

  Omega-Wagner-1 Prop10 SkipChopFiniteImpFinite WOmega-Wagner-2 inteq-reflection next-d-def
  schopstar-skip-finite)
show ?thesis
  by (metis 2 3 Suc inteq-reflection)
qed
qed

lemma womega-exist-len:
 $\vdash (\text{womega skip}) = (\exists k. \text{len } k; (\text{womega skip}))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (\text{womega skip}) = (\text{wpowerstar skip}); (\text{womega skip})$ 
    by (simp add: WOmegaIntros WOmega-star-1 WPowerstar-induct-lvar int-iffD2 int-iffI)
  have 2:  $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar skip}) = (\exists k. \text{len } k)$ 
    unfolding wpowerstar-d-def
    by (simp add: ExEqvRule wpower-len)
  have 3:  $\vdash (\exists k. \text{len } k); (\text{womega skip}) = (\exists k. \text{len } k; (\text{womega skip}))$ 
  by (metis ExistChop int-eq)
show ?thesis using 1 2 3
  by (metis int-eq)
qed

lemma omega-exist-len:
 $\vdash (\text{omega skip}) = (\exists k. \text{len } k \sim (\text{omega skip}))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (\text{omega skip}) = (\text{schopstar skip}) \sim (\text{omega skip})$ 
    by (meson Omega-star-1 Prop11)
  have 2:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar skip}) = (\exists k. \text{len } k)$ 
    by (metis ExEqvRule int-eq schopstar-skip-finite wpower-len wpowerstar-d-def wpowerstar-skip-finite)
  have 3:  $\vdash (\exists k. \text{len } k) \sim (\text{omega skip}) = (\exists k. \text{len } k \sim (\text{omega skip}))$ 
    by (metis ExistSChop inteq-reflection)
show ?thesis using 1 2 3
  by (metis int-eq)
qed

lemma not-len-and-womega:
 $\vdash \neg (\text{len } k \wedge (\text{womega skip}))$ 

```

```

using womega-len[of Suc k ]
using len-len-suc-not by fastforce

lemma not-len-and-omega:
  ⊢ ¬(len k ∧ (omega skip))
using omega-len[of Suc k ]
len-len-suc-not-schop by fastforce

lemma not-len-and-womega-var:
  ⊢ (womega skip) → ¬(len k)
using not-len-and-womega by fastforce

lemma not-len-and-omega-var:
  ⊢ (omega skip) → ¬(len k)
using not-len-and-omega by fastforce

lemma womega-skip-inf:
  ⊢ (womega skip) → inf
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ finite = (∃ k. len k)
    by (simp add: intI itl-defs len-defs nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
  have 2: ⊢ inf = (∀ k. ¬ len k)
    using 1 unfolding finite-d-def by fastforce
  have 3: ⊢ (womega skip) → (∀ k. ¬ len k)
    using not-len-and-womega-var by fastforce
  show ?thesis using 2 3
    by (metis int-eq)
  qed

lemma omega-skip-inf:
  ⊢ (omega skip) → inf
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ finite = (∃ k. len k)
    by (simp add: intI itl-defs len-defs nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
  have 2: ⊢ inf = (∀ k. ¬ len k)
    using 1 unfolding finite-d-def by fastforce
  have 3: ⊢ (omega skip) → (∀ k. ¬ len k)
    using not-len-and-omega-var by fastforce
  show ?thesis using 2 3
    by fastforce
  qed

lemma womega-fmore-inf:
  ⊢ (womega fmore) → inf
using wpowerstar-skip-fmore
by (metis WOmega-Wagner-1 int-eq womega-skip-inf)

lemma omega-fmore-inf:
  ⊢ (omega fmore) → inf

```

```

by (metis AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore FiniteChopSkipEqvFiniteAndMore FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite
      FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite Omega-Wagner-1-var2 Prop10 SCSAndMoreEqvAndFMoreSChop
      SkipChopFiniteImpFinite inteq-reflection omega-skip-inf schopstar-skip-finite)

lemma womega-and-fmore-inf:
 $\vdash (\text{womega } (f \wedge \text{fmore})) \longrightarrow \text{inf}$ 
by (meson Prop12 WOmega-iso int-iffD1 lift-and-com lift-imp-trans womega-fmore-inf)

lemma omega-and-fmore-inf:
 $\vdash (\text{omega } (f \wedge \text{fmore})) \longrightarrow \text{inf}$ 
by (meson Prop12 Omega-iso int-iffD1 lift-and-com lift-imp-trans omega-fmore-inf)

lemma womega-and-empty-init:
 $\vdash (\text{womega } (f \wedge \text{empty})) = \text{init } f$ 
proof-
  have 1:  $\vdash (\text{womega } (f \wedge \text{empty})) \longrightarrow \text{init } f$ 
  by (metis InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty StateChopExportA WOmegaUnroll inteq-reflection)
  have 2:  $\vdash \text{init } f \longrightarrow (\text{womega } (f \wedge \text{empty}))$ 
  unfolding init-d-def using WOmega-coinduct-eq-var2[of LIFT (empty  $\wedge$  f);# True LIFT (f  $\wedge$  empty)]
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) AndChopCommute AndEmptyChopAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty ChopAssoc inteq-reflection)
  show ?thesis
  by (simp add: 1 2 int-iffI)
qed

lemma omega-and-empty:
 $\vdash \neg(\text{omega } (f \wedge \text{empty}))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (\text{omega } (f \wedge \text{empty})) = ((f \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) \neg (\text{omega } (f \wedge \text{empty}))$ 
  by (simp add: OmegaUnroll schop-d-def)
  have 2:  $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) = \#False$ 
  unfolding empty-d-def by auto
  show ?thesis
  by (metis 1 2 NotDfFalse SChopImpDf int-simps(14) inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans)
qed

lemma EmptyOrMoreSplit:
 $\vdash f = ((f \wedge \text{empty}) \vee (f \wedge \text{more}))$ 
unfolding empty-d-def by auto

lemma womega-split-empty-more:
 $\vdash (\text{womega } f) = ((\text{womega } (f \wedge \text{more})) \vee \text{wpowerstar } f; \text{init } f)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (\text{womega } ((f \wedge \text{empty}) \vee (f \wedge \text{more}))) =$ 
     $(\text{womega } (\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{empty}); (f \wedge \text{more}))) \vee$ 
     $\text{wpowerstar } (\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{empty}); (f \wedge \text{more})); \text{womega } (f \wedge \text{empty}))$ 
  using WOmega-Denest[of LIFT f  $\wedge$  empty LIFT f  $\wedge$  more] by blast
  have 2:  $\vdash (\text{womega } (\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{empty}); (f \wedge \text{more}))) = (\text{womega } (f \wedge \text{more}))$ 

```

```

by (metis EmptyChop WPowerstar-and-empty int-eq)
have 3:  $\vdash \text{wpowerstar}(\text{wpowerstar}(f \wedge \text{empty});(f \wedge \text{more})) = \text{wpowerstar} f$ 
  by (metis EmptyChop WPowerstar-and-empty WPowerstar-more-absorb int-eq)
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 2 3 EmptyOrMoreSplit inteq-reflection womega-and-empty-init)
qed

lemma omega-split-empty-more:
 $\vdash (\text{omega } f) = ((\text{omega } (f \wedge \text{more})))$ 
by (metis FPowerstar-WPowerstar FPowerstar-more-absorb Omega-Wagner-1 SChopstar-WPowerstar int-eq)

lemma omega-split-empty-more-var:
 $\vdash (\text{omega } (f \wedge \text{more})) = (\text{omega } (f \wedge \text{fmore}))$ 
by (metis AndMoreSChopEqvAndFmoreChop ChopEmpty EmptySChop Omega-Wagner-2-var1 inteq-reflection)

lemma omega-imp-inf:
 $\vdash (\text{omega } f) \rightarrow \text{inf}$ 
by (metis int-eq omega-and-fmore-inf omega-split-empty-more omega-split-empty-more-var)

lemma inf-imp-omega-skip:
 $\vdash \text{inf} \rightarrow (\text{omega } \text{skip})$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash ((\text{skip} \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) = \text{skip}$ 
    by (metis DiIntro DiSkipEqvMore Prop10 WPowerstar-ext WPowerstar-skip-finite inteq-reflection)
  have 2:  $\vdash \text{inf} \rightarrow \text{skip}; \text{inf}$ 
    by (metis AndInfEqvChopFalse ChopAndInf MoreAndInfEqvInf MoreEqvSkipChopTrue infinite-d-def
int-eq int-iffD1)
  have 3:  $\vdash \text{inf} \rightarrow ((\text{skip} \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); \text{inf}$ 
    using 1 2 by (metis int-eq)
  show ?thesis
  using 3 OmegaWeakCoinduct[of LIFT inf LIFT skip] by blast
qed

lemma Omega-schopstar-max-element:
 $\vdash f \rightarrow (\text{omega } \text{skip}) \vee (\text{schopstar } \text{skip})$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } \text{skip}) = \text{finite}$ 
    by (meson Prop11 schopstar-skip-finite)
  have 2:  $\vdash (\text{omega } \text{skip}) = \text{inf}$ 
    by (simp add: inf-imp-omega-skip int-iffI omega-skip-inf)
  show ?thesis using 1 2 unfolding finite-d-def by fastforce
qed

```

lemma Omega-fmore-absorb:

$\vdash (\text{omega } f) = (\text{omega } (f \wedge \text{fmore}))$
by (metis int-eq omega-split-empty-more omega-split-empty-more-var)

lemma Omega-top:

$\vdash (\text{omega } f);(\text{omega } \text{empty}) = (\text{omega } f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{omega } f) \longrightarrow \text{inf}$

by (simp add: omega-imp-inf)

have 2: $\vdash (\text{omega } f);(\text{omega } \text{empty}) \longrightarrow (\text{omega } f)$

by (simp add: Omega-1)

have 3: $\vdash (\text{omega } f) \longrightarrow (\text{omega } f);(\text{omega } \text{empty})$

by (metis 1 AndInfChopEqvAndInf Prop10 int-iffD2 inteq-reflection)

show ?thesis

by (simp add: 2 3 int-iffI)

qed

lemma womega-and-inf:

$\vdash (\text{womega } (f \wedge \text{inf})) = (f \wedge \text{inf})$

by (metis AndInfChopEqvAndInf WOmegaUnroll int-eq)

lemma womega-split-finite-inf:

$\vdash (\text{womega } f) =$

$(\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite});(f \wedge \text{inf}) \vee (\text{womega } (f \wedge \text{finite})))$

proof –

have 0: $\vdash (\text{womega } f) = (\text{womega } ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (f \wedge \text{inf})))$

by (metis OrFiniteInf int-eq)

have 1: $\vdash (\text{womega } ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}))) =$

$(\text{womega } (\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite});(f \wedge \text{inf}))) \vee$

$\text{wpowerstar } (\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite});(f \wedge \text{inf}));\text{womega } (f \wedge \text{finite})$

using WOmega-Denest[of LIFT f \wedge finite LIFT f \wedge inf] **by** blast

have 2: $\vdash (\text{womega } (\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite});(f \wedge \text{inf}))) = \text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite});(f \wedge \text{inf})$

by (metis ChopAndInf int-eq womega-and-inf)

have 3: $\vdash \text{wpowerstar } (\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite});(f \wedge \text{inf})) = (\text{empty} \vee (\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite});(f \wedge \text{inf})))$

by (metis ChopAndInf WPowerstar-and-inf int-eq)

have 4: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee (\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite});(f \wedge \text{inf}))); \text{womega } (f \wedge \text{finite}) =$

$(\text{womega } (f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite});(f \wedge \text{inf})))$

by (meson AndInfChopEqvAndInf ChopAssoc EmptyOrChopEqv Prop04 Prop06 RightChopEqvChop)

have 5: $\vdash (\text{womega } f) =$

$(\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite});(f \wedge \text{inf})) \vee$

$(\text{empty} \vee (\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite});(f \wedge \text{inf}));\text{womega } (f \wedge \text{finite}))$

by (metis 0 1 2 3 int-eq)

have 6: $\vdash (\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite});(f \wedge \text{inf})) \vee$

$(\text{empty} \vee (\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite});(f \wedge \text{inf}));\text{womega } (f \wedge \text{finite})) =$

$((\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite});(f \wedge \text{inf}))) \vee$

$(\text{womega } (f \wedge \text{finite})) \vee (\text{wpowerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite});(f \wedge \text{inf}))$

using 4

by (metis 5 int-eq)

```

have 7:  $\vdash ((w\text{powerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite});(f \wedge \text{inf})) \vee$ 
 $(w\text{omega } (f \wedge \text{finite})) \vee (w\text{powerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite});(f \wedge \text{inf}))) =$ 
 $((w\text{powerstar } (f \wedge \text{finite});(f \wedge \text{inf})) \vee$ 
 $(w\text{omega } (f \wedge \text{finite})) )$ 
by auto
show ?thesis using 5 6 7
by (metis int-eq)
qed

lemma WPowerstar-SChopstar:
 $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } f) = (\text{schopstar } f);(\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}))$ 
by (metis SChopstar-WPowerstar WPowerstar-and-inf WPowerstar-chop-and-finite-inf int-eq)

lemma WOmega-Omega:
 $\vdash (w\text{omega } f) = (\text{schopstar } f);((\text{init } f) \vee (f \wedge \text{inf})) \vee (\text{omega } f) )$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (w\text{omega } f) = ((w\text{omega } (f \wedge \text{more})) \vee w\text{powerstar } f;\text{init } f)$ 
by (simp add: womega-split-empty-more)
have 2:  $\vdash (w\text{omega } (f \wedge \text{more})) = (w\text{powerstar } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite});((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{inf}) \vee$ 
 $(w\text{omega } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})))$ 
by (simp add: womega-split-finite-inf)
have 3:  $\vdash ((w\text{omega } (f \wedge \text{more})) \vee w\text{powerstar } f;\text{init } f) =$ 
 $((w\text{powerstar } f;\text{init } f \vee$ 
 $(w\text{powerstar } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}));((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{inf}) \vee$ 
 $(w\text{omega } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}))) )$ 
using 1 2 by fastforce
have 4:  $\vdash w\text{powerstar } f;\text{init } f = (\text{schopstar } f);((\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}));\text{init } f)$ 
by (metis ChopAssoc WPowerstar-SChopstar inteq-reflection)
have 5:  $\vdash ((\text{empty} \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}));\text{init } f) = ((\text{init } f) \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}))$ 
by (meson AndInfChopEqvAndInf EmptyOrChopEqv Prop06)
have 6:  $\vdash (w\text{powerstar } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}));((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{inf}) = (\text{schopstar } f);(f \wedge \text{inf})$ 
by (meson AndMoreAndInfEqvAndInf ChopEqvChop Prop04 SChopstar-WPowerstar SChopstar-and-more)
have 7:  $\vdash (w\text{omega } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})) = (\text{omega } f)$ 
by (meson Omega-WOmega int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI)
have 8:  $\vdash ((w\text{powerstar } f;\text{init } f \vee$ 
 $(w\text{powerstar } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}));((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{inf}) \vee$ 
 $(w\text{omega } ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}))) =$ 
 $((\text{schopstar } f);((\text{init } f) \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}))) \vee$ 
 $((\text{schopstar } f);(f \wedge \text{inf}) \vee$ 
 $(\text{omega } f)) )$ 
by (metis 3 4 5 6 7 int-eq)
have 90:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } f);((\text{init } f) \vee (f \wedge \text{inf})) =$ 
 $((\text{schopstar } f);(\text{init } f) \vee (\text{schopstar } f);(f \wedge \text{inf}))$ 
by (simp add: ChopOrEqv)
have 91:  $\vdash ((\text{schopstar } f);((\text{init } f) \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}))) \vee$ 
 $((\text{schopstar } f);(f \wedge \text{inf})) =$ 
 $((\text{schopstar } f);((\text{init } f) \vee (f \wedge \text{inf})))$ 
using 90 by fastforce
have 9:  $\vdash ((\text{schopstar } f);((\text{init } f) \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}))) \vee$ 
 $((\text{schopstar } f);(f \wedge \text{inf})) \vee$ 

```

```

(omega f) ) =
( (schopstar f);((init f) ∨ (f ∧ inf)) ∨ (omega f) )
using 91 by fastforce
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 3 8 9 int-eq)
qed

lemma womega-and-more-inf:
  ⊢ (womega (f ∧ more)) → inf
using womega-split-finite-inf[of LIFT (f ∧ more) ]
by (metis (no-types, lifting) AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore ChopAndInf Prop02 Prop12 int-eq int-iffD1
      womega-and-fmore-inf)

lemma womega-fmore-inf-eq:
  ⊢ womega fmore = inf
by (metis (no-types, lifting) ChopAndA ChopAndInf MoreAndInfEqvInf MoreEqvSkipSChopTrue SChopAs-
  soc
  TrueChopMoreEqvMore TrueEqvTrueSChopTrue WOmega-simulation fmore-d-def int-eq int-iffI schop-d-def
  womega-and-inf womega-fmore-inf)

lemma womega-skip-inf-eq:
  ⊢ womega skip = inf
by (metis WOmega-Wagner-1 int-eq womega-fmore-inf-eq wpowerstar-skip-fmore)

lemma womega-more-inf:
  ⊢ womega more → inf
by (metis MoreAndInfEqvInf Prop02 WOmega-star-1 fmore-d-def inteq-reflection womega-fmore-inf-eq
      womega-skip-inf womega-skip-inf-eq womega-split-finite-inf)

lemma womega-more-inf-eq:
  ⊢ womega more = inf
by (metis OrFiniteInf WOmega-subdist fmore-d-def int-eq int-iffI womega-fmore-inf-eq womega-more-inf)

lemma womega-true:
  ⊢ womega #True
by (meson MP TrueEqvTrueChopTrue TrueW WOmega-coinduct-eq-var2)

9.3 Properties of Omega

lemma NotOmegaInf:
  ⊢ ¬(omega (inf))
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ omega (inf) = ((inf ∧ more) ∧ finite);omega inf
    by (simp add: OmegaUnroll)
  have 2: ⊢ ((inf ∧ more) ∧ finite) = #False
    unfolding finite-d-def by auto
  have 3: ⊢ #False;omega inf = #False
    by (metis AndInfChopEqvAndInf int-eq int-simps(22))
from 1 2 3 show ?thesis

```

```

by (metis TrueW int-simps(3) inteq-reflection)
qed

lemma InfImpOmegaLenPlusOne:
  ⊢ inf → omega (len(Suc n))
proof –
  have 1: ⊢ inf → ((len Suc n ∧ more) ∧ finite);inf
    by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs len-defs nfinite-conv-nlength-enat zero-enat-def)
  show ?thesis
using OmegaWeakCoinduct[of LIFT inf LIFT len (Suc n)] 1 by blast
qed

lemma OmegaLenPlusOneEqvInf:
  ⊢ omega (len(Suc n)) = inf
by (simp add: InfImpOmegaLenPlusOne int-iffI omega-imp-inf)

lemma OmegaSkipEqvInf:
  ⊢ omega skip = inf
proof –
  have 1: ⊢ skip = (len 1)
    by (simp add: len-d-def wpower-d-def)
    (metis ChopEmpty inteq-reflection)
  have 2: ⊢ omega skip = omega (len 1)
    using 1 by (metis OmegaUnroll inteq-reflection)
  from 2 show ?thesis using OmegaLenPlusOneEqvInf by fastforce
qed

lemma InfImpOmegaTrue:
  ⊢ inf → omega #True
proof –
  have 1: ⊢ inf → ((#True ∧ more) ∧ finite);inf
    by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs nfinite-conv-nlength-enat zero-enat-def)
  show ?thesis
    using OmegaWeakCoinduct[of LIFT inf LIFT #True] 1 by blast
qed

lemma OmegaTrueEqvInf:
  ⊢ omega #True = inf
by (simp add: InfImpOmegaTrue int-iffI omega-imp-inf)

lemma InfImpOmegaMore:
  ⊢ inf → omega more
using OmegaWeakCoinduct[of LIFT inf LIFT more]
proof –
  have 1: ⊢ inf → ((more ∧ more) ∧ finite);inf
    by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs nfinite-conv-nlength-enat zero-enat-def)
  show ?thesis using OmegaWeakCoinduct[of LIFT inf LIFT more] 1 by blast

```

qed

lemma *OmegaMoreEqvInf*:
 $\vdash \text{omega more} = \text{inf}$
by (*simp add: InfImpOmegaMore int-iffI omega-imp-inf*)

lemma *InfImpOmegaFinite*:
 $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow \text{omega finite}$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow ((\text{finite} \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); \text{inf}$
 by (*auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs nfinite-conv-nlength-enat zero-enat-def*)
 show ?thesis **using** *OmegaWeakCoinduct*[of *LIFT inf LIFT finite*] 1 **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *OmegaFiniteEqvInf*:
 $\vdash \text{omega finite} = \text{inf}$
by (*simp add: InfImpOmegaFinite int-iffI omega-imp-inf*)

lemma *BoxStateAndInfImpWOmegaBoxState*:
 $\vdash \square(\text{init } w) \longrightarrow \text{womega } (\square(\text{init } w))$
using *WOmegaWeakCoinduct*[of *LIFT* ($\square(\text{init } w)$) *LIFT* $\square(\text{init } w)$]
by (*simp add: BoxStateChopBoxEqvBox int-iffD2*)

lemma *BoxStateAndInfImpOmegaBoxState*:
 $\vdash \square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{inf} \longrightarrow \text{omega } (\square(\text{init } w))$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash ((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{inf}) =$
 $(\square(\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{more} \wedge \text{finite}); \text{inf})$
 using *BoxStateAndChopEqvChop*[of *w LIFT* ($\text{more} \wedge \text{finite}$) *LIFT inf*]
 by (*metis AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore fmore-d-def int-eq*)
 have 2: $\vdash (\text{more} \wedge \text{finite}); \text{inf} = \text{inf}$
 by (*metis FiniteChopSkipEqvFiniteAndMore FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite*
 OmegaFiniteEqvInf OmegaUnroll Prop10 SkipChopFiniteImpFinite fmore-d-def int-eq)
 have 3: $\vdash \square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{inf} \longrightarrow ((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{inf})$
 by (*metis 1 2 Prop11 int-eq*)
 show ?thesis **using** *OmegaWeakCoinduct*[of *LIFT* ($\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{inf}$) *LIFT* $\square(\text{init } w)$] 3 **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *WOmegaBoxStateAndMoreImpBoxState*:
 $\vdash \text{womega } (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \longrightarrow \square(\text{init } w)$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash \text{womega } (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \longrightarrow \text{init } w$
 by (*metis AndChopA AndChopB BoxWPowerstarEqvBox StateChopExportA WOmegaUnroll WOmega-star-1*
 WPowerstar-more-absorb int-eq int-iffI)
 have 2: $\vdash \text{womega } (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \longrightarrow (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}); \text{womega } (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more})$

```

by (simp add: WOmegaUnroll int-iffD1)
have 21:  $\vdash ((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \longrightarrow \bigcirc(\square(\text{init } w)))$ 
by (metis BoxImpNowAndWeakNext Prop01 Prop12 WnextEqvEmptyOrNext empty-d-def intereq-reflection
      lift-and-com)
have 22:  $\vdash \text{womega } (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \longrightarrow \text{more}$ 
by (simp add: WOmega-and-more-imp-more)
have 23:  $\vdash \bigcirc(\square(\text{init } w)) \longrightarrow \bigcirc(\text{wpowerstar } (\square(\text{init } w)))$ 
by (simp add: NextImpNext WPowerstar-ext)
have 24:  $\vdash \text{womega } (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc(\text{wpowerstar } (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more})); (\text{womega } (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}))$ 
by (metis 21 23 LeftChopImpChop WOmegaUnroll WPowerstar-more-absorb int-eq lift-imp-trans)
have 10:  $\vdash \text{more} \wedge \text{womega } (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \longrightarrow \bigcirc(\text{womega } (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}))$ 
using WOmega-star-1[of LIFT ]
by (metis 22 24 NextChop Prop10 intereq-reflection lift-and-com)
show ?thesis using BoxIntro[of LIFT ]  $\text{womega } (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \text{ LIFT } (\text{init } w)$ 
      using 1 10 by blast
qed

```

```

lemma OmegaBoxStateImpBoxState:
 $\vdash \text{omega } (\square(\text{init } w)) \longrightarrow \square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{inf}$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash \text{omega } (\square(\text{init } w)) \longrightarrow \text{init } w$ 
by (metis AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore BoxElim OmegaUnroll Omega-iso StateChopExportA intereq-reflection
      lift-imp-trans)
have 2:  $\vdash \text{omega } (\square(\text{init } w)) \longrightarrow ((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{omega } (\square(\text{init } w)))$ 
by (simp add: OmegaUnroll int-iffD1)
have 21:  $\vdash ((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow \bigcirc(\square(\text{init } w))$ 
by (metis BoxImpNowAndWeakNext Prop01 Prop12 WnextEqvEmptyOrNext empty-d-def intereq-reflection lift-and-com)
have 22:  $\vdash \text{finite} = (\text{empty} \vee \text{fmore})$ 
by (metis EmptyOrMoreSplit FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty fmore-d-def intereq-reflection lift-and-com)
have 23:  $\vdash (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}) = ((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{fmore}))$ 
using 22 by fastforce
have 24:  $\vdash (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) = (\text{init } w \wedge \text{empty})$ 
using BoxEqvAndBox StateAndEmptyImpBoxState by fastforce
have 25:  $\vdash \bigcirc(\square(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{fmore} \longrightarrow \bigcirc((\text{init } w \wedge \text{empty}) \vee (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{fmore}))$ 
using 23 24 by (metis FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite NextAndEqvNextAndNext SkipChopEqvNext int-iffD2
      inteq-reflection)
have 26:  $\bigwedge g. \vdash (\bigcirc((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) \vee (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{fmore})); g =$ 
       $(\bigcirc(\text{init } w \wedge g) \vee \bigcirc((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{fmore}); g))$ 
proof –
fix  $g :: 'a$  nellist  $\Rightarrow$  bool
have f1:  $\vdash \bigcirc(\text{init } w \wedge \text{empty} \vee \square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{fmore}); g =$ 
       $\bigcirc((\text{init } w \wedge \text{empty} \vee \square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{fmore}); g)$ 
by (meson NextChop int-eq)
have  $\vdash \text{skip}; ((\text{init } w \wedge \text{empty} \vee \square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{fmore}); g) =$ 
       $(\text{skip}; (\text{init } w \wedge g) \vee \text{skip}; ((\square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{fmore}); g))$ 
by (metis ChopOrEqvRule OrChopEqv StateAndEmptyChop int-eq)

```

```

then show  $\vdash \circ (init w \wedge empty \vee \square (init w) \wedge fmore);g =$ 
 $(\circ (init w \wedge g) \vee \circ ((\square (init w) \wedge fmore);g))$ 
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ChopAssoc Prop04 next-d-def)
qed

have 27:  $\vdash (\square (init w) \wedge fmore) = (\square (init w) \wedge skip);(\square (init w) \wedge finite)$ 
by (metis BoxStateAndChopEqvChop FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite inteq-reflection)
have 28:  $\vdash (init w \wedge empty \vee \square (init w) \wedge fmore) = (\square (init w) \wedge finite)$ 
by (metis 23 24 int-eq)
have 29:  $\vdash (skip;(\square (init w) \wedge finite));\omega (\square (init w)) =$ 
 $(skip;(init w \wedge \omega (\square (init w))) \vee skip;((\square (init w) \wedge fmore);\omega (\square (init w))))$ 
by (metis 26 28 SkipChopEqvNext int-eq)
have 3:  $\vdash (\square (init w) \wedge fmore);(\omega (\square (init w))) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\circ (init w \wedge \omega (\square (init w))) \vee \circ ((\square (init w) \wedge fmore);(\omega (\square (init w)))))$ 
by (metis 27 29 AndChopB LeftChopImpChop inteq-reflection next-d-def)
have 4:  $\vdash (\circ (init w \wedge \omega (\square (init w))) \vee \circ ((\square (init w) \wedge fmore);(\omega (\square (init w))))) \longrightarrow$ 
 $\circ (\omega (\square (init w)))$ 
by (metis 1 AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore ChopAndB OmegaUnroll Prop02 Prop10 int-eq lift-and-com
next-d-def)
have 5:  $\vdash \omega (\square (init w)) \longrightarrow \circ (\omega (\square (init w)))$ 
by (metis 3 4 AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore OmegaUnroll inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans)
from 1 5 show ?thesis using BoxIntro
by (metis Prop01 Prop05 Prop12 omega-imp-inf)
qed

```

lemma OmegaIntro:
assumes $\vdash h \longrightarrow (f \wedge fmore);h$
shows $\vdash h \longrightarrow \omega f$
using assms
by (metis AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore Omega-coinduct-var2 int-eq schop-d-def)

lemma WOmegaEqvRule:
assumes $\vdash f = g$
shows $\vdash \omega f = \omega g$
using assms **using** int-eq **by** force

lemma OmegaEqvRule:
assumes $\vdash f = g$
shows $\vdash \omega f = \omega g$
using assms **using** int-eq **by** force

lemma AndWOmegaA:
 $\vdash \omega (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow \omega f$
by (meson WOmega-iso Prop12 int-iffD2 lift-and-com)

lemma AndOmegaA:
 $\vdash \omega (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow \omega f$
by (meson Omega-iso Prop12 int-iffD2 lift-and-com)

lemma AndWOmegaB:

$\vdash \text{womega } (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow \text{womega } g$
by (meson *WOmega-iso Prop12 int-iffD2 lift-and-com*)

lemma *AndOmegaB*:

$\vdash \text{omega } (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow \text{omega } g$
by (meson *Omega-iso Prop12 int-iffD2 lift-and-com*)

lemma *BaWOmegaImpWOmega*:

$\vdash \text{ba } (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \text{womega } f \longrightarrow \text{womega } g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{ba } (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (f); \text{womega } f \longrightarrow ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (f)); ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge \text{womega } f)$

using *BaAndChopImport*[of *LIFT* ($f \longrightarrow g$) *LIFT* (f) *LIFT* *womega f*]

by *blast*

have 2: $\vdash (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (f) \longrightarrow (g)$

by *auto*

have 3: $\vdash (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge \text{womega } f \longrightarrow \text{womega } f$

by *auto*

have 4: $\vdash \text{ba } (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (f); \text{womega } f \longrightarrow (g); \text{womega } f$

using 1 2 3

by (metis (no-types, lifting) *AndChopB ChopAndB Prop10 int-eq lift-imp-trans*)

have 5: $\vdash \text{ba } (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (f); \text{womega } f \longrightarrow ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (f)); (\text{ba } (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge \text{womega } f)$

using *BaAndChopImportB* **by** *blast*

have 6: $\vdash ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (f)); (\text{ba } (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge \text{womega } f) \longrightarrow (g); (\text{ba } (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge \text{womega } f)$

using 2 *LeftChopImpChop* **by** *blast*

have 61: $\vdash \text{womega } f = (f); \text{womega } f$

by (simp add: *WOmegaUnroll*)

have 62: $\vdash (\text{ba } (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge \text{womega } f) \longrightarrow (\text{ba } (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (f); \text{womega } f)$

by (metis 61 *ChopEmpty Prop11 int-eq*)

have 7: $\vdash (\text{ba } (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge \text{womega } f) \longrightarrow (g); (\text{ba } (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge \text{womega } f)$

using 62 5 6

by (meson *lift-imp-trans*)

have 8: $\vdash (\text{ba } (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge \text{womega } f) \longrightarrow \text{womega } g$

using 7

using *WOmegaWeakCoinduct* **by** *blast*

from 8 **show** ?thesis **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *BaOmegaImpOmega*:

$\vdash \text{ba } (f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow \text{omega } f \longrightarrow \text{omega } g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{ba } (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (f \wedge \text{fmore}); \text{omega } f \longrightarrow ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (f \wedge \text{fmore})); ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge \text{omega } f)$

using *BaAndChopImport*[of *LIFT* ($f \longrightarrow g$) *LIFT* ($f \wedge \text{fmore}$) *LIFT* *omega f*]

by *blast*

have 2: $\vdash (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge (f \wedge \text{fmore}) \longrightarrow (g \wedge \text{fmore})$

by *auto*

have 3: $\vdash (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge \text{omega } f \longrightarrow \text{omega } f$

by *auto*

have 4: $\vdash ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge (f \wedge fmore); \text{omega } f \rightarrow (g \wedge fmore); \text{omega } f$
using 1 2 3
by (metis (no-types, lifting) AndChopB ChopAndB Prop10 int-eq lift-imp-trans)
have 5: $\vdash ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge (f \wedge fmore); \text{omega } f \rightarrow ((f \rightarrow g) \wedge (f \wedge fmore)); (ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge \text{omega } f)$
using BaAndChopImportB **by** blast
have 6: $\vdash ((f \rightarrow g) \wedge (f \wedge fmore)); (ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge \text{omega } f) \rightarrow$
 $(g \wedge fmore); (ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge \text{omega } f)$
using 2 LeftChopImpChop **by** blast
have 61: $\vdash \text{omega } f = (f \wedge fmore); \text{omega } f$
by (metis AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore OmegaUnroll inteq-reflection)
have 62: $\vdash (ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge \text{omega } f) \rightarrow (ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge (f \wedge fmore); \text{omega } f)$
by (metis 61 ChopEmpty Prop11 int-eq)
have 7: $\vdash (ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge \text{omega } f) \rightarrow (g \wedge fmore); (ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge \text{omega } f)$
using 62 5 6
by (meson lift-imp-trans)
have 8: $\vdash (ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge \text{omega } f) \rightarrow \text{omega } g$
using 7 OmegaIntro **by** blast
from 8 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma BaWOMegaEqvWOMega:

$\vdash ba(f = g) \rightarrow (\text{womega } f = \text{womega } g)$

proof –

have 0: $\vdash (f = g) = ((f \rightarrow g) \wedge (g \rightarrow f))$ **by** fastforce
have 1: $\vdash ba(f = g) = (ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge ba(g \rightarrow f))$ **by** (metis 0 BaAndEqv int-eq)
have 2: $\vdash ba(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (\text{womega } f \rightarrow \text{womega } g)$ **using** BaWOMegaImpWOMega **by** blast
have 3: $\vdash ba(g \rightarrow f) \rightarrow (\text{womega } g \rightarrow \text{womega } f)$ **using** BaWOMegaImpWOMega **by** blast
have 4: $\vdash ba(f = g) \rightarrow (\text{womega } f \rightarrow \text{womega } g) \wedge (\text{womega } g \rightarrow \text{womega } f)$ **using** 1 2 3 **by** fastforce
have 5: $\vdash ((\text{womega } f \rightarrow \text{womega } g) \wedge (\text{womega } g \rightarrow \text{womega } f)) = (\text{womega } f = \text{womega } g)$ **by** auto
from 4 5 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma BaOmegaEqvOmega:

$\vdash ba(f = g) \rightarrow (\text{omega } f = \text{omega } g)$

proof –

have 0: $\vdash (f = g) = ((f \rightarrow g) \wedge (g \rightarrow f))$ **by** fastforce
have 1: $\vdash ba(f = g) = (ba(f \rightarrow g) \wedge ba(g \rightarrow f))$ **by** (metis 0 BaAndEqv int-eq)
have 2: $\vdash ba(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (\text{omega } f \rightarrow \text{omega } g)$ **using** BaOmegaImpOmega **by** blast
have 3: $\vdash ba(g \rightarrow f) \rightarrow (\text{omega } g \rightarrow \text{omega } f)$ **using** BaOmegaImpOmega **by** blast
have 4: $\vdash ba(f = g) \rightarrow (\text{omega } f \rightarrow \text{omega } g) \wedge (\text{omega } g \rightarrow \text{omega } f)$ **using** 1 2 3 **by** fastforce
have 5: $\vdash ((\text{omega } f \rightarrow \text{omega } g) \wedge (\text{omega } g \rightarrow \text{omega } f)) = (\text{omega } f = \text{omega } g)$ **by** auto
from 4 5 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma BaAndWOMegaImport:

$\vdash ba(f \wedge \text{womega } g) \rightarrow \text{womega } (f \wedge g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow (g \rightarrow (f \wedge g))$ **by** auto
hence 2: $\vdash ba(f \rightarrow ba(g \rightarrow f \wedge g))$ **by** (rule BaImpBa)

```

have 3:  $\vdash ba (g \rightarrow f \wedge g) \rightarrow womega g \rightarrow womega (f \wedge g)$  by (rule BaWOMegaImpWOMega)
from 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma BaAndOmegaImport:

$\vdash ba f \wedge omega g \rightarrow omega (f \wedge g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \rightarrow (g \rightarrow (f \wedge g))$ **by** auto

hence 2: $\vdash ba f \rightarrow ba (g \rightarrow f \wedge g)$ **by** (rule BaImpBa)

have 3: $\vdash ba (g \rightarrow f \wedge g) \rightarrow omega g \rightarrow omega (f \wedge g)$ **by** (rule BaOmegaImpOmega)

from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce

qed

lemma InfAndChop:

$\vdash (inf \wedge (f \wedge fmore); g) = (f \wedge fmore); (g \wedge inf)$

by (meson ChopAndInf Prop04 lift-and-com)

lemma InfImportBox:

$\vdash (inf \wedge \square f) = \square (inf \wedge f)$

by (metis BoxAndBoxEqvBoxRule FiniteChopEqvDiamond FiniteChopFiniteEqvFinite InfEqvNotFinite
NotDiamondNotEqvBox OrFiniteInf finite-d-def int-eq)

lemma InfImportBoxImp:

$\vdash (inf \wedge \square (f \rightarrow g)) = \square ((inf \rightarrow f) \rightarrow (inf \wedge g))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (inf \wedge (f \rightarrow g)) = ((inf \rightarrow f) \rightarrow (inf \wedge g))$

by auto

show ?thesis

by (metis 1 InfImportBox inteq-reflection)

qed

lemma OmegaImpAOmega:

$\vdash omega f \rightarrow aomega f$

using AOmegaWeakCoInduct[of LIFT omega ff]

by (metis AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore InfAndChop OmegaIntros OmegaUnroll Omega-fmore-absorb
Prop10
int-eq omega-and-fmore-inf)

lemma AOmegaImpOmega:

$\vdash aomega f \rightarrow omega f$

using OmegaWeakCoinduct[of LIFT aomega ff]

by (simp add: AOmegaUnroll OmegaIntro int-iffD1)

lemma OmegaEqvAOmega:

$\vdash omega f = aomega f$

```

using OmegaImpAOmega AOmegaImpOmega
by (metis int-iffI)

```

```
end
```

10 Projection operator

```

theory Projection
imports Omega
begin

```

This theory introduces the projection operator `fproj` [5]. The projection operator is defined and we prove the soundness of the rules and axiom system. We also provide the infinite projection operator `oproj` which was defined in [7].

10.1 Definitions

```
primcorec pfilt :: 'a intervals  $\Rightarrow$  nat nellist  $\Rightarrow$  'a intervals
```

```
where
```

$$\text{pfilt } \sigma \text{ ls} = (\text{case ls of } (\text{NNil } n) \Rightarrow (\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } \sigma \text{ n})) \mid (\text{NCons } n \text{ ls1}) \Rightarrow (\text{NCons } (\text{nnth } \sigma \text{ n}) (\text{pfilt } \sigma \text{ ls1})))$$

```
simps-of-case pfilt-code [code, simp, nitpick-simp]: pfilt.code
```

```
primcorec lsum :: 'a intervals intervals  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat nellist
```

```
where
```

$$\text{lsum } \text{nells } a = (\text{case nells of } (\text{NNil } \text{nell}) \Rightarrow (\text{NNil } (a + (\text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } \text{nell})))) \mid (\text{NCons } \text{nell } \text{nells1}) \Rightarrow (\text{NCons } (a + (\text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } \text{nell}))) (\text{lsum } \text{nells1 } (a + (\text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } \text{nell})))))))$$

```
simps-of-case lsum-code [code, simp, nitpick-simp]: lsum.code
```

```
definition addzero :: nat nellist  $\Rightarrow$  nat nellist
```

```
where addzero ls = (if nlength ls = 0 then
```

$$(\text{iif } \text{nfirst } \text{ls} = 0 \text{ then } \text{ls} \text{ else } (\text{NCons } 0 \text{ ls})) \text{ else } (\text{NCons } 0 \text{ ls}))$$

```
definition powerinterval :: ('a::world) formula  $\Rightarrow$  'a intervals  $\Rightarrow$  nat nellist  $\Rightarrow$  bool
```

```
where powerinterval F σ l =
```

$$(\forall (i::nat). (\text{enat } i) < \text{nlength } l \longrightarrow ((\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } l i) (\text{nnth } l (\text{Suc } i))) \models F))$$

```
definition cppl :: ('a::world) formula  $\Rightarrow$  'a formula  $\Rightarrow$  'a intervals  $\Rightarrow$  nat nellist
```

```
where cppl f g σ = ( $\epsilon$  ls. nidx ls  $\wedge$  (nnth ls 0) = 0  $\wedge$  powerinterval f σ ls  $\wedge$ 
 $((\text{nfinite } \text{ls} \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge (\text{nlast } \text{ls}) = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \sigma)) \vee$ 
 $(\neg \text{nfinite } \text{ls} \wedge \neg \text{nfinite } \sigma)) \wedge$ 
 $((\text{pfilt } \sigma \text{ ls}) \models g))$ 

```

```
primcorec lcppl :: ('a :: world) formula  $\Rightarrow$  'a formula  $\Rightarrow$  'a intervals  $\Rightarrow$  nat nellist  $\Rightarrow$  nat intervals intervals
```

```
where lcppl f g σ ls =
```

$$(\text{case ls of } (\text{NNil } x) \Rightarrow (\text{NNil } (\text{nmap } (\lambda l. l+x) (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma x x)))) \mid$$

```

(NCons x ls1)  $\Rightarrow$ 
(case ls1 of (NNil y)  $\Rightarrow$  (NNil (nmap ( $\lambda l. l+x$ ) (cppl f g (nsubn  $\sigma$  x y)))) |  

(NCons y ls2)  $\Rightarrow$  (NCons (nmap ( $\lambda l. l+x$ ) (cppl f g (nsubn  $\sigma$  x y))) (lcppl f g  $\sigma$  ls1)))

```

simps-of-case *lcppl-code* [*code*, *simp*, *nitpick-simp*]: *lcppl.code*

definition *fprojection-d* :: ('*a*:: world) formula \Rightarrow '*a* formula \Rightarrow '*a* formula

where *fprojection-d* *F G* \equiv $\lambda\sigma.$ ($\exists ls.$ *nidx ls* \wedge (*nnth ls 0*) $= 0 \wedge nfinite ls \wedge nfinite \sigma \wedge$
 $(nlast ls) = the-enat(nlength \sigma) \wedge$
 $powerinterval F \sigma ls \wedge ((pfilt \sigma ls) \models G)$
 $)$

definition *oprojection-d* :: ('*a*:: world) formula \Rightarrow '*a* formula \Rightarrow '*a* formula

where *oprojection-d* *F G* \equiv $\lambda\sigma.$ ($\exists ls.$ *nidx ls* \wedge (*nnth ls 0*) $= 0 \wedge \neg nfinite ls \wedge \neg nfinite \sigma \wedge$
 $powerinterval F \sigma ls \wedge ((pfilt \sigma ls) \models G)$
 $)$

syntax

- <i>fprojection-d</i>	$:: [lift, lift] \Rightarrow lift$	((- <i>fproj</i> -) [84,84] 83)
- <i>oprojection-d</i>	$:: [lift, lift] \Rightarrow lift$	((- <i>opropj</i> -) [84,84] 83)

syntax (ASCII)

- <i>fprojection-d</i>	$:: [lift, lift] \Rightarrow lift$	((- <i>fproj</i> -) [84,84] 83)
- <i>oprojection-d</i>	$:: [lift, lift] \Rightarrow lift$	((- <i>opropj</i> -) [84,84] 83)

translations

- <i>fprojection-d</i>	$\Rightarrow CONST fprojection-d$
- <i>oprojection-d</i>	$\Rightarrow CONST oprojection-d$

definition *ufprojection-d* :: ('*a*:: world) formula \Rightarrow '*a* formula \Rightarrow '*a* formula

where *ufprojection-d* *F G* \equiv *LIFT*($\neg(F fproj (\neg G))$)

definition *uoprojection-d* :: ('*a*:: world) formula \Rightarrow '*a* formula \Rightarrow '*a* formula

where *uoprojection-d* *F G* \equiv *LIFT*($\neg(F oproj (\neg G))$)

syntax

- <i>ufprojection-d</i>	$:: [lift, lift] \Rightarrow lift$	((- <i>ufproj</i> -) [84,84] 83)
-------------------------	------------------------------------	----------------------------------

syntax

- <i>uoprojection-d</i>	$:: [lift, lift] \Rightarrow lift$	((- <i>uopproj</i> -) [84,84] 83)
-------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

syntax (ASCII)

- <i>ufprojection-d</i>	$:: [lift, lift] \Rightarrow lift$	((- <i>ufproj</i> -) [84,84] 83)
- <i>uoprojection-d</i>	$:: [lift, lift] \Rightarrow lift$	((- <i>uopproj</i> -) [84,84] 83)

translations

- <i>ufprojection-d</i>	$\Rightarrow CONST ufprojection-d$
-------------------------	------------------------------------

-uoprojection-d \Rightarrow CONST *uoprojection-d*

definition *fdp-d* :: ('a:: world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *fdp-d F* \equiv LIFT(#True fproj F)

definition *fbp-d* :: ('a:: world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *fbp-d F* \equiv LIFT(#True uproj F)

definition *odp-d* :: ('a:: world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *odp-d F* \equiv LIFT(#True oproj F)

definition *obp-d* :: ('a:: world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula
where *obp-d F* \equiv LIFT(#True uoproj F)

syntax

<i>-fdp-d</i>	\Rightarrow	<i>lift</i>	\Rightarrow	<i>lift</i>	$((fdp -) [88] 87)$
<i>-fbp-d</i>	\Rightarrow	<i>lift</i>	\Rightarrow	<i>lift</i>	$((fbp -) [88] 87)$
<i>-odp-d</i>	\Rightarrow	<i>lift</i>	\Rightarrow	<i>lift</i>	$((odp -) [88] 87)$
<i>-obp-d</i>	\Rightarrow	<i>lift</i>	\Rightarrow	<i>lift</i>	$((obp -) [88] 87)$

syntax (ASCII)

<i>-fdp-d</i>	\Rightarrow	<i>lift</i>	\Rightarrow	<i>lift</i>	$((fdp -) [88] 87)$
<i>-fbp-d</i>	\Rightarrow	<i>lift</i>	\Rightarrow	<i>lift</i>	$((fbp -) [88] 87)$
<i>-odp-d</i>	\Rightarrow	<i>lift</i>	\Rightarrow	<i>lift</i>	$((odp -) [88] 87)$
<i>-obp-d</i>	\Rightarrow	<i>lift</i>	\Rightarrow	<i>lift</i>	$((obp -) [88] 87)$

translations

<i>-fdp-d</i>	\Rightarrow	CONST <i>fdp-d</i>
<i>-fbp-d</i>	\Rightarrow	CONST <i>fbp-d</i>
<i>-odp-d</i>	\Rightarrow	CONST <i>odp-d</i>
<i>-obp-d</i>	\Rightarrow	CONST <i>obp-d</i>

abbreviation *all-nfinite nells* \equiv ($\forall nell \in nset nells. nfinite nell$)

abbreviation *all-gr-zero nells* \equiv ($\forall nell \in nset nells. 0 < nlength nell$)

10.2 Lemmas

10.2.1 Misc

lemma *all-nfinite-nnth-a*:
assumes $\forall i \leq nlength nells. nfinite (nnth nells i)$
shows *all-nfinite nells*
using assms
by (metis in-nset-conv-nnth)

lemma *all-nfinite-nnth-b*:
assumes *all-nfinite nells*
shows $\forall i \leq nlength nells. nfinite (nnth nells i)$
using assms

by (meson in-nset-conv-nnth)

lemma all-gr-zero-nnth-a:
assumes $\forall i \leq nlength nells. 0 < nlength (nnth nells i)$
shows all-gr-zero nells
using assms
by (metis in-nset-conv-nnth)

lemma all-gr-zero-nnth-b:
assumes all-gr-zero nells
shows $\forall i \leq nlength nells. 0 < nlength (nnth nells i)$
using assms
by (meson in-nset-conv-nnth)

lemma all-nfinite-nnth-ntaken:
assumes all-nfinite nells
 $n \leq nlength nells$
shows all-nfinite (ntaken n nells)
using assms
by (meson nset-ntaken subsetD)

lemma all-nfinite-nnth-ndropn:
assumes all-nfinite nells
 $n \leq nlength nells$
shows all-nfinite (ndropn n nells)
using assms
by (metis nset-ndropn subsetD)

lemma all-nfinite-nnth-nsubn:
assumes all-nfinite nells
 $n \leq nlength nells$
 $k \leq n$
shows all-nfinite (nsubn nells k n)
using assms
by (metis in-mono nset-ndropn nset-ntaken nsubn-def1)

lemma all-gr-zero-nnth-ntaken:
assumes all-gr-zero nells
 $n \leq nlength nells$
shows all-gr-zero (ntaken n nells)
using assms
by (meson nset-ntaken subsetD)

lemma all-gr-zero-nnth-ndropn:
assumes all-gr-zero nells
 $n \leq nlength nells$
shows all-gr-zero (ndropn n nells)
using assms
by (metis nset-ndropn subsetD)

```

lemma all-gr-zero-nnth-nsubn:
assumes all-gr-zero nells
     $n \leq \text{nlength } \text{nels}$ 
     $k \leq n$ 
shows all-gr-zero (nsubn nells k n)
using assms
by (metis in-mono nset-ndropn nset-ntaken nsubn-def1)

```

10.2.2 pfilt Lemmas

```

lemma pfilt-nmap:
    pfilt nell ls = nmap ( $\lambda x. (\text{nnth } \text{nell } x)$ ) ls
proof (coinduction arbitrary: nell ls)
case (Eq-nellist nell1)
then show ?case by simp (metis nellist.case-eq-if)
qed

```

```

lemma pfilt-nlength:
    nlength(pfilt nell ls) = nlength ls
by (simp add: pfilt-nmap)

```

```

lemma pfilt-nnth:
assumes i ≤ nlength (pfilt nell ls)
shows (nnth (pfilt nell ls) i) = (nnth nell (nnth ls i))
using assms
by (simp add: pfilt-nmap)

```

```

lemma pfilt-expand:
    (nell1 = (pfilt nell ls)) =
    ( nlength nell1 = nlength ls ∧
      ( $\forall (i:\text{nat}). i \leq \text{nlength } \text{nell1} \rightarrow (\text{nnth } \text{nell1 } i) = (\text{nnth } \text{nell } (\text{nnth } \text{ls } i))$ ))
by (metis nellist-eq-nnth-eq pfilt-nlength pfilt-nnth)

```

```

lemma pfilt-nfuse:
    pfilt nell (nfuse ls1 ls2) = (nfuse (pfilt nell ls1) (pfilt nell ls2))
using pfilt-nmap[of nell (nfuse ls1 ls2)] pfilt-nmap[of nell ls1] pfilt-nmap[of nell ls2]
by (simp add: nmap-nfuse)

```

```

lemma nfuse-pfilt-nlength:
shows nlength (pfilt (nfuse (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell)) ls) =
    nlength (nfuse (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls)))
    (pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nmap ( $\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth } \text{ls } n)$ ) (ndropn n ls))))
by (metis add.right-neutral linorder-le-cases ndropn-all nfuse-nlength nfuse-ntaken-ndropn-nlength
    nlength-NNil nlength-nmap ntaken-all pfilt-nlength)

```

```

lemma nfuse-pfilt-nnth-a:
assumes nidx ls

```

lemma *nfuse-pfilt-nnth-a-alt*:
assumes *nidx ls*
 $(nnth ls 0) = 0$
 $(nlast ls) = \text{the-enat}(nlength nell)$
 $nfinite nell$
 $nfinite ls$
 $i \leq nlength ls$
 $n \leq nlength ls$
shows $nnth (\text{pfilt} (\text{nfuse} (\text{ntaken} (nnth ls n) nell) (\text{ndropn} (nnth ls n) nell))) ls) i = nnth nell (nnth ls i)$
using assms
by (*metis linorder-le-cases ndropn-all nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nfuse-ntaken-ndropn ntaken-all pfilt-nlength pfilt-nnth*)

lemma *nfuse-pfilt-nnth-b*:
assumes *nidx ls*
 $nnth ls 0 = 0$
 $(nlast ls) = \text{the-enat}(nlength nell)$
 $nfinite nell$
 $nfinite ls$
 $i \leq nlength ls$
 $n \leq nlength ls$
shows $nnth (\text{nfuse} (\text{pfilt} (\text{ntaken} (nnth ls n) nell) (\text{ntaken} n ls)))$
 $(\text{pfilt} (\text{ndropn} (nnth ls n) nell) (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (\text{ndropn} n ls)))) i = nnth nell (nnth ls i)$
using assms
by (*metis linorder-le-cases nfinite-ntaken nfuse-ntaken-ndropn ntaken-all pfilt-nlength pfilt-nnth*)

proof –
have 1: $i \leq nlength(\text{nfuse} (\text{pfilt} (\text{ntaken} (nnth ls n) nell) (\text{ntaken} n ls)))$
 $(\text{pfilt} (\text{ndropn} (nnth ls n) nell) (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (\text{ndropn} n ls))))$
using assms
by (*metis nfuse-pfilt-nlength pfilt-nlength*)
have 2: $nlast(\text{pfilt} (\text{ntaken} (nnth ls n) nell) (\text{ntaken} n ls)) = nnth nell (nnth ls n)$
using assms *pfilt-nnth*[of - (*ntaken* (nnth ls n) nell) (*ntaken* n ls)]
by (*simp add: nlength_eq_enat_nfiniteD nnth-nlast ntaken-nnth pfilt-nlength*)
have 3: $nfirst(\text{pfilt} (\text{ndropn} (nnth ls n) nell) (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (\text{ndropn} n ls))) = nnth (\text{pfilt} (\text{ndropn} (nnth ls n) nell) (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (\text{ndropn} n ls))) 0$
by (*metis ndropn_0 ndropn-nfirst*)
have 4: $nnth (\text{pfilt} (\text{ndropn} (nnth ls n) nell) (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (\text{ndropn} n ls))) 0 = nnth (\text{ndropn} (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (\text{ndropn} n ls))) 0)$

```

using pfilt-nnth
by (metis i0-lb zero-enat-def)
have 5: nnth (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth (nmap (λx. x- (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls) ) 0) =
  nnth (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth ls (n+0) - (nnth ls n))
using zero-enat-def by force
have 6: nnth (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth ls (n+0) - (nnth ls n)) =
  nnth (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) 0
by simp
have 7: nnth (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) 0 = nnth nell (nnth ls n)
using assms by simp
have 8: nlast(pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls)) =
  nfist(pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nmap (λx. x- (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls) ))
using 2 4 5 7 3 6 by presburger
have 10: nnth (nfuse (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls)))
  (pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nmap (λx. x- (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls) ))) i =
  (if  $i \leq n$ length (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls)))
  then nnth (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls)) i
  else nnth (pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nmap (λx. x- (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls) ))
    (i - (the-enat (nlength (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls))))))
using 1 8 by (meson nfuse-nnth not-le-imp-less)
have 11: nlength (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls)) = n
using assms by (simp add: pfilt-nlength)
have 12:  $i \leq n \longrightarrow$  nnth (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls)) i =
  nnth (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth (ntaken n ls) i)
by (simp add: 11 pfilt-nnth)
have 13:  $i \leq n \longrightarrow$  nnth (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth (ntaken n ls) i) =
  nnth (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth ls i)
by (simp add: ntaken-nnth)
have 15:  $i \leq n \longrightarrow$  nnth (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth ls i) = nnth nell (nnth ls i)
using assms by (simp add: nidx-less-eq ntaken-nnth)
have 16: nnth (pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nmap (λx. x- (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls) ))
  (i - (the-enat (nlength (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls)))))) =
  nnth (pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nmap (λx. x- (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls) ))
  (i - n))
by (simp add: 11)
have 17: nnth (pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nmap (λx. x- (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls) )) (i - n) =
  nnth (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth (nmap (λx. x- (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls) ) (i - n))
using assms pfilt-nnth[of i-n (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell)
  (nmap (λx. x- (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls) )]
by (metis enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat ndropn-nlength nlength-nmap pfilt-nlength)
have 18:  $i > n \longrightarrow$  nnth (nmap (λx. x- (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls) ) (i - n) =
  nnth ls (n+(i-n)) - (nnth ls n)
using assms
by (metis linorder-le-cases ndropn-nnth nfinite-ntaken nlast-nmap nlength-nmap nnth-nmap ntaken-all
  ntaken-nlast)
have 19:  $i > n \longrightarrow$  nnth (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth (nmap (λx. x- (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls) ) (i - n))
  = nnth (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) ((nnth ls i) - (nnth ls n))
by (simp add: 18)
have 20 :  $i > n \longrightarrow$  nnth (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) ((nnth ls i) - (nnth ls n)) =

```

```

nnth nell ((nnth ls n) + ((nnth ls i) - (nnth ls n)))
using assms ndropn-nnth by blast
have 22:  $i > n \rightarrow (nnth ls n) + ((nnth ls i) - (nnth ls n)) = (nnth ls i)$ 
  using assms by (simp add: nidx-less-eq)
have 23:  $i > n \rightarrow nnth nell ((nnth ls n) + ((nnth ls i) - (nnth ls n))) = nnth nell (nnth ls i)$ 
  by (simp add: 22)
from 10 show ?thesis
by (metis 11 12 13 15 16 17 19 20 23 enat-ord-simps(1) not-le-imp-less)
qed

```

lemma nfuse-pfilt-nnth-b-alt:

assumes $nidx ls$

$nnth ls 0 = 0$

$\neg nfinite nell$

$\neg nfinite ls$

$i \leq nlength ls$

$n \leq nlength ls$

shows $nnth (nfuse (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls))$
 $(pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) i =$
 $nnth nell (nnth ls i)$

proof –

have 1: $i \leq nlength (nfuse (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls))$
 $(pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls))))$

using assms
by (metis nfuse-pfilt-nlength pfilt-nlength)

have 2: $nlast (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls)) = nnth nell (nnth ls n)$

using assms pfilt-nnth[of - (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls)]
by (simp add: nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD nnth-nlast ntaken-nnth pfilt-nlength)

have 3: $nfirst (pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls))) =$
 $nnth (pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls))) 0$

by (metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst)

have 4: $nnth (pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls))) 0 =$
 $nnth (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls))) 0$

using pfilt-nnth
by (metis i0-lb zero-enat-def)

have 5: $nnth (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls))) 0 =$
 $nnth (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth ls (n+0) - (nnth ls n))$

using zero-enat-def by force

have 6: $nnth (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth ls (n+0) - (nnth ls n)) =$
 $nnth (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) 0$

by simp

have 7: $nnth (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) 0 = nnth nell (nnth ls n)$

using assms by simp

have 8: $nlast (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls)) =$
 $nfirst (pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))$

using 2 4 5 7 3 6 by presburger

have 10: $nnth (nfuse (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls))$
 $(pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) i =$
 $(if i \leq nlength (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls)))$

```

then nnth (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls)) i
else nnth (pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls) ))
            (i - (the-enat (nlength (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls)))))))
using 1 8 by (meson nfuse-nnth not-le-imp-less)
have 11: nlength (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls)) = n
  using assms by (simp add: pfilt-nlength)
have 12: i≤n → nnth (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls)) i =
            nnth (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth (ntaken n ls) i)
  by (simp add: 11 pfilt-nnth)
have 13: i≤n → nnth (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth (ntaken n ls) i) =
            nnth (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth ls i)
  by (simp add: ntaken-nnth)
have 15: i≤n → nnth (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth ls i) = nnth nell (nnth ls i)
  using assms by (simp add: nidx-less-eq ntaken-nnth)
have 16: nnth (pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls) ))
            (i - (the-enat (nlength (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) nell) (ntaken n ls)))))) =
            nnth (pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls) ))
            (i - n))
  by (simp add: 11)
have 17: nnth (pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls) )) (i - n) =
            nnth (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth (nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls) ) (i - n))
  using assms pfilt-nnth[of i-n (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell)]
            (nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls) )]
  by (metis enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat ndropn-nlength nlength-nmap pfilt-nlength)
have 18: i>n → nnth (nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls) ) (i - n) =
            nnth ls (n+(i-n)) - (nnth ls n)
  using assms
  by (metis linorder-le-cases ndropn-nnth nfinite-ntaken nlast-nmap nlength-nmap nnth-nmap ntaken-all
     ntaken-nlast)
have 19: i>n → nnth (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) (nnth (nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls) ) (i
     -n))
            = nnth (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) ((nnth ls i) - (nnth ls n))
  by (simp add: 18)
have 20 : i>n → nnth (ndropn (nnth ls n) nell) ((nnth ls i) - (nnth ls n)) =
            nnth nell ((nnth ls n) + ((nnth ls i) - (nnth ls n)))
  using assms ndropn-nnth by blast
have 22: i>n → (nnth ls n) + ((nnth ls i) - (nnth ls n)) = (nnth ls i)
  using assms by (simp add: nidx-less-eq)
have 23: i>n → nnth nell ((nnth ls n) + ((nnth ls i) - (nnth ls n))) = nnth nell (nnth ls i)
  by (simp add: 22)
from 10 show ?thesis
  by (metis 11 12 13 15 16 17 19 20 23 enat-ord-simps(1) not-le-imp-less)
qed

```

```

lemma nfuse-pfilt-nnth:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  (nlast ls) = the-enat(nlength nell)
  nfinite nell

```

$nfinite\ ls$
 $i \leq nlength\ ls$
 $n \leq nlength\ ls$
shows $nnth\ (pfilt\ (nfuse\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ nell)\ (ndropn\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ nell))\ ls)\ i =$
 $nnth\ (nfuse\ (pfilt\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ nell)\ (ntaken\ n\ ls))$
 $\quad (pfilt\ (ndropn\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ nell)\ (nmap\ (\lambda x.\ x - (nnth\ ls\ n))\ (ndropn\ n\ ls))))\ i$
using $assms\ nfuse-pfilt-nnth-a[of\ ls\ nell\ i\ n]$
 $\quad nfuse-pfilt-nnth-b[of\ ls\ nell\ i\ n]$
by $simp$

lemma $nfuse-pfilt-nnth-alt:$
assumes $nidx\ ls$
 $nnth\ ls\ 0 = 0$
 $\neg nfinite\ nell$
 $\neg nfinite\ ls$
 $i \leq nlength\ ls$
 $n \leq nlength\ ls$
shows $nnth\ (pfilt\ (nfuse\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ nell)\ (ndropn\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ nell))\ ls)\ i =$
 $nnth\ (nfuse\ (pfilt\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ nell)\ (ntaken\ n\ ls))$
 $\quad (pfilt\ (ndropn\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ nell)\ (nmap\ (\lambda x.\ x - (nnth\ ls\ n))\ (ndropn\ n\ ls))))\ i$
using $assms\ nfuse-pfilt-nnth-a-alt[of\ ls\ nell\ i\ n]$
 $\quad nfuse-pfilt-nnth-b-alt[of\ ls\ nell\ i\ n]$
by $simp$

lemma $nfuse-pfilt:$
assumes $nidx\ ls$
 $nnth\ ls\ 0 = 0$
 $(nlast\ ls) = the-enat(nlength\ nell)$
 $nfinite\ nell$
 $nfinite\ ls$
 $n \leq nlength\ ls$
shows $pfilt\ (nfuse\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ nell)\ (ndropn\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ nell))\ ls =$
 $nfuse\ (pfilt\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ nell)\ (ntaken\ n\ ls))$
 $\quad (pfilt\ (ndropn\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ nell)\ (nmap\ (\lambda x.\ x - (nnth\ ls\ n))\ (ndropn\ n\ ls))))$
using $assms\ nfuse-pfilt-nlength\ nfuse-pfilt-nnth$
 $\quad nellist-eq-nnth-eq\ by\ (metis\ pfilt-nlength)$

lemma $nfuse-pfilt-alt:$
assumes $nidx\ ls$
 $nnth\ ls\ 0 = 0$
 $\neg nfinite\ nell$
 $\neg nfinite\ ls$
 $n \leq nlength\ ls$
shows $pfilt\ (nfuse\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ nell)\ (ndropn\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ nell))\ ls =$
 $nfuse\ (pfilt\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ nell)\ (ntaken\ n\ ls))$
 $\quad (pfilt\ (ndropn\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ nell)\ (nmap\ (\lambda x.\ x - (nnth\ ls\ n))\ (ndropn\ n\ ls))))$
using $assms\ nfuse-pfilt-nlength\ nfuse-pfilt-nnth-alt$
 $\quad nellist-eq-nnth-eq\ by\ (metis\ pfilt-nlength)$

lemma nfuse-pfilt-a:

assumes nidx ls1

nfinite ls1

nnth ls1 0 = 0

nidx ls2

nfinite ls2

nnth ls2 0 = nlast ls1

 $(nlast ls2) = \text{the-enat}(nlength nell)$

nfinite nell

shows pfilt (nfuse (ntaken (nlast ls1) nell) (ndropn (nfirst ls2) nell)) (nfuse ls1 ls2)) =

nfuse (pfilt (ntaken (nlast ls1) nell) ls1)

 $(pfilt (ndropn (nfirst ls2) nell) (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (nfirst ls2)) ls2))$

proof –

have 0: $\exists ll. (\text{enat } ll) = nlength ls1$

using assms(2) nfinite-nlength-enat by fastforce

obtain ll **where** 01: $(\text{enat } ll) = nlength ls1$

using 0 by auto

have 1: $nlast ls1 = nfirst ls2$

using assms by (metis nlast-NNil ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast)

have 2: nidx (nfuse ls1 ls2)

using assms nidx-nfuse by blast

have 20: $nnth (\text{nfuse } ls1 \text{ } ls2) \ 0 = 0$

by (metis 1 assms(3) nfuse-nnth zero-enat-def zero-le)

have 3: $nlast(\text{nfuse } ls1 \text{ } ls2) = \text{the-enat}(nlength nell)$

using assms

by (simp add: 1 nlast-nfuse)

have 4: $ll \leq nlength (\text{nfuse } ls1 \text{ } ls2)$

by (simp add: 01 nfuse-nlength)

have 5: pfilt (nfuse (ntaken (nnth (nfuse ls1 ls2) ll) nell))

 $(ndropn (nnth (\text{nfuse } ls1 \text{ } ls2) ll) nell))$

 $(\text{nfuse } ls1 \text{ } ls2)$

 $=$

nfuse (pfilt (ntaken (nnth (nfuse ls1 ls2) ll) nell) (ntaken ll (nfuse ls1 ls2)))

 $(pfilt (ndropn (nnth (\text{nfuse } ls1 \text{ } ls2) ll) nell))$

 $(\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (nnth (\text{nfuse } ls1 \text{ } ls2) ll)) (ndropn ll (nfuse ls1 ls2))))$

using 2 3 4 nfuse-pfilt[of (nfuse ls1 ls2) nell ll]

using 20 assms nfuse-nfinite by blast

have 6: pfilt (nfuse (ntaken (nlast ls1) nell) (ndropn (nfirst ls2) nell)) (nfuse ls1 ls2)) =

pfilt (nfuse (ntaken (nnth (nfuse ls1 ls2) ll) nell))

 $(ndropn (nnth (\text{nfuse } ls1 \text{ } ls2) ll) nell))$

 $(\text{nfuse } ls1 \text{ } ls2)$

using 1 4

by (metis 01 assms(2) ntaken-nfuse ntaken-nlast the-enat.simps)

have 7: $(\text{pfilt} (\text{ntaken} (\text{nlast } ls1) \text{ } nell) \text{ } ls1) =$

 $(\text{pfilt} (\text{ntaken} (\text{nnth} (\text{nfuse } ls1 \text{ } ls2) \text{ } ll) \text{ } nell) (\text{ntaken } ll (\text{nfuse } ls1 \text{ } ls2)))$

using 1 4

by (metis 01 assms(2) ntaken-nfuse ntaken-nlast the-enat.simps)

have 8: $(\text{pfilt} (\text{ndropn} (\text{nfirst } ls2) \text{ } nell) (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (\text{nfirst } ls2)) \text{ } ls2)) =$

 $(\text{pfilt} (\text{ndropn} (\text{nnth} (\text{nfuse } ls1 \text{ } ls2) \text{ } ll) \text{ } nell))$

 $(\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth} (\text{nfuse } ls1 \text{ } ls2) \text{ } ll)) (\text{ndropn } ll (\text{nfuse } ls1 \text{ } ls2)))$

```

using 1 4
by (metis 01 ndropn-nfirst ndropn-nfuse nfinite-conv-nlength-enat the-enat.simps)
show ?thesis using 5 6 7 8 by auto
qed

```

```

lemma nfuse-pfilt-a-alt:
assumes nidx ls1
    nfinite ls1
    nnth ls1 0 = 0
    nidx ls2
     $\neg$ nfinite ls2
    nnth ls2 0 = nlast ls1
     $\neg$ nfinite nell
shows pfilt (nfuse (ntaken (nlast ls1) nell) (ndropn (nfirst ls2) nell)) (nfuse ls1 ls2) =
    nfuse (pfilt (ntaken (nlast ls1) nell) ls1)
    (pfilt (ndropn (nfirst ls2) nell) (nmap ( $\lambda$ x. x - (nfirst ls2)) ls2))
proof -
have 0:  $\exists$  ll. (enat ll) = nlength ls1
    using assms(2) nfinite-nlength-enat by fastforce
obtain ll where 01: (enat ll) = nlength ls1
    using 0 by auto
have 1: nlast ls1 = nfirst ls2
    using assms by (metis nlast-NNil ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast)
have 2: nidx (nfuse ls1 ls2)
    using assms nidx-nfuse by blast
have 20: nnth (nfuse ls1 ls2) 0 = 0
    by (metis 1 assms(3) nfuse-nnth zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 4: ll  $\leq$  nlength (nfuse ls1 ls2)
    by (simp add: 01 nfuse-nlength)
have 5: pfilt (nfuse (ntaken (nnth (nfuse ls1 ls2) ll) nell))
    (ndropn (nnth (nfuse ls1 ls2) ll) nell))
    (nfuse ls1 ls2)
    =
    nfuse (pfilt (ntaken (nnth (nfuse ls1 ls2) ll) nell) (ntaken ll (nfuse ls1 ls2)))
    (pfilt (ndropn (nnth (nfuse ls1 ls2) ll) nell)
    (nmap ( $\lambda$ x. x - (nnth (nfuse ls1 ls2) ll)) (ndropn ll (nfuse ls1 ls2))))
using 2 4 nfuse-pfilt-alt[of (nfuse ls1 ls2) nell ll] 20 assms nfuse-nfinite by blast
have 6: pfilt (nfuse (ntaken (nlast ls1) nell) (ndropn (nfirst ls2) nell)) (nfuse ls1 ls2) =
    pfilt (nfuse (ntaken (nnth (nfuse ls1 ls2) ll) nell)
    (ndropn (nnth (nfuse ls1 ls2) ll) nell))
    (nfuse ls1 ls2)
using 1 4
by (metis 01 assms(2) ntaken-nfuse ntaken-nlast the-enat.simps)
have 7: (pfilt (ntaken (nlast ls1) nell) ls1) =
    (pfilt (ntaken (nnth (nfuse ls1 ls2) ll) nell) (ntaken ll (nfuse ls1 ls2)))
using 1 4
by (metis 01 assms(2) ntaken-nfuse ntaken-nlast the-enat.simps)
have 8: (pfilt (ndropn (nfirst ls2) nell) (nmap ( $\lambda$ x. x - (nfirst ls2)) ls2)) =
    (pfilt (ndropn (nnth (nfuse ls1 ls2) ll) nell))

```

```

  (nmap (λx. x - (nnth (nfuse ls1 ls2) ll)) (ndropn ll (nfuse ls1 ls2)) ))
using 1 4
by (metis 01 ndropn-nfirst ndropn-nfuse nfinite-conv-nlength-enat the-enat.simps)
show ?thesis using 5 6 7 8 by auto
qed

```

```

lemma pfilt-ntaken:
assumes n ≤ nlength ls
shows ntaken n (pfilt σ ls) = pfilt σ (ntaken n ls)
proof -
  have 1: nlength (ntaken n (pfilt σ ls)) = nlength ( pfilt σ (ntaken n ls))
    by (simp add: assms pfilt-nlength)
  have 2: ∀ (i::nat). i ≤ nlength(ntaken n (pfilt σ ls)) →
    (nnth (ntaken n (pfilt σ ls)) i) = (nnth ( pfilt σ (ntaken n ls)) i)
    by (simp add: pfilt-nmap)
  show ?thesis using 1 2 nellist-eq-nnth-eq by blast
qed

```

```

lemma pfilt-ntaken-nidx:
assumes n ≤ nlength nell
  nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  nfinite ls
  nlast ls = n
shows pfilt nell ls = pfilt (ntaken n nell) ls
proof -
  have 1: nlength(pfilt nell ls) = nlength (pfilt (ntaken n nell) ls)
    by (simp add: pfilt-nlength)
  have 2: ∀ (i::nat). i ≤ nlength(pfilt nell ls) →
    (nnth (pfilt nell ls) i) = (nnth (pfilt (ntaken n nell) ls) i)
  using assms
    by (auto simp add: nidx-all-le-nlast ntaken-nnth pfilt-nlength pfilt-nnth)
  show ?thesis
    by (simp add: 1 2 nellist-eq-nnth-eq)
qed

```

```

lemma pfilt-ndropn:
assumes n ≤ nlength ls
shows ndropn n (pfilt nell ls) = pfilt nell (ndropn n ls)
proof -
  have 1: nlength (ndropn n (pfilt nell ls)) = nlength (pfilt nell (ndropn n ls))
    by (simp add: assms pfilt-nlength)
  have 2: ∀ (i::nat). i ≤ nlength (ndropn n (pfilt nell ls)) →
    (nnth (ndropn n (pfilt nell ls)) i) = (nnth (pfilt nell (ndropn n ls)) i)
    by (simp add: ndropn-nmap pfilt-nmap)
  show ?thesis using 1 2 nellist-eq-nnth-eq by blast
qed

```

```

lemma pfilt-ndropn-nidx-nlength:
assumes (enat n) ≤ nlength nell
  nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
shows nlength (pfilt nell (nmap (λx. x + n) ls)) = nlength (pfilt (ndropn n nell) ls)
using assms by (simp add: pfilt-nlength)

lemma pfilt-ndropn-nidx-nnth:
assumes (enat n) ≤ nlength nell
  nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  i ≤ nlength ls
shows nnth (pfilt nell (nmap (λx. x + n) ls)) i = nnth (pfilt (ndropn n nell) ls) i
proof -
  have 1: nnth (pfilt nell (nmap (λx. x + n) ls)) i = nnth nell (nnth (nmap (λx. x + n) ls) i)
  by (simp add: assms pfilt-nnth pfilt-nlength)
  have 2: nnth nell (nnth (nmap (λx. x + n) ls) i) = (nnth nell ((nnth ls i) + n))
  by (simp add: assms)
  have 3: nnth (pfilt (ndropn n nell) ls) i = nnth (ndropn n nell) (nnth ls i)
  by (simp add: assms pfilt-nnth pfilt-nlength)
  have 4: nnth (ndropn n nell) (nnth ls i) = (nnth nell ((nnth ls i) + n))
  by (metis ntaken-ndropn-nlast ntaken-nlast)
  show ?thesis
  using 1 2 3 4 by presburger
qed

lemma pfilt-ndropn-nidx:
assumes (enat n) ≤ nlength nell
  nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
shows pfilt nell (nmap (λx. x + n) ls) = pfilt (ndropn n nell) ls
using assms pfilt-ndropn-nidx-nlength pfilt-ndropn-nidx-nnth nellist-eq-nnth-eq
by (simp add: pfilt-ndropn-nidx-nnth nellist-eq-nnth-eq pfilt-nlength)

lemma pfilt-pfilt:
  (nnth (pfilt (pfilt nell ls1) ls2) k) = (nnth nell (nnth ls1 (nnth ls2 k)))
by (metis enat-le-plus-same(1) gen-nlength-def ndropn-nmap nlength-code nnth-nmap nnth-zero-ndropn
  pfilt-nmap)

lemma pfilt-pfilt-nmap:
  (pfilt (pfilt nell ls1) ls2) = (pfilt nell (nmap (λx. (nnth ls1 x)) ls2))
by (simp add: pfilt-expand pfilt-nlength pfilt-pfilt)

lemma pfilt-nmap-pfilt:
  (pfilt (pfilt nell ls1) ls2) = pfilt nell (pfilt ls1 ls2)
by (metis pfilt-nmap pfilt-pfilt-nmap)

```

```

lemma pfilt-nsubn:
assumes k ≤ n
    n ≤ nlength ls
shows  (nsubn (pfilt nell ls)) k n = (pfilt nell (nsubn ls k n))
using assms
by (simp add: ndropn-nmap nsubn-def1 pfilt-nmap)

lemma pfilt-nfusecat-nmap:
  (pfilt nell (nfusecat lls)) = (nfusecat (nmap (λ ls . (pfilt nell ls)) lls))
proof -
have 1: pfilt nell (nfusecat lls) = nmap (nnth nell) (nfusecat lls)
  using pfilt-nmap[of nell (nfusecat lls)] by blast
have 2: (nfusecat (nmap (λ ls . (pfilt nell ls)) lls)) =
  (nfusecat (nmap (nmap (nnth nell) ) lls))
  using pfilt-nmap by metis
have 3: nmap (nnth nell) (nfusecat lls) = (nfusecat (nmap (nmap (nnth nell) ) lls))
  by (simp add: nmap-nfusecat)
show ?thesis
by (simp add: 1 2 3)
qed

```

10.2.3 powerinterval lemmas

```

lemma powerinterval-splita0:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  n ≤ nlength ls
  nfinites ls
  nfinites σ
  nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ)
  i < nlength(ntaken n ls)
shows  (nsubn (ntaken (nnth ls n) σ) (nnth (ntaken n ls) i) (nnth (ntaken n ls) (Suc i))) =
  (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))
proof -
have 01: (nnth ls n) ≤ nlength σ
  using assms
  by (metis enat-ord-simps(1) nfinites-nlength-enat nidx-less-eq nnth-nlast the-enat.simps
       verit-comp-simplify1(2))
have 02: (nnth (ntaken n ls) i) ≤ (nnth (ntaken n ls) (Suc i))
  using assms
  by (metis dual-order.trans eSuc-enat enat-ord-simps(1) ileI1 le-add2 min-def nidx-less-eq
       ntaken-nlength ntaken-nnth plus-1-eq-Suc)
have 03: (nnth (ntaken n ls) (Suc i)) ≤ (nnth ls n)
  using assms
  by (metis eSuc-enat enat-ord-simps(1) ileI1 min-def nidx-less-eq ntaken-nlength ntaken-nnth)
show ?thesis unfolding nsubn-def1 using 01 02 03 assms
  by (simp add: ntaken-nnth ntaken-ndropn-swap order-subst2)
qed

```

```
lemma powerinterval-splita0-alt:
```

assumes $nidx\ ls$
 $nnth\ ls\ 0 = 0$
 $n \leq nlength\ ls$
 $\neg nfinite\ ls$
 $\neg nfinite\ \sigma$
 $i < nlength(ntaken\ n\ ls)$
shows $(nsubn\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ \sigma)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ i)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ (Suc\ i))) =$
 $(nsubn\ \sigma\ (nnth\ ls\ i)\ (nnth\ ls\ (Suc\ i)))$

proof –

have 01: $(nnth\ ls\ n) \leq nlength\ \sigma$
by (meson assms(5) enat-less-imp-le less-enateE nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)

have 02: $(nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ i) \leq (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ (Suc\ i))$
using assms
by (metis dual-order.trans eSuc-enat enat-ord-simps(1) ileI1 le-add2 min-def nidx-less-eq ntaken-nlength ntaken-nnth plus-1-eq-Suc)

have 03: $(nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ (Suc\ i)) \leq (nnth\ ls\ n)$
using assms
by (metis eSuc-enat enat-ord-simps(1) ileI1 min-def nidx-less-eq ntaken-nlength ntaken-nnth)
show ?thesis **unfolding** nsubn-def1 **using** 01 02 03 assms
by (simp add: ntaken-nnth ntaken-ndropn-swap order-subst2)

qed

lemma powerinterval-splita:

assumes $nidx\ ls$
 $nnth\ ls\ 0 = 0$
 $nfinite\ ls$
 $n \leq nlength\ ls$
 $nfinite\ \sigma$
 $nlast\ ls = the-enat(nlength\ \sigma)$
 $powerinterval\ f\ \sigma\ ls$
shows $powerinterval\ f\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ \sigma)\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)$

proof –

have 0: $(\forall i. i < nlength\ ls \longrightarrow ((nsubn\ \sigma\ (nnth\ ls\ i)\ (nnth\ ls\ (Suc\ i))) \models f))$
using assms **by** (simp add: powerinterval-def)

have 1: $powerinterval\ f\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ \sigma)\ (ntaken\ n\ ls) =$
 $(\forall i. i < nlength(ntaken\ n\ ls) \longrightarrow ((nsubn\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ \sigma)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ i)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ (Suc\ i))) \models f))$
using powerinterval-def **by** blast

have 2: $(\forall i. i < nlength(ntaken\ n\ ls) \longrightarrow ((nsubn\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ \sigma)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ i)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ (Suc\ i))) \models f))$

proof

fix i
show $i < nlength(ntaken\ n\ ls) \longrightarrow ((nsubn\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ \sigma)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ i)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ (Suc\ i))) \models f)$

proof –

have 21: $i < n \longrightarrow ((nsubn\ \sigma\ (nnth\ ls\ i)\ (nnth\ ls\ (Suc\ i))) \models f)$
using 0 assms less-le-trans **by** (metis enat-ord-simps(2))

have 22: $i < nlength(ntaken\ n\ ls) \longrightarrow ((nsubn\ \sigma\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ i)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ (Suc\ i))) \models f)$
by (simp add: 21 assms(4) ntaken-nnth)

```

have 23:  $i < nlength(ntaken\ n\ ls) \rightarrow ((nsubn\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ \sigma)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ i)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ (Suc\ i))) \models f)$ 
  using 22 assms powerinterval-splita0
  using 21 by fastforce
  show ?thesis using 23 by blast
qed
qed
show ?thesis using 1 2 by blast
qed

lemma powerinterval-splita-alt:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  -nfinite ls
   $n \leq nlength\ ls$ 
  -nfinite  $\sigma$ 
  powerinterval f  $\sigma$  ls
shows powerinterval f (ntaken (nnth ls n)  $\sigma$ ) (ntaken n ls)
proof -
have 0:  $(\forall i. i < nlength\ ls \rightarrow ((nsubn\ \sigma\ (nnth\ ls\ i)\ (nnth\ ls\ (Suc\ i))) \models f))$ 
  using assms by (simp add: powerinterval-def)
have 1: powerinterval f (ntaken (nnth ls n)  $\sigma$ ) (ntaken n ls) =
   $(\forall i. i < nlength(ntaken\ n\ ls) \rightarrow ((nsubn\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ \sigma)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ i)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ (Suc\ i))) \models f))$ 
  using powerinterval-def by blast
have 2:  $(\forall i. i < nlength(ntaken\ n\ ls) \rightarrow ((nsubn\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ \sigma)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ i)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ (Suc\ i))) \models f))$ 
proof
  fix i
  show  $i < nlength(ntaken\ n\ ls) \rightarrow ((nsubn\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ \sigma)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ i)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ (Suc\ i))) \models f)$ 
proof -
  have 21:  $i < n \rightarrow ((nsubn\ \sigma\ (nnth\ ls\ i)\ (nnth\ ls\ (Suc\ i))) \models f)$ 
    using 0 assms less-le-trans by (metis enat-ord-simps(2))
  have 22:  $i < nlength(ntaken\ n\ ls) \rightarrow ((nsubn\ \sigma\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ i)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ (Suc\ i))) \models f)$ 
    by (simp add: 21 assms(4) ntaken-nnth)
  have 23:  $i < nlength(ntaken\ n\ ls) \rightarrow ((nsubn\ (ntaken\ (nnth\ ls\ n)\ \sigma)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ i)\ (nnth\ (ntaken\ n\ ls)\ (Suc\ i))) \models f)$ 
    using 22 assms powerinterval-splita0-alt
    using 21 by fastforce
    show ?thesis using 23 by blast
  qed
  qed
  show ?thesis using 1 2 by blast
qed

lemma powerinterval-splitb0:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0

```

$n \leq nlength ls$
 $nlast ls = the-enat(nlength \sigma)$
 $i < (nlength ls - n)$
shows $(nsubn (ndropn (nnth ls n) \sigma) (nnth (((nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) i) = (nnth (((nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) (Suc i)) = (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (i+n)) (nnth ls ((Suc i)+n))))$
proof –
have 1: $nlength (((nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) = (nlength ls) - n$
by (simp add: assms)
have 2: $i < (nlength ls - n) \rightarrow (nnth (((nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) i) = (nnth ls (n + i)) - (nnth ls n)$
by simp
have 3: $i < (nlength ls - n) \rightarrow (nnth (((nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) (Suc i)) = (nnth ls (n + (Suc i))) - (nnth ls n)$
using assms by (metis eSuc-enat ileI1 ndropn-nlength ndropn-nnth nnth-nmap)
have 4: $i < (nlength ls - n) \rightarrow (nsubn (ndropn (nnth ls n) \sigma) (nnth (((nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) i) = (nnth (((nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) (Suc i)) = (nsubn (ndropn (nnth ls n) \sigma) ((nnth ls (n + i)) - (nnth ls n)) ((nnth ls (n + (Suc i))) - (nnth ls n)))$
by (simp add: 2 3)
have 5: $i < (nlength ls - n) \rightarrow (nnth ls (n + i)) < (nnth ls (n + (Suc i)))$
using assms
by (metis Suc-ile-eq add.commute add-Suc-right enat-min nidx-expand plus-enat-simps(1))
have 6: $i < (nlength ls - n) \rightarrow (nnth ls n) \leq (nnth ls (n + i))$
using assms
by (metis add.commute enat-min le-add1 nidx-less-eq order-less-imp-le plus-enat-simps(1))
have 7: $i < (nlength ls - n) \rightarrow (nnth ls n) \leq (nnth ls (n + (Suc i)))$
using 5 6 by linarith
have 8: $i < (nlength ls - n) \rightarrow (nnth ls (n + i)) - (nnth ls n) < (nnth ls (n + (Suc i))) - (nnth ls n)$
using 5 6 diff-less-mono by blast
have 10: $i < (nlength ls - n) \rightarrow (nsubn (ndropn (nnth ls n) \sigma) ((nnth ls (n + i)) - (nnth ls n)) ((nnth ls (n + (Suc i))) - (nnth ls n))) = (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (i+n)) (nnth ls ((Suc i)+n))))$
by (metis 6 7 8 add.commute diff-add nsubn-ndropn)
show ?thesis
using 2 3 10 assms by auto

qed

lemma powerinterval-splitb0-alt:

assumes nidx ls

$$\text{nnth } ls \ 0 = 0$$

$$\neg \text{nfinite } ls$$

$$n \leq \text{nlength } ls$$

$$\neg \text{nfinite } \sigma$$

$$i < (\text{nlength } ls - n)$$

shows (nsubn (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ)

$$(\text{nnth } (((\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth } ls n)) \ (ndropn n ls)))) i)$$

$$(\text{nnth } (((\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth } ls n)) \ (ndropn n ls)))) (\text{Suc } i))$$

$$) =$$

$$(nsubn \sigma (\text{nnth } ls (i+n)) (\text{nnth } ls ((\text{Suc } i)+n)))$$

proof –

have 1: $\text{nlength } (((\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth } ls n)) \ (ndropn n ls)))) = (\text{nlength } ls) - n$

by (simp add: assms)

have 2: $i < (\text{nlength } ls - n) \rightarrow$

$$(\text{nnth } (((\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth } ls n)) \ (ndropn n ls)))) i) = \\ (\text{nnth } ls (n + i)) - (\text{nnth } ls n)$$

by simp

have 3: $i < (\text{nlength } ls - n) \rightarrow$

$$(\text{nnth } (((\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth } ls n)) \ (ndropn n ls)))) (\text{Suc } i)) = \\ (\text{nnth } ls (n + (\text{Suc } i))) - (\text{nnth } ls n)$$

using assms **by** (metis eSuc-enat ileI1 ndropn-nlength ndropn-nnth nnth-nmap)

have 4: $i < (\text{nlength } ls - n) \rightarrow$

$$(nsubn (ndropn (nnth ls n) \sigma) \\ (\text{nnth } (((\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth } ls n)) \ (ndropn n ls)))) i) \\ (\text{nnth } (((\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth } ls n)) \ (ndropn n ls)))) (\text{Suc } i)) \\) = \\ (nsubn (ndropn (nnth ls n) \sigma) \\ ((\text{nnth } ls (n + i)) - (\text{nnth } ls n)) \\ ((\text{nnth } ls (n + (\text{Suc } i))) - (\text{nnth } ls n)) \\)$$

by (simp add: 2 3)

have 5: $i < (\text{nlength } ls - n) \rightarrow (\text{nnth } ls (n + i)) < (\text{nnth } ls (n + (\text{Suc } i)))$

using assms

by (metis Suc-ile-eq add.commute add-Suc-right enat-min nidx-expand plus-enat-simps(1))

have 6: $i < (\text{nlength } ls - n) \rightarrow (\text{nnth } ls n) \leq (\text{nnth } ls (n + i))$

using assms

by (metis add.commute enat-min le-add1 nidx-less-eq order-less-imp-le plus-enat-simps(1))

have 7: $i < (\text{nlength } ls - n) \rightarrow (\text{nnth } ls n) \leq (\text{nnth } ls (n + (\text{Suc } i)))$

using 5 6 **by** linarith

have 8: $i < (\text{nlength } ls - n) \rightarrow (\text{nnth } ls (n + i)) - (\text{nnth } ls n) < (\text{nnth } ls (n + (\text{Suc } i))) - (\text{nnth } ls n)$

using 5 6 diff-less-mono **by** blast

have 9: $i < (\text{nlength } ls - n) \rightarrow (\text{nnth } ls (n + (\text{Suc } i))) - (\text{nnth } ls n) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma - (\text{nnth } ls n)$

using assms

by (simp add: nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)

have 10: $i < (\text{nlength } ls - n) \rightarrow$

$$(nsubn (ndropn (nnth ls n) \sigma)$$

```

( (nnth ls (n + i)) - (nnth ls n) )
( (nnth ls (n + (Suc i))) - (nnth ls n) )
)
= (nsubn σ (nnth ls (i+n)) (nnth ls ((Suc i)+n)) )
by (metis 6 7 8 add.commute diff-add nsubn-ndropn)
show ?thesis
using 2 3 10 assms by auto
qed

lemma powerinterval-splitb:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  nfinite ls
  n ≤ nlength ls
  nfinite σ
  nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ)
  powerinterval f σ ls
shows powerinterval f (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ) ((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))
proof -
have 0: (∀ i. i < nlength ls → ( (nsubn σ (nnth ls i)) (nnth ls (Suc i)) ) ≡ f))
using assms by (simp add: powerinterval-def)
have 1: powerinterval f (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ) ((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls))) =
  (∀ i. i < nlength (((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) →
  ( (nsubn (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ)
    (nnth (((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) i)
    (nnth (((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) (Suc i))
  ) ≡ f))
by (simp add: powerinterval-def)
have 2: (∀ i. i < nlength(((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) →
  ( (nsubn (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ)
    (nnth (((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) i)
    (nnth (((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) (Suc i))
  ) ≡ f))
proof
fix i
show i < nlength(((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) →
  ( (nsubn (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ)
    (nnth (((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) i)
    (nnth (((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) (Suc i))
  ) ≡ f)
proof -
have 21: i < (nlength ls) - n →
  ((nsubn σ (nnth ls (i+n)) (nnth ls ((Suc i)+n)) ) ≡ f)
using 0 assms(4) enat-min by auto
show ?thesis
using 21 assms powerinterval-splitb0 by fastforce
qed
qed
show ?thesis using 1 2 by blast
qed

```

```

lemma powerinterval-splitb-alt:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  ~nfinite ls
  n ≤ nlenth ls
  ~nfinite σ
  powerinterval f σ ls
shows powerinterval f (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ) ((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))
proof -
have 0: (∀ i. i < nlenth ls → ((nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ⊨ f))
  using assms by (simp add: powerinterval-def)
have 1: powerinterval f (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ) ((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls))) =
  (∀ i. i < nlenth (((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) →
    ((nsubn (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ)
      (nnth (((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) i)
      (nnth (((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) (Suc i))
      ) ⊨ f))
  by (simp add: powerinterval-def)
have 2: (∀ i. i < nlenth(((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) →
  ((nsubn (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ)
    (nnth (((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) i)
    (nnth (((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) (Suc i))
    ) ⊨ f))
proof
fix i
show i < nlenth(((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) →
  ((nsubn (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ)
    (nnth (((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) i)
    (nnth (((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) (Suc i))
    ) ⊨ f)
show 21: i < (nlenth ls) - n →
  ((nsubn σ (nnth ls (i+n)) (nnth ls ((Suc i)+n))) ⊨ f)
  using 0 assms(4) enat-min by auto
show ?thesis
using 21 assms powerinterval-splitb0-alt by fastforce
qed
qed
show ?thesis using 1 2 by blast
qed

```

```

lemma powerinterval-split:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  nfinite ls
  n ≤ nlenth ls
  nfinite σ
  nlast ls = the-enat(nlenth σ)
shows powerinterval f σ ls =

```

$(\text{powerinterval } f (\text{ntaken} (\text{nnth } ls \ n) \ \sigma) (\text{ntaken} \ n \ ls) \wedge$
 $\text{powerinterval } f (\text{ndropn} (\text{nnth } ls \ n) \ \sigma) ((\text{nmap} (\lambda x. \ x - (\text{nnth } ls \ n)) \ (\text{ndropn} \ n \ ls))))$

proof –

have 1: $\text{powerinterval } f \ \sigma \ ls \implies$

$\text{powerinterval } f (\text{ntaken} (\text{nnth } ls \ n) \ \sigma) (\text{ntaken} \ n \ ls)$

by (*simp add: assms powerinterval-splita*)

have 2: $\text{powerinterval } f \ \sigma \ ls \implies$

$\text{powerinterval } f (\text{ndropn} (\text{nnth } ls \ n) \ \sigma) ((\text{nmap} (\lambda x. \ x - (\text{nnth } ls \ n)) \ (\text{ndropn} \ n \ ls)))$

by (*simp add: assms powerinterval-splitb*)

have 3: $\text{powerinterval } f (\text{ntaken} (\text{nnth } ls \ n) \ \sigma) (\text{ntaken} \ n \ ls) =$

$(\forall i. \ i < n \longrightarrow ((\text{nsubn} \ \sigma (\text{nnth } ls \ i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \models f))$

using assms by (*simp add: powerinterval-def powerinterval-splita0*)

have 4: $\text{powerinterval } f (\text{ndropn} (\text{nnth } ls \ n) \ \sigma) ((\text{nmap} (\lambda x. \ x - (\text{nnth } ls \ n)) \ (\text{ndropn} \ n \ ls))) =$

$(\forall i. \ i < (\text{nlength } ls) - n \longrightarrow ((\text{nsubn} \ \sigma (\text{nnth } ls (i+n)) (\text{nnth } ls ((\text{Suc } i)+n))) \models f))$

unfolding *powerinterval-def* **using** *assms powerinterval-splitb0[of ls n σ]* **by** (*simp*)

have 5: $(\forall i. \ i < (\text{nlength } ls) - n \longrightarrow ((\text{nsubn} \ \sigma (\text{nnth } ls (i+n)) (\text{nnth } ls ((\text{Suc } i)+n))) \models f)) =$

$(\forall i. \ n \leq i \wedge i < (\text{nlength } ls) \longrightarrow ((\text{nsubn} \ \sigma (\text{nnth } ls \ i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \models f))$

using assms enat-min by auto

(*metis diff-add diff-less-mono enat-ord-simps(2) idiff-enat-enat nfinite-nlength-enat*)

have 6: $(\text{powerinterval } f (\text{ntaken} (\text{nnth } ls \ n) \ \sigma) (\text{ntaken} \ n \ ls) \wedge$

$\text{powerinterval } f (\text{ndropn} (\text{nnth } ls \ n) \ \sigma) ((\text{nmap} (\lambda x. \ x - (\text{nnth } ls \ n)) \ (\text{ndropn} \ n \ ls))) \implies$

$\text{powerinterval } f \ \sigma \ ls$

using 3 4 5 assms powerinterval-def

by (*metis not-less-eq not-less-less-Suc-eq order.order-iff-strict*)

show ?thesis

using 1 2 6 by blast

qed

lemma *powerinterval-split-alt*:

assumes *nidx ls*

nnth ls 0 = 0

$\neg \text{nfinite } ls$

$n \leq \text{nlength } ls$

$\neg \text{nfinite } \sigma$

shows $\text{powerinterval } f \ \sigma \ ls =$

$(\text{powerinterval } f (\text{ntaken} (\text{nnth } ls \ n) \ \sigma) (\text{ntaken} \ n \ ls) \wedge$

$\text{powerinterval } f (\text{ndropn} (\text{nnth } ls \ n) \ \sigma) ((\text{nmap} (\lambda x. \ x - (\text{nnth } ls \ n)) \ (\text{ndropn} \ n \ ls)))$

proof –

have 1: $\text{powerinterval } f \ \sigma \ ls \implies$

$\text{powerinterval } f (\text{ntaken} (\text{nnth } ls \ n) \ \sigma) (\text{ntaken} \ n \ ls)$

by (*simp add: assms powerinterval-splita-alt*)

have 2: $\text{powerinterval } f \ \sigma \ ls \implies$

$\text{powerinterval } f (\text{ndropn} (\text{nnth } ls \ n) \ \sigma) ((\text{nmap} (\lambda x. \ x - (\text{nnth } ls \ n)) \ (\text{ndropn} \ n \ ls)))$

by (*simp add: assms powerinterval-splitb-alt*)

have 3: $\text{powerinterval } f (\text{ntaken} (\text{nnth } ls \ n) \ \sigma) (\text{ntaken} \ n \ ls) =$

$(\forall i. \ i < n \longrightarrow ((\text{nsubn} \ \sigma (\text{nnth } ls \ i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \models f))$

using assms by (*simp add: powerinterval-def powerinterval-splita0-alt*)

have 4: $\text{powerinterval } f (\text{ndropn} (\text{nnth } ls \ n) \ \sigma) ((\text{nmap} (\lambda x. \ x - (\text{nnth } ls \ n)) \ (\text{ndropn} \ n \ ls))) =$

$(\forall i. \ i < (\text{nlength } ls) - n \longrightarrow ((\text{nsubn} \ \sigma (\text{nnth } ls (i+n)) (\text{nnth } ls ((\text{Suc } i)+n))) \models f))$

unfolding *powerinterval-def* **using** *powerinterval-splitb0-alt[of ls n σ] assms*

by simp
have 5: $(\forall i. i < (nlength ls) - n \rightarrow ((nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (i+n)) (nnth ls ((Suc i)+n))) \models f)) = (\forall i. n \leq i \wedge i < (nlength ls) \rightarrow ((nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \models f))$
using assms enat-min
by auto
 $(metis diff-add ndropn-nlength nfinite-ndropn-b nfinite-ntaken not-le-imp-less ntaken-all)$
have 6: $(powerinterval f (ntaken (nnth ls n) \sigma) (ntaken n ls) \wedge powerinterval f (ndropn (nnth ls n) \sigma) ((nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) \Rightarrow powerinterval f \sigma ls$
using 3 4 5 assms powerinterval-def
by (metis not-less-eq not-less-less-Suc-eq order.order-iff-strict)
show ?thesis
using 1 2 6 by blast
qed

lemma powerinterval-nfuse:
assumes $nidx ls1$
 $nnth ls1 0 = 0$
 $nidx ls2$
 $nnth ls2 0 = 0$
 $nfinite ls1$
 $nlast ls1 = cp$
 $cp \leq nlength \sigma$
 $nfinite ls2$
 $nfinite \sigma$
 $nlast ls2 = the-enat(nlength \sigma) - cp$
shows $powerinterval f \sigma (nfuse ls1 (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) ls2)) = (powerinterval f (ntaken cp \sigma) ls1 \wedge powerinterval f (ndropn cp \sigma) ls2)$

proof –
have 1: $nidx (nfuse ls1 (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) ls2))$
using assms nidx-nfuse[of ls1 (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) ls2)]
using Suc-ile-eq nidx-expand zero-enat-def by force
have 2: $cp = (nnth ls1 (the-enat (nlength ls1)))$
using assms using nnth-nlast by blast
have 3: $nlength ls1 \leq nlength (nfuse ls1 (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) ls2))$
by (simp add: nfuse-nlength)
have 30: $nfinite (nfuse ls1 (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) ls2))$
using assms
by (metis nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nfuse-nlength nlength-nmap plus-enat-simps(1))
have 31: $nfirst (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) ls2) = nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) ls2) 0$
by (metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst)
have 32: $nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) ls2) 0 = cp$
using assms nnth-nmap[of 0 ls2 (\lambda x. x + cp)]
using zero-enat-def by auto
have 33: $nlast ls1 = nfirst (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) ls2)$
by (simp add: 31 32 assms(6))
have 34: $nlast (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) ls2) = the-enat(nlength \sigma)$
using assms
by (metis diff-add enat.simps(3) enat-ord-simps(1) enat-the-enat nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nlast-nmap)

```

have 4:  $nlast(nfuse ls1(nmap(\lambda x. x + cp) ls2)) = the-enat(nlength \sigma)$ 
  using assms using 30 assms nlast-nfuse[of  $ls1(nmap(\lambda x. x + cp) ls2)$ ] 33 34 by presburger
have 5:  $cp = nnth(nfuse ls1(nmap(\lambda x. x + cp) ls2)) (the-enat(nlength ls1))$ 
  using assms 2
by (metis enat.simps(3) enat-the-enat nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nfuse-def1 nnth-nappend
    not-le-imp-less order-less-imp-le)
have 50:  $nlength(nmap(\lambda x. x - cp)(nmap(\lambda x. x + cp) ls2)) = nlength ls2$ 
  by simp
have 51:  $\bigwedge j. j \leq nlength ls2 \longrightarrow$ 
   $nnth(nmap(\lambda x. x - cp)(nmap(\lambda x. x + cp) ls2)) j = nnth ls2 j$ 
  by simp
have 6:  $(nmap(\lambda x. x - cp)(nmap(\lambda x. x + cp) ls2)) = ls2$ 
  using 50 51 by (simp add: nellist-eq-nnth-eq)
have 70:  $ntaken(the-enat(nlength ls1))(nfuse ls1(nmap(\lambda x::nat. x + cp) ls2)) = ls1$ 
  by (simp add: 33 assms(5) ntaken-nfuse)
have 71:  $ndropn(the-enat(nlength ls1))(nfuse ls1(nmap(\lambda x::nat. x + cp) ls2)) =$ 
   $nmap(\lambda x::nat. x + cp) ls2$ 
  by (simp add: 33 assms(5) ndropn-nfuse)
have 72:  $nidx(nfuse(ls1::nat nellist)(nmap(\lambda x::nat. x + (cp::nat))(ls2::nat nellist)))$ 
  by (simp add: 1)
have 73:  $nnth(nfuse ls1(nmap(\lambda x::nat. x + cp) ls2))(0::nat) = (0::nat)$ 
  by (metis 33 assms(2) nfuse-nnth zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 74:  $enat(the-enat(nlength ls1)) \leq nlength(nfuse ls1(nmap(\lambda x::nat. x + cp) ls2))$ 
  by (metis 70 assms(5) enat.simps(3) enat-the-enat min-def nfinite-nlength-enat ntaken-nlength
    order-eq-refl)
have 75:  $enat(nlast(nfuse ls1(nmap(\lambda x::nat. x + cp) ls2))) = nlength \sigma$ 
  using 4 assms enat-the-enat nfinite-conv-nlength-enat by auto
have 76:  $nfinite \sigma$ 
  by (simp add: assms(9))
have 80:  $powerinterval f \sigma (nfuse ls1(nmap(\lambda x. x + cp) ls2)) =$ 
   $(powerinterval f (ntaken(nnth(nfuse ls1(nmap(\lambda x. x + cp) ls2))(the-enat(nlength ls1)))) \sigma)$ 
   $(ntaken(the-enat(nlength ls1))(nfuse ls1(nmap(\lambda x. x + cp) ls2))) \wedge$ 
   $powerinterval f (ndropn(nnth(nfuse ls1(nmap(\lambda x. x + cp) ls2))(the-enat(nlength ls1)))) \sigma$ 
   $(nmap(\lambda x. x - nnth(nfuse ls1(nmap(\lambda x. x + cp) ls2))(the-enat(nlength ls1))))$ 
   $(ndropn(the-enat(nlength ls1))(nfuse ls1(nmap(\lambda x. x + cp) ls2))))$ 
  using 72 73 30 74 76 75 4 using powerinterval-split[of  $(nfuse ls1(nmap(\lambda x. x + cp) ls2))$ 
     $(the-enat(nlength ls1)) \sigma f]$ 
  by fastforce
have 81:  $powerinterval f (ntaken(nnth(nfuse ls1(nmap(\lambda x. x + cp) ls2))(the-enat(nlength ls1)))) \sigma$ 
   $(ntaken(the-enat(nlength ls1))(nfuse ls1(nmap(\lambda x. x + cp) ls2))) =$ 
   $powerinterval f (ntaken cp \sigma) ls1$ 
  using 5 70 by auto
have 82:  $powerinterval f (ndropn(nnth(nfuse ls1(nmap(\lambda x. x + cp) ls2))(the-enat(nlength ls1)))) \sigma$ 
   $(nmap(\lambda x. x - nnth(nfuse ls1(nmap(\lambda x. x + cp) ls2))(the-enat(nlength ls1))))$ 
   $(ndropn(the-enat(nlength ls1))(nfuse ls1(nmap(\lambda x. x + cp) ls2)))) =$ 
   $powerinterval f (ndropn cp \sigma) ls2$ 
  using 5 6 71 by presburger
show ?thesis
using 80 81 82 by blast
qed

```

lemma powerinterval-nfuse-alt:

assumes $nidx\ ls1$

$nnth\ ls1\ 0 = 0$

$nidx\ ls2$

$nnth\ ls2\ 0 = 0$

$nfinite\ ls1$

$nlast\ ls1 = cp$

$cp \leq nlength\ \sigma$

$\neg nfinite\ ls2$

$\neg nfinite\ \sigma$

shows $powerinterval\ f\ \sigma\ (nfuse\ ls1\ (nmap\ (\lambda x. x + cp)\ ls2)) =$
 $(powerinterval\ f\ (ntaken\ cp\ \sigma)\ ls1 \wedge$
 $powerinterval\ f\ (ndropn\ cp\ \sigma)\ ls2)$

proof –

have 1: $nidx\ (nfuse\ ls1\ (nmap\ (\lambda x. x + cp)\ ls2))$
using assms $nidx\text{-}nfuse$ [of $ls1\ (nmap\ (\lambda x. x + cp)\ ls2)$]
using Suc-ile-eq $nidx\text{-}expand$ zero-enat-def **by** force

have 2: $cp = (nnth\ ls1\ (the-enat\ (nlength\ ls1)))$
using assms **using** nnth-nlast **by** blast

have 3: $nlength\ ls1 \leq nlength\ (nfuse\ ls1\ (nmap\ (\lambda x. x + cp)\ ls2))$
by (simp add: nfuse-nlength)

have 30: $\neg nfinite\ (nfuse\ ls1\ (nmap\ (\lambda x. x + cp)\ ls2))$
by (metis assms(8) enat-ile enat-le-plus-same(2) nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nfuse-nlength nlength-nmap)

have 5: $cp = nnth\ (nfuse\ ls1\ (nmap\ (\lambda x. x + cp)\ ls2))\ (the-enat\ (nlength\ ls1))$
by (metis 2 assms(5) enat.simps(3) enat-the-enat nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nfuse-def1 nnth-nappend not-le-imp-less order-less-imp-le)

have 50: $nlength\ (nmap\ (\lambda x. x - cp)\ (nmap\ (\lambda x. x + cp)\ ls2)) = nlength\ ls2$
by simp

have 51: $\bigwedge j. j \leq nlength\ ls2 \longrightarrow$
 $nnth\ (nmap\ (\lambda x. x - cp)\ (nmap\ (\lambda x. x + cp)\ ls2))\ j = nnth\ ls2\ j$
by simp

have 6: $(nmap\ (\lambda x. x - cp)\ (nmap\ (\lambda x. x + cp)\ ls2)) = ls2$
using 50 51 **by** (simp add: nellist-eq-nnth-eq)

have 7: $nlast\ ls1 = nfirst\ (nmap\ (\lambda x. x + cp)\ ls2)$
by (metis add-0 assms(4) assms(6) nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nfinite-ntaken nlast-NNil nlength-NNil nnth-nmap ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast the-enat.simps the-enat-0 zero-le)

have 70: $ntaken\ (the-enat\ (nlength\ ls1))\ (nfuse\ ls1\ (nmap\ (\lambda x::nat. x + cp)\ ls2)) = ls1$
by (simp add: 7 assms(5) ntaken-nfuse)

have 71: $ndropn\ (the-enat\ (nlength\ ls1))\ (nfuse\ ls1\ (nmap\ (\lambda x::nat. x + cp)\ ls2)) =$
 $nmap\ (\lambda x::nat. x + cp)\ ls2$
by (simp add: 7 assms(5) ndropn-nfuse)

have 72: $nidx\ (nfuse\ (ls1::nat nellist))\ (nmap\ (\lambda x::nat. x + (cp::nat))\ (ls2::nat nellist))$
by (simp add: 1)

have 73: $nnth\ (nfuse\ ls1\ (nmap\ (\lambda x::nat. x + cp)\ ls2))\ (0::nat) = (0::nat)$
by (metis 7 assms(2) nfuse-nnth zero-enat-def zero-le)

have 74: $enat\ (the-enat\ (nlength\ ls1)) \leq nlength\ (nfuse\ ls1\ (nmap\ (\lambda x. x + cp)\ ls2))$
by (metis 70 assms(5) enat.simps(3) enat-the-enat min-def nfinite-nlength-enat ntaken-nlength order-eq-refl)

```

have 76:  $\neg nfinite \sigma$ 
  by (simp add: assms(9))
have 80: powerinterval f  $\sigma$  (nfuse ls1 (nmap ( $\lambda x. x + cp$ ) ls2)) =
  (powerinterval f (ntaken (nnth (nfuse ls1 (nmap ( $\lambda x. x + cp$ ) ls2))) (the-enat (nlength ls1)))  $\sigma$ )
  (ntaken (the-enat (nlength ls1)) (nfuse ls1 (nmap ( $\lambda x. x + cp$ ) ls2)))  $\wedge$ 
  powerinterval f (ndropn (nnth (nfuse ls1 (nmap ( $\lambda x. x + cp$ ) ls2))) (the-enat (nlength ls1)))  $\sigma$ )
  (nmap ( $\lambda x::nat. x - nnth$  (nfuse ls1 (nmap ( $\lambda x. x + cp$ ) ls2))) (the-enat (nlength ls1)))
  (ndropn (the-enat (nlength ls1)) (nfuse ls1 (nmap ( $\lambda x. x + cp$ ) ls2)))))
using 72 73 30 74 76
using powerinterval-split-alt[of (nfuse ls1 (nmap ( $\lambda x. x + cp$ ) ls2))
  (the-enat (nlength ls1))  $\sigma$  f]
  by blast
have 81: powerinterval f (ntaken (nnth (nfuse ls1 (nmap ( $\lambda x. x + cp$ ) ls2))) (the-enat (nlength ls1)))  $\sigma$ )
  (ntaken (the-enat (nlength ls1)) (nfuse ls1 (nmap ( $\lambda x. x + cp$ ) ls2))) =
  powerinterval f (ntaken cp  $\sigma$ ) ls1
using 5 70 by auto
have 82: powerinterval f (ndropn (nnth (nfuse ls1 (nmap ( $\lambda x. x + cp$ ) ls2))) (the-enat (nlength ls1)))  $\sigma$ )
  (nmap ( $\lambda x. x - nnth$  (nfuse ls1 (nmap ( $\lambda x. x + cp$ ) ls2))) (the-enat (nlength ls1)))
  (ndropn (the-enat (nlength ls1)) (nfuse ls1 (nmap ( $\lambda x. x + cp$ ) ls2)))) =
  powerinterval f (ndropn cp  $\sigma$ ) ls2
using 5 6 71 by presburger
show ?thesis
using 80 81 82 by blast
qed

```

10.2.4 cppl lemmas

```

lemma cppl-expand:
assumes ( $\exists ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge \text{powerinterval } f \sigma ls \wedge$ 
   $((nfinite ls \wedge nfinite \sigma \wedge nlast ls = \text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma)) \vee$ 
   $(\neg nfinite ls \wedge \neg nfinite \sigma) \wedge$ 
   $((pfilter \sigma ls) \models g)$ )
shows  $nidx (\text{cppl } f g \sigma) \wedge nnth (\text{cppl } f g \sigma) 0 = 0 \wedge \text{powerinterval } f \sigma (\text{cppl } f g \sigma) \wedge$ 
   $((nfinite (\text{cppl } f g \sigma) \wedge nfinite \sigma \wedge nlast (\text{cppl } f g \sigma) = \text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma)) \vee$ 
   $(\neg nfinite (\text{cppl } f g \sigma) \wedge \neg nfinite \sigma) \wedge ((pfilter \sigma (\text{cppl } f g \sigma)) \models g)$ 
proof –
have 0:  $\text{cppl } f g \sigma = (\epsilon ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge \text{powerinterval } f \sigma ls \wedge$ 
   $((nfinite ls \wedge nfinite \sigma \wedge nlast ls = \text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma)) \vee$ 
   $(\neg nfinite ls \wedge \neg nfinite \sigma) \wedge ((pfilter \sigma ls) \models g))$ 
using cppl-def by blast
have 1: ( $\exists ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge \text{powerinterval } f \sigma ls \wedge$ 
   $((nfinite ls \wedge nfinite \sigma \wedge nlast ls = \text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma)) \vee$ 
   $(\neg nfinite ls \wedge \neg nfinite \sigma) \wedge ((pfilter \sigma ls) \models g))$ 
using assms by auto
have 2:  $nidx (\text{cppl } f g \sigma) \wedge nnth (\text{cppl } f g \sigma) 0 = 0 \wedge \text{powerinterval } f \sigma (\text{cppl } f g \sigma) \wedge$ 
   $((nfinite (\text{cppl } f g \sigma) \wedge nfinite \sigma \wedge nlast (\text{cppl } f g \sigma) = \text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma)) \vee$ 
   $(\neg nfinite (\text{cppl } f g \sigma) \wedge \neg nfinite \sigma) \wedge ((pfilter \sigma (\text{cppl } f g \sigma)) \models g)$ 
using 0 1
someI-ex[ $\lambda ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge \text{powerinterval } f \sigma ls \wedge$ 
   $((nfinite ls \wedge nfinite \sigma \wedge nlast ls = \text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma)) \vee$ 

```

```

 $(\neg nfinite ls \wedge \neg nfinite \sigma) \wedge$ 
 $((pfilt \sigma ls) \models g)] \text{ by } simp$ 
show ?thesis
using 2 by blast
qed

lemma cppl-fprojection:
 $(\sigma \models f fproj g) =$ 
 $(\text{nidx } (cppl f g \sigma) \wedge \text{nnth } (cppl f g \sigma) 0 = 0 \wedge \text{powerinterval } f \sigma (cppl f g \sigma) \wedge$ 
 $nfinite (cppl f g \sigma) \wedge nfinite \sigma \wedge$ 
 $nlast (cppl f g \sigma) = \text{the-enat}(nlength \sigma) \wedge g (pfilt \sigma (cppl f g \sigma)) )$ 
using cppl-expand[of f σ g]
by (simp add: fprojection-d-def)
      (metis nfinite-conv-nlength-enat the-enat.simps)

lemma cppl-oprojection:
 $(\sigma \models f oproj g) =$ 
 $(\text{nidx } (cppl f g \sigma) \wedge \text{nnth } (cppl f g \sigma) 0 = 0 \wedge \text{powerinterval } f \sigma (cppl f g \sigma) \wedge$ 
 $\neg nfinite (cppl f g \sigma) \wedge \neg nfinite \sigma \wedge g (pfilt \sigma (cppl f g \sigma)) )$ 
using cppl-expand by (simp add: oprojection-d-def, blast)

lemma cppl-empty:
assumes nlength σ = 0
 $(\sigma \models f fproj g)$ 
shows (cppl f g σ) = (NNil 0)
using assms cppl-fprojection[of f g σ]
by (metis gr-zeroI less-numeral-extra(3) ndropn-0 ndropn-nlast nfinite-conv-nlength-enat
      nidx-less-last-1 nnth-nlast the-enat.simps the-enat-0)

lemma cppl-empty-a:
assumes nlength σ = 0
 $(cppl f g \sigma) = NNil 0$ 
 $g(pfilt \sigma (NNil 0))$ 
shows ( $\sigma \models f fproj g$ )
proof –
have 1: nidx (NNil 0)
by (simp add: nidx-def)
have 10: nnth (NNil 0) 0 = 0
by (simp add: nnth-NNil)
have 11: nfinite (NNil 0)
by simp
have 12: nfinite σ
by (simp add: assms(1) nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD zero-enat-def)
have 2: powerinterval f σ (NNil 0)
by (simp add: powerinterval-def)
have 3: nlast (NNil 0) = nlength σ
by (simp add: assms)
have 4: g(pfilt σ (NNil 0))

```

```

using assms by blast
from 1 10 11 12 2 3 4 show ?thesis using assms
by (simp add: cppl-fprojection zero-enat-def)
qed

lemma cppl-more:
assumes nlength σ >0

$$(\sigma \models f \text{ fproj } g)$$

shows nlength(cppl f g σ) > 0
using assms
by (metis cppl-fprojection enat-add-sub-same gen-nlength-def gr-zeroI i0-ne-infinity ndropn-nlast

$$\text{ndropn-nlength nlength-NNil nlength-code nnth-nlast the-enat-0 zero-enat-def})$$


lemma cppl-more-infinite:
assumes nlength σ >0

$$(\sigma \models f \text{ oproj } g)$$

shows nlength(cppl f g σ) > 0
using assms
by (simp add: cppl-oprojection nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)

lemma cppl-more-than-first:
assumes nlength σ >0

$$(\sigma \models f \text{ fproj } g)$$

shows (nnth (cppl f g σ) 0) = 0
using assms
using cppl-fprojection by blast

lemma cppl-more-than-first-alt:
assumes nlength σ >0

$$(\sigma \models f \text{ oproj } g)$$

shows (nnth (cppl f g σ) 0) = 0
using assms
using cppl-oprojection by blast

lemma cppl-more-than-last:
assumes nlength σ >0

$$(\sigma \models f \text{ fproj } g)$$

shows nlast (cppl f g σ) = the-enat(nlength σ)
using assms cppl-fprojection by blast

lemma cppl-sub-more:
assumes n < k

$$k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma$$


$$((\text{nsubn } \sigma \ n \ k) \models f \text{ fproj } g)$$

shows nlength(cppl f g (nsubn σ n k)) > 0
using assms cppl-fprojection[of f g (nsubn σ n k)]
using cppl-more nsubn-nlength-gr-one by blast

```

lemma *cppl-bounds*:
assumes $n < k$
 $k \leq nlength \sigma$
 $((nsubn \sigma n k) \models f fproj g)$
 $i < nlength (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma n k))$
shows $0 \leq (nnth (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma n k)) i) \wedge (nnth (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma n k)) i) \leq k - n$
using *assms*
using *cppl-fprojection*[of $f g (nsubn \sigma n k)$] **using** *nsubn-nlength*[of $\sigma n k$]
by *simp*
 $(metis enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat min-def nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nidx-less-last-1 nnth-nlast order-less-imp-le the-enat.simps)$

lemma *cppl-nmap-bounds*:
assumes $n < k$
 $k \leq nlength \sigma$
 $((nsubn \sigma n k) \models f fproj g)$
 $i < nlength (nmap (\lambda x. x + n) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma n k)))$
shows $n \leq (nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x + n) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma n k))) i) \wedge (nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x + n) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma n k))) i) \leq k$
using *assms*
using *cppl-bounds* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *cppl-nfirst*:
assumes $(nsubn \sigma x1a (nfirst ls) \models f fproj g)$
shows $nfirst((nmap (\lambda x. x + x1a) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma x1a (nfirst ls)))) = x1a$
proof –
have 1: $(nidx (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma x1a (nfirst ls))) \wedge nnth (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma x1a (nfirst ls))) 0 = 0 \wedge powerinterval f (nsubn \sigma x1a (nfirst ls)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma x1a (nfirst ls))) \wedge nfinite (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma x1a (nfirst ls))) \wedge nfinite (nsubn \sigma x1a (nfirst ls)) \wedge nlast (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma x1a (nfirst ls))) = the-enat(nlength (nsubn \sigma x1a (nfirst ls))) \wedge g (pfilt (nsubn \sigma x1a (nfirst ls)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma x1a (nfirst ls)))))$
using *cppl-fprojection assms* **by** *auto*
have 3: $(nnth (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma x1a (nfirst ls))) 0) = 0$
by (*simp add: 1*)
show ?thesis
by (*metis 3 add-cancel-right-left nfirst-eq-nnth-zero nnth-nmap zero-enat-def zero-le*)
qed

lemma *cppl-nfirst-same*:
assumes $(nsubn \sigma x1a x1a) \models f fproj g$
shows $nfirst((nmap (\lambda x. x + x1a) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma x1a x1a)))) = x1a$
proof –
have 1: $nfirst (NNil x1a) = x1a$
by (*metis NNil-eq-ntake-iff nellist.inject(1)*)
from 1 *cppl-nfirst* **show** ?thesis
by (*metis assms*)
qed

lemma *cppl-nlast*:

assumes $((nsubn \sigma x (nfirst ls)) \models f fproj g)$
 $x < nfirst ls$
 $nfirst ls \leq nlength \sigma$

shows $nlast((nmap (\lambda y. y + x) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma x (nfirst ls)))) = nfirst ls$

proof –

have 01: $(nidx (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma x (nfirst ls))) \wedge$
 $nnth (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma x (nfirst ls))) 0 = 0 \wedge$
 $powerinterval f (nsubn \sigma x (nfirst ls)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma x (nfirst ls))) \wedge$
 $nfinite (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma x (nfirst ls))) \wedge nfinite (nsubn \sigma x (nfirst ls)) \wedge$
 $nlast (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma x (nfirst ls))) = the-enat(nlength (nsubn \sigma x (nfirst ls))) \wedge$
 $g (pfilter (nsubn \sigma x (nfirst ls)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma x (nfirst ls)))))$

using *cppl-fprojection assms* by (*simp add: cppl-fprojection*)

have 02: $nlast (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma x (nfirst ls))) =$
 $the-enat(nlength (nsubn \sigma x (nfirst ls)))$

using 01 by *auto*

have 04: $x < nfirst ls$

using *assms* by *blast*

have 05: $nlength (nsubn \sigma x (nfirst ls)) = (nfirst ls) - x$

using *assms* by (*metis enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat min.orderE nsubn-nlength*)

have 06: $nlast((nmap (\lambda y. y + x) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma x (nfirst ls)))) =$
 $((nfirst ls) - x) + x$

using 01 05 by *auto*

show ?thesis **using** 04 06 by *auto*

qed

lemma *cppl-nlast-i*:

assumes $((nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \models f fproj g)$
 $(nnth ls i) < (nnth ls (Suc i))$
 $(nnth ls (Suc i)) \leq nlength \sigma$

shows $nlast((nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls i)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))))) =$
 $(nnth ls (Suc i))$

proof –

have 01: $(nidx (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) \wedge$
 $nnth (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) 0 = 0 \wedge$
 $powerinterval f (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))$
 $\quad (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) \wedge$
 $nfinite (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) \wedge$
 $nfinite (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \wedge$
 $nlast (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) =$
 $\quad the-enat(nlength (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) \wedge$
 $g (pfilter (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))$
 $\quad (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))))$

using *assms* by (*simp add: cppl-fprojection*)

have 02: $nlast (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) =$
 $the-enat(nlength (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))$

using 01 by *auto*

have 04: $(nnth ls i) < (nnth ls (Suc i))$

by (*simp add: assms*)

have 05: $nlength (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) = (nnth ls (Suc i)) - (nnth ls i)$

```

by (metis assms(3) enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat min.orderE nsubn-nlength)
have 06: nlast((nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls i)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))))) =
          ((nnth ls (Suc i)) - (nnth ls i)) + (nnth ls i)
using 01 05 by auto
show ?thesis using 04 06 by auto
qed

```

10.2.5 lcppl lemmas

```

lemma lcppl-nnth:
assumes nidx ls
  i < nlength ls
shows  (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i) =
        (nmap (λx .x + (nnth ls i)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))))
using assms
proof (induct i arbitrary: ls)
case 0
then show ?case
  proof (cases ls)
  case (NNil x1)
  then show ?thesis
  using 0.prems(2) by auto
next
case (NCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis using NCons
  proof (cases is-NNil x22)
  case True
  then show ?thesis using NCons by simp
    (metis is-NNil-def lcppl-code(2) nnth-NNil)
  next
  case False
  then show ?thesis using NCons by simp
    (metis lcppl-code(3) nellist.collapse(2) nnth-0)
  qed
qed
next
case (Suc i)
then show ?case
  proof (cases ls)
  case (NNil x1)
  then show ?thesis
  using Suc.prems(2) by auto
next
case (NCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis
  proof (cases is-NNil x22)
  case True
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis NCons Suc.prems(2) enat-0-iff(1) ile0-eq illess-Suc-eq nat.simps(3) nellist.collapse(1)
      nlength-NCons nlength-NNil)

```

```

next
case False
then show ?thesis using NCons by simp
  (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc(1) Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(2) Suc-ile-eq illess-Suc-eq
   lcppl-code(3) nellist.collapse(2) nellist.sel(5) nidx-expand nlength-NCons nnth-ntl)
qed
qed
qed

lemma lcppl-nlength:
assumes nfinite ls
  nidx ls
  nlength ls > 0
shows nlength(lcppl f g σ ls) = (epred (nlength ls))
using assms
proof (induct ls rule: nfinite-induct)
case (NNil y)
then show ?case by simp
next
case (NCons x ls)
then show ?case
  proof (cases is-NNil ls)
  case True
  then show ?thesis using NCons
  by (metis co.enat.sel(2) lcppl-code(2) nellist.collapse(1) nlength-NCons nlength-NNil)
next
case False
then show ?thesis using NCons unfolding nidx-expand by simp
  (metis NCons.prems(1) co.enat.exhaustsel lcppl-code(3) ndropn-0 ndropn-nlast nellist.collapse(2)
   nellist.disc(1) nidx-LCons-1 nidx-expand nlength-NCons the-enat-0)
qed
qed

lemma lcppl-nfinite:
assumes nidx ls
shows nfinite ls ↔ nfinite(lcppl f g σ ls) (is ?lhs ↔ ?rhs)
proof
assume a: ?lhs
show ?rhs
  using a assms
  proof (induct ls rule: nfinite-induct)
  case (NNil y)
  then show ?case by simp
next
case (NCons x ls)
then show ?case
  proof (cases is-NNil ls)
  case True
  then show ?thesis using NCons

```

```

by simp
next
case False
then show ?thesis using NCons by simp
  (metis lcppl-code(3) nellist.collapse(2) nfinite-NConsI)
qed
qed

next
assume b: ?rhs
show ?lhs
using b assms
proof (induct zs==lcppl f g σ ls arbitrary: ls rule: nfinite-induct)
case (NNil y)
then show ?case
by (metis is-NNil-imp-nfinite lcppl.disc(2) nellist.disc(1) nfinite-ntl)
next
case (NCons x ls1)
then show ?case
proof (cases is-NNil ls1)
case True
then show ?thesis using NCons
  by (metis is-NNil-imp-nfinite lcppl-code(3) nellist.collapse(2) nellist.disc(2) nellist.sel(5)
      nfinite-ntl)
next
case False
then show ?thesis using NCons unfolding nidx-expand
  by (metis NCons.hyps(2) NCons.prems is-NNil-imp-nfinite lcppl-code(3) nellist.collapse(2)
      nellist.inject(2) nfinite-ntl nidx-LCons-1)
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma lcppl-nlength-alt:

assumes $\neg \text{nfinite } ls$

shows $nlength(lcppl f g \sigma ls) = (\text{epred } (nlength ls))$

proof –

have 1: $\neg \text{nfinite } (lcppl f g \sigma ls)$

using assms(1) assms(2) lcppl-nfinite **by** blast

have 2: $\text{epred } (nlength ls) = \infty$

using assms

by (metis enat2-cases epred-Infty nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD)

have 3: $nlength (lcppl f g \sigma ls) = \infty$

by (meson 1 enat2-cases nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD)

show ?thesis

by (simp add: 2 3)

qed

```

lemma lcppl-nlength-zero:
assumes nidx ls
  nlength ls = 0
shows nlength(lcppl f g σ ls) = 0
using assms
by (metis (no-types, lifting) is-NNil-def lcppl.ctr(1) le-numeral-extra(3) nlength-NNil
  ntaken-0 ntaken-all zero-enat-def)

lemma lcppl-nlast:
assumes nidx ls
  nfinite ls
  nfinite σ
  nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ)
  nlength ls > 0
shows nlast (lcppl f g σ ls) =
  (nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls (the-enat(epred(nlength ls))))))
   (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls (the-enat(epred(nlength ls)))))))
   (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls))) )
proof -
have 1: nlast (lcppl f g σ ls) = (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) ((the-enat(nlength (lcppl f g σ ls))))) )
  using assms lcppl-nfinite nnth-nlast by blast
have 2: nlast (lcppl f g σ ls) =
  (nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls ((the-enat(nlength (lcppl f g σ ls)))))))
   (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls ((the-enat(nlength (lcppl f g σ ls)))))))
   (nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength (lcppl f g σ ls))))))
  ))
  using assms lcppl-nnth[of ls ((the-enat(nlength (lcppl f g σ ls))))] f g σ]
  lcppl-nlength[of ls f g σ]
  by (metis 1 co.enat.exhaust-sel eSuc-enat enat-ord-simps(2) lcppl-nfinite less-add-same-cancel2
    nfinite-nlength-enat plus-1-eq-Suc the-enat.simps zero-less-Suc zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
have 3: (nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength (lcppl f g σ ls))))))
  (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls ((the-enat(nlength (lcppl f g σ ls)))))))
  (nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength (lcppl f g σ ls))))))
  )) =
  (nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls ((the-enat(epred(nlength ls)))))))
   (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls ((the-enat(epred(nlength ls)))))))
   (nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(epred(nlength ls)))))))
  )
  using assms lcppl-nlength
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) nellist.map-cong0)
have 4: (Suc (the-enat(epred(nlength ls)))) = (the-enat(nlength ls))
  by (metis assms(2) assms(5) co.enat.exhaust-sel enat.distinct(2) epred-Infty infinity-ne-i0
    nfinite-conv-nlength-enat not-gr-zero the-enat-eSuc)
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 4 by presburger
qed

lemma lcppl-nlast-nlast:
assumes nidx ls
  nfinite ls
  nfinite σ

```

```

nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ)
((nsubn σ (nnth ls (the-enat(epred(nlength ls)))) ) (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls)))) ) |= f fproj g
nlength ls > 0
shows nlast (nlast (lcppl f g σ ls)) = the-enat(nlength σ)
proof -
have 2: (nlast (lcppl f g σ ls)) =
  (nmap (λx. x+ (nnth ls (the-enat(epred(nlength ls))))))
    (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls (the-enat(epred(nlength ls))))))
      (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls))) )
using assms lcppl-nlast[of ls σ f g] by blast
have 3: nlast (nmap (λx. x+ (nnth ls (the-enat(epred(nlength ls))))))
  (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls (the-enat(epred(nlength ls))))))
    (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls))) ) =
  (λx. x+ (nnth ls (the-enat(epred(nlength ls)))) )
  (nlast (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls (the-enat(epred(nlength ls)))))))
    (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls))) )
using nnth-nmap assms by (simp add: cppl-fprojection)
have 4: nnth ls (the-enat(epred(nlength ls))) < nnth ls (Suc(the-enat(epred(nlength ls))))
using assms unfolding nidx-expand
by (metis Suc-ile-eq co.enat.exhaust-sel eSuc-enat.enat.simps(3) enat-ord-simps(2) enat-the-enat
i0-less i0-ne-infinity lessI nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
have 5: enat(nnth ls (Suc(the-enat(epred(nlength ls)))))) ≤ nlength σ
using assms
by (metis Orderings.order-eq-iff co.enat.exhaust-sel enat.simps(3) enat-the-enat epred-simps(5)
i0-less i0-ne-infinity nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nnth-nlast the-enat-eSuc)
have 6: nlast (nmap (λx. x+ (nnth ls (the-enat(epred(nlength ls))))))
  (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls (the-enat(epred(nlength ls))))))
    (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls))) ) =
  nnth ls (Suc(the-enat(epred(nlength ls)))) )
using cppl-nlast-i[of f g σ ls (the-enat(epred(nlength ls)))] assms 4 5
by (metis co.enat.exhaust-sel enat.simps(3) epred-simps(5) i0-less i0-ne-infinity
nfinite-conv-nlength-enat the-enat-eSuc)
have 7: nnth ls (Suc(the-enat(epred(nlength ls)))) = the-enat(nlength σ)
using assms
by (metis co.enat.collapse enat-eSuc-iff nfinite-nlength-enat nnth-nlast the-enat.simps
zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
show ?thesis
by (simp add: 2 6 7)
qed

```

lemma lcppl-zero-zero:

```

assumes nidx ls
  nfinite ls
  nfinite σ
  nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ)
  nlength ls = 0
shows (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) 0) =
  (nmap (λx. x+ (nnth ls 0)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls 0) (nnth ls 0))))
proof (cases ls)
case (NNil x1)

```

```

then show ?thesis
by (metis lcppl-code(1) nnth-NNil)
next
case (NCons x21 ls)
then show ?thesis
using assms(5) by auto
qed

lemma lcppl-nfirst:
assumes nidx ls
  nfinite ls
  nfinite σ
  nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ)
  (forall i. i < nlength ls —> ((nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ⊨ f fproj g))
  nlength ls > 0
shows nfirst(nfirst((lcppl f g σ ls))) = nfirst ls
proof –
have 01: (nfirst((lcppl f g σ ls))) =
  (nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls 0)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls 0) (nnth ls (Suc 0))))) 
  using assms
  by (metis lcppl-nnth ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst zero-enat-def)
have 02: nlength ls > 0 —>
  nfirst((nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls 0)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls 0) (nnth ls (Suc 0)))))) =
  (nnth ls 0)
  using assms 01 cppl-fprojection[of f g]
  by (metis cppl-nfirst enat-0-iff(1) ndropn-nfirst)
show ?thesis
using 01 02 assms
by (metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst)
qed

lemma lcppl-nfirst-alt:
assumes nidx ls
  ¬nfinite ls
  ¬nfinite σ
  (forall i. i < nlength ls —> ((nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ⊨ f fproj g))
shows nfirst(nfirst((lcppl f g σ ls))) = nfirst ls
proof –
have 01: (nfirst((lcppl f g σ ls))) =
  (nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls 0)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls 0) (nnth ls (Suc 0))))) 
  using assms
  by (metis i0-less lcppl-nnth nfinite-ntaken nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD nnth-NNil nnth-nlast
    ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast zero-enat-def)
have 02: nfirst((nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls 0)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls 0) (nnth ls (Suc 0)))))) =
  (nnth ls 0)
  using assms 01 cppl-fprojection[of f g]
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-0 gr-zeroI ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD
    nnth-nmap zero-enat-def zero-le)
show ?thesis
using 01 02 assms

```

```

by (metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst)
qed

lemma lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst:
assumes nfinite ls
nidx ls
nfinite σ
nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ)
((nlength ls = 0 ∧ ((nsubn σ (nnth ls 0) (nnth ls 0)) ⊢ f fproj g)) ∨
 (nlength ls > 0 ∧ (∀ i. i < nlength ls → ((nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ⊢ f fproj g))))
shows nlastnfirst (lcppl f g σ ls)
proof (cases is-NNil ls)
case True
then show ?thesis
by (metis is-NNil-def lcppl-code(1) nlastnfirst-NNil)
next
case False
then show ?thesis
proof (auto simp add: nlastnfirst-def1)
fix i
assume a: enat (Suc i) ≤ nlength (lcppl f g σ ls)
assume b: ¬ is-NNil ls
show nlast (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i) = nfirst (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) (Suc i))
proof -
have 1: nlength ls > 0
by (metis a assms(2) assms(5) enat.inject ile0-eq lcppl-nlength-zero old.nat.distinct(1)
zero-enat-def)
have 2: (∀ i. i < nlength ls → ((nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ⊢ f fproj g))
using assms(5) by auto
have 3: nidx ls
by (simp add: assms(2))
have 4: i < nlength ls
by (metis 1 3 Suc-ile-eq a assms(1) co.enat.exhaust-sel dual-order.order-iff-strict
iless-Suc-eq lcppl-nlength less-numeral-extra(3))
have 5: (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i) =
nmap (λx::nat. x + nnth ls i) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))
using lcppl-nnth[of ls i f g σ]
using 3 4 by blast
have 6: (Suc i) < nlength ls
by (metis 1 3 a assms(1) co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq lcppl-nlength less-numeral-extra(3))
have 7: (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) (Suc i)) =
nmap (λx::nat. x + nnth ls (Suc i)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls (Suc i)) (nnth ls (Suc (Suc i)))))
using lcppl-nnth[of ls Suc i f g σ]
using 3 6 by blast
have 71: nnth ls i < nnth ls (Suc i)
using assms nidx-expand[of ls] 6 order-less-imp-le by blast
have 72: enat (nnth ls (Suc i)) ≤ nlength σ
using assms
by (metis 3 6 dual-order.order-iff-strict enat-ord-simps(1) nfinite-conv-nlength-enat

```

```

nidx-less-last-1 nnth-nlast the-enat.simps)
have 8: nlast (nmap (λx::nat. x + nnth ls i) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))) = 
  (nnth ls (Suc i))
  using assms 2 3 4 5 6 cppl-nlast-i[of f g σ ls i]
  using 71 72 by blast
have 9: nfirst (nmap (λx::nat. x + nnth ls (Suc i))
  (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls (Suc i)) (nnth ls (Suc (Suc i)))))) = 
  (nnth ls (Suc i))
  using 2 6 cppl-fprojection[of f g]
  by (metis add-0 nlast-NNil nnth-nmap ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast zero-enat-def zero-order(1))
show ?thesis using 8 9 5 7 by presburger
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst-alt:

```

assumes ¬nfinite ls
  nidx ls
  ¬nfinite σ
  (forall i. i < nlength ls → ((nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ⊨ f fproj g))
shows nlastnfirst (lcppl f g σ ls)
proof (auto simp add: nlastnfirst-def1)
fix i
assume a: enat (Suc i) ≤ nlength (lcppl f g σ ls)
show nlast (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i) = nfirst (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) (Suc i))
proof –
  have 2: (forall i. i < nlength ls → ((nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ⊨ f fproj g))
    using assms by auto
  have 3: nidx ls
    by (simp add: assms)
  have 4: i < nlength ls
    by (meson assms(1) enat-less linorder-less-linear nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
  have 5: (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i) = 
    nmap (λx::nat. x + nnth ls i) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))
    using lcppl-nnth[of ls i f g σ]
    using 3 4 by blast
  have 6: (Suc i) < nlength ls
    by (metis 4 assms(1) eSuc-enat ileI1 nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD order-neq-le-trans)
  have 7: (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) (Suc i)) = 
    nmap (λx::nat. x + nnth ls (Suc i))
    (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls (Suc i)) (nnth ls (Suc (Suc i)))))
    using lcppl-nnth[of ls Suc i f g σ]
    using 3 6 by blast
  have 8: nlast (nmap (λx::nat. x + nnth ls i) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))) = 
    (nnth ls (Suc i))
    using assms 2 3 4 5 6
    by (simp add: cppl-nlast-i nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nidx-expand)
  have 9: nfirst (nmap (λx::nat. x + nnth ls (Suc i))
    (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls (Suc i)) (nnth ls (Suc (Suc i)))))) = 
    (nnth ls (Suc i))

```

```

using 2 6 cppl-fprojection[of f g ]
by (metis add-0 nlast-NNil nnth-nmap ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast zero-enat-def zero-order(1))
show ?thesis using 8 9 5 7 by presburger
qed
qed

lemma lcppl-nfusecat-nlength:
assumes nidx ls
  nfinite ls
  nfinite σ
  nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ)
  ((nlength ls = 0 ∧ ((nsubn σ (nnth ls 0) (nnth ls 0) ⊨ f fproj g))) ∨
   (nlength ls > 0 ∧ (∀ i. i < nlength ls → ((nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ⊨ f fproj g))))
shows (nlength ls = 0 → nlength(nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls)) = 0) ∧
  (nlength ls > 0 →
   nlength(nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls)) =
   ((∑ k=0..(the-enat(epred(nlength ls))). ((nlength (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) k))))))

proof –
have 1: nlastnfirst (lcppl f g σ ls)
using assms lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst by blast
have 20: nlength ls = 0 →
  (lcppl f g σ ls) =
  (NNil ((nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls 0)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls 0) (nnth ls 0))))))
by (metis ile0-eq lcppl-code(1) nellist-eq-nnth-eq nlength-NNil nnth-NNil the-enat.simps
      the-enat-0)
have 2: nlength ls = 0 →
  nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls) =
  ((nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls 0)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls 0) (nnth ls 0)))))
using 20 nfusecat-NNil by auto
have 3: nlength ls = 0 →
  nlength ((nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls 0)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls 0) (nnth ls 0))))) = 0
using assms
by (metis cppl-empty diff-self-eq-0 idiff-enat-enat less-numeral-extra(3) min.orderE ndropn-nlast
      ndropn-nlength nlength-NNil nlength-nmap nnth-nlast not-le-imp-less nsubn-nlength the-enat-0
      zero-enat-def)
have 4: nlength ls = 0 → nlength(nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls)) = 0
using 2 3 by auto
have 5: nfinite (lcppl f g σ ls)
using assms(1) assms(2) lcppl-nfinite by blast
have 6: all-nfinite (lcppl f g σ ls)
by (metis (no-types, lifting) 20 all-nfinite-nnth-a assms(1) assms(2) assms(5) co.enat.exhaust-sel
      cppl-fprojection illess-Suc-eq lcppl-nlength lcppl-nnth nfinite-nmap nnth-NNil
      not-less-iff-gr-or-eq)
have 7: nlength (lcppl f g σ ls) = (epred(nlength ls))
by (metis assms(1) assms(2) assms(5) epred-0 lcppl-nlength lcppl-nlength-zero)
have 8: nlength ls > 0 →
  nlength(nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls)) =
  ((∑ k=0..(the-enat(epred(nlength ls))). ((nlength (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) k)))))

using nfusecat-nlength-nfinite[of (lcppl f g σ ls)] 5 6 7 1 by presburger
show ?thesis

```

```

using 4 8 by blast
qed

lemma lcppl-nfusecat-nidx:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  nfinite ls
  nfinite σ
  nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ)
  (∀ i < nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ) ⊢ f fproj g
  nlength ls > 0
shows nidx (nfusecat ((lcppl f g σ ls)))
proof -
have 0: nlength σ > 0 → nlength ls > 0
  using assms by auto
have 2: nlength σ > 0 → nlastnfirst (lcppl f g σ ls)
  using assms lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst by blast
have 3: (∀ i < nlength ls. nidx (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)) )))
  using assms cppl-fprojection by auto
have 4: (∀ i < nlength ls.
  nidx (nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls i)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)) ))))
  using 3 by (simp add: Suc-ile-eq nidx-expand)
have 5: nlength σ > 0 →
  (∀ i < nlength ls. nidx (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i) )
  using assms by (simp add: 4 lcppl-nnth)
have 6: nlength σ > 0 → nlength (lcppl f g σ ls) = epred(nlength ls)
  using assms lcppl-nlength by blast
have 7: nlength σ > 0 →
  (∀ i ≤ nlength ls.
    nidx (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i) )
  using 5 assms
by (metis 6 Extended-Nat.eSuc-mono antisym-conv2 co.enat.exhaust-sel enat-the-enat epred-simps(5)
      i0-ne-infinity iless-Suc-eq lcppl-nfinite nnth-beyond nnth-nlast)
have 68: ∀ i. i < nlength ls ⇒ 0 < nlength (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ⇒
  (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ⊢ (f fproj g) ⇒
  0 < nlength (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))
  using cppl-more by blast
have 69: ∀ i. i < nlength ls ⇒ 0 < nlength (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))
  using assms unfolding nidx-expand by simp
  (metis assms(1) dual-order.order-iff-strict eSuc-enat enat-ord-simps(2) ileI1 less-numeral-extra(3)
    nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nidx-less-last-1 nnth-nlast nsubn-nlength-gr-one the-enat.simps)
have 70: nlength σ > 0 → (∀ i < nlength ls. (0::enat) < nlength (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i))
  using lcppl-nnth[of ls - f g σ] 68 69 assms(1) assms(6) by auto
have 700: nlength σ > 0 → (∀ lx ∈ nset (lcppl f g σ ls). (0::enat) < nlength lx)
  using 70 assms
  by (metis co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq in-nset-conv-nnth lcppl-nlength less-numeral-extra(3))
have 71: nlength σ > 0 → (∀ lx ∈ nset (lcppl f g σ ls). nfinite lx)
  using assms unfolding cppl-fprojection
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) co.enat.exhaust-sel i0-less iless-Suc-eq in-nset-conv-nnth
    lcppl-nlength lcppl-nnth nfinite-nmap)

```

have 8: $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$
 $nidx (nfusecat ((lcppl f g \sigma ls)))$
 using assms $nidx-nfusecat[of ((lcppl f g \sigma ls))]$ 700 71
 by (metis 2 5 co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq lcppl-nlength zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
from 8 **show** ?thesis **using** assms
by (metis gr-zeroI less-numeral-extra(3) nfinite-nlength-enat nidx-less-last-1 nnth-nlast
 the-enat.simps zero-enat-def)
qed

lemma lcppl-nfusecat-nidx-alt:
assumes $nidx ls$
 $nnth ls 0 = 0$
 $\neg nfinite ls$
 $\neg nfinite \sigma$
 $(\forall i < nlength ls. (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \models f fproj g)$
shows $nidx (nfusecat ((lcppl f g \sigma ls)))$
proof –
have 2: $nlastnfirst (lcppl f g \sigma ls)$
 using assms lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst-alt **by** blast
have 3: $(\forall i < nlength ls. nidx (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))))$
 using assms cppl-fprojection **by** auto
have 4: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $nidx (nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls i)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))))$
 using 3 **by** (simp add: Suc-ile-eq nidx-expand)
have 5: $(\forall i < nlength ls. nidx (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i))$
 using assms **by** (simp add: 4 lcppl-nnth)
have 7: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $nidx (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i))$
 using 5 **by** simp
have 60: $\bigwedge j. (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls j) (nnth ls (Suc j))) \models (f fproj g)$
 by (metis assms(3) assms(5) leI nfinite-ntaken ntaken-all)
have 61: $\bigwedge j. nnth ls j < nnth ls (Suc j)$
 by (metis Suc-ile-eq assms(1) assms(3) nfinite-ntaken nidx-expand not-le-imp-less ntaken-all)
have 62: $\bigwedge j. enat (nnth ls (Suc j)) \leq nlength \sigma$
 by (meson assms(4) enat-iless enat-less-imp-le nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
have 63: $\bigwedge j. nlast (nmap (\lambda x::nat. x + nnth ls j) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls j) (nnth ls (Suc j))))) = nnth ls (Suc j)$
 using 60 61 62 cppl-nlast-i **by** blast
have 68: $\bigwedge i. i < nlength ls \implies 0 < nlength (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \implies$
 $(nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \models (f fproj g) \implies$
 $0 < nlength (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))$
 using cppl-more **by** blast
have 69: $\bigwedge i. i < nlength ls \implies 0 < nlength (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))$
 using assms unfolding nidx-expand **using** 61 62 nsubn-nlength-gr-one **by** blast
have 700: $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow (\forall i < nlength ls. (0::enat) < nlength (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i))$
 using lcppl-nnth[of ls - f g \sigma] 68 69 assms **by** (simp)
have 701: $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow (\forall lx \in nset (lcppl f g \sigma ls). (0::enat) < nlength lx)$
 using 700 assms
by (metis co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq in-nset-conv-nnth lcppl-nlength-alt
 nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD zero-enat-def)

```

have 70:  $(\forall lx \in nset (lcppl f g \sigma ls). (0::enat) < nlength lx)$ 
  using 701 assms nfinite-conv-nlength-enat zero-enat-def by fastforce
have 71:  $(\forall lx \in nset (lcppl f g \sigma ls). nfinite lx)$ 
  using assms
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) co.enat.exhaust-sel cppl-fprojection iless-Suc-eq in-nset-conv-nnth
      lcppl-nlength-alt lcppl-nnth nfinite-nmap nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD zero-enat-def)
have 8: nidx (nfusecat ((lcppl f g \sigma ls)))
  using assms nidx-nfusecat[of ((lcppl f g \sigma ls))] 70 71
  by (metis 2 7 co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq lcppl-nlength-alt
      nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD zero-enat-def)
from 8 show ?thesis using assms by blast
qed

lemma lcppl-nlength-all-nfinite:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  nfinite ls
  nfinite \sigma
  nlast ls = the-enat(nlength \sigma)
  ( $\forall i < nlength ls. (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \models f \ fproj \ g$ )
  nlength \sigma > 0
shows  $(\forall j \leq nlength (lcppl f g \sigma ls). nfinite(nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) j))$ 
proof
fix j
show  $j \leq nlength (lcppl f g \sigma ls) \longrightarrow nfinite (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) j)$ 
proof -
have 1: nlength \sigma > 0  $\longrightarrow$  nlastnfirst (lcppl f g \sigma ls)
  using assms
  by (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat i0-less lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst
      nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nnth-nlast the-enat-0)
have 2: nlength \sigma > 0  $\longrightarrow$  nlength ls > 0
  using assms
  by (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat gr-zeroI nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nnth-nlast the-enat-0)
have 3: nlength \sigma > 0  $\longrightarrow$  j  $\leq$  nlength (lcppl f g \sigma ls)  $\longrightarrow$ 
  (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) j) =
  (nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls j)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls j) (nnth ls (Suc j)))))  

  using assms lcppl-nnth[of ls j f g \sigma]
  by (metis 2 co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq lcppl-nlength not-gr-zero)
have 4: nlength \sigma > 0  $\longrightarrow$  j  $\leq$  nlength (lcppl f g \sigma ls)  $\longrightarrow$ 
  nfinite (nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls j)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls j) (nnth ls (Suc j)))))  

  using assms 2
  by (metis co.enat.exhaust-sel cppl-fprojection iless-Suc-eq lcppl-nlength nfinite-nmap not-gr-zero)
show ?thesis
using 3 4 assms(7) by presburger
qed
qed

lemma lcppl-nlength-all-gr-zero:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0

```

```

nfinite ls
nfinite σ
nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ)
(∀ i < nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ⊨ f fproj g)
nlength σ > 0
shows (∀ j ≤ nlength (lcppl f g σ ls). nlength(nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) j) > 0)
proof
fix j
show j ≤ nlength (lcppl f g σ ls) → 0 < nlength ( nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) j)
proof –
have 1: nlength σ > 0 → nlastnfirst (lcppl f g σ ls)
using assms
by (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat i0-less lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst
nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nnth-nlast the-enat-0)
have 2: nlength σ > 0 → nlength ls > 0
using assms
by (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat gr-zeroI nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nnth-nlast the-enat-0)
have 3: nlength σ > 0 → j ≤ nlength (lcppl f g σ ls) →
(nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) j) =
(nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls j)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls j) (nnth ls (Suc j)))))
using assms lcppl-nnth[of ls j f g σ ]
by (metis 2 co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq lcppl-nlength not-gr-zero)
have 4: nlength σ > 0 → j ≤ nlength (lcppl f g σ ls) →
nlength (nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls j)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls j) (nnth ls (Suc j))))) > 0
using assms 2 cppl-more[of (nsubn σ (nnth ls j) (nnth ls (Suc j))) f g ]
by simp
(metis co.enat.exhaust-sel eSuc-enat enat-le-plus-same(2) enat-ord-simps(1) gen-nlength-def
i0-less ileI1 iless-Suc-eq lcppl-nlength nfinite-nlength-enat nidx-expand nidx-less-eq
nlength-code nnth-nlast nsubn-nlength-gr-one the-enat.simps)
show ?thesis
using 3 4 assms(7) by presburger
qed
qed

```

```

lemma lcppl-nlength-all-nfinite-alt:
assumes nidx ls
nnth ls 0 = 0
¬nfinite ls
¬nfinite σ
(∀ i < nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ⊨ f fproj g)
shows (∀ j ≤ nlength (lcppl f g σ ls). nfinite(nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) j) )
proof
fix j
show j ≤ nlength (lcppl f g σ ls) → nfinite ( nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) j)
proof –
have 1: nlastnfirst (lcppl f g σ ls)
using assms
using lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst-alt by blast
have 3: j ≤ nlength (lcppl f g σ ls) →
(nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) j) =

```

```

(nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls j)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls j) (nnth ls (Suc j)))))
using assms lcppl-nnth[of ls j f g σ] by (metis leI nfinite-ntaken ntaken-all)
have 4: j ≤ nlength (lcppl f g σ ls) →
  nfinite (nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls j)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls j) (nnth ls (Suc j)))))
using assms
by (metis Suc-ile-eq cppl-fprojection linorder-le-cases nfinite-nmap nfinite-ntaken ntaken-all)
show ?thesis
using 3 4 assms by presburger
qed
qed

```

```

lemma lcppl-nlength-all-gr-zero-alt:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  ¬nfinite ls
  ¬nfinite σ
  (∀ i < nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ⊨ f fproj g)
shows (∀ j ≤ nlength (lcppl f g σ ls). nlength(nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) j) > 0)
proof
fix j
show j ≤ nlength (lcppl f g σ ls) → 0 < nlength (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) j)
proof -
have 1: nlastnfirst (lcppl f g σ ls)
  using assms
  using lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst-alt by blast
have 3: j ≤ nlength (lcppl f g σ ls) →
  (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) j) =
    (nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls j)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls j) (nnth ls (Suc j)))))
  using assms lcppl-nnth[of ls j f g σ] by (metis leI nfinite-ntaken ntaken-all)
have 4: j ≤ nlength (lcppl f g σ ls) →
  nlength (nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls j)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls j) (nnth ls (Suc j))))) > 0
  using assms
  by (metis Suc-ile-eq cppl-more enat-ile linorder-le-cases nfinite-conv-nlength-enat
      nidx-expand nlength-nmap nsubn-nlength-gr-one)
show ?thesis
using 3 4 assms by presburger
qed
qed

```

```

lemma lcppl-nlast-nnth:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  nfinite ls
  nfinite σ
  nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ)
  (∀ i < nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ⊨ f fproj g)
  nlength σ > 0
  j ≤ nlength (lcppl f g σ ls)
shows nlast(nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) j) = (nnth ls (Suc j))
proof -

```

```

have 0:  $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow nlength ls > 0$ 
  using assms
  by (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat nfinite-nlength-enat nnth-nlast the-enat-0
    zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
have 1:  $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$ 
   $j \leq nlength (lcppl f g \sigma ls) \rightarrow$ 
   $nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) j =$ 
   $(nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls j)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls j) (nnth ls (Suc j))))))$ 
  using assms lcppl-nnth[of ls j f g \sigma]
  by (metis 0 co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq lcppl-nlength not-gr-zero)
have 2:  $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$ 
   $j \leq nlength (lcppl f g \sigma ls) \rightarrow (nnth ls (Suc j)) \leq nlength \sigma$ 
  using assms
  by (metis dual-order.eq-iff enat.simps(3) enat-ord-simps(1) enat-the-enat
    nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nidx-all-le-nlast nnth-beyond not-le-imp-less)
have 3:  $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$ 
   $j \leq nlength (lcppl f g \sigma ls) \rightarrow$ 
   $nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls j)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls j) (nnth ls (Suc j)))))) =$ 
   $(nnth ls (Suc j))$ 
  using assms cppl-nlast-i[of f g \sigma ls j]
  by (metis 0 2 Suc-ile-eq co.enat.exhaust-sel i0-less iless-Suc-eq lcppl-nlength nidx-expand)
show ?thesis using 1 3 assms
by presburger
qed

```

```

lemma lcppl-nlast-nnth-alt:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
   $\neg nfinite ls$ 
   $\neg nfinite \sigma$ 
   $(\forall i < nlength ls. (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \models f fproj g)$ 
   $j \leq nlength (lcppl f g \sigma ls)$ 
shows nlast(nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) j) = (nnth ls (Suc j))
proof -
have 1:
   $j \leq nlength (lcppl f g \sigma ls) \rightarrow$ 
   $nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) j =$ 
   $(nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls j)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls j) (nnth ls (Suc j))))))$ 

```

```

  using assms lcppl-nnth[of ls j f g \sigma] by (metis leI nfinite-ntaken ntaken-all)
have 2:
   $j \leq nlength (lcppl f g \sigma ls) \rightarrow (nnth ls (Suc j)) \leq nlength \sigma$ 
  using assms
  by (simp add: nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
have 3:  $j \leq nlength (lcppl f g \sigma ls) \rightarrow$ 
   $nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls j)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls j) (nnth ls (Suc j)))))) =$ 
   $(nnth ls (Suc j))$ 
  using assms cppl-nlast-i[of f g \sigma ls j]
  by (metis 2 co.enat.exhaust-sel eSuc-enat ileI1 iless-Suc-eq lcppl-nlength-alt
    nidx-expand nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD zero-enat-def)

```

```

show ?thesis using 1 3 assms
by presburger
qed

lemma lcppl-nfusecat-pfilt-fpower-help:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  nfinite ls
  nfinite σ
  nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ)
  (∀ i < nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ) ≡ f fproj g
  nlength σ > 0
shows (∀ i < nlength ls. g (pfilt σ (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i)) )
proof -
have 1: (∀ i < nlength ls. g (pfilt (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) ))
  using assms cppl-fprojection by blast
have 2: nlength σ > 0 →
  (∀ i < nlength ls.
    (pfilt σ (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i)) =
    (pfilt σ (nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls i)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))))))
  using assms by (simp add: lcppl-nnth)
have 3: nlength σ > 0 →
  (∀ i < nlength ls.
    nlength (pfilt σ (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i)) =
    nlength (pfilt (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))) )
  by (simp add: 2 pfilt-nlength)
have 4: nlength σ > 0 →
  (∀ i < nlength ls.
    (∀ j ≤ nlength (pfilt σ (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i)).
      (nnth (pfilt σ (nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls i)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))))) j)
      =
      (nnth σ ((nnth (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) j) + (nnth ls i)) )
    )
  )
  using nnth-nmap pfilt-nmap by (metis 2 nlength-nmap)
have 5: nlength σ > 0 →
  (∀ i < nlength ls.
    (∀ j ≤ nlength (pfilt σ (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i)).
      (nnth (pfilt (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))))) j) =
      (nnth (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) (nnth (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) j))
    )
  )
  by (simp add: 3 pfilt-nnth)

```

have 6: $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$
 $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $\quad (nnth ls (Suc i)) \leq nlength \sigma$
 $)$

using assms
by (metis eSuc-enat enat-le-plus-same(2) enat-ord-simps(1) gen-nlength-def ileI1 nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nidx-less-eq nlength-code nnth-nlast the-enat.simps)

have 7: $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$
 $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $\quad (nnth ls i) \leq (nnth ls (Suc i))$
 $)$

using assms
by (metis eSuc-enat ileI1 le-add2 nidx-less-eq plus-1-eq-Suc)

have 70: $\bigwedge i j . i < nlength ls \Rightarrow (nnth ls i) < (nnth ls (Suc i)) \Rightarrow$
 $enat (nnth ls (Suc i)) \leq nlength \sigma \Rightarrow$
 $(nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \models (f fproj g) \Rightarrow$
 $enat j < nlength (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) \Rightarrow$
 $0 \leq nnth (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) j \wedge$
 $nnth (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) j \leq (nnth ls (Suc i)) - (nnth ls i)$
using cppl-bounds **by** blast

have 71: $\bigwedge i . i < nlength ls \Rightarrow (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \models (f fproj g) \Rightarrow$
 $nnth ls i < nnth ls (Suc i) \Rightarrow$
 $enat (nnth ls (Suc i)) \leq nlength \sigma \Rightarrow$
 $nlast (nmap (\lambda x. x + nnth ls i) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))) =$
 $nnth ls (Suc i)$
using cppl-nlast-i **by** blast

have 8: $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$
 $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $\quad (\forall j \leq nlength (pfilt \sigma (nnth (lcpppl f g \sigma ls) i)).$
 $\quad \quad (nnth (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) j) \leq$
 $\quad \quad (nnth ls (Suc i)) - (nnth ls i)$
 $)$

using 6 assms 70 71 unfolding cppl-fprojection nidx-expand **by** simp
 $(metis add-diff-cancel-right' dual-order.eq-iff eSuc-enat ileI1 not-le-imp-less ntaken-all ntaken-nlast)$

have 9: $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$
 $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $\quad (\forall j \leq nlength (pfilt \sigma (nnth (lcpppl f g \sigma ls) i)).$
 $\quad \quad (nnth (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))$
 $\quad \quad \quad (nnth (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) j)) =$
 $\quad \quad \quad (nnth \sigma ((nnth (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) j) + (nnth ls i)))$
 $)$
using 6 7 8
by (simp add: add.commute nsubn-def1 ntaken-nnth)

have 10: $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$
 $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $\quad (\forall j \leq nlength (pfilt \sigma (nnth (lcpppl f g \sigma ls) i)).$
 $\quad \quad (pfilt (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))$
 $\quad \quad \quad (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))) =$
 $\quad \quad (pfilt \sigma (nnth (lcpppl f g \sigma ls) i))$

```

    ))
  using 2 3 4 9 by (simp add: pfilt-expand pfilt-nlength pfilt-nnth)
show ?thesis using 1 10 assms by fastforce
qed

lemma lcppl-nfusecat-pfilt-fpower-help-alt:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
   $\neg$ nfinite ls
   $\neg$ nfinite  $\sigma$ 
  ( $\forall$  i < nlength ls. (nsubn  $\sigma$  (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) )  $\models$  f fproj g
shows ( $\forall$  i < nlength ls. g (pfilt  $\sigma$  (nnth (lcppl f g  $\sigma$  ls) i)) )
proof -
  have 1: ( $\forall$  i < nlength ls. g (pfilt (nsubn  $\sigma$  (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) )
    (cppl f g (nsubn  $\sigma$  (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) )
    using assms cppl-fprojection by blast
  have 2: ( $\forall$  i < nlength ls.
    (pfilt  $\sigma$  (nnth (lcppl f g  $\sigma$  ls) i)) =
    (pfilt  $\sigma$  (nmap ( $\lambda$ x. x + (nnth ls i)) (cppl f g (nsubn  $\sigma$  (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))))))
    using assms by (simp add: lcppl-nnth)
  have 3: ( $\forall$  i < nlength ls.
    nlength (pfilt  $\sigma$  (nnth (lcppl f g  $\sigma$  ls) i)) =
    nlength (pfilt (nsubn  $\sigma$  (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))
      (cppl f g (nsubn  $\sigma$  (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) )
    )
    by (simp add: 2 pfilt-nlength)
  have 4: ( $\forall$  i < nlength ls.
    ( $\forall$  j  $\leq$  nlength (pfilt  $\sigma$  (nnth (lcppl f g  $\sigma$  ls) i)).
      (nnth (pfilt  $\sigma$  (nmap ( $\lambda$ x. x + (nnth ls i))
        (cppl f g (nsubn  $\sigma$  (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))))) j)
      =
      (nnth  $\sigma$  ((nnth (cppl f g (nsubn  $\sigma$  (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) j) +(nnth ls i) ))
    )
    )
    using nnth-nmap pfilt-nmap by (metis 2 nlength-nmap)
  have 5: ( $\forall$  i < nlength ls.
    ( $\forall$  j  $\leq$  nlength (pfilt  $\sigma$  (nnth (lcppl f g  $\sigma$  ls) i)).
      (nnth (pfilt (nsubn  $\sigma$  (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))
        (cppl f g (nsubn  $\sigma$  (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) ) j) =
      (nnth (nsubn  $\sigma$  (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))
        (nnth (cppl f g (nsubn  $\sigma$  (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) j)))
    )
    by (simp add: 3 pfilt-nnth)
  have 6: ( $\forall$  i < nlength ls.
    (nnth ls (Suc i))  $\leq$  nlength  $\sigma$ 
    )
    using assms
    by (simp add: nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
  have 7: ( $\forall$  i < nlength ls.
    (nnth ls i)  $\leq$  (nnth ls (Suc i)))

```

```

)
using assms
by (metis eSuc-enat ileI1 le-add2 nidx-less-eq plus-1-eq-Suc)
have 70:  $\bigwedge i j . i < \text{nlength } ls \implies (\text{nnth } ls i) < (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) \implies$ 
 $\text{enat } (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \implies$ 
 $(\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \models (f \text{ fproj } g) \implies$ 
 $\text{enat } j < \text{nlength } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) \implies$ 
 $0 \leq \text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) j \wedge$ 
 $\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) j \leq (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) - (\text{nnth } ls i)$ 
using cppl-bounds by blast
have 71:  $\bigwedge i . i < \text{nlength } ls \implies (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \models (f \text{ fproj } g) \implies$ 
 $\text{nnth } ls i < \text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i) \implies$ 
 $\text{enat } (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \implies$ 
 $\text{nlast } (\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x + \text{nnth } ls i) (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))))) =$ 
 $\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)$ 
using cppl-nlast-i by blast
have 8:  $(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls.$ 
 $(\forall j \leq \text{nlength } (\text{pfilt } \sigma (\text{nnth } (\text{lcpl } f g \sigma ls) i)).$ 
 $(\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) j) \leq$ 
 $(\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) - (\text{nnth } ls i)$ 
 $)$ 
using assms using 6 assms 70 71 unfolding cppl-fprojection nidx-expand by simp
(metis add-diff-cancel-right' dual-order.eq-iff eSuc-enat ileI1 not-le-imp-less ntaken-all
ntaken-nlast)
have 9:  $(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls.$ 
 $(\forall j \leq \text{nlength } (\text{pfilt } \sigma (\text{nnth } (\text{lcpl } f g \sigma ls) i)).$ 
 $(\text{nnth } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) )$ 
 $(\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) j) =$ 
 $(\text{nnth } \sigma ((\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) j) + (\text{nnth } ls i)))$ 
 $)$ 
using 6 7 8 by (simp add: add.commute nsubn-def1 ntaken-nnth)
have 10:  $(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls.$ 
 $(\forall j \leq \text{nlength } (\text{pfilt } \sigma (\text{nnth } (\text{lcpl } f g \sigma ls) i)).$ 
 $(\text{pfilt } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) )$ 
 $(\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) ) =$ 
 $(\text{pfilt } \sigma (\text{nnth } (\text{lcpl } f g \sigma ls) i))$ 
 $)$ 
using 2 3 4 9 by (simp add: pfilt-expand pfilt-nlength pfilt-nnth)
show ?thesis using 1 10 assms by fastforce
qed

```

10.2.6 lsum lemmas

```

lemma lsum-NNil:
lsum (NNil nell) a = NNil (a+(the-enat (nlength nell)))
by simp

```

```

lemma lsum-addzero-NNil:
assumes nfinite nell

```

```

shows addzero (lsum (NNil nell) 0) =
  (if nlength nell = 0 then (NNil 0) else (NCons 0 (NNil (the-enat (nlength nell)))) )
using assms unfolding addzero-def
by simp
  (metis less-nat-zero-code ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst ndropn-nlast nlength-NNil nnth-NNil)

```

lemma lsum-eq-NNil-conv:

```

(lsum nells a) = (NNil b)  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-NNil nells  $\wedge$  a+ (the-enat (nlength(nfirst nells))) = b
by (metis NNil-eq-ntake-iff lsum.disc-iff(1) lsum-code(1) nellist.collapse(1) nellist.disc(1)
  nellist.inject(1))

```

lemma lsum-eq-NCons-conv:

```

lsum nells a = (NCons b nells1)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\exists$  nell nells'. nells = (NCons nell nells')  $\wedge$  b = a+(the-enat (nlength nell))  $\wedge$ 
   nells1 = lsum nells' (a+(the-enat (nlength nell))))
by (cases nells) (simp-all, blast)

```

lemma lsum-addzero-NCons:

```

addzero (lsum (NCons nell nells) 0) = (NCons 0 (lsum (NCons nell nells) 0))
by (simp add: addzero-def)

```

lemma lsum-nfirst:

```

nfirst (lsum nells a) = a+(the-enat (nlength(nfirst nells)))
proof (cases nells)
case (NNil x1)
then show ?thesis by simp (metis NNil-eq-ntake-iff nellist.inject(1))
next
case (NCons x21 nells1)
then show ?thesis by simp (metis NNil-eq-ntake-iff nnth-0 nnth-NNil ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast)
qed

```

lemma nfinite-lsum-conv-a:

```

assumes nfinite nells
shows nfinite (lsum nells a)
using assms
proof (induction nells arbitrary: a rule:nfinite-induct)
case (NNil y)
then show ?case by simp
next
case (NCons x nells)
then show ?case by simp
qed

```

lemma nfinite-lsum-conv-b:

```

assumes nfinite (lsum nells a)

```

```

shows nfinite nells
using assms
proof (induct zs=lsum nells a arbitrary: a nells rule:nfinite-induct)
case (NNil y)
then show ?case
by (metis is-NNil-imp-nfinite lsum-eq-NNil-conv)
next
case (NCons x nell)
then show ?case
by (metis is-NNil-imp-nfinite lsum-code(2) nellist.collapse(2) nellist.sel(5) nfinite-NConsI)
qed

```

```

lemma nfinite-lsum-conv:
nfinite (lsum nells a)  $\longleftrightarrow$  nfinite nells
using nfinite-lsum-conv-a nfinite-lsum-conv-b by blast

```

```

lemma lsum-nlength:
nlength (lsum nells a) = nlength nells
proof (cases nfinite nells)
case True
then show ?thesis
proof (induction nells arbitrary: a rule: nfinite-induct)
case (NNil y)
then show ?case by simp
next
case (NCons x nell)
then show ?case by simp
qed
next
case False
then show ?thesis
proof (coinduction arbitrary: nells a rule: enat-coinduct)
case (Eq-enat nells1)
then show ?case
proof -
have 1: (nlength (lsum nells1 a) = (0::enat)) = (nlength nells1 = (0::enat))
by (metis Eq-enat nfinite-lsum-conv-b nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD zero-enat-def)
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 Eq-enat nbutlast-not-nfinite nfinite-lsum-conv-b nlength-nbutlast)
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma lsum-addzero-nfirst:
nfirst (addzero (lsum nells 0)) = 0
by (metis addzero-def ndropn-nfirst ndropn-nfuse nellist.disc(1) nellist.disc(2) nfuse-leftneutral
nfuse-nappend nlast-NNil nlength-NNil nnth-0 the-enat-0)

```

```

lemma lsum-addzero-nlength:
assumes nfinite(nfirst nells)
shows (nlength nells = 0 ∧ nlength(nfirst nells) = 0 →
      nlength (addzero (lsum nells 0)) = 0)
      ∧
      (nlength nells = 0 ∧ nlength(nfirst nells) > 0 →
       nlength (addzero (lsum nells 0)) = 1)
      ∧
      (nlength nells > 0 →
       nlength (addzero (lsum nells 0)) = (nlength nells) + 1)

using assms
by (simp add: addzero-def eSuc-plus-1 lsum-nfirst lsum-nlength)
  (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat nfinite-nlength-enat zero-enat-def)

lemma sum-nnth-help:
assumes i>0
shows (∑ k = 0..(i-1). nlength (nnth (nells) k)) =
      (∑ k = 1..i. nlength (nnth (nells) (k-1)))
using assms
proof
  (induct i)
  case 0
  then show ?case by blast
  next
  case (Suc i)
  then show ?case
  proof (cases i)
  case 0
  then show ?thesis by simp
  next
  case (Suc nat)
  then show ?thesis
  proof -
    have 1: (0::nat) < (i::nat)
      by (simp add: Suc)
    have 2: enat i ≤ nlength (nells::'a nellist nellist) + (1::enat)
      using Suc.prems(2) Suc-ile-eq by auto
    have 3: (∑ k::nat = 0::nat..i - (1::nat). nlength (nnth nells k)) =
            (∑ k::nat = 1::nat..i. nlength (nnth nells (k - (1::nat))))
      using 1 2 Suc.hyps by blast
    have 4: (∑ k::nat = 0::nat..Suc i - (1::nat). nlength (nnth nells k)) =
            (∑ k::nat = 0::nat..i. nlength (nnth nells k))
      by simp
    have 5: (∑ k::nat = 0::nat..i. nlength (nnth nells k)) =
            nlength (nnth nells i) + (∑ k::nat = 0::nat..(i-1). nlength (nnth nells k))
      by (simp add: Suc)
  qed

```

```

have 6:  $nlength(nnth(nells i) + (\sum k:nat = 1:nat..i. nlength(nnth(nells(k - (1:nat)))))) =$   

 $(\sum k:nat = 1:nat..Suc i. nlength(nnth(nells(k - (1:nat)))))$   

by simp  

show ?thesis  

using 3 4 5 6 by presburger  

qed  

qed  

qed

```

```

lemma lsum-nnth:  

assumes  $i \leq nlength(nells)$   

 $\text{all-finite } nells$   

shows  $nnth(lsum(nells a) i) = a + (\sum k:nat = 0..i. (\text{the-enat}(nlength(nnth(nells k)))))$   

using assms  

proof  

(induct i arbitrary: a nells)  

case 0  

then show ?case  

proof (cases nells)  

case (NNil x1)  

then show ?thesis by (simp add: nnth-NNil)  

next  

case (NCons x21 x22)  

then show ?thesis by simp  

qed  

next  

case (Suc i)  

then show ?case  

proof (cases nells)  

case (NNil x1)  

then show ?thesis using Suc.prems enat-0-iff(1) by simp  

next  

case (NCons x21 x22)  

then show ?thesis  

proof –  

have 1:  $nnth(lsum(nells a) (Suc i)) = nnth(lsum(x22(a + (\text{the-enat}(nlength x21)))) i$   

by (simp add: NCons)  

have 2:  $\text{enat}(i:nat) \leq nlength x22$   

using NCons Suc.prems Suc-ile-eq by auto  

have 20:  $\text{all-finite } x22$   

by (simp add: NCons Suc.prems(2))  

have 3:  $nnth(lsum(x22(a + (\text{the-enat}(nlength x21)))) i) =$   

 $(a + (\text{the-enat}(nlength x21))) +$   

 $(\sum k:nat = 0:nat..i. (\text{the-enat}(nlength(nnth x22 k))))$   

using Suc.hyps[of x22 (a + (the-enat (nlength x21)))] 2 20 by blast  

have 4:  $a + (\sum k:nat = 0:nat..Suc i. (\text{the-enat}(nlength(nnth(nells k)))))) =$   

 $a + (\text{the-enat}((nlength(nnth(nells 0))))) +$   

 $(\sum k:nat = 1:nat..Suc i. (\text{the-enat}(nlength(nnth(nells k)))))$   

by (simp add: sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost)

```

```

have 5: (nlength (nnth nells 0)) = nlength x21
  by (simp add: NCons)
have 6: ( $\sum k:\text{nat} = 1:\text{nat}.. \text{Suc } i.$  (the-enat (nlength (nnth nells k)))) =
  ( $\sum k:\text{nat} = 0:\text{nat}.. i.$  (the-enat (nlength (nnth nells (k+1)))))
  using sum.shift-bounds-cl-nat-ivl[of λk. (the-enat (nlength (nnth nells k))) 0 1 i ]
  by simp
have 7: ( $\sum k:\text{nat} = 0:\text{nat}.. i.$  (the-enat (nlength (nnth nells (k+1))))) =
  ( $\sum k:\text{nat} = 0:\text{nat}.. i.$  (the-enat (nlength (nnth x22 (k)))))
  using NCons by auto
show ?thesis
  using 1 3 4 5 6 7 by presburger
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma lsum-addzero-nnth:
assumes i ≤ nlength (addzero (lsum nells 0))
  nfinite (nfirst nells)
shows (nlength nells = 0 ∧ nlength(nfirst nells) = 0 →
  nnth (addzero (lsum nells 0)) i = (nnth (lsum nells 0) i) )
  ∧
  (nlength nells = 0 ∧ nlength(nfirst nells) > 0 →
  nnth (addzero (lsum nells 0)) i = (nnth (NCons 0 (lsum nells 0)) i) )
  ∧
  (nlength nells > 0 →
  nnth (addzero (lsum nells 0)) i = (nnth (NCons 0 (lsum nells 0)) i) )

using assms using lsum-addzero-nlength[of nells] lsum-nlength[of nells 0]
lsum-nfirst[of nells 0] using addzero-def by auto

```

```

lemma lsum-nlast:
assumes nfinite nells
  all-nfinite nells
shows nlast (lsum nells a) = a + ( $\sum k:\text{nat} = 0..(\text{the-enat (nlength nells)}).$  (the-enat (nlength(nnth nells k))))
using assms
by (metis (no-types, lifting) enat.simps(3) enat-le-plus-same(2) enat-the-enat gen-nlength-def
  lsum-nlength lsum-nnth nfinite-lsum-conv-a nfinite-nlength-enat nlength-code nnth-nlast sum.cong)

```

```

lemma lsum-addzero-nlast:
assumes nfinite nells
shows nlast (addzero (lsum nells 0)) = nlast(lsum nells 0)
by (simp add: addzero-def)

```

```

lemma lsum-nnth-nfinite:
assumes i ≤ nlength nells
  all-gr-zero nells
  all-nfinite nells

```

```

shows  $(\sum k::nat = 0..i. (\text{the-enat} (\text{nlength}(\text{nnth} \text{nells} k)))) < \infty$ 
using assms
using enat-ord-code(4) by blast

lemma sum-finite:
assumes all-nfinite nells
  i≤ nlength nells
shows  $(\sum k = 0..i. (\text{nlength}(\text{nnth} \text{nells} k))) < \infty$ 
using assms
proof (induction i arbitrary: nells)
case 0
then show ?case
proof (cases nells)
case (NNil x1)
then show ?thesis using 0 by (simp add: nfinite-nlength-enat nnth-NNil)
next
case (NCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis using 0 using nfinite-nlength-enat by simp blast
qed
next
case (Suc i)
then show ?case
proof (cases nells)
case (NNil x1)
then show ?thesis using Suc by simp (metis enat.inject old.nat.distinct(2) zero-enat-def)
next
case (NCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis
proof -
have 1:  $(\sum k = 0..Suc i. \text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{nells} k)) =$ 
 $\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{nells} 0) + (\sum k = 1..Suc i. \text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{nells} k))$ 
by (metis One-nat-def sum.atLeast0-atMost-Suc-shift sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost-Suc-shift)
have 2:  $(\sum k = 1..Suc i. \text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{nells} k)) =$ 
 $(\sum k = 0..i. \text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{nells} (k+1)))$ 
using sum.shift-bounds-cl-nat-ivl[of λk . (nlength (nnth nells k)) 0 1 i]
by (metis One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1 )
have 3:  $(\sum k = 0..i. \text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{nells} (k+1))) = (\sum k = 0..i. \text{nlength} (\text{nnth} x22 k))$ 
using NCons by auto
have 4: all-nfinite x22
  by (simp add: NCons Suc.prems(1))
have 5: i≤ nlength x22
  using NCons Suc.prems(2) Suc-ile-eq by auto
have 6:  $(\sum k = 0..i. \text{nlength} (\text{nnth} x22 k)) < \infty$ 
  using Suc.IH[of x22] 4 5 by blast
have 7: nlength (nnth nells 0) < ∞
  by (metis Suc.prems(1) all-nfinite-nnth-b enat.distinct(2) enat-ord-simps(4)
    nfinite-nlength-enat zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 8: nlength (nnth nells 0) +  $(\sum k = 0..i. \text{nlength} (\text{nnth} x22 k)) < \infty$ 
  using 6 7 by force

```

```

show ?thesis using 1 2 3 8 by presburger
qed
qed
qed

lemma sum-the-enat:
assumes all-nfinite nells
    i≤ nlength nells
shows   (∑ k= 0..i. the-enat(nlength(nnth nells k))) = the-enat(∑ k= 0..i. (nlength(nnth nells k)))
using assms
proof (induction i arbitrary: nells)
case 0
then show ?case
proof (cases nells)
case (NNil x1)
then show ?thesis using 0 by simp
next
case (NCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis using 0 by simp
qed
next
case (Suc i)
then show ?case
proof (cases nells)
case (NNil x1)
then show ?thesis using Suc by simp (metis enat-0-iff(2) old.nat.distinct(2))
next
case (NCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis
proof -
have 1: (∑ k = 0..Suc i. the-enat (nlength (nnth nells k))) =
the-enat(nlength (nnth nells 0)) + (∑ k = 1..Suc i. the-enat (nlength (nnth nells k)))
by (simp add: sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost)
have 2: the-enat(nlength (nnth nells 0)) = the-enat(nlength x21)
using NCons by simp
have 3: (∑ k = 1..Suc i. the-enat (nlength (nnth nells k))) =
(∑ k = 0..i. the-enat (nlength (nnth nells (k+1))))
using sum.shift-bounds-cl-nat-ivl[of λk .the-enat (nlength (nnth nells k)) 0 1 i]
by (metis One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1)
have 4: (∑ k = 0..i. the-enat (nlength (nnth nells (k+1)))) =
(∑ k = 0..i. the-enat (nlength (nnth x22 k)))
using NCons by auto
have 5: all-nfinite x22
by (simp add: NCons Suc.prems(1))
have 6: i≤ nlength x22
using NCons Suc.prems(2) Suc-ile-eq by auto
have 7: (∑ k = 0..i. the-enat (nlength (nnth x22 k))) =
the-enat(∑ k = 0..i. (nlength (nnth x22 k)))
using Suc.IH[of x22] 5 6 by blast
have 8: the-enat(∑ k = 0..i. (nlength (nnth x22 k))) =

```

$\text{the-enat}(\sum k = 0..i. (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} (k+1))))$
using NCons by auto
have 9: $\text{the-enat}(\sum k = 0..i. (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} (k+1)))) =$
 $\text{the-enat}(\sum k = 1..Suc i. (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} k)))$
using sum.shift-bounds-cl-nat-ivl[of $\lambda k . (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} k)) 0 1 i$]
by (metis One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1)
have 10: $(\sum k = 1..Suc i. (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} k))) < \infty$
proof –
have 100: $(\sum k = 1..Suc i. (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} k))) =$
 $(\sum k = 0..i. (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} (k+1))))$
using sum.shift-bounds-cl-nat-ivl[of $\lambda k . (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} k)) 0 1 i$]
by (metis One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1)
have 101: $(\sum k = 0..i. (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} (k+1)))) =$
 $(\sum k = 0..i. (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ x22} k)))$
using NCons by auto
have 102: $(\sum k = 0..i. (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ x22} k))) < \infty$
using sum-finite[of $x22 i$] 5 6 by blast
show ?thesis
using 100 101 102 by presburger
qed
have 11: $\exists m . (\text{enat} m) = (\sum k = 1..Suc i. (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} k)))$
by (metis 10 less-infinityE)
obtain m where 12: $(\text{enat} m) = (\sum k = 1..Suc i. (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} k)))$
using 11 by blast
have 13: $\exists n . (\text{enat} n) = (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} 0))$
by (metis Suc.prems(1) all-nfinite-nnth-b nfinite-nlength-enat zero-enat-def zero-le)
obtain n where 14: $(\text{enat} n) = (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} 0))$
using 13 by blast
have 15: $\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} 0)) + \text{the-enat}(\sum k = 1..Suc i. (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} k))) =$
 $\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} 0)) + (\sum k = 1..Suc i. (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} k)))$
by (metis 12 14 plus-enat-simps(1) the-enat.simps)
have 16: $\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} 0)) + \text{the-enat}(\sum k = 1..Suc i. (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} k))) =$
 $\text{the-enat}(\sum k = 0..Suc i. (\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} k)))$
using sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost[of 0 Suc i $\lambda k. \text{nlength} (\text{nnth} \text{ nells} k)$]
by (metis 15 One-nat-def zero-le)
show ?thesis
using 1 16 3 4 7 8 9 by presburger
qed
qed
qed

lemma lsum-nnth-leq-Suc:
assumes $i < \text{nlength} \text{ nells}$
all-gr-zero nells
all-nfinite nells
 $a < \infty$
shows $\text{nnth} (\text{lsum} \text{ nells} a) i < \text{nnth} (\text{lsum} \text{ nells} a) (\text{Suc} i)$
proof –

```

have 1: nnth (lsum nells a) i = a + (∑ k::nat= 0..i. (the-enat (nlength(nnth nells k))))
  using assms less-imp-le-nat lsum-nnth by (metis order-less-imp-le )
have 2: nnth (lsum nells a) (Suc i) = a + (∑ k::nat= 0..(Suc i). (the-enat (nlength(nnth nells k))))
  using assms Suc-ile-eq lsum-nnth by blast
have 3: (∑ k::nat= 0..(Suc i). (the-enat (nlength(nnth nells k)))) =
  (∑ k::nat= 0..i. (the-enat (nlength(nnth nells k)))) + (the-enat (nlength(nnth nells (Suc i))))
  using sum.atLeast0-atMost-Suc by blast
have 4: nlength(nnth nells (Suc i)) > 0
  using assms by (metis eSuc-enat ileI1 in-nset-conv-nnth)
have 5: (∑ k::nat= 0..i. (the-enat (nlength(nnth nells k)))) < ∞
  using lsum-nnth-nfinite[of i nells] assms order.order-iff-strict by blast
have 6: nlength(nnth nells (Suc i)) < ∞
  using assms
  by (metis all-nfinite-nnth-b eSuc-enat enat.distinct(2) enat-ord-simps(4) ileI1 nfinite-nlength-enat)
have 7: a + (∑ k::nat= 0..i. (the-enat (nlength(nnth nells k)))) <
  a + (∑ k::nat= 0..(Suc i). (the-enat (nlength(nnth nells k))))
  using 4 5 assms 6 enat-the-enat zero-enat-def by fastforce
show ?thesis
using 1 2 7 by presburger
qed

```

```

lemma lsum-addzero-nnth-leq-Suc:
assumes i < nlength(addzero (lsum nells 0))
  all-gr-zero nells
  all-nfinite nells
shows nnth (addzero (lsum nells 0)) i < nnth (addzero (lsum nells 0)) (Suc i)
using assms
proof (cases i)
case 0
then show ?thesis
  proof (cases nells)
  case (NNil x1)
  then show ?thesis using 0 assms unfolding addzero-def by simp
  (metis enat-0-iff(2) ndropn-nfirst ndropn-nlast nfinite-code(1) nfinite-nlength-enat nlast-NNil
  nlength-NNil nnth-nlast the-enat.simps)
next
case (NCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis using 0 assms unfolding addzero-def by simp
  (metis eSuc-infinity enat-the-enat gr-zeroI ndropn-eq-NNil ndropn-nlast not-eSuc-ilei0
  zero-enat-def)
qed
next
case (Suc nat)
then show ?thesis
  proof (cases nells)
  case (NNil x1)
  then show ?thesis
  using Suc addzero-def assms(1) enat-0-iff(1) by fastforce
next

```

```

case (NCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis
proof -
  have 1: nat = 0  $\implies$  ?thesis
  using Suc assms unfolding addzero-def by auto
  (simp add: Suc-ile-eq lsum-nlength lsum-nnth-leq-Suc,
   metis Extended-Nat.eSuc-mono NCons One-nat-def assms(1) assms(2) enat-ord-code(4)
   lsum-addzero-NCons lsum-nlength lsum-nnth-leq-Suc nlength-NCons one-eSuc one-enat-def
   zero-enat-def)
  have 2: nat>0  $\implies$  ?thesis
  using Suc assms unfolding addzero-def by auto
  (simp add: Suc-ile-eq lsum-nlength lsum-nnth-leq-Suc,
   metis NCons Suc-ile-eq assms(1) assms(2) enat-ord-code(4) iless-Suc-eq lsum-addzero-NCons
   lsum-nlength lsum-nnth-leq-Suc nlength-NCons)
  show ?thesis using 1 2 by blast
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma lsum-nidx:
assumes all-gr-zero nells
all-nfinite nells
shows nidx (lsum nells a)
using assms unfolding nidx-expand
by (simp add: Suc-ile-eq lsum-nlength lsum-nnth-leq-Suc)

```

```

lemma lsum-addzero-nidx:
assumes all-gr-zero nells
all-nfinite nells
shows nidx (addzero (lsum nells 0))
using assms unfolding nidx-expand
using Suc-ile-eq lsum-addzero-nnth-leq-Suc by blast

```

```

lemma pfilter-nfuse-lsum-a:
assumes nlast nell = nfirst nell1
nlength nell > 0
nlength nell1 > 0
nfinite nell
nfinite nell1
shows pfilter (nfuse nell nell1) (lsum (NNil nell1) (the-enat (nlength nell))) =
pfilter nell1 (lsum (NNil nell1) 0)
proof -
  have 1: (pfilter (nfuse nell nell1) (lsum (NNil nell1) (the-enat (nlength nell)))) =
nmap (nnth (nfuse nell nell1)) (lsum (NNil nell1) (the-enat (nlength nell)))
  unfolding pfilter-nmap by simp
  have 2: (pfilter (nell1) ((lsum (NNil nell1) 0)) = nmap (nnth nell1) (lsum (NNil nell1) (0::nat))
  unfolding pfilter-nmap by simp
  have 3: nlength (nmap (nnth (nfuse nell nell1)) (lsum (NNil nell1) (the-enat (nlength nell)))) =

```

```

nlength (nmap (nth nell1) (lsum (NNil nell1) (0::nat)))
by (simp add: lsum-nlength)
have 4:  $\bigwedge j. j \leq nlength (nmap (nnth nell1) (lsum (NNil nell1) (0::nat))) \rightarrow$ 
 $nnth ((nmap (nnth (nfuse nell nell1)) (lsum (NNil nell1) (the-enat (nlength nell))))) j =$ 
 $nnth ((nmap (nnth nell1) (lsum (NNil nell1) (0::nat)))) j$ 
by (metis assms(1) assms(4) lsum-NNil ndropn-nfuse ndropn-nnth nellist.simps(14) plus-nat.add-0)
have 5:  $nmap (nnth (nfuse nell nell1)) (lsum (NNil nell1) (the-enat (nlength nell))) =$ 
 $(nmap (nnth nell1) (lsum (NNil nell1) (0::nat)))$ 
using 3 4
nellist-eq-nnth-eq[of nmap (nnth (nfuse nell nell1)) (lsum (NNil nell1) (the-enat (nlength nell)))]
(nmap (nnth nell1) (lsum (NNil nell1) (0::nat)))
by presburger
show ?thesis using 5 1 2
by force
qed

```

lemma pfilt-nfusecat-lsum-a:

assumes nlastnfirst (NCons nell nells)

all-gr-zero nells
all-nfinite nells
nlength nell > 0
nfinite nell

shows (pfilt (nfuse nell (nfusecat nells)) (lsum nells (the-enat (nlength nell)))) =
(pfilt (nfusecat nells) (lsum nells 0)))

proof –

have 1: (pfilt (nfuse nell (nfusecat nells)) (lsum nells (the-enat (nlength nell)))) =
nmap (nnth (nfuse nell (nfusecat nells)) (lsum nells (the-enat (nlength nell)))))

unfolding pfilt-nmap by simp

have 2: (pfilt (nfusecat nells) (lsum nells 0)) = nmap (nnth (nfusecat nells)) (lsum nells (0::nat))

unfolding pfilt-nmap by simp

have 3: nlength (nmap (nnth (nfuse nell (nfusecat nells))) (lsum nells (the-enat (nlength nell)))) =
nlength (nmap (nnth (nfusecat nells)) (lsum nells (0::nat)))

by (simp add: lsum-nlength)

have 4: $\bigwedge j. (\text{enat } j) \leq nlength (nmap (nnth (nfusecat nells)) (lsum nells (0::nat))) \Rightarrow$
 $nnth ((nmap (nnth (nfuse nell (nfusecat nells))) (lsum nells (the-enat (nlength nell))))) j =$
 $nnth ((nmap (nnth (nfusecat nells)) (lsum nells (0::nat)))) j$

proof –

fix j

assume a: ($\text{enat } j \leq nlength (nmap (nnth (nfusecat nells)) (lsum nells (0::nat)))$)

show nnth ((nmap (nnth (nfuse nell (nfusecat nells))) (lsum nells (the-enat (nlength nell))))) j =
nnth ((nmap (nnth (nfusecat nells)) (lsum nells (0::nat)))) j

proof –

have 5: nnth ((nmap (nnth (nfuse nell (nfusecat nells))) (lsum nells (the-enat (nlength nell))))) j =
nnth (nfuse nell (nfusecat nells)) (nnth (lsum nells (the-enat (nlength nell)))) j)

using nnth-nmap[of j (lsum nells (the-enat (nlength nell))) (nnth (nfuse nell (nfusecat nells)))]
a 3 by auto

have 6: (nnth (lsum nells (the-enat (nlength nell))) j) =
the-enat (nlength nell) + ($\sum k::nat = 0::nat..j. \text{the-enat} (nlength (nnth nells k))$)

using lsum-nnth[of j nells (the-enat (nlength nell))]

```

by (metis a assms(3) lsum-nlength nlength-nmap)
have 7: nnth ((nmap (nnth (nfusecat nells)) (lsum nells (0::nat)))) j =
    nnth (nfusecat nells) (nnth (lsum nells (0::nat))) j
using nnth-nmap[of j (lsum nells (0::nat)) (nnth (nfusecat nells))] using a by auto
have 8: (nnth (lsum nells (0::nat)) j) = ( $\sum k::nat = 0::nat..j$ . the-enat (nlength (nnth nells k)))
by (metis (no-types, lifting) 6 a add-0 add-diff-cancel-left' assms(3) lsum-nlength
    lsum-nnth nlength-nmap)
have 9: nlast nell = nfirst (nfusecat nells)
by (metis assms(1) nfirst-nfusecat-nfirst nlastnfirst-LCons)
have 10: (the-enat (nlength nell) + ( $\sum k::nat = 0::nat..j$ . the-enat (nlength (nnth nells k)))) ≤
    nlength (nfuse nell (nfusecat nells))
proof (cases nfinite nells)
case True
then show ?thesis
proof -
have 101:  $j \leq nlength nells$ 
by (metis a lsum-nlength nlength-nmap)
have 11: nlength (nfusecat nells) =
    ( $\sum i::nat = 0::nat..the-enat (nlength nells)$ . nlength (nnth nells i))
using nfusecat-nlength-nfinite[of nells]
by (metis True assms(1) assms(3) nlastnfirst-LCons)
have 12: nlength (nfuse nell (nfusecat nells)) =
    nlength nell + ( $\sum i::nat = 0::nat..the-enat (nlength nells)$ . nlength (nnth nells i))
using nfuse-nlength[of nell nfusecat nells] 11 by presburger
have 13: ( $\sum k::nat = 0::nat..j$ . the-enat (nlength (nnth nells k))) =
    (the-enat ( $\sum k::nat = 0::nat..j$ . (nlength (nnth nells k))))
by (metis 101 assms(3) sum-the-enat)
have 14:  $j < the-enat (nlength nells) \Rightarrow$ 
    ( $\sum k::nat = 0::nat..j$ . (nlength (nnth nells k))) ≤
    ( $\sum i::nat = 0::nat..the-enat (nlength nells)$ . nlength (nnth nells i))
by (metis bot-nat-0.extremum canonically-ordered-monoid-add-class.lessE
    enat-le-plus-same(1) sum.ub-add-nat)
have 141:  $j = the-enat (nlength nells) \Rightarrow$ 
    ( $\sum k::nat = 0::nat..j$ . (nlength (nnth nells k))) ≤
    ( $\sum i::nat = 0::nat..the-enat (nlength nells)$ . nlength (nnth nells i))
by blast
have 142: ( $\sum k::nat = 0::nat..j$ . (nlength (nnth nells k))) ≤
    ( $\sum i::nat = 0::nat..the-enat (nlength nells)$ . nlength (nnth nells i))
using 14 141 101 True nfinite-nlength-enat by fastforce
have 143: enat (the-enat (nlength nell)) = nlength nell
by (simp add: assms(5) enat-the-enat nfinite-nlength-enat)
have 144: enat (the-enat ( $\sum k = 0..j$ . nlength (nnth nells k))) =
    ( $\sum k = 0..j$ . nlength (nnth nells k))
by (metis 11 142 True assms(1) assms(3) dual-order.refl enat-ord-code(4)
    enat-the-enat leD nfinite-nlength-enat nfusecat-nlength-nfinite
    nlastnfirst-LCons sum-finite)
have 143: enat (the-enat (nlength nell) + ( $\sum k = 0..j$ . the-enat (nlength (nnth nells k)))) =
    nlength nell + ( $\sum k = 0..j$ . nlength (nnth nells k))
using 13
by (metis 143 144 plus-enat-simps(1))

```

```

have 15:  $(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \text{nell}) + (\sum k:\text{nat} = 0:\text{nat}. j. \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth } \text{nels } k)))) \leq$   

 $\text{nlength } \text{nell} + (\sum i:\text{nat} = 0:\text{nat}. \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \text{nels}). \text{nlength}(\text{nnth } \text{nels } i))$   

using 142 6 8 13 by (metis 143 add-left-mono)  

show ?thesis  

using 12 15 by presburger  

qed  

next  

case False  

then show ?thesis  

proof –  

have 200:  $\neg \text{nfinite}(\text{nfuse } \text{nell}(\text{nfusecat } \text{nels}))$   

using assms by (metis False nfuse-nfinite nfusecat-nfinite nlastnfirst-LCons)  

show ?thesis  

by (metis 200 linorder-le-cases nfinite-ntaken ntaken-all)  

qed  

qed  

have 30:  $\text{nnth}(\text{nfuse } \text{nell}(\text{nfusecat } \text{nels})) (\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \text{nell}) +$   

 $(\sum k:\text{nat} = 0:\text{nat}. j. \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth } \text{nels } k)))) =$   

 $\text{nnth}(\text{nfusecat } \text{nels}) (\sum k:\text{nat} = 0:\text{nat}. j. \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth } \text{nels } k)))$   

by (metis 9 assms(5) ndropn-nfuse ndropn-nnth)  

show ?thesis  

using 30 5 6 7 8 by presburger  

qed  

qed  

show ?thesis  

by (metis 3 4 nellist-eq-nnth-eq pfilt-nmap)  

qed

```

lemma pfilt-nfusecat-lsum:
assumes nlastnfirst (NCons nell nells)
all-gr-zero nells
all-nfinite nells
nlength nell > 0
nfinite nell
shows (pfilt (nfusecat (NCons nell nells)) (addzero (lsum (NCons nell nells) 0))) =
 $(\text{NCons}(\text{nfirst } \text{nell}) (\text{NCons}(\text{nlast } \text{nell}) (\text{pfilt}(\text{nfusecat } \text{nels}) (\text{lsum } \text{nels } 0))))$
proof –
have 1: $\text{nfusecat}(\text{NCons } \text{nell } \text{nels}) = \text{nfuse } \text{nell}(\text{nfusecat } \text{nels})$
by simp
have 2: $(\text{pfilt}(\text{nfusecat}(\text{NCons } \text{nell } \text{nels})) (\text{addzero}(\text{lsum}(\text{NCons } \text{nell } \text{nels}) 0))) =$
 $(\text{pfilt}(\text{nfuse } \text{nell}(\text{nfusecat } \text{nels})) (\text{addzero}(\text{lsum}(\text{NCons } \text{nell } \text{nels}) 0)))$
using 1 **by** simp
have 3: $\text{addzero}(\text{lsum}(\text{NCons } \text{nell } \text{nels}) 0) =$
 $(\text{NCons } 0 (\text{NCons}(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \text{nell})) (\text{lsum } \text{nels}(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \text{nell})))))$
using lsum-addzero-NCons **by** auto
have 4: $(\text{pfilt}(\text{nfuse } \text{nell}(\text{nfusecat } \text{nels})) (\text{addzero}(\text{lsum}(\text{NCons } \text{nell } \text{nels}) 0))) =$
 $(\text{pfilt}(\text{nfuse } \text{nell}(\text{nfusecat } \text{nels})) (\text{NCons } 0 (\text{NCons}(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \text{nell}))$
 $(\text{lsum } \text{nels}(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \text{nell}))))))$
using 3 **by** auto
have 5: $(\text{pfilt}(\text{nfuse } \text{nell}(\text{nfusecat } \text{nels})) (\text{NCons } 0 (\text{NCons}(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \text{nell}))))$

```

(lsum nells (the-enat (nlength nell)))))) =  

(NCons (nnth (nfuse nell (nfusecat nells)) 0)  

(NCons (nnth (nfuse nell (nfusecat nells)) (the-enat (nlength nell)))  

(pfilt (nfuse nell (nfusecat nells)) (lsum nells (the-enat (nlength nell))))))  

by simp  

have 6: (nnth (nfuse nell (nfusecat nells)) 0) = (nnth nell 0)  

using assms  

by (metis nfirst-nfusecat-nfirst nfuse-nnth nnth-nlast nnth-nlast)  

have 7: (nnth (nfuse nell (nfusecat nells)) (the-enat (nlength nell))) =  

(nnth nell (the-enat (nlength nell)))  

using assms  

by (metis ndropn-nfirst ndropn-nfuse nfuse-nnth nnth-nlast nnth-nlast)  

have 8: nidx (addzero (lsum nells 0))  

using assms lsum-addzero-nidx by blast  

have 9: (pfilt (nfuse nell (nfusecat nells)) (lsum nells (the-enat (nlength nell)))) =  

(pfilt (nfusecat nells) (lsum nells 0))  

using assms pfilt-nfusecat-lsum-a by blast  

show ?thesis  

using 3 6 7 9  

by (metis 2 5 assms(5) nnth-nlast nnth-nlast ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast)  

qed

lemma pfilt-nfusecat-lsum-1:  

assumes nlastnfirst (NCons nell nells)  

all-gr-zero nells  

all-nfinite nells  

nlength nell > 0  

nfinite nell  

shows (pfilt (nfusecat (NCons nell nells)) ((lsum (NCons nell nells) 0))) =  

(NCons (nlast nell) (pfilt (nfusecat nells) (lsum nells 0)))  

using assms  

pfilt-nfusecat-lsum[of nell nells]  

by (metis lsum-addzero-NCons nellist.inject(2) pfilt-code(2))

lemma pfilt-nfusecat-lsum-2:  

assumes nlastnfirst (nells)  

all-gr-zero nells  

all-nfinite nells  

j ≤ nlength nells  

shows (nnth (pfilt (nfusecat (nells)) ((lsum (nells) 0))) j) = nlast(nnth nells j)  

proof –  

have 1: (pfilt (nfusecat (nells)) ((lsum (nells) 0))) =  

nmap (nnth (nfusecat nells)) (lsum nells (0::nat))  

using pfilt-nmap[of (nfusecat (nells)) (lsum (nells) 0)] by simp  

have 2: (nnth (pfilt (nfusecat (nells)) ((lsum (nells) 0))) j) =  

nnth (nfusecat nells) (nnth (lsum nells (0::nat)) j)  

using 1 nnth-nmap[of j ((lsum (nells) 0)) (nnth (nfusecat nells)) ]  

by (simp add: assms(4) lsum-nlength)  

have 3: (nnth (lsum nells (0::nat)) j) = (∑ k::nat = 0::nat..j. the-enat (nlength (nnth nells k)))  

by (metis add-0 assms(3) assms(4) lsum-nnth)

```

```

have 4:  $(\sum k:\text{nat} = 0:\text{nat..}j. \text{the-enat} (\text{nlength} (\text{nth} \text{nells} k))) =$   

        $\text{the-enat} ((\sum k:\text{nat} = 0:\text{nat..}j. (\text{nlength} (\text{nth} \text{nells} k))))$   

  by (metis assms(3) assms(4) sum-the-enat)  

have 40:  $\text{nfinite} (\text{ntaken} j \text{nells})$   

  by simp  

have 41:  $\text{nlastnfirst} (\text{ntaken} j \text{nells})$   

  by (simp add: assms(1) assms(4) nlastnfirst-ntaken)  

have 42:  $\text{all-nfinite} (\text{ntaken} j \text{nells})$   

  by (metis assms(3) nset-ntaken subset-iff)  

have 5:  $\text{nnth} (\text{nfusecat} \text{nells}) (\text{the-enat} ((\sum k:\text{nat} = 0:\text{nat..}j. (\text{nlength} (\text{nth} \text{nells} k))))) =$   

        $\text{nlast} (\text{nnth} \text{nells} j)$   

using nlastfirst-nfusecat-nlast[of ntaken j nells] nfusecat-ntake[of j nells] assms  

  nlastnfirst-ntaken[of j nells] ntake-eq-ntaken ntaken-nlast[of j nells]  

  by (metis 3 4 40 42 enat-ord-simps(4) enat-the-enat ntaken-nlast sum-finite)  

show ?thesis  

using 2 3 4 5 by presburger  

qed

```

```

lemma pfilt-nfusecat-lsum-3:  

assumes nlastnfirst (nells)  

  all-gr-zero nells  

  all-nfinite nells  

   $j \leq \text{nlength} (\text{addzero} (\text{lsum} \text{nells} 0))$   

shows  $(j=0 \longrightarrow (\text{nnth} (\text{pfilt} (\text{nfusecat} (\text{nells})) (\text{addzero} (\text{lsum} (\text{nells} 0)))) j) = \text{nfirst} (\text{nfirst} \text{nells}))$   

   $\wedge$   

 $(j>0 \longrightarrow (\text{nnth} (\text{pfilt} (\text{nfusecat} (\text{nells})) (\text{addzero} (\text{lsum} (\text{nells} 0)))) j) = \text{nlast} (\text{nnth} \text{nells} (j-1)))$   

using assms  

proof –  

have 1:  $j \leq \text{nlength} (\text{addzero} (\text{lsum} \text{nells} 0)) \wedge j=0 \longrightarrow$   

        $(\text{nnth} (\text{pfilt} (\text{nfusecat} (\text{nells})) (\text{addzero} (\text{lsum} (\text{nells} 0)))) j) = \text{nfirst} (\text{nfirst} \text{nells})$   

using assms  

  by (metis lsum-addzero-nfirst nfinite-ntaken nfirst-nfusecat-nfirst nnth-NNil nnth-nlast  

  ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast pfilt-nlength pfilt-nnth)  

have 2:  $j \leq \text{nlength} (\text{addzero} (\text{lsum} \text{nells} 0)) \wedge j>0 \longrightarrow$   

        $(\text{nnth} (\text{pfilt} (\text{nfusecat} \text{nells}) (\text{addzero} (\text{lsum} (\text{nells} 0)))) j) =$   

        $(\text{nnth} (\text{nfusecat} \text{nells}) (\text{nnth} (\text{addzero} (\text{lsum} (\text{nells} 0)))) j))$   

  by (simp add: pfilt-nlength pfilt-nnth)  

have 3:  $j \leq \text{nlength} (\text{addzero} (\text{lsum} \text{nells} 0)) \wedge j>0 \longrightarrow$   

        $\text{nnth} (\text{addzero} (\text{lsum} (\text{nells} 0))) j = \text{nnth} (\text{lsum} \text{nells} 0) (j-1)$   

  by (metis Suc-diff-1 addzero-def enat-0-iff(1) le-zero-eq nat-less-le nnth-Suc-NCons)  

have 20:  $j \leq \text{nlength} (\text{addzero} (\text{lsum} \text{nells} 0)) \wedge j>0 \longrightarrow \text{enat} ((j:\text{nat}) - (1:\text{nat})) \leq \text{nlength} \text{nells}$   

  by (metis Suc-diff-1 Suc-ile-eq addzero-def dual-order.strict-trans1 enat-0-iff(1) iles-Suc-eq  

  lsum-nlength nlength-NCons not-gr-zero)  

have 4:  $j \leq \text{nlength} (\text{addzero} (\text{lsum} \text{nells} 0)) \wedge j>0 \longrightarrow$   

        $(\text{nnth} (\text{nfusecat} \text{nells}) (\text{nnth} (\text{lsum} \text{nells} 0) (j-1))) = \text{nlast} (\text{nnth} \text{nells} (j-1))$   

using assms 20 pfilt-nfusecat-lsum-2[of nells j-1]  

  by (metis lsum-nlength pfilt-expand)

```

```

show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 4
by (simp add: assms(4))
qed

lemma pfilter-nfusecat-lsum-4:
assumes nlastnfirst nells
    all-gr-zero nells
    all-nfinite nells
    nfinite nells
shows (nnth (addzero (lsum nells 0)) (the-enat (nlength (addzero (lsum nells 0))))) ≤ nlength (nfusecat nells)
proof –
have 2: nlength (nfusecat nells) = (∑ i = 0..the-enat (nlength nells). nlength (nnth nells i))
    using assms nfusecat-nlength-nfinite by blast
have 3: nlast (lsum nells 0) =
    (∑ k = 0..the-enat (nlength nells). the-enat (nlength (nnth nells k)))
    by (simp add: assms(3) assms(4) lsum-nlast)
have 4: (∑ k = 0..the-enat (nlength nells). the-enat (nlength (nnth nells k))) =
    the-enat(∑ k = 0..the-enat (nlength nells). (nlength (nnth nells k)))
    by (simp add: assms(3) assms(4) enat-the-enat nfinite-nlength-enat sum-the-enat)
have 5: enat (∑ k = 0..the-enat (nlength nells). the-enat (nlength (nnth nells k))) ≤
    (∑ i = 0..the-enat (nlength nells). nlength (nnth nells i))
    using assms by (metis 4 dual-order.refl enat-ord-code(3) enat-the-enat)
have 6: nlast (addzero (lsum nells 0)) ≤ nlength (nfusecat nells)
    unfolding addzero-def using 3 2 5
    by simp
have 7: nlast (addzero (lsum nells 0)) =
    (nnth (addzero (lsum nells 0)) (the-enat (nlength (addzero (lsum nells 0))))))
    by (simp add: addzero-def assms(4) nfinite-lsum-conv-a nnth-nlast)
show ?thesis using assms 6 7 by auto
qed

lemma nfusecat-nlength-b:
assumes nlastnfirst nells
    all-nfinite nells
    1 ≤ i
    i ≤ nlength nells
    j ≤ nlength(nnth nells i)
    nfinite nells
    all-gr-zero nells
shows (nnth ((lsum nells 0) ) (i-1) + j ≤ nlength (nfusecat nells))
proof –
have 0: i-1 ≤ nlength nells
    by (metis Suc-ileq assms(3) assms(4) le-add-diff-inverse order-less-imp-le plus-1-eq-Suc)
have 1: ( nnth ((lsum nells 0) ) (i-1) = (∑ k::nat= 0..(i-1). the-enat(nlength(nnth nells k)))) )
    using 0 lsum-nnth[of i-1 nells 0 ] assms by presburger
have 2: nlength (nfusecat nells) = (∑ k::nat= 0..(the-enat(nlength nells)). nlength(nnth nells k))
    using assms nfusecat-nlength-nfinite by metis

```

```

have 20:  $(\sum k::nat = 0..(i). \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth} \text{nells} k))) =$ 
 $(\sum k = 0..i - 1. \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth} \text{nells} k))) +$ 
 $\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth} \text{nells} (\text{Suc}(i - 1))))$ 
using assms sum.atLeast0-atMost-Suc[of  $\lambda k. \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth} \text{nells} k))$   $i - 1$ ] by simp
have 21:  $(\sum k = 0..i - 1. \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth} \text{nells} k))) < \infty$ 
using enat-ord-code(4) by blast
have 22:  $\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth} \text{nells} (\text{Suc}(i - 1)))) < \infty$ 
by auto
have 23:  $(\sum k::nat = 0..(i). \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth} \text{nells} k))) < \infty$ 
using enat-ord-simps(4) by blast
have 3:  $(\sum k::nat = 0..(i - 1). \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth} \text{nells} k))) + j \leq$ 
 $(\sum k::nat = 0..(i). \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth} \text{nells} k)))$ 
using 20 assms by simp
 $(\text{metis (no-types, lifting) all-nfinite-nnth-b enat-ord-simps(1) enat-the-enat infinity-ileE}$ 
 $\text{ndropn-eq-NNil ndropn-nlast})$ 
have 4:  $(\sum k::nat = 0..(i). \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth} \text{nells} k))) \leq$ 
 $(\sum k::nat = 0..(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength} \text{nells})). \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth} \text{nells} k)))$ 
using sum.ub-add-nat[of  $0 i \lambda k. \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth} \text{nells} k)) (\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength} \text{nells})) - i$ ]
using assms(4) assms(6) nfinite-nlength-enat by fastforce
have 5:  $(\sum k::nat = 0..(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength} \text{nells})). \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth} \text{nells} k))) \leq$ 
 $(\sum k::nat = 0..(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength} \text{nells})). \text{nlength}(\text{nnth} \text{nells} k))$ 
using sum-the-enat[of  $\text{nells} (\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength} \text{nells}))$ ] assms
by (metis leI less-enatE order-less-imp-not-eq the-enat.simps)
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 4 5 by simp
 $(\text{meson dual-order.trans enat-ord-simps(1)})$ 
qed

```

```

lemma nfusecat-nlength-b-alt:
assumes nlastnfirst nells
    all-nfinite nells
     $1 \leq i$ 
     $i \leq \text{nlength} \text{nells}$ 
     $j \leq \text{nlength}(\text{nnth} \text{nells} i)$ 
     $\neg \text{nfinite} \text{nells}$ 
    all-gr-zero nells
shows nnth ((lsum nells 0)  $(i - 1) + j \leq \text{nlength}(\text{nfusecat} \text{nells})$ )
proof -
have 1:  $\neg \text{nfinite}(\text{nfusecat} \text{nells})$ 
using assms by (metis nfusecat-nfinite)
show ?thesis
by (meson 1 enat-ile linorder-le-cases nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
qed

```

```

lemma pfilt-nfusecat-lsum-5:
assumes nlastnfirst nells
    all-gr-zero nells
    all-nfinite nells
     $(\text{enat} i) \leq \text{nlength}(\text{addzero}(\text{lsum} \text{nells} 0))$ 
    nfinite nells

```

```

shows  (nnth (addzero (lsum nells 0)) i) ≤ nlength (nfusecat nells)
using assms
proof -
have 2: nlength (nfusecat nells) = (∑ i = 0..the-enat (nlength nells). nnth nells i)
  using assms nfusecat-nlength-nfinite by blast
have 3: nlength nells > 0 ==> nlength (lsum nells 0) > 0
  by (simp add: lsum-nlength)
have 4: nlength nells > 0 ==> (nnth (addzero (lsum nells 0)) i) = nnth (NCons 0 (lsum nells 0)) i
  using assms unfolding addzero-def using 3 by simp
have 5: nlength nells > 0 ∧ i=0 ==> (nnth (addzero (lsum nells 0)) i) = 0
  using 4 by auto
have 6: nlength nells > 0 ∧ i>0 ==> (nnth (addzero (lsum nells 0)) i) = nnth (lsum nells 0) (i - 1)
  by (metis 4 Suc-diff-1 nnth-Suc-NCons)
have 7: nlength nells > 0 ∧ i>0 ==> nnth (lsum nells 0) (i - 1) =
  (∑ k = 0..(i-1). the-enat (nlength (nnth nells k)))
  using assms lsum-nnth[of i-1 nells 0]
  by (metis Suc-diff-1 Suc-ile-eq add-zero-def i0-less iless-Suc-eq lsum-nlength
    nlength-NCons)
have 8: nlength nells > 0 ∧ i>0 ==>
  (∑ k = 0..(i-1). the-enat (nlength (nnth nells k))) =
  the-enat(∑ k = 0..(i-1). (nlength (nnth nells k)))
  using assms sum-the-enat[of nells i-1 ]
  by (metis Suc-diff-1 Suc-ile-eq addzero-def i0-less iless-Suc-eq lsum-nlength nlength-NCons)
have 9: nlength nells > 0 ∧ i>0 ==> nnth (lsum nells 0) (i - 1) ≤ nlength (nfusecat nells)
  using assms nfusecat-nlength-b[of nells i 0] pfilt-nfusecat-lsum-4[of nells]
  3 4 6 lsum-nlength[of nells] unfolding addzero-def by simp
  (metis iless-Suc-eq order.order-iff-strict the-enat.simps zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 10: nlength nells = 0 ∧ i = 0 ==> nnth (NCons 0 (lsum nells 0)) i ≤ nlength (nfusecat nells)
  using assms zero-enat-def by auto
have 11: nlength nells = 0 ∧ i = 1 ==> nnth (NCons 0 (lsum nells 0)) i ≤ nlength (nfusecat nells)
  using assms
  by (metis addzero-def lsum-nlength nlength-NCons not-one-le-zero one-eSuc one-enat-def
    pfilt-nfusecat-lsum-4 the-enat.simps)
have 12: nfinite (nfirst nells)
  by (metis assms(3) assms(5) nconcat-expand nfinite-nappend nfinite-nconcat)
have 13: nlength (nfirst nells) > 0
  using assms
  by (metis in-nset-conv-nnth nlast-NNil ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 14: nlength nells = 0 ==> i ≤ 1
  using assms lsum-addzero-nlength[of nells]
  by (metis 12 13 enat-ord-simps(1) one-enat-def)
have 15: nnth (NCons 0 (lsum nells 0)) i ≤ nlength (nfusecat nells)
  by (metis 10 11 14 4 5 6 9 One-nat-def Suc-leI dual-order.antisym not-gr-zero
    zero-enat-def zero-le)
show ?thesis
by (metis 12 13 15 assms(4) gr-zeroI lsum-addzero-nnth)
qed

```

lemma pfilt-nfusecat-lsum-5-alt:
assumes nlastnfirst (nells)

```

all-gr-zero nells
all-nfinite nells
(enat i) ≤ nlength (addzero (lsum nells 0))
¬nfinite nells
shows (nnth (addzero (lsum nells 0)) i) ≤ nlength (nfusecat nells)
using assms
by (metis enat-ile linorder-le-cases nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nfusecat-nfinite)

lemma lsum-shift:
assumes nlastnfirst nells
  all-gr-zero nells
  all-nfinite nells
  i≤nlength nells
shows nnth (lsum nells a) i = a + nnth (lsum nells 0) i
using assms by (simp add: lsum-nnth)

lemma lsum-nfusecat-nnth-lsum-nnth:
assumes nlastnfirst nells
  all-gr-zero nells
  all-nfinite nells
  i≤nlength nells
  j≤ nlength(nnth nells i)
shows (nnth (nfusecat nells) ((nnth (addzero (lsum nells 0)) i) + j)) = (nnth (nnth nells i) j)
using assms
proof (induction i arbitrary: nells j)
case 0
then show ?case
  proof (cases nells)
  case (NNil x1)
  then show ?thesis using 0 by simp
    (metis add-cancel-right-left addzero-def ndropn-nfirst ndropn-nlast nfinite-NNil nlast-NNil
     nnth-0 nnth-NNil)
next
case (NCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis using 0 by simp
  (metis 0.prems(1) 0.prems(2) 0.prems(3) add-cancel-right-left addzero-def eSuc-ne-0
   nfirst-nfusecat-nfirst nfuse-nnth nfusecat-NCons nfusecat-nlength-a nlength-NCons nnth-0)
qed
next
case (Suc i)
then show ?case
  proof (cases nells)
  case (NNil x1)
  then show ?thesis using Suc by (simp add: zero-enat-def)
next
case (NCons x21 x22)
then show ?thesis
  proof -

```

```

have 0:  $(0::\text{enat}) < \text{nlength } \text{nells}$ 
  by (simp add: NCons)
have 1:  $\text{nlastnfirst } x22$ 
  using NCons Suc.prems(1) by auto
have 2:  $\text{all-gr-zero } x22$ 
  using NCons Suc.prems(2) by auto
have 3:  $\text{all-nfinite } x22$ 
  using NCons Suc.prems(3) by auto
have 4:  $\text{enat } (i::\text{nat}) \leq \text{nlength } x22$ 
  using NCons Suc.prems(4) Suc-ile-eq by auto
have 5:  $\text{nnth } (\text{nfusecat } \text{nells}) (\text{nnth } (\text{addzero } (\text{lsum } \text{nells } (0::\text{nat}))) (\text{Suc } i) + j) =$ 
   $\text{nnth } (\text{nfuse } x21 (\text{nfusecat } x22)) (\text{nnth } (\text{addzero } (\text{lsum } \text{nells } (0::\text{nat}))) (\text{Suc } i) + j)$ 
  by (simp add: NCons)
have 6:  $\text{nlength } (\text{addzero } (\text{lsum } \text{nells } (0::\text{nat}))) = \text{nlength } \text{nells} + (1::\text{enat})$ 
  using lsum-addzero-nlength[of nells]
  by (metis NCons lsum-addzero-NCons lsum-nlength nlength-NCons plus-1-eSuc(2))
have 7:  $\text{enat } (\text{Suc } (i::\text{nat})) \leq \text{nlength } (\text{addzero } (\text{lsum } (\text{nells}:'a \text{ nellist nellist} ) (0::\text{nat})))$ 
  by (simp add: 6 Suc.prems(4) order-less-imp-le plus-1-eSuc(2))
have 8:  $\text{nnth } (\text{addzero } (\text{lsum } \text{nells } (0::\text{nat}))) (\text{Suc } i) =$ 
   $\text{nnth } (\text{NCons } (0::\text{nat}) (\text{lsum } \text{nells } (0::\text{nat}))) (\text{Suc } i)$ 
  using lsum-addzero-nnth[of (Suc i) nells] by (metis NCons lsum-addzero-NCons)
have 9:  $\text{nnth } (\text{NCons } (0::\text{nat}) (\text{lsum } \text{nells } (0::\text{nat}))) (\text{Suc } i) = \text{nnth } (\text{lsum } \text{nells } 0) i$ 
  by simp
have 10:  $\text{nnth } (\text{lsum } \text{nells } 0) i = (\sum k::\text{nat} = 0::\text{nat}..i. \text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } (\text{nnth } \text{nells } k)))$ 
  using lsum-nnth[of i nells 0]
  by (metis Suc.prems(3) Suc.prems(4) Suc-ile-eq add-0 order-less-imp-le)
have 11:  $\text{nlength } x21 \leq (\sum k::\text{nat} = 0::\text{nat}..i. \text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } (\text{nnth } \text{nells } k))) + j$ 
  proof (cases i)
    case 0
    then show ?thesis using NCons by simp
      (metis Suc.prems(3) enat-ord-simps(1) enat-the-enat infinity-ileE le-add1 ndropn-eq-NNil
       ndropn-nlast nellist.set-intros(2))
    next
    case (Suc nat)
    then show ?thesis
      proof -
        have 12:  $(\sum k::\text{nat} = 0::\text{nat}..i. \text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } (\text{nnth } \text{nells } k))) =$ 
           $\text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } (\text{nnth } \text{nells } 0)) +$ 
           $(\sum k::\text{nat} = 1::\text{nat}..i. \text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } (\text{nnth } \text{nells } k)))$ 
          by (simp add: sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost)
        have 13:  $(\text{nlength } (\text{nnth } \text{nells } 0)) = \text{nlength } x21$ 
          by (simp add: NCons)
        have 14:  $\text{nlength } x21 \leq$ 
           $\text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } x21) +$ 
           $(\sum k::\text{nat} = 1::\text{nat}..i. \text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } (\text{nnth } \text{nells } k))) + j$ 
          using NCons Suc.prems(3) nfinite-nlength-enat by fastforce
        show ?thesis
          using 12 13 14 by presburger
        qed
      qed

```

```

have 15:  $nlast\ x21 = nfirst\ (nfusecat\ x22)$ 
  by (metis NCons Suc.prems(1) nfirst-nfusecat-nfirst nlastnfirst-LCons)
have 16:  $enat\ (nnth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ nells\ 0)))\ (Suc\ i) + j \leq nlength\ (nfuse\ x21\ (nfusecat\ x22))$ 
  by (metis 8 9 NCons Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(2) Suc.prems(3) Suc.prems(4) Suc.prems(5)
    add-diff-cancel-left' le-add1 nfusecat-NCons nfusecat-nlength-b nfusecat-nlength-b-alt
    plus-1-eq-Suc)
have 17:  $nlength\ x21 \leq enat\ (nnth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ nells\ (0::nat)))\ (Suc\ i) + j)$ 
  using 10 11 8 9 by presburger
have 18:  $nnth\ (nfuse\ x21\ (nfusecat\ x22))\ (nnth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ nells\ (0::nat)))\ (Suc\ i) + j) =$ 
   $nnth\ (nfusecat\ x22)\ (nnth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ nells\ (0::nat)))\ (Suc\ i) + j - (\text{the-enat}(nlength\ x21)))$ 
  using nfuse-nnth-var[of (nnth (addzero (lsum nells (0::nat))) (Suc i) + j) x21 nfusecat x22]
  using 15 16 17 by blast
have 19:  $i=0 \implies nnth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ nells\ (0::nat)))\ (Suc\ i) = (\text{the-enat}(nlength\ x21))$ 
  using 8 NCons by auto
have 20:  $i=0 \implies nnth\ (nfusecat\ x22)\ 0 = nnth\ (nnth\ x22\ i)\ 0$ 
  using Suc.IH[of x22 0]
  by (metis 1 2 3 4 add.right-neutral all-gr-zero-nnth-b lsum-addzero-NCons
    lsum-addzero-nfirst nnth-0 ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast order-less-imp-le zero-enat-def)
have 21:  $i=0 \implies ?thesis$ 
  by (metis 1 18 19 2 3 4 5 NCons Suc.IH Suc.prems(5) add.commute add.right-neutral
    add-diff-cancel-left' lsum-addzero-NCons lsum-addzero-nfirst nnth-0 nnth-Suc-NCons ntaken-0
    ntaken-nlast)
have 22:  $0 < i \implies (\sum k::nat = 0::nat..i. \text{the-enat}\ (nlength\ (nnth\ nells\ k))) =$ 
   $(\text{the-enat}(nlength\ x21)) + (\sum k::nat = 0::nat..(i-1). \text{the-enat}\ (nlength\ (nnth\ x22\ k)))$ 
proof –
  assume a1:  $0 < i$ 
have 23:  $(\sum k::nat = 0::nat..i. \text{the-enat}\ (nlength\ (nnth\ nells\ k))) =$ 
   $\text{the-enat}(nlength\ x21) + (\sum k::nat = 1::nat..i. \text{the-enat}\ (nlength\ (nnth\ nells\ k)))$ 
  by (simp add: NCons sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost)
have 24:  $(\sum k::nat = 1::nat..i. \text{the-enat}\ (nlength\ (nnth\ nells\ k))) =$ 
   $(\sum k::nat = 0::nat..(i-1). \text{the-enat}\ (nlength\ (nnth\ nells\ (k+1))))$ 
  using sum.shift-bounds-cl-nat-ivl[of  $\lambda k . \text{the-enat}\ (nlength\ (nnth\ nells\ k))$  0 1 i-1]
  by (simp add: a1)
have 25:  $(\sum k::nat = 0::nat..(i-1). \text{the-enat}\ (nlength\ (nnth\ nells\ (k+1)))) =$ 
   $(\sum k::nat = 0::nat..(i-1). \text{the-enat}\ (nlength\ (nnth\ x22\ (k))))$ 
  using NCons by auto
show ?thesis
  using 23 24 25 by presburger
qed
have 26:  $0 < i \implies nnth\ (addzero\ (lsum\ nells\ (0::nat)))\ (Suc\ i) - (\text{the-enat}(nlength\ x21)) =$ 
   $(\sum k::nat = 0::nat..(i-1). \text{the-enat}\ (nlength\ (nnth\ x22\ (k))))$ 
  by (simp add: 10 22 8)
have 260:  $0 < nfirst\ (lsum\ x22\ 0)$ 
  proof (cases x22)
    case (NNil x1)
    then show ?thesis by simp
      (metis 2 3 NNil-eq-ntake-iff enat-the-enat gr0I infinity-ileE less-numeral-extra(3)
        ndropn-eq-NNil ndropn-nlast nellist.set-intros(1) nlast-NNil zero-enat-def)
  next
    case (NCons x21 x22)

```

```

then show ?thesis by simp
  (metis 2 3 gr0I less-numeral-extra(3) ndropn-nfirst ndropn-nfuse ndropn-nlast
   nellist.set-intros(2) nfinite-NNil nfuse-leftneutral nlast-NNil nlength-NNil nnth-0 the-enat-0)
qed

have 27:  $0 < i \implies (\sum k::nat = 0::nat..(i-1). \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth } x22(k)))) =$ 
   $\text{nnth}(\text{addzero}(\text{lsum } x22\ 0))(i)$ 
unfolding addzero-def using NCCons 26 3 4 8 260 apply simp
using lsum-nnth
by (metis Suc-ile-eq Suc-pred add-0 nnth-Suc-NCCons order-less-imp-le)

have 28:  $0 < i \implies$ 
   $\text{nnth}(\text{nfusecat } x22)(\text{nnth}(\text{addzero}(\text{lsum } \text{nells}(0::nat)))(\text{Suc } i) + j - (\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } x21))) =$ 
   $=$ 
   $\text{nnth}(\text{nnth } x22\ i)\ j$ 
using Suc.IH[of x22 j]
by (metis 1 10 2 22 26 27 3 4 8 NCCons Nat.add-diff-assoc2 Suc.prems(5)
  le-add1 nnth-Suc-NCCons)

have 29:  $0 < i \implies$  ?thesis
using 18 28 NCCons by fastforce
show ?thesis
using 21 29 by blast
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma lcppl-lsum-less-th-equal:

assumes nidx ls

$\text{nnth } ls\ 0 = 0$

$\text{nfinite } ls$

$\text{nfinite } \sigma$

$\text{nlast } ls = (\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \sigma))$

$(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. (\text{nsubn } \sigma(\text{nnth } ls\ i) (\text{nnth } ls(\text{Suc } i))) \models f \text{ fproj } g)$

$\text{nlength } \sigma > 0$

$i < \text{nlength}(\text{addzero}(\text{lsum}(\text{lcppl } f\ g\ \sigma\ ls)\ 0))$

shows $(\text{nnth}(\text{addzero}(\text{lsum}(\text{lcppl } f\ g\ \sigma\ ls)\ 0))(\text{Suc } i)) \leq$
 $\text{nlength}(\text{nfusecat}(\text{lcppl } f\ g\ \sigma\ ls))$

proof –

have 1: $\text{nlastnfirst}(\text{lcppl } f\ g\ \sigma\ ls)$

using assms

by (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat i0-less lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst
 nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nnth-nlast the-enat-0)

have 2: all-gr-zero ($\text{lcppl } f\ g\ \sigma\ ls$)

using assms all-gr-zero-nnth-a lcppl-nlength-all-gr-zero **by** blast

have 3: all-nfinite ($\text{lcppl } f\ g\ \sigma\ ls$)

using assms all-nfinite-nnth-a lcppl-nlength-all-nfinite **by** blast

have 4: $\text{enat}(\text{Suc } (i::nat)) \leq \text{nlength}(\text{addzero}(\text{lsum}(\text{lcppl } f\ g\ \sigma\ ls)\ (0::nat)))$

using assms **using** Suc-ile-eq **by** blast

have 5: nfinite ($\text{lcppl } f\ g\ \sigma\ ls$)

using assms **using** lcppl-nfinite **by** blast

show ?thesis **using**

```

pfilt-nfusecat-lsum-5[of (lcppl f g σ ls) Suc i ]
using 1 2 3 4 5 by blast
qed

lemma lcppl-lsum-less-th-equal-alt:
assumes nidx ls
nth ls 0 = 0
¬nfinite ls
¬nfinite σ
(∀ i < nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ) ≡ f fproj g)
i < nlength (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0))
shows (nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) (Suc i)) ≤
nlength( (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls)))
proof -
have 1: nlastnfirst (lcppl f g σ ls)
using assms
using lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst-alt by blast
have 2: all-gr-zero (lcppl f g σ ls)
using assms all-gr-zero-nnth-a lcppl-nlength-all-gr-zero-alt by blast
have 3: all-nfinite (lcppl f g σ ls)
using assms all-nfinite-nnth-a lcppl-nlength-all-nfinite-alt by blast
have 4: enat (Suc (i::nat)) ≤ nlength (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) (0::nat)))
using assms using Suc-ileq by blast
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 4 pfilt-nfusecat-lsum-5-alt using assms(1) assms(3) lcppl-nfinite by blast
qed

lemma lcppl-lsum-nlength:
assumes nidx ls
nth ls 0 = 0
nfinite ls
nfinite σ
nlast ls = (the-enat (nlength σ))
(∀ i < nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ) ≡ f fproj g)
nlength σ > 0
shows nlength (addzero (lsum ((lcppl f g σ ls)) 0)) = nlength ls
proof -
have 1: nlength σ > 0 → nlength (lcppl f g σ ls) = nlength ls - 1
using assms
by (metis epred-0 epred-conv-minus i0-less lcppl-nlength lcppl-nlength-zero)
have 2: nlength σ > 0 → nlength ls > 0
using assms
by (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat gr-zeroI nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nnth-nlast the-enat-0)
have 3: nlength σ > 0 → nlength (nfirst (lcppl f g σ ls)) > 0
using assms
by (metis lcppl-nlength-all-gr-zero ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst nfinite-nlength-enat the-enat.simps
zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 30: nlastnfirst (lcppl f g σ ls)
using 2 assms lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst by blast

```

```

have 31:  $nfinite(nfirst(lcppl f g \sigma ls))$ 
  using assms
  by (metis all-nfinite-nnth-a lcppl-nfinite lcppl-nlength-all-nfinite nconcat-expand
    nfinite-nappend nfinite-nconcat)
have 4:  $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow nlength(lcppl f g \sigma ls) = 0 \rightarrow$ 
   $nlength(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) = nlength ls$ 
  using 1 2 3 assms lsum-addzero-nlength[of (lcppl f g \sigma ls)] 30 31
  by (metis co.enat.exhaust-sel i0-less lcppl-nlength one-eSuc)
have 5:  $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow nlength(lcppl f g \sigma ls) > 0 \rightarrow$ 
   $nlength(addzero(lsum((lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0))) = nlength ls$ 
  using assms
  by (metis 2 4 addzero-def co.enat.exhaust-sel lcppl-nlength lcppl-nlength-zero lsum-nlength
    nlength-NCCons)
show ?thesis using 4 5
using assms(7) gr-zeroI by blast
qed

```

```

lemma lcppl-lsum-nlength-alt:
assumes nidx ls
  nth ls 0 = 0
   $\neg nfinite ls$ 
   $\neg nfinite \sigma$ 
   $(\forall i < nlength ls. (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \models f fproj g)$ 
shows  $nlength(addzero(lsum((lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0))) = nlength ls$ 
proof -
have 1:  $nlength(lcppl f g \sigma ls) = nlength ls - 1$ 
  using assms
  by (simp add: epred-conv-minus lcppl-nlength-alt)
have 2:  $nlength ls > 0$ 
  using assms
  by (simp add: nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
have 3:  $nlength(nfirst(lcppl f g \sigma ls)) > 0$ 
  using assms lcppl-nlength-all-gr-zero-alt[of ls \sigma f g]
  by (metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 4:  $nlength(lcppl f g \sigma ls) = 0 \rightarrow$ 
   $nlength(addzero(lsum((lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0))) = nlength ls$ 
  using 1 2 3 assms
  by (metis lcppl-nfinite nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD zero-enat-def)
have 5:  $nlength(lcppl f g \sigma ls) > 0 \rightarrow$ 
   $nlength(addzero(lsum((lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0))) = nlength ls$ 
  using assms
  by (metis 4 addzero-def co.enat.exhaust-sel lcppl-nlength-alt lcppl-nlength-zero lsum-nlength
    nlength-NCCons)
show ?thesis using 4 5
using assms gr-zeroI by blast
qed

```

```

lemma lcppl-lsum-nnth:
assumes nidx ls
  nth ls 0 = 0

```

$nfinite\ ls$
 $nfinite\ \sigma$
 $nlast\ ls = the-enat(nlength\ \sigma)$
 $(\forall i < nlength\ ls. (nsubn\ \sigma\ (nnth\ ls\ i)\ (nnth\ ls\ (Suc\ i))) \models f\ fproj\ g)$
 $nlength\ \sigma > 0$
 $j \leq nlength\ (addzero\ (lsum\ ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls)\ 0))$
shows $(j=0 \rightarrow$
 $(nnth\ (pfilt\ (nfusecat\ ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls)))\ (addzero\ (lsum\ ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls)\ 0)))\ j) =$
 $nfirst\ (nfirst\ ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls))$)
 \wedge
 $(j > 0 \rightarrow (nnth\ (pfilt\ (nfusecat\ ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls)))\ (addzero\ (lsum\ ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls)\ 0)))\ j) =$
 $nlast\ (nnth\ ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls)\ (j-1))$

proof –

have $0: nlength\ \sigma > 0 \rightarrow nlength\ ls > 0$

using assms

by (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat gr-zeroI nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nnth-nlast the-enat-0)

have $1: nlength\ \sigma > 0 \rightarrow nlastnfirst\ ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls)$

using 0 **assms**

by (simp add: enat-the-enat lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)

have $2: nlength\ \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$

$(\forall j \leq nlength\ ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls). nlength\ (nnth\ ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls)\ j) > 0)$

using assms

by (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat lcppl-nlength-all-gr-zero nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)

have $3: nlength\ \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$

$nlength\ (addzero\ (lsum\ ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls)\ 0)) =$
 $nlength\ ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls) + 1$

using assms

by (metis 0 co.enat.exhaustsel i0-less lcppl-lsum-nlength lcppl-nlength plus-1-eSuc(2))

have $4: nlength\ \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$

$(nnth\ (pfilt\ (nfusecat\ ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls)))\ (addzero\ (lsum\ ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls)\ 0)))\ 0) =$
 $nfirst\ (nfirst\ ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls))$

by (metis lsum-addzero-nfirst nfirst-nfusecat-nfirst nlast-NNil ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast pfilt-nnth zero-enat-def zero-le)

have $5: nlength\ \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$

$j \leq nlength\ (addzero\ (lsum\ ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls)\ 0)) \wedge j > 0 \rightarrow$
 $(nnth\ (pfilt\ (nfusecat\ ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls)))\ (addzero\ (lsum\ ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls)\ 0)))\ (j))$
 $= nlast\ (nnth\ ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls)\ (j-1))$

using assms pfilt-nfusecat-lsum-3[of ((lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma)\ ls)\ j]

by (metis 1 2 all-gr-zero-nnth-a all-nfinite-nnth-a lcppl-nlength-all-nfinite)

from 4 5 **show** ?thesis **using assms**

by blast

qed

lemma lcppl-lsum-nnth-alt:

assumes $nidx\ ls$

$nnth\ ls\ 0 = 0$

$\neg nfinite\ ls$

$\neg nfinite\ \sigma$

$(\forall i < nlength\ ls. (nsubn\ \sigma\ (nnth\ ls\ i)\ (nnth\ ls\ (Suc\ i))) \models f\ fproj\ g)$

$j \leq nlength (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0))$
shows $(j=0 \rightarrow (nnth (pfilt (nfusecat ((lcppl f g \sigma ls)))) (addzero(lsum ((lcppl f g \sigma ls)) 0))) j = nfirst(nfirst (lcppl f g \sigma ls)))$
 \wedge
 $(j>0 \rightarrow (nnth (pfilt (nfusecat ((lcppl f g \sigma ls)))) (addzero(lsum ((lcppl f g \sigma ls)) 0))) j = nlast(nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) (j-1)))$
proof -
have 1: $nlastnfirst (lcppl f g \sigma ls)$
using assms
using lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst-alt by blast
have 2: $(\forall j \leq nlength (lcppl f g \sigma ls). nlength(nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) j) > 0)$
using assms lcppl-nlength-all-gr-zero-alt by blast
have 3: $nlength (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) = nlength (lcppl f g \sigma ls) + 1$
using assms
by (simp add: lcppl-lsum-nlength-alt lcppl-nlength-alt nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
have 4: $(nnth (pfilt (nfusecat ((lcppl f g \sigma ls)))) (addzero(lsum ((lcppl f g \sigma ls)) 0))) 0 = nfirst(nfirst (lcppl f g \sigma ls))$
by (metis lsum-addzero-nfirst nfusecat-nfirst nlast-NNil ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast pfilt-nnth zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 5: $j \leq nlength (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) \wedge j>0 \rightarrow (nnth (pfilt (nfusecat ((lcppl f g \sigma ls)))) (addzero(lsum ((lcppl f g \sigma ls)) 0))) (j)) = nlast(nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) (j-1))$
using assms pfilt-nfusecat-lsum-3[of (lcppl f g \sigma ls) j]
using 1 2 all-gr-zero-nnth-a all-nfinite-nnth-a lcppl-nlength-all-nfinite-alt by blast
from 4 5 show ?thesis using assms
by blast
qed

lemma lcppl-lsum-nnth-a:
assumes $nidx ls$
 $nnth ls 0 = 0$
 $nfinite ls$
 $nfinite \sigma$
 $nlast ls = the-enat(nlength \sigma)$
 $(\forall i < nlength ls. (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \models f fproj g)$
 $nlength \sigma > 0$
 $j \leq nlength ls$
shows $(nnth (pfilt (nfusecat ((lcppl f g \sigma ls)))) (addzero(lsum ((lcppl f g \sigma ls)) 0))) j = (nnth ls j)$
proof -
have 1: $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow nlength ls > 0$
using assms
by (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat nfinite-nlength-enat nnth-nlast the-enat-0 zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
have 2: $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow nlength (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) = nlength ls$
by (simp add: assms lcppl-lsum-nlength)
have 3: $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$
 $j \leq nlength ls \rightarrow$
 $(j=0 \rightarrow$

```

(nnth (pfilt (nfusecat ((lcppl f g σ ls))) (addzero(lsum ((lcppl f g σ ls)) 0))) j) =
nfirst(nfirst (lcppl f g σ ls)) )
^
(j>0 → (nnth (pfilt (nfusecat ((lcppl f g σ ls))) (addzero(lsum ((lcppl f g σ ls)) 0))) j) =
nlast(nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) (j-1)))
by (metis 2 assms lcppl-lsum-nnth)
have 4: nlength σ>0 →
j ≤ nlength ls ∧ j=0 → nfirst(nfirst (lcppl f g σ ls)) = (nnth ls j)
using assms lcppl-nfirst[of ls σ f g]
by (metis 1 nlast-NNil ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast)
have 5: nlength σ>0 →
j ≤ nlength ls ∧ j>0 → j-1 ≤ nlength (lcppl f g σ ls)
using assms
by (metis 1 Suc-diff-1 Suc-ile-eq co.enat.exhaust-sel i0-less iless-Suc-eq lcppl-nlength)
have 6: nlength σ>0 →
j ≤ nlength ls ∧ j>0 → nlast(nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) (j-1)) = (nnth ls j)
using lcppl-nlast-nnth assms
by (metis 5 Suc-diff-1 enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
show ?thesis
using 3 4 6 using assms
by (metis not-gr-zero)
qed

```

```

lemma lcppl-lsum-nnth-a-alt:
assumes nidx ls
nnth ls 0 = 0
¬nfinite ls
¬nfinite σ
(∀ i<nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ) ⊢ f fproj g)
j ≤ nlength ls
shows (nnth (pfilt (nfusecat ((lcppl f g σ ls))) (addzero(lsum ((lcppl f g σ ls)) 0))) j) =
(nnth ls j)
proof –
have 2: nlength (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) = nlength ls
by (simp add: assms lcppl-lsum-nlength-alt)
have 3: j ≤ nlength ls →
(j=0 →
(nnth (pfilt (nfusecat ((lcppl f g σ ls))) (addzero(lsum ((lcppl f g σ ls)) 0))) j) =
nfirst(nfirst (lcppl f g σ ls)) )
^
(j>0 → (nnth (pfilt (nfusecat ((lcppl f g σ ls))) (addzero(lsum ((lcppl f g σ ls)) 0))) j) =
nlast(nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) (j-1)))
by (metis 2 assms lcppl-lsum-nnth-alt)
have 4: j ≤ nlength ls ∧ j=0 → nfirst(nfirst (lcppl f g σ ls)) = (nnth ls j)
using assms lcppl-nfirst-alt[of ls σ f g] by (metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst)
have 5: j ≤ nlength ls ∧ j>0 → j-1 ≤ nlength (lcppl f g σ ls)
using assms
by (meson enat-ile lcppl-nfinite linorder-le-cases nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
have 6: j ≤ nlength ls ∧ j>0 → nlast(nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) (j-1)) = (nnth ls j)
using lcppl-nlast-nnth-alt assms

```

```

by (metis 5 Suc-pred')
show ?thesis
using 3 4 6 using assms
by (metis not-gr-zero)
qed

lemma lcppl-pfilt-nfusecat-lsum:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  nfinite ls
  nfinite σ
  nlast ls = the-enat( nlength σ)
  (forall i < nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ) ⊢ f fproj g)
  nlength σ > 0
shows (pfilt (nfusecat ((lcppl f g σ ls))) (addzero(lsum ((lcppl f g σ ls)) 0))) = ls
using assms
proof -
  have 0: nlength σ > 0 → nlength ls > 0
    using assms
    by (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat gr-zeroI nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nnth-nlast the-enat-0)
  have 1: nlength σ > 0 →
    nlength (pfilt (nfusecat ((lcppl f g σ ls))) (addzero(lsum ((lcppl f g σ ls)) 0))) = nlength ls
    using assms
    by (simp add: lcppl-lsum-nlength pfilt-nlength)
  have 2: nlength σ > 0 →
    (forall j. j ≤ nlength ls →
      (nnth (pfilt (nfusecat ((lcppl f g σ ls))) (addzero(lsum ((lcppl f g σ ls)) 0)))) j) =
      (nnth ls j))
    by (simp add: assms lcppl-lsum-nnth-a)
  from 1 2 show ?thesis using assms nellist-eq-nnth-eq
  by metis
qed

lemma lcppl-pfilt-nfusecat-lsum-alt:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  ¬nfinite ls
  ¬nfinite σ
  (forall i < nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ) ⊢ f fproj g)
shows (pfilt (nfusecat ((lcppl f g σ ls))) (addzero(lsum ((lcppl f g σ ls)) 0))) = ls
using assms
proof -
  have 1: nlength (pfilt (nfusecat ((lcppl f g σ ls))) (addzero(lsum ((lcppl f g σ ls)) 0))) = nlength ls
    using assms by (simp add: lcppl-lsum-nlength-alt pfilt-nlength)
  have 2: (forall j. j ≤ nlength ls →
    (nnth (pfilt (nfusecat ((lcppl f g σ ls))) (addzero(lsum ((lcppl f g σ ls)) 0)))) j) =
    (nnth ls j))
    by (simp add: assms lcppl-lsum-nnth-a-alt)
  from 1 2 show ?thesis using assms nellist-eq-nnth-eq
  by metis

```

qed

lemma *lcppl-nfusecat-pfilt-powerinterval*:
assumes *nidx ls*
nth ls 0 = 0
nfinite ls
nfinite σ
nlast ls = the-enat (nlength σ)
 $(\forall i < nlength ls. (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \models f \text{ fproj } g)$
nlength σ > 0
shows *powerinterval g (pfilt σ (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls))) (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0))*
proof –
have *0: nlength σ > 0 → nlength ls > 0*
using assms
by (*metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat gr-zeroI nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nnth-nlast the-enat-0*)
have *01: (∀ i < nlength ls.*
 $g (\text{pfilt} (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) (\text{cppl} f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))))$
using assms cppl-fprojection by auto
have *02: nlength σ > 0 → (∀ i < nlength ls. g (pfilt σ (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i)))*
using 0 assms lcppl-nfusecat-pfilt-fpower-help
by (*metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat nfinite-conv-nlength-enat*)
have *03 : powerinterval g (pfilt σ (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls))) (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) =*
 $(\forall i < nlength (\text{addzero} (\text{lsum} (\text{lcppl} f g \sigma ls) 0)).$
 $g (\text{nsubn} (\text{pfilt} \sigma (\text{nfusecat} (\text{lcppl} f g \sigma ls)))$
 $(\text{nnth} (\text{addzero} (\text{lsum} (\text{lcppl} f g \sigma ls) 0)) i)$
 $(\text{nnth} (\text{addzero} (\text{lsum} (\text{lcppl} f g \sigma ls) 0)) (\text{Suc} i))$
 $))$
by (*simp add: powerinterval-def*)
have *04: nlength σ > 0 → nlength (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) = nlength ls*
using assms lcppl-lsum-nlength by blast
have *05: nlength σ > 0 →*
 $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $(\text{pfilt} \sigma (\text{nnth} (\text{lcppl} f g \sigma ls) i)) =$
 $(\text{nsubn} (\text{pfilt} \sigma (\text{nfusecat} (\text{lcppl} f g \sigma ls)))$
 $(\text{nnth} (\text{addzero} (\text{lsum} (\text{lcppl} f g \sigma ls) 0)) i)$
 $(\text{nnth} (\text{addzero} (\text{lsum} (\text{lcppl} f g \sigma ls) 0)) (\text{Suc} i))$
 $))$
proof –
have *06: nlength σ > 0 →*
 $(\forall i < nlength ls. \text{nlength} (\text{pfilt} \sigma (\text{nnth} (\text{lcppl} f g \sigma ls) i)) =$
 $\text{nlength} (\text{nnth} (\text{lcppl} f g \sigma ls) i))$
using pfilt-nlength by blast
have *07: nlength σ > 0 →*
 $(\forall i < nlength ls. \text{nlength} (\text{nnth} (\text{lcppl} f g \sigma ls) i) =$
 $\text{nlength} (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x + (\text{nnth} ls i)) (\text{cppl} f g (\text{nsubn} \sigma (\text{nnth} ls i) (\text{nnth} ls (\text{Suc} i))))))$
using assms by (simp add: lcppl-nnth)
have *08: nlength σ > 0 →*
 $(\forall i < nlength ls.$

$nlength(nmap(\lambda x. x + (nnth ls i)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) =$
 $nlength(cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))$

using $nlength\text{-}nmap$ **by** blast
have 09: $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$
 $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $nlength(nsubn(pfilt \sigma (nfusecat(lcppl f g \sigma ls)))$
 $(nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) i)$
 $(nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) (Suc i))$
 $) =$
 $(nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) (Suc i)) -$
 $(nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) i)$)

using assms
by (metis 04 enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat lcppl-lsum-less-th-equal min.orderE
 $nsubn\text{-}nlength pfilt\text{-}nlength)$
have 10: $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$
 $(\forall i < nlength ls. (nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) (Suc i)) =$
 $(nnth(NCons 0 (lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) (Suc i)))$

using 04 addzero-def **by** auto
have 11: $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$
 $(\forall i < nlength ls. (nnth(NCons 0 (lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) (Suc i)) =$
 $(\sum k::nat = 0..(i). nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) k)))$
using 04
proof simp-all
assume $nlength \sigma \neq (0::enat) \rightarrow nlength(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) (0::nat))) = nlength ls$
show $nlength \sigma \neq (0::enat) \rightarrow$
 $(\forall i::nat. enat i < nlength ls \rightarrow enat(nnth(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) (0::nat)) i) =$
 $(\sum k::nat = 0::nat..i. nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) k)))$
proof
assume $nlength \sigma \neq (0::enat)$
show $(\forall i::nat. enat i < nlength ls \rightarrow$
 $enat(nnth(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) (0::nat)) i) =$
 $(\sum k::nat = 0::nat..i. nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) k)))$
proof
fix i
show $i < nlength ls \rightarrow$
 $enat(nnth(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) (0::nat)) i) =$
 $(\sum k::nat = 0::nat..i. nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) k))$
proof
assume $a: i < nlength ls$
show $enat(nnth(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0) i) =$
 $(\sum k = 0..i. nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) k))$
proof –
have 110: all-nfinite $(lcppl f g \sigma ls)$
using all-nfinite-nnth-a assms lcppl-nlength-all-nfinite **by** blast
have 111: $nnth(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0) i =$
 $(\sum k = 0..i. the-enat(nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) k)))$
using lsum-nnth[of $i (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0$] a assms
by (metis 0 110 add-cancel-right-left co.enat.exhaust-sel iless-Suc-eq

```

lcppl-nlength not-gr-zero)
have 112: ( $\sum k = 0..i. \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) k))) =$ 
 $\text{the-enat}(\sum k = 0..i. (\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) k)))$ 
using sum-the-enat[of (lcppl f g σ ls) i] assms
by (metis 0 110 a co.enat.exhaustsel gr-implies-not-zero iless-Suc-eq
     lcppl-nlength)
have 113: ( $\sum k = 0..i. (\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) k))) < \infty$ )
using sum-finite[of (lcppl f g σ ls) i] 0 110 assms a
by (metis co.enat.exhaustsel gr-implies-not-zero iless-Suc-eq lcppl-nlength)
have 114: enat (the-enat( $\sum k = 0..i. (\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) k)))$ ) =
 $(\sum k = 0..i. (\text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) k)))$ )
using 113 enat-ord-simps(4) enat-the-enat by blast
show ?thesis using 111 112 114 by presburger
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
have 12:  $\text{nlength } \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$ 
 $(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. (\text{nnth}(\text{addzero}(\text{lsum}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) 0)) i) =$ 
 $(\text{nnth}(\text{NCons } 0 (\text{lsum}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) 0)) i))$ 
using 04 addzero-def by auto
have 121:  $\text{nlength } \sigma > 0 \rightarrow (\text{nnth}(\text{NCons } 0 (\text{lsum}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) 0)) 0) = 0$ 
by simp
have 13:  $\text{nlength } \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$ 
 $(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. (\text{nnth}(\text{NCons } 0 (\text{lsum}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) 0)) i) =$ 
 $(\text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } 0$ 
 $\text{else } (\sum k::nat = 0..(i-1). \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) k)))$ )
using 11
by (metis 121 Suc-diff-1 Suc-ile-eq not-gr-zero order-less-imp-le zero-enat-def)
have 14:  $\text{nlength } \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$ 
 $(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls.$ 
 $(\text{nnth}(\text{addzero}(\text{lsum}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) 0)) (\text{Suc } i)) -$ 
 $(\text{nnth}(\text{addzero}(\text{lsum}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) 0)) i) =$ 
 $(\sum k::nat = 0..(i). \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) k)) -$ 
 $(\text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else}$ 
 $(\sum k::nat = 0..(i-1). \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) k)))$ )
using 10 11 12 13 by simp (metis One-nat-def idiff-enat-enat not-gr-zero)
have 15:  $\text{nlength } \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$ 
 $(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls.$ 
 $(\sum k::nat = 0..(i). \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) k)) -$ 
 $(\text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else}$ 
 $(\sum k::nat = 0..(i-1). \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) k)) =$ 
 $(\text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) 0) \text{ else}$ 
 $(\sum k::nat = 0..(i). \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) k)) -$ 
 $(\sum k::nat = 0..(i-1). \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) k)))$ )
by (simp add: Nitpick.case-nat-unfold)
have 16:  $\text{nlength } \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$ 
 $(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls.$ 

```

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) 0) \text{ else} \\
& \quad (\sum k::\text{nat} = 0..(i). \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) k)) - \\
& \quad (\sum k::\text{nat} = 0..(i-1). \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) k)))) = \\
& (\text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) 0) \text{ else} \\
& \quad \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) i)))
\end{aligned}$$

using 13 sum.cl-ivl-Suc[of $\lambda k. \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) k) 0$]
by (metis Suc-diff-1 enat.distinct(2) enat-add-sub-same less-nat-zero-code not-gr-zero)

have 17: $\text{nlength } \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. \\
& \quad (\text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) 0) \text{ else} \\
& \quad \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) i)) = \\
& \quad \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) i))
\end{aligned}$$

by (simp add: Nitpick.case-nat-unfold)

have 18: $\text{nlength } \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. \text{nlength}(\text{pfilt } \sigma (\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) i)) = \\
& \quad \text{nlength}(\text{nsubn } (\text{pfilt } \sigma (\text{nfusecat } (\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls)))) \\
& \quad (\text{nnth } (\text{addzero } (\text{lsum } (\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) 0)) i) \\
& \quad (\text{nnth } (\text{addzero } (\text{lsum } (\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) 0)) (\text{Suc } i)) \\
& \quad))
\end{aligned}$$

by (simp add: 09 14 15 16 pfilt-nlength)

have 19: $\text{nlength } \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. \\
& \quad (\forall j \leq \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) i). \\
& \quad (\text{nnth } (\text{addzero } (\text{lsum } (\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) 0)) (\text{Suc } i)) \leq \\
& \quad \text{nlength}(\text{pfilt } \sigma (\text{nfusecat } (\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls)))) \\
& \quad \text{by} (\text{simp add: 04 assms lcppl-lsum-less-th-equal pfilt-nlength}) \\
& \quad \text{have 22: } \text{nlength } \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow \text{nlastnfirst } (\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) \\
& \quad \text{using 0 assms} \\
& \quad \text{by} (\text{simp add: enat-the-enat lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst nfinite-conv-nlength-enat}) \\
& \quad \text{have 23: } \text{nlength } \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow (\forall j \leq \text{nlength } (\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls). \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) j) > 0) \\
& \quad \text{using assms} \\
& \quad \text{by} (\text{metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat lcppl-nlength-all-gr-zero nfinite-conv-nlength-enat}) \\
& \quad \text{have 190: } \text{nlength } \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow \\
& \quad (\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. \\
& \quad \quad (\forall j \leq \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) i). \\
& \quad \quad (\text{nnth } (\text{addzero } (\text{lsum } (\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) 0)) i) \leq \\
& \quad \quad (\text{nnth } (\text{addzero } (\text{lsum } (\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) 0)) (\text{Suc } i)) \\
& \quad \quad)) \\
& \quad \text{using 0 04 06 09 18 23 lsum-nlength[of } (\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) 0] \text{ lcppl-nlength[of } ls f g \sigma] \text{ assms} \\
& \quad \text{by simp} \\
& \quad (\text{metis (no-types, lifting) co.enat.exhaust-sel diff-is-0-eq' iless-Suc-eq le-cases zero-enat-def}) \\
& \quad \text{have 20: } \text{nlength } \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow \\
& \quad (\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. \\
& \quad \quad (\forall j \leq \text{nlength}(\text{nnth}(\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) i). \\
& \quad \quad (\text{nnth } (\text{nsubn } (\text{pfilt } \sigma (\text{nfusecat } (\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls)))) \\
& \quad \quad (\text{nnth } (\text{addzero } (\text{lsum } (\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) 0)) i) \\
& \quad \quad (\text{nnth } (\text{addzero } (\text{lsum } (\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls) 0)) (\text{Suc } i)) \\
& \quad \quad) j) = \\
& \quad \quad (\text{nnth } (\text{pfilt } \sigma (\text{nfusecat } (\text{lcppl } f g \sigma ls))))
\end{aligned}$$

```

((nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) i) +j) ) )
using nsubn-nnth[of (pfilt σ (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls))) ] by simp
(metis 06 09 18 assms(7) enat-ord-simps(1) min.orderE)
have 21: nlength σ >0 →
(∀ i<nlength ls.
(∀ j≤ nlength(nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i).
(nnth (pfilt σ (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls))) =
((nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) i) +j) ) =
(nnth σ (nnth (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls)))
((nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) i) +j) ))) )
using pfilt-nlength[of σ (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls)) ]
pfilt-nnth[of - σ (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls)) ]
by (metis nnth-0 pfilt-code(2) pfilt-pfilt)
have 24: nlength σ >0 →
(∀ i≤nlength (lcppl f g σ ls).
(∀ j≤ nlength(nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i).
((nnth (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls)) ((nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) i) +j) )) =
((nnth (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i) j)) ))
using assms lsum-nfusecat-nnth-lsum-nnth[of (lcppl f g σ ls)] lcppl-nlength[of ls f g σ]
0 06 09 18 22 23
by (metis all-gr-zero-nnth-a all-nfinite-nnth-a lcppl-nlength-all-nfinite)
have 241: nlength σ >0 → nlength (lcppl f g σ ls) = nlength ls -1
using 0 assms
by (simp add: epred-conv-minus lcppl-nlength)
have 25: nlength σ >0 →
(∀ i<nlength ls.
(∀ j≤ nlength(nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i).
(nnth σ (nnth (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls)))
((nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) i) +j) )) =
(nnth (pfilt σ (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i)) j) ))
using 0 04 24 lsum-nlength[of (lcppl f g σ ls) 0] pfilt-nlength[of σ ] pfilt-nnth
by (metis addzero-def i0-less iless-Suc-eq nlength-NCons)
have 26: nlength σ >0 →
(∀ i<nlength ls.
(∀ j≤ nlength(nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i).
(nnth (pfilt σ (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i)) j) =
(nnth (nsubn (pfilt σ (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls)))
(nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) i)
(nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) (Suc i))
) j) )))
by (simp add: 20 21 25)
from 18 26 show ?thesis using nellist-eq-nnth-eq
by (metis 06)
qed
show ?thesis
using 02 03 04 05 assms(7) by force
qed

```

lemma *lcppl-nfusecat-pfilt-powerinterval-alt*:
assumes *nidx ls*
nth ls 0 = 0
¬nfinite ls
¬nfinite σ
 $(\forall i < nlength ls. (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \models f \text{ fproj } g)$
shows *powerinterval g (pfilt σ (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls))) (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0))*
proof –
have 01: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $g (pfilt (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))))$
using assms cppl-fprojection by auto
have 02: $(\forall i < nlength ls. g (pfilt \sigma (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i))))$
using assms lcppl-nfusecat-pfilt-fpower-help-alt
by (metis enat-the-enat nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
have 03 : *powerinterval g (pfilt σ (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls))) (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) =*
 $(\forall i < nlength (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)).$
 $g (nsubn (pfilt \sigma (nfusecat (lcppl f g \sigma ls)))$
 $(nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) i)$
 $(nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) (Suc i))$
 $))$
by (simp add: powerinterval-def)
have 04: *nlength (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) = nlength ls*
using assms lcppl-lsum-nlength-alt by blast
have 05: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $(pfilt \sigma (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i)) =$
 $(nsubn (pfilt \sigma (nfusecat (lcppl f g \sigma ls)))$
 $(nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) i)$
 $(nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) (Suc i))$
 $))$
proof –
have 06:
 $(\forall i < nlength ls. nlength (pfilt \sigma (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i)) =$
 $nlength (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i))$
using pfilt-nlength by blast
have 07: $(\forall i < nlength ls. nlength (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i) =$
 $nlength (nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls i)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))))$
using assms by (simp add: lcppl-nnth)
have 08: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $nlength (nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls i)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))))) =$
 $nlength (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))$
using nlength-nmap by blast
have 09: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $nlength (nsubn (pfilt \sigma (nfusecat (lcppl f g \sigma ls)))$
 $(nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) i)$
 $(nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) (Suc i))$
 $) =$
 $(nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) (Suc i)) -$
 $(nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) i))$
using assms
by (metis 04 enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat lcppl-lsum-less-th-equal-alt min-def

```

  nsubn-nlength pfilter-nlength)
have 10: ( $\forall i < nlength ls. (nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) (Suc i)) =$ 
 $(nnth (NCons 0 (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) (Suc i)))$ 
  using 04 addzero-def by auto
have 11: ( $\forall i < nlength ls. (nnth (NCons 0 (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) (Suc i)) =$ 
 $(\sum k::nat = 0..(i). nlength(nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) k)) )$ 
  using 04
proof simp-all
  assume nlength (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) (0::nat))) = nlength ls
  show ( $\forall i::nat. enat i < nlength ls \longrightarrow enat (nnth (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) (0::nat)) i) =$ 
 $(\sum k::nat = 0::nat..i. nlength (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) k))$ )
  proof
    fix i
    show i < nlength ls  $\longrightarrow$ 
      enat (nnth (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) (0::nat)) i) =
      ( $\sum k::nat = 0::nat..i. nlength (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) k))$ )
    proof
      assume a: i < nlength ls
      show enat (nnth (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0) i) =
        ( $\sum k = 0..i. nlength (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) k))$ )
      proof -
        have 110: all-nfinite (lcppl f g \sigma ls)
        using all-nfinite-nnth-a assms lcppl-nlength-all-nfinite-alt by blast
        have 111: nnth (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0) i = ( $\sum k = 0..i. the-enat (nlength (nnth (lcppl f$ 
 $g \sigma ls) k)))$ )
          using lsum-nnth[of i (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0] a assms
          by (metis 04 110 add-cancel-right-left addzero-def iless-Suc-eq lsum-nlength
            nlength-NCons nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD zero-enat-def)
        have 112: ( $\sum k = 0..i. the-enat (nlength (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) k))) =$ 
          the-enat( $\sum k = 0..i. (nlength (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) k))$ )
          using sum-the-enat[of (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i] assms
          by (metis 110 lcppl-nfinite linorder-le-cases nfinite-ntaken ntaken-all)
        have 113: ( $\sum k = 0..i. (nlength (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) k))) < \infty$ 
          using sum-finite[of (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i] 110 04 assms a
          by (metis addzero-def iless-Suc-eq lsum-nlength nlength-NCons
            nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD zero-enat-def)
        have 114: enat (the-enat( $\sum k = 0..i. (nlength (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) k)))$ ) =
          ( $\sum k = 0..i. (nlength (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) k))$ )
          using 113 enat-ord-simps(4) enat-the-enat by blast
        show ?thesis using 111 112 114 by presburger
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed
have 12: ( $\forall i < nlength ls. (nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) i) =$ 
 $(nnth (NCons 0 (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) i) )$ 
  using 04 addzero-def by auto
have 121: (nnth (NCons 0 (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) 0) = 0
  by simp
have 13: ( $\forall i < nlength ls. (nnth (NCons 0 (lsum (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) i) =$ 

```

$(if i = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\sum k::nat= 0..(i-1). nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) k)))$
using 11
by (metis 121 Suc-diff-1 Suc-ile-eq not-gr-zero order-less-imp-le zero-enat-def)
have 14: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $(nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) (Suc i)) -$
 $(nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) i) =$
 $(\sum k::nat= 0..(i). nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) k)) -$
 $(if i = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\sum k::nat= 0..(i-1). nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) k))))$

using 10 11 12 13 **by** simp (metis One-nat-def idiff-enat-enat not-gr-zero)
have 15: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $(\sum k::nat= 0..(i). nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) k)) -$
 $(if i = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\sum k::nat= 0..(i-1). nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) k))) =$
 $(if i = 0 \text{ then } nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0) \text{ else } (\sum k::nat= 0..(i). nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) k)) -$
 $(\sum k::nat= 0..(i-1). nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) k))))$

by (simp add: Nitpick.case-nat-unfold)
have 16: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $(if i = 0 \text{ then } nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0) \text{ else } (\sum k::nat= 0..(i). nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) k)) -$
 $(\sum k::nat= 0..(i-1). nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) k))) =$
 $(if i = 0 \text{ then } nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0) \text{ else } nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) i)))$
using 13 sum.cl-ivl-Suc[of $\lambda k. nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) k) 0]$
by (metis Suc-diff-1 enat.distinct(2) enat-add-sub-same less-nat-zero-code not-gr-zero)
have 17: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $(if i = 0 \text{ then } nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0) \text{ else } nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) i) =$
 $nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) i))$
by (simp add: Nitpick.case-nat-unfold)
have 18: $(\forall i < nlength ls. nlength(pfilt \sigma (nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) i)) =$
 $nlength(nsubn(pfilt \sigma (nfusecat(lcppl f g \sigma ls))))$
 $(nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) i)$
 $(nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) (Suc i))$
 $))$
by (simp add: 09 14 15 16 pfilt-nlength)
have 19: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $(\forall j \leq nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) i).$
 $(nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) (Suc i)) \leq$
 $nlength(pfilt \sigma (nfusecat(lcppl f g \sigma ls))))$
by (simp add: 04 assms lcppl-lsum-less-th-equal-alt pfilt-nlength)
have 22: $nlastnfirst(lcppl f g \sigma ls)$
using assms lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst-alt **by** blast
have 23: $(\forall j \leq nlength(lcppl f g \sigma ls). nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) j) > 0)$
using assms lcppl-nlength-all-gr-zero-alt **by** blast
have 190: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$

$(\forall j \leq nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) i).$
 $(nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) i) \leq$
 $(nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) (Suc i))$
 $))$
using 04 06 09 18 23 lsum-nlength[of (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0] lcppl-nlength-alt[of ls f g \sigma] assms
by simp
*(metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) co.enat.exhaust-sel diff-is-0-eq' iless-Suc-eq nfinite-ntaken
not-le-imp-less ntaken-all order-less-imp-le zero-enat-def)*
have 20: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $(\forall j \leq nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) i).$
 $(nnth(nsubn(pfilt \sigma (nfusecat(lcppl f g \sigma ls))))$
 $(nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) i)$
 $(nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) (Suc i))$
 $) j) =$
 $(nnth(pfilt \sigma (nfusecat(lcppl f g \sigma ls))))$
 $((nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) i) + j))))$
using nsubn-nnth[of (pfilt \sigma (nfusecat(lcppl f g \sigma ls)))] **by** simp
(metis 06 09 18 enat-ord-simps(1) min.orderE)
have 21: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $(\forall j \leq nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) i).$
 $(nnth(pfilt \sigma (nfusecat(lcppl f g \sigma ls))))$
 $((nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) i) + j)) =$
 $(nnth \sigma (nnth(nfusecat(lcppl f g \sigma ls)))$
 $((nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) i) + j))))$
using pfilt-nlength[of \sigma (nfusecat(lcppl f g \sigma ls))]
 $pfilt-nnth[- \sigma (nfusecat(lcppl f g \sigma ls))]$
by (*metis enat-ord-code(4) enat-the-enat not-le-imp-less ntaken-all ntaken-nlast order-less-imp-le*)
have 24: $(\forall i \leq nlength(lcppl f g \sigma ls).$
 $(\forall j \leq nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) i).$
 $((nnth(nfusecat(lcppl f g \sigma ls)) ((nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) i) + j))) =$
 $((nnth(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) i) j)))$
using assms lsum-nfusecat-nnth-lsum-nnth[of (lcppl f g \sigma ls)] 04 22 23
all-gr-zero-nnth-a all-nfinite-nnth-a lcppl-nlength-all-nfinite-alt by blast
have 241: $nlength(lcppl f g \sigma ls) = nlength ls - 1$
using assms **by** (simp add: epred-conv-minus lcppl-nlength-alt)
have 25: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $(\forall j \leq nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) i).$
 $(nnth \sigma (nnth(nfusecat(lcppl f g \sigma ls)))$
 $((nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) i) + j))) =$
 $(nnth(pfilt \sigma (nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) i)) j)))$
using 04 24 lsum-nlength[of (lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0] pfilt-nlength[of \sigma] pfilt-nnth
assms(3)
by (*metis 241 enat2-cases enat-ord-code(3) epred-Infty epred-conv-minus
nfinite-conv-nlength-enat*)
have 26: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $(\forall j \leq nlength(nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) i).$
 $(nnth(pfilt \sigma (nnth(lcppl f g \sigma ls) i)) j) =$
 $(nnth(nsubn(pfilt \sigma (nfusecat(lcppl f g \sigma ls))))$
 $(nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) i)$
 $(nnth(addzero(lsum(lcppl f g \sigma ls) 0)) (Suc i))$

```

) j) )
by (simp add: 20 21 25)
from 18 26 show ?thesis using nellist-eq-nnth-eq
by (metis 06)
qed
show ?thesis
using 02 03 04 05 assms by force
qed

lemma lcppl-nfusecat-pfilt-nlength:
assumes nidx ls
  nth ls 0 = 0
  nfinite ls
  nfinite σ
  nlast ls = the-enat (nlength σ)
  (∀ i < nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nth ls i) (nth ls (Suc i))) ) ≡ f fproj g
  nlength σ > 0
shows nlast (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) =
the-enat(nlength (pfilt σ (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls))))
proof -
have 0: nlength ls > 0
  using assms
  by (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat gr-zeroI nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nnth-nlast the-enat-0)
have 1: nlength (pfilt σ (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls))) = nlength ( (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls)))
  using pfilt-nlength by blast
have 10: nfinite (lcppl f g σ ls)
  using assms(1) assms(3) lcppl-nfinite by blast
have 11: nlastnfirst (lcppl f g σ ls)
  using assms
  by (metis 0 lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
have 12: ∀ lx ∈ nset (lcppl f g σ ls). 0 < nlength lx
  using assms
  by (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat in-nset-conv-nnth lcppl-nlength-all-gr-zero
  nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
have 13: ∀ lx ∈ nset (lcppl f g σ ls). nfinite lx
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 0 assms(1) assms(3) assms(6) co.enat.exhaust-sel
  cppl-fprojection i0-less iless-Suc-eq in-nset-conv-nnth lcppl-nlength lcppl-nnth nfinite-nmap)
have 141: ∀ i ≤ nlength (lcppl f g σ ls). 0 < nlength (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i)
  using 12 assms
  by (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat lcppl-nlength-all-gr-zero nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
have 142: ∀ i ≤ nlength (lcppl f g σ ls). nfinite (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) i)
  using 13 assms
  by (meson in-nset-conv-nnth)
have 15: nfinite (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls))
  using nfusecat-nfinite[of (lcppl f g σ ls)] 10 11 12 13 by blast
have 2: nlength ( (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls))) =
  (the-enat (∑ k::nat= 0..(the-enat(nlength (lcppl f g σ ls))). nlength(nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) k)))
  using 0 assms
  by (metis 10 11 13 15 nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nfusecat-nlength-nfinite the-enat.simps)
have 3:

```

```

nlast( addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) = nlast( (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0))
using lsum-addzero-nlast
using assms(1) assms(3) lcppl-nfinite by blast
have 4: nlast( (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) =
  (SUM k:nat = 0..the-enat (nlength (lcppl f g σ ls)). the-enat (nlength (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) k)))
using assms lsum-nlast[of (lcppl f g σ ls) 0] 10 13 plus-nat.add-0 by presburger
have 5: (SUM k:nat = 0..the-enat (nlength (lcppl f g σ ls)). the-enat (nlength (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) k))) =
  (the-enat (SUM k:nat = 0..the-enat(nlength (lcppl f g σ ls)). nlength(nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) k)))
using assms lsum-nnth[of the-enat (nlength (lcppl f g σ ls)) (lcppl f g σ ls) 0 ]
sum-the-enat[of (lcppl f g σ ls) the-enat(nlength (lcppl f g σ ls))]
by (metis 13 dual-order.refl enat-ord-code(3) enat-the-enat)
show ?thesis using 1 2 3 4 5 assms
by (simp add: lcppl-lsum-nlength nfinite-conv-nlength-enat pfilter-nlength)
qed

```

10.3 Soundness of Projection Axioms

lemma PJ00sem:

$\sigma \models \neg(f \text{ fproj inf})$
by (auto simp add: fprojection-d-def infinite-defs nfinite-conv-nlength-enat pfilter-nlength)

lemma OPJ00sem:

$\sigma \models \neg(f \text{ oproj finite})$
by (auto simp add: oprojection-d-def finite-defs nfinite-conv-nlength-enat pfilter-nlength)

lemma PJ01sem:

$\sigma \models (f \text{ fproj } g) \longrightarrow \text{finite}$
by (auto simp add: fprojection-d-def finite-defs nfinite-conv-nlength-enat pfilter-nlength)

lemma OPJ01sem:

$\sigma \models (f \text{ oproj } g) \longrightarrow \text{inf}$
by (auto simp add: oprojection-d-def infinite-defs nfinite-conv-nlength-enat pfilter-nlength)

lemma PJ02sem:

$\sigma \models (f \text{ fproj } g) = ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \text{ fproj } g)$
by (auto simp add: fprojection-d-def finite-defs powerinterval-def nfinite-conv-nlength-enat pfilter-nlength)
 (metis enat-ord-simps(2) nfinite-nlength-enat nsubn-nfinite)

lemma OPJ02sem:

$\sigma \models (f \text{ oproj } g) = ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \text{ oproj } g)$
by (auto simp add: oprojection-d-def finite-defs powerinterval-def nfinite-conv-nlength-enat pfilter-nlength)
 (metis nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nfinite-ntaken nsubn-def1)

lemma PJ03sem:

$\sigma \models (f \text{ fproj } g) = (f \text{ fproj } (g \wedge \text{finite}))$
by (auto simp add: fprojection-d-def finite-defs powerinterval-def nfinite-conv-nlength-enat pfilter-nlength)

lemma *OPJ03sem*:
 $\sigma \models (f \text{ oproj } g) = (f \text{ oproj } (g \wedge \text{inf}))$
by (*auto simp add: oprojection-d-def infinite-defs powerinterval-def nfinite-conv-nlength-enat pfilter-nlength*)

10.3.1 PJ1

lemma *PJ1sem*:
 $(\sigma \models f \text{ fproj } (g \vee h) = (f \text{ fproj } g \vee f \text{ fproj } h))$
by (*simp add: fprojection-d-def*) *blast*

lemma *OPJ1sem*:
 $(\sigma \models f \text{ oproj } (g \vee h) = (f \text{ oproj } g \vee f \text{ oproj } h))$
by (*simp add: oprojection-d-def*) *blast*

10.3.2 PJ2

lemma *PJ2sem*:
 $(\sigma \models f \text{ fproj } \text{empty} = \text{empty})$
proof *auto*
 show $(\sigma \models f \text{ fproj } \text{empty}) \implies \sigma \models \text{empty}$
 unfolding *fprojection-d-def empty-defs*
 by (*metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat nfinite-nlength-enat nnth-nlast pfilter-nlength the-enat-0*)
 show $\sigma \models \text{empty} \implies (\sigma \models f \text{ fproj } \text{empty})$
 unfolding *fprojection-d-def empty-defs powerinterval-def nidx-expand*
 by (*metis enat-ord-simps(2) leD nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nlast-NNil nlength-NNil nnth-NNil not-less0 pfilter-nlength the-enat-0 zero-enat-def zero-less-Suc*)
qed

lemma *OPJ2sem*:
 $(\sigma \models \neg(f \text{ oproj } \text{empty}))$
unfolding *oproduction-d-def empty-defs pfilter-nlength*
using *nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD* **by** (*simp add: zero-enat-def*) *blast*

10.3.3 PJ3

lemma *PJ3help*:
assumes *nfinite σ*
shows *nsubn σ 0 (the-enat (nlength σ)) = σ*
using *assms*
by (*metis diff-zero dual-order.refl ndropn-0 nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nsubn-def1 ntaken-all the-enat.simps*)

lemma *PJ3help1*:
assumes *f σ ∧ 0 < nlength σ ∧ nfinite σ*
shows $(\exists l. \text{nidx } l \wedge \text{nnth } l 0 = 0 \wedge \text{nfinite } l \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge$
 $\text{nlast } l = (\text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } \sigma)) \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{nlength } l. f (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } l i) (\text{nnth } l (\text{Suc } i)))) \wedge$
 $(\exists \sigma 1. \text{nlength } \sigma 1 = \text{nlength } l \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma 1. \text{nnth } \sigma 1 i = \text{nnth } \sigma (\text{nnth } l i) \wedge \text{nlength } \sigma 1 = \text{Suc } 0))$

proof -

```
have 1:  $nidx(NCons 0 (NNil (\text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma)))$ 
  using assms unfolding nidx-expand by simp
  (metis Suc-ile-eq assms enat-0-iff(1) enat-ord-simps(2) iless-eSuc0 nfinite-nlength-enat nnth-0
  nnth-NNil the-enat.simps)
have 2:  $nnth(NCons 0 (NNil (\text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma))) ((\text{the-enat}(nlength} (NCons 0 (NNil (nlength } \sigma)))))$ 
= 
  ( $\text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma)$ )
  by (metis nfinite-NCons nfinite-NNil nlast-NCons nlast-NNil nlength-NCons nlength-NNil nnth-nlast)
have 3: ( $\forall i < nlength (NCons 0 (NNil (\text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma)))$ ).
   $f(nsubn \sigma (nnth (NCons 0 (NNil (\text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma)))) i)$ 
   $(nnth (NCons 0 (NNil (\text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma)))) (\text{Suc } i)) )$ 
using assms PJ3help
  by (metis enat-0-iff(1) iless-eSuc0 nlength-NCons nlength-NNil nnth-0 nnth-NNil nnth-Suc-NCons)
have 4:  $nlength(NCons(nnth \sigma (0)) (NNil (nnth \sigma (\text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma)))) =$ 
   $nlength(NCons 0 (NNil (\text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma)))$ 
  by simp
have 5: ( $\forall i \leq nlength (NCons (nnth \sigma (0)) (NNil (nnth \sigma (\text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma))))$ ).
   $nnth (NCons (nnth \sigma (0)) (NNil (nnth \sigma (\text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma)))) i =$ 
   $nnth \sigma (nnth (NCons 0 (NNil (\text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma)))) i)$ 
  by (metis iless-Suc-eq le-zero-eq ndropn-nlast nfinite-NCons nfinite-NNil nlast-NCons
  nlength-NCons nlength-NNil nnth-0 nnth-NNil nnth-zero-ndropn order-neq-le-trans
  the-enat.simps the-enat-0)
have 6:  $nlength(NCons (nnth \sigma (0)) (NNil (nnth \sigma (\text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma)))) = \text{Suc } 0$ 
  using one-eSuc one-enat-def by auto
have 7:  $nfinite(NCons 0 (NNil (\text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma)))$ 
  by simp
have 8:  $nlast(NCons 0 (NNil (\text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma))) = (\text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma)$ 
  by simp
have 9:  $nnth(NCons 0 (NNil (\text{the-enat}(nlength } \sigma))) 0 = 0$ 
  using nnth-0 by simp
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 assms by blast
qed
```

lemma PJ3sem:

$(\sigma \models f \text{ fproj skip} = (f \wedge \text{more} \wedge \text{finite}))$

proof -

```
have 1:  $(\sigma \models f \text{ fproj skip}) \implies (\sigma \models f \wedge \text{more} \wedge \text{finite})$ 
  unfolding cppl-fprojection pfilt-expand nidx-expand skip-defs more-defs finite-defs powerinterval-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) One-nat-def PJ3help eSuc-enat i0-less ileI1 intensional-rews(3)
  less-numeral-extra(1) less-numeral-extra(3) nnth-nlast pfilt-nlength the-enat.simps zero-enat-def)
have 2:  $(\sigma \models f \wedge \text{more} \wedge \text{finite}) \implies (\sigma \models f \text{ fproj skip})$ 
  by (simp add: fprojection-d-def finite-defs skip-defs more-defs powerinterval-def pfilt-nlength )
  (metis PJ3help1 i0-less nfinite-conv-nlength-enat the-enat.simps)
show ?thesis
using 1 2 unl-lift2 by blast
qed
```

lemma *OPJ3sem*:
 $(\sigma \models \neg(f \text{ oproj } \text{skip}))$
unfolding *oprojection-d-def skip-defs pfilt-nlength*
using *nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD* **by** *auto*

10.3.4 PJ4

lemma *PJ4semchaina*:
assumes $(\sigma \models f \text{ fproj } (g; h))$
shows $(\sigma \models (f \text{ fproj } g) ; (f \text{ fproj } h))$
proof –
have 1: $(\sigma \models f \text{ fproj } (g; h))$
using assms **by** *auto*
have 2: $(\exists ls. \text{nidx } ls \wedge \text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge \text{nfinite } ls \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge$
 $\text{nlast } ls = (\text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } \sigma)) \wedge$
 $\text{powerinterval } f \sigma ls \wedge$
 $(\exists n \leq \text{nlength } (pfilt } \sigma ls). g (\text{ntaken } n (pfilt } \sigma ls) \wedge h (\text{ndropn } n (pfilt } \sigma ls)))$
using assms **unfolding** *chop-defs fprojection-d-def*
by (*metis nfinite-conv-nlength-enat pfilt-nlength the-enat.simps*)
obtain *ls* **where** 3: $\text{nidx } ls \wedge \text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge \text{nfinite } ls \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge$
 $\text{nlast } ls = (\text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } \sigma)) \wedge$
 $\text{powerinterval } f \sigma ls \wedge$
 $(\exists n \leq \text{nlength } (pfilt } \sigma ls). g (\text{ntaken } n (pfilt } \sigma ls) \wedge h (\text{ndropn } n (pfilt } \sigma ls))$
using 2 **by** *auto*
have 4: $\text{nidx } ls \wedge \text{nnth } ls 0 = 0$
using 3 **by** *auto*
have 5: $\text{powerinterval } f \sigma ls$
using 3 **by** *auto*
have 6: $\text{nlast } ls = \text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } \sigma)$
using 3 **by** *auto*
have 7: $(\exists n \leq \text{nlength } (pfilt } \sigma ls). g (\text{ntaken } n (pfilt } \sigma ls) \wedge h (\text{ndropn } n (pfilt } \sigma ls))$
using 3 **by** *auto*
obtain *n* **where** 8: $n \leq \text{nlength } (pfilt } \sigma ls) \wedge g (\text{ntaken } n (pfilt } \sigma ls) \wedge h (\text{ndropn } n (pfilt } \sigma ls))$
using 7 **by** *auto*
have 9: $n \leq \text{nlength } (pfilt } \sigma ls)$
using 8 **by** *auto*
have 10: $g (\text{ntaken } n (pfilt } \sigma ls))$
using 8 **by** *auto*
have 11: $h (\text{ndropn } n (pfilt } \sigma ls))$
using 8 **by** *auto*
have 12: $\text{nidx } (\text{ntaken } n ls) \wedge \text{nnth } (\text{ntaken } n ls) 0 = 0$
using 4 8 **unfolding** *nidx-expand pfilt-nlength* **by** *simp*
 $(\text{metis Suc-leD bot-nat-0.extremum dual-order.trans enat-ord-simps(1) min.orderE ntaken-nnth})$
have 13: $\text{nidx } (\text{ndropn } n ls) \wedge \text{nnth } (\text{ndropn } n ls) 0 = (\text{nnth } ls n)$
using 4 9 **unfolding** *pfilt-nlength nidx-expand*
using *nidx-expand nidx-ndropn* **by** *auto*
have 131: $((\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth } ls n)) \text{ (ndropn } n ls))) = \text{ndropn } n (\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x - \text{nnth } ls n) ls)$
using *ndropn-nmap*[of *n* ($\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth } ls n)$) *ls*] **by** *presburger*
have 132: $\text{nnth } (\text{ndropn } n (\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x - \text{nnth } ls n) ls)) 0 = 0$
by (*metis 13 131 diff-self-eq-0 nnth-nmap zero-enat-def zero-le*)

have 133: $\bigwedge j. \text{enat } j \leq \text{nlength } ls \implies \text{nnth}(\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x - \text{nnth } ls \ n) \ ls) \ j = \text{nnth } ls \ j - \text{nnth } ls \ n$
using nnth-nmap **by** blast
have 134: $\text{nlength}(\text{ndropn } n (\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x - \text{nnth } ls \ n) \ ls)) = \text{nlength } ls - \text{enat } n$
by simp
have 135: $\bigwedge j. j \leq \text{nlength } ls - \text{enat } n \implies$
 $\text{nnth}(\text{ndropn } n (\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x - \text{nnth } ls \ n) \ ls)) \ j = \text{nnth } ls (n + j) - \text{nnth } ls \ n$
by (metis 131 ndropn-nlength ndropn-nnth nnth-nmap)
have 136: $\bigwedge j. (\text{Suc } j) \leq \text{nlength } ls - \text{enat } n \implies$
 $\text{nnth}(\text{ndropn } n (\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x - \text{nnth } ls \ n) \ ls)) (\text{Suc } j) = \text{nnth } ls (n + (\text{Suc } j)) - \text{nnth } ls \ n$
using 135 **by** blast
have 137: $\bigwedge j. (\text{Suc } j) \leq \text{nlength } ls - \text{enat } n \implies$
 $\text{nnth } ls (n + j) - \text{nnth } ls \ n < \text{nnth } ls (n + (\text{Suc } j)) - \text{nnth } ls \ n$
by (metis 13 Suc-ile-eq diff-less-mono le-add1 le-add-same-cancel1 ndropn-nlength ndropn-nnth
nidx-expand nidx-less-eq order-less-imp-le)
have 14: $\text{nidx}(\text{ndropn } n (\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x - \text{nnth } ls \ n) \ ls))$
by (metis 134 135 137 Suc-ile-eq dual-order.strict-iff-order nidx-expand)
have 15: $g(\text{pfilt } \sigma(\text{ntaken } n \ ls))$
by (metis 10 9 pfilt-nlength pfilt-ntaken)
have 16: $h(\text{pfilt } \sigma(\text{ndropn } n \ ls))$
by (metis 8 pfilt-ndropn pfilt-nlength)
have 17: $g(\text{pfilt}(\text{ntaken}(\text{nnth } ls \ n) \ \sigma)(\text{ntaken } n \ ls))$
by (metis 12 15 nfinite-ntaken nle-le ntaken-all ntaken-nlast pfilt-ntaken-nidx)
have 170: $\text{nmap}(\lambda x. \text{nnth } \sigma(\text{nnth } ls \ n + x)) (\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x - \text{nnth } ls \ n) (\text{ndropn } n \ ls)) =$
 $\text{nmap}((\lambda x. \text{nnth } \sigma(\text{nnth } ls \ n + x)) \circ (\lambda x. x - \text{nnth } ls \ n)) (\text{ndropn } n \ ls)$
using nellist.map-comp **by** blast
have 171: $\text{nmap}((\lambda x. \text{nnth } \sigma(\text{nnth } ls \ n + x)) \circ (\lambda x. x - \text{nnth } ls \ n)) (\text{ndropn } n \ ls) =$
 $\text{nmap}(\text{nnth } \sigma)(\text{ndropn } n \ ls)$
proof –
have 172: $\text{nlength}(\text{nmap}((\lambda x. \text{nnth } \sigma(\text{nnth } ls \ n + x)) \circ (\lambda x. x - \text{nnth } ls \ n)) (\text{ndropn } n \ ls)) =$
 $\text{nlength}(\text{nmap}(\text{nnth } \sigma)(\text{ndropn } n \ ls))$
by auto
have 173: $\bigwedge j. j \leq \text{nlength}(\text{nmap}(\text{nnth } \sigma)(\text{ndropn } n \ ls)) \implies$
 $\text{nnth}(\text{nmap}((\lambda x. \text{nnth } \sigma(\text{nnth } ls \ n + x)) \circ (\lambda x. x - \text{nnth } ls \ n)) (\text{ndropn } n \ ls)) \ j =$
 $\text{nnth}(\text{nmap}(\text{nnth } \sigma)(\text{ndropn } n \ ls)) \ j$
by auto
 $(\text{metis } 13 \ 131 \ 134 \ \text{le-add1} \ \text{le-add-diff-inverse} \ \text{le-add-same-cancel1} \ \text{ndropn-nnth}$
nidx-less-eq nlength-nmap)
show ?thesis
by (metis 172 173 nellist-eq-nnth-eq)
qed
have 171: $(\text{pfilt}(\text{ndropn}(\text{nnth } ls \ n) \ \sigma)((\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth } ls \ n)) (\text{ndropn } n \ ls)))) =$
 $(\text{pfilt } \sigma(\text{ndropn } n \ ls))$
using pfilt-nmap[of (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ) ((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))]
pfilt-nmap[of σ (ndropn n ls)] 170 171 **by** force

have 18: $h(\text{pfilt}(\text{ndropn}(\text{nnth } ls \ n) \ \sigma)((\text{nmap}(\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth } ls \ n)) (\text{ndropn } n \ ls))))$
using 16 171 **by** auto
have 19: powerinterval f (ntaken (nnth ls n) σ) (ntaken n ls)
by (metis 3 9 nfinite-conv-nlength-enat pfilt-nlength powerinterval-splita)
have 20: powerinterval f (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ) ((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))

```

using powerinterval-split[of ls n σ f]
by (metis 3 9 pfilt-nlength)
have 21: (nnth ls n) ≤ nlength σ
  by (metis 3 9 enat-ord-simps(2) nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nidx-less-last-1 nnth-nlast
       order.order-iff-strict pfilt-nlength the-enat.simps)
have 22: nnth (ntaken n ls) (the-enat(nlength (ntaken n ls))) = (nnth ls n)
  by (metis 9 min-def ntaken-nlength ntaken-nnth pfilt-nlength the-enat.simps)
have 222: nlast (ntaken n ls) = (nnth ls n)
  by (simp add: ntaken-nlast)
have 23: nnth ((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))
  (the-enat(nlength ((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls))))) =
  (the-enat(nlength σ)) -(nnth ls n)
  by (metis 171 222 3 9 enat-le-plus-same(2) enat-ord-simps(3) enat-the-enat gen-nlength-def
       ndropn-nfirst nfinite-ndropn-a nfinite-ntaken nfuse-ntaken-ndropn nlast-nfuse nlength-code
       nnth-nlast nnth-nmap pfilt-nlength)
have 223: nlast ((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls))) = (the-enat(nlength σ)) -(nnth ls n)
  by (metis 23 3 nfinite-ndropn-a nfinite-nmap nnth-nlast)
have 224: (nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls n)) ((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) = ndropn n ls
  proof -
    have 2241: nlength (nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls n)) ((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) =
      nlength (ndropn n ls)
      by auto
    have 2242: ∀j. j ≤ nlength (ndropn n ls) →
      nnth (nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls n)) ((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) j =
      nnth (ndropn n ls) j
      by (metis 13 eq-imp-le le-add-diff-inverse2 nidx-gr-first nlength-nmap nnth-nmap
           not-gr-zero order-less-imp-le)
    show ?thesis using 2241 2242 nellist-eq-nnth-eq
      by metis
  qed
have 24: ls = nfuse (ntaken n ls)
  (nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls n)) ((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls))))
using nfuse-ntaken-ndropn
by (metis 224 9 pfilt-nlength)
have 241: nfinite (ntaken n ls)
  by simp
have 242: nfinite (ntaken (nnth ls n) σ)
  by simp
have 243: nlast (ntaken n ls) = (the-enat(nlength (ntaken (nnth ls n) σ)))
  by (simp add: 21 222)
have 25: (∃ls1. nidx ls1 ∧ nnth ls1 0 = 0 ∧ nfinite ls1 ∧ nfinite (ntaken (nnth ls n) σ) ∧
  nlast ls1 = (the-enat(nlength (ntaken (nnth ls n) σ))) ∧
  powerinterval f (ntaken (nnth ls n) σ) ls1 ∧ g (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) σ) ls1))
  using 12 17 19 241 242 243 by blast
have 251: nfinite (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ)
  by (simp add: 3)
have 252: nlast (nmap (λx. x - nnth ls n) (ndropn n ls)) = the-enat(nlength (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ))
  by (metis 223 3 idiff-enat ndropn-nlength nfinite-nlength-enat the-enat.simps)
have 26: (∃ls2. nidx ls2 ∧ nnth ls2 0 = 0 ∧ nfinite ls2 ∧ nfinite (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ) ∧
  nlast ls2 = the-enat(nlength (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ))) ∧

```

```

powerinterval f (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ) ls2 ∧ h (pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ) ls2))
by (metis 131 132 14 18 20 252 3 nfinite-ndropn-a nfinite-nmap)
have 27: (ntaken (nnth ls n) σ) ⊢ f fproj g
  by (metis 25 fprojection-d-def)
have 28: (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ) ⊢ f fproj h
  by (metis 26 fprojection-d-def nfinite-nlength-enat the-enat.simps)
show ?thesis
using 21 27 28 chop-defs by auto
qed

lemma OPJ4semchaina:
assumes (σ ⊢ f oproj ((g ∧ finite);h))
shows (σ ⊢ (f fproj g) ; (f oproj h))
proof -
have 1: (σ ⊢ f oproj ((g ∧ finite);h))
  using assms by auto
have 2: (∃ ls. nidx ls ∧ nnth ls 0 = 0 ∧ ¬nfinite ls ∧ ¬nfinite σ ∧
  powerinterval f σ ls ∧
  (∃ n≤nlength (pfilt σ ls). g (ntaken n (pfilt σ ls)) ∧ h (ndropn n (pfilt σ ls))))
  using assms unfolding chop-defs oprojection-d-def finite-defs
  by auto
obtain ls where 3: nidx ls ∧ nnth ls 0 = 0 ∧ ¬nfinite ls ∧ ¬nfinite σ ∧
  powerinterval f σ ls ∧
  (∃ n≤nlength (pfilt σ ls). g (ntaken n (pfilt σ ls)) ∧ h (ndropn n (pfilt σ ls)))
  using 2 by auto
have 4: nidx ls ∧ nnth ls 0 = 0
  using 3 by auto
have 5: powerinterval f σ ls
  using 3 by auto
have 7: (∃ n≤nlength (pfilt σ ls). g (ntaken n (pfilt σ ls)) ∧ h (ndropn n (pfilt σ ls)))
  using 3 by auto
obtain n where 8: n≤nlength (pfilt σ ls) ∧ g (ntaken n (pfilt σ ls)) ∧ h (ndropn n (pfilt σ ls))
  using 7 by auto
have 9: n≤nlength (pfilt σ ls)
  using 8 by auto
have 10: g (ntaken n (pfilt σ ls))
  using 8 by auto
have 11: h (ndropn n (pfilt σ ls))
  using 8 by auto
have 12: nidx (ntaken n ls) ∧ nnth (ntaken n ls) 0 = 0
  using 4 8 unfolding nidx-expand pfilt-nlength by simp
  (metis 3 Suc-leD bot-nat-0.extremum min.orderE nfinite-ntaken nle-le ntaken-all ntaken-nnth)
have 13: nidx (ndropn n ls) ∧ nnth (ndropn n ls) 0 = (nnth ls n)
  using 4 9 unfolding pfilt-nlength nidx-expand
  using nidx-expand nidx-ndropn by auto
have 131: ((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls))) = ndropn n (nmap (λx. x - nnth ls n) ls)
  using ndropn-nmap[of n (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) ls] by presburger
have 132: nnth (ndropn n (nmap (λx. x - nnth ls n) ls)) 0 = 0
  by (metis 13 131 diff-self-eq-0 nnth-nmap zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 133: ∑ j. enat j ≤ nlength ls ==> nnth (nmap (λx. x - nnth ls n) ls) j = nnth ls j - nnth ls n

```

```

using nnth-nmap by blast
have 134: nlength (ndropn n (nmap (λx. x - nnth ls n) ls)) = nlength ls - enat n
  by simp
have 135: ∫j. j ≤ nlength ls - enat n ==>
  nnth (ndropn n (nmap (λx. x - nnth ls n) ls)) j = nnth ls (n+j) - nnth ls n
  by (metis 131 ndropn-nlength ndropn-nnth nnth-nmap)
have 136: ∫j. (Suc j) ≤ nlength ls - enat n ==>
  nnth (ndropn n (nmap (λx. x - nnth ls n) ls)) (Suc j) = nnth ls (n+(Suc j)) - nnth ls n
  using 135 by blast
have 137: ∫j. (Suc j) ≤ nlength ls - enat n ==>
  nnth ls (n+j) - nnth ls n < nnth ls (n+(Suc j)) - nnth ls n
  by (metis 13 Suc-ile-eq diff-less-mono le-add1 le-add-same-cancel1 ndropn-nlength ndropn-nnth
    nidx-expand nidx-less-eq order-less-imp-le)
have 14: nidx (ndropn n (nmap (λx. x - nnth ls n) ls))
  by (metis 134 135 137 Suc-ile-eq dual-order.order-iff-strict nidx-expand)
have 15: g (pfilt σ (ntaken n ls))
  by (metis 10 9 pfilt-nlength pfilt-ntaken)
have 16: h (pfilt σ (ndropn n ls))
  by (metis 8 pfilt-ndropn pfilt-nlength)
have 17: g (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) σ) (ntaken n ls))
  by (metis 12 15 nfinite-ntaken nle-le ntaken-all ntaken-nlast pfilt-ntaken-nidx)
have 170: nmap (λx. nnth σ (nnth ls n + x)) (nmap (λx. x - nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)) =
  nmap ((λx. nnth σ (nnth ls n + x)) ∘ (λx. x - nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)
  using nellist.map-comp by blast
have 171: nmap ((λx. nnth σ (nnth ls n + x)) ∘ (λx. x - nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)) =
  nmap (nnth σ) (ndropn n ls)
proof -
  have 172: nlength (nmap ((λx. nnth σ (nnth ls n + x)) ∘ (λx. x - nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)) =
    nlength (nmap (nnth σ) (ndropn n ls))
  by auto
  have 173: ∫j. j ≤ nlength (nmap (nnth σ) (ndropn n ls)) ==>
    nnth (nmap ((λx. nnth σ (nnth ls n + x)) ∘ (λx. x - nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)) j =
    nnth (nmap (nnth σ) (ndropn n ls)) j
  by auto
  (metis 13 131 134 le-add1 le-add-diff-inverse le-add-same-cancel1 ndropn-nnth
    nidx-less-eq nlength-nmap)
  show ?thesis
  by (metis 172 173 nellist-eq-nnth-eq)
qed
have 171: (pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ) ((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) =
  (pfilt σ (ndropn n ls))
  using pfilt-nmap[of (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ) ((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))]
  pfilt-nmap[of σ (ndropn n ls)] 170 171 by force
have 18: h (pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ) ((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls))))
  using 16 171 by auto
have 19: powerinterval f (ntaken (nnth ls n) σ) (ntaken n ls)
  by (metis 3 8 pfilt-nlength powerinterval-splita-alt)
have 20: powerinterval f (ndropn (nnth ls n) σ) ((nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))
  using powerinterval-split[of ls n σ f]
  by (metis 3 8 pfilt-nlength powerinterval-splitb-alt)

```

```

have 21:  $(nnth ls n) \leq nlength \sigma$ 
  by (metis 3 linorder-le-cases nfinite-ntaken ntaken-all)
have 22:  $nnth (ntaken n ls) (\text{the-enat}(nlength (ntaken n ls))) = (nnth ls n)$ 
  by (metis 9 min-def ntaken-nlength ntaken-nnth pfilt-nlength the-enat.simps)
have 222:  $nlast (ntaken n ls) = (nnth ls n)$ 
  by (simp add: ntaken-nlast)
have 224:  $(nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls n)) ((nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) = ndropn n ls$ 
proof -
  have 2241:  $nlength (nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls n)) ((nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) = nlength (ndropn n ls)$ 
    by auto
  have 2242:  $\bigwedge j. j \leq nlength (ndropn n ls) \longrightarrow$ 
     $nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls n)) ((nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls)))) j = nnth (ndropn n ls) j$ 
  by (metis 13 132 9 diff-is-0-eq le-add-diff-inverse2 nidx-gr-first nlength-nmap nnth-nmap
    nnth-zero-ndropn not-gr-zero order-less-imp-le pfilt-nlength)
  show ?thesis using 2241 2242 nellist-eq-nnth-eq
  by metis
qed
have 24:  $ls = nfuse (ntaken n ls)$ 
   $(nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls n)) ((nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls n)) (ndropn n ls))))$ 
using nfuse-ntaken-ndropn
  by (metis 224 9 pfilt-nlength)
have 25:  $(\exists ls1. nidx ls1 \wedge nnth ls1 0 = 0 \wedge nfinite ls1 \wedge nfinite (ntaken (nnth ls n) \sigma) \wedge$ 
   $nlast ls1 = (\text{the-enat}(nlength (ntaken (nnth ls n) \sigma))) \wedge$ 
   $\text{powerinterval } f (ntaken (nnth ls n) \sigma) ls1 \wedge g (pfilt (ntaken (nnth ls n) \sigma) ls1))$ 
using 12 17 19 21 222 3
  by (metis min.orderE nfinite-ntaken ntaken-nlength the-enat.simps)
have 26:  $(\exists ls2. nidx ls2 \wedge nnth ls2 0 = 0 \wedge \neg nfinite ls2 \wedge \neg nfinite (ndropn (nnth ls n) \sigma) \wedge$ 
   $\text{powerinterval } f (ndropn (nnth ls n) \sigma) ls2 \wedge h (pfilt (ndropn (nnth ls n) \sigma) ls2))$ 
using assms 14 18 20 3 132 131 by (metis nfinite-ndropn nfinite-nmap)
have 27:  $(ntaken (nnth ls n) \sigma) \models f fproj g$ 
  by (metis 25 fprojection-d-def)
have 28:  $(ndropn (nnth ls n) \sigma) \models f oproj h$ 
  by (metis 26 oprojection-d-def)
show ?thesis
using 21 27 28 chop-defs by auto
qed

```

```

lemma PJ4semchainb:
assumes  $(\sigma \models (f fproj g); (f fproj h))$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models f fproj (g;h))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $(\exists n \leq nlength \sigma.$ 
   $(\exists ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge nfinite ls \wedge nfinite (ntaken n \sigma) \wedge$ 
   $nlast ls = (\text{the-enat}(nlength (ntaken n \sigma))) \wedge$ 
   $\text{powerinterval } f (ntaken n \sigma) ls \wedge g (pfilt (ntaken n \sigma) ls)) \wedge$ 
   $(\exists ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge nfinite ls \wedge nfinite (ndropn n \sigma) \wedge$ 
   $nlast ls = (\text{the-enat}(nlength (ndropn n \sigma))) \wedge$ 
   $\text{powerinterval } f (ndropn n \sigma) ls \wedge h (pfilt (ndropn n \sigma) ls)))$ 

```

```

using assms unfolding chop-defs fprojection-d-def by simp blast
obtain cp where 2:  $cp \leq nlength \sigma$  ∧
   $(\exists ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge nfinite ls \wedge nfinite (ntaken cp \sigma) \wedge$ 
   $nlast ls = (\text{the-enat}(nlength (ntaken cp \sigma))) \wedge$ 
   $\text{powerinterval } f (ntaken cp \sigma) ls \wedge g (pfilt (ntaken cp \sigma) ls)) \wedge$ 
   $(\exists ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge nfinite ls \wedge nfinite (ndropn cp \sigma) \wedge$ 
   $nlast ls = (\text{the-enat}(nlength (ndropn cp \sigma))) \wedge$ 
   $\text{powerinterval } f (ndropn cp \sigma) ls \wedge h (pfilt (ndropn cp \sigma) ls))$ 
using 1 by auto
have 3:  $cp \leq nlength \sigma$ 
using 2 by auto
have 4:  $(\exists ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge nfinite ls \wedge nfinite (ntaken cp \sigma) \wedge$ 
   $nlast ls = (\text{the-enat}(nlength (ntaken cp \sigma))) \wedge$ 
   $\text{powerinterval } f (ntaken cp \sigma) ls \wedge g (pfilt (ntaken cp \sigma) ls))$ 
using 2 by auto
obtain ls1 where 5:  $nidx ls1 \wedge nnth ls1 0 = 0 \wedge nfinite ls1 \wedge nfinite (ntaken cp \sigma) \wedge$ 
   $nlast ls1 = (\text{the-enat}(nlength (ntaken cp \sigma))) \wedge$ 
   $\text{powerinterval } f (ntaken cp \sigma) ls1 \wedge g (pfilt (ntaken cp \sigma) ls1)$ 
using 4 by auto
have 6:  $nidx ls1 \wedge nnth ls1 0 = 0$ 
using 5 by auto
have 61:  $nfinite ls1 \wedge nfinite (ntaken cp \sigma)$ 
  using 5 by auto
have 7:  $nlast ls1 = \text{the-enat}(nlength (ntaken cp \sigma))$ 
  using 5 by auto
have 8:  $\text{powerinterval } f (ntaken cp \sigma) ls1$ 
  using 5 by auto
have 9:  $g (pfilt (ntaken cp \sigma) ls1)$ 
  using 5 by auto
have 10:  $(\exists ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge nfinite ls \wedge nfinite (ndropn cp \sigma) \wedge$ 
   $nlast ls = (\text{the-enat}(nlength (ndropn cp \sigma))) \wedge$ 
   $\text{powerinterval } f (ndropn cp \sigma) ls \wedge h (pfilt (ndropn cp \sigma) ls))$ 
using 2 by auto
obtain ls2 where 11:  $nidx ls2 \wedge nnth ls2 0 = 0 \wedge nfinite ls2 \wedge nfinite (ndropn cp \sigma) \wedge$ 
   $nlast ls2 = (\text{the-enat}(nlength (ndropn cp \sigma))) \wedge$ 
   $\text{powerinterval } f (ndropn cp \sigma) ls2 \wedge h (pfilt (ndropn cp \sigma) ls2)$ 
using 10 by auto
have 12:  $nidx ls2 \wedge nnth ls2 0 = 0$ 
using 11 by auto
have 13:  $nlast ls2 = \text{the-enat}(nlength (ndropn cp \sigma))$ 
  using 11 by auto
have 14:  $\text{powerinterval } f (ndropn cp \sigma) ls2$ 
  using 11 by auto
have 15:  $h (pfilt (ndropn cp \sigma) ls2)$ 
  using 11 by auto
have 150:  $nlast ls1 = cp$ 
  by (simp add: 2 7)
have 151:  $nfirst (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) ls2) = cp$ 
  by (metis 11 NNNil-eq-nmap-conv nlast-NNNil ntake-eq-NNNil-iff ntake-nmap ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast
    plus-nat.add-0)

```

```

have 152:  $\text{nnth}(\text{nfuse } \text{ls1}(\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x + cp) \text{ ls2})) 0 = 0$ 
  by (metis 150 151 5 nfuse-nnth zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 153:  $\text{nidx } \text{ls1} \wedge \text{nnth } \text{ls1} 0 = 0 \wedge \text{nfinite } \text{ls1} \wedge \text{nlast } \text{ls1} = cp$ 
  by (simp add: 150 5)
have 154:  $\text{nidx } \text{ls2} \wedge \text{nnth } \text{ls2} 0 = 0 \wedge \text{nfinite } \text{ls2} \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge \text{nlast } \text{ls2} = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \sigma) - cp$ 
  by (metis 11 idiff-enat-enat ndropn-nlength nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nfinite-ndropn the-enat.simps)
have 16:  $\text{nidx } (\text{nfuse } \text{ls1}(\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x + cp) \text{ ls2})) \wedge \text{nnth}(\text{nfuse } \text{ls1}(\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x + cp) \text{ ls2})) 0 = 0$ 
  using nidx-nfuse-nidx[of ls1 ls2 cp σ] 153 154 3 by fastforce
have 17:  $\text{nlast } \text{ls1} = \text{nfirst}(\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x + cp) \text{ ls2})$ 
  by (simp add: 150 151)
have 18:  $\text{nnth}(\text{nfuse } \text{ls1}(\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x + cp) \text{ ls2})) (\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \text{ls1})) = cp$ 
  by (metis 151 17 5 ntaken-nfuse ntaken-nlast)
have 19:  $\text{nlast}(\text{nfuse } \text{ls1}(\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x + cp) \text{ ls2})) = (\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \sigma))$ 
  by (metis 154 17 3 5 diff-add enat.distinct(2) enat-ord-simps(1) enat-the-enat
    nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nlast-nfuse nlast-nmap)
have 20:  $\text{powerinterval } f \sigma (\text{nfuse } \text{ls1}(\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x + cp) \text{ ls2}))$ 
  using powerinterval-nfuse[of ls1 (ls2) cp σ f] 11 150 154 3 5 by fastforce
have 21:  $\sigma = \text{nfuse}(\text{ntaken } cp \sigma) (\text{ndropn } cp \sigma)$ 
  by (simp add: 2 nfuse-ntaken-ndropn)
have 22:  $\text{nnth}((\text{nfuse } \text{ls1}(\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x + cp) \text{ ls2}))) (\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \text{ls1})) = cp$ 
  using 18 by blast
have 23:  $(\text{ntaken}(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \text{ls1})) (\text{pfilt } \sigma (\text{nfuse } \text{ls1}(\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x + cp) \text{ ls2})))) =$ 
   $(\text{pfilt}(\text{ntaken } cp \sigma) \text{ ls1})$ 
  by (metis 151 17 2 5 enat.distinct(2) enat-le-plus-same(1) enat-the-enat
    nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nfuse-nlength ntaken-nfuse pfilt-ntaken pfilt-ntaken-nidx)
have 24:  $g(\text{ntaken}(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \text{ls1})) (\text{pfilt } \sigma (\text{nfuse } \text{ls1}(\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x + cp) \text{ ls2}))))$ 
  by (simp add: 23 9)
have 25:  $(\text{ndropn}(\text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \text{ls1})) (\text{pfilt } \sigma (\text{nfuse } \text{ls1}(\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x + cp) \text{ ls2})))) =$ 
   $(\text{pfilt}(\text{ndropn } cp \sigma) \text{ ls2})$ 
using pfilt-ndropn[of (the-enat(nlength ls1)) (nfuse ls1 (nmap (λx. x + cp) ls2)) σ]
  pfilt-ndropn-nidx[of cp σ ls2]
  by (metis 12 17 23 3 5 enat-ord-code(4) enat-the-enat min-def
    ndropn-nfuse nle-le ntaken-nlength order-less-imp-le pfilt-nlength)
have 26:  $\text{nlength } \text{ls1} \leq \text{nlength}(\text{pfilt } \sigma (\text{nfuse } \text{ls1}(\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x + cp) \text{ ls2})))$ 
  by (simp add: nfuse-nlength pfilt-nlength)
have 27:  $(\exists \text{ls}. \text{nidx } \text{ls} \wedge \text{nnth } \text{ls} 0 = 0 \wedge \text{nfinite } \text{ls} \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge$ 
   $\text{nlast } \text{ls} = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \sigma) \wedge$ 
   $\text{powerinterval } f \sigma \text{ ls} \wedge$ 
   $(\exists n \leq \text{nlength}(\text{pfilt } \sigma \text{ ls}). g(\text{ntaken } n (\text{pfilt } \sigma \text{ ls})) \wedge h(\text{ndropn } n (\text{pfilt } \sigma \text{ ls})))$ )
  using 11 16 19 20 24 25 26
  by (metis 5 nfinite-ndropn nfinite-nlength-enat nfinite-nmap pfilt-nmap the-enat.simps)
show ?thesis unfolding fprojection-d-def using chop-nfuse nfuse-ntaken-ndropn 27
by (metis ndropn-nfirst nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nfinite-ntaken ntaken-nlast)
qed

```

lemma OPJ4semchainb:

assumes ($\sigma \models (f \text{ fproj } g) ; (f \text{ oproj } h)$)
shows ($\sigma \models f \text{ oproj } ((g \wedge \text{finite}); h)$)
proof –

have 1: $(\exists n \leq nlength \sigma. (\exists ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge nfinite ls \wedge nfinite (ntaken n \sigma) \wedge nlast ls = (the-enat(nlength (ntaken n \sigma)))) \wedge powerinterval f (ntaken n \sigma) ls \wedge g (pfilt (ntaken n \sigma) ls)) \wedge (\exists ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge \neg nfinite ls \wedge \neg nfinite (ndropn n \sigma) \wedge powerinterval f (ndropn n \sigma) ls \wedge h (pfilt (ndropn n \sigma) ls)))$
using assms unfolding chop-defs fprojection-d-def oprojection-d-def by simp blast
obtain cp where 2: $cp \leq nlength \sigma \wedge (\exists ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge nfinite ls \wedge nfinite (ntaken cp \sigma) \wedge nlast ls = (the-enat(nlength (ntaken cp \sigma)))) \wedge powerinterval f (ntaken cp \sigma) ls \wedge g (pfilt (ntaken cp \sigma) ls)) \wedge (\exists ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge \neg nfinite ls \wedge \neg nfinite (ndropn cp \sigma) \wedge powerinterval f (ndropn cp \sigma) ls \wedge h (pfilt (ndropn cp \sigma) ls))$
using 1 by auto
have 3: $cp \leq nlength \sigma$
using 2 by auto
have 4: $(\exists ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge nfinite ls \wedge nfinite (ntaken cp \sigma) \wedge nlast ls = (the-enat(nlength (ntaken cp \sigma)))) \wedge powerinterval f (ntaken cp \sigma) ls \wedge g (pfilt (ntaken cp \sigma) ls))$
using 2 by auto
obtain ls1 where 5: $nidx ls1 \wedge nnth ls1 0 = 0 \wedge nfinite ls1 \wedge nfinite (ntaken cp \sigma) \wedge nlast ls1 = (the-enat(nlength (ntaken cp \sigma))) \wedge powerinterval f (ntaken cp \sigma) ls1 \wedge g (pfilt (ntaken cp \sigma) ls1)$
using 4 by auto
have 6: $nidx ls1 \wedge nnth ls1 0 = 0$
using 5 by auto
have 61: $nfinite ls1 \wedge nfinite (ntaken cp \sigma)$
using 5 by auto
have 7: $nlast ls1 = the-enat(nlength (ntaken cp \sigma))$
using 5 by auto
have 8: $powerinterval f (ntaken cp \sigma) ls1$
using 5 by auto
have 9: $g (pfilt (ntaken cp \sigma) ls1)$
using 5 by auto
have 10: $(\exists ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge \neg nfinite ls \wedge \neg nfinite (ndropn cp \sigma) \wedge powerinterval f (ndropn cp \sigma) ls \wedge h (pfilt (ndropn cp \sigma) ls))$
using 2 by auto
obtain ls2 where 11: $nidx ls2 \wedge nnth ls2 0 = 0 \wedge \neg nfinite ls2 \wedge \neg nfinite (ndropn cp \sigma) \wedge powerinterval f (ndropn cp \sigma) ls2 \wedge h (pfilt (ndropn cp \sigma) ls2)$
using 10 by auto
have 12: $nidx ls2 \wedge nnth ls2 0 = 0$
using 11 by auto
have 14: $powerinterval f (ndropn cp \sigma) ls2$
using 11 by auto
have 15: $h (pfilt (ndropn cp \sigma) ls2)$
using 11 by auto
have 150: $nlast ls1 = cp$
by (simp add: 2 7)
have 151: $nfirst (nmap (\lambda x. x + cp) ls2) = cp$

```

by (metis 11 NNil-eq-nmap-conv nlast-NNil ntake-eq-NNil-iff ntake-nmap ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast
plus-nat.add-0)
have 152: nnth (nfuse ls1 (nmap (λx. x + cp) ls2)) 0 = 0
  by (metis 150 151 5 nfuse-nnth zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 153: nidx ls1 ∧ nnth ls1 0 = 0 ∧ nfinite ls1 ∧ nlast ls1 = cp
  by (simp add: 150 5)
have 154: nidx ls2 ∧ nnth ls2 0 = 0 ∧ ¬nfinite ls2 ∧ ¬nfinite σ
  using 11 nfinite-ndropn-a by blast
have 16: nidx (nfuse ls1 (nmap (λx. x + cp) ls2)) ∧ nnth (nfuse ls1 (nmap (λx. x + cp) ls2)) 0 = 0
  using nidx-nfuse-nidx-infinite[of ls1 ls2 cp σ] 150 154 5 by fastforce
have 17: nlast ls1 = nfirst (nmap (λx. x + cp) ls2)
  by (simp add: 150 151)
have 18: nnth (nfuse ls1 (nmap (λx. x + cp) ls2)) (the-enat(nlength ls1)) = cp
  by (metis 150 17 5 ntaken-nfuse ntaken-nlast)
have 20: powerinterval f σ (nfuse ls1 (nmap (λx. x + cp) ls2))
  using powerinterval-nfuse-alt[of ls1 (ls2) cp σ f]
  using 14 154 3 5 by fastforce
have 21: σ = nfuse (ntaken cp σ) (ndropn cp σ)
  by (simp add: 2 nfuse-ntaken-ndropn)
have 22: nnth ((nfuse ls1 (nmap (λx. x + cp) ls2))) (the-enat(nlength ls1)) = cp
  using 18 by blast
have 23: (ntaken (the-enat(nlength ls1)) (pfilt σ (nfuse ls1 (nmap (λx. x + cp) ls2)))) =
  (pfilt (ntaken cp σ) ls1)
  by (metis 150 17 2 5 enat.distinct(2) enat-le-plus-same(1) enat-the-enat
nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nfuse-nlength ntaken-nfuse pfilt-ntaken pfilt-ntaken-nidx)
have 24: g (ntaken (the-enat(nlength ls1)) (pfilt σ (nfuse ls1 (nmap (λx. x + cp) ls2)))) 
  by (simp add: 23 9)
have 25: (ndropn (the-enat(nlength ls1)) (pfilt σ (nfuse ls1 (nmap (λx. x + cp) ls2)))) =
  (pfilt (ndropn cp σ) ls2)
  using pfilt-ndropn[of (the-enat(nlength ls1)) (nfuse ls1 (nmap (λx. x + cp) ls2)) σ]
  pfilt-ndropn-nidx[of cp σ ls2]
  by (metis 12 17 2 23 5 enat-ord-code(4) enat-the-enat min-def ndropn-nfuse nle-le
ntaken-nlength order-less-imp-le pfilt-nlength)
have 26: nlength ls1 ≤ nlength (pfilt σ (nfuse ls1 (nmap (λx. x + cp) ls2)))
  by (simp add: nfuse-nlength pfilt-nlength)
have 27: (exists ls. nidx ls ∧ nnth ls 0 = 0 ∧ ¬nfinite ls ∧ ¬nfinite σ ∧
  powerinterval f σ ls ∧
  (exists n≤nlength (pfilt σ ls). g (ntaken n (pfilt σ ls)) ∧ h (ndropn n (pfilt σ ls))))
  using 11 16 20 24 25 26
  by (metis 154 5 nfinite-nlength-enat nfinite-nmap nfuse-nfinite the-enat.simps)
show ?thesis unfolding fprojection-d-def oprojection-d-def chop-nfuse nfuse-ntaken-ndropn
finite-defs by simp
  (metis 27 ndropn-nfirst nfinite-ntaken nfuse-ntaken-ndropn ntaken-nlast)
qed

```

lemma PJ4sem:

$(\sigma \models f \text{ fproj } (g; h) = (f \text{ fproj } g) ; (f \text{ fproj } h))$
using PJ4semchainta PJ4semchainb unl-lift2 **by** blast

lemma OPJ4sem:

$(\sigma \models f \text{ oproj } ((g \wedge \text{finite}); h) = (f \text{ fproj } g) ; (f \text{ oproj } h))$
using *OPJ4semchaina OPJ4semchainb unl-lift2* **by** *blast*

10.3.5 PJ5

lemma *PJ5sem*:

$(\sigma \models f \text{ fproj } \text{init}(g) \longrightarrow \text{init}(g))$
by (*simp add: fprojection-d-def init-defs*)
(*metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst ntaken-0 pfilt-code(1) pfilt-ntaken zero-enat-def zero-le*)

lemma *OPJ5sem*:

$(\sigma \models f \text{ oproj } \text{init}(g) \longrightarrow \text{init}(g))$
by (*simp add: oprojection-d-def init-defs*)
(*metis nlast-NNil ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast pfilt-nlength pfilt-nnth zero-enat-def zero-le*)

10.3.6 PJ6

lemma *PJ6help1*:

assumes *nidx ls*
nnth ls 0 = 0
nfinite ls
nfinite σ
nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ)
shows $(\forall i. 0 \leq i \wedge i < \text{nlength ls} \longrightarrow \text{nlength} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls } i)) (\text{nnth ls } (\text{Suc } i)))$
 $= (\text{nnth ls } (\text{Suc } i)) - (\text{nnth ls } i)$

proof

fix *i*

show $0 \leq i \wedge i < \text{nlength ls} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{nlength} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls } i)) (\text{nnth ls } (\text{Suc } i)) = \text{nnth ls } (\text{Suc } i) - \text{nnth ls } i$

using *assms nsubn-nlength[of σ] unfolding nidx-expand*
by (*metis assms(1) dual-order.order-iff-strict eSuc-enat enat-minus-mono1 enat-ord-simps(1)*
idiff-enat-enat ileI1 min.orderE nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nidx-less-last-1 nnth-nlast
the-enat.simps)

qed

lemma *OPJ6help1*:

assumes *nidx ls*
nnth ls 0 = 0
-nfinite ls
-nfinite σ
shows $(\forall i. 0 \leq i \wedge i < \text{nlength ls} \longrightarrow \text{nlength} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls } i)) (\text{nnth ls } (\text{Suc } i)))$
 $= (\text{nnth ls } (\text{Suc } i)) - (\text{nnth ls } i)$

proof

fix *i*

show $0 \leq i \wedge i < \text{nlength ls} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{nlength} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls } i)) (\text{nnth ls } (\text{Suc } i)) = \text{nnth ls } (\text{Suc } i) - \text{nnth ls } i$

using *assms unfolding nidx-expand nsubn-def1 by (simp add: nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)*

qed

lemma *PJ6help2*:

```

assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  nfinite ls
  nfinite σ
  nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ) ∧
  (∀ i < nlength ls. nnth ls (Suc i) − nnth ls i = Suc 0)
shows (∀ i ≤ nlength ls. nnth ls i = i)
proof
  fix i
  show i ≤ nlength ls → nnth ls i = i
proof
  (induct i)
  case 0
  then show ?case using assms by blast
  next
  case (Suc i)
  then show ?case
  by (metis One-nat-def Suc-ile-eq assms(1) assms(5) diff-add nidx-expand order-less-imp-le
    plus-1-eq-Suc)
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma OPJ6help2:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  ¬nfinite ls
  ¬nfinite σ
  (∀ i < nlength ls. nnth ls (Suc i) − nnth ls i = Suc 0)
shows (∀ i ≤ nlength ls. nnth ls i = i)
proof
  fix i
  show i ≤ nlength ls → nnth ls i = i
proof
  (induct i)
  case 0
  then show ?case using assms by blast
  next
  case (Suc i)
  then show ?case
  by (metis One-nat-def Suc-ile-eq assms(1) assms(5) diff-add nidx-expand order-less-imp-le
    plus-1-eq-Suc)
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma PJ6help3:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  nfinite ls
  nfinite σ

```

```

nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ)
(∀ i≤nlength ls. nnth ls i = i)
shows   (∀ i<nlength ls. nnth ls (Suc i) − nnth ls i = Suc 0)
proof
fix i
show i<nlength ls → nnth ls (Suc i) − nnth ls i = Suc 0
by (metis One-nat-def add-diff-cancel-right' assms(6) eSuc-enat ileI1 order-less-imp-le
plus-1-eq-Suc)
qed

lemma OPJ6help3:
assumes nidx ls
nnth ls 0 = 0
¬nfinite ls
¬nfinite σ
(∀ i≤nlength ls. nnth ls i = i)
shows   (∀ i<nlength ls. nnth ls (Suc i) − nnth ls i = Suc 0)
proof
fix i
show i<nlength ls → nnth ls (Suc i) − nnth ls i = Suc 0
by (metis One-nat-def add-diff-cancel-right' assms(5) eSuc-enat ileI1 order-less-imp-le
plus-1-eq-Suc)
qed

```

```

lemma PJ6help4:
assumes nfinite σ
shows (Ǝ ls. nidx ls ∧ nnth ls 0 = 0 ∧ nfinite ls ∧ nfinite σ ∧
ls = ntaken (the-enat (nlength σ)) (niterates Suc 0) ∧
nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ) ∧
(∀ i≤nlength ls. nnth ls i = i) ∧
(∃ σ1. nlength σ1 = nlength ls ∧ (∀ i≤nlength σ1. nnth σ1 i = nnth σ (i)) ∧ σ1 = σ))

proof –
have 1: nidx (ntaken (the-enat(nlength σ)) (niterates Suc 0))
using nidx-ntaken-niterates-Suc by presburger
have 2: nnth (ntaken (the-enat (nlength σ)) (niterates Suc 0)) 0 = 0
by (simp add: ntaken-nnth)
have 3: nlast (ntaken (the-enat (nlength σ)) (niterates Suc 0)) = the-enat(nlength σ)
by (simp add: ntaken-nlast)
have 4: (∀ i≤nlength (ntaken (the-enat (nlength σ)) (niterates Suc 0)). nnth (ntaken (the-enat (nlength σ)) (niterates Suc 0)) i = i)
by (simp add: ntaken-nnth)
have 5: (∃ σ1. nlength σ1 = nlength (ntaken (the-enat (nlength σ)) (niterates Suc 0))) ∧
(∀ i≤nlength σ1. nnth σ1 i = nnth σ i) ∧ σ1 = σ)
using assms by (simp add: enat-the-enat nfinite-nlength-enat)
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 4 5 assms nfinite-ntaken by blast
qed

```

lemma *OPJ6help4*:
assumes $\neg nfinite \sigma$
shows $(\exists ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge \neg nfinite ls \wedge \neg nfinite \sigma \wedge$
 $ls = (niterates Suc 0) \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq nlength ls. nnth ls i = i) \wedge$
 $(\exists \sigma 1. nlength \sigma 1 = nlength ls \wedge (\forall i \leq nlength \sigma 1. nnth \sigma 1 i = nnth \sigma (i)) \wedge \sigma 1 = \sigma)$

proof –

have 1: $nidx ((niterates Suc 0))$
using *nidx-expand nnth-niterates-Suc* **by** presburger
have 2: $nnth ((niterates Suc 0)) 0 = 0$
by (simp)
have 4: $(\forall i \leq nlength ((niterates Suc 0)). nnth ((niterates Suc 0)) i = i)$
by (simp)
have 5: $(\exists \sigma 1. nlength \sigma 1 = nlength ((niterates Suc 0)) \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq nlength \sigma 1. nnth \sigma 1 i = nnth \sigma i) \wedge \sigma 1 = \sigma)$
using assms by (simp add: *nfinite-conv-nlength-enat*)
show ?thesis
using 1 2 4 5 assms by blast
qed

lemma *PJ6help5*:
assumes $nfinite \sigma$
shows $(\exists ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge nfinite ls \wedge nfinite \sigma \wedge$
 $nlast ls = the-enat(nlength \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall i < nlength ls. nlength (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) = Suc 0) \wedge$
 $(\exists \sigma 1. nlength \sigma 1 = nlength ls \wedge (\forall i \leq nlength \sigma 1. nnth \sigma 1 i = nnth \sigma (nnth ls i)) \wedge g \sigma 1))$
 $= g \sigma$

proof –

have $(\exists ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge nfinite ls \wedge nfinite \sigma \wedge$
 $nlast ls = the-enat (nlength \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall i < nlength ls. nlength (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) = Suc 0) \wedge$
 $(\exists \sigma 1. nlength \sigma 1 = nlength ls \wedge (\forall i \leq nlength \sigma 1. nnth \sigma 1 i = nnth \sigma (nnth ls i)) \wedge g \sigma 1))$
 $=$
 $(\exists ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge nfinite ls \wedge nfinite \sigma \wedge$
 $nlast ls = the-enat(nlength \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall i < nlength ls. nnth ls (Suc i) - nnth ls i = Suc 0) \wedge$
 $(\exists \sigma 1. nlength \sigma 1 = nlength ls \wedge (\forall i \leq nlength \sigma 1. nnth \sigma 1 i = nnth \sigma (nnth ls i)) \wedge g \sigma 1))$
using PJ6help1[of - σ] assms by auto
also have ... =
 $(\exists ls. nidx ls \wedge nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge nfinite ls \wedge nfinite \sigma \wedge$
 $nlast ls = the-enat(nlength \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq nlength ls. nnth ls i = i) \wedge$
 $(\exists \sigma 1. nlength \sigma 1 = nlength ls \wedge (\forall i \leq nlength \sigma 1. nnth \sigma 1 i = nnth \sigma (nnth ls i)) \wedge g \sigma 1))$

using PJ6help2 PJ6help3 by blast

also have ... =

$(\exists ls. \text{nidx } ls \wedge \text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge \text{nfinite } ls \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge$
 $\text{nlast } ls = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq \text{nlength } ls. \text{nnth } ls i = i) \wedge$
 $(\exists \sigma 1. \text{nlength } \sigma 1 = \text{nlength } ls \wedge (\forall i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma 1. \text{nnth } \sigma 1 i = \text{nnth } \sigma (i)) \wedge g \sigma 1)$

using assms by auto (metis, metis)
also have ... =
 $(\exists ls. \text{nidx } ls \wedge \text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge \text{nfinite } ls \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge$
 $\text{nlast } ls = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq \text{nlength } ls. \text{nnth } ls i = i) \wedge$
 $(\exists \sigma 1. \text{nlength } \sigma 1 = \text{nlength } ls \wedge (\forall i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma 1. \text{nnth } \sigma 1 i = \text{nnth } \sigma (i)) \wedge \sigma 1 = \sigma \wedge g \sigma)$
by auto
 $(\text{metis dual-order.refl enat.distinct(2)} \text{ enat-the-enat nellist-eq-nnth-eq nfinite-nlength-enat}$
 $\text{nnth-nlast})$

also have ... =
 $g \sigma$

using PJ6help4 assms by auto
finally show $(\exists ls. \text{nidx } ls \wedge \text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge \text{nfinite } ls \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge$
 $\text{nlast } ls = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. \text{nlength } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) = \text{Suc } 0) \wedge$
 $(\exists \sigma 1. \text{nlength } \sigma 1 = \text{nlength } ls \wedge (\forall i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma 1. \text{nnth } \sigma 1 i = \text{nnth } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i)) \wedge g \sigma 1))$
 $= g \sigma$

•

qed

lemma OPJ6help5:
assumes $\neg \text{nfinite } \sigma$
shows $(\exists ls. \text{nidx } ls \wedge \text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge \neg \text{nfinite } ls \wedge \neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. \text{nlength } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) = \text{Suc } 0) \wedge$
 $(\exists \sigma 1. \text{nlength } \sigma 1 = \text{nlength } ls \wedge (\forall i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma 1. \text{nnth } \sigma 1 i = \text{nnth } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i)) \wedge g \sigma 1))$
 $= g \sigma$

proof –
have $(\exists ls. \text{nidx } ls \wedge \text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge \neg \text{nfinite } ls \wedge \neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. \text{nlength } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) = \text{Suc } 0) \wedge$
 $(\exists \sigma 1. \text{nlength } \sigma 1 = \text{nlength } ls \wedge (\forall i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma 1. \text{nnth } \sigma 1 i = \text{nnth } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i)) \wedge g \sigma 1))$
 $=$
 $(\exists ls. \text{nidx } ls \wedge \text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge \neg \text{nfinite } ls \wedge \neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. \text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i) - \text{nnth } ls i = \text{Suc } 0) \wedge$
 $(\exists \sigma 1. \text{nlength } \sigma 1 = \text{nlength } ls \wedge (\forall i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma 1. \text{nnth } \sigma 1 i = \text{nnth } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i)) \wedge g \sigma 1))$

using OPJ6help1[of - σ] assms by auto
also have ... =
 $(\exists ls. \text{nidx } ls \wedge \text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge \neg \text{nfinite } ls \wedge \neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq \text{nlength } ls. \text{nnth } ls i = i) \wedge$
 $(\exists \sigma 1. \text{nlength } \sigma 1 = \text{nlength } ls \wedge (\forall i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma 1. \text{nnth } \sigma 1 i = \text{nnth } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i)) \wedge g \sigma 1))$

using OPJ6help2[of - σ] OPJ6help3[of - σ] by blast
also have ... =
 $(\exists ls. \text{nidx } ls \wedge \text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge \neg \text{nfinite } ls \wedge \neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq \text{nlength } ls. \text{nnth } ls i = i) \wedge$

$(\exists \sigma_1. \text{nlength } \sigma_1 = \text{nlength } ls \wedge (\forall i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma_1. \text{nnth } \sigma_1 i = \text{nnth } \sigma (i)) \wedge g \sigma_1)$

using assms by auto (metis, metis)
also have ... =
 $(\exists ls. \text{nidx } ls \wedge \text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge \neg \text{nfinite } ls \wedge \neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq \text{nlength } ls. \text{nnth } ls i = i) \wedge$
 $(\exists \sigma_1. \text{nlength } \sigma_1 = \text{nlength } ls \wedge (\forall i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma_1. \text{nnth } \sigma_1 i = \text{nnth } \sigma (i)) \wedge \sigma_1 = \sigma \wedge g \sigma))$

by auto (metis OPJ6help4 nellist-eq-nnth-eq)
also have ... =
 $g \sigma$

using OPJ6help4 assms by auto
finally show $(\exists ls. \text{nidx } ls \wedge \text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge \neg \text{nfinite } ls \wedge \neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. \text{nlength } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) = \text{Suc } 0) \wedge$
 $(\exists \sigma_1. \text{nlength } \sigma_1 = \text{nlength } ls \wedge (\forall i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma_1. \text{nnth } \sigma_1 i = \text{nnth } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i)) \wedge g \sigma_1))$
 $= g \sigma$

.

qed

lemma PJ6sem:
 $(\sigma \models \text{skip} \ fproj \ g = (g \wedge \text{finite}))$

proof –

have 1: $(\sigma \models \text{skip} \ fproj \ g = (g \wedge \text{finite})) =$
 $((\exists l. \text{nidx } l \wedge \text{nnth } l 0 = 0 \wedge \text{nfinite } l \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge \text{nlast } l = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{nlength } l. (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } l i) (\text{nnth } l (\text{Suc } i))) \models \text{skip}) \wedge g (\text{pfilt } \sigma l)) =$
 $(g \sigma \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma))$

by (simp add: fprojection-d-def powerinterval-def finite-defs)

have 2: $(\exists ls. \text{nidx } ls \wedge \text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge \text{nfinite } ls \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge \text{nlast } ls = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \models \text{skip}) \wedge g (\text{pfilt } \sigma ls)) =$
 $(\exists ls \sigma_1. \text{nidx } ls \wedge \text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge \text{nfinite } ls \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge \text{nlast } ls = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \models \text{skip}) \wedge$
 $\text{nlength } \sigma_1 = \text{nlength } ls \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma_1. (\text{nnth } \sigma_1 i) = (\text{nnth } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i))) \wedge$
 $g \sigma_1)$

using pfilt-expand[of - σ]
by auto (blast,metis)

have 3: $(\exists ls \sigma_1. \text{nidx } ls \wedge \text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge \text{nfinite } ls \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge$
 $\text{nlast } ls = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \models \text{skip}) \wedge$
 $\text{nlength } \sigma_1 = \text{nlength } ls \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma_1. (\text{nnth } \sigma_1 i) = (\text{nnth } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i))) \wedge$
 $g \sigma_1) =$
 $(\exists ls. \text{nidx } ls \wedge \text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge \text{nfinite } ls \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge \text{nlast } ls = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. \text{nlength } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) = \text{Suc } 0) \wedge$
 $(\exists \sigma_1. \text{nlength } \sigma_1 = \text{nlength } ls \wedge (\forall i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma_1. \text{nnth } \sigma_1 i = \text{nnth } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i)) \wedge g \sigma_1))$

by (simp add: skip-defs)

have 4: $(\exists ls. \text{nidx } ls \wedge \text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge \text{nfinite } ls \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge \text{nlast } ls = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. \text{nlength } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) = \text{Suc } 0) \wedge$
 $(\exists \sigma_1. \text{nlength } \sigma_1 = \text{nlength } ls \wedge (\forall i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma_1. \text{nnth } \sigma_1 i = \text{nnth } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i)) \wedge g \sigma_1)) =$

```

((g σ) ∧ nfinite σ)
using PJ6help5[of σ g] by auto
from 1 2 3 4 show ?thesis
by simp
qed

lemma OPJ6sem:
(σ ⊨ skip oproj g = (g ∧ inf))
proof -
have 1: (σ ⊨ skip oproj g = (g ∧ inf)) =
((∃ ls. nidx ls ∧ nnth ls 0 = 0 ∧ ¬nfinite ls ∧ ¬nfinite σ ∧
(∀ i < nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ⊨ skip) ∧ g (pfilt σ ls)) =
(g σ ∧ ¬nfinite σ))
by (simp add: oprojection-d-def powerinterval-def infinite-defs)
have 2: (∃ ls. nidx ls ∧ nnth ls 0 = 0 ∧ ¬nfinite ls ∧ ¬nfinite σ ∧
(∀ i < nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ⊨ skip) ∧ g (pfilt σ ls)) =
(∃ ls σ1 . nidx ls ∧ nnth ls 0 = 0 ∧ ¬nfinite ls ∧ ¬nfinite σ ∧
(∀ i < nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ⊨ skip) ∧
nlength σ1 = nlength ls ∧
(∀ i ≤ nlength σ1. (nnth σ1 i) = (nnth σ (nnth ls i))) ∧
g σ1)
using pfilt-expand[of - σ ] by auto (blast,metis)
have 3: (∃ ls σ1 . nidx ls ∧ nnth ls 0 = 0 ∧ ¬nfinite ls ∧ ¬nfinite σ ∧
(∀ i < nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ⊨ skip) ∧
nlength σ1 = nlength ls ∧
(∀ i ≤ nlength σ1. (nnth σ1 i) = (nnth σ (nnth ls i))) ∧
g σ1) =
(∃ ls. nidx ls ∧ nnth ls 0 = 0 ∧ ¬nfinite ls ∧ ¬nfinite σ ∧
(∀ i < nlength ls. nlength (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) = Suc 0) ∧
(∃ σ1. nlength σ1 = nlength ls ∧ (∀ i ≤ nlength σ1. nnth σ1 i = nnth σ (nnth ls i)) ∧ g σ1))
by (simp add: skip-defs)
have 4: (∃ ls. nidx ls ∧ nnth ls 0 = 0 ∧ ¬nfinite ls ∧ ¬nfinite σ ∧
(∀ i < nlength ls. nlength (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) = Suc 0) ∧
(∃ σ1. nlength σ1 = nlength ls ∧ (∀ i ≤ nlength σ1. nnth σ1 i = nnth σ (nnth ls i)) ∧ g σ1)) =
((g σ) ∧ ¬nfinite σ)
using OPJ6help5[of σ g] by auto
from 1 2 3 4 show ?thesis
by simp
qed

```

10.3.7 PJ7

```

lemma PJemptyImp:
assumes nlength σ = 0
shows (σ ⊨ (f fproj g) = g)
using assms
by (auto simp add: fprojection-d-def powerinterval-def nsubn-def1)
(metis i0-less less-numeral-extra(3) ndropn-0 ndropn-nlast nfinite-conv-nlength-enat
nidx-less-last-1 nnth-nlast pfilt-code(1) the-enat.simps the-enat-0,
metis PJ6help4 ndropn-0 ndropn-nlast nfinite-ntaken not-less-zero ntaken-all ntaken-nlast

```

```


pfilt-code(1) zero-le



lemma PJ7empty:



assumes nlength  $\sigma = 0$



shows  $(\sigma \models f \text{ fproj } (g \text{ fproj } h) = (f \text{ fproj } g) \text{ fproj } h)$



proof -



have 1:  $(\sigma \models f \text{ fproj } (g \text{ fproj } h) = (g \text{ fproj } h))$   

  using PJemptyImp assms by blast



have 2:  $(\sigma \models (g \text{ fproj } h) = h)$   

  using PJemptyImp assms by blast



have 3:  $(\sigma \models (f \text{ fproj } g) \text{ fproj } h = h)$   

  using PJemptyImp assms by blast



from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by simp



qed



lemma PJ7helpchain1a-help-1:



assumes nidx ls



nnth ls 0 = 0



nfinite ls



nfinite  $\sigma$



nlast ls = the-enat(nlength  $\sigma$ )  

 $(\forall i < nlength ls. (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \models f \text{ fproj } g)$   

  nlength  $\sigma > 0$



shows nidx (nfusecat ((lcppl f g  $\sigma$  ls)))  $\wedge$  nnth (nfusecat ((lcppl f g  $\sigma$  ls))) 0 = 0



proof -



have 0: nlength  $\sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$  nlength ls > 0  

  using assms  

  by (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat gr-zeroI nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nnth-nlast the-enat-0)



have 01: nfirst ls = 0  

  using assms  

  by (metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst)



have 02: nlastnfirst (lcppl f g  $\sigma$  ls)  

  using assms lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst[of ls  $\sigma$  f g]  

  by (metis 0)



have 1: nfirst (nfusecat (lcppl f g  $\sigma$  ls)) = 0  

  using assms 0 01 02 lcppl-nfirst[of ls  $\sigma$  f g] by (metis nfirst-nfusecat-nfirst )



from 1 0 show ?thesis using assms lcppl-nfusecat-nidx[of ls  $\sigma$  f g]  

  by (metis 01 ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast)



qed



lemma PJ7helpchain1a-help-1-alt:



assumes nidx ls



nnth ls 0 = 0



$\neg$ nfinite ls



$\neg$ nfinite  $\sigma$   

 $(\forall i < nlength ls. (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \models f \text{ fproj } g)$   

  nlength  $\sigma > 0$



shows nidx (nfusecat ((lcppl f g  $\sigma$  ls)))  $\wedge$  nnth (nfusecat ((lcppl f g  $\sigma$  ls))) 0 = 0



proof -



have 0: nlength  $\sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$  nlength ls > 0


```

```

using assms
by (metis enat-the-enat nfinite-conv-nlength-enat )
have 01: nfirst ls = 0
using assms
by (metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst)
have 02: nlastnfirst (lcppl f g σ ls)
using assms lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst-alt[of ls σ f g]
by (metis )
have 1: nfirst (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls)) = 0
using assms 0 01 02
lcppl-nfirst-alt[of ls σ f g ]
by (metis nfirst-nfusecat-nfirst )
from 1 0 show ?thesis using assms lcppl-nfusecat-nidx-alt[of ls σ f g]
by (metis 01 ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast)
qed

```

lemma PJ7helpchain1a-help-2:

assumes nidx ls

nth ls 0 = 0

nfinite ls

nfinite σ

nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ)

(∀ i < nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nth ls i) (nth ls (Suc i)))) ≡ f fproj g)

nlength σ > 0

shows powerinterval f σ (nfusecat ((lcppl f g σ ls)))

proof –

have 1: (∀ i < nlength ls.

powerinterval f (nsubn σ (nth ls i) (nth ls (Suc i)))

(cppl f g (nsubn σ (nth ls i) (nth ls (Suc i)))))

using assms cppl-fprojection **by** blast

have 2: ∀ i < nlength ls.

∀ ia < nlength (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nth ls i) (nth ls (Suc i)))).

f (nsubn (nsubn σ (nth ls i) (nth ls (Suc i)))

(nth (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nth ls i) (nth ls (Suc i)))) ia)

(nth (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nth ls i) (nth ls (Suc i)))) (Suc ia))

)

using 1 **by** (simp add: powerinterval-def)

have 3: ∀ i < nlength ls.

∀ ia < nlength (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nth ls i) (nth ls (Suc i)))).

(nsubn (nsubn σ (nth ls i) (nth ls (Suc i)))

(nth (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nth ls i) (nth ls (Suc i)))) ia)

(nth (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nth ls i) (nth ls (Suc i)))) (Suc ia))

) =

(nsubn σ

(nth (nmap (λx. x + (nth ls i)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nth ls i) (nth ls (Suc i)))))) ia)

(nth (nmap (λx. x + (nth ls i)) (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nth ls i) (nth ls (Suc i)))))) (Suc ia))

)

proof auto

fix i

fix ia

assume $a0: \text{enat } i < \text{nlength } ls$
assume $a1: \text{enat } ia < \text{nlength } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))))$
show $\text{nsubn } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))$
 $\quad (\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) ia)$
 $\quad (\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) (\text{Suc } ia)) =$
 $\quad \text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) ia + \text{nnth } ls i)$
 $\quad (\text{nnth } (\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x + \text{nnth } ls i) (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))))) (\text{Suc } ia))$

proof –

have $300: 0 < \text{nlength } \sigma$
using $\text{assms}(7)$ **by** *auto*
have $301: \text{nnth } ls i < \text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)$
by (*metis* $a0 \text{ assms}(1) \text{ eSuc-enat ileI1 nidx-expand}$)
have $302: (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \models (f \text{ fproj } g)$
by (*simp add:* $a0 \text{ assms}(6)$)
have $303: \text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) = (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) - (\text{nnth } ls i)$
by (*simp add:* $\text{PJ6help1 } a0 \text{ assms}(1) \text{ assms}(2) \text{ assms}(3) \text{ assms}(4) \text{ assms}(5)$)
have $304: \text{nlast } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) = (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) - (\text{nnth } ls i)$
using $a0 \text{ a1 cppl-fprojection}[of f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))]$
using $302 \text{ } 303$ **by** *presburger*
have $305: (\text{Suc } ia) \leq \text{nlength } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))))$
by (*simp add:* $\text{Suc-ile-eq } a1$)
have $306: \text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) ia \leq$
 $\quad \text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) (\text{Suc } ia)$
by (*metis* $302 \text{ a1 cppl-fprojection eSuc-enat ileI1 le-add2 nidx-less-eq plus-1-eq-Suc}$)
have $307: \text{nlast } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) =$
 $\quad \text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))))$
using $302 \text{ cppl-fprojection nnth-nlast}$ **by** *auto*
have $308: \text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) (\text{Suc } ia) \leq$
 $\quad \text{nlast } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))))$
by (*metis* $302 \text{ } 305 \text{ cppl-fprojection nidx-all-le-nlast}$)
have $310: \text{enat } (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma$
using $a0 \text{ assms}$
by (*metis dual-order.refl eSuc-enat enat-ord-simps(1) enat-ord-simps(3) enat-the-enat ileI1 nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nidx-less-eq nnth-nlast the-enat.simps*)
have $30: \text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) (\text{Suc } ia) \leq \text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i) - \text{nnth } ls i$
using $\text{assms cppl-bounds}[of (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) \sigma f g \text{ Suc } ia]$
 $\quad \text{PJ6help1}[of ls \sigma] \text{ a0 a1 cppl-fprojection}[of f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))]$
by (*metis* $303 \text{ } 308$)
have $311: \text{nsubn } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))$
 $\quad (\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) ia)$
 $\quad (\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) (\text{Suc } ia)) =$
 $\quad \text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i + \text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) ia)$
 $\quad (\text{nnth } ls i + \text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) (\text{Suc } ia))$
using $\text{nsubn-nsubn-1}[of (\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) ia)]$
 $\quad (\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) (\text{Suc } ia)) (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) \sigma]$
using $30 \text{ } 301 \text{ } 306 \text{ } 310 \text{ order-less-imp-le}$ **by** *blast*
have $312: (\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) ia + \text{nnth } ls i) =$
 $\quad (\text{nnth } ls i + \text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) ia)$
by *simp*

have 313: $(nnth ls i + nnth (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) (Suc ia)) =$
 $(nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x + nnth ls i) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) (Suc ia)))$
using 305 **by** force
show ?thesis
using 311 312 313 **by** presburger
qed
qed
have 4: $\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $\forall ia < nlength (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))).$
 $f (nsubn \sigma (nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls i)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) ia)$
 $(nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls i)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) (Suc ia)))$
 $)$
using 2 3 **by** auto
have 5: $nlength(lcppl f g \sigma ls) = nlength ls - 1$
using assms lcppl-nlength lcppl-nlength-zero
by (metis epred-0 epred-conv-minus i0-less)
have 6: $nlength \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow nlength ls > 0$
using assms
by (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat nfinite-nlength-enat nnth-nlast the-enat-0 zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
have 7: $\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $\forall ia < nlength ((nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i)).$
 $f (nsubn \sigma (nnth (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i) ia)$
 $(nnth (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i) (Suc ia)))$
 $)$
using assms 4 lcppl-nnth **by** (metis nlength-nmap)
have 8: $nlength \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall i \leq nlength (lcppl f g \sigma ls)).$
 $\forall ia < nlength ((nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i)).$
 $f (nsubn \sigma (nnth (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i) ia)$
 $(nnth (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i) (Suc ia)))$
 $)$
using 5 6 7 assms **by** (metis co.enat.exhaust-sel i0-less iless-Suc-eq lcppl-nlength)
have 9: $nlength \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
 $powerinterval f \sigma (nfusecat ((lcppl f g \sigma ls))) =$
 $(\forall i < nlength (nfusecat (lcppl f g \sigma ls))).$
 $f (nsubn \sigma (nnth (nfusecat (lcppl f g \sigma ls)) i) (nnth (nfusecat (lcppl f g \sigma ls)) (Suc i)))$
by (simp add: powerinterval-def)
have 10: $nlength \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow nlastnfirst (lcppl f g \sigma ls)$
using 6 assms lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst
by (metis nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
have 11: $nlength \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall j \leq nlength (lcppl f g \sigma ls). nlength(nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) j) > 0)$
using assms
by (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat lcppl-nlength-all-gr-zero nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
have 110: $all-gr-zero (lcppl f g \sigma ls)$
using 11 all-gr-zero-nnth-a assms(7) **by** blast
have 111: $all-nfinite (lcppl f g \sigma ls)$
using all-nfinite-nnth-a assms lcppl-nlength-all-nfinite **by** blast
have 12: $nlength \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\forall i \leq nlength(lcppl f g \sigma ls). \\
& (\forall ia < nlength ((nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i)). \\
& f (nsubn \sigma (nnth (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i) ia) \\
& (nnth (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i) (Suc ia)) \\
&))) = \\
& (\forall j < nlength (nfusecat (lcppl f g \sigma ls)). \\
& f (nsubn \sigma (nnth (nfusecat (lcppl f g \sigma ls)) j) \\
& (nnth (nfusecat (lcppl f g \sigma ls)) (Suc j))) \\
&))
\end{aligned}$$

```

using nfusecat-split-nsubn[of (lcppl f g \sigma ls) f \sigma] 6 10 11 110 111 assms
unfolding nridx-expand
by (simp add: Suc-ile-eq)
show ?thesis using 12 8 9 using assms
by meson
qed

```

lemma PJ7helpchain1a-help-2-alt:

```

assumes nidx ls
nth ls 0 = 0
¬nfinite ls
¬nfinite \sigma
(\forall i < nlength ls. (nsubn \sigma (nth ls i) (nth ls (Suc i))) ) \models f fproj g

```

shows powerinterval f \sigma (nfusecat (lcppl f g \sigma ls))

proof –

have 1: ($\forall i < nlength ls.$

$$\text{powerinterval } f (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nth } ls i) (\text{nth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))$$

$$(\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nth } ls i) (\text{nth } ls (\text{Suc } i))))$$
)

using assms cppl-fprojection **by** blast

have 2: $\forall i < nlength ls.$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall ia < nlength (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))). \\
& f (nsubn (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \\
& (nnth (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) ia) \\
& (nnth (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) (Suc ia)))
\end{aligned}$$

using 1 **by** (simp add: powerinterval-def)

have 3: $\forall i < nlength ls.$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall ia < nlength (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))). \\
& (nsubn (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \\
& (nnth (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) ia) \\
& (nnth (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) (Suc ia))) \\
& = \\
& (nsubn \sigma \\
& (nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls i)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))))) ia) \\
& (nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls i)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))))) (Suc ia))
\end{aligned}$$

proof auto

fix i

fix ia

assume a0: enat i < nlength ls

assume $a1: \text{enat } ia < \text{nlength} (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))))$

show $\text{nsubn } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) ia) \\ & (\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) (\text{Suc } ia)) = \\ & \text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) ia + \text{nnth } ls i) \\ & (\text{nnth } (\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x + \text{nnth } ls i) (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))))) (\text{Suc } ia)) \end{aligned}$$

proof –

have $300: 0 < \text{nlength } \sigma$

by (*metis assms(4) gr-zeroI nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD zero-enat-def*)

have $301: \text{nth } ls i < \text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)$

by (*metis a0 assms(1) eSuc-enat ileI1 nidx-expand*)

have $302: (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \models (f \text{ fproj } g)$

by (*simp add: a0 assms(5)*)

have $303: \text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) = (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) - (\text{nnth } ls i)$

by (*simp add: OPJ6help1 a0 assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4)*)

have $304: \text{nlast } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) = (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) - (\text{nnth } ls i)$

using $a0 \text{ a1 cppl-fprojection}[of f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))]$

using $302 \text{ } 303 \text{ by presburger}$

have $305: (\text{Suc } ia) \leq \text{nlength } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))))$

by (*simp add: Suc-ile-eq a1*)

have $306: \text{nth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) ia \leq$

$\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) (\text{Suc } ia)$

by (*metis 302 a1 cppl-fprojection eSuc-enat ileI1 le-add2 nidx-less-eq plus-1-eq-Suc*)

have $307: \text{nlast } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) =$

$\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))))$

(*the-enat(nlength (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))))*)

using $302 \text{ cppl-fprojection nnth-nlast by auto}$

have $308: \text{nth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) (\text{Suc } ia) \leq$

$\text{nlast } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))))$

by (*metis 302 305 cppl-fprojection nidx-all-le-nlast*)

have $310: \text{enat } (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma$

using $a0 \text{ assms}$

by (*metis enat-ord-simps(3) enat-the-enat nfinite-conv-nlength-enat*)

have $30: \text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) (\text{Suc } ia) \leq \text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i) - \text{nnth } ls i$

using $\text{assms cppl-bounds}[of (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) \sigma f g \text{ Suc } ia]$

$PJ6help1[\text{of } ls \sigma] \text{ a0 a1 cppl-fprojection}[of f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))]$

by (*metis 303 308*)

have $311: \text{nsubn } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))$

$(\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) ia)$

$(\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) (\text{Suc } ia)) =$

$\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i + \text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) ia)$

$(\text{nnth } ls i + \text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) (\text{Suc } ia))$

using $\text{nsubn-nsubn-1}[of (\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) ia)$

$(\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) (\text{Suc } ia)) (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) \sigma]$

using $30 \text{ } 301 \text{ } 306 \text{ } 310 \text{ order-less-imp-le by blast}$

have $312: (\text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) ia + \text{nnth } ls i) =$

$(\text{nnth } ls i + \text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) ia)$

by *simp*

have $313: (\text{nnth } ls i + \text{nnth } (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))) (\text{Suc } ia)) =$

$(\text{nnth } (\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x + \text{nnth } ls i) (\text{cppl } f g (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))))) (\text{Suc } ia))$

```

using 305 by force
show ?thesis
using 311 312 313 by presburger
qed
qed
have 4:  $\forall i < nlength ls$ .
 $\forall ia < nlength (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))).$ 
 $f (nsubn \sigma (nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls i)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))))) ia$ 
 $(nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls i)) (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))))) (Suc ia))$ 
)
using 2 3 by auto
have 5:  $nlength(lcppl f g \sigma ls) = nlength ls - 1$ 
using assms lcppl-nlength lcppl-nlength-zero
by (simp add: epred-conv-minus lcppl-nlength-alt)
have 6:  $nlength \sigma > 0 \longrightarrow nlength ls > 0$ 
using assms
by (simp add: nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
have 7:  $\forall i < nlength ls$ .
 $\forall ia < nlength ((nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i)).$ 
 $f (nsubn \sigma (nnth (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i) ia)$ 
 $(nnth (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i) (Suc ia))$ 
)
using assms 4 lcppl-nnth
by (metis nlength-nmap)
have 8:  $(\forall i \leq nlength(lcppl f g \sigma ls))$ .
 $\forall ia < nlength ((nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i)).$ 
 $f (nsubn \sigma (nnth (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i) ia)$ 
 $(nnth (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i) (Suc ia))$ 
))
using 5 6 7 assms enat-ile nfinite-conv-nlength-enat not-le-imp-less by blast
have 9:  $powerinterval f \sigma (nfusecat ((lcppl f g \sigma ls))) =$ 
 $(\forall i < nlength (nfusecat (lcppl f g \sigma ls))).$ 
 $f (nsubn \sigma (nnth (nfusecat (lcppl f g \sigma ls)) i) (nnth (nfusecat (lcppl f g \sigma ls)) (Suc i))))$ 
by (simp add: powerinterval-def)
have 10:  $nlastnfirst (lcppl f g \sigma ls)$ 
using 6 assms lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst-alt
by (metis)
have 11:  $(\forall j \leq nlength (lcppl f g \sigma ls). nlength(nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) j) > 0)$ 
using assms lcppl-nlength-all-gr-zero-alt by blast
have 110:  $all-gr-zero (lcppl f g \sigma ls)$ 
using 11 all-gr-zero-nnth-a assms by blast
have 111:  $all-nfinite (lcppl f g \sigma ls)$ 
using all-nfinite-nnth-a assms lcppl-nlength-all-nfinite-alt by blast
have 12:  $(\forall i \leq nlength(lcppl f g \sigma ls).$ 
 $(\forall ia < nlength ((nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i)).$ 
 $f (nsubn \sigma (nnth (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i) ia)$ 
 $(nnth (nnth (lcppl f g \sigma ls) i) (Suc ia))$ 
))) =
 $(\forall j < nlength (nfusecat (lcppl f g \sigma ls)).$ 
 $f (nsubn \sigma (nnth (nfusecat (lcppl f g \sigma ls)) j)$ 

```

```

  (nnth (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls)) (Suc j))
  )))

using nfusecat-split-nsubn[of (lcppl f g σ ls) f σ] 10 11 110 111 assms
unfolding nridx-expand
by (simp add: Suc-ile-eq)
show ?thesis using 12 8 9 using assms
by meson
qed

lemma PJ7helpchain1a-help-3:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  nfinites ls
  nfinites σ
  nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ)
  ( ∀ i < nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ) ≈ f fproj g
  h (pfilt σ ls)
  nlength σ > 0
shows nlast (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls)) = nlength σ
proof –
  have 0: nlength σ > 0 → nlength ls > 0
  using assms
  by (metis PJ6help4 nnth-nlast the-enat-0 zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
  have 00: nfinites (lcppl f g σ ls)
  using assms(1) assms(3) lcppl-nfinites by blast
  have 01: nlastnfirst (lcppl f g σ ls)
  using 0 assms lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst by blast
  have 02: all-nfinites (lcppl f g σ ls)
  using all-nfinites-nnth-a assms lcppl-nlength-all-nfinites by blast
  have 1: nlength σ > 0 →
    nlast (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls)) = nlast(nlast ((lcppl f g σ ls)))
  using assms 0 nlastfirst-nfusecat-nlast[of (lcppl f g σ ls)] 00 01 02 by blast
  have 2: nlength σ > 0 →
    nlast ((lcppl f g σ ls)) =
    (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))
  using assms
  by (metis 0 epred-enat lcppl-nfinites lcppl-nlength nfinites-nlength-enat nnth-nlast
    the-enat.simps)
  have 3: nlength σ > 0 →
    (nnth (lcppl f g σ ls) (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)) =
    (nmap (λx. x + (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)))
      (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))
        (nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)))))))

  using assms 0 lcppl-nnth[of ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1) f g σ]
  by (metis Suc-n-not-le-n add.commute diff-add enat.distinct(2) enat-ord-simps(1)
    enat-ord-simps(4) enat-the-enat ileI1 not-le-imp-less one-eSuc one-enat-def plus-1-eq-Suc)
  have 4: nlength σ > 0 →
    nlast( ( (cppl f g (nsubn σ (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)))
      (nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)))))))

```

$$(nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)))) = \\ the-enat(nlength ((nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)) \\ (nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)))))))$$

using 0 assms cppl-fprojection[of f g]

$$(nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)) (nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)))))]$$

by (metis Suc-n-not-le-n add.commute diff-add enat.distinct(2) enat-ord-simps(1)

enat-the-enat ileI1 nfinite-conv-nlength-enat not-le-imp-less one-eSuc one-enat-def plus-1-eq-Suc)

have 5: $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$

$$the-enat(nlength ((nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)) \\ (nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))))))) = \\ (nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))) - (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))$$

using 0 PJ6help1 assms

by (metis Suc-n-not-le-n add.commute diff-add enat-ord-simps(1) ileI1 le-add-same-cancel1

nfinite-conv-nlength-enat not-le-imp-less one-eSuc one-enat-def plus-1-eq-Suc the-enat.simps)

have 60:nfinite (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)))

$$(nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)))))$$

by (metis 0 Suc-n-not-le-n add.commute assms(3) assms(6) assms(8) cppl-fprojection diff-add

enat.distinct(2) enat-ord-simps(1) enat-the-enat ileI1 nfinite-conv-nlength-enat

not-le-imp-less one-eSuc one-enat-def plus-1-eq-Suc)

have 6: $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$

$$nlast ((nmap (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))) \\ (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)) \\ (nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))))))) = \\ (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))) \\ (nlast (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)) \\ (nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)))))))$$

using nlast-nmap[of (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))) \\ (nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)))))]

(\lambda x. x + (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)))] 60 by blast

have 7: $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$

$$(\lambda x. x + (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))) \\ (nlast (cppl f g (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)) \\ (nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))))))) = \\ (\lambda x. x + (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))) \\ ((nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))) - (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)))$$

using 4 5 by auto

have 8: $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$

$$(\lambda x. x + (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))) \\ ((nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))) - (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))) = \\ ((nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))) - \\ (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))) + (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)))$$

by (simp add: shift-def)

have 9: $nlength \sigma > 0 \rightarrow$

$$((nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))) - \\ (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1))) + (nnth ls (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)) \\ = (nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength ls) - 1)))$$

using assms 0

by (metis Suc-diff-1 cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel diff-add diff-is-0-eq enat-ord-simps(1)

```

enat-ord-simps(2) nfinite-nlength-enat nidx-expand order-less-imp-le the-enat.simps zero-enat-def
have 10: nlength σ >0 —→ (nnth ls (Suc (the-enat(nlength ls) -1))) = nlength σ
  using assms 0
  by (metis One-nat-def add.commute diff-add eSuc-enat enat.distinct(2) enat-ord-simps(1) enat-the-enat
    ileI1 nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nnth-nlast plus-1-eq-Suc zero-enat-def)
show ?thesis
using 1 10 2 3 6 7 8 9 assms by presburger
qed

```

```

lemma PJ7helpchain1a-help-4:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  nfinite ls
  nfinite σ
  nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ)
  ( ∀ i < nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ) ≡ f fproj g
  h (pfilt σ ls)
  nlength σ >0
shows ((pfilt σ (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls))) ≡ g fproj h)
proof –
have 0: nlength σ > 0 —→ nlength ls >0
  using assms
  by (metis PJ6help4 nnth-nlast the-enat-0 zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
have 00: all-gr-zero (lcppl f g σ ls)
  using all-gr-zero-nnth-a assms lcppl-nlength-all-gr-zero by blast
have 01: all-nfinite (lcppl f g σ ls)
  using all-nfinite-nnth-a assms lcppl-nlength-all-nfinite by blast
have 02: nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) 0 = 0
  using 0 assms
  by (metis addzero-def lcppl-lsum-nlength less-numeral-extra(3) nnth-0)
have 1: nlength σ >0 —→ nidx (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) ∧ nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) 0 = 0
  using assms lsum-addzero-nidx[of (lcppl f g σ ls)] lcppl-nlength-all-gr-zero[of ls σ f g]
  using 00 01 02 by blast
have 2: nlength σ > 0 —→
  nlast (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) =
  the-enat(nlength (pfilt σ (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls))))
  using assms lcppl-lsum-nlength[of ls σ f g] lcppl-nfusecat-pfilt-nlength[of ls σ f g]
  by fastforce
have 3: nlength σ >0 —→
  powerinterval g (pfilt σ (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls))) (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0))
  by (simp add: assms lcppl-nfusecat-pfilt-powerinterval)
have 4: nlength σ >0 —→
  h (pfilt (pfilt σ (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls))) (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)))
  by (simp add: assms pfilt-nmap-pfilt lcppl-pfilt-nfusecat-lsum)
show ?thesis using 1 2 3 4 assms fprojection-d-def
by (metis 0 00 01 lcppl-lsum-nlength lcppl-nfinite lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst
  nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nfinite-nmap nfusecat-nfinite pfilt-nmap)
qed

```

```

lemma PJ7helpchain1a-help-4-alt:
assumes nidx ls
  nnth ls 0 = 0
  ~nfinite ls
  ~nfinite σ
  (∀ i < nlength ls. (nsubn σ (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) ) ⊢ f fproj g
  h (pfilt σ ls)
shows ((pfilt σ (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls))) ⊢ g oproj h)
proof -
have 00: all-gr-zero (lcppl f g σ ls)
  using all-gr-zero-nnth-a assms lcppl-nlength-all-gr-zero-alt by blast
have 01: all-nfinite (lcppl f g σ ls)
  using all-nfinite-nnth-a assms lcppl-nlength-all-nfinite-alt by blast
have 02: nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) 0 = 0
  using assms
  by (metis addzero-def lcppl-nfinite nfinite-lsum-conv-b nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD nnth-0 zero-enat-def)
have 1: nidx (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) ∧ nnth (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0)) 0 = 0
  using assms lsum-addzero-nidx 00 01 02 by blast
have 3: powerinterval g (pfilt σ (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls))) (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0))
  by (simp add: assms lcppl-nfusecat-pfilt-powerinterval-alt)
have 4: h (pfilt σ (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls))) (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0))
  by (simp add: assms lcppl-pfilt-nfusecat-lsum-alt pfilt-nmap-pfilt)
have 5: ~ nfinite (addzero (lsum (lcppl f g σ ls) 0))
  using assms
  by (simp add: lcppl-lsum-nlength-alt nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
have 6: ~nfinite (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls))
  using assms 00 01 lcppl-nfinite lcppl-nfusecat-nlastnfirst-alt nfusecat-nfinite by blast
have 7: ~nfinite (pfilt σ (nfusecat (lcppl f g σ ls)))
  by (simp add: 6 pfilt-nmap)
show ?thesis using 1 3 4 assms unfolding oprojection-d-def
using 5 7 by blast
qed

```

```

lemma PJ7helpchain1a:
assumes nlength σ > 0
  (σ ⊢ (f fproj g) fproj h)
shows (σ ⊢ f fproj (g fproj h))
proof -
have 1: nlength σ > 0
  using assms by auto
have 2: (∃ ls. nidx ls ∧ nnth ls 0 = 0 ∧ nfinite ls ∧ nfinite σ ∧ nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ) ∧
  powerinterval (LIFT(f fproj g)) σ ls ∧
  h (pfilt σ ls))
  using assms using cppl-fprojection[of LIFT(f fproj g) h σ] by blast
obtain ls where 3: nidx ls ∧ nnth ls 0 = 0 ∧ nfinite ls ∧ nfinite σ ∧
  nlast ls = the-enat(nlength σ) ∧
  powerinterval (LIFT(f fproj g)) σ ls ∧
  h (pfilt σ ls)
using 2 by blast

```

```

have 4:  $nidx\ ls \wedge nnth\ ls\ 0 = 0 \wedge nfinite\ ls \wedge nfinite\ \sigma \wedge nlast\ ls = the-enat(nlength\ \sigma) \wedge$ 
     $(\forall i < nlength\ ls. (nsubn\ \sigma\ (nnth\ ls\ i)\ (nnth\ ls\ (Suc\ i))) \models f\ fproj\ g) \wedge$ 
     $h\ (pfilt\ \sigma\ ls)$ 
using 3 by (simp add: powerinterval-def)
have 6:  $nlength\ ls > 0$ 
using 1 4
by (metis PJ6help4 nnth-nlast the-enat-0 zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
have 7:  $nidx\ (nfusecat\ (lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ ls)) \wedge nnth\ (nfusecat\ (lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ ls))\ 0 = 0$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 4 PJ7helpchain1a-help-1)
have 8:  $powerinterval\ f\ \sigma\ (nfusecat\ (lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ ls))$ 
using 4 6 PJ7helpchain1a-help-2 assms by auto
have 9:  $nlast\ (nfusecat\ (lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ ls)) = the-enat(nlength\ \sigma)$ 
by (metis 1 4 PJ7helpchain1a-help-3 the-enat.simps)
have 10:  $((pfilt\ \sigma\ (nfusecat\ (lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ ls))) \models g\ fproj\ h)$ 
using 1 4 PJ7helpchain1a-help-4 by blast
show ?thesis
using 10 7 8 9 by (metis 3 fprojection-d-def nfinite-nmap pfilt-nmap)
qed

```

```

lemma OPJ7helpchain1a:
assumes  $(\sigma \models (f\ fproj\ g)\ oproj\ h)$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models f\ oproj\ (g\ oproj\ h))$ 
proof -
have 2:  $(\exists ls.\ nidx\ ls \wedge nnth\ ls\ 0 = 0 \wedge \neg nfinite\ ls \wedge \neg nfinite\ \sigma \wedge$ 
     $powerinterval\ (LIFT(f\ fproj\ g))\ \sigma\ ls \wedge$ 
     $h\ (pfilt\ \sigma\ ls))$ 
using assms using cppl-oprojection[of LIFT(f fproj g) h σ] by blast
obtain ls where 3:  $nidx\ ls \wedge nnth\ ls\ 0 = 0 \wedge \neg nfinite\ ls \wedge \neg nfinite\ \sigma \wedge$ 
     $powerinterval\ (LIFT(f\ fproj\ g))\ \sigma\ ls \wedge$ 
     $h\ (pfilt\ \sigma\ ls)$ 
using 2 by blast
have 4:  $nidx\ ls \wedge nnth\ ls\ 0 = 0 \wedge \neg nfinite\ ls \wedge \neg nfinite\ \sigma \wedge$ 
     $(\forall i < nlength\ ls. (nsubn\ \sigma\ (nnth\ ls\ i)\ (nnth\ ls\ (Suc\ i))) \models f\ fproj\ g) \wedge$ 
     $h\ (pfilt\ \sigma\ ls)$ 
using 3 by (simp add: powerinterval-def)
have 6:  $nlength\ ls > 0$ 
by (metis 4 gr-zeroI nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD zero-enat-def)
have 7:  $nidx\ (nfusecat\ (lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ ls)) \wedge nnth\ (nfusecat\ (lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ ls))\ 0 = 0$ 
using 4 PJ7helpchain1a-help-1-alt
by (metis 6 OPJ6help4)
have 8:  $powerinterval\ f\ \sigma\ (nfusecat\ (lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ ls))$ 
using 4 PJ7helpchain1a-help-2-alt by blast
have 10:  $((pfilt\ \sigma\ (nfusecat\ (lcppl\ f\ g\ \sigma\ ls))) \models g\ oproj\ h)$ 
using 4 PJ7helpchain1a-help-4-alt by blast
show ?thesis
using 10 7 8 by (metis 3 nfinite-conv-nlength-enat oprojection-d-def pfilt-nlength)
qed

```

lemma *PJ7helpchain1b*:
assumes *nlength σ > 0*
 $(\sigma \models f \text{ fproj } (g \text{ fproj } h))$
shows $(\sigma \models (f \text{ fproj } g) \text{ fproj } h)$
proof –
have 1: *nlength σ > 0*
using assms by auto
have 2: $(\exists ls. \text{ nidx } ls \wedge \text{ nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge \text{ nfinite } ls \wedge \text{ nfinite } \sigma \wedge \text{ nlast } ls = \text{ the-enat}(\text{nlength } \sigma) \wedge$
 $\text{ powerinterval } f \sigma ls \wedge$
 $((\text{pfilt } \sigma ls) \models g \text{ fproj } h)$)
using assms cppl-fprojection by blast
obtain ls where 3: $\text{ nidx } ls \wedge \text{ nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge \text{ nfinite } ls \wedge \text{ nfinite } \sigma \wedge \text{ nlast } ls = \text{ the-enat}(\text{nlength } \sigma) \wedge$
 $\text{ powerinterval } f \sigma ls \wedge$
 $((\text{pfilt } \sigma ls) \models g \text{ fproj } h)$
using 2 by blast
have 4: $(\exists lsa. \text{ nidx } lsa \wedge \text{ nnth } lsa 0 = 0 \wedge \text{ nfinite } lsa \wedge \text{ nfinite } (\text{pfilt } \sigma ls) \wedge$
 $\text{ nlast } lsa = \text{ the-enat}(\text{nlength } (\text{pfilt } \sigma ls)) \wedge$
 $\text{ powerinterval } g (\text{pfilt } \sigma ls) lsa \wedge$
 $((\text{pfilt } (\text{pfilt } \sigma ls) lsa) \models h)$
using 3 using cppl-fprojection by blast
obtain lsa where 5: $\text{ nidx } lsa \wedge \text{ nnth } lsa 0 = 0 \wedge \text{ nfinite } lsa \wedge \text{ nfinite } (\text{pfilt } \sigma ls) \wedge$
 $\text{ nlast } lsa = \text{ the-enat}(\text{nlength } (\text{pfilt } \sigma ls)) \wedge$
 $\text{ powerinterval } g (\text{pfilt } \sigma ls) lsa \wedge$
 $((\text{pfilt } (\text{pfilt } \sigma ls) lsa) \models h)$
using 4 by blast
have 6: *nlength ls > 0*
using 1 3
by (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat gr-zeroI nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nnth-nlast the-enat-0)
have 7: *nlength(pfilt σ ls) = nlength ls*
by (simp add: pfilt-nlength)
have 8: $(\text{pfilt } (\text{pfilt } \sigma ls) lsa) = (\text{pfilt } \sigma (\text{pfilt } ls lsa))$
using pfilt-nmap-pfilt by blast
have 9: $\text{ nlast } (\text{pfilt } ls lsa) = \text{ the-enat}(\text{nlength } \sigma)$
by (metis 3 5 7 nlast-nmap nnth-nlast pfilt-nmap)
have 10: *nlength lsa > 0*
using 5 6 7
by (metis enat.distinct(2) enat-the-enat gr-zeroI nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nnth-nlast the-enat-0)
have 11: *nlength (pfilt ls lsa) > 0*
by (metis 10 pfilt-nlength)
have 111: *nnth (pfilt ls lsa) 0 = 0*
by (metis 3 5 pfilt-nnth zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 112: *nlength(pfilt ls lsa) = nlength lsa*
using pfilt-expand by blast
have 113: $(\forall i < \text{nlength } lsa. (\text{nnth } (\text{pfilt } ls lsa) i) = (\text{nnth } ls (\text{nnth } lsa i)))$
by (simp add: pfilt-nmap)
have 114: $(\forall i < \text{nlength } lsa. (\text{nnth } (\text{pfilt } ls lsa) (\text{Suc } i)) = (\text{nnth } ls (\text{nnth } lsa (\text{Suc } i))))$
by (metis 112 eSuc-enat ileI1 pfilt-nnth)
have 1141: $\bigwedge j. j \leq \text{nlength } lsa \rightarrow \text{nnth } lsa j \leq \text{nlength } ls$
by (metis 5 7 enat-ord-simps(1) ndropn-eq-NNil ndropn-nlast nfinite-conv-nlength-enat

```

nidx-less-eq the-enat.simps)
have 115: ( $\forall i < nlength lsa. (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) < (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))$ ) )
  by (metis 1141 3 5 eSuc-enat ileI1 less-imp-Suc-add nidx-expand nidx-less)
have 12: nidx (pfilt ls lsa)  $\wedge$  nnth (pfilt ls lsa) 0 = 0
  by (simp add: 111 112 115 Suc-ile-eq nidx-expand pfilt-nnth)
have 2021: ( $\forall i < nlength lsa. nfinite (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i))))$ )
  by (simp add: nsubn-def1)
have 2022:  $\bigwedge i. i < nlength lsa \implies (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) \leq nlength \sigma$ 
  by (metis 3 5 7 enat.distinct(2) enat-ord-simps(1) enat-the-enat
    nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nidx-all-le-nlast order-less-imp-le)
have 2023:  $\bigwedge j. j \leq nlength lsa \longrightarrow nnth lsa j \leq nlength ls$ 
  by (metis 5 7 enat-ord-simps(1) ndropn-eq-NNil ndropn-nlast nfinite-conv-nlength-enat
    nidx-less-eq the-enat.simps)
have 203: ( $\forall i < nlength lsa. nlength (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) =$ 
  ( $nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)) - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i))$ ))
  by (metis 112 113 114 12 3 5 9 PJ6help1 le-add1 le-add-same-cancel1
    nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
have 2041: ( $\forall i < nlength lsa. (nnth lsa (Suc i)) \leq nlength ls$ )
  by (metis 2023 eSuc-enat ileI1)
have 204: ( $\forall i < nlength lsa.$ 
   $nlength (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i))) (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) =$ 
  ( $nnth lsa (Suc i) - (nnth lsa i)$ ))
  by (simp add: 3 5 PJ6help1 pfilt-nlength)
have 205: ( $\forall i < nlength lsa.$ 
  ( $\forall j \leq (nnth lsa (Suc i)) - (nnth lsa i).$ 
    ( $nnth (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i))) j =$ 
      ( $nnth ls ((nnth lsa i) + j)$ ))
  )
  )
  using 2041 5 by (simp add: nsubn-def1 ntaken-nnth)
have 2060: ( $\forall i < nlength lsa. (nnth lsa i) \leq (nnth lsa (Suc i))$ )
  using 5 by (metis eSuc-enat ileI1 le-add2 nidx-less-eq plus-1-eq-Suc)
have 206: ( $\forall i < nlength lsa.$ 
  ( $\forall j \leq (nnth lsa (Suc i)) - (nnth lsa i).$ 
    ( $nnth ls (nnth lsa i) \leq (nnth ls ((nnth lsa i) + j))$ )
  )
  )
  using 2041 3 2060
  by (metis le-add1 nidx-all-le-nlast nidx-less-eq nnth-beyond not-le-imp-less order-less-imp-le)
have 207: ( $\forall i < nlength lsa.$ 
  ( $\forall j \leq (nnth lsa (Suc i)) - (nnth lsa i).$ 
    ( $nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)))$ 
      ( $nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i))) j ) =$ 
      ( $nnth ls ((nnth lsa i) + j)) - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i))$ )
  ))
  using 204 205 by auto
have 208: ( $\forall i < nlength lsa.$ 
  ( $nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)))$ 
    ( $nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i))) 0) = 0$ ))
  by (simp add: 207)

```

have 209: $(\forall i < \text{nlength lsa}.$

$$\text{nlast } (\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i)))$$

$$(\text{nsubn ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i) (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))) =$$

$$(\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))) - (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i))$$

$$)$$

using 204 207 5 2060 **by** (*simp add: nsubn-def1 ntaken-ndropn-nlast*)

have 210: $(\forall i < \text{nlength lsa}.$

$$\text{nidx } (\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i))) (\text{nsubn ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i) (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))) \wedge$$

$$\text{nnth } (\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i))) (\text{nsubn ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i) (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))) 0 = 0$$

$$)$$

using 3 5 7 *nidx-expand nidx-shiftm nidx-nsubn* **by** (*simp add: 204 Suc-ile-eq order-less-imp-le*)

have 20: *powerinterval (LIFT(f fproj g)) σ (pfilt ls lsa)*

proof –

have 201: *powerinterval (LIFT(f fproj g)) σ (pfilt ls lsa) =*

$$(\forall i < \text{nlength lsa}. (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))) \models f \text{ fproj } g)$$

unfolding *powerinterval-def* **by** (*auto simp add: Suc-ile-eq pfilt-nlength pfilt-nnth*)

have 202: $(\forall i < \text{nlength lsa}. (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))) \models f \text{ fproj }$

$$g) =$$

$$(\forall i < \text{nlength lsa}.$$

$$(\exists ls1. \text{nidx } ls1 \wedge \text{nnth ls1 } 0 = 0 \wedge \text{nfinite ls1} \wedge$$

$$\text{nfinite } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))) \wedge$$

$$\text{nlast ls1} = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))) \wedge$$

$$\text{powerinterval } f \text{ (nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))) \text{ ls1} \wedge$$

$$((\text{pfilt } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))) \text{ ls1}) \models g)$$

$$))$$

by (*simp add: fprojection-d-def*)

have fg: $(\forall i < \text{nlength lsa}.$

$$(\exists ls1. \text{nidx } ls1 \wedge \text{nnth ls1 } 0 = 0 \wedge \text{nfinite ls1} \wedge$$

$$\text{nfinite } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))) \wedge$$

$$\text{nlast ls1} = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))) \wedge$$

$$\text{powerinterval } f \text{ (nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))) \text{ ls1} \wedge$$

$$((\text{pfilt } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))) \text{ ls1}) \models g)$$

$$))$$

proof auto

fix $i :: \text{nat}$

assume $a: \text{enat } i < \text{nlength lsa}$

show $\exists ls1. \text{nidx } ls1 \wedge$

$$\text{nnth ls1 } 0 = 0 \wedge$$

$$\text{nfinite ls1} \wedge$$

$$\text{nfinite } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))) \wedge$$

$$\text{nlast ls1} = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))) \wedge$$

$$\text{powerinterval } f \text{ (nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))) \text{ ls1} \wedge$$

$$g \text{ (pfilt } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))) \text{ ls1}) \models g)$$

proof –

have fg1: $\text{nnth } (\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i))) (\text{nsubn ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i) (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))) 0 = 0$

using 210 a **by** *blast*

have fg2: $\text{nidx } (\text{nmap } (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i))) (\text{nsubn ls } (\text{nnth lsa } i) (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))$

using 210 a **by** *blast*

```

have fg3: nfinite (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) 
  using 2021 a by blast
have fg4: nlast (nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i))) (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) = 
  the-enat (nlength (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i))))) 
  using 203 209 a the-enat.simps by presburger
have fg5: powerinterval f (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) 
  (nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i))) (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) 
proof (auto simp add: powerinterval-def)
fix ia::nat
assume aa: enat ia < nlength (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)))
show f (nsubn (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) 
  (nnth (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i))) ia - nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) 
  (nnth (nmap (λx. x - nnth ls (nnth lsa i))) (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) 
  (Suc ia)))
proof -
have f1: ia < (nnth lsa (Suc i)) - (nnth lsa i) 
  by (metis 5 7 PJ6help1 a aa enat-ord-simps(2) le-add1 le-add-same-cancel1 nsubn-nlength)
have f2: nsubn (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) 
  (nnth ls (nnth lsa i + ia) - nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) 
  (nnth ls (nnth lsa i + Suc ia) - nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) = 
  nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i + ia)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa i + Suc ia))
proof -
have f3: (nnth ls (nnth lsa i + ia) - nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) ≤ 
  nnth ls (nnth lsa i + Suc ia) - nnth ls (nnth lsa i) 
  by (metis 2041 205 2060 3 Suc-leI a aa diff-le-mono eSuc-enat f1 ileI1 
    le-add2 nidx-less-eq nidx-nsubn order-less-imp-le plus-1-eq-Suc)
have f4: nnth ls (nnth lsa i) ≤ nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)) 
  using 115 a dual-order.order-iff-strict by blast
have f5: enat (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i))) ≤ nlength σ 
  by (metis 2041 3 a enat-ord-code(4) enat-ord-simps(1) enat-the-enat nidx-all-le-nlast 
    order-less-imp-le)
have f6: nnth ls (nnth lsa i + Suc ia) - nnth ls (nnth lsa i) ≤ 
  nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)) - nnth ls (nnth lsa i) 
  by (metis 2041 2060 3 Suc-leI a add-diff-cancel-left' diff-le-mono f1 le-add1 
    le-diff-iff nidx-less-eq)
show ?thesis using nsubn-nsubn-1[of (nnth ls (nnth lsa i + ia) - nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) 
  (nnth ls (nnth lsa i + Suc ia) - nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) 
  (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i))) σ ] 
  by (metis 206 Suc-leI a f1 f3 f4 f5 f6 le-add-diff-inverse order-less-imp-le)
qed
have f7: (nnth (nmap (λx. x - nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) 
  (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) (Suc ia)) = 
  (nnth (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) (Suc ia) - nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) 
  by (metis aa eSuc-enat ileI1 nnth-nmap)
have f8: f (nsubn σ (nnth ls ((nnth lsa i) + ia)) ((nnth ls ((nnth lsa i)+(Suc ia))))) ) 
  using 2041 3 unfolding powerinterval-def 
  by (metis a add.commute add-Suc-right enat-ord-simps(2) f1 less-diff-conv 
    order-less-le-trans )
show ?thesis using 205 a f1 f2 f7 f8 by fastforce
qed

```

qed

have $fg6: g (\text{pfilt} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))$
 $(\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i))) (\text{nsubn ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i) (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))$

proof –

have $g1: (\text{pfilt} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))$
 $(\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i))) (\text{nsubn ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i) (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))) =$
 $\text{nmap} (\text{nnth} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))))$
 $(\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - \text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nsubn ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i) (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))$

using $\text{pfilt-nmap}[of (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))$
 $(\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i))) (\text{nsubn ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i) (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))]$

by *blast*

have $g2: \dots =$
 $\text{nmap} (((\text{nnth} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))))) \circ$
 $(\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)))$
 $(\text{nsubn ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i) (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))$

using *nellist.map-comp* **by** *blast*

have $g3: \dots =$
 $(\text{nsubn} (\text{nmap} (((\text{nnth} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))))) \circ$
 $(\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i))) \text{ ls})$
 $(\text{nnth lsa } i) (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))$

unfolding *nsubn-def1* **by** (*simp add: ndropn-nmap*)

have $g4: \forall y. (((\text{nnth} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))))) \circ$
 $(\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i))) y =$
 $\text{nnth} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))$
 $(y - \text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i))$

by *simp*

have $g5: (\text{nsubn} (\text{nmap} (((\text{nnth} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))))) \circ$
 $(\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i))) \text{ ls})$
 $(\text{nnth lsa } i) (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))) =$
 $(\text{nsubn} (\text{nmap} (\lambda y. \text{nnth} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))$
 $(y - \text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) \text{ ls})$
 $(\text{nnth lsa } i) (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)))$

using $g4$ **by** *presburger*

have $g6: \forall j. (\text{nnth lsa } i) \leq j \wedge j \leq (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{nnth} (\text{nmap} (\lambda y. \text{nnth} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))$
 $(y - \text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) \text{ ls}) j =$
 $\text{nnth} (\text{nmap} (\text{nnth } \sigma) \text{ ls}) j$

proof

fix $j::nat$

assume $aaa: \text{nnth lsa } i \leq j \wedge j \leq \text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i)$

show $\text{nnth} (\text{nmap} (\lambda y. \text{nnth} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))$
 $(y - \text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) \text{ ls}) j = \text{nnth} (\text{nmap} (\text{nnth } \sigma) \text{ ls}) j$

proof –

have $g8: \text{nnth} (\text{nmap} (\text{nnth } \sigma) \text{ ls}) j = \text{nnth } \sigma (\text{nnth ls } j)$

by (*metis nfinite-ntaken nlast-nmap ntaken-nlast ntaken-nmap*)

have $g9: \text{nnth} (\text{nmap} (\lambda y. \text{nnth} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))$
 $(y - \text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) \text{ ls}) j =$
 $(\lambda y. \text{nnth} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } (\text{Suc } i))))$
 $(y - \text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls } j)$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) nfinite-ntaken nlast-nmap ntaken-nlast ntaken-nmap*)

```

have g10: ... =
  nnth (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i))))
  (nnth ls j - nnth ls (nnth lsa i))
by auto
have g11: ... = nnth σ ((nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) + (nnth ls j - nnth ls (nnth lsa i)))
  using nsubn-nnth[of σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))]
  (nnth ls j - nnth ls (nnth lsa i))]
by (simp add: 2041 3 a aaa diff-le-mono nidx-less-eq)
have g12: ... = nnth σ (nnth ls j)
  using aaa
  by (metis 3 le-add-diff-inverse nidx-all-le-nlast nidx-less-eq nnth-beyond
    not-le-imp-less order-less-imp-le)
show ?thesis
  using g11 g12 g8 g9 by presburger
qed
qed
have g13: (pfilt (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) =
  (nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i))) (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) =
  (nsubn (pfilt σ ls) (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)) )
  by (simp add: 2041 2060 a g2 g3 g5 g6 nsubn-eq pfilt-nmap)
show ?thesis using 5 a by (simp add: g13 powerinterval-def)
qed
show ?thesis
  using 203 2041 2060 209 210 a fg3 fg5 fg6 nsubn-nfinite by fastforce
qed
qed
show ?thesis
  using 201 202 fg by blast
qed
show ?thesis
  by (metis 12 20 3 5 8 9 fprojection-d-def nfinite-nmap pfilt-nmap)
qed

lemma OPJ7helpchain1b:
assumes (σ ⊨ f oproj (g oproj h))
shows (σ ⊨ (f fproj g) oproj h)
proof –
have 2: (Ǝ ls. nidx ls ∧ nnth ls 0 = 0 ∧ ¬nfinite ls ∧ ¬nfinite σ ∧
  powerinterval f σ ls ∧
  ((pfilt σ ls) ⊨ g oproj h) )
using assms cppl-oprojection by blast
obtain ls where 3: nidx ls ∧ nnth ls 0 = 0 ∧ ¬nfinite ls ∧ ¬nfinite σ ∧
  powerinterval f σ ls ∧
  ((pfilt σ ls) ⊨ g oproj h)
using 2 by blast
have 4: (Ǝ lsa. nidx lsa ∧ nnth lsa 0 = 0 ∧ ¬nfinite lsa ∧ ¬nfinite (pfilt σ ls) ∧
  powerinterval g (pfilt σ ls) lsa ∧
  ((pfilt (pfilt σ ls) lsa) ⊨ h))
using 3 using cppl-oprojection by blast

```

obtain lsa **where** 5: $nidx \ lsa \wedge nnth \ lsa \ 0 = 0 \wedge \neg nfinite \ lsa \wedge \neg nfinite \ (pfilt \ \sigma \ ls) \wedge$
 $\text{powerinterval } g \ (pfilt \ \sigma \ ls) \ lsa \wedge$
 $((pfilt \ (pfilt \ \sigma \ ls) \ lsa) \models h)$
using 4 **by** blast
have 7: $nlength(pfilt \ \sigma \ ls) = nlength \ ls$
by (simp add: pfilt-nlength)
have 8: $(pfilt \ (pfilt \ \sigma \ ls) \ lsa) = (pfilt \ \sigma \ (pfilt \ ls \ lsa))$
using pfilt-nmap-pfilt **by** blast
have 11: $nlength \ (pfilt \ ls \ lsa) > 0$
by (metis 5 gr-zeroI nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD pfilt-nlength zero-enat-def)
have 111: $nnth \ (pfilt \ ls \ lsa) \ 0 = 0$
by (metis 3 5 pfilt-nnth zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 112: $nlength(pfilt \ ls \ lsa) = nlength \ lsa$
using pfilt-expand **by** blast
have 113: $(\forall i < nlength \ lsa. \ (nnth \ (pfilt \ ls \ lsa) \ i) = (nnth \ ls \ (nnth \ lsa \ i)))$
by (simp add: pfilt-nmap)
have 114: $(\forall i < nlength \ lsa. \ (nnth \ (pfilt \ ls \ lsa) \ (Suc \ i)) = (nnth \ ls \ (nnth \ lsa \ (Suc \ i))))$
by (metis 112 eSuc-enat ileI1 pfilt-nnth)
have 1141: $\bigwedge j. \ j \leq nlength \ lsa \rightarrow nnth \ lsa \ j \leq nlength \ ls$
by (meson 3 enat-ile linorder-le-cases nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
have 115: $(\forall i < nlength \ lsa. \ (nnth \ ls \ (nnth \ lsa \ i)) < (nnth \ ls \ (nnth \ lsa \ (Suc \ i))))$
by (metis 1141 3 5 eSuc-enat ileI1 less-imp-Suc-add nidx-expand nidx-less)
have 12: $nidx \ (pfilt \ ls \ lsa) \wedge nnth \ (pfilt \ ls \ lsa) \ 0 = 0$
by (simp add: 111 112 115 Suc-ile-eq nidx-expand pfilt-nnth)
have 2021: $(\forall i < nlength \ lsa. \ nfinite \ (nsubn \ \sigma \ (nnth \ ls \ (nnth \ lsa \ i)) \ (nnth \ ls \ (nnth \ lsa \ (Suc \ i)))))$
by (simp add: nsubn-def1)
have 2022: $\bigwedge i. \ i < nlength \ lsa \implies (nnth \ ls \ (nnth \ lsa \ i)) \leq nlength \ \sigma$
by (meson 3 enat-ile linorder-le-cases nfinite-conv-nlength-enat)
have 203: $(\forall i < nlength \ lsa. \ nlength \ (nsubn \ \sigma \ (nnth \ ls \ (nnth \ lsa \ i)) \ (nnth \ ls \ (nnth \ lsa \ (Suc \ i)))) =$
 $(nnth \ ls \ (nnth \ lsa \ (Suc \ i))) - (nnth \ ls \ (nnth \ lsa \ i)))$
by (metis 112 113 114 12 3 5 OPJ6help1 le-add1 le-add-same-cancel1 nfinite-nmap
 \quad pfilt-nmap)
have 2041: $(\forall i < nlength \ lsa. \ (nnth \ lsa \ (Suc \ i)) \leq nlength \ ls)$
by (metis 1141 eSuc-enat ileI1)
have 204: $(\forall i < nlength \ lsa.$
 $\quad nlength \ (nmap \ (\lambda x. x - (nnth \ ls \ (nnth \ lsa \ i))) \ (nsubn \ ls \ (nnth \ lsa \ i) \ (nnth \ lsa \ (Suc \ i)))) =$
 $\quad (nnth \ lsa \ (Suc \ i)) - (nnth \ lsa \ i))$
by (simp add: 3 5 OPJ6help1)
have 205: $(\forall i < nlength \ lsa.$
 $\quad (\forall j \leq (nnth \ lsa \ (Suc \ i)) - (nnth \ lsa \ i).$
 $\quad \quad (nnth \ (nsubn \ ls \ (nnth \ lsa \ i) \ (nnth \ lsa \ (Suc \ i))) \ j) =$
 $\quad \quad \quad (nnth \ ls \ ((nnth \ lsa \ i) + j))$
 $\quad \quad)$
 $\quad)$
using 2041 5 **by** (simp add: nsubn-def1 ntaken-nnth)
have 2060: $(\forall i < nlength \ lsa. \ (nnth \ lsa \ i) \leq (nnth \ lsa \ (Suc \ i)))$
using 5 **by** (metis eSuc-enat ileI1 le-add2 nidx-less-eq plus-1-eq-Suc)
have 206: $(\forall i < nlength \ lsa.$
 $\quad (\forall j \leq (nnth \ lsa \ (Suc \ i)) - (nnth \ lsa \ i).$
 $\quad \quad (nnth \ ls \ (nnth \ lsa \ i)) \leq (nnth \ ls \ ((nnth \ lsa \ i) + j))$

```

)
)

using 2041 3 2060 by (simp add: nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nidx-less-eq)
have 207: ( $\forall i < \text{nlength lsa}$ .

$$(\forall j \leq (\text{nnth lsa} (\text{Suc } i)) - (\text{nnth lsa } i).$$


$$(\text{nnth} (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i))))$$


$$(\text{nsubn ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i) (\text{nnth lsa} (\text{Suc } i))) j) =$$


$$(\text{nnth ls} ((\text{nnth lsa } i) + j)) - (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i))$$

))
)

using 204 205 by auto
have 208: ( $\forall i < \text{nlength lsa}$ .

$$(\text{nnth} (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i))))$$


$$(\text{nsubn ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i) (\text{nnth lsa} (\text{Suc } i))) 0) = 0$$

)
by (simp add: 207)
have 209: ( $\forall i < \text{nlength lsa}$ .

$$\text{nlast} (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i))))$$


$$(\text{nsubn ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i) (\text{nnth lsa} (\text{Suc } i))) ) =$$


$$(\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa} (\text{Suc } i))) - (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i))$$

))

using 204 207 5 2060 by (simp add: nsubn-def1 ntaken-ndropn-nlast)
have 210: ( $\forall i < \text{nlength lsa}$ .

$$\text{nidx} (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i))) (\text{nsubn ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i) (\text{nnth lsa} (\text{Suc } i)))) \wedge$$


$$\text{nnth} (\text{nmap} (\lambda x. x - (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i))) (\text{nsubn ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i) (\text{nnth lsa} (\text{Suc } i)))) 0 = 0$$

))

using 3 5 7 nidx-expand nidx-shiftm nidx-nsubn by (simp add: 2041 Suc-ile-eq order-less-imp-le)
have 20: powerinterval (LIFT(f fproj g)) σ (pfilt ls lsa)
proof -
have 201: powerinterval (LIFT(f fproj g)) σ (pfilt ls lsa) =

$$(\forall i < \text{nlength lsa}. (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa} (\text{Suc } i)))) \models f \text{ fproj } g)$$

unfolding powerinterval-def by (auto simp add: Suc-ile-eq pfilt-nlength pfilt-nnth)
have 202: ( $\forall i < \text{nlength lsa}. (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa} (\text{Suc } i)))) \models f \text{ fproj }$ 
g) =

$$(\forall i < \text{nlength lsa}.$$


$$(\exists ls1. \text{nidx } ls1 \wedge \text{nnth } ls1 0 = 0 \wedge \text{nfinite } ls1 \wedge$$


$$\text{nfinite} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa} (\text{Suc } i)))) \wedge$$


$$\text{nlast } ls1 = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa} (\text{Suc } i))))) \wedge$$


$$\text{powerinterval } f (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa} (\text{Suc } i)))) \text{ ls1} \wedge$$


$$((\text{pfilt} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa} (\text{Suc } i)))) \text{ ls1}) \models g)$$

))

by (simp add: fprojection-d-def)
have fg: ( $\forall i < \text{nlength lsa}$ .

$$(\exists ls1. \text{nidx } ls1 \wedge \text{nnth } ls1 0 = 0 \wedge \text{nfinite } ls1 \wedge$$


$$\text{nfinite} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa} (\text{Suc } i)))) \wedge$$


$$\text{nlast } ls1 = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa} (\text{Suc } i))))) \wedge$$


$$\text{powerinterval } f (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa} (\text{Suc } i)))) \text{ ls1} \wedge$$


$$((\text{pfilt} (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa } i)) (\text{nnth ls} (\text{nnth lsa} (\text{Suc } i)))) \text{ ls1}) \models g)$$

))

proof auto
fix i::nat
assume a: enat i < nlength lsa

```

show $\exists lidx ls1 \wedge$
 $nnth ls1 0 = 0 \wedge$
 $nfinite ls1 \wedge$
 $nfinite (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) \wedge$
 $nlast ls1 = the-enat (nlength (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i))))) \wedge$
 $powerinterval f (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) ls1 \wedge$
 $g (pfilt (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) ls1)$

proof –
have $fg1: nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i))) (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) 0 = 0$
using 210 a **by** blast
have $fg2: nidx (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i))) (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i))))$
using 210 a **by** blast
have $fg3: nfinite (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i))))$
using 2021 a **by** blast
have $fg4: nlast (nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i))) (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) =$
 $the-enat (nlength (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))))$
using 203 209 a the-enat.simps **by** presburger
have $fg5: powerinterval f (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i))))$
 $(nmap (\lambda x. x - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i))) (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i))))$
proof (auto simp add: powerinterval-def)
fix $ia::nat$
assume $aa: enat ia < nlength (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)))$
show $f (nsubn (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i))))$
 $(nnth (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i))) ia - nnth ls (nnth lsa i))$
 $(nnth (nmap (\lambda x. x - nnth ls (nnth lsa i))) (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i))))$
 $(Suc ia))$

proof –
have $f1: ia < (nnth lsa (Suc i)) - (nnth lsa i)$
by (metis 3 5 OPJ6help1 a aa enat-ord-simps(2) le-add1 le-add-same-cancel1)
have $f2: nsubn (nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i))))$
 $(nnth ls (nnth lsa i + ia) - nnth ls (nnth lsa i))$
 $(nnth ls (nnth lsa i + Suc ia) - nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) =$
 $nsubn \sigma (nnth ls (nnth lsa i + ia)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa i + Suc ia))$

proof –
have $f3: (nnth ls (nnth lsa i + ia) - nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) \leq$
 $nnth ls (nnth lsa i + Suc ia) - nnth ls (nnth lsa i)$
by (metis 2041 205 2060 3 Suc-leI a aa diff-le-mono eSuc-enat f1 ileI1
le-add2 nidx-less-eq nidx-nsubn order-less-imp-le plus-1-eq-Suc)
have $f4: nnth ls (nnth lsa i) \leq nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i))$
using 115 a dual-order.order-iff-strict **by** blast
have $f5: enat (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i))) \leq nlength \sigma$
by (metis 2022 5 a eSuc-enat ileI1 nfinite-conv-nlength-enat order-le-imp-less-or-eq)
have $f6: nnth ls (nnth lsa i + Suc ia) - nnth ls (nnth lsa i) \leq$
 $nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)) - nnth ls (nnth lsa i)$
by (metis 2041 2060 3 Suc-leI a add-diff-cancel-left' diff-le-mono f1 le-add1
le-diff-iff nidx-less-eq)
show ?thesis **using** nsubn-nsubn-1[of $(nnth ls (nnth lsa i + ia) - nnth ls (nnth lsa i))$
 $(nnth ls (nnth lsa i + Suc ia) - nnth ls (nnth lsa i))$
 $(nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i))) \sigma]$
by (metis 206 Suc-leI a f1 f3 f4 f5 f6 le-add-diff-inverse order-less-imp-le)

```

qed
have f7: (nnth (nmap (λx. x - nnth ls (nnth lsa i)))
  (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) (Suc ia)) =
  (nnth (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i))) (Suc ia) - nnth ls (nnth lsa i))
  by (metis aa eSuc-enat ileI1 nnth-nmap)
have f8: f (nsubn σ (nnth ls ((nnth lsa i) +ia)) ((nnth ls ((nnth lsa i)+(Suc ia)))) )
  using 2041 3 unfolding powerinterval-def
  by (metis a add.commute add-Suc-right enat-ord-simps(2) f1 less-diff-conv
    order-less-le-trans )
show ?thesis using 205 a f1 f2 f7 f8 by fastforce
qed
qed
have fg6: g (pfilt (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i))))
  (nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)))) (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)) )))
proof -
have g1: (pfilt (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i))))
  (nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)))) (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)) )) =
  nmap (nnth (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i))))) =
  (nmap (λx. x - nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)))))
using pfilt-nmap[of (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i))))]
  (nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)))) (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)))]
by blast
have g2: ... =
  nmap (((nnth (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))))) ◦
    (λx. x - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i))))
    (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)))
using nellist.map-comp by blast
have g3: ... =
  (nsubn (nmap (((nnth (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))))) ◦
    (λx. x - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)))) ls)
    (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)))
unfolding nsubn-def1 by (simp add: ndropn-nmap)
have g4: ∀y. (((nnth (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))))) ◦
  (λx. x - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)))) y =
  nnth (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) (y - nnth ls (nnth lsa i)))
  by simp
have g5: (nsubn (nmap (((nnth (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))))) ◦
  (λx. x - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)))) ls)
  (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i))) =
  (nsubn (nmap (λy. nnth (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) (y - nnth ls (nnth lsa i))) ls)
    (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)))
  using g4 by presburger
have g6: ∀j. (nnth lsa i) ≤ j ∧ j ≤ (nnth lsa (Suc i)) →
  nnth (nmap (λy. nnth (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) (y - nnth ls (nnth lsa i))) ls) j =
  nnth (nmap (nnth σ) ls) j
proof
fix j::nat

```

```

assume aaa: nnth lsa i ≤ j ∧ j ≤ nnth lsa (Suc i)
show nnth (nmap (λy. nnth (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))))  

(y - nnth ls (nnth lsa i))) ls) j = nnth (nmap (nnth σ) ls) j
proof -
have g8: nnth (nmap (nnth σ) ls) j = nnth σ (nnth ls j)
by (metis nfinite-ntaken nlast-nmap ntaken-nlast ntaken-nmap)
have g9: nnth (nmap (λy. nnth (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))))  

(y - nnth ls (nnth lsa i))) ls) j =  

(λy. nnth (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))))  

(y - nnth ls (nnth lsa i))) (nnth ls j)
by (metis (no-types, lifting) nfinite-ntaken nlast-nmap ntaken-nlast ntaken-nmap)
have g10: ... =
nnth (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i))))  

(nnth ls j - nnth ls (nnth lsa i))
by auto
have g11: ... = nnth σ ((nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) + (nnth ls j - nnth ls (nnth lsa i)))
using nsubn-nnth[of σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))  

(nnth ls j - nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) ]
by (simp add: 2041 3 a aaa diff-le-mono nidx-less-eq)
have g12: ... = nnth σ (nnth ls j)
using aaa
by (metis 206 a add-le-imp-le-diff diff-add le-add-diff-inverse)
show ?thesis
using g11 g12 g8 g9 by presburger
qed
qed
have g13: (pfilt (nsubn σ (nnth ls (nnth lsa i)) (nnth ls (nnth lsa (Suc i)))))  

(nmap (λx. x - (nnth ls (nnth lsa i))) (nsubn ls (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)))) =  

(nsubn (pfilt σ ls) (nnth lsa i) (nnth lsa (Suc i)))
by (simp add: 2041 2060 a g2 g3 g5 g6 nsubn-eq pfilt-nmap)
show ?thesis using 5 a by (simp add: g13 powerinterval-def)
qed
show ?thesis
using 203 2041 2060 209 210 a fg3 fg5 fg6 nsubn-nfinite by fastforce
qed
qed
show ?thesis
using 201 202 fg by blast
qed
show ?thesis
by (metis 12 20 3 5 8 nfinite-nmap oprojection-d-def pfilt-nmap)
qed

```

lemma PJ7sem:

$$(\sigma \models f \text{ fproj } (g \text{ fproj } h) = (f \text{ fproj } g) \text{ fproj } h)$$

proof -

```

have 1: nlength σ > 0 → (σ ⊨ f fproj (g fproj h) = (f fproj g) fproj h)
using PJ7helpchain1a PJ7helpchain1b unl-lift2 by blast
have 2: nlength σ = 0 → (σ ⊨ f fproj (g fproj h) = (f fproj g) fproj h)
using PJ7empty by blast

```

```
from 1 2 show ?thesis by auto
qed
```

lemma *OPJ7sem*:

$(\sigma \models f \text{ oproj } (g \text{ oproj } h) = (f \text{ fproj } g) \text{ oproj } h)$
using *OPJ7helpchain1a OPJ7helpchain1b unl-lift2* **by** *blast*

10.3.8 PJ8

lemma *PJ8semhelp*:

assumes *nidx ls*
 $\text{nnth } ls \ 0 = 0$
 $\text{nfinite } ls$
 $\text{nfinite } \sigma$
 $\text{nlast } ls = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength } \sigma)$
 $(\forall n \text{ na. } na + n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \rightarrow f (\text{nsubn } \sigma \ n \ (n + na)) \rightarrow g (\text{nsubn } \sigma \ n \ (n + na)))$

shows

$(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. f (\text{nsubn } \sigma \ (\text{nnth } ls \ i) \ (\text{nnth } ls \ (\text{Suc } i))) \rightarrow g (\text{nsubn } \sigma \ (\text{nnth } ls \ i) \ (\text{nnth } ls \ (\text{Suc } i))))$
 $)$

using assms unfolding nidx-expand by auto

*(metis assms(1) diff-add eSuc-enat enat-ord-simps(1) ileI1 le-add-diff-inverse less-imp-le-nat
nfinite-nlength-enat nidx-all-le-nlast the-enat.simps)*

lemma *PJ8semhelp-alt*:

assumes *nidx ls*
 $\text{nnth } ls \ 0 = 0$
 $\neg \text{nfinite } ls$
 $\neg \text{nfinite } \sigma$
 $(\forall n \text{ na. } na + n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \rightarrow f (\text{nsubn } \sigma \ n \ (n + na)) \rightarrow g (\text{nsubn } \sigma \ n \ (n + na)))$

shows

$(\forall i < \text{nlength } ls. f (\text{nsubn } \sigma \ (\text{nnth } ls \ i) \ (\text{nnth } ls \ (\text{Suc } i))) \rightarrow g (\text{nsubn } \sigma \ (\text{nnth } ls \ i) \ (\text{nnth } ls \ (\text{Suc } i))))$
 $)$

using assms unfolding nidx-expand

by auto

(metis enat-ord-simps(3) enat-the-enat le-add-diff-inverse nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD order-less-imp-le)

lemma *PJ8sem*:

$(\sigma \models ba(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (f \text{ fproj } h) \rightarrow (g \text{ fproj } h))$

using *PJ8semhelp*
by (*simp add: fprojection-d-def ba-defs powerinterval-def*)
*(metis eSuc-enat enat-ord-simps(1) ileI1 le-add-diff-inverse linorder-le-cases nfinite-nlength-enat
nidx-expand nidx-less-eq nnth-nlast order-less-imp-le the-enat.simps)*

lemma *OPJ8sem*:

$(\sigma \models ba(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (f \text{ oproj } h) \rightarrow (g \text{ oproj } h))$

using *PJ8semhelp-alt*
by (*simp add: oprojection-d-def ba-defs powerinterval-def*)
(metis eSuc-enat enat-ord-code(4) enat-the-enat ileI1 le-add-diff-inverse nidx-expand

nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD order-less-imp-le order-less-imp-le)

10.3.9 PJ9

lemma *PJ9sem*:
 $(\sigma \models f \text{ufproj } (g \rightarrow h) \rightarrow f \text{fproj } g \rightarrow f \text{fproj } h)$
by (*simp add: ufprojection-d-def fprojection-d-def*)
 $(\text{metis less-numeral-extra(3)})$

lemma *OPJ9sem*:
 $(\sigma \models f \text{uoproj } (g \rightarrow h) \rightarrow f \text{oproj } g \rightarrow f \text{oproj } h)$
by (*simp add: uoprojection-d-def oprojection-d-def*)
 $(\text{metis less-numeral-extra(3)})$

10.4 Axioms

lemma *FBpGen*:
assumes $\vdash f$
shows $\vdash \text{fbp } f$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: fbp-d-def ufprojection-d-def fprojection-d-def Valid-def*)

lemma *OBpGen*:
assumes $\vdash f$
shows $\vdash \text{obp } f$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: obp-d-def uoprojection-d-def oprojection-d-def Valid-def*)

lemma *PJ00*:
 $\vdash \neg(f \text{fproj inf})$
using *PJ00sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *OPJ00*:
 $\vdash \neg(f \text{oproj finite})$
using *OPJ00sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *PJ01*:
 $\vdash (f \text{fproj } g) \rightarrow \text{finite}$
using *PJ01sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *OPJ01*:
 $\vdash (f \text{oproj } g) \rightarrow \text{inf}$
using *OPJ01sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *PJ02*:
 $\vdash (f \text{fproj } g) = ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \text{fproj } g)$
using *PJ02sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *OPJ02*:
 $\vdash (f \text{oproj } g) = ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \text{oproj } g)$
using *OPJ02sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *PJ03*:
 $\vdash (f \text{ fproj } g) = (f \text{ fproj } (g \wedge \text{finite}))$
using *PJ03sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *OPJ03*:
 $\vdash (f \text{ oproj } g) = (f \text{ oproj } (g \wedge \text{inf}))$
using *OPJ03sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *PJ1*:
 $\vdash f \text{ fproj } (g \vee h) = (f \text{ fproj } g \vee f \text{ fproj } h)$
using *PJ1sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *OPJ1*:
 $\vdash f \text{ oproj } (g \vee h) = (f \text{ oproj } g \vee f \text{ oproj } h)$
using *OPJ1sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *PJ2*:
 $\vdash f \text{ fproj } \text{empty} = \text{empty}$
using *PJ2sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *OPJ2*:
 $\vdash \neg(f \text{ oproj } \text{empty})$
using *OPJ2sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *PJ3*:
 $\vdash f \text{ fproj } \text{skip} = (f \wedge \text{more} \wedge \text{finite})$
using *PJ3sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *OPJ3*:
 $\vdash \neg(f \text{ oproj } \text{skip})$
using *OPJ3sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *PJ4*:
 $\vdash f \text{ fproj } (g;h) = (f \text{ fproj } g) ; (f \text{ fproj } h)$
using *PJ4sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *OPJ4*:
 $\vdash f \text{ oproj } ((g \wedge \text{finite});h) = (f \text{ fproj } g) ; (f \text{ oproj } h)$
using *OPJ4sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *PJ5*:
 $\vdash f \text{ fproj } \text{init}(g) \longrightarrow \text{init}(g)$
using *PJ5sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *OPJ5*:
 $\vdash f \text{ oproj } \text{init}(g) \longrightarrow \text{init}(g)$
using *OPJ5sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *PJ6*:

$\vdash \text{skip } fproj\ g = (g \wedge \text{finite})$
 using *PJ6sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *OPJ6*:

$\vdash \text{skip oproj } g = (g \wedge \text{inf})$
 using *OPJ6sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *PJ7*:

$\vdash f\ fproj\ (g\ fproj\ h) = (f\ fproj\ g)\ fproj\ h$
 using *PJ7sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *OPJ7*:

$\vdash f\ oproj\ (g\ oproj\ h) = (f\ fproj\ g)\ oproj\ h$
 using *OPJ7sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *PJ8*:

$\vdash ba(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (f\ fproj\ h) \rightarrow (g\ fproj\ h)$
 using *PJ8sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *OPJ8*:

$\vdash ba(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (f\ oproj\ h) \rightarrow (g\ oproj\ h)$
 using *OPJ8sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *PJ9*:

$\vdash f\ uproj\ (g \rightarrow h) \rightarrow f\ fproj\ g \rightarrow f\ fproj\ h$
 using *PJ9sem Valid-def by blast*

lemma *OPJ9*:

$\vdash f\ uproj\ (g \rightarrow h) \rightarrow f\ oproj\ g \rightarrow f\ oproj\ h$
 using *OPJ9sem Valid-def by blast*

10.5 Theorems

10.5.1 Projection

lemma *FPowerFProjLen*:

$\vdash f\ fproj\ \text{len } n = fpower\ (f \wedge \text{more})\ n$

proof

 (*induct n*)

case 0

then show ?case

by (*metis PJ2 fpower-d-def len-d-def wpow-0*)

next

case (Suc n)

then show ?case

by (*metis AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore FiniteChopSkipEqvFiniteAndMore FiniteChopSkipEqvSkip-ChopFinite*

FmoreEqvSkipChopFinite PJ3 PJ4sem fpower-d-def intI inteq-reflection len-d-def lift-and-com wpow-Suc)

qed

```

lemma FProjLenExist:
   $\vdash f \text{ fproj } (\exists n. \text{ len } n) = (\exists n. f \text{ fproj } \text{ len } n)$ 
  by (simp add: Valid-def fprojection-d-def, blast)

lemma FPowerFProjLenExist:
   $\vdash (\exists n. f \text{ fproj } \text{ len } n) = (\exists n. \text{ fpower } (f \wedge \text{ more}) n)$ 
  using FPowerFProjLen by (simp add: Valid-def FPowerFProjLen, blast)

lemma RightFProjImpFProj:
  assumes  $\vdash g1 \longrightarrow g2$ 
  shows  $\vdash f \text{ fproj } g1 \longrightarrow f \text{ fproj } g2$ 
  using assms
  by (simp add: Valid-def fprojection-d-def, blast)

lemma RightOProjImpOProj:
  assumes  $\vdash g1 \longrightarrow g2$ 
  shows  $\vdash f \text{ oproj } g1 \longrightarrow f \text{ oproj } g2$ 
  using assms
  by (simp add: Valid-def oprojection-d-def, blast)

lemma LeftFProjImpFProj:
  assumes  $\vdash f1 \longrightarrow f2$ 
  shows  $\vdash f1 \text{ fproj } g \longrightarrow f2 \text{ fproj } g$ 
  using assms
  by (simp add: Valid-def fprojection-d-def powerinterval-def, blast)

lemma LeftOProjImpOProj:
  assumes  $\vdash f1 \longrightarrow f2$ 
  shows  $\vdash f1 \text{ oproj } g \longrightarrow f2 \text{ oproj } g$ 
  using assms
  by (simp add: Valid-def oprojection-d-def powerinterval-def, blast)

lemma RightFProjEqvFProj:
  assumes  $\vdash g1 = g2$ 
  shows  $\vdash f \text{ fproj } g1 = f \text{ fproj } g2$ 
  using assms
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Valid-def inteq-reflection unl-lift2)

lemma RightOProjEqvOProj:
  assumes  $\vdash g1 = g2$ 
  shows  $\vdash f \text{ oproj } g1 = f \text{ oproj } g2$ 
  using assms
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Valid-def inteq-reflection unl-lift2)

lemma LeftFProjEqvFProj:
  assumes  $\vdash f1 = f2$ 
  shows  $\vdash f1 \text{ fproj } g = f2 \text{ fproj } g$ 
  using assms

```

by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Valid-def inteq-reflection unl-lift2)

lemma LeftOProjEqvOProj:

assumes $\vdash f_1 = f_2$

shows $\vdash f_1 \text{ oproj } g = f_2 \text{ oproj } g$

using assms

by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Valid-def inteq-reflection unl-lift2)

lemma FProjTrueEqvSChopstar:

$\vdash f \text{ fproj } \# \text{True} = (\text{schopstar } f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{finite} = (\exists n. \text{len } n)$

by (simp add: Finite-exist-len)

have 2: $\vdash f \text{ fproj } \# \text{True} = f \text{ fproj } (\exists n. \text{len } n)$

using 1

by (metis PJ03 Prop03 Prop10 int-simps(29) inteq-reflection lift-and-com)

have 3: $\vdash f \text{ fproj } (\exists n. \text{len } n) = (\exists n. \text{fpower } (f \wedge \text{more}) n)$

using FPowerFProjLenExist FProjLenExist **by** fastforce

have 4: $\vdash (\exists n. \text{fpower } (f \wedge \text{more}) n) = (\text{schopstar } f)$

by (metis FPowerstardef int-eq schopstar-d-def)

show ?thesis **using** 2 3 4 **by** fastforce

qed

lemma OProjTrueEqvAOmega:

$\vdash f \text{ oproj } \# \text{True} = (\text{aomega } f)$

using infinite-nidx-imp-infinite-interval

by (auto simp add: Valid-def oprojection-d-def aomega-d-def powerinterval-def)

(meson enat-ile linorder-le-cases nfinite-conv-nlength-enat not-le-imp-less, blast)

lemma OProjTrueEqvOmega:

$\vdash f \text{ oproj } \# \text{True} = (\text{omega } f)$

by (metis OProjTrueEqvAOmega OmegaEqvAOmega int-eq)

lemma FProjSChopstarEqvSChopstarFProj:

$\vdash f \text{ fproj } (\text{schopstar } g) = (\text{schopstar } (f \text{ fproj } g))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \text{ fproj } (\text{schopstar } g) = f \text{ fproj } (g \text{ fproj } \# \text{True})$

by (metis FProjTrueEqvSChopstar RightFProjEqvFProj inteq-reflection)

have 2: $\vdash f \text{ fproj } (g \text{ fproj } \# \text{True}) = (f \text{ fproj } g) \text{ fproj } \# \text{True}$

by (simp add: PJ7)

have 3: $\vdash (f \text{ fproj } g) \text{ fproj } \# \text{True} = (\text{schopstar } (f \text{ fproj } g))$

by (simp add: FProjTrueEqvSChopstar)

show ?thesis **using** 1 2 3 **by** fastforce

qed

lemma OProjOmegaEqvOmegaFProj:

$\vdash f \text{ oproj } (\text{omega } g) = (\text{omega } (f \text{ fproj } g))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \text{ oproj } (\text{omega } g) = f \text{ oproj } (g \text{ oproj } \# \text{True})$

by (metis OProjTrueEqvOmega RightOProjEqvOProj inteq-reflection)

```

have 2:  $\vdash f \text{ oproj } (g \text{ oproj } \# \text{True}) = (f \text{ fproj } g) \text{ oproj } \# \text{True}$ 
  by (simp add: OPJ7)
have 3:  $\vdash (f \text{ fproj } g) \text{ oproj } \# \text{True} = (\text{omega } (f \text{ fproj } g))$ 
  by (simp add: OProjTrueEqvOmega)
show ?thesis using 1 2 3 by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma OProjAndImp:
 $\vdash f \text{ oproj } (g1 \wedge g2) \longrightarrow f \text{ oproj } g1 \wedge f \text{ oproj } g2$ 
by (meson Prop12 RightOProjImpOProj int-iffD1 lift-and-com)

```

```

lemma FProjAndImp:
 $\vdash f \text{ fproj } (g1 \wedge g2) \longrightarrow f \text{ fproj } g1 \wedge f \text{ fproj } g2$ 
by (meson Prop12 RightFProjImpFProj int-iffD1 lift-and-com)

```

```

lemma FProjOrDist:
 $\vdash \# \text{True } f \text{ proj } (f \vee g) = (\# \text{True } f \text{ proj } f \vee \# \text{True } f \text{ proj } g)$ 
using PJ1 by blast

```

```

lemma OProjOrDist:
 $\vdash \# \text{True } o \text{ proj } (f \vee g) = (\# \text{True } o \text{ proj } f \vee \# \text{True } o \text{ proj } g)$ 
using OPJ1 by blast

```

```

lemma StateImportFProj:
 $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge f \text{ fproj } g) = f \text{ fproj } ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)$ 
by (auto simp add: Valid-def init-defs fprojection-d-def pfilt-nnth nidx-expand)
  (metis nlast-NNil ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast pfilt-nlength pfilt-nnth zero-enat-def zero-le,
   metis nlast-NNil ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast pfilt-nlength pfilt-nnth zero-enat-def zero-le)

```

```

lemma StateImportOProj:
 $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge f \text{ oproj } g) = f \text{ oproj } ((\text{init } w) \wedge g)$ 
by (auto simp add: Valid-def init-defs oprojection-d-def pfilt-nnth nidx-expand)
  (metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst pfilt-nlength pfilt-nnth zero-enat-def zero-le,
   metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst pfilt-nlength pfilt-nnth zero-enat-def zero-le)

```

```

lemma FProjStateAndNextEqvStateAndMoreChopFProj:
 $\vdash f \text{ fproj } ((\text{init } w) \wedge \circlearrowright g) = ((\text{init } w) \wedge (f \wedge \text{more} \wedge \text{finite});(f \text{ fproj } g))$ 
proof -
  have 2:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{more} \wedge \text{finite});(f \text{ fproj } g) = f \text{ fproj } \circlearrowright g$ 
    using PJ3 PJ4 unfolding next-d-def by (metis inteq-reflection)
  have 3:  $\vdash f \text{ fproj } ((\text{init } w)) \longrightarrow \text{init } w$ 
    by (simp add: PJ5)
  have 4:  $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge f \text{ fproj } \circlearrowright g) = f \text{ fproj } ((\text{init } w) \wedge \circlearrowright g)$ 
    by (simp add: StateImportFProj)
  have 5:  $\vdash f \text{ fproj } ((\text{init } w) \wedge \circlearrowright g) \longrightarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge (f \wedge \text{more} \wedge \text{finite});(f \text{ fproj } g))$ 
    using 2 3 FProjAndImp by fastforce
  from 5 4 show ?thesis using 2 by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma OProjStateAndNextEqvStateAndMoreChopFProj:
  ⊢ f oproj ( (init w) ∧ ◊ g) = ((init w) ∧ (f ∧ more ∧ finite);(f oproj g))
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ (skip ∧ finite) = skip
    using itl-defs(1) itl-defs(5) nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD by fastforce
  have 2: ⊢ (f ∧ more ∧ finite);(f oproj g) = f oproj ◊ g
    using PJ3 OPJ4 unfolding next-d-def by (metis 1 inteq-reflection)
  have 3: ⊢ f oproj ( (init w) ) → init w
    by (simp add: OPJ5)
  have 4: ⊢ (init w ∧ f oproj ◊ g) = f oproj ( (init w) ∧ ◊ g)
    by (simp add: StateImportOProj)
  have 5: ⊢ f oproj ( (init w) ∧ ◊ g) → ((init w) ∧ (f ∧ more ∧ finite);(f oproj g))
    using 2 3 OProjAndImp by fastforce
  from 5 4 show ?thesis using 2 by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma FProjNext:
  ⊢ f fproj ◊ g = (f ∧ more ∧ finite);(f fproj g)
by (metis PJ3 PJ4 inteq-reflection next-d-def)

```

```

lemma OProjNext:
  ⊢ f oproj ◊ g = (f ∧ more ∧ finite);(f oproj g)
by (metis DiamondEmptyEqvFiniteDiamondEqvEmptyOrNextDiamondFiniteChopEqvDiamond
  FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFiniteNowImpDiamond OPJ4 PJ3 Prop05 Prop10 SkipChopEqvNext
  inteq-reflection)

```

```

lemma FProjWnext:
  ⊢ f fproj (wnext g) = (empty ∨ (f ∧ more ∧ finite);(f fproj g))
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ f fproj (wnext g) = f fproj (empty ∨ ◊ g)
    by (simp add: RightFProjEqvFProj WnextEqvEmptyOrNext)
  have 2: ⊢ f fproj (empty ∨ ◊ g) = (empty ∨ f fproj (◊ g))
    using PJ1 PJ2 by fastforce
  have 3: ⊢ f fproj (◊ g) = (f ∧ more ∧ finite);(f fproj g)
    by (metis PJ3 PJ4 inteq-reflection next-d-def)
  show ?thesis
  using 1 2 3 by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma OProjWnext:
  ⊢ f oproj (wnext g) = ((f ∧ more ∧ finite);(f oproj g))
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ f oproj (wnext g) = f oproj (empty ∨ ◊ g)
    by (simp add: RightOProjEqvOProj WnextEqvEmptyOrNext)
  have 2: ⊢ f oproj (empty ∨ ◊ g) = ( f oproj (◊ g))
    using OPJ2[of f] OPJ1[of f LIFT empty LIFT ◊ g] by fastforce
  have 3: ⊢ f oproj (◊ g) = (f ∧ more ∧ finite);(f oproj g)
    by (simp add: OProjNext)

```

```

show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 by fastforce
qed

```

lemma FProjIntro:

```

assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow ((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); f$ 
shows  $\vdash f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow g \text{ fproj } \# \text{True}$ 
using assms SCSIntro[of f g] FProjTrueEqvSChopstar[of g] unfolding schop-d-def
by fastforce

```

lemma OProjIntro:

```

assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow ((g \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); f$ 
shows  $\vdash f \rightarrow g \text{ oproj } \# \text{True}$ 
using assms OProjTrueEqvOmega[of g] by (metis OmegaWeakCoinduct int-eq)

```

lemma RightBoxStateImportFProj:

```

 $\vdash \square(\text{init } w) \wedge f \text{ fproj } g \rightarrow f \text{ fproj } (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge g)$ 
by (simp add: Valid-def always-defs init-defs fprojection-d-def)
(metis ndropn-all ndropn-nfirst nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nle-le pfilt-code(1) pfilt-nmap-pfilt)

```

lemma RightBoxStateImportOProj:

```

 $\vdash \square(\text{init } w) \wedge f \text{ oproj } g \rightarrow f \text{ oproj } (\square(\text{init } w) \wedge g)$ 
by (simp add: Valid-def always-defs init-defs oprojection-d-def)
(metis min-def ndropn-nfirst nfinite-conv-nlength-enat nfinite-ntaken ntaken-nlength pfilt-nnth)

```

lemma LeftBoxStateImportFProjhelp:

```

( $\forall n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } wa \rightarrow w (\text{NNil } (\text{nfirst } (\text{ndropn } n wa))) \wedge$ 
 $(\exists ls. (\forall i. \text{enat } (\text{Suc } i) \leq \text{nlength } ls \rightarrow \text{nnth } ls i < \text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) \wedge$ 
 $\text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge$ 
 $\text{nfinite } ls \wedge$ 
 $\text{nfinite } wa \wedge$ 
 $\text{nlast } ls = \text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } wa) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall i. \text{enat } i < \text{nlength } ls \rightarrow f (\text{nsubn } wa (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \wedge g (\text{pfilt } wa ls)) \rightarrow$ 
 $(\exists ls. (\forall i. \text{enat } (\text{Suc } i) \leq \text{nlength } ls \rightarrow \text{nnth } ls i < \text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) \wedge$ 
 $\text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge$ 
 $\text{nfinite } ls \wedge$ 
 $\text{nfinite } wa \wedge$ 
 $\text{nlast } ls = \text{the-enat } (\text{nlength } wa) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall i. \text{enat } i < \text{nlength } ls \rightarrow$ 
 $f (\text{nsubn } wa (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } (\text{nsubn } wa (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \rightarrow$ 
 $w (\text{NNil } (\text{nfirst } (\text{ndropn } n (\text{nsubn } wa (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))))))) \wedge$ 
 $g (\text{pfilt } wa ls))$ )

```

proof

```

assume 0: ( $\forall n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } wa \rightarrow w (\text{NNil } (\text{nfirst } (\text{ndropn } n wa))) \wedge$ 
 $(\exists ls. (\forall i. \text{enat } (\text{Suc } i) \leq \text{nlength } ls \rightarrow \text{nnth } ls i < \text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) \wedge$ 
 $\text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge$ 
 $\text{nfinite } ls \wedge$ 
 $\text{nfinite } wa \wedge$ 

```

$nlast\ ls = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}\ wa) \wedge$
 $(\forall i. \text{enat}\ i < \text{nlength}\ ls \longrightarrow f(\text{nsubn}\ wa(\text{nnth}\ ls\ i)(\text{nnth}\ ls(\text{Suc}\ i))) \wedge g(\text{pfilt}\ wa\ ls))$
show $(\exists ls. (\forall i. \text{enat}(\text{Suc}\ i) \leq \text{nlength}\ ls \longrightarrow \text{nnth}\ ls\ i < \text{nnth}\ ls(\text{Suc}\ i)) \wedge$
 $\text{nnth}\ ls\ 0 = 0 \wedge$
 $\text{nfinite}\ ls \wedge$
 $\text{nfinite}\ wa \wedge$
 $nlast\ ls = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}\ wa) \wedge$
 $(\forall i. \text{enat}\ i < \text{nlength}\ ls \longrightarrow$
 $f(\text{nsubn}\ wa(\text{nnth}\ ls\ i)(\text{nnth}\ ls(\text{Suc}\ i))) \wedge$
 $(\forall n. \text{enat}\ n \leq \text{nlength}(\text{nsubn}\ wa(\text{nnth}\ ls\ i)(\text{nnth}\ ls(\text{Suc}\ i))) \longrightarrow$
 $w(\text{NNil}(\text{nfirst}(\text{ndropn}\ n(\text{nsubn}\ wa(\text{nnth}\ ls\ i)(\text{nnth}\ ls(\text{Suc}\ i))))))) \wedge$
 $g(\text{pfilt}\ wa\ ls))$
proof –
have 1: $(\forall n. \text{enat}\ n \leq \text{nlength}\ wa \longrightarrow w(\text{NNil}(\text{nfirst}(\text{ndropn}\ n\ wa))))$
using 0 by auto
have 2: $(\exists ls. (\forall i. \text{enat}(\text{Suc}\ i) \leq \text{nlength}\ ls \longrightarrow \text{nnth}\ ls\ i < \text{nnth}\ ls(\text{Suc}\ i)) \wedge$
 $\text{nnth}\ ls\ 0 = 0 \wedge$
 $\text{nfinite}\ ls \wedge$
 $\text{nfinite}\ wa \wedge$
 $nlast\ ls = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}\ wa) \wedge$
 $(\forall i. \text{enat}\ i < \text{nlength}\ ls \longrightarrow$
 $f(\text{nsubn}\ wa(\text{nnth}\ ls\ i)(\text{nnth}\ ls(\text{Suc}\ i))) \wedge g(\text{pfilt}\ wa\ ls))$
using 0 by auto
obtain ls where 3: $(\forall i. \text{enat}(\text{Suc}\ i) \leq \text{nlength}\ ls \longrightarrow \text{nnth}\ ls\ i < \text{nnth}\ ls(\text{Suc}\ i)) \wedge$
 $\text{nnth}\ ls\ 0 = 0 \wedge$
 $\text{nfinite}\ ls \wedge$
 $\text{nfinite}\ wa \wedge$
 $nlast\ ls = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}\ wa) \wedge$
 $(\forall i. \text{enat}\ i < \text{nlength}\ ls \longrightarrow$
 $f(\text{nsubn}\ wa(\text{nnth}\ ls\ i)(\text{nnth}\ ls(\text{Suc}\ i))) \wedge g(\text{pfilt}\ wa\ ls))$
using 2 by auto
have 4: $\text{nnth}\ ls\ 0 = 0$
using 3 by auto
have 5: $(\forall i. \text{enat}(\text{Suc}\ i) \leq \text{nlength}\ ls \longrightarrow \text{nnth}\ ls\ i < \text{nnth}\ ls(\text{Suc}\ i))$
using 3 by auto
have 6: $nlast\ ls = \text{the-enat}(\text{nlength}\ wa)$
using 3 by auto
have 7: $(\forall i < \text{nlength}\ ls. f(\text{nsubn}\ wa(\text{nnth}\ ls\ i)(\text{nnth}\ ls(\text{Suc}\ i))))$
using 3 by auto
have 8: $g(\text{pfilt}\ wa\ ls)$
using 3 by auto
have 9: $(\forall i < \text{nlength}\ ls.$
 $f(\text{nsubn}\ wa(\text{nnth}\ ls\ i)(\text{nnth}\ ls(\text{Suc}\ i))) \wedge$
 $(\forall n \leq (\text{nnth}\ ls(\text{Suc}\ i)) - (\text{nnth}\ ls\ i).$
 $w(\text{NNil}(\text{nnth}\ wa((\text{nnth}\ ls\ i) + n))))$
using 1 7
by (metis 0 ndropn-eq-NNil ndropn-nfirst ndropn-nlast nfinite-conv-nlength-enat
nnth-beyond not-le-imp-less the-enat.simps)
have 10: $(\forall i < \text{nlength}\ ls.$
 $\text{nlength}(\text{nsubn}\ wa(\text{nnth}\ ls\ i)(\text{nnth}\ ls(\text{Suc}\ i))) =$

```

  (nnth ls (Suc i)) –(nnth ls i) )
  by (simp add: 3 PJ6help1 Suc-ile-eq nidx-expand)
have 11: ( $\forall i < \text{nlength } ls$ )
  ( $\forall n \leq (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) - (\text{nnth } ls i)$ ).
     $\text{nnth} (\text{nsubn } wa (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) n =$ 
     $\text{nnth } wa ((\text{nnth } ls i) + n)$ )
  using 3 by (simp add: nsubn-def1 ntaken-nnth)
have 12: ( $\forall i < \text{nlength } ls$ .
   $f (\text{nsubn } wa (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \wedge$ 
  ( $\forall n \leq \text{nlength } (\text{nsubn } wa (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))$ ).
     $w (\text{NNil } (\text{nnth} (\text{nsubn } wa (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) n)))$ )
  using 9 10 11 by simp
have 13: ( $\forall i. \text{enat } i < \text{nlength } ls \longrightarrow$ 
   $f (\text{nsubn } wa (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \wedge$ 
  ( $\forall n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } (\text{nsubn } wa (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \longrightarrow$ 
     $w (\text{NNil } (\text{nfirst} (\text{ndropn } n (\text{nsubn } wa (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)))))))$ )
  by (simp add: 12 ndropn-nfirst)
show ?thesis
using 13 3 by blast
qed
qed

```

lemma *LeftBoxStateImportOProjhelp*:

$$(\forall n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } wa \longrightarrow w (\text{NNil } (\text{nfirst} (\text{ndropn } n wa)))) \wedge$$

$$(\exists ls. (\forall i. \text{enat } (\text{Suc } i) \leq \text{nlength } ls \longrightarrow \text{nnth } ls i < \text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) \wedge$$

$$\text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge$$

$$\neg \text{nfinite } ls \wedge \neg \text{nfinite } wa \wedge$$

$$(\forall i. \text{enat } i < \text{nlength } ls \longrightarrow f (\text{nsubn } wa (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \wedge g (\text{pfilt } wa ls)) \longrightarrow$$

$$(\exists ls. (\forall i. \text{enat } (\text{Suc } i) \leq \text{nlength } ls \longrightarrow \text{nnth } ls i < \text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) \wedge$$

$$\text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge$$

$$\neg \text{nfinite } ls \wedge$$

$$\neg \text{nfinite } wa \wedge$$

$$(\forall i. \text{enat } i < \text{nlength } ls \longrightarrow$$

$$f (\text{nsubn } wa (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \wedge$$

$$(\forall n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } (\text{nsubn } wa (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \longrightarrow$$

$$w (\text{NNil } (\text{nfirst} (\text{ndropn } n (\text{nsubn } wa (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))))))) \wedge$$

$$g (\text{pfilt } wa ls))$$

proof

assume 0: ($\forall n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } wa \longrightarrow w (\text{NNil } (\text{nfirst} (\text{ndropn } n wa)))$) \wedge
 $(\exists ls. (\forall i. \text{enat } (\text{Suc } i) \leq \text{nlength } ls \longrightarrow \text{nnth } ls i < \text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) \wedge$
 $\text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge$
 $\neg \text{nfinite } ls \wedge \neg \text{nfinite } wa \wedge$
 $(\forall i. \text{enat } i < \text{nlength } ls \longrightarrow f (\text{nsubn } wa (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \wedge g (\text{pfilt } wa ls))$
show ($\exists ls. (\forall i. \text{enat } (\text{Suc } i) \leq \text{nlength } ls \longrightarrow \text{nnth } ls i < \text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i)) \wedge$
 $\text{nnth } ls 0 = 0 \wedge$
 $\neg \text{nfinite } ls \wedge$
 $\neg \text{nfinite } wa \wedge$
 $(\forall i. \text{enat } i < \text{nlength } ls \longrightarrow$
 $f (\text{nsubn } wa (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \wedge$
 $(\forall n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } (\text{nsubn } wa (\text{nnth } ls i) (\text{nnth } ls (\text{Suc } i))) \longrightarrow$

$w (NNil (nfirst (ndropn n (nsubn wa (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))))) \wedge$
 $g (pfilter wa ls))$

proof –

have 1: $(\forall n. enat n \leq nlength wa \longrightarrow w (NNil (nfirst (ndropn n wa))))$

using 0 by auto

have 2: $(\exists ls. (\forall i. enat (Suc i) \leq nlength ls \longrightarrow nnth ls i < nnth ls (Suc i)) \wedge$
 $nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge$
 $\neg nfinite ls \wedge \neg nfinite wa \wedge$
 $(\forall i. enat i < nlength ls \longrightarrow f (nsubn wa (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) \wedge g (pfilter wa ls))$

using 0 by auto

obtain ls where 3: $(\forall i. enat (Suc i) \leq nlength ls \longrightarrow nnth ls i < nnth ls (Suc i)) \wedge$
 $nnth ls 0 = 0 \wedge$
 $\neg nfinite ls \wedge \neg nfinite wa \wedge$
 $(\forall i. enat i < nlength ls \longrightarrow f (nsubn wa (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))) \wedge g (pfilter wa ls)$

using 2 by auto

have 4: $nnth ls 0 = 0$

using 3 by auto

have 5: $(\forall i. enat (Suc i) \leq nlength ls \longrightarrow nnth ls i < nnth ls (Suc i))$

using 3 by auto

have 7: $(\forall i < nlength ls. f (nsubn wa (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))))$

using 3 by auto

have 8: $g (pfilter wa ls)$

using 3 by auto

have 9: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $f (nsubn wa (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \wedge$
 $(\forall n \leq (nnth ls (Suc i)) - (nnth ls i).$
 $w (NNil (nnth wa ((nnth ls i) + n))))$

using 1 7

by (metis 0 linorder-le-cases ndropn_eq_NNil ndropn_nfirst nfinite_NNil nfinite_ndropn_b)

have 10: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $nlength (nsubn wa (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) =$
 $(nnth ls (Suc i)) - (nnth ls i))$

by (simp add: 3 OPJ6help1 nidx-expand)

have 11: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $(\forall n \leq (nnth ls (Suc i)) - (nnth ls i).$
 $nnth (nsubn wa (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) n =$
 $nnth wa ((nnth ls i) + n))$

using 3 by (simp add: nsubn_def1 ntaken_nnth)

have 12: $(\forall i < nlength ls.$
 $f (nsubn wa (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \wedge$
 $(\forall n \leq nlength (nsubn wa (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))).$
 $w (NNil (nnth (nsubn wa (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) n))))$

using 9 10 11 by simp

have 13: $(\forall i. enat i < nlength ls \longrightarrow$
 $f (nsubn wa (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \wedge$
 $(\forall n. enat n \leq nlength (nsubn wa (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i))) \longrightarrow$
 $w (NNil (nfirst (ndropn n (nsubn wa (nnth ls i) (nnth ls (Suc i)))))))$

by (simp add: 12 ndropn_nfirst)

show ?thesis

using 13 3 by blast

```

qed
qed

lemma LeftBoxStateImportFProj:
  ⊢ □(init w) ∧ f fproj g → (f ∧ □(init w)) fproj g
using LeftBoxStateImportFProjhelp[of - w f g]
by (simp add: Valid-def always-defs init-defs fprojection-d-def powerinterval-def nidx-expand)

lemma LeftBoxStateImportOProj:
  ⊢ □(init w) ∧ f oproj g → (f ∧ □(init w)) oproj g
using LeftBoxStateImportOProjhelp[of - w f g]
by (simp add: Valid-def always-defs init-defs oprojection-d-def powerinterval-def nidx-expand)

```

10.5.2 fdp, fbp odp and obp

```

lemma NotFDpEqvFBpNot:
  ⊢ (¬(fdp f)) = fbp (¬ f)
by (simp add: fbp-d-def fdp-d-def usprojection-d-def)

lemma NotODpEqvOBpNot:
  ⊢ (¬(odp f)) = obp (¬ f)
by (simp add: obp-d-def odp-d-def uoprojection-d-def)

lemma NotFDBpEqvFDpNot:
  ⊢ (¬(fbp f)) = fdp (¬ f)
by (simp add: fbp-d-def fdp-d-def usprojection-d-def)

lemma NotODBpEqvODpNot:
  ⊢ (¬(obp f)) = odp (¬ f)
by (simp add: obp-d-def odp-d-def uoprojection-d-def)

```

```

lemma NowImpFDp:
  ⊢ f ∧ finite → fdp f
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ (skip → #True)
  by simp
  have 2: ⊢ ba(skip → #True)
  using 1 by (simp add: BaGen)
  have 3: ⊢ ba(skip → #True) → (skip fproj f → #True fproj f)
  using PJ8 by blast
  have 4: ⊢ (skip fproj f → #True fproj f)
  using 2 3 MP by blast
  show ?thesis using 4 PJ6
  by (metis 4 PJ6 fdp-d-def inteq-reflection)
qed

```

```

lemma NowImpODp:
  ⊢ f ∧ inf → odp f
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ (skip → #True)

```

```

by simp
have 2:  $\vdash \text{ba}(\text{skip} \rightarrow \# \text{True})$ 
  using 1 by (simp add: BaGen)
have 3:  $\vdash \text{ba}(\text{skip} \rightarrow \# \text{True}) \rightarrow (\text{skip oproj } f \rightarrow \# \text{True oproj } f)$ 
  using OPJ8 by blast
have 4:  $\vdash (\text{skip oproj } f \rightarrow \# \text{True oproj } f)$ 
  using 2 3 MP by blast
show ?thesis using 4 OPJ6 by (metis int-eq odp-d-def)
qed

```

lemma FBpElim:

$$\vdash \text{fbp } f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow f$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \neg f \wedge \text{finite} \rightarrow \text{fdp } (\neg f)$
by (simp add: NowImpFDp)
hence 2: $\vdash \neg(\text{fdp } (\neg f)) \rightarrow f \vee \text{inf}$
unfolding finite-d-def **by** auto
from 2 **show** ?thesis
by (simp add: Prop13 fbp-d-def fdp-d-def finite-d-def ufprojection-d-def)
qed

lemma OBpElim:

$$\vdash \text{obp } f \wedge \text{inf} \rightarrow f$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \neg f \wedge \text{inf} \rightarrow \text{odp } (\neg f)$
by (simp add: NowImpODp)
hence 2: $\vdash \neg(\text{odp } (\neg f)) \rightarrow f \vee \text{finite}$
unfolding finite-d-def **by** auto
from 2 **show** ?thesis
by (metis InfEqvNotFinite Prop13 inteq-reflection obp-d-def odp-d-def uoprojection-d-def)
qed

lemma FBpImpFDpImpFDp:

$$\vdash \text{fbp } (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow \text{fdp } f \rightarrow \text{fdp } g$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{fbp } (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (\# \text{True } \text{fproj } f) \rightarrow (\# \text{True } \text{fproj } g)$
by (simp add: PJ9 fbp-d-def)
from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: fdp-d-def)
qed

lemma OBpImpODpImpODp:

$$\vdash \text{obp } (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow \text{odp } f \rightarrow \text{odp } g$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{obp } (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (\# \text{True } \text{oprod } f) \rightarrow (\# \text{True } \text{oprod } g)$
by (simp add: OPJ9 obp-d-def)
from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: odp-d-def)
qed

lemma FBpContraPosImpDist:

$\vdash fbp(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow (fbp f) \rightarrow (fbp g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash fbp(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow (fdp(\neg g)) \rightarrow (fdp(\neg f))$

by (rule *FBpImpFDpImpFDp*)

hence 2: $\vdash fbp(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow (\neg(fdp(\neg f))) \rightarrow (\neg(fdp(\neg g)))$ by auto

from 2 show ?thesis

by (simp add: *fbp-d-def fdp-d-def ufprojection-d-def*)

qed

lemma *OBpContraPosImpDist*:

$\vdash obp(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow (obp f) \rightarrow (obp g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash obp(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow (odp(\neg g)) \rightarrow (odp(\neg f))$

by (rule *OBpImpODpImpODp*)

hence 2: $\vdash obp(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow (\neg(odp(\neg f))) \rightarrow (\neg(odp(\neg g)))$ by auto

from 2 show ?thesis

by (simp add: *obp-d-def odp-d-def uoprojection-d-def*)

qed

lemma *FBpImpDist*:

$\vdash fbp(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (fbp f) \rightarrow (fbp g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)$ by auto

hence 2: $\vdash \neg(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow \neg(f \rightarrow g)$ by auto

hence 3: $\vdash fbp(\neg(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)) \rightarrow \neg(f \rightarrow g)$ by (rule *FBpGen*)

have 4: $\vdash fbp(\neg(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)) \rightarrow \neg(f \rightarrow g)$

→

$fbp(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow fbp(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)$ by (rule *FBpContraPosImpDist*)

have 5: $\vdash fbp(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow fbp(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)$ using 3 4 MP by blast

have 6: $\vdash fbp(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow (fbp f) \rightarrow (fbp g)$ by (rule *FBpContraPosImpDist*)

from 5 6 show ?thesis using lift-imp-trans by blast

qed

lemma *OBpImpDist*:

$\vdash obp(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (obp f) \rightarrow (obp g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)$ by auto

hence 2: $\vdash \neg(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow \neg(f \rightarrow g)$ by auto

hence 3: $\vdash obp(\neg(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)) \rightarrow \neg(f \rightarrow g)$ by (rule *OBpGen*)

have 4: $\vdash obp(\neg(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)) \rightarrow \neg(f \rightarrow g)$

→

$obp(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow obp(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)$ by (rule *OBpContraPosImpDist*)

have 5: $\vdash obp(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow obp(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f)$ using 3 4 MP by blast

have 6: $\vdash obp(\neg g \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow (obp f) \rightarrow (obp g)$ by (rule *OBpContraPosImpDist*)

from 5 6 show ?thesis using lift-imp-trans by blast

qed

lemma *FDpImpDpRule*:

assumes $\vdash f \rightarrow g$

shows $\vdash fdp f \rightarrow fdp g$

proof –

```
have 1: ⊢ f → g  using assms by auto
hence 2: ⊢ #True fproj f → #True fproj g
  by (metis FBpGen MP PJ9 fbp-d-def)
from 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: fdp-d-def)
qed
```

lemma ODpImpODpRule:

```
assumes ⊢ f → g
shows ⊢ odp f → odp g
proof –
```

```
have 1: ⊢ f → g  using assms by auto
hence 2: ⊢ #True oproj f → #True oproj g
  by (metis OBpGen MP OPJ9 obp-d-def)
from 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: odp-d-def)
qed
```

lemma FBpImpFBpRule:

```
assumes ⊢ f → g
shows ⊢ fbp f → fbp g
proof –
```

```
have 1: ⊢ f → g  using assms by auto
hence 2: ⊢ ¬ g → ¬ f  by auto
hence 3: ⊢ fdp (¬ g) → fdp (¬ f)  by (rule FDpImpDpRule)
hence 4: ⊢ ¬ (fdp (¬ f)) → ¬ (fdp (¬ g))  by auto
from 4 show ?thesis
  by (meson FBpGen FBpImpDist MP assms)
qed
```

lemma OBpImpOBpRule:

```
assumes ⊢ f → g
shows ⊢ obp f → obp g
proof –
```

```
have 1: ⊢ f → g  using assms by auto
hence 2: ⊢ ¬ g → ¬ f  by auto
hence 3: ⊢ odp (¬ g) → odp (¬ f)  by (rule ODpImpODpRule)
hence 4: ⊢ ¬ (odp (¬ f)) → ¬ (odp (¬ g))  by auto
from 4 show ?thesis
  by (meson OBpGen OBpImpDist MP assms)
qed
```

lemma FDpEqvFDpRule:

```
assumes ⊢ f = g
shows ⊢ fdp f = fdp g
proof –
```

```
have 1: ⊢ f = g  using assms by auto
hence 2: ⊢ #True fproj f = #True fproj g
  using RightFProjEqvFProj by blast
from 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: fdp-d-def)
qed
```

```

lemma ODpEqvODpRule:
assumes ⊢ f = g
shows ⊢ odp f = odp g
proof -
have 1: ⊢ f = g using assms by auto
hence 2: ⊢ #True oproj f = #True oproj g
    using RightOProjEqvOProj by blast
from 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: odp-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma FBpEqvFBpRule:
assumes ⊢ f = g
shows ⊢ fbp f = fbp g
proof -
have 1: ⊢ f = g using assms by auto
hence 2: ⊢ (¬ f) = (¬ g) by auto
hence 3: ⊢ fdp (¬ f) = fdp (¬ g) by (rule FDpEqvFDpRule)
hence 4: ⊢ (¬ (fdp (¬ f))) = (¬ (fdp (¬ g))) by auto
from 4 show ?thesis
by (metis FBpImpFBpRule assms int-iffD1 int-iffI inteq-reflection)
qed

```

```

lemma OBpEqvOBpRule:
assumes ⊢ f = g
shows ⊢ obp f = obp g
proof -
have 1: ⊢ f = g using assms by auto
hence 2: ⊢ (¬ f) = (¬ g) by auto
hence 3: ⊢ odp (¬ f) = odp (¬ g) by (rule ODpEqvODpRule)
hence 4: ⊢ (¬ (odp (¬ f))) = (¬ (odp (¬ g))) by auto
from 4 show ?thesis
by (metis OBpImpOBpRule assms int-iffD1 int-iffI inteq-reflection)
qed

```

```

lemma FDpState:
⊢ fdp (init w) = ((init w) ∧ finite)
proof -
have 1: ⊢ init w ∧ finite → fdp (init w)
using NowImpFDp[of LIFT (init w)] by blast
have 2: ⊢ fdp (init w) → init w
  unfolding fdp-d-def by (simp add: PJ5)
have 3: ⊢ fdp (init w) → finite
  unfolding fdp-d-def by (simp add: PJ01)
show ?thesis
by (simp add: 1 2 3 Prop12 int-iffI)
qed

```

```

lemma ODpState:
⊢ odp (init w) = ((init w) ∧ inf)

```

proof –

```
have 1: ⊢ init w ∧ inf → odp (init w)
  using NowImpODp[of LIFT (init w)] by blast
have 2: ⊢ odp (init w) → init w
  unfolding odp-d-def by (simp add: OPJ5)
have 3: ⊢ odp (init w) → inf
  unfolding odp-d-def by (simp add: OPJ01)
show ?thesis
by (simp add: 1 2 3 Prop12 int-iffI)
qed
```

lemma StateEqvFBp:

```
⊢ finite → (init w) = fbp (init w)
```

proof –

```
have 1: ⊢ (init w) → fbp (init w)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) DiEqvNotBiNot DiState Initprop(2) NotDiEqvBiNot PJ5 fbp-d-def
      inteq-reflection lift-imp-neg ufprojection-d-def)
have 2: ⊢ fbp (init w) ∧ finite → (init w) using FBpElim by blast
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
```

lemma StateEqvOBp:

```
⊢ inf → (init w) = obp (init w)
```

proof –

```
have 1: ⊢ (init w) → obp (init w)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) DiEqvNotBiNot DiState Initprop(2) NotDiEqvBiNot NotODpEqvOBpNot
      ODpState Prop11 Prop12 inteq-reflection lift-imp-neg)
have 2: ⊢ obp (init w) ∧ inf → (init w) using OBpElim by blast
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
```

lemma FDpFDpEqvFDp:

```
⊢ fdp (fdp f) = fdp f
```

proof –

```
have 2: ⊢ #True fproj (#True fproj f) = (#True fproj #True) fproj f
  by (simp add: PJ7)
have 3: ⊢ (#True fproj #True) = finite
  by (metis ChopEmpty EmptyImpFinite NowImpFDp PJ01 Prop10 TrueChopAndFiniteEqvAndFinite-
      ChopFinite
      fdp-d-def int-eq int-iffI)
have 4: ⊢ finite fproj f = #True fproj f
  by (metis PJ02 Prop03 Prop10 finite-d-def int-simps(28) inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
show ?thesis
by (metis 2 3 4 fdp-d-def int-eq)
qed
```

lemma ODpODpEqvODp:

```
⊢ odp (odp f) = odp f
```

proof –

```
have 2: ⊢ #True oproj (#True oproj f) = (#True fproj #True) oproj f
```

```

by (simp add: OPJ7)
have 3:  $\vdash (\# \text{True} \ fproj \ \# \text{True}) = \text{finite}$ 
  by (metis ChopEmpty EmptyImpFinite NowImpFDp PJ01 Prop10 TrueChopAndFiniteEqvAndFinite-
ChopFinite
    fdp-d-def int-eq int-iffI)
have 4:  $\vdash \text{finite} \ oproj \ f = \# \text{True} \ oproj \ f$ 
  by (metis OPJ02 Prop03 Prop10 finite-d-def int-simps(28) inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
show ?thesis
by (metis 2 3 4 odp-d-def int-eq)
qed

```

```

lemma FBpFBpEqvFBp:
 $\vdash fbp(fbp f) = fbp f$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash fdp(fdp(\neg f)) = fdp(\neg f)$ 
  using FDpFDpEqvFDp by blast
have 2:  $\vdash (\neg(fdp(fdp(\neg f)))) = (\neg(fdp(\neg f)))$ 
  using 1 by auto
have 3:  $\vdash (\neg(fdp(\neg f))) = fbp f$ 
  by (simp add: fbp-d-def fdp-d-def ufprojection-d-def)
have 4:  $\vdash (\neg(fdp(fdp(\neg f)))) = fbp(fbp f)$ 
  by (simp add: fbp-d-def fdp-d-def ufprojection-d-def)
from 2 3 4 show ?thesis
by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma OBpOBpEqvOBp:
 $\vdash obp(obp f) = obp f$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash odp(odp(\neg f)) = odp(\neg f)$ 
  using ODpODpEqvODp by blast
have 2:  $\vdash (\neg(odp(odp(\neg f)))) = (\neg(odp(\neg f)))$ 
  using 1 by auto
have 3:  $\vdash (\neg(odp(\neg f))) = obp f$ 
  by (simp add: obp-d-def odp-d-def uoprojection-d-def)
have 4:  $\vdash (\neg(odp(odp(\neg f)))) = obp(obp f)$ 
  by (simp add: obp-d-def odp-d-def uoprojection-d-def)
from 2 3 4 show ?thesis
by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma FDpOrEqv:
 $\vdash fdp(f \vee g) = (fdp f \vee fdp g)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \# \text{True} \ fproj(f \vee g) = (\# \text{True} \ fproj f \vee \# \text{True} \ fproj g)$ 
  using FProjOrDist by auto
from 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: fdp-d-def)
qed

```

lemma *ODpOrEqv*:

$$\vdash \text{odp } (f \vee g) = (\text{odp } f \vee \text{odp } g)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \# \text{True oproj } (f \vee g) = (\# \text{True oproj } f \vee \# \text{True oproj } g)$

using *OProjOrDist* by auto

from 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: odp-d-def)

qed

lemma *FBpAndEqv*:

$$\vdash \text{fbp}(f \wedge g) = (\text{fbp } f \wedge \text{fbp } g)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{fdp } ((\neg f) \vee (\neg g)) = (\text{fdp } (\neg f) \vee \text{fdp } (\neg g))$

using *FDpOrEqv* by auto

hence 2: $\vdash (\neg (\text{fdp } ((\neg f) \vee (\neg g)))) = (\neg(\text{fdp } (\neg f) \vee \text{fdp } (\neg g)))$

by auto

have 3: $\vdash (\neg (\text{fdp } ((\neg f) \vee (\neg g)))) = \text{fbp } (\neg((\neg f) \vee (\neg g)))$

using *NotFDpEqvFBpNot* by blast

have 4: $\vdash (\neg((\neg f) \vee (\neg g))) = (f \wedge g)$

by auto

hence 5: $\vdash \text{fbp}(\neg((\neg f) \vee (\neg g))) = \text{fbp}(f \wedge g)$

by (simp add: *FBpEqvFBpRule*)

have 6: $\vdash (\neg(\text{fdp } (\neg f)) \vee \text{fdp } (\neg g)) = ((\neg(\text{fdp } (\neg f))) \wedge (\neg(\text{fdp } (\neg g))))$

by auto

have 7: $\vdash ((\neg(\text{fdp } (\neg f)) \wedge (\neg(\text{fdp } (\neg g)))) = (\text{fbp } f \wedge \text{fbp } g)$

by (simp add: *fbp-d-def fd़p-d-def ufprojection-d-def*)

show ?thesis

by (metis 2 3 4 6 7 *inteq-reflection*)

qed

lemma *OBpAndEqv*:

$$\vdash \text{obp}(f \wedge g) = (\text{obp } f \wedge \text{obp } g)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{odp } ((\neg f) \vee (\neg g)) = (\text{odp } (\neg f) \vee \text{odp } (\neg g))$

using *ODpOrEqv* by auto

hence 2: $\vdash (\neg (\text{odp } ((\neg f) \vee (\neg g)))) = (\neg(\text{odp } (\neg f)) \vee \text{odp } (\neg g))$

by auto

have 3: $\vdash (\neg (\text{odp } ((\neg f) \vee (\neg g)))) = \text{obp } (\neg((\neg f) \vee (\neg g)))$

using *NotODpEqvOBpNot* by blast

have 4: $\vdash (\neg((\neg f) \vee (\neg g))) = (f \wedge g)$

by auto

hence 5: $\vdash \text{obp}(\neg((\neg f) \vee (\neg g))) = \text{obp}(f \wedge g)$

by (simp add: *OBpEqvOBpRule*)

have 6: $\vdash (\neg(\text{odp } (\neg f)) \vee \text{odp } (\neg g)) = ((\neg(\text{odp } (\neg f))) \wedge (\neg(\text{odp } (\neg g))))$

by auto

have 7: $\vdash ((\neg(\text{odp } (\neg f)) \wedge (\neg(\text{odp } (\neg g)))) = (\text{obp } f \wedge \text{obp } g)$

by (simp add: *obp-d-def odp-d-def uopprojection-d-def*)

show ?thesis

by (metis 2 3 4 6 7 *inteq-reflection*)

qed

```

lemma FDpAndA:
  ⊢ fdp (f ∧ g) → fdp f
proof –
  have 1: ⊢ #True fproj (f ∧ g) → #True fproj f
    by (meson Prop12 RightFProjImpFProj int-iffD1 lift-and-com)
  from 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: fdp-d-def)
qed

lemma ODpAndA:
  ⊢ odp (f ∧ g) → odp f
proof –
  have 1: ⊢ #True oproj (f ∧ g) → #True oproj f
    by (meson Prop12 RightOProjImpOProj int-iffD1 lift-and-com)
  from 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: odp-d-def)
qed

lemma FBpOrA:
  ⊢ fbp f → fbp(f ∨ g)
by (simp add: FBpImpFBpRule intI)

lemma OBpOrA:
  ⊢ obp f → obp(f ∨ g)
by (simp add: OBpImpOBpRule intI)

lemma FBpOrB:
  ⊢ fbp g → fbp(f ∨ g)
by (simp add: FBpImpFBpRule intI)

lemma OBpOrB:
  ⊢ obp g → obp(f ∨ g)
by (simp add: OBpImpOBpRule intI)

lemma FBpOrImpOr:
  ⊢ fbp f ∨ fbp g → fbp(f ∨ g)
using FBpOrA FBpOrB by fastforce

lemma OBpOrImpOr:
  ⊢ obp f ∨ obp g → obp(f ∨ g)
using OBpOrA OBpOrB by fastforce

lemma FDpAndB:
  ⊢ fdp (f ∧ g) → fdp g
proof –
  have 1: ⊢ #True fproj (f ∧ g) → #True fproj g
    by (meson Prop12 RightFProjImpFProj int-iffD2 lift-and-com)
  from 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: fdp-d-def)
qed

lemma ODpAndB:
  ⊢ odp (f ∧ g) → odp g

```

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \#True \text{ oproj } (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow \#True \text{ oproj } g$
by (meson Prop12 RightOPProjImpOProj int-iffD2 lift-and-com)
from 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: odp-d-def)
qed

lemma FDpAndImpAnd:

$\vdash fdp (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow fdp f \wedge fdp g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash fdp (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow fdp f$ by (rule FDpAndA)
have 2: $\vdash fdp (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow fdp g$ by (rule FDpAndB)
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma ODpAndImpAnd:

$\vdash odp (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow odp f \wedge odp g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash odp (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow odp f$ by (rule ODpAndA)
have 2: $\vdash odp (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow odp g$ by (rule ODpAndB)
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma FDpSkipEqvMore:

$\vdash fdp \text{ skip } = (\text{more} \wedge \text{finite})$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash fdp \text{ skip } = \#True \text{ fproj skip}$
by (simp add: fdp-d-def)
have 2: $\vdash \#True \text{ fproj skip } = (\#True \wedge \text{more} \wedge \text{finite})$
using PJ3 by blast
have 3: $\vdash (\#True \wedge \text{more}) = \text{more}$
by auto
from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma FDpMoreEqvMore:

$\vdash fdp \text{ more } = (\text{more} \wedge \text{finite})$
using FDpFDpEqvFDp FDpSkipEqvMore
by (metis PJ03 fdp-d-def inteq-reflection)

lemma ODpMoreEqvInf:

$\vdash odp \text{ more } = (\text{inf})$

by (metis MoreAndInfEqvInf NowImpODp OPJ01 int-iffI inteq-reflection odp-d-def)

lemma FBpEmptyEqvEmpty:

$\vdash fbp \text{ empty } = (\text{empty} \vee \text{inf})$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash fbp \text{ empty } = (\neg (fdp \text{ more}))$
by (metis NotFDpEqvFBpNot Prop11 empty-d-def)
have 2: $\vdash (\neg (fdp \text{ more})) = (\neg (\text{more} \wedge \text{finite}))$

```

using FDpMoreEqvMore by auto
have 3:  $\vdash (\neg (more \wedge finite)) = (empty \vee inf)$ 
  unfolding finite-d-def empty-d-def by fastforce
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 2 3 int-eq)
qed

lemma OBpEmptyEqvFinite:
 $\vdash obp\ empty = (finite)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash obp\ empty = (\neg (odp\ more))$ 
  by (metis NotODpEqvOBpNot Prop11 empty-d-def)
have 2:  $\vdash (\neg (odp\ more)) = (\neg (inf))$ 
  using ODpMoreEqvInf by auto
have 3:  $\vdash (\neg (inf)) = (finite)$ 
  unfolding finite-d-def by fastforce
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 2 3 int-eq)
qed

lemma FDpEmptyEqvEmpty:
 $\vdash fdp\ empty = empty$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash fdp\ empty = \#True\ fproj\ empty$ 
  by (simp add: fdp-d-def)
have 2:  $\vdash \#True\ fproj\ empty = empty$ 
  by (simp add: PJ2)
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma NotODpEmpty:
 $\vdash \neg(odp\ empty)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash odp\ empty = \#True\ oproj\ empty$ 
  by (simp add: odp-d-def)
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 OPJ2 int-eq)
qed

lemma FBpMoreEqvMore:
 $\vdash fbp\ more = more$ 
by (metis NotFDBpEqvFDpNot PJ2 empty-d-def fdp-d-def int-eq int-simps(4))

lemma OBpMore:
 $\vdash obp\ more$ 
by (metis OPJ2 empty-d-def obp-d-def uoposition-d-def)

lemma NextFDpImpFDpNext:

```

$\vdash \circ (fdp f) \longrightarrow fdp (\circ f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash fdp(\circ f) = \#True\ fproj\ (skip;f)$
by (simp add: fdp-d-def next-d-def)
have 2: $\vdash \#True\ fproj\ (skip;f) = (\#True\ fproj\ skip);(\#True\ fproj\ f)$
by (simp add: PJ4)
have 3: $\vdash (\#True\ fproj\ skip) = (\#True \wedge more \wedge finite)$
using PJ3 **by** blast
have 4: $\vdash (\#True \wedge more) = more$
by auto
have 40: $\vdash skip \longrightarrow more$
by (metis DiIntro DiSkipEqvMore int-eq)
have 41: $\vdash skip \longrightarrow finite$
by (metis AndChopB EmptySChop FiniteChopSkipImpFinite Prop11 lift-imp-trans schop-d-def)
have 5: $\vdash skip;(\#True\ fproj\ f) \longrightarrow (more \wedge finite);(\#True\ fproj\ f)$
by (simp add: 40 41 LeftChopImpChop Prop12)
show ?thesis **by** (metis 2 5 FDpSkipEqvMore fdp-d-def inteq-reflection next-d-def)
qed

lemma NextODpImpODpNext:
 $\vdash \circ (odp f) \longrightarrow odp (\circ f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash odp(\circ f) = \#True\ oproj\ (skip;f)$
by (simp add: odp-d-def next-d-def)
have 10: $\vdash (skip \wedge finite) = skip$
by (metis FiniteChopEqvDiamond FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite NowImpDiamond Prop10 Prop11 SkipChopFiniteImpFinite lift-imp-trans)
have 2: $\vdash \#True\ oproj\ (skip;f) = (\#True\ fproj\ skip);(\#True\ oproj\ f)$
using OPJ4 **by** (metis 10 inteq-reflection)
have 3: $\vdash (\#True\ fproj\ skip) = (\#True \wedge more \wedge finite)$
using PJ3 **by** blast
have 4: $\vdash (\#True \wedge more) = more$
by auto
have 40: $\vdash skip \longrightarrow more$
by (metis DiIntro DiSkipEqvMore int-eq)
have 41: $\vdash skip \longrightarrow finite$
by (metis AndChopB EmptySChop FiniteChopSkipImpFinite Prop11 lift-imp-trans schop-d-def)
have 5: $\vdash skip;(\#True\ oproj\ f) \longrightarrow (more \wedge finite);(\#True\ oproj\ f)$
by (simp add: 40 41 LeftChopImpChop Prop12)
show ?thesis **by** (metis 2 5 FDpSkipEqvMore fdp-d-def inteq-reflection next-d-def odp-d-def)
qed

lemma BoxStateImportFBp:
 $\vdash \square(init w) \longrightarrow fbp (\square(init w))$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash fbp (\square(init w)) = (\neg(fdp (\diamond (\neg(init w)))))$
by (metis NotFDpEqvFBpNot always-d-def int-eq)
have 2: $\vdash (\diamond (\neg(init w))) = (finite;(\neg(init w)))$
by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)
have 3: $\vdash fdp (finite;(\neg(init w))) = (fdp finite); (fdp (\neg(init w)))$

```

by (simp add: PJ4 fdp-d-def)
have 4:  $\vdash (\text{fdp finite}) = \text{finite}$ 
by (metis EmptyOrMoreSplit FDpEmptyEqvEmpty FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty FiniteChopSkipEqvFinite-AndMore
      FiniteChopSkipImpFinite NowImpFDp PJ01 Prop02 Prop10 fdp-d-def int-iffI inteq-reflection)
have 5:  $\vdash (\text{fdp } (\neg(\text{init } w))) = ((\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
by (metis FDpState Initprop(2) inteq-reflection)
have 6:  $\vdash \text{finite}; ((\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow \diamond(\neg(\text{init } w))$ 
by (metis 2 ChopAndA inteq-reflection)
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 2 3 4 5 6 always-d-def inteq-reflection lift-imp-neg)
qed

lemma BoxStateImportOBp:
 $\vdash \square(\text{init } w) \longrightarrow \text{obp } (\square(\text{init } w))$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash \text{obp } (\square(\text{init } w)) = (\neg(\text{odp } (\diamond(\neg(\text{init } w)))))$ 
by (metis NotODpEqvOBpNot always-d-def int-eq)
have 2:  $\vdash (\diamond(\neg(\text{init } w))) = (\text{finite}; (\neg(\text{init } w)))$ 
by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash \text{odp } (\text{finite}; (\neg(\text{init } w))) = (\text{fdp finite}); (\text{odp } (\neg(\text{init } w)))$ 
unfolding odp-d-def fdp-d-def
using OPJ4[of LIFT # True LIFT finite LIFT  $(\neg(\text{init } w))$ ]
by (simp add: OPJ4 odp-d-def fdp-d-def)
have 4:  $\vdash (\text{fdp finite}) = \text{finite}$ 
by (metis EmptyOrMoreSplit FDpEmptyEqvEmpty FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty FiniteChopSkipEqvFinite-AndMore
      FiniteChopSkipImpFinite NowImpFDp PJ01 Prop02 Prop10 fdp-d-def int-iffI inteq-reflection)
have 5:  $\vdash (\text{odp } (\neg(\text{init } w))) = ((\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{inf})$ 
by (metis ODpState Initprop(2) inteq-reflection)
have 6:  $\vdash \text{finite}; ((\neg(\text{init } w)) \wedge \text{inf}) \longrightarrow \diamond(\neg(\text{init } w))$ 
by (metis 2 ChopAndA inteq-reflection)
show ?thesis by (metis 1 2 3 4 5 6 always-d-def int-eq lift-imp-neg)
qed

lemma BoxStateEqvFBpBoxState:
 $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow \square (\text{init } w) = \text{fbp}(\square (\text{init } w))$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow \text{fbp}(\square (\text{init } w)) \longrightarrow \square (\text{init } w)$ 
by (metis FBpElim Prop09 inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
have 2:  $\vdash \text{fbp}(\square (\text{init } w)) = (\neg(\# \text{True } \text{fproj } (\neg \square (\text{init } w))))$ 
by (simp add: fbp-d-def ufprojection-d-def)
have 2:  $\vdash \square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \text{fdp } (\square(\text{init } w))$ 
by (metis NowImpFDp)
have 2:  $\vdash \square (\text{init } w) \longrightarrow \text{fbp}(\square (\text{init } w))$ 
using BoxStateImportFBp by auto
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma BoxStateEqvOBpBoxState:
```

```

 $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow \square (\text{init } w) = \text{obp}(\square (\text{init } w))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow \text{obp}(\square (\text{init } w)) \longrightarrow \square (\text{init } w)$ 
    by (metis OBpElim Prop09 inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
  have 2:  $\vdash \text{obp}(\square (\text{init } w)) = (\neg(\# \text{True oproj} (\neg \square (\text{init } w))))$ 
    by (simp add: obp-d-def uoprojection-d-def)
  have 2:  $\vdash \square(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{inf} \longrightarrow \text{odp} (\square(\text{init } w))$ 
    by (metis NowImpODp)
  have 2:  $\vdash \square (\text{init } w) \longrightarrow \text{obp}(\square (\text{init } w))$ 
  using BoxStateImportOBp by auto
  from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

end

```

11 Infinite and Finite Interval Temporal Algebra

We have given an algebraic axiom system for Interval Temporal Logic: Interval Temporal Algebra. The axiom system is a combination of a variant of Kleene algebra and Omega algebra plus axioms for linearity and confluence. Kleene algebra and Omega algebra have been defined by Alasdair Armstrong, Georg Struth and Tjark Weber in [1].

```

theory ITA
imports Semantics Chopstar Omega
begin

```

11.1 Definition of Set of intervals and Operations on them

type-synonym '*a iintervals* = '*a nellist set*

```

definition lan :: ('a:: world) formula  $\Rightarrow$  'a iintervals
where lan f = {  $\sigma$  . ( $\sigma \models f$ ) }

```

```

definition fusion :: 'a iintervals  $\Rightarrow$  'a iintervals  $\Rightarrow$  'a iintervals (infixl · 70)
where X · Y = {  $\sigma$  .
  ( $\exists \sigma_1 \sigma_2. \sigma = \text{nfuse } \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma_1 \wedge$ 
  ( $\sigma_1 \in X \wedge \sigma_2 \in Y \wedge (\text{nlast } \sigma_1 = \text{nfirst } \sigma_2)$ )
   $\vee (\neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge \sigma \in X)$  }

```

```

definition sempty :: 'a iintervals (SEmpty)
where
  SEmpty  $\equiv$  {  $\sigma$  . nlength  $\sigma = 0$  }

```

```

definition smore :: 'a iintervals (SMore)
where
  SMore  $\equiv$  - SEmpty

```

```

definition sskip :: 'a iintervals (SSkip)
where
  SSkip  $\equiv$  - (SEmpty  $\cup$  (SMore · SMore))

```

definition *sfalse* :: 'a iintervals (*SFalse*)

where

$$S\text{False} \equiv \{\}$$

definition *strue* :: 'a iintervals (*STrue*)

where

$$S\text{True} \equiv -\{\}$$

definition *sinit* :: 'a iintervals \Rightarrow 'a iintervals ((*SInit* -) [85] 85)

where

$$S\text{Init } X \equiv (X \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot S\text{True}$$

definition *sinf* :: 'a iintervals (*SInf*)

where *SInf* \equiv *STrue* \cdot *SFalse*

definition *sfinite* :: 'a iintervals (*SFinite*)

where *SFinite* \equiv $- S\text{Inf}$

definition *sfmore* :: 'a iintervals (*SFMore*)

where *SFMore* \equiv *SFinite* \cap *SMore*

definition *sfin* :: 'a iintervals \Rightarrow 'a iintervals ((*SFin* -) [85] 85)

where

$$S\text{Fin } X \equiv S\text{Finite} \cdot (X \cap S\text{Empty})$$

definition *ssometime* :: 'a iintervals \Rightarrow 'a iintervals ((*SSometime* -) [85] 85)

where

$$S\text{Sometime } X \equiv S\text{Finite} \cdot X$$

definition *salways* :: 'a iintervals \Rightarrow 'a iintervals ((*SAlways* -) [85] 85)

where

$$S\text{Always } X \equiv -(S\text{Sometime} (-X))$$

definition *sdi* :: 'a iintervals \Rightarrow 'a iintervals ((*SDi* -) [85] 85)

where

$$S\text{Di } X \equiv X \cdot S\text{True}$$

definition *sbi* :: 'a iintervals \Rightarrow 'a iintervals ((*SBi* -) [85] 85)

where

$$S\text{Bi } X \equiv -(S\text{Di} (-X))$$

definition *sda* :: 'a iintervals \Rightarrow 'a iintervals ((*SDa* -) [85] 85)

where

$$S\text{Da } X \equiv S\text{Finite} \cdot X \cdot S\text{True}$$

definition *sba* :: 'a iintervals \Rightarrow 'a iintervals ((*SBa* -) [85] 85)

where

$$S\text{Ba } X \equiv -(S\text{Da} (-X))$$

definition *snext* :: '*a* *iintervals* \Rightarrow '*a* *iintervals* ((*SNext* -) [85] 85)

where

$$SNext X \equiv SSkip \cdot X$$

definition *swnext* :: '*a* *iintervals* \Rightarrow '*a* *iintervals* ((*SWnext* -) [85] 85)

where

$$SWnext X \equiv -(SSkip \cdot (-X))$$

definition *sprev* :: '*a* *iintervals* \Rightarrow '*a* *iintervals* ((*SPrev* -) [85] 85)

where

$$SPrev X \equiv X \cdot SSkip$$

definition *swprev* :: '*a* *iintervals* \Rightarrow '*a* *iintervals* ((*SWprev* -) [85] 85)

where

$$SWprev X \equiv -((-X) \cdot SSkip)$$

primrec *spower* :: '*a* *iintervals* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow '*a* *iintervals* ((*SPower* - -) [88,88] 87)

where

$$\begin{aligned} pwr-0 &: SPower X 0 = SEmpty \\ | \quad pwr-Suc: SPower X (Suc n) &= ((X \cap SFinite) \cdot (SPower X n)) \end{aligned}$$

definition *sfpowerstar* :: '*a* *iintervals* \Rightarrow '*a* *iintervals* ((*SFPowerstar* -) [85] 85)

where

$$SFPowerstar X \equiv (\bigcup n. SPower X n)$$

definition *spowerstar* :: '*a* *iintervals* \Rightarrow '*a* *iintervals* ((*SPowerstar* -) [85] 85)

where

$$SPowerstar X \equiv (SFPowerstar X) \cdot (SEmpty \cup (X \cap SInf))$$

definition *sstar* :: '*a* *iintervals* \Rightarrow '*a* *iintervals* ((*SStar* -) [85] 85)

where

$$SStar X \equiv SPowerstar(X \cap SMore)$$

11.2 Simplification Lemmas

lemma *snot-elim* :

$$x \in -X \longleftrightarrow x \notin X$$

by *simp*

lemma *sor-elim* :

$$x \in (X \cup Y) \longleftrightarrow (x \in X \vee x \in Y)$$

by *simp*

lemma *sand-elim* :

$$x \in (X \cap Y) \longleftrightarrow (x \in X \wedge x \in Y)$$

by *simp*

lemma *sfalse-elim* :

$$\sigma \notin SFalse$$

by (*simp add: sfalse-def*)

```

lemma strue-elim :
   $\sigma \in S\text{True}$ 
by (simp add: strue-def)

lemma sempty-elim :
   $\sigma \in S\text{Empty} \longleftrightarrow (\text{nlength } \sigma = 0)$ 
by (simp add: sempty-def)

lemma smore-elim :
   $\sigma \in S\text{More} \longleftrightarrow (\text{nlength } \sigma > 0)$ 
by (simp add: sempty-elim smore-def)

lemma fusion-iff:
   $\sigma \in X \cdot Y \longleftrightarrow$ 
  (
   $(\exists \sigma_1 \sigma_2. \sigma = \text{nfuse } \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma_1 \wedge$ 
     $(\sigma_1 \in X) \wedge (\sigma_2 \in Y) \wedge (\text{nlast } \sigma_1 = \text{nfirst } \sigma_2))$ 
   $\vee (\neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge \sigma \in X)$ 
  )
by (unfold fusion-def) auto

lemma fusion-iff-1:
   $\sigma \in X \cdot Y \longleftrightarrow$ 
  (
   $(\exists n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma. (\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \in X) \wedge (\text{ndropn } n \sigma \in Y)$ 
   $\vee (\neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \wedge \sigma \in X)$ 
  )
using fusion-iff[of  $\sigma$  X Y] nfuse-ntaken-ndropn[of -  $\sigma$ ]
by (simp add: chop-nfuse-2)

lemma smore-fusion-smore :
   $\sigma \in (S\text{More} \cdot S\text{More}) \longleftrightarrow (\text{enat } 1) < \text{nlength } \sigma)$ 
using fusion-iff-1[of  $\sigma$  SMore SMore]
proof (auto simp add: smore-elim)
  show  $\bigwedge n. \sigma \in S\text{More} \cdot S\text{More} \implies$ 
     $\text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \implies$ 
     $\text{enat } n \neq 0 \implies$ 
     $\text{nlength } \sigma - \text{enat } n \neq 0 \implies \text{enat } na \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \implies \text{enat } na \neq 0 \implies$ 
     $\text{nlength } \sigma \neq 0 \implies \text{nlength } \sigma - \text{enat } na \neq 0 \implies$ 
     $\text{enat } (\text{Suc } 0) < \text{nlength } \sigma$ 
by (metis One-nat-def antisym-conv3 enat-ord-code(4) idiff-self ileI1 le-less-trans less-le
  not-iless0 one-eSuc one-enat-def)
  show  $\bigwedge n. \sigma \in S\text{More} \cdot S\text{More} \implies$ 
     $\text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \implies$ 
     $\text{enat } n \neq 0 \implies \text{nlength } \sigma - \text{enat } n \neq 0 \implies$ 
     $\neg \text{nfinite } \sigma \implies$ 
     $\text{nlength } \sigma \neq 0 \implies$ 
     $\text{enat } (\text{Suc } 0) < \text{nlength } \sigma$ 

```

```

by (metis Suc-ile-eq i0-less nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD order.not-eq-order-implies-strict
      zero-enat-def)
show  $\bigwedge n. \sigma \in SMore \cdot SMore \Rightarrow$ 
   $\neg nfinite \sigma \Rightarrow$ 
   $enat n \leq nlength \sigma \Rightarrow$ 
   $enat n \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ 
   $nlength \sigma \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ 
   $nlength \sigma - enat n \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ 
   $enat (Suc 0) < nlength \sigma$ 
by (metis Suc-ile-eq i0-less nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD order.not-eq-order-implies-strict zero-enat-def)
show  $\sigma \in SMore \cdot SMore \Rightarrow \neg nfinite \sigma \Rightarrow nlength \sigma \neq 0 \Rightarrow enat (Suc 0) < nlength \sigma$ 
by (metis One-nat-def ileI1 linorder-cases nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD not-less-zero one-eSuc
      one-enat-def order.not-eq-order-implies-strict)
show  $\sigma \notin SMore \cdot SMore \Rightarrow$ 
   $enat (Suc 0) < nlength \sigma \Rightarrow$ 
   $\forall n. enat n \leq nlength \sigma \rightarrow enat n = 0 \vee nlength \sigma = 0 \vee nlength \sigma - enat n = 0 \Rightarrow$ 
   $nfinite \sigma \Rightarrow$ 
   $False$ 
proof –
assume a1:  $enat (Suc 0) < nlength \sigma$ 
assume nfinite σ
assume a2:  $\forall n. enat n \leq nlength \sigma \rightarrow enat n = 0 \vee nlength \sigma = 0 \vee nlength \sigma - enat n = 0$ 
have enat (Suc 0) = 1
  using One-nat-def one-enat-def by presburger
then have f3:  $enat 1 < nlength \sigma$ 
  using a1 one-enat-def by presburger
have f4:  $enat 1 \leq enat 1$ 
  by blast
have enat 1 ≠ ∞
  by blast
then show False
  using f4 f3 a2
  by (metis (no-types) dual-order.strict-iff-order enat-diff-cancel-left
      gr-implies-not-zero idiff-self one-enat-def zero-neq-one)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma sskip-elim :
 $\sigma \in SSkip \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(nlength \sigma = 1)$ 
using sskip-def smore-fusion-smore
by (metis One-nat-def Suc-ile-eq less-numeral-extra(4) one-enat-def order.not-eq-order-implies-strict
      sempty-elim smore-def smore-elim snot-elim sor-elim zero-enat-def zero-one-enat-neq(1))

```

```

lemma sinfinite-elim:
 $\sigma \in SInf \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\neg nfinite \sigma)$ 
by (simp add: fusion-iff-1 sfalse-elim sinf-def strue-elim)

```

```

lemma sfinite-elim:

```

```

 $\sigma \in SFinite \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(nfinite \sigma)$ 
by (simp add: sfinite-def sinfinite-elim )

lemma ffusion-iff:
 $\sigma \in (X \cap SFinite) \cdot Y \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $($ 
 $(\exists \sigma_1 \sigma_2. \sigma = nfuse \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \wedge nfinite \sigma_1 \wedge$ 
 $(\sigma_1 \in X) \wedge (\sigma_2 \in Y) \wedge (nlast \sigma_1 = nfirst \sigma_2))$ 
 $)$ 
unfolding fusion-def
by (simp add: sfinite-elim) blast

```

```

lemma ffusion-iff-1:
 $\sigma \in (X \cap SFinite) \cdot Y \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $((\exists n \leq nlength \sigma. (ntaken n \sigma) \in X) \wedge ((ndropn n \sigma) \in Y))$ 
 $)$ 
 $)$ 
using fusion-iff-1[of  $\sigma$   $X \cap SFinite$   $Y$ ]
by (meson nfinite-ntaken sand-elim sfinite-elim)

```

```

lemma sfmore-elim:
 $\sigma \in SFMore \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(nfinite \sigma \wedge 0 < nlength \sigma)$ 
by (simp add: sfinite-elim sfmore-def smore-elim )

```

```

lemma spower-elim-zero :
 $\sigma \in SPower X 0 \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in SEmpty$ 
by simp

```

```

lemma spower-elim-suc :
 $\sigma \in SPower X (Suc n) \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in (X \cap SFinite) \cdot (SPower X n)$ 
by simp

```

```

lemma spower-elim-suc-1 :
 $\sigma \in (X \cap SFinite) \cdot (SPower X n) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists \sigma_1 \sigma_2. \sigma = nfuse \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \wedge nfinite \sigma_1 \wedge$ 
 $\sigma_1 \in X \wedge \sigma_2 \in (SPower X n) \wedge$ 
 $nlast \sigma_1 = nfirst \sigma_2)$ 
 $)$ 
by (simp add: ffusion-iff)

```

```

lemma ffusionimp:
assumes  $Y0 \subseteq Y1$ 
shows  $\sigma \in (X \cap SFinite) \cdot Y0 \longrightarrow \sigma \in (X \cap SFinite) \cdot Y1$ 
using assms unfolding ffusion-iff-1 by (auto)

```

```

lemma spower-finite:
 $(SPower X n) \subseteq SFinite$ 
proof (induct n)

```

```

case 0
then show ?case
  by (metis nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD sempty-elim sfinite-elim spower.simps(1) subsetI zero-enat-def)
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
  proof -
    have 1: (SPower X (Suc n)) = (X ∩ SFinite) · (SPower X n)
      by simp
    have 2: SPower X n ⊆ SFinite
      using Suc.hyps by blast
    have 3: (X ∩ SFinite) · SFinite ⊆ SFinite
      using ffusion-iff-1[ of - X SFinite]
      by (simp add: sfinite-elim)
        (metis ComplI sfinite-def sinfinite-elim subsetI)
    have 4: (X ∩ SFinite) · (SPower X n) ⊆ (X ∩ SFinite) · SFinite
      using Suc.hyps ffusionimp by blast
    show ?thesis
      using 3 4 by auto
  qed
qed

lemma sfpowerstar-elim:
 $\sigma \in S\text{Powerstar } X \longleftrightarrow (\exists n. \sigma \in S\text{Power } X n)$ 
by (simp add: sfpowerstar-def)

lemma sfpowerstar-elim-1:
 $(\exists n. \sigma \in S\text{Power } X n) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \in S\text{Power } X 0 \vee (\exists n. \sigma \in S\text{Power } X (\text{Suc } n)))$ 
by (metis not0-implies-Suc)

lemma sfpowerstar-suc:
 $(\exists n. \sigma \in S\text{Power } X (\text{Suc } n)) \longleftrightarrow (\exists n. \sigma \in (X \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot (S\text{Power } X n))$ 
by simp

lemma sfpowerstar-suc-1:
 $(\exists n. \sigma \in (X \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot (S\text{Power } X n)) \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in (X \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot (S\text{Powerstar } X)$ 
unfolding fusion-iff
by (cases σ) (auto simp add: sfpowerstar-elim)

lemma sfpowerstar-equiv-sem:
 $\sigma \in S\text{Powerstar } X \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \in S\text{Empty} \vee \sigma \in (X \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot (S\text{Powerstar } X))$ 
by (simp add: sfpowerstar-elim sfpowerstar-elim-1 sfpowerstar-suc-1)

lemma sfpowerstar-equiv:
 $S\text{Powerstar } X = S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot (S\text{Powerstar } X)$ 
using sfpowerstar-equiv-sem by blast

lemma sfpowerstar-equiv-1:
 $(\bigcup n. S\text{Power } X n) = S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot (\bigcup n. S\text{Power } X n)$ 
using sfpowerstar-equiv by (simp add: sfpowerstar-def)

```

11.3 Algebraic Laws

11.3.1 Commutative Additive Monoid

lemma *UnionCommute*:

$$(X :: 'a iintervals) \cup Y = Y \cup X$$

by (*simp add: Un-commute*)

lemma *UnionSFalse*:

$$X \cup SFalse = X$$

by (*simp add: sfalse-def*)

lemma *UnionAssoc*:

$$(X :: 'a iintervals) \cup (Y \cup Z) = (X \cup Y) \cup Z$$

by (*simp add: sup-assoc*)

11.3.2 Boolean algebra

lemma *Huntington*:

$$(X :: 'a iintervals) = -(-X \cup -Y) \cup -(-X \cup Y)$$

by *auto*

lemma *Morgan*:

$$(X :: 'a iintervals) \cap Y = -(-X \cup -Y)$$

by *auto*

— identities

lemma *STrueTop*:

$$STrue = X \cup -X$$

by (*simp add: strue-def*)

lemma *SFalseBottom*:

$$SFalse = X \cap -X$$

by (*simp add: sfalse-def*)

11.3.3 multiplicative monoid

lemma *FusionSEmptyLsem*:

$$\sigma \in SEmpty \cdot X \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in X$$

using *fusion-iff-1*[*of σ SEmpty X*]

by (*auto simp add: fusion-iff-1 sempty-elim nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD zero-enat-def*)

(*metis le-numeral-extra(3) min.bounded-iff min-enat-simps(3) ndropn-0 zero-enat-def,*
metis le-numeral-extra(3) min.bounded-iff min-enat-simps(3) ndropn-0 zero-enat-def)

lemma *FusionSEmptyL* :

$$SEmpty \cdot X = X$$

using *set-eqI*[*of SEmpty · X X*] *FusionSEmptyLsem*

by *auto*

lemma *FusionSEmptyRsem* :

$$\sigma \in X \cdot SEmpty \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in X$$

```

using fusion-iff-1[of σ X SEmpty]
by (auto simp add: fusion-iff-1 sempty-elim )
  (metis is-NNil-ndropn le-numeral-extra(3) ndropn-0 ndropn-nlength ntaken-all zero-enat-def,
   metis add.right-neutral le-iff-add ndropn-all ndropn-nlength nfinite-nlength-enat nlength-NNil
   ntaken-all)

lemma FusionSEmptyR :
  X · SEmpty = X
using set-eqI[of X·SEmpty X]  FusionSEmptyRsem by auto

lemma FusionAssocA:
assumes x ∈ X · (Y · Z)
shows x ∈ (X · Y) · Z
proof -
have 1: (∃σ1 σ2. x = nfuse σ1 σ2 ∧ nfinite σ1 ∧ σ1 ∈ X ∧ σ2 ∈ Y · Z ∧ nlast σ1 = nfirst σ2)
  ∨ (¬ nfinite x ∧ x ∈ X)
  using assms fusion-iff[of x X Y · Z] by auto
have 2: ¬ nfinite x ∧ x ∈ X ==> x ∈ (X · Y) · Z
  by (simp add: fusion-iff)
have 3: (∃σ1 σ2. x = nfuse σ1 σ2 ∧ nfinite σ1 ∧ σ1 ∈ X ∧ σ2 ∈ Y · Z ∧ nlast σ1 = nfirst σ2) ==>
  x ∈ (X · Y) · Z
proof -
assume a0: (∃σ1 σ2. x = nfuse σ1 σ2 ∧ nfinite σ1 ∧ σ1 ∈ X ∧ σ2 ∈ Y · Z ∧ nlast σ1 = nfirst σ2)
show x ∈ (X · Y) · Z
proof -
obtain σ1 σ2 where 5:
  x = nfuse σ1 σ2 ∧ nfinite σ1 ∧ σ1 ∈ X ∧ σ2 ∈ Y · Z ∧ nlast σ1 = nfirst σ2
  using a0 by auto
have 6: (∃σ3 σ4. σ2 = nfuse σ3 σ4 ∧ nfinite σ3 ∧ σ3 ∈ Y ∧ σ4 ∈ Z ∧ nlast σ3 = nfirst σ4) ∨
  (¬ nfinite σ2 ∧ σ2 ∈ Y)
  using fusion-iff[of σ2 Y Z] 5 by simp
have 7: (¬ nfinite σ2 ∧ σ2 ∈ Y) ==> x ∈ (X · Y) · Z
  by (metis 5 fusion-iff ndropn-nfuse nfinite-ndropn)
have 8: (∃σ3 σ4. σ2 = nfuse σ3 σ4 ∧ nfinite σ3 ∧ σ3 ∈ Y ∧ σ4 ∈ Z ∧ nlast σ3 = nfirst σ4) ==>
  x ∈ (X · Y) · Z
proof -
assume a1: (∃σ3 σ4. σ2 = nfuse σ3 σ4 ∧ nfinite σ3 ∧ σ3 ∈ Y ∧ σ4 ∈ Z ∧ nlast σ3 = nfirst σ4)
show x ∈ (X · Y) · Z
proof -
obtain σ3 σ4 where 10:
  σ2 = nfuse σ3 σ4 ∧ nfinite σ3 ∧ σ3 ∈ Y ∧ σ4 ∈ Z ∧ nlast σ3 = nfirst σ4
  using a1 by auto
have 11: x = nfuse σ1 (nfuse σ3 σ4)
  using 10 5 by blast
have 12: x = nfuse (nfuse σ1 σ3) σ4
  by (simp add: 10 5 nfirst-nfuse nfuseassoc)
have 13: (nfuse σ1 σ3) ∈ X · Y
  using fusion-iff[of (nfuse σ1 σ3) X Y]
  using 10 5 nfirst-nfuse by fastforce
show ?thesis using fusion-iff[of x X · Y Z]

```

```

using 10 12 13 5
by (metis nfuse-nlength nfinite-nlength-enat nfirst-nfuse nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD
      plus-enat-simps(1) nlast-nfuse)
qed
qed
show ?thesis
using 6 7 8 by blast
qed
qed
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 by blast
qed

```

lemma FusionAssocB:

assumes $x \in (X \cdot Y) \cdot Z$

shows $x \in X \cdot (Y \cdot Z)$

proof –

have 1: $(\exists \sigma_1 \sigma_2. x = \text{nfuse } \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_1 \in X \cdot Y \wedge \sigma_2 \in Z \wedge \text{nlast } \sigma_1 = \text{nfirst } \sigma_2) \vee$
 $(\neg \text{nfinite } x \wedge x \in X \cdot Y)$

using assms fusion-iff[of x X·Y Z] **by** auto

have 2: $(\neg \text{nfinite } x \wedge x \in X \cdot Y) \implies x \in X \cdot (Y \cdot Z)$

by (metis fusion-iff-1 nfinite-ndropn-b)

have 3: $(\exists \sigma_1 \sigma_2. x = \text{nfuse } \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_1 \in X \cdot Y \wedge \sigma_2 \in Z \wedge \text{nlast } \sigma_1 = \text{nfirst } \sigma_2) \implies$
 $x \in X \cdot (Y \cdot Z)$

proof –

assume a0: $(\exists \sigma_1 \sigma_2. x = \text{nfuse } \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_1 \in X \cdot Y \wedge \sigma_2 \in Z \wedge \text{nlast } \sigma_1 = \text{nfirst } \sigma_2)$

show $x \in X \cdot (Y \cdot Z)$

proof –

obtain $\sigma_1 \sigma_2$ **where** 4:

$x = \text{nfuse } \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_1 \in X \cdot Y \wedge \sigma_2 \in Z \wedge \text{nlast } \sigma_1 = \text{nfirst } \sigma_2$

using a0 **by** auto

have 5: $\exists \sigma_3 \sigma_4. \sigma_1 = \text{nfuse } \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma_3 \wedge \sigma_3 \in X \wedge \sigma_4 \in Y \wedge \text{nlast } \sigma_3 = \text{nfirst } \sigma_4$

using 4 fusion-iff[of σ1 X Y]

using nfuse-nappend **by** fastforce

obtain $\sigma_3 \sigma_4$ **where** 6:

$\sigma_1 = \text{nfuse } \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \wedge \text{nfinite } \sigma_3 \wedge \sigma_3 \in X \wedge \sigma_4 \in Y \wedge \text{nlast } \sigma_3 = \text{nfirst } \sigma_4$

using 5 **by** auto

have 7: $x = \text{nfuse } (\text{nfuse } \sigma_3 \sigma_4) \sigma_2$

by (simp add: 4 6)

have 8: $x = \text{nfuse } \sigma_3 (\text{nfuse } \sigma_4 \sigma_2)$

using 4 6 nfuseassoc **by** metis

have 9: $(\text{nfuse } \sigma_4 \sigma_2) \in Y \cdot Z$

using fusion-iff[of (nfuse σ4 σ2) Y Z]

by (metis 4 6 nfuse-nappend nlast-nfuse)

have 10: $\text{nlast } \sigma_3 = \text{nfirst } (\text{nfuse } \sigma_4 \sigma_2)$

using 4 6 nfirst-nfuse nlast-nfuse **by** fastforce

show ?thesis

using fusion-iff[of x X Y·Z]

using 10 6 8 9 **by** auto

qed

```

qed
show ?thesis
  using 1 2 3    by blast
qed

lemma FusionAssoc :
   $X \cdot (Y \cdot Z) = (X \cdot Y) \cdot Z$ 
using set-eqI[of  $X \cdot (Y \cdot Z)$   $(X \cdot Y) \cdot Z$ ]
FusionAssocA FusionAssocB by blast

```

lemma FusionAssoc1:

$(X \cdot Y) \cdot Z = X \cdot (Y \cdot Z)$
by (simp add: FusionAssoc)

— left and right distributivity

```

lemma FusionUnionDistLsem:
   $x \in (X \cup Y) \cdot Z \longleftrightarrow x \in (X \cdot Z) \cup (Y \cdot Z)$ 
by (auto simp add: fusion-iff)

```

lemma FusionUnionDistL:

$(X \cup Y) \cdot Z = (X \cdot Z) \cup (Y \cdot Z)$
using FusionUnionDistLsem[of - X Y Z] **by** fastforce

lemma FusionUnionDistRsem:

$x \in X \cdot (Y \cup Z) \longleftrightarrow x \in (X \cdot Y) \cup (X \cdot Z)$
by (auto simp add: fusion-iff)

lemma FusionUnionDistR:

$X \cdot (Y \cup Z) = (X \cdot Y) \cup (X \cdot Z)$
using FusionUnionDistRsem[of - X Y Z] **by** fastforce

— left and right annihilation

lemma SFalseFusion:

$S\text{False} \cdot X = S\text{False}$
by (simp add: fusion-def sfalse-def)

lemma FusionSFalse:

$X \cdot S\text{False} = (X \cap S\text{Inf})$
by (auto simp add: fusion-def sfalse-def sinfinite-elim)

— idempotency

lemma UnionIdem:

$(X :: 'a iintervals) \cup X = X$
by simp

11.3.4 Subsumption order

```
lemma Subsumption:
  ((X :: 'a iintervals) ⊆ Y) = (X ∪ Y = Y)
by auto
```

11.3.5 Helper lemmas

```
lemma FusionRuleR:
  assumes X ⊆ Y
  shows Z · X ⊆ Z · Y
using assms FusionUnionDistR by (metis Subsumption)
```

```
lemma FusionRuleL:
  assumes X ⊆ Y
  shows X · Z ⊆ Y · Z
using assms by (metis FusionUnionDistL subset-Un-eq)
```

```
lemma spower-commutes:
  (X ∩ SFinite) · (SPower X n) = (SPower X n) · (X ∩ SFinite)
proof (induct n)
case 0
then show ?case by (simp add: FusionSEmptyL FusionSEmptyR)
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case by (simp add: FusionAssoc)
qed
```

```
lemma fusion-inductl:
  assumes Y ∪ X · Z ⊆ Z
  shows (SPower X n) · Y ⊆ Z
using assms
proof (induct n)
case 0
then show ?case by (simp add: FusionSEmptyL)
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
proof -
  have f1: X · (SPower X n · Y) ⊆ Z
    using FusionRuleR Suc.hyps assms by blast
  have X ∩ SFinite ⊆ X
    by blast
  then show ?thesis
    using f1 by (metis (no-types) FusionAssoc FusionRuleL order-trans pwr-Suc)
qed
qed
```

```
lemma fusion-inductl-finite:
  assumes Y ∪ (X ∩ SFinite) · Z ⊆ Z
  shows (SPower X n) · Y ⊆ Z
```

```

using assms
proof (induct n)
case 0
then show ?case
by (simp add: FusionSEmptyL)
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
proof -
have f1: SPower X n · Y ⊆ Z
using Suc.hyps assms by blast
then have SPower X n · Y ∪ Z = Z
by blast
then show ?thesis
using f1
by (metis FusionAssoc1 FusionUnionDistR assms le-supE pwr-Suc)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma fusion-inductl-fmore:
assumes Y ∪ (X ∩ SFMore) · Z ⊆ Z
shows (SPower X n) · Y ⊆ Z
using assms
proof (induct n)
case 0
then show ?case
by (simp add: FusionSEmptyL)
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
proof -
have 1: SPower X (Suc n) · Y = ((X ∩ SFinite) · (SPower X n)) · Y
by simp
have 2: ((X ∩ SFinite) · (SPower X n)) · Y = (X ∩ SFinite) · ((SPower X n) · Y)
by (simp add: FusionAssoc)
have 3: (X ∩ SFinite) · ((SPower X n) · Y) ⊆ (X ∩ SFinite) · Z
using FusionRuleR Suc.hyps assms by blast
have 31: X ∩ SFinite = ((X ∩ SFMore) ∪ ((X ∩ SEmpty) ∩ SFinite))
by (simp add: sfmore-def smore-def) blast
have 4: (X ∩ SFinite) · Z = ((X ∩ SFMore) · Z ∪ ((X ∩ SEmpty) ∩ SFinite) · Z)
by (simp add: 31 FusionUnionDistL)
have 5: (X ∩ SFMore) · Z ⊆ Z
using assms by auto
have 6: ((X ∩ SEmpty) ∩ SFinite) · Z ⊆ Z
by (metis FusionRuleL FusionSEmptyL inf-assoc inf-commute inf-le1)
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 4 5 6 by blast
qed
qed

```

```

lemma fusion-inductl-inf:
assumes Y ∪ X · Z ⊆ Z
shows ((SPower X n) · (X ∩ SInf)) · Y ⊆ Z
using assms
proof (induct n)
case 0
then show ?case
by (metis FusionAssoc FusionRuleL FusionRuleR FusionSEmptyL FusionSFalse le-supE order-trans
    pwr-0 sfalse-elim subsetI)
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
proof -
have f2: Z = Z ∪ (Y ∪ X · Z)
  using assms by blast
have SPower X n · (X ∩ SInf) · Y ⊆ Z
  using Suc(1) assms by blast
then have Z = Z ∪ SPower X n · (X ∩ SInf) · Y
  by blast
then have f3: (X ∪ X ∩ SFinite) · (Z ∪ SPower X n · (X ∩ SInf) · Y) = X · Z
  by force
have SPower X (Suc n) · (X ∩ SInf) · Y = X ∩ SFinite · (SPower X n · (X ∩ SInf) · Y)
  by (simp add: FusionAssoc)
then have SPower X (Suc n) · (X ∩ SInf) · Y ∪ X ∩ SFinite · (Z ∪ SPower X n · (X ∩ SInf) · Y) =
  X ∩ SFinite · (Z ∪ SPower X n · (X ∩ SInf) · Y)
  using FusionUnionDistR by blast
then have SPower X (Suc n) · (X ∩ SInf) · Y ∪ X · Z = X · Z
  using f3 FusionUnionDistL by blast
then show ?thesis
  using f2 by blast
qed
qed

lemma fusion-inductl-infmore:
assumes Y ∪ X · Z ⊆ Z
shows ((SPower X n) · ((X ∩ SMore) ∩ SInf)) · Y ⊆ Z
using assms
proof (induct n)
case 0
then show ?case
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Diff-Compl Int-assoc Un-Diff-Int compl-inf double-compl fusion-inductl-inf
    inf.absorb-iff2 pwr-0 sfinite-def smore-def spower-finite sup-left-idem)
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
proof -
have f1: ∀n. SPower X n · (X ∩ SInf) · Y ⊆ Z
  by (meson assms fusion-inductl-inf)
have X ∩ SMore ∩ SInf ⊆ X ∩ SInf
  by blast

```

```

then show ?thesis
  using f1 by (metis (no-types) FusionRuleL FusionRuleR inf.orderE le-inf-iff)
qed
qed

lemma fusion-inductl-more:
  assumes  $Y \cup X \cdot Z \subseteq Z$ 
  shows  $(S\text{Power} (X \cap S\text{More}) n) \cdot Y \subseteq Z$ 
  using assms
  proof (induct n)
  case 0
  then show ?case by (simp add: FusionSEmptyL)
  next
  case (Suc n)
  then show ?case
  by (metis FusionUnionDistL fusion-inductl sup.boundedE sup.boundedI sup-inf-absorb)
qed

lemma fusion-inductr:
  assumes  $Y \cup Z \cdot X \subseteq Z$ 
  shows  $Y \cdot (S\text{Power} X n) \subseteq Z$ 
  using assms
  proof (induct n)
  case 0
  then show ?case by (simp add: FusionSEmptyR)
  next
  case (Suc n)
  then show ?case
  proof -
    have f1:  $Z \cdot X \subseteq Z$ 
    using assms by auto
    have  $\forall S. Y \cdot (S\text{Power} X n \cdot S) \subseteq Z \cdot S$ 
    using FusionAssoc FusionRuleL Suc.hyps assms by blast
  then show ?thesis
  using f1 by (metis (no-types) FusionRuleR Int-iff order-trans pwr-Suc spower-commutes subsetI)
qed
qed

lemma SInfSFinite:
   $X = (X \cap SFinite) \cup (X \cap SInf)$ 
  using sfinite-def by auto

lemma fusion-inductr-inf:
  assumes  $Y \cup Z \cdot X \subseteq Z$ 
  shows  $Y \cdot ((S\text{Power} X n) \cdot (X \cap SInf)) \subseteq Z$ 
  proof -
    have 1:  $Y \cdot (S\text{Power} X n) \subseteq Z$ 
    using assms fusion-inductr by blast
    show ?thesis
  proof -

```

```

have f4:  $Y \cdot SPower X n = Y \cdot SPower X n \cap Z$ 
  using 1 by blast
have  $Y \cdot SPower X n \cup Z = Z$ 
  by (metis 1 subset-Un-eq)
then have f5:  $Z \cdot (X \cap SInf) = Z \cdot (X \cap SInf) \cup Y \cdot SPower X n \cap Z \cdot (X \cap SInf)$ 
  using f4 by (metis (no-types) FusionUnionDistL sup.commute)
have  $Y \cdot SPower X n = Y \cdot SPower X n \cap Z$ 
  using f4 by auto
then have  $Y \cdot (SPower X n \cdot (X \cap SInf)) = Y \cdot SPower X n \cap Z \cdot (X \cap SInf)$ 
  by (simp add: FusionAssoc1)
then show ?thesis
proof -
have f1:  $Y \cup Z \cdot X \cup Z = Z$ 
  by (meson Subsumption assms)
have f2:  $Y \cdot SPower X n \cap Z \cdot X \cup Z \cdot X = Z \cdot X$ 
  by (metis (no-types) FusionUnionDistL `Y \cdot SPower X n \cup Z = Z` f4)
have  $Y \cdot SPower X n \cap Z \cdot X \cup Y \cdot SPower X n \cap Z \cdot (X \cap SInf) = Y \cdot SPower X n \cap Z \cdot X$ 
  by (metis (no-types) FusionUnionDistR sup-inf-absorb)
then show ?thesis
  using f2 f1 `Y \cdot (SPower X n \cdot (X \cap SInf)) = Y \cdot SPower X n \cap Z \cdot (X \cap SInf)` by auto
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma fusion-inductr-more:

assumes $Y \cup Z \cdot X \subseteq Z$

shows $Y \cdot (SPower (X \cap SMore) n) \subseteq Z$

using assms

proof (induct n)

case 0

then show ?case by (simp add: FusionSEmptyR)

next

case (Suc n)

then show ?case

by (metis FusionUnionDistR fusion-inductr le-supE sup.boundedI sup-inf-absorb)

qed

lemma FusionUnionSPowersem:

$\sigma \in Y \cdot (\bigcup n. (SPower X n) \cdot Z) \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in (\bigcup n. Y \cdot ((SPower X n) \cdot Z))$

by (simp add: fusion-iff) blast

lemma FusionUnionSPower:

$Y \cdot (\bigcup n. (SPower X n) \cdot Z) = (\bigcup n. Y \cdot ((SPower X n) \cdot Z))$

using FusionUnionSPowersem by blast

lemma FusionUnionSPower1:

$Y \cdot (\bigcup n. (SPower X n)) = (\bigcup n. Y \cdot ((SPower X n)))$

using FusionUnionSPower[of Y X SEmpty]

by (metis (no-types, lifting) FusionSEmptyR Sup.SUP-cong)

lemma *UnionFusionSPowersem*:

$\sigma \in (\bigcup n. Z \cdot (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot Y \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in (\bigcup n. (Z \cdot (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot Y)$
by (*simp add: fusion-iff*) *blast*

lemma *UnionFusionSPower*:

$(\bigcup n. Z \cdot (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot Y = (\bigcup n. (Z \cdot (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot Y)$
using *UnionFusionSPowersem* **by** *blast*

lemma *UnionFusionSPower1*:

$(\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot Y = (\bigcup n. ((S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot Y)$
using *UnionFusionSPower*[*of SEmpty X Y*]
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) FusionSEmptyL Sup.SUP-cong*)

lemma *spowercommute*:

$(X \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n)) = (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n) \cdot (X \cap S\text{Finite}))$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) FusionUnionSPower1 Sup.SUP-cong spower-commutes*)

lemma *sstar-contl*:

$Y \cdot (S\text{Star } X) = (\bigcup n. Y \cdot (S\text{Power } (X \cap S\text{More} n)) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{More} \cap S\text{Inf}))$

proof -

have 1: $Y \cdot (S\text{Star } X) = Y \cdot ((\bigcup n. ((S\text{Power } (X \cap S\text{More} n)))) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{More} \cap S\text{Inf}))$
by (*simp add: sstar-def spowerstar-def sfpowerstar-def*)

have 2: $Y \cdot ((\bigcup n. ((S\text{Power } (X \cap S\text{More} n)))) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{More} \cap S\text{Inf})) =$
 $(Y \cdot (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } (X \cap S\text{More} n)))) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{More} \cap S\text{Inf})$

using *FusionAssoc* **by** *blast*

have 3: $(Y \cdot (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } (X \cap S\text{More} n)))) = (\bigcup n. Y \cdot (S\text{Power } (X \cap S\text{More} n)))$
using *FusionUnionSPower1* **by** *blast*

have 4: $(Y \cdot (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } (X \cap S\text{More} n)))) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{More} \cap S\text{Inf}) =$
 $(\bigcup n. Y \cdot (S\text{Power } (X \cap S\text{More} n))) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{More} \cap S\text{Inf})$

by (*simp add: 3*)

have 5: $(\bigcup n. Y \cdot (S\text{Power } (X \cap S\text{More} n))) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{More} \cap S\text{Inf}) =$
 $(\bigcup n. (Y \cdot (S\text{Power } (X \cap S\text{More} n))) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{More} \cap S\text{Inf}))$

using *UnionFusionSPower*[*of Y X cap SMore (SEmpty union X cap SMore cap SInf)*]

by *auto*

show ?thesis

by (*simp add: 1 2 4 5*)

qed

lemma *sstar-contr*:

$(S\text{Star } X) \cdot Y = (\bigcup n. ((S\text{Power } (X \cap S\text{More} n)) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{More} \cap S\text{Inf})) \cdot Y)$

proof -

have 1: $(S\text{Star } X) \cdot Y = ((\bigcup n. ((S\text{Power } (X \cap S\text{More} n)))) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{More} \cap S\text{Inf})) \cdot Y$
by (*simp add: sstar-def spowerstar-def sfpowerstar-def*)

have 2: $((\bigcup n. ((S\text{Power } (X \cap S\text{More} n)))) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{More} \cap S\text{Inf})) \cdot Y =$
 $(\bigcup n. ((S\text{Power } (X \cap S\text{More} n)))) \cdot ((S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{More} \cap S\text{Inf}) \cdot Y)$

using *FusionAssoc* **by** *blast*

have 3: $(\bigcup n. ((S\text{Power } (X \cap S\text{More} n)))) \cdot ((S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{More} \cap S\text{Inf}) \cdot Y) =$
 $(\bigcup n. ((S\text{Power } (X \cap S\text{More} n))) \cdot ((S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{More} \cap S\text{Inf}) \cdot Y))$

using *UnionFusionSPower1*[*of X cap SMore ((SEmpty union X cap SMore cap SInf) cap Y)*]

by *auto*

```

have 4:  $(\bigcup n. ((S\text{Power} (X \cap S\text{More}) n)) \cdot ((S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{More} \cap S\text{Inf}) \cdot Y)) =$   

 $(\bigcup n. ((S\text{Power} (X \cap S\text{More}) n) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{More} \cap S\text{Inf})) \cdot Y)$   

using FusionAssoc by blast  

show ?thesis  

by (simp add: 1 2 3 4)  

qed

```

```

lemma FPowerstarInductL:  

assumes  $Y \cup (X \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot Z \subseteq Z$   

shows  $(S\text{FPowerstar } X) \cdot Y \subseteq Z$   

proof –  

have 1:  $(S\text{FPowerstar } X) \cdot Y = (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot Y$   

by (simp add: sfpowerstar-def)  

have 2:  $(\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot Y = (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n) \cdot Y)$   

using UnionFusionSPower1 by fastforce  

have 3:  $\bigwedge n. (S\text{Power } X n) \cdot Y \subseteq Z$   

using assms fusion-inductl-finite by blast  

have 4:  $(\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n) \cdot Y) \subseteq Z$   

using 3 by blast  

show ?thesis  

using 1 2 4 by blast  

qed

```

```

lemma FPowerstarInductMoreL:  

assumes  $Y \cup ((X \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot Z \subseteq Z$   

shows  $(S\text{FPowerstar } X) \cdot Y \subseteq Z$   

proof –  

have 1:  $(S\text{FPowerstar } X) \cdot Y = (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot Y$   

by (simp add: sfpowerstar-def)  

have 2:  $(\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot Y = (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n) \cdot Y)$   

using UnionFusionSPower1 by fastforce  

have 3:  $\bigwedge n. (S\text{Power } X n) \cdot Y \subseteq Z$   

by (metis Int-assoc Int-commute assms fusion-inductl-fmore sfmore-def)  

have 4:  $(\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n) \cdot Y) \subseteq Z$   

using 3 by blast  

show ?thesis  

using 1 2 4 by blast  

qed

```

```

lemma PowerstarInductL:  

assumes  $Y \cup X \cdot Z \subseteq Z$   

shows  $(S\text{Powerstar } X) \cdot Y \subseteq Z$   

proof –  

have 1:  $(S\text{Powerstar } X) \cdot Y = ((\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{Inf})) \cdot Y$   

by (simp add: spowerstar-def sfpowerstar-def)  

have 2:  $((\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{Inf})) \cdot Y =$   

 $(\bigcup n. ((S\text{Power } X n))) \cdot ((S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{Inf}) \cdot Y)$   

using FusionAssoc1[of  $(\bigcup n. ((S\text{Power } X n))) (S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{Inf}) Y$ ] by blast  

have 3:  $(\bigcup n. ((S\text{Power } X n))) \cdot ((S\text{Empty} \cup X \cap S\text{Inf}) \cdot Y) =$ 

```

```

(UnionFusionSPower1[of X ((SEmpty ∪ X ∩ SInf) · Y)])
by auto
have 4: ∀n. (SPower X n) · Y ⊆ Z
  using assms fusion-inductl by blast
have 5: ∀n. ((SPower X n) · (X ∩ SInf)) · Y ⊆ Z
  using assms fusion-inductl-inf by blast
have 6: ∀n. (SPower X n) · Y ∪ ((SPower X n) · (X ∩ SInf)) · Y =
  ((SPower X n) · (SEmpty ∪ (X ∩ SInf))) · Y
  by (simp add: FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistL FusionUnionDistR)
have 7: ∀n. ((SPower X n) · (SEmpty ∪ (X ∩ SInf))) · Y ⊆ Z
  using 4 5 6 by blast
have 8: (∃n. ((SPower X n) · (SEmpty ∪ (X ∩ SInf)))) · Y ⊆ Z
  using 7 by blast
show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 3 8 FusionAssoc Sup.SUP-cong)
qed

lemma SStarInductMoreL:
assumes Y ∪ (X ∩ SMore) · Z ⊆ Z
shows (SStar X) · Y ⊆ Z
proof -
have 1: (SStar X) · Y = ((∃n. (SPower (X ∩ SMore) n)) · (SEmpty ∪ (X ∩ SMore) ∩ SInf)) · Y
  by (simp add: sstar-def spowerstar-def sfpowerstar-def)
have 2: ((∃n. (SPower (X ∩ SMore) n)) · (SEmpty ∪ (X ∩ SMore) ∩ SInf)) · Y =
  ((∃n. (SPower (X ∩ SMore) n)) · ((SEmpty ∪ (X ∩ SMore) ∩ SInf) · Y))
  using FusionAssoc1[of (∃n. ((SPower (X ∩ SMore) n)) (SEmpty ∪ (X ∩ SMore) ∩ SInf) · Y)]
  by blast
have 3: ((∃n. (SPower (X ∩ SMore) n)) · ((SEmpty ∪ (X ∩ SMore) ∩ SInf) · Y)) =
  ((∃n. (SPower (X ∩ SMore) n)) · ((SEmpty ∪ (X ∩ SMore) ∩ SInf) · Y))
  using UnionFusionSPower1[of X ∩ SMore ((SEmpty ∪ (X ∩ SMore) ∩ SInf) · Y)]
  by auto
have 4: ∀n. (SPower (X ∩ SMore) n) · Y ⊆ Z
  using assms fusion-inductl by blast
have 5: ∀n. ((SPower (X ∩ SMore) n) · ((X ∩ SMore) ∩ SInf)) · Y ⊆ Z
  using assms fusion-inductl-inf by blast
have 6: ∀n. (SPower (X ∩ SMore) n) · Y ∪ ((SPower (X ∩ SMore) n) · ((X ∩ SMore) ∩ SInf)) · Y =
  ((SPower (X ∩ SMore) n) · (SEmpty ∪ ((X ∩ SMore) ∩ SInf))) · Y
  by (simp add: FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistL FusionUnionDistR)
have 7: ∀n. ((SPower (X ∩ SMore) n) · (SEmpty ∪ ((X ∩ SMore) ∩ SInf))) · Y ⊆ Z
  using 4 5 6 by blast
have 8: ((∃n. ((SPower (X ∩ SMore) n) · (SEmpty ∪ ((X ∩ SMore) ∩ SInf)))) · Y) ⊆ Z
  using 7 by blast
show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 3 8 FusionAssoc Sup.SUP-cong)
qed

lemma SFPowerstarInductR:
assumes Y ∪ Z · X ⊆ Z
shows Y · (SFPowerstar X) ⊆ Z

```

proof –

```
have 1:  $Y \cdot (\text{SFPowerstar } X) = Y \cdot (\bigcup n. (\text{SPower } X n))$ 
  by (simp add: sfpowerstar-def)
have 2:  $Y \cdot (\bigcup n. (\text{SPower } X n)) = (\bigcup n. Y \cdot (\text{SPower } X n))$ 
  using FusionUnionSPower1 by blast
have 3:  $\bigwedge n. Y \cdot (\text{SPower } X n) \subseteq Z$ 
  using assms fusion-inductr by blast
have 4:  $(\bigcup n. Y \cdot (\text{SPower } X n)) \subseteq Z$ 
  using 3 by blast
show ?thesis
  by (simp add: 1 2 4)
qed
```

lemma SPowerstarInductR:

```
assumes  $Y \cup Z \cdot X \subseteq Z$ 
shows  $Y \cdot (\text{SPowerstar } X) \subseteq Z$ 
proof –
have 1:  $Y \cdot (\text{SPowerstar } X) = Y \cdot ((\bigcup n. (\text{SPower } X n)) \cdot (\text{SEmpty} \cup (X \cap \text{SInf})))$ 
  by (simp add: spowerstar-def sfpowerstar-def)
have 11:  $Y \cdot ((\bigcup n. (\text{SPower } X n)) \cdot (\text{SEmpty} \cup (X \cap \text{SInf}))) =$ 
   $Y \cdot ((\bigcup n. (\text{SPower } X n) \cdot (\text{SEmpty} \cup (X \cap \text{SInf}))))$ 
  by (metis UnionFusionSPower1)
have 12:  $Y \cdot ((\bigcup n. (\text{SPower } X n) \cdot (\text{SEmpty} \cup (X \cap \text{SInf})))) =$ 
   $((\bigcup n. Y \cdot (\text{SPower } X n) \cdot (\text{SEmpty} \cup (X \cap \text{SInf}))))$ 
  using FusionUnionSPower[of Y X (SEmpty  $\cup$  X  $\cap$  SInf)]
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) FusionAssoc Sup.SUP-cong)
have 3:  $\bigwedge n. Y \cdot (\text{SPower } X n) \subseteq Z$ 
  using assms fusion-inductr by blast
have 31:  $\bigwedge n. Y \cdot (\text{SPower } X n) \cdot (X \cap \text{SInf}) \subseteq Z$ 
  using FusionAssoc assms fusion-inductr-inf by blast
have 32:  $\bigwedge n. Y \cdot (\text{SPower } X n) \cdot (\text{SEmpty} \cup (X \cap \text{SInf})) \subseteq Z$ 
  by (simp add: 3 31 FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistR)
have 4:  $(\bigcup n. Y \cdot (\text{SPower } X n) \cdot (\text{SEmpty} \cup (X \cap \text{SInf}))) \subseteq Z$ 
  using 32 by blast
show ?thesis
  by (simp add: 1 11 12 4)
qed
```

lemma SStarInductMoreR:

```
assumes  $Y \cup Z \cdot (X \cap \text{SMore}) \subseteq Z$ 
shows  $Y \cdot (\text{SStar } X) \subseteq Z$ 
proof –
have 1:  $Y \cdot (\text{SStar } X) = Y \cdot ((\bigcup n. (\text{SPower } (X \cap \text{SMore} n))) \cdot (\text{SEmpty} \cup ((X \cap \text{SMore}) \cap \text{SInf})))$ 
  by (simp add: spowerstar-def sfpowerstar-def sstar-def)
have 11:  $Y \cdot ((\bigcup n. (\text{SPower } (X \cap \text{SMore} n))) \cdot (\text{SEmpty} \cup ((X \cap \text{SMore}) \cap \text{SInf}))) =$ 
   $Y \cdot ((\bigcup n. (\text{SPower } (X \cap \text{SMore} n)) \cdot (\text{SEmpty} \cup ((X \cap \text{SMore}) \cap \text{SInf}))))$ 
  by (metis UnionFusionSPower1)
have 12:  $Y \cdot ((\bigcup n. (\text{SPower } (X \cap \text{SMore} n)) \cdot (\text{SEmpty} \cup ((X \cap \text{SMore}) \cap \text{SInf})))) =$ 
   $((\bigcup n. Y \cdot (\text{SPower } (X \cap \text{SMore} n)) \cdot (\text{SEmpty} \cup ((X \cap \text{SMore}) \cap \text{SInf}))))$ 
  using FusionUnionSPower[of Y (X  $\cap$  SMore) (SEmpty  $\cup$  ((X  $\cap$  SMore)  $\cap$  SInf))]
```

```

by (metis (no-types, lifting) FusionAssoc Sup.SUP-cong)
have 3:  $\bigwedge n. Y \cdot (S\text{Power} (X \cap S\text{More}) n) \subseteq Z$ 
  using assms fusion-inductr by blast
have 31:  $\bigwedge n. Y \cdot (S\text{Power} (X \cap S\text{More}) n) \cdot ((X \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Inf}) \subseteq Z$ 
  using assms FusionAssoc fusion-inductr-inf by blast
have 32:  $\bigwedge n. Y \cdot (S\text{Power} (X \cap S\text{More}) n) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup ((X \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Inf})) \subseteq Z$ 
  by (simp add: 3 31 FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistR)
have 4:  $(\bigcup n. Y \cdot (S\text{Power} (X \cap S\text{More}) n) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup ((X \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Inf}))) \subseteq Z$ 
  using 32 by blast
show ?thesis
  by (simp add: 1 11 12 4)
qed

```

```

lemma Powerstarhelp2:
 $(X \cap S\text{Inf}) = (X \cap S\text{Inf}) \cdot Z$ 
by (metis FusionAssoc FusionSFalse SFalseFusion)

```

```

lemma Powerstarhelp3:
 $((X \cap S\text{Inf}) \cdot Y \cup (X \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot Y) = X \cdot Y$ 
by (metis Diff-Compl FusionUnionDistL Un-Diff-Int sfinite-def)

```

```

lemma Powerstareqv:
 $(S\text{Powerstar } X) = S\text{Empty} \cup X \cdot (S\text{Powerstar } X)$ 
proof –
have 1:  $(S\text{Powerstar } X) = (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Inf}))$ 
  by (simp add: sfpowerstar-def spowerstar-def)
have 2:  $(\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Inf})) =$ 
   $(S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n))) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Inf}))$ 
  by (metis sfpowerstar-equiv-1)
have 3:  $(S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n))) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Inf})) =$ 
   $S\text{Empty} \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Inf})) \cup (X \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Inf}))$ 
  by (simp add: FusionUnionDistL)
have 4:  $S\text{Empty} \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Inf})) \cup (X \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Inf})) =$ 
 $S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Inf}) \cup (X \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Inf}))$ 
  by (simp add: FusionSEmptyL)
have 5:  $S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Inf}) \cup (X \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Inf})) =$ 
   $S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Inf}) \cdot (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Inf})) \cup$ 
   $(X \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Inf}))$ 
  by (metis Powerstarhelp2)
have 6:  $S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Inf}) \cdot (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Inf})) \cup$ 
   $(X \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Inf})) =$ 
   $S\text{Empty} \cup X \cdot ((\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } X n)) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{Inf})))$ 
  by (metis FusionAssoc Powerstarhelp3 UnionAssoc)
show ?thesis
  using 1 2 3 4 5 6 by presburger
qed

```

```

lemma SStareqv:
 $S\text{Star } X = S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cap S\text{More}) \cdot (S\text{Star } X)$ 

```

```

by (metis Powerstareqv sstar-def)

lemma SSkipSFinite:
  SSkip ∩ SFinite = SSkip
proof -
  have 1: SSkip ∩ SFinite ⊆ SSkip
    by simp
  have 2: SInf ⊆ SEmpty ∪ (¬ SEmpty · ¬ SEmpty)
    by (metis Diff-Compl Diff-subset-conv Powerstarhelp2 Powerstarhelp3 double-compl inf-commute sup-ge1)

  have 3: SSkip ⊆ SSkip ∩ SFinite
    using 2 by (simp add: sskip-def smore-def sfinite-def) blast
  show ?thesis
    using 3 by blast
qed

lemma spower-sskip-elim :
  ( $\sigma \in SPower\ SSkip\ n$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $nlength\ \sigma = n$ )
proof (induct n arbitrary:  $\sigma$ )
case 0
then show ?case
by (simp add: sempty-elim zero-enat-def)
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
proof -
  have 1: ( $\sigma \in SPower\ SSkip\ (Suc\ n)$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\sigma \in (SFinite \cap SSkip) \cdot (SPower\ SSkip\ n)$ 
    by (simp add: inf-commute)
  have 2:  $\sigma \in (SFinite \cap SSkip) \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in SSkip$ 
    using SSkipSFinite by auto
  have 3:  $\sigma \in (SFinite \cap SSkip) \cdot (SPower\ SSkip\ n) \longleftrightarrow$ 
    ( $\exists \sigma_1 \sigma_2. \sigma = nfuse\ \sigma_1\ \sigma_2 \wedge nfinite\ \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_1 \in SSkip \wedge \sigma_2 \in SPower\ SSkip\ n \wedge nlast\ \sigma_1 = nfirst\ \sigma_2$ )
    using ffusion-iff[of  $\sigma\ SSkip\ SPower\ SSkip\ n$ ] by (simp add: inf-commute)
  have 4: ( $\exists \sigma_1 \sigma_2. \sigma = nfuse\ \sigma_1\ \sigma_2 \wedge nfinite\ \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_1 \in SSkip \wedge \sigma_2 \in SPower\ SSkip\ n \wedge nlast\ \sigma_1 = nfirst\ \sigma_2$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    ( $nlength\ \sigma = enat\ (Suc\ n)$ )
    by (auto simp add: Suc.hyps nfuse-nlength one-enat-def sskip-elim)
    (metis One-nat-def add.commute eSuc-enat enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same enat-le-plus-same(2)
      min.orderE ndropn-nfirst ndropn-nlength nfinite-ntaken nfuse-ntaken-ndropn ntaken-nlast
      ntaken-nlength one-enat-def plus-1-eSuc(2))
  show ?thesis
    using 1 3 4 by presburger
qed
qed

```

11.3.6 Kleene Algebra

lemma UnfoldL:

$SEmpty \cup X \cdot (SStar X) = (SStar X)$

proof —

have 1: $(SStar X) = SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SStar X)$

by (meson Un-iff set-eqI SStarEqv)

have 2: $(X \cap SMore) \cdot (SStar X) \subseteq X \cdot (SStar X)$

by (simp add: FusionRuleL)

have 3: $(SStar X) \subseteq SEmpty \cup X \cdot (SStar X)$

using 1 2 by blast

have 4: $SEmpty \subseteq (SStar X)$

using 1 by auto

have 5: $X \subseteq SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore)$

by (simp add: Un-Int-distrib smore-def)

have 6: $X \cdot (SStar X) \subseteq (SStar X) \cup (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SStar X)$

using 5 by (metis FusionRuleL FusionUnionDistL FusionSEmptyL)

have 7: $(SStar X) \subseteq SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SStar X)$

using 1 by auto

have 8: $X \cdot (SStar X) \subseteq SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SStar X)$

using 6 7 by blast

hence 9: $X \cdot (SStar X) \subseteq (SStar X)$

using 1 by auto

have 10: $SEmpty \cup X \cdot (SStar X) \subseteq (SStar X)$

using 9 4 by simp

from 3 10 show ?thesis by auto

qed

— Left induction

lemma SStarInductL:

assumes $Y \cup X \cdot Z \subseteq Z$

shows $(SStar X) \cdot Y \subseteq Z$

proof —

have 1: $(SStar X) \cdot Y = ((\bigcup n. (SPower (X \cap SMore) n)) \cdot (SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore) \cap SInf)) \cdot Y$

by (simp add: sstar-def spowerstar-def spowerstar-def)

have 2: $((\bigcup n. (SPower (X \cap SMore) n)) \cdot (SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore) \cap SInf)) \cdot Y =$

$(\bigcup n. ((SPower (X \cap SMore) n))) \cdot ((SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore) \cap SInf) \cdot Y)$

using FusionAssoc1[of $(\bigcup n. ((SPower (X \cap SMore) n)))$] (SEmpty $\cup (X \cap SMore) \cap SInf$) Y]

by blast

have 3: $(\bigcup n. ((SPower (X \cap SMore) n))) \cdot ((SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore) \cap SInf) \cdot Y) =$

$(\bigcup n. ((SPower (X \cap SMore) n))) \cdot ((SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore) \cap SInf) \cdot Y)$

using UnionFusionSPower1[of $X \cap SMore$] ((SEmpty $\cup (X \cap SMore) \cap SInf$) $\cdot Y$)]

by auto

have 31: $Y \cup (X \cap SMore) \cdot Z \subseteq Z$

by (meson FusionRuleL Un-mono assms dual-order.trans inf.cobounded1 subset-refl)

have 4: $\bigwedge n. (SPower (X \cap SMore) n) \cdot Y \subseteq Z$

using assms fusion-inductl-more by blast

have 5: $\bigwedge n. ((SPower (X \cap SMore) n) \cdot ((X \cap SMore) \cap SInf)) \cdot Y \subseteq Z$

using 31 fusion-inductl-inf by blast

have 6: $\bigwedge n. (SPower (X \cap SMore) n) \cdot Y \cup ((SPower (X \cap SMore) n) \cdot ((X \cap SMore) \cap SInf)) \cdot Y =$

$((SPower (X \cap SMore) n) \cdot (SEmpty \cup ((X \cap SMore) \cap SInf))) \cdot Y$

```

by (simp add: FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistL FusionUnionDistR)
have 7:  $\bigwedge n. ((S\text{Power} (X \cap S\text{More}) n) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup ((X \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Inf}))) \cdot Y \subseteq Z$ 
  using 4 5 6 by blast
have 8:  $(\bigcup n. ((S\text{Power} (X \cap S\text{More}) n) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup ((X \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Inf}))) \cdot Y) \subseteq Z$ 
  using 7 by blast
show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 3 8 FusionAssoc Sup.SUP-cong)
qed

```

— Right induction

```

lemma SStarInductR:
assumes  $Y \cup Z \cdot X \subseteq Z$ 
shows  $Y \cdot (S\text{Star } X) \subseteq Z$ 
proof —
have 1:  $Y \cdot (S\text{Star } X) = Y \cdot (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power} (X \cap S\text{More}) n) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup ((X \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Inf})))$ 
  by (simp add: spowerstar-def sfpowerstar-def sstar-def)
have 11:  $Y \cdot (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power} (X \cap S\text{More}) n) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup ((X \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Inf}))) =$ 
   $Y \cdot (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power} (X \cap S\text{More}) n) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup ((X \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Inf})))$ 
  by (metis UnionFusionSPower1)
have 12:  $Y \cdot (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power} (X \cap S\text{More}) n) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup ((X \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Inf}))) =$ 
   $((\bigcup n. Y \cdot (S\text{Power} (X \cap S\text{More}) n) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup ((X \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Inf}))))$ 
  using FusionUnionSPower[of  $Y (X \cap S\text{More}) (S\text{Empty} \cup ((X \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Inf}))$ ]
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) FusionAssoc Sup.SUP-cong)
have 21:  $Y \cup Z \cdot (X \cap S\text{More}) \subseteq Z$ 
  by (meson FusionRuleR Un-mono assms dual-order.trans inf.cobounded1 subset-refl)
have 3:  $\bigwedge n. Y \cdot (S\text{Power} (X \cap S\text{More}) n) \subseteq Z$ 
  using 21 fusion-inductr by blast
have 31:  $\bigwedge n. Y \cdot (S\text{Power} (X \cap S\text{More}) n) \cdot ((X \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Inf}) \subseteq Z$ 
  using 21 FusionAssoc fusion-inductr-inf by blast
have 32:  $\bigwedge n. Y \cdot (S\text{Power} (X \cap S\text{More}) n) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup ((X \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Inf})) \subseteq Z$ 
  by (simp add: 3 31 FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistR)
have 4:  $(\bigcup n. Y \cdot (S\text{Power} (X \cap S\text{More}) n) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup ((X \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Inf}))) \subseteq Z$ 
  using 32 by blast
show ?thesis
  by (simp add: 1 11 12 4)
qed

```

11.3.7 Omega Algebra

```

lemma SFMoresem [ mono]:
 $x \in (((F \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot G) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists \sigma_1 \sigma_2. x = nfuse \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \wedge nfinite \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_1 \in F \wedge 0 < (nlength \sigma_1) \wedge \sigma_2 \in G \wedge nlast \sigma_1 = nfirst \sigma_2)$ 

by (simp add: fusion-iff sinfinite-elim smore-elim sfinite-elim) blast

lemma monoomega[ mono]:
 $mono (\lambda p x. x \in ((F \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Finite} \cdot p))$ 
by (auto simp add: mono-def sinfinite-elim fusion-iff sfmore-elim)

```

```

coinductive-set somega :: 'a iintervals  $\Rightarrow$  'a iintervals
for F where
   $((\exists \sigma_1 \sigma_2. x = n\_fuse \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \wedge n\_finite \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_1 \in F \wedge 0 < (n\_length \sigma_1) \wedge$ 
   $\sigma_2 \in (somega F) \wedge n\_last \sigma_1 = n\_first \sigma_2))$ 
   $\implies x \in (somega F)$ 

```

```

lemma SOmegaIntros:
 $((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (somega F) \subseteq (somega F)$ 
using somega.intros[of - F] SFMoresem[of - F (somega F)]
by (meson subsetI)

```

```

lemma SOmegaCases:
assumes  $x \in somega F$ 
 $x \in (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (somega F)) \implies P$ 
shows  $P$ 
using assms somega.cases[of x F P] SFMoresem by blast

```

```

lemma SOmegaUnrollsem:
 $x \in (somega F) \longleftrightarrow x \in (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (somega F))$ 
proof auto
  show  $x \in somega F \implies x \in (F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite \cdot somega F$ 
    using SOmegaCases by blast
  show  $x \in (F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite \cdot somega F \implies x \in somega F$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) SOmegaIntros inf-commute subset-eq)
qed

```

```

lemma SOmegaUnroll:
 $(somega F) = (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (somega F))$ 
using SOmegaUnrollsem by blast

```

```

lemma SOmegaCoinductsem:
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in X \implies x \in (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (X \cup (somega F)))$ 
shows  $x \in X \implies x \in (somega F)$ 
using assms somega.coinduct[of  $\lambda x. x \in X$  x F] SFMoresem[of - F (X  $\cup$  somega F)] by auto

```

```

lemma SOmegaCoinduct:
assumes  $X \subseteq (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (X \cup (somega F)))$ 
shows  $X \subseteq (somega F)$ 
using assms SOmegaCoinductsem[of X F] by blast

```

```

lemma SOmegaWeakCoinductsem:
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in X \implies x \in (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot X)$ 
shows  $x \in X \implies x \in (somega F)$ 
using assms somega.coinduct[of  $\lambda x. x \in X$  x F]
by (metis SFMoresem)

```

```

lemma SOmegaWeakCoinduct:
assumes  $X \subseteq (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot X)$ 
shows  $X \subseteq (somega F)$ 
using assms SOmegaWeakCoinductsem[of X F] by blast

```

11.3.8 ITL specific Laws

lemma *PwrFusionInterLsem*:

$$\begin{aligned} x \in (((S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n) \cap X) \cdot V) \cap (((S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n) \cap Y) \cdot W) &\iff \\ x \in (((S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n) \cap X \cap Y) \cdot (V \cap W)) \end{aligned}$$

by (auto simp add: spower-sskip-elim fusion-iff-1 min-absorb1 nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD)

lemma *PwrFusionInterL*:

$$\begin{aligned} (((S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n) \cap X) \cdot V) \cap (((S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n) \cap Y) \cdot W) &= \\ (((S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n) \cap X \cap Y) \cdot (V \cap W)) \end{aligned}$$

using *PwrFusionInterLsem* **by** blast

lemma *PwrFusionInterRsem* :

$$\begin{aligned} x \in ((V \cdot ((S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n) \cap X)) \cap ((W \cdot ((S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n) \cap Y)))) &\iff \\ x \in ((V \cap W) \cdot ((S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n) \cap X \cap Y)) \end{aligned}$$

by (simp add: spower-sskip-elim fusion-iff-1)

(rule,

metis enat.simps(3) enat-add2-eq enat-add-sub-same le-iff-add ndropn-nlength nfinite-ndropn
nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD the-enat.simps,

metis enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same le-iff-add ndropn-nlength nfinite-ndropn-b
nlength-eq-enat-nfiniteD)

lemma *PwrFusionInterR* :

$$\begin{aligned} ((V \cdot ((S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n) \cap X)) \cap ((W \cdot ((S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n) \cap Y)))) &= \\ ((V \cap W) \cdot ((S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n) \cap X \cap Y)) \end{aligned}$$

using *PwrFusionInterRsem* **by** blast

lemma *SSkipFusionImpSMore*:

$$S\text{Skip} \cdot S\text{True} \subseteq S\text{More}$$

using subsetI[of SSkip · STrue SMore]

by (auto simp add: fusion-iff-1 sskip-elim smore-elim strue-elim)

lemma *SMoreImpSSkipFusion*:

$$S\text{More} \subseteq S\text{Skip} \cdot S\text{True}$$

using subsetI[of SMore SSkip · STrue]

by (auto simp add: fusion-iff-1 sskip-elim smore-elim strue-elim)

(metis i0-less ileI1 one-eSuc one-enat-def,
metis i0-less ileI1 one-eSuc one-enat-def)

lemma *SSkipSMore*:

$$S\text{Skip} \cap S\text{More} = S\text{Skip}$$

by (simp add: inf.absorb1 smore-def sskip-def)

lemma *SPowerSkip*:

$$(\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n)) = S\text{Finite}$$

proof –

have 1: $(\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n)) \subseteq S\text{Finite}$

by (simp add: SUP-least spower-finite)

have 2: $\bigwedge x. x \in S\text{Finite} \implies x \in (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n))$

by (auto simp add: sfinite-elim spower-sskip-elim nfinite-nlength-enat)

have 3: $S\text{Finite} \subseteq (\bigcup n. (S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n))$

```

using 2 by blast
from 1 3 show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma SStarSkip:
  (SStar SSkip) = SFinite
by (simp add: sstar-def SSkipSMore spowerstar-def sfpowerstar-def SPowerSkip)
  (metis Compl-disjoint2 FusionSEmptyR SSkipSFinite UnionSFalse inf.assoc inf-bot-right
   sfalse-def sfinite-def)

```

11.4 Derived Laws

11.4.1 Helper Lemmas

```

lemma B01:
assumes (X:: 'a iintervals) ⊆ Y
shows −Y ⊆ −X
using assms by auto

```

```

lemma B04:
((X:: 'a iintervals) = Y) ←→ (X ⊆ Y) ∧ (Y ⊆ X)
by auto

```

```

lemma B09:
assumes −X ∪ Y = STrue
shows (X:: 'a iintervals) ⊆ Y
using assms using strue-def by auto

```

```

lemma B20:
(X:: 'a iintervals) ⊆ Y ∪ Z ←→ X ∩ −Y ⊆ Z
by auto

```

```

lemma B28:
((X:: 'a iintervals) ∩ Y) ∪ (X ∩ Z) = X ∩ (Y ∪ Z)
by auto

```

```

lemma CH01:
STrue · STrue = STrue
by (metis FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistR Int-commute STrueTop inf-sup-absorb )

```

```

lemma CH07:
(((SSkip ∩ X) · V) ∩ ((SSkip ∩ Y) · W)) = ((SSkip ∩ X ∩ Y) · (V ∩ W))
using PwrFusionInterL[ of 1 X V Y W]
by (simp add: FusionSEmptyR SSkipSFinite)

```

```

lemma CH08:
((V · (SSkip ∩ X)) ∩ ((W · (SSkip ∩ Y)))) = ((V ∩ W) · (SSkip ∩ X ∩ Y))
using PwrFusionInterR[of V 1 X W Y]
by (simp add: FusionSEmptyR SSkipSFinite)

```

```

lemma CH09:

```

$((X \cap SEmpty) \cdot V) \cap ((Y \cap SEmpty) \cdot W)) = (((X \cap Y) \cap SEmpty) \cdot (V \cap W))$
using *PwrFusionInterL*[of 0 X Y W]
by (*metis* (no-types, lifting) inf-assoc inf-commute pwr-0)

lemma *CH10*:
 $((V \cdot (X \cap SEmpty)) \cap ((W \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty)))) = ((V \cap W) \cdot ((X \cap Y) \cap SEmpty))$
using *PwrFusionInterR*[of V 0 X W Y]
by (*metis* (no-types, lifting) inf-assoc inf-commute pwr-0)

lemma *ST13*:
 $((X \cap SEmpty) \cdot Z) \cap ((Y \cap SEmpty) \cdot Z) = ((X \cap Y) \cap SEmpty) \cdot Z$
by (*simp add:* *CH09*)

lemma *ST15*:
 $(SStar (X \cap SEmpty)) = SEmpty$
using *SStarEqv*[of $(X \cap SEmpty)$]
by (*metis Compl-disjoint2 Powerstarhelp2 SFalseBottom UnionSFalse inf-assoc inf-bot-right sfalse-def smore-def*)

lemma *ST21*:
 $((\neg X) \cap SEmpty) \cup (X \cap SEmpty) = SEmpty$
by *blast*

lemma *ST24*:
 $(SInit X) \cap (SInit Y) = (SInit (X \cap Y))$
by (*simp add:* *ST13 sinit-def*)

lemma *ST25*:
 $(SInit STrue) = STrue$
by (*simp add:* *sinit-def strue-def FusionSEmptyL*)

lemma *ST26*:
 $(SInit (\neg X)) \cup (SInit X) = STrue$
by (*metis Compl-disjoint2 ST21 ST25 Un-Int-distrib compl-bot-eq FusionUnionDistL sinit-def strue-def sup-bot.right-neutral sup-top-right*)

lemma *ST28*:
 $(SDi (SInit X)) = (SInit X)$
by (*metis compl-bot-eq FusionAssoc FusionUnionDistR FusionSEmptyR sdi-def sinit-def strue-def sup-top-right UnionCommute*)

lemma *ST33*:
 $(STrue \cap SEmpty) \cdot SEmpty = SEmpty$
by (*simp add:* *strue-def FusionSEmptyL*)

lemma *ST36*:
 $(SInit (\neg X)) \subseteq \neg (SInit X)$
unfolding *sinit-def*
by (*metis SFalseBottom SFalseFusion ST24 disjoint-eq-subset-Compl inf.commute inf-compl-bot-left2 sinit-def*)

lemma *ST37*:
 $-(SInit X) \subseteq (SInit (-X))$
using *B09 ST26* **by** *auto*

lemma *ST38*:
 $-(SInit X) = (SInit (-X))$
using *ST37 ST36* **by** *auto*

lemma *ST47*:
 $X \cup Y \cdot X = (SEmpty \cup Y) \cdot X$
by (*simp add: FusionUnionDistL FusionSEmptyL*)

lemma *SStar01*:
assumes $X \cdot (SStar Y) \cup SEmpty \subseteq (SStar Y)$
shows $(SStar X) \subseteq (SStar Y)$
using *assms*
by (*metis Un-commute FusionSEmptyR SStarInductL*)

lemma *SStar03*:
 $(SStar X) \cdot (SStar X) \subseteq (SStar X)$
by (*metis SStarInductL Un-absorb UnfoldL sup.absorb-iff1 sup.right-idem*)

lemma *SStar05*:
assumes $((SStar X) \cdot (SStar X)) \cup SEmpty \subseteq (SStar X)$
shows $(SStar (SStar X)) \subseteq (SStar X)$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: SStar01*)

lemma *SStar12*:
 $(SEmpty \cup (X \cdot (SStar X))) \subseteq (SStar X)$
using *UnfoldL* **by** *blast*

lemma *SStar06*:
 $((SStar X) \cdot (SStar X)) \cup SEmpty \subseteq (SStar X)$
using *SStar03 SStar12* **by** *force*

lemma *SStar07*:
 $(SStar X) \subseteq (SStar (SStar X))$
proof –
have $SStar X \cdot SEmpty \cup SStar X \cdot (SStar X \cdot SStar SStar X) = SStar X \cdot SStar SStar X$
by (*metis (full-types) FusionUnionDistR UnfoldL*)
then have $SStar X \cdot SEmpty \cup SStar X \cdot (SStar X \cdot SStar SStar X) \subseteq SStar SStar X$
using *UnfoldL* **by** *auto*
then show ?thesis
by (*simp add: FusionSEmptyR*)
qed

lemma *SStar08*:
 $(SStar X) = (SStar (SStar X))$

by (meson B04 SStar05 SStar06 SStar07)

lemma SStar15:

$$SEmpty \subseteq (SStar SSkip)$$

using UnfoldL **by** fastforce

lemma SStar16:

$$SSkip \subseteq (SStar SSkip)$$

using SSkipSFinite SStarSkip **by** blast

lemma SStar22:

$$(SEmpty \cap X) \cdot (SStar (SEmpty \cap X)) = (SEmpty \cap X)$$

by (metis ST15 FusionSEmptyR inf-commute)

lemma SStar23:

$$(SStar (SEmpty \cap X)) = SEmpty$$

using SStar22 UnfoldL **by** auto

lemma SStar25:

$$(SStar STrue) = STrue$$

by (metis FusionRuleR FusionSEmptyR Un-absorb2 UnfoldL UnionCommute compl-bot-eq strue-def sup.right-idem sup-ge2 sup-top-right)

lemma SStar28:

$$(SStar X) \cdot X \subseteq X \cdot (SStar X)$$

by (metis B04 FusionUnionDistR FusionSEmptyR UnfoldL SStarInductL)

lemma SStar29:

$$X \cdot (SStar X) \subseteq (SStar X) \cdot X$$

by (metis B04 SStar28 SStarInductR UnfoldL FusionRuleL ST47 sup.mono)

lemma SStar17:

$$(SStar SSkip) \cdot SSkip \subseteq SSkip \cdot (SStar SSkip)$$

by (simp add: SStar28)

lemma SStar18:

$$SSkip \cdot (SStar SSkip) \subseteq (SStar SSkip) \cdot SSkip$$

by (simp add: SStar29)

lemma SStar19:

$$(SStar SSkip) \cdot SSkip = SSkip \cdot (SStar SSkip)$$

using SStar17 SStar18 **by** auto

lemma SStar30:

$$X \cdot (SStar X) = (SStar X) \cdot X$$

using SStar28 SStar29 **by** auto

lemma SStar34:

assumes $SEmpty \cup (X \cup Y) \cdot ((SStar X) \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot (SStar X)))) \subseteq (SStar X) \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot (SStar X)))$

shows $(SStar (X \cup Y)) \subseteq (SStar X) \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot (SStar X)))$

by (metis assms FusionSEmptyR SStarInductL)

lemma SStar35:

$(SEmpty \cup (X \cup Y) \cdot ((SStar X) \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot (SStar X))))) \subseteq (SStar X) \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot (SStar X)))$

by (simp add: FusionAssoc FusionUnionDistL ST47 UnfoldL UnionAssoc UnionCommute)

lemma SStar36:

$(SStar (X \cup Y)) \subseteq (SStar X) \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot (SStar X)))$

using SStar34 SStar35 **by** blast

lemma SStar46:

$(SStar X) \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot (SStar X))) \subseteq (SStar (X \cup Y))$

proof –

have $(SEmpty \cup SStar (X \cup Y) \cdot Y) \cdot SStar X \subseteq SStar (X \cup Y)$
by (metis (no-types) FusionUnionDistR SStar12 SStar30 SStarInductR sup.bounded-iff)
then show ?thesis **by** (simp add: SStarInductR ST47 FusionAssoc)
qed

lemma SStar47:

$(SStar Z) = (SStar (Z \cap SMore))$

proof –

have 1: $(SStar Z) = (SStar ((SEmpty \cap Z) \cup (SMore \cap Z)))$
by (metis Int-Un-distrib2 compl-bot-eq inf-top.left-neutral smore-def strue-def STrueTop)
have 2: $(SStar ((SEmpty \cap Z) \cup (SMore \cap Z))) =$
 $(SStar (SEmpty \cap Z)) \cdot (SStar ((SMore \cap Z) \cdot (SStar (SEmpty \cap Z))))$
by (simp add: SStar36 SStar46 subset-antisym)
have 3: $(SStar (SEmpty \cap Z)) \cdot (SStar ((SMore \cap Z) \cdot (SStar (SEmpty \cap Z)))) =$
 $(SStar (Z \cap SMore))$
by (simp add: FusionSEmptyL FusionSEmptyR SStar23 inf-commute)
from 1 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma SStar48:

$(SStar SMore) = STrue$

by (metis Compl-Un Compl-disjoint2 SStar25 SStar47 ST21 ST33 FusionSEmptyR inf.right-idem smore-def strue-def)

lemma SStar50:

assumes $Skip \cdot ((-X) \cup ((SStar SSkip) \cdot (X \cap (SSkip \cdot (-X))))) \cup (-X) \subseteq (-X) \cup (SStar SSkip) \cdot (X \cap (SSkip \cdot (-X)))$

shows $((SStar SSkip) \cdot (-X)) \subseteq ((-X) \cup ((SStar SSkip) \cdot (X \cap (SSkip \cdot (-X)))))$
using SStarInductL assms **by** blast

lemma SStar51:

$Skip \cdot ((-X) \cup ((SStar SSkip) \cdot (X \cap (SSkip \cdot (-X))))) \cup (-X) \subseteq (-X) \cup (SStar SSkip) \cdot (X \cap (SSkip \cdot (-X)))$

using FusionUnionDistR[of SSkip] UnfoldL[of SSkip]

proof –

have f1: $-X \cup (SEmpty \cup SSkip \cdot SStar SSkip) \cdot (X \cap (SSkip \cdot -X)) \subseteq -X \cup SStar SSkip \cdot (X \cap (SSkip \cdot -X))$

```

by (simp add: ‹SEmpty ∪ SSkip · SStar SSkip = SStar SSkip›)
have f2: SSkip · − X ⊆ − X ∪ SStar SSkip · (X ∩ (SSkip · − X))
by (metis B20 Morgan ST47 UnionIdem ‹SEmpty ∪ SSkip · SStar SSkip = SStar SSkip›
    inf-commute inf-idem sup.cobounded1)
have SSkip · (SStar SSkip · (X ∩ (SSkip · − X))) ⊆ − X ∪ SStar SSkip · (X ∩ (SSkip · − X))
using f1 by (metis (no-types) FusionAssoc ST47 Un-subset-iff)
then show ?thesis
using f2 by (simp add: ‹A Z. SSkip · (Y ∪ Z) = SSkip · Y ∪ SSkip · Z›)
qed

```

lemma SStar52:

$$(SStar X) \subseteq SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SStar X)$$

by (metis B04 SStar47 UnfoldL)

lemma SStar53:

$$SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SStar X) \subseteq (SStar X)$$

by (metis SStar12 SStar47)

lemma BD45:

$$(SBI ((−X) ∪ X1)) ∩ (X · Y) \subseteq X1 · Y$$

proof –

have 1: $(SBI ((−X) ∪ X1)) = −(−(−X) ∪ X1) \cdot (Y ∪ (−Y))$
by (metis sbi-def sdi-def STrueTop)

have 2: $−(−(−X) ∪ X1) \cdot (Y ∪ (−Y)) \cap (X · Y) \subseteq$
 $−(−(−X) ∪ X1) \cdot (Y) \cap (X · Y)$

using FusionUnionDistR **by** fastforce

have 3: $−(−(−X) ∪ X1) \cdot (Y) \cap (X · Y) \subseteq (((−X) ∪ X1) ∩ X) \cdot Y$
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) B20 FusionRuleL FusionUnionDistL Morgan UnionCommute
 double-compl order-refl)

have 4: $((−X) ∪ X1) ∩ X \subseteq X1 · Y$
by (metis B20 double-compl FusionRuleL inf.right-idem inf-le1)

from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** blast

qed

lemma BD46:

$$(SAlways ((−Y) ∪ Y1)) ∩ (X1 · Y) \subseteq (X1 · Y1)$$

proof –

have 1: $(SAlways ((−Y) ∪ Y1)) = −((SFinite ∩ (X1 ∪ −X1)) \cdot (−(−Y) ∪ Y1))$
by (simp add: salways-def ssometime-def)

have 2: $−((SFinite ∩ (X1 ∪ −X1)) \cdot (−(−Y) ∪ Y1)) =$
 $−((SFinite ∩ X1) ∪ (SFinite ∩ −X1)) \cdot (−(−Y) ∪ Y1)$
by (simp add: B28)

have 3: $−((SFinite ∩ X1) ∪ (SFinite ∩ −X1)) \cdot (−(−Y) ∪ Y1) =$
 $−((SFinite ∩ X1) \cdot (−(−Y) ∪ Y1)) ∪ (SFinite ∩ −X1) \cdot (−(−Y) ∪ Y1)$
by (simp add: FusionUnionDistL)

have 4: $−((SFinite ∩ X1) \cdot (−(−Y) ∪ Y1)) ∪ (SFinite ∩ −X1) \cdot (−(−Y) ∪ Y1) =$
 $−((SFinite ∩ X1) \cdot (−(−Y) ∪ Y1)) ∩ −((SFinite ∩ −X1) \cdot (−(−Y) ∪ Y1))$
by blast

have 5: $(X1 · Y) = ((SFinite ∩ X1) · Y) ∪ (SInf ∩ X1)$
by (metis Powerstarhelp2 Powerstarhelp3 UnionCommute inf-commute)

```

have 6:  $\neg((SFinite \cap X1) \cdot (\neg(\neg Y) \cup Y1)) =$   

     $\neg((SFinite \cap X1) \cdot (Y \cap \neg Y1))$   

by simp  

have 7:  $\neg((SFinite \cap X1) \cdot (\neg(\neg Y) \cup Y1)) \cap ((SFinite \cap X1) \cdot Y) \subseteq$   

     $(SFinite \cap X1) \cdot (\neg(\neg Y) \cup Y1) \cap Y$   

by (metis (no-types) B20 FusionRuleR FusionUnionDistR double-compl inf-sup-aci(1) order-refl)  

have 8:  $(SFinite \cap X1) \cdot (\neg(\neg Y) \cup Y1) \cap Y \subseteq (SFinite \cap X1) \cdot Y1$   

by (metis B20 FusionRuleR double-compl inf.cobounded1 inf.right-idem)  

have 9:  $(SFinite \cap X1) \cdot Y1 \subseteq X1 \cdot Y1$   

by (simp add: FusionRuleL)  

have 10:  $\neg((SFinite \cap X1) \cdot (\neg(\neg Y) \cup Y1)) \cap (SInf \cap X1) \subseteq ((SFinite \cap X1) \cdot Y1) \cup (SInf \cap X1)$   

by blast  

have 11:  $((SFinite \cap X1) \cdot Y1) \cup (SInf \cap X1) \subseteq X1 \cdot Y1$   

by (metis B04 Powerstarhelp2 Powerstarhelp3 UnionCommute inf-commute)  

have 12:  $\neg((SFinite \cap (X1 \cup \neg X1)) \cdot (\neg(\neg Y) \cup Y1)) \cap (X1 \cdot Y) =$   

     $\neg((SFinite \cap (X1 \cup \neg X1)) \cdot (\neg(\neg Y) \cup Y1)) \cap ((SFinite \cap X1) \cdot Y) \cup$   

     $\neg((SFinite \cap (X1 \cup \neg X1)) \cdot (\neg(\neg Y) \cup Y1)) \cap (SInf \cap X1))$   

by (simp add: 5 B28)  

have 13:  $\neg((SFinite \cap (X1 \cup \neg X1)) \cdot (\neg(\neg Y) \cup Y1)) \cap ((SFinite \cap X1) \cdot Y) \subseteq X1 \cdot Y1$   

using 7 8 9 2 3 by blast  

have 14:  $\neg((SFinite \cap (X1 \cup \neg X1)) \cdot (\neg(\neg Y) \cup Y1)) \cap (SInf \cap X1) \subseteq X1 \cdot Y1$   

using 10 11 by blast  

have 15:  $\neg((SFinite \cap (X1 \cup \neg X1)) \cdot (\neg(\neg Y) \cup Y1)) \cap ((SFinite \cap X1) \cdot Y) \cup$   

     $\neg((SFinite \cap (X1 \cup \neg X1)) \cdot (\neg(\neg Y) \cup Y1)) \cap (SInf \cap X1) \subseteq X1 \cdot Y1$   

using 13 14 by blast  

show ?thesis  

using 1 12 15 by blast  

qed

```

11.4.2 ITL Axioms derived

```

lemma SBoxGen:  

assumes X=STrue  

shows (SAlways X) = STrue  

using assms  

by (metis Compl-disjoint2 FusionSFalse double-compl salways-def sfalse-def sfinite-def  

    ssometime-def strue-def)

```

```

lemma SBiGen:  

assumes X=STrue  

shows (SBi X) = STrue  

using assms  

by (metis double-compl sbi-def sdi-def sfalse-def SFalseFusion strue-def)

```

```

lemma SMP:  

assumes X ⊆ Y  

assumes X=STrue  

shows Y=STrue  

using assms using strue-def by blast

```

```

lemma SChopAssoc:
   $X \cdot (Y \cdot Z) = (X \cdot Y) \cdot Z$ 
by (simp add: FusionAssoc)

lemma SOrChopImp:
   $(X \cup Y) \cdot Z \subseteq (X \cdot Z) \cup (Y \cdot Z)$ 
by (simp add: FusionUnionDistL)

lemma SChopOrImp:
   $X \cdot (Y \cup Z) \subseteq (X \cdot Y) \cup (X \cdot Z)$ 
by (simp add: FusionUnionDistR)

lemma SEmptyChop:
   $SEmpty \cdot X = X$ 
by (simp add: FusionSEmptyL)

lemma SChopEmpty:
   $X \cdot SEmpty = X$ 
by (simp add: FusionSEmptyR)

lemma SStateImpBi:
   $(SInit X) \subseteq (SBi (SInit X))$ 
by (simp add: ST28 ST38 sbi-def)

lemma SNextImpNotNextNot:
   $(SNext X) \subseteq -(SNext (-X))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $((SNext X) \subseteq -(SNext (-X))) = (((SNext X) \cap (SNext (-X))) \subseteq SFalse)$ 
    by (simp add: disjoint-eq-subset-Compl sfalse-def)
  have 2:  $((SNext X) \cap (SNext (-X))) = SSkip \cdot (X \cap (-X))$ 
    by (metis CH07 SStar16 inf.orderE snext-def)
  have 3:  $(SSkip) \cdot (X \cap (-X)) = SSkip \cdot SFalse$ 
    by (simp add: sfalse-def)
  have 4:  $SSkip \cdot SFalse = SFalse$ 
  using FusionSFalse SStar16 SStarSkip sfalse-def sfinite-def by fastforce
  from 1 2 3 4 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma SBiBoxChopImpChop:
   $(SBi ((-X) \cup X1)) \cap (SAlways ((-Y) \cup Y1)) \cap (X \cdot Y) \subseteq (X1 \cdot Y1)$ 
using BD45 BD46 by blast

lemma SBoxInduct:
   $(SAlways (-X \cup (SWnext X))) \cap X \subseteq (SAlways X)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $((SAlways (-X \cup (SWnext X))) \cap X \subseteq (SAlways X)) =$ 
     $((SSometime (-X)) \subseteq ((-X) \cup (SSometime (X \cap (SNext (-X))))))$ 
    by (simp add: salways-def snext-def swnext-def)
    blast
  have 2:  $((SSometime (-X)) \subseteq ((-X) \cup (SSometime (X \cap (SNext (-X)))))) =$ 

```

```

( ((SStar SSkip)·(−X)) ⊆ ((−X) ∪ ((SStar SSkip)·(X ∩ (SSkip·(−X)))) )  

by (simp add: SStarSkip snext-def ssometime-def)  

have 3: ( ((SStar SSkip)·(−X)) ⊆ ((−X) ∪ ((SStar SSkip)·(X ∩ (SSkip·(−X)))) )  

  using SStar51 SStar50 by blast  

from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by auto  

qed

```

```

lemma SChopstarEqv:  

  (SStar X) = SEmpty ∪ (X ∩ SMore)·(SStar X)  

using SStar52 SStar53 by blast

```

11.5 Extra Laws

11.5.1 Boolean Laws

```

lemma B02:  

  assumes −Y ⊆ −X  

  shows (X:: 'a iintervals) ⊆ Y  

using assms by auto

```

```

lemma B03:  

  ((X:: 'a iintervals) = Y) ←→ (−X = −Y)  

by auto

```

```

lemma B05:  

  assumes (X:: 'a iintervals) ∪ Y ⊆ Z  

  shows X ⊆ Z ∧ Y ⊆ Z  

using assms by auto

```

```

lemma B06:  

  assumes X ⊆ Z ∧ Y ⊆ Z  

  shows (X:: 'a iintervals) ∪ Y ⊆ Z  

using assms by auto

```

```

lemma B07:  

  (X:: 'a iintervals) ∪ Y ⊆ Z ←→ X ⊆ Z ∧ Y ⊆ Z  

by auto

```

```

lemma B08:  

  assumes (X:: 'a iintervals) ⊆ Y  

  shows −X ∪ Y = STrue  

using assms  

using strue-def by auto

```

```

lemma B10:  

  (X:: 'a iintervals) ⊆ Y ←→ −X ∪ Y = STrue  

using strue-def by auto

```

```

lemma B11:  

  assumes (X:: 'a iintervals) ⊆ Y  

  shows X ∩ −Y = SFalse

```

using *assms sfalse-def by auto*

lemma B12:

assumes $X \cap -Y = SFalse$
shows $(X:: 'a iintervals) \subseteq Y$
using *assms sfalse-def by auto*

lemma B13:

$(X:: 'a iintervals) \subseteq Y \longleftrightarrow X \cap -Y = SFalse$
using *sfalse-def by auto*

lemma B14:

assumes $(X:: 'a iintervals) \subseteq Y$
shows $X \cap Y = X$
using *assms by auto*

lemma B15:

assumes $(X:: 'a iintervals) \subseteq Y \cap Z$
shows $X \subseteq Y \wedge X \subseteq Z$
using *assms by auto*

lemma B16:

assumes $X \subseteq Y \wedge X \subseteq Z$
shows $(X:: 'a iintervals) \subseteq Y \cap Z$
using *assms by auto*

lemma B17:

$(X:: 'a iintervals) \subseteq Y \cap Z \longleftrightarrow X \subseteq Y \wedge X \subseteq Z$
by *auto*

lemma B18:

assumes $(X:: 'a iintervals) \subseteq Y \cup Z$
shows $X \cap -Y \subseteq Z$
using *assms by auto*

lemma B19:

assumes $X \cap -Y \subseteq Z$
shows $(X:: 'a iintervals) \subseteq Y \cup Z$
using *assms by auto*

lemma B21:

$(X:: 'a iintervals) \cup (Y \cap Z) \subseteq (X \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z) \longleftrightarrow$
 $X \cup (Y \cap Z) \subseteq (X \cup Y) \wedge X \cup (Y \cap Z) \subseteq (X \cup Z)$
by *auto*

lemma B22:

$(X:: 'a iintervals) \cup (Y \cap Z) \subseteq X \cup Y$
by *auto*

lemma B23:

$(X :: \text{'a iintervals}) \cup (Y \cap Z) \subseteq X \cup Z$

by auto

lemma B24:

$((X :: \text{'a iintervals}) \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z) \subseteq X \cup (Y \cap Z) \longleftrightarrow$

$((X \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z)) \cap -X \subseteq Y \cap Z$

by auto

lemma B25:

$((((X :: \text{'a iintervals}) \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z)) \cap -X \subseteq Y \cap Z \longleftrightarrow$

$((X \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z)) \cap -X \subseteq Y \wedge$

$((X \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z)) \cap -X \subseteq Z$

by auto

lemma B26:

$((((X :: \text{'a iintervals}) \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z)) \cap -X \subseteq Y$

by auto

lemma B27:

$((((X :: \text{'a iintervals}) \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z)) \cap -X \subseteq Z$

by auto

lemma B29:

$(X :: \text{'a iintervals}) \cup (Y \cap Z) = (X \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z)$

by auto

11.5.2 Chop

lemma CH02:

$X \cdot Y \cap -(X \cdot Z) \subseteq X \cdot (Y \cap -Z)$

by (metis B20 FusionRuleR FusionUnionDistR inf.right-idem inf-le1)

lemma CH03:

$X \cdot Y \cap -(Z \cdot Y) \subseteq (X \cap -Z) \cdot Y$

by (metis B20 FusionRuleL FusionUnionDistL inf.right-idem inf-le1)

lemma CH04:

$X \cdot Y \cap -(X \cdot -Z) \subseteq X \cdot (Y \cap Z)$

using CH02 **by** fastforce

lemma CH05:

$X \cdot Y \cap -(-Z \cdot Y) \subseteq (X \cap Z) \cdot Y$

using CH03 **by** fastforce

lemma CH06:

assumes $X \subseteq X1$

$Y \subseteq Y1$

shows $X \cdot Y \subseteq X1 \cdot Y1$

using assms

by (metis FusionRuleL FusionRuleR order-trans)

lemma *CH11*:

$$((X \cap (S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n)) \cdot S\text{True}) \cap ((S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n) \cdot Y) = (X \cap (S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n)) \cdot Y$$

using *PwrFusionInterL*[of *n X STrue STrue Y*]
by (*simp add: inf-commute strue-def*)

lemma *CH12*:

$$(S\text{True} \cdot (X \cap (S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n))) \cap (Y \cdot (S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n)) = (Y \cdot (X \cap (S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n)))$$

using *PwrFusionInterR*[of *STrue n X Y STrue*]
by (*metis STrueTop inf-commute inf-sup-absorb*)

lemma *CH13*:

$$(S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } n) \cdot (S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } m) = (S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } (n+m))$$

proof

(*induct n arbitrary: m*)

case 0

then show ?*case* **by** (*simp add: FusionSEmptyL*)

next

case (*Suc n*)

then show ?*case*
by (*metis FusionAssoc add-Suc pwr-Suc*)

qed

11.5.3 Next

lemma *N01*:

$$(S\text{Next } S\text{Empty}) = S\text{Skip}$$

by (*simp add: FusionSEmptyR snext-def*)

lemma *N02*:

$$(S\text{Next } S\text{False}) = S\text{False}$$

by (*metis FusionSFalse SStar16 SStarSkip disjoint-eq-subset-Compl sfalse-def sfinite-def snext-def*)

lemma *N03*:

$$(S\text{Next } X) \cdot Y = (S\text{Next } (X \cdot Y))$$

by (*simp add: snext-def FusionAssoc*)

lemma *N04*:

$$(S\text{Next } (X \cup Y)) = (S\text{Next } X) \cup (S\text{Next } Y)$$

by (*simp add: FusionUnionDistR snext-def*)

lemma *N05*:

$$(S\text{Next } (X \cap Y)) = (S\text{Next } X) \cap (S\text{Next } Y)$$

by (*metis CH07 SStar16 inf.orderE snext-def*)

lemma *N06*:

assumes *X ⊆ Y*
shows *(SNext X) ⊆ (SNext Y)*
using *assms*
by (*metis FusionUnionDistR Subsumption snext-def*)

lemma N07:

$$(SNext ((-X) \cup Y)) = (SNext (-X)) \cup (SNext Y)$$

by (simp add: N04)

lemma N08:

$$SMore \subseteq SSkip \cdot STrue$$

using SMoreImpSSkipFusion **by** blast

lemma N23:

$$(SWprev X) \subseteq (SEmpty \cup (SPrev X))$$

proof –

have 1: $(X \cap SFinite) \cdot SSkip \cup (-X \cap SFinite) \cdot SSkip = SStar SSkip \cdot SSkip$
by (metis B28 FusionUnionDistL SStarSkip STrueTop boolean-algebra-cancel.info0 compl-bot-eq inf-commute strue-def)
have 2: $(SEmpty) \cup STrue \cdot SSkip = STrue$
by (metis FusionSFalse FusionUnionDistL Powerstarhelp2 SStar19 SStarSkip UnfoldL UnionAssoc compl-bot-eq compl-sup-top sfinite-def sinf-def strue-def)
have 3: $- SWprev X \cup (SEmpty \cup SPrev X) = STrue$
unfolding swprev-def sprev-def
by (metis 2 FusionUnionDistL compl-bot-eq compl-sup-top double-compl inf-sup-aci(7) strue-def)
then show ?thesis **by** (meson B09)
qed

lemma N24:

$$(SEmpty) \subseteq (SWprev X)$$

proof –

have 1: $(-SEmpty \cap -(-SEmpty \cdot -SEmpty)) \subseteq SMore$
by (simp add: smore-def)
have 2: $-X \cdot SMore \subseteq SMore$
by (metis CH01 FusionAssoc1 FusionUnionDistL SMoreImpSSkipFusion SSkipFusionImpSMore SStar30 SStar48 STrueTop subset-antisym sup.orderI sup.right-idem)
have 3: $-X \cdot (-SEmpty \cap -(-SEmpty \cdot -SEmpty)) \subseteq SMore$
using 1 2 FusionRuleR **by** blast
show ?thesis
by (metis 3 compl-le-swap1 compl-sup smore-def sskip-def swprev-def)
qed

lemma N25:

$$(SPrev X) \subseteq (SWprev X)$$

proof –

have 1: $((SPrev X) \subseteq (SWprev X)) = (((SPrev X) \cap (SPrev (-X))) \subseteq SFalse)$
by (simp add: B10 sfalse-def sprev-def swprev-def)
have 2: $((SPrev X) \cap (SPrev (-X))) = (X \cap (-X)) \cdot SSkip$
by (metis CH08 SStar16 inf.orderE sprev-def)
have 3: $(X \cap (-X)) \cdot SSkip = SFalse \cdot SSkip$
by (simp add: sfalse-def)
have 4: $SFalse \cdot SSkip = SFalse$
by (simp add: SFalseFusion)
from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *N26*:

$$(SW_{\text{prev}} X) = (S_{\text{Empty}} \cup (SP_{\text{rev}} X))$$

using *N23 N24 N25* **by** *blast*

lemma *N09*:

$$SS_{\text{kip}} \cup SMore \cdot SS_{\text{kip}} \subseteq SMore$$

proof –

have 1: $SS_{\text{kip}} \subseteq SMore$

by (*simp add: smore-def sskip-def*)

have 2: $SMore \cdot SS_{\text{kip}} \subseteq SMore$

by (*metis N26 UnionCommute compl-le-swap1 smore-def sup-ge2 suprev-def*)

from 1 2 **show** ?*thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *N10*:

$$\text{assumes } SS_{\text{kip}} \cup SMore \cdot SS_{\text{kip}} \subseteq SMore$$

shows $SS_{\text{kip}} \cdot (SStar SS_{\text{kip}}) \subseteq SMore$

using *assms SStarInductR N09* **by** *blast*

lemma *N11*:

$$SS_{\text{kip}} \cdot STrue \subseteq SMore$$

by (*simp add: SSkipFusionImpSMore*)

lemma *N12*:

$$(SN_{\text{ext}} X) = -(SW_{\text{next}} (-X))$$

by (*simp add: snext-def swnext-def*)

lemma *N13*:

$$SMore \cdot STrue = SMore$$

by (*metis CH01 FusionAssoc1 SMoreImpSSkipFusion SSkipFusionImpSMore subset-antisym*)

lemma *N14*:

$$STrue \cdot SS_{\text{kip}} \subseteq SMore$$

by (*metis N09 ST47 STrueTop smore-def*)

lemma *N15*:

$$SMore \subseteq STrue \cdot SS_{\text{kip}}$$

proof –

have 1: $SMore \subseteq SS_{\text{kip}} \cdot STrue$

by (*simp add: SMoreImpSSkipFusion*)

have 2: $SS_{\text{kip}} \cdot STrue \subseteq STrue \cdot SS_{\text{kip}}$

proof –

have f3: $SS_{\text{kip}} \cdot SF_{\text{inite}} \subseteq STrue \cdot SS_{\text{kip}}$

by (*metis B19 FusionRuleL SStar19 SStarSkip STrueTop inf-sup-ord(2)*)

have $SS_{\text{kip}} \cdot SInf \subseteq STrue \cdot SS_{\text{kip}}$

by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) B04 Compl-disjoint2 FusionRuleR SChopAssoc SMoreImpSSkipFusion*)

Fusion

SSkipFusionImpSMore ST47 boolean-algebra-cancel.info0 compl-inf double-compl

```

inf-commute inf-le1 sfalse-def sinf-def strue-def)
then show ?thesis
  using f3 by (metis (no-types) FusionUnionDistR STrueTop le-sup-iff sfinite-def)
qed
show ?thesis
  using 2 SMoreImpSSkipFusion by blast
qed

lemma N19:
 $(SWnext X) \subseteq (SEmpty \cup (SNext X))$ 
proof -
  have STrue  $\subseteq SSkip \cdot (-X) \cup (SEmpty \cup SSkip \cdot X)$ 
    by (metis B04 FusionUnionDistR N13 SMoreImpSSkipFusion SSkipFusionImpSMore SStar48 UnfoldL
      compl-bot-eq compl-sup-top inf-sup-aci(7) strue-def)
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: B13 snext-def strue-def swnext-def)
  qed

lemma N20:
 $(SEmpty) \subseteq (SWnext X)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $((SEmpty) \subseteq (SWnext X)) = ((-SWnext X) \subseteq SMore)$ 
    by (simp add: smore-def)
  have 2:  $((-SWnext X) \subseteq SMore) = ((SNext (-X)) \subseteq SMore)$ 
    by (simp add: snext-def swnext-def)
  have 3:  $(SNext (-X)) \subseteq SSkip \cdot STrue$ 
    by (metis FusionUnionDistR STrueTop snext-def sup.orderI sup.right-idem)
  hence 4:  $(SNext (-X)) \subseteq SMore$  using SSkipFusionImpSMore by auto
  from 1 2 4 show ?thesis by auto
  qed

lemma N21:
 $(SEmpty \cup (SNext X)) \subseteq (SWnext X)$ 
using N20
by (metis B06 SNextImpNotNextNot snext-def swnext-def)

lemma N22:
 $(SWnext X) = (SEmpty \cup (SNext X))$ 
using N21 N19 by blast

lemma N16:
 $(SNext X) = SMore \cap (SWnext X)$ 
using N12 N22 smore-def by blast

lemma N17:
 $(SWnext (X \cap Y)) = (SWnext X) \cap (SWnext Y)$ 
by (simp add: N05 N22 Un-Int-distrib)

lemma N18:
 $(SWnext (X \cup Y)) = (SWnext X) \cup (SWnext Y)$ 

```

by (*simp add: swnext-def*)
(metis CH07 SSkipSFinite compl-inf)

lemma *N27*:
 $(S\text{Next} ((-X) \cup Y)) \subseteq (-(\text{SNext } X) \cup (\text{SNext } Y))$
using *N04 SNextImpNotNextNot* **by** *blast*

lemma *N28*:
 $(S\text{Prev} ((-X) \cup Y)) \subseteq (-(\text{SPrev } X) \cup (\text{SPrev } Y))$
unfolding *sprev-def*
proof –
have $\bigwedge I. (S\text{Empty}) \cup I \cdot SSkip = -(-I \cdot SSkip)$
using *N26 sprev-def swprev-def* **by** *blast*
then have $(Y \cup -X) \cdot SSkip \subseteq Y \cdot SSkip \cup - (X \cdot SSkip)$
using *FusionUnionDistL* **by** *blast*
then show $(-X \cup Y) \cdot SSkip \subseteq - (X \cdot SSkip) \cup Y \cdot SSkip$
by (*simp add: UnionCommute*)
qed

lemma *N29*:
 $(S\text{Prev } X) = -(S\text{Wprev } (-X))$
by (*simp add: sprev-def swprev-def*)

11.5.4 SInit

lemma *ST01*:
 $(X \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot Y \subseteq Y$
by (*metis Int-commute FusionUnionDistL FusionSEmptyL le-iff-sup sup-inf-absorb UnionCommute*)

lemma *ST02*:
 $(X \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot Y \subseteq (X \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot S\text{True}$
by (*simp add: FusionRuleR strue-def*)

lemma *ST03*:
 $(X \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot (X \cap S\text{Empty}) \subseteq (X \cap S\text{Empty})$
using *ST01* **by** *auto*

lemma *ST04*:
 $(X \cap S\text{Empty}) \subseteq (X \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot (X \cap S\text{Empty})$
proof –
have $\forall S Sa Sb Sc. (Sc) \cdot (Sb \cap S\text{Empty}) \cap (Sa \cdot (S \cap S\text{Empty})) = Sc \cap Sa \cdot (Sb \cap (S \cap S\text{Empty}))$
by (*simp add: CH10 inf-assoc*)
then have $\forall S Sa. (Sa) \cdot (S \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot (S \cap S\text{Empty}) = Sa \cdot (S \cap S\text{Empty})$
by (*metis FusionSEmptyR inf.idem inf-commute*)
then show ?thesis
by (*metis FusionSEmptyL subset-refl*)
qed

lemma *ST05*:
 $(X \cap S\text{Empty}) \subseteq -((-X) \cap S\text{Empty})$

by *blast*

lemma *ST06*:

$$((\neg X) \cap SEmpty) \subseteq \neg(X \cap SEmpty)$$

by *auto*

lemma *ST07*:

$$(X \cap SEmpty) \cap (Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty) \cdot STrue$$

using *ST02 FusionSEmptyR* **by** *blast*

lemma *ST08*:

$$(X \cap SEmpty) \cap (Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (STrue \cap SEmpty) \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty)$$

by (*metis FusionSEmptyL FusionSEmptyR ST33 inf.cobounded2*)

lemma *ST09*:

$$((X \cap SEmpty) \cdot STrue) \cap (STrue \cap SEmpty) \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty) \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty)$$

by (*metis compl-bot-eq eq-refl FusionSEmptyR inf.commute inf-top.left-neutral CH09 strue-def*)

lemma *ST10*:

$$(X \cap SEmpty) \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty)$$

by (*metis FusionRuleR FusionSEmptyR inf-le2*)

lemma *ST11*:

$$(X \cap SEmpty) \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (Y \cap SEmpty)$$

using *ST01* **by** *blast*

lemma *ST12*:

$$(X \cap SEmpty) \cap (Y \cap SEmpty) = (X \cap SEmpty) \cdot SEmpty \cap (Y \cap SEmpty) \cdot SEmpty$$

by (*simp add: FusionSEmptyR*)

lemma *ST14*:

$$((X \cap Y) \cap SEmpty) \cdot SEmpty = ((X \cap Y) \cap SEmpty)$$

by (*simp add: FusionSEmptyR*)

lemma *ST16*:

$$(X \cap SEmpty) \cap (Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq SEmpty$$

by (*simp add: le-infi2*)

lemma *ST17*:

$$(X \cap SEmpty) \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq SEmpty$$

using *ST10* **by** *auto*

lemma *ST18*:

$$\neg((X \cap SEmpty) \cup (Y \cap SEmpty)) = \neg(X \cap SEmpty) \cap \neg(Y \cap SEmpty)$$

by *auto*

lemma *ST19*:

$$(X \cap SEmpty) \cdot ((\neg X) \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty)$$

using *ST10* **by** *blast*

lemma *ST20*:

$$(X \cap SEmpty) \cdot ((-X) \cap SEmpty) \subseteq ((-X) \cap SEmpty)$$

using *ST01* **by** *auto*

lemma *ST22*:

$$((X \cap SEmpty) \cdot SSkip) \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty) \cdot SSkip$$

using *FusionRuleR FusionSEmptyR* **by** *blast*

lemma *ST23*:

$$((X \cap SEmpty) \cdot SSkip) \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq SSkip \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty)$$

by (*simp add: ST01 FusionRuleL*)

lemma *ST27*:

$$(SInit X) \cap (Y \cdot Z) \subseteq ((SInit X) \cap Y) \cdot Z$$

by (*metis B04 compl-bot-eq FusionAssoc FusionSEmptyL inf-commute inf-top.left-neutral CH09 sinit-def strue-def*)

lemma *ST29*:

$$(SInit X) \cdot Y \subseteq (SInit X)$$

using *ST02 FusionAssoc sinit-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *ST30*:

$$(SInit X) \cap (SDi Y) = (SDi ((SInit X) \cap Y))$$

unfolding *sdi-def sinit-def strue-def*

by (*metis CH09 FusionAssoc FusionSEmptyL compl-bot-eq inf-top.left-neutral*)

lemma *ST31*:

$$(X \cdot (STrue \cap SEmpty)) \cap (STrue \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty)) = X \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty)$$

by (*metis Int-commute compl-bot-eq inf-top.right-neutral CH10 strue-def*)

lemma *ST32*:

$$(STrue \cap SEmpty) \cdot SEmpty \cap (SInit X) = (X \cap SEmpty)$$

proof –

have $\forall S \ Sa. (Sa) \cap (S \cap Sa) = S \cap Sa$

by *fastforce*

then have $f1: \forall S \ Sa. (Sa) \cap (S \cap SEmpty) \subseteq Sa \cap SEmpty \cdot STrue$

by (*metis (no-types) ST07 inf-assoc*)

have $f4: \forall S. -(-(S::'a iintervals)) = S$

by *blast*

have $f6: \forall S. (SEmpty) \cap (S \cap (S \cap SEmpty \cdot STrue)) = S \cap SEmpty$

using *f1 by auto*

have $f7: \forall S. (SEmpty) \cap (SEmpty \cap S \cdot STrue) \subseteq S$

using *f4 by (metis CH11 FusionSEmptyR inf-aci(1) inf-le2 pwr-0)*

have $\forall S. (SEmpty) \cap (S \cap (SEmpty \cap S \cdot STrue)) = SEmpty \cap S$

using *f6 by (simp add: inf-commute)*

then have $SEmpty \cap (SEmpty \cap X \cdot STrue) = SEmpty \cap X$

using *f7 by auto*

then show ?thesis

by (*metis (no-types) ST33 inf-commute sinit-def*)

qed

lemma *ST34*:
 $((X \cap SEmpty) \cdot Y) = (SInit X) \cap Y$
by (*metis FusionSEmptyL Int-commute CH09 compl-bot-eq inf-top-right sinit-def strue-def*)

lemma *ST35*:
 $((SInit X) \cap Y) \cdot Z \subseteq (SInit X) \cap (Y \cdot Z)$
by (*metis B04 ST34 FusionAssoc*)

lemma *ST39*:
 $SEmpty \cap (SInit X) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty)$
using *ST32* **by** *blast*

lemma *ST40*:
 $(X \cap SEmpty) \subseteq SEmpty \cap (SInit X)$
using *ST32* **by** *auto*

lemma *ST41*:
 $SEmpty \cap (SInit X) = (X \cap SEmpty)$
using *ST40 ST39* **by** *auto*

lemma *ST42*:
 $(X \cap SEmpty) \subseteq ((X \cup Y) \cap SEmpty)$
by *blast*

lemma *ST43*:
 $(Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq ((X \cup Y) \cap SEmpty)$
by *blast*

lemma *ST44*:
 $(X \cap SEmpty) \cap ((-X) \cap SEmpty) = SFalse$
by (*simp add: sfalse-def*)

lemma *ST45*:
 $((X \cup Y) \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty) \cup (Y \cap SEmpty)$
by *auto*

lemma *ST46*:
 $(SInit X) \cup (SInit Y) = (SInit (X \cup Y))$
by (*simp add: Int-Un-distrib2 FusionUnionDistL sinit-def*)

lemma *ST48*:
 $-(STrue \cdot (X \cap SEmpty)) \subseteq STrue \cdot ((-X) \cap SEmpty)$
by (*metis B09 FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistR ST21 double-compl*)

11.5.5 SStar

lemma *SStar02*:
assumes $X \subseteq Y$
shows $X \cdot (SStar Y) \cup SEmpty \subseteq (SStar Y)$

```

using assms UnfoldL[of Y]
by (metis B05 B06 FusionRuleL Subsumption sup.cobounded2)

lemma SStar04:
  ( $S\text{Star } X$ )  $\subseteq$  ( $S\text{Star } X$ ) $\cdot$ ( $S\text{Star } X$ )
by (metis Un-absorb UnfoldL UnionAssoc ST47 sup.absorb-iff2)

lemma SStar09:
  assumes ( $X \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cdot (S\text{Star } X))) \cup S\text{Empty} \subseteq (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cdot (S\text{Star } X)))$ )
  shows ( $S\text{Star } X$ )  $\subseteq$   $S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cdot (S\text{Star } X))$ 
using assms
by (simp add: UnfoldL)

lemma SStar10:
  ( $X \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cdot (S\text{Star } X))) \subseteq (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cdot (S\text{Star } X)))$ )
by (metis UnfoldL sup-ge2)

lemma SStar11:
   $S\text{Empty} \subseteq (S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cdot (S\text{Star } X)))$ 
by auto

lemma SStar13:
  ( $S\text{Star } S\text{Skip} = S\text{Finite}$ )
by (simp add: SStarSkip)

lemma SStar14:
  ( $S\text{Sometime } X = (S\text{Star } S\text{Skip}) \cdot X$ )
by (simp add: SStarSkip ssometime-def)

lemma SStar20:
  ( $S\text{Star } S\text{Empty} = S\text{Empty}$ )
by (metis FusionSEmptyR ST15 ST33)

lemma SStar21:
  ( $S\text{Star } (S\text{Empty} \cap X) \cdot (S\text{Empty} \cap X) = (S\text{Empty} \cap X)$ )
by (metis ST15 FusionSEmptyL inf-commute)

lemma SStar24:
  ( $S\text{Star } S\text{False} = S\text{Empty}$ )
by (metis SStar20 SStar47 inf-compl-bot sfalse-def smore-def)

lemma SStar26:
   $X \subseteq (S\text{Star } X)$ 
using UnfoldL[of X]
by (metis B05 FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistR SStar10)

lemma SStar27:
   $S\text{Empty} \subseteq (S\text{Star } X)$ 
using UnfoldL by blast

```

lemma *SStar31*:

assumes $X \cup (X \cdot Y) \cdot (X \cdot (SStar(Y \cdot X))) \subseteq X \cdot (SStar(Y \cdot X))$
shows $(SStar(X \cdot Y)) \cdot X \subseteq X \cdot (SStar(Y \cdot X))$
using assms *SStarInductL* **by** *blast*

lemma *SStar32*:

$X \cup (X \cdot Y) \cdot (X \cdot (SStar(Y \cdot X))) \subseteq X \cdot (SStar(Y \cdot X))$
by (*metis B06 SStar10 SStar11 FusionAssoc FusionRuleR FusionSEmptyR UnfoldL*)

lemma *SStar33*:

$(SStar(X \cdot Y)) \cdot X \subseteq X \cdot (SStar(Y \cdot X))$
by (*meson SStar32 SStarInductL*)

lemma *SStar37*:

assumes $X \cdot Z \subseteq Z \cdot Y$
shows $(SStar X) \cdot Z \subseteq Z \cdot (SStar Y)$
proof –

have $Z \cdot SStar Y = Z \cdot SEmpty \cup Z \cdot (Y \cdot SStar Y)$

by (*metis FusionUnionDistR UnfoldL*)

then have $Z \cdot SStar Y \cup (Z \cup X \cdot (Z \cdot SStar Y)) = Z \cup Z \cdot Y \cdot SStar Y \cup X \cdot Z \cdot SStar Y$

using *FusionAssoc FusionSEmptyR* **by** *blast*

then have $Z \cdot SStar Y \cup (Z \cup X \cdot (Z \cdot SStar Y)) = Z \cdot SStar Y$

by (*metis (no-types) FusionAssoc FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistL FusionUnionDistR UnfoldL UnionAssoc*)

assms sup.absorb-iff1

then show ?thesis

by (*meson SStarInductL sup.absorb-iff1*)

qed

lemma *SStar38*:

assumes $Z \cdot X \subseteq Y \cdot Z$
shows $Z \cdot (SStar X) \subseteq (SStar Y) \cdot Z$
using assms

proof –

have *f1*: $Z \cup SStar Y \cdot Y \cdot Z = SStar Y \cdot Z$

by (*metis (no-types) SStar30 ST47 UnfoldL*)

have $SStar Y \cdot Y \cdot Z = SStar Y \cdot Z \cdot X \cup SStar Y \cdot Y \cdot Z$

by (*metis FusionAssoc FusionUnionDistR assms subset-Un-eq*)

then have $Z \cup SStar Y \cdot Z \cdot X \subseteq SStar Y \cdot Z$

using *f1* **by** *blast*

then show ?thesis

by (*simp add: SStarInductR*)

qed

lemma *SStar39*:

$Y \cdot (SStar((SStar X) \cdot Y)) \subseteq (SStar(Y \cdot (SStar X))) \cdot Y$
by (*simp add: SStar38 FusionAssoc*)

lemma *SStar40*:

$(SStar(Y \cdot (SStar X))) \cdot Y \subseteq Y \cdot (SStar((SStar X) \cdot Y))$

by (*simp add: SStar33*)

lemma *SStar41*:

$$Y \cdot (SStar ((SStar X) \cdot Y)) = (SStar (Y \cdot (SStar X))) \cdot Y$$

using *SStar39 SStar40* **by** *blast*

lemma *SStar42*:

$$Z \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot Z)) \subseteq (SStar (Z \cdot Y)) \cdot Z$$

by (*simp add: SStar38 FusionAssoc*)

lemma *SStar43*:

$$(SStar (Z \cdot Y)) \cdot Z \subseteq Z \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot Z))$$

by (*simp add: SStar33*)

lemma *SStar44*:

$$Z \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot Z)) = (SStar (Z \cdot Y)) \cdot Z$$

using *SStar42 SStar43* **by** *blast*

lemma *SStar49*:

$$(SStar X) = SEmpty \cup (SStar X) \cdot X$$

using *SStar30 UnfoldL* **by** *blast*

11.5.6 Box and Diamond

lemma *BD01*:

$$(SSometime SEmpty) = SFinite$$

by (*simp add: ssometime-def FusionSEmptyR*)

lemma *BD02*:

$$X \subseteq (SSometime X)$$

unfolding *ssometime-def*

by (*metis SStar15 SStarSkip ST47 subset-Un-eq*)

lemma *BD03*:

$$(SNext (SSometime X)) \subseteq (SSometime X)$$

by (*metis FusionUnionDistL N03 SStar16 SStar03 SStar04 SStarSkip snext-def ssometime-def sup.absorb-iff2 sup.orderE*)

lemma *BD04*:

$$(SSometime (SNext X)) \subseteq (SSometime X)$$

by (*metis BD03 FusionAssoc SStar28 SStar29 SStarSkip inf-sup-aci(5) snext-def ssometime-def sup.orderE*)

lemma *BD05*:

$$(SSometime X) \cup (SSometime Y) = (SSometime (X \cup Y))$$

by (*simp add: FusionUnionDistR ssometime-def*)

lemma *BD06*:

$$(SSometime STrue) = STrue$$

using *BD02 SMP* **by** *blast*

lemma *BD07*:

$(SSometime (X \cap Y)) \subseteq (SSometime X) \cap (SSometime Y)$
by (*simp add: FusionRuleR ssometime-def*)

lemma *BD08*:
 $(SAlways STrue) = STrue$
by (*simp add: SBoxGen*)

lemma *BD09*:
 $-(SAlways X) = (SSometime (-X))$
by (*simp add: salways-def*)

lemma *BD10*:
 $(SAlways X) \subseteq (SSometime X)$
by (*metis B02 BD02 BD09 set-rev-mp subsetI*)

lemma *BD11*:
 $(SSometime (SSometime X)) = (SSometime X)$
by (*metis FusionAssoc SStar03 SStar04 SStarSkip ssometime-def subset-antisym*)

lemma *BD12*:
 $(SAlways X) \subseteq X$
by (*simp add: B02 BD02 BD09*)

lemma *BD13*:
 $(SDi STrue) = STrue$
by (*simp add: CH01 sdi-def*)

lemma *BD14*:
 $(SDi SEmpty) = STrue$
by (*simp add: sdi-def FusionSEmptyL*)

lemma *BD15*:
 $(SBi STrue) = STrue$
by (*simp add: SBiGen*)

lemma *BD16*:
 $(SDi (X \cup Y)) = (SDi X) \cup (SDi Y)$
by (*simp add: FusionUnionDistL sdi-def*)

lemma *BD17*:
assumes $X \subseteq Y$
shows $(SDi X) \subseteq (SDi Y)$
using *assms*
by (*metis FusionUnionDistL Subsumption sdi-def*)

lemma *BD18*:
 $(SDi (SDi X)) = (SDi X)$
by (*metis CH01 FusionAssoc sdi-def*)

lemma *BD19*:

$(SDa \ SEmpty) = STrue$
by (metis BD01 BD06 sda-def ssometime-def)

lemma BD20:
 $(SDa \ STrue) = STrue$
by (metis BD06 CH01 sda-def ssometime-def)

lemma BD21:
 $(SBa \ STrue) = STrue$
by (metis BD15 BD08 BD09 sba-def sbi-def sda-def sdi-def ssometime-def)

lemma BD22:
 $(SDa \ (X \cup Y)) = (SDa \ X) \cup (SDa \ Y)$
by (simp add: FusionUnionDistL FusionUnionDistR sda-def)

lemma BD23:
assumes $X \subseteq Y$
shows $(SDa \ X) \subseteq (SDa \ Y)$
using assms
by (metis BD22 Subsumption)

lemma BD24:
assumes $X \subseteq Y$
shows $(SDa \ (-Y)) \subseteq (SDa \ (-X))$
using assms
by (simp add: BD23)

lemma BD25:
 $(SDi \ X) \subseteq (SDa \ X)$
by (metis BD02 FusionAssoc sda-def sdi-def ssometime-def)

lemma BD26:
 $(SSometime \ X) \subseteq (SDa \ X)$
by (metis B08 B12 FusionRuleR FusionSEmptyR SFalseBottom double-compl inf.absorb-iff2 inf-le1 sda-def ssometime-def sup-inf-absorb)

lemma BD27:
 $(SBa \ X) \subseteq (SBI \ X)$
by (simp add: BD25 sba-def sbi-def)

lemma BD28:
 $(SBa \ X) \subseteq (SAlways \ X)$
by (simp add: B02 BD26 BD09 sba-def)

lemma BD29:
 $(SAlways \ X) \cap (SAlways \ Y) = (SAlways \ (X \cap Y))$
proof –
have 1: $(SAlways \ X) \cap (SAlways \ Y) = - SSometime \ (- X) \cap - SSometime \ (- Y)$
by (simp add : salways-def)
have 2: $SSometime \ (- X) \cup SSometime \ (- Y) = SSometime \ (- X \cup - Y)$

```

using BD05 by blast
have 3:  $\neg S\text{Sometime}(\neg X \cup \neg Y) = (S\text{Always} (X \cap Y))$ 
  by (simp add : salways-def)
show ?thesis using 1 2 3 by auto
qed

```

```

lemma BD30:
   $(S\text{Always} X) \cup (S\text{Always} Y) \subseteq (S\text{Always} (X \cup Y))$ 
using BD07
by (metis B02 BD09 compl-sup)

```

```

lemma BD31:
   $(SDi (X \cap Y)) \subseteq (SDi X) \cap (SDi Y)$ 
by (simp add: BD17)

```

```

lemma BD32:
   $(SBi X) \cup (SBi Y) \subseteq (SBi (X \cup Y))$ 
using BD31
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) B02 compl-sup double-compl sbi-def)

```

```

lemma BD33:
   $(SDa (X \cap Y)) \subseteq (SDa X) \cap (SDa Y)$ 
by (simp add: BD23)

```

```

lemma BD34:
   $(SBa X) \cup (SBa Y) \subseteq (SBa (X \cup Y))$ 
using BD33
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) B02 compl-sup double-compl sba-def)

```

```

lemma BD35:
   $(S\text{Always} S\text{Empty}) = S\text{Empty}$ 
by (metis B08 BD08 BD12 FusionSEmptyR N20 SBoxInduct ST33 sup.absorb-iff2 sup.orderE)

```

```

lemma BD36:
   $(SBi S\text{Empty}) = S\text{Empty}$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\neg (S\text{Empty} \cdot S\text{True}) \subseteq S\text{Empty}$ 
    by (metis B04 N13 double-compl smore-def)
  have 2:  $S\text{Empty} \subseteq \neg (S\text{Empty} \cdot S\text{True})$ 
    using N13 smore-def by fastforce
  show ?thesis
    by (simp add: 1 2 B04 sbi-def sdi-def)
qed

```

```

lemma BD37:
   $(SBa S\text{Empty}) = S\text{Empty}$ 
by (metis BD09 BD35 BD36 sba-def sbi-def sda-def sdi-def ssometime-def)

```

```

lemma BD38:
assumes  $X \subseteq Y$ 

```

shows $(SAlways X) \subseteq (SAlways Y)$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: BD29 inf.absorb-iff2*)

lemma *BD39*:
assumes $X \subseteq Y$
shows $(SBi X) \subseteq (SBi Y)$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: BD17 sbi-def*)

lemma *BD40*:
assumes $X \subseteq Y$
shows $(SBa X) \subseteq (SBa Y)$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: BD24 sba-def*)

lemma *BD41*:
 $(SBi (SBi X)) = (SBi X)$
by (*simp add: BD18 sbi-def*)

lemma *BD42*:
 $(SAlways (SAlways X)) = (SAlways X)$
by (*simp add: BD11 salways-def*)

lemma *BD43*:
 $(SDa (SDa X)) = (SDa X)$
by (*metis BD11 CH01 FusionAssoc sda-def ssometime-def*)

lemma *BD44*:
 $(SBa (SBa X)) = (SBa X)$
by (*simp add: BD43 sba-def*)

lemma *BD47*:
 $(SAlways ((-X) \cup Y)) \subseteq ((-SAlways X) \cup (SAlways Y))$
by (*metis B20 BD12 BD29 BD38 BD42 double-compl*)

lemma *BD48*:
 $(SAlways X) \subseteq X \cap (SWnext (SAlways X))$
by (*metis B02 B16 BD03 BD09 BD12 N12 salways-def*)

lemma *BD49*:
 $(SBi ((-X) \cup Y)) \subseteq ((-SBi X) \cup (SBi Y))$
by (*metis B20 BD45 Un-commute double-complement sbi-def sdi-def*)

lemma *BD50*:
 $(SPrev (SDi X)) \subseteq (SDi X)$
by (*simp add: FusionAssoc1 FusionRuleR sdi-def sprev-def strue-elim subsetI*)

lemma *BD51*:
 $(-SBi X) = (SDi (-X))$

by (*simp add: sbi-def*)

lemma *BD52*:

$$X \subseteq (\text{SDi } X)$$

by (*metis FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistR ST33 Subsumption UnionCommute sdi-def sup-inf-absorb*)

lemma *BD53*:

$$(\text{SBI } X) \subseteq X$$

by (*simp add: B02 BD51 BD52*)

lemma *BD54*:

$$(\text{SBI } X) \subseteq X \cap (\text{SWprev } (\text{SBI } X))$$

by (*metis B02 B16 BD50 BD51 BD53 N29 sbi-def*)

lemma *BD55*:

$$(\text{SBI } (\text{SMore} \cup X)) = (\text{SInit } X)$$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) ST38 compl-sup double-complement inf-commute sbi-def sdi-def sinit-def smore-def*)

lemma *BD56*:

$$(\text{SAlways } (\text{SMore} \cup X)) = \text{STrue} \cdot (X \cap \text{SEmpty})$$

proof –

have 1: $((\text{SFinite} \cdot (X \cap \text{SEmpty})) \cup \text{SInf}) = (\text{STrue} \cdot (X \cap \text{SEmpty}))$

by (*metis Powerstarhelp2 Powerstarhelp3 UnionCommute boolean-algebra-cancel.info0 compl-bot-eq inf-commute strue-def*)

have 11: $\text{SInf} \cup \text{SFinite} \cdot (\text{SEmpty} \cap X \cup \text{SEmpty} \cap -X) = \text{STrue}$

by (*simp add: B28 FusionSEmptyR sfinitedef strue-def*)

have 2: $(\text{SAlways } (\text{SMore} \cup X)) \cap \text{SFinite} \subseteq \text{SFinite} \cdot (X \cap \text{SEmpty})$

using 11

by (*simp add: B09 BD09 FusionUnionDistR UnionCommute inf-commute inf-sup-aci(7) smore-def ssometime-def sfinitedef*)

have 3: $(\text{SAlways } (\text{SMore} \cup X)) \subseteq ((\text{SFinite} \cdot (X \cap \text{SEmpty})) \cup \text{SInf})$

using 2 sfinitedef by fastforce

have 4: $(\text{SAlways } (\text{SMore} \cup X)) \subseteq \text{STrue} \cdot (X \cap \text{SEmpty})$

using 1 3 by blast

have 5: $\text{SFinite} \cdot (X \cap \text{SEmpty}) \subseteq (\text{SAlways } (\text{SMore} \cup X))$

unfolding salways-def ssometime-def smore-def

using CH10[of SFinite X SFinite] FusionSFalse

by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) SFalseBottom compl-sup disjoint-eq-subset-Compl double-compl inf-commute inf-le2 sfinitedef*)

have 6: $\text{SInf} \subseteq (\text{SAlways } (\text{SMore} \cup X))$

by (*metis B05 BD30 FusionSEmptyR double-compl salways-def sfinitedef smore-def ssometime-def*)

show ?thesis

using 1 3 5 6 by auto

qed

lemma *BD57*:

$$(\text{SAlways } H) \subseteq \text{SAlways } (-G \cup ((\text{SAlways } H) \cap G))$$

proof –

have 1: $(\text{SAlways } H) \subseteq (-G \cup ((\text{SAlways } H) \cap G))$

```

by blast
have 2: SAlways (SAlways H) ⊆ SAlways (¬G ∪ ((SAlways H) ∩ G))
  by (simp add: 1 BD38)
have 3: SAlways (SAlways H) = (SAlways H)
  by (simp add: BD42)
show ?thesis using 2 3 by blast
qed

```

lemma BD58:

$$((SAlways H) \cap (F \cdot G)) \subseteq (F \cdot ((SAlways H) \cap G))$$

proof –

```

have 1: SAlways (¬G ∪ ((SAlways H) ∩ G)) ∩ (F \cdot G) ⊆ (F \cdot ((SAlways H) ∩ G))
  using BD46 by blast
show ?thesis using 1 BD57 by blast
qed

```

11.5.7 Finite and Infinite

lemma FI01:

$$SFinite \cdot SFinite = SFinite$$

by (metis BD01 BD11 ssometime-def)

lemma FI02:

$$(X \cap SFinite) \cdot (Y \cap SFinite) \subseteq (X \cdot Y) \cap SFinite$$

by (metis B16 CH06 FI01 inf.cobounded1 inf-le2)

lemma FI03:

$$(X \cdot Y) \cap SFinite \subseteq (X \cap SFinite) \cdot (Y \cap SFinite)$$

proof –

```

have 1: (X \cdot Y) = (X \cap SFinite) \cdot (Y \cap SFinite) ∪ (X \cap SFinite) \cdot (Y \cap SInf)
  ∪ (X \cap SInf) \cdot (Y \cap SFinite) ∪ (X \cap SInf) \cdot (Y \cap SInf)
  by (metis FusionUnionDistR Powerstarhelp2 Powerstarhelp3 SInfSFinite UnionCommute sup.right-idem)
have 2: ((X \cap SFinite) \cdot (Y \cap SFinite)) ∩ SFinite ⊆ (X \cap SFinite) \cdot (Y \cap SFinite)
  by auto
have 3: (X \cap SFinite) \cdot (Y \cap SInf) ∩ SFinite ⊆ (X \cap SFinite) \cdot (Y \cap SFinite)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) B20 FusionAssoc FusionSFalse inf-le2 sfinite-def subset-trans sup-ge1)
have 4: (X \cap SInf) \cdot (Y \cap SFinite) ∩ SFinite ⊆ (X \cap SFinite) \cdot (Y \cap SFinite)
  using Powerstarhelp2 sfinite-def by fastforce
have 5: (X \cap SInf) \cdot (Y \cap SInf) ∩ SFinite ⊆ (X \cap SFinite) \cdot (Y \cap SFinite)
  by (metis 4 Powerstarhelp2)
have 6: (X \cdot Y) ∩ SFinite = ((X \cap SFinite) \cdot (Y \cap SFinite) ∩ SFinite) ∪
  ((X \cap SFinite) \cdot (Y \cap SInf) ∩ SFinite) ∪
  ((X \cap SInf) \cdot (Y \cap SFinite) ∩ SFinite) ∪
  ((X \cap SInf) \cdot (Y \cap SInf) ∩ SFinite)
  using 1 by auto
from 6 2 3 4 5 show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

lemma FI04:

$$(X \cap SFinite) \cdot (Y \cap SFinite) = (X \cdot Y) \cap SFinite$$

using FI03 FI02 **by** fastforce

lemma FI05:

$SAlways \cdot SMore \subseteq SInf$

by (metis B04 BD56 Compl-disjoint2 sfalse-def sinf-def smore-def sup.orderE)

lemma FI06:

$SEmpty \subseteq SFinite$

using BD01 BD02 **by** auto

lemma FI07:

$SSkip \cdot SFinite \subseteq SFinite$

using SStarSkip UnfoldL **by** fastforce

lemma FI08:

$SFinite \cdot SSkip \subseteq SFinite$

by (metis FI07 SStar19 SStarSkip)

lemma FI09:

$SFinite \cdot SSkip = SFinite \cap SMore$

proof –

have 1: $SFinite \cdot SSkip \subseteq SFinite \cap SMore$

by (metis B16 FI07 N09 N10 SStar19 SStarSkip)

have 2: $SFinite \cap SMore \subseteq SFinite \cdot SSkip$

by (metis B20 FI01 FI02 SStar19 SStarSkip UnfoldL inf.idem smore-def)

show ?thesis **using** 1 2 **by** blast

qed

lemma FI10:

$SFinite \cdot SSkip = SSkip \cdot SFinite$

by (metis SStar19 SStarSkip)

lemma FI11:

$SFinite \cap SEmpty = SEmpty$

by (simp add: FI06 Int-absorb2 inf-sup-aci(1))

lemma FI12:

$SInf \cdot SInf = SInf$

by (metis FusionSFalse Powerstarhelp2 sinf-def)

lemma FI13:

$SFinite \cdot SInf = SInf$

by (metis BD06 FusionAssoc1 sinf-def ssometime-def)

lemma FI14:

$SInf \cdot SFinite = SInf$

by (metis FusionSFalse Powerstarhelp2 sinf-def)

lemma FI15:

$SInf = - SFinite$

```

by (simp add: sfinite-def)

lemma FI16:
  SFinite = - SInf
  by (simp add: sfinite-def)

lemma FI17:
  ((F·STrue) ∩ SFinite) = (F ∩ SFinite)·SFinite
  by (metis FI04 boolean-algebra-cancel.info0 compl-bot-eq inf-commute strue-def)

lemma FI18:
  ((STrue·F) ∩ SFinite) = SFinite·(F ∩ SFinite)
  by (metis BD19 FI01 FI04 FI17 FusionSEmptyR inf.idem sda-def)

lemma FI19:
  SFinite· SMore = SMore
  by (metis BD06 FusionAssoc1 N14 N15 ssometime-def subset-antisym)

lemma FI20:
  SInf ∪ SFinite = STrue
  using STrueTop sfinite-def by auto

lemma FI21:
  SFMore = SSkip·SFinite
  using FI09 FI10 sfmore-def by auto

lemma FI22:
  (F ∩ SFinite ⊆ G) = ((F ∩ SFinite) ⊆ (G ∩ SFinite))
  by simp

lemma FI23:
  (F·G) ∩ SInf = F · (G ∩ SInf)
  by (metis FusionAssoc FusionSFalse)

lemma FI24:
  ((F·G) ∩ SInf) = ((F ∩ SInf) ∪ ((F ∩ SFinite)·(G ∩ SInf)))
  using FI23[of F G] Powerstarhelp2 Powerstarhelp3 by fastforce

lemma FI25:
  SMore ∩ SInf = SInf
  using SStar15 SStarSkip sfinite-def smore-def by fastforce

lemma FI26:
  (F ∩ SInf)·(F ∩ SInf) = (F ∩ SInf)
  using Powerstarhelp2 by blast

lemma FI27:
  (F ∩ SInf)·G = (F ∩ SInf)
  using Powerstarhelp2 by blast

```

lemma FI28:
 $((F \cap SMore) \cap SInf) = (F \cap SInf)$
using FI25 by blast

lemma FI29:
 $((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) = (F \cap SFMore)$
by (metis inf-assoc inf-commute sfmore-def)

lemma FI30:
 $(SEmpty \cap SFMore) = SFalse$
by (simp add: sfalse-def sfmore-def smore-def)

lemma FI31:
 $((F \cap SInf) \cap SFMore) = SFalse$
by (simp add: sfalse-def sfinite-def sfmore-def)

lemma FI32:
 $(SEmpty \cap SInf) = SFalse$
using FI25 sfalse-def smore-def by auto

lemma FI33:
 $(F \cap SInf) = F \cdot SFalse$
by (simp add: FusionSFalse)

lemma FI34:
 $(F \cap SFinite) \cdot G \subseteq SSometime G$
by (simp add: FusionRuleL ssometime-def)

lemma FI35:
assumes $F \subseteq SNext F$
shows $SFinite \subseteq -F$
using assms
by (metis B01 FusionSEmptyR N12 N22 SStarInductL SStarSkip double-compl snext-def)

lemma FI36:
assumes $F \cap -G \subseteq SNext F$
shows $F \cap SFinite \subseteq SSometime G$
using assms
proof –
have 1: $F \cap -G \subseteq SNext F$
using assms by auto
have 2: $F \cap -G \cap SAlways (-G) \subseteq SNext F \cap SAlways (-G)$
using assms by blast
have 3: $SAlways (-G) \subseteq -G$
by (simp add: BD12)
have 4: $SAlways (-G) = SAlways (-G) \cap -G$
using 3 by blast
have 5: $F \cap SAlways (-G) \subseteq SNext F \cap SAlways (-G)$
using 2 4 by blast
have 51: $(SFinite \cdot G) = G \cup (SSkip \cdot (SFinite \cdot G))$

```

by (metis FusionAssoc1 SStarSkip ST47 UnfoldL)
have 6:  $SAlways(-G) = -G \cap SWnext(SAlways(-G))$ 
  using 51 by (simp add: salways-def ssometime-def swnext-def) blast
have 7:  $SNext F \cap SAlways(-G) \subseteq SNext F \cap SWnext(SAlways(-G))$ 
  using 6 by blast
have 8:  $F \cap SAlways(-G) \subseteq SNext F \cap SWnext(SAlways(-G))$ 
  using 5 7 by blast
have 9:  $F \cap SAlways(-G) \subseteq SMore \cap SWnext F \cap SWnext(SAlways(-G))$ 
  using 8 N16 by blast
have 10:  $F \cap SAlways(-G) \subseteq SWnext F \cap SWnext(SAlways(-G))$ 
  using 8 N16 by fastforce
have 11:  $F \cap SAlways(-G) \subseteq SWnext(F \cap SAlways(-G))$ 
  using 10 N17 by blast
have 12:  $SAlways(F \cap SAlways(-G)) \subseteq SWnext(F \cap SAlways(-G))$ 
  by (metis 10 B15 BD12 N17 inf.absorb-iff2)
have 13:  $SAlways(F \cap SAlways(-G)) \subseteq$ 
   $SWnext(F \cap SAlways(-G)) \cap F \cap -(SAlways(-G)) \cup SAlways(F \cap SAlways(-G)))$ 
  using 12 BD12 by auto
have 14:  $(F \cap SAlways(-G)) \subseteq SAlways(F \cap SAlways(-G))$ 
  using 12 13
  by (metis 10 B08 BD08 N17 SBoxInduct boolean-algebra-cancel.info compl-bot-eq inf-commute strue-def)
have 15:  $SAlways(F \cap SAlways(-G)) \subseteq (F \cap SAlways(-G))$ 
  using BD12 by blast
have 16:  $SAlways(F \cap SAlways(-G)) = (F \cap SAlways(-G))$ 
  using 14 15 by blast
have 17:  $(F \cap SAlways(-G)) \subseteq SMore$ 
  using 9 by blast
have 18:  $SAlways(F \cap SAlways(-G)) \subseteq SAlways SMore$ 
  by (simp add: 17 BD38)
have 19:  $SFinite = -(SAlways SMore)$ 
  by (simp add: BD01 salways-def smore-def)
have 20:  $SFinite \subseteq -(F \cap SAlways(-G))$ 
  using 16 18 19 by blast
have 21:  $SFinite \subseteq -F \cup -(SAlways(-G))$ 
  using 20 by blast
have 22:  $-(SAlways(-G)) = SSometime G$ 
  by (simp add: BD09)
show ?thesis
  using 20 22 by blast
qed

```

lemma FI37:
assumes $F \cap -G \subseteq SNext(F \cap -G)$
shows $F \cap SFinite \subseteq SSometime G$
using assms
by (metis B15 FI36 N05)

lemma FI38:
assumes $F \cap -G \subseteq (SNext F) \cap -(SNext G)$
shows $F \cap SFinite \subseteq G$

proof –

have 1: $F \cap -G \subseteq S\text{Next}((F \cap -G))$
 using N17[of $F - G$]
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) N12 N16 assms double-compl inf.semigroup-axioms semigroup.assoc)
 have 2: $S\text{Finite} \subseteq -(F \cap -G)$
 using 1 FI35 by auto
 show ?thesis
 using 2 by blast
 qed

lemma FI39:
assumes $S\text{Wnext}(\text{SSometime } F) \subseteq F$
shows $S\text{Finite} \subseteq F$
proof –
 have 1: $-F \subseteq S\text{Next}(-F)$
 by (metis BD02 N12 N18 Subsumption assms compl-le-swap2 double-complement sup.coboundedI1)
 from 1 show ?thesis using FI35 by blast
 qed

lemma FI40:
assumes $S\text{Empty} \subseteq F$
 $S\text{Next } F \subseteq F$
shows $S\text{Finite} \subseteq F$
proof –
 have 1: $-F \subseteq S\text{Next}(-F)$
 using N12 N19 assms(1) assms(2) by blast
 from 1 show ?thesis using FI35 by blast
 qed

lemma FI41:
assumes $S\text{Empty} \cap F \subseteq G$
 $S\text{Next}(-F \cup G) \cap F \subseteq G$
shows $F \cap S\text{Finite} \subseteq G$
proof –
 have 1: $(F \cap -G) \subseteq S\text{Next}(F \cap -G)$
 using N19[of $(-F \cup G)$]
 using assms(1) assms(2) snext-def swnext-def by fastforce
 have 2: $S\text{Finite} \subseteq -(F \cap -G)$
 using 1 FI35 by auto
 from 2 show ?thesis by blast
 qed

lemma FI42:
assumes $F \subseteq S\text{More}\cdot F$
shows $S\text{Finite} \subseteq -F$
proof –
 have 1: $\text{SSometime } F \subseteq S\text{Next}(\text{SSometime } F)$
 by (simp add: ssometime-def snext-def)
 (metis FI21 SChopAssoc SStarSkip ST47 UnfoldL assms order-refl subset-Un-eq)
 have 2: $S\text{Finite} \subseteq -(S\text{Sometime } F)$

```

by (simp add: 1 FI35)
show ?thesis
  by (metis 2 B01 FI21 SStarSkip ST47 UnfoldL assms le-iff-sup ssometime-def subset-trans)
qed

```

```

lemma FI43:
  assumes  $F \cap -G \subseteq SFMore \cdot (F \cap -G)$ 
  shows  $F \cap SFinite \subseteq G$ 
  proof -
    have 1:  $SFinite \subseteq -(F \cap -G)$ 
    using FI42 assms by blast
    from 1 show ?thesis by blast
  qed

```

```

lemma FI44:
  assumes  $F \cap SFinite \subseteq SFMore \cdot F$ 
  shows  $SFinite \subseteq -F$ 
  proof -
    have 1:  $F \cap SFinite \subseteq SFMore \cdot (F \cap SFinite)$ 
    by (metis FI04 FI21 FI22 SSkipSFinite assms inf.idem)
    show ?thesis
      by (metis 1 B01 B11 B12 FI43 inf.right-idem sfinite-def)
  qed

```

```

lemma FI45:
  assumes  $F \cap SFinite \subseteq SMore \cdot F$ 
  shows  $SFinite \subseteq -F$ 
  proof -
    have 1:  $F \cap SFinite \subseteq SFMore \cdot (F \cap SFinite)$ 
    by (metis FI04 FI22 assms inf-commute sfmore-def)
    show ?thesis
      by (metis 1 B01 B11 B12 FI43 inf.right-idem sfinite-def)
  qed

```

```

lemma FI46:
  assumes  $F \subseteq SMore \cdot F$ 
  shows  $SFinite \subseteq -F$ 
  proof -
    have 1:  $F \cap SFinite \subseteq SFMore \cdot (F \cap SFinite)$ 
    using B11 FI45 assms by auto
    show ?thesis
      by (metis 1 B01 B11 B12 FI43 inf.right-idem sfinite-def)
  qed

```

```

lemma FI47:
  assumes  $(F \cap -G) \cap SFinite \subseteq SFMore \cdot (F \cap -G)$ 
  shows  $F \cap SFinite \subseteq G$ 
  proof -
    have 1:  $SFinite \subseteq -(F \cap -G)$ 
    using FI44 assms by blast

```

```

from 1 show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma FI48:
assumes "(F ∩ -G) ⊆ SMore ∙ (F ∩ -G)"
shows "F ∩ SFinite ⊆ G"
proof -
have 1: "SFinite ⊆ -(F ∩ -G)"
  using FI45 assms by blast
from 1 show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma FI49:
assumes "F ⊆ G ∙ F"
  "G ⊆ SFMore"
shows "SFinite ⊆ -F"
proof -
have 1: "G ∙ F ⊆ SFMore ∙ F"
  by (simp add: FusionRuleL assms(2))
have 2: "F ⊆ SFMore ∙ F"
  using 1 assms(1) by auto
from 2 show ?thesis
  by (simp add: FI42)
qed

lemma FI50:
assumes "F ⊆ G ∙ F"
  "G ⊆ SMore"
shows "SFinite ⊆ -F"
proof -
have 1: "G ∙ F ⊆ SMore ∙ F"
  by (simp add: FusionRuleL assms(2))
have 2: "F ⊆ SMore ∙ F"
  using 1 assms(1) by auto
from 2 show ?thesis
  by (simp add: FI46)
qed

lemma FI51:
assumes "F ∩ -G ⊆ (H ∙ F) ∩ -(H ∙ G)"
  "H ⊆ SFMore"
shows "F ∩ SFinite ⊆ G"
proof -
have 1: "H ∙ (F ∩ -G) ⊆ SFMore ∙ (F ∩ -G)"
  by (simp add: FusionRuleL assms(2))
have 2: "F ∩ -G ⊆ SFMore ∙ (F ∩ -G)"
  using 1 CH02 assms(1) by blast
from 2 show ?thesis
  by (simp add: FI43)
qed

```

lemma *FI52*:

assumes $F \cap -G \subseteq (H \cdot F) \cap -(H \cdot G)$
 $H \subseteq SMore$

shows $F \cap SFinite \subseteq G$

proof –

have 1: $H \cdot (F \cap -G) \subseteq SMore \cdot (F \cap -G)$
by (*simp add: FusionRuleL assms(2)*)

have 2: $F \cap -G \subseteq SMore \cdot (F \cap -G)$
using 1 *CH02 assms(1)* **by** *blast*

from 2 **show** ?thesis
by (*simp add: FI48*)

qed

lemma *FI53*:

$SAlways\ SInf = SInf$
by (*metis BD01 BD35 BD42 FI15 salways-def*)

11.5.8 Omega

lemma *OA01*:

$(somega\ SSkip) = SSkip \cdot (somega\ SSkip)$
by (*metis SOmegaUnroll SSkipSFinite SSkipSMore*)

lemma *OA02*:

$(somega\ SEmpty) = SFalse$
by (*metis FI30 SFalseFusion SOmegaUnroll inf-assoc inf-commute sfmore-def*)

lemma *OA03*:

$(somega\ SFalse) = SFalse$
by (*metis Compl-disjoint2 Powerstarhelp2 SOmegaUnroll inf-assoc inf-compl-bot-right sfalse-def*)

lemma *OA04*:

$(somega\ SInf) = SFalse$
by (*metis FI25 SFalseBottom SFalseFusion SOmegaUnroll inf-commute sfinite-def*)

lemma *BD59*:

$SInf \cap G \cap SAlways (-G \cup (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot G)) \subseteq$
 $((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (SInf \cap G \cap SAlways(-G \cup (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot G)))$

proof –

have 1: $SInf \cap SAlways (-G \cup (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot G)) \subseteq (-G \cup (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot G))$
using *BD12* **by** *blast*

have 2: $SInf \cap G \cap SAlways (-G \cup (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot G)) \subseteq$
 $SInf \cap (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot G) \cap SAlways (-G \cup (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot G))$
using 1 **by** *blast*

have 3: $SInf \cap (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot G) \cap SAlways (-G \cup (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot G)) \subseteq$
 $((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (SInf \cap G \cap SAlways(-G \cup (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot G)))$
by (*metis BD58 FI23 inf-commute*)

show ?thesis **using** 2 3 **by** *blast*

qed

lemma OA06:

$SInf \cap G \cap SAlways (-G \cup (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot G)) \subseteq (somega F)$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) BD59 SOmegaWeakCoinduct)

lemma FI54:

$SAlways SMore \subseteq SInf$

by (metis BD38 BD56 Compl-disjoint2 SMoreImpSSkipFusion SSkipFusionImpSMore inf-le2 sfalse-def sfalse-def sinf-def smore-def subset-antisym sup.orderE)

lemma FI55:

$SAlways SFinite = SFinite$

using FI13 FI15 salways-def ssometime-def **by** fastforce

lemma FI56:

$(SAlways SMore) = SFalse$

using BD12 FI31 FI54 **by** blast

lemma OA07:

$(somega ((SPower SSkip (Suc n)))) \subseteq SInf$

by (metis FI15 FI42 FusionRuleL SOmegaUnroll boolean-algebra-cancel.inf0 compl-le-swap1 inf-commute inf-le2 inf-mono sfalse-def)

lemma OA08:

$SInf \subseteq (somega ((SPower SSkip (Suc n))))$

proof –

have 1: $SInf \cap STrue \cap SAlways (-STrue \cup (((SPower SSkip (Suc n)) \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot STrue) \subseteq (somega (SPower SSkip (Suc n)))$

by (simp add: OA06)

have 2: $-STrue \cup (SPower SSkip (Suc n) \cap SMore) \cap SFinite \cdot STrue = (SPower SSkip (Suc n) \cap SMore) \cap SFinite \cdot STrue$

by (simp add: strue-def)

have 21: $((((SPower SSkip (Suc n)) \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot STrue) \subseteq SMore$

by (metis N13 N14 N15 SStar25 SStarInductR inf-le2 le-sup-iff subset-antisym sup-inf-absorb)

have 3: $SAlways (((((SPower SSkip (Suc n)) \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot STrue)) \subseteq SAlways (SMore)$

using BD38 21 **by** auto

have 4: $SAlways (SMore) \subseteq SInf$

using FI05 **by** blast

have 5: $SAlways (-STrue \cup (((SPower SSkip (Suc n)) \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot STrue) \subseteq SInf$

by (metis 2 3 FI05 subset-trans)

have 6: $SInf \subseteq SAlways (SMore)$

by (simp add: BD01 FI15 salways-def smore-def)

have 7: $(SPower SSkip (Suc n)) \cap SMore \cap SFinite \subseteq SMore \cap SFinite$

using FI07 FI21 **by** blast

have 8: $SMore \subseteq (SMore \cap SFinite) \cdot STrue$

by (metis FI19 FI21 ITA.SChopAssoc SMoreImpSSkipFusion SSkipFusionImpSMore SStar19 SStarSkip inf-commute smore-def subset-antisym)

have 9: $SInf \cap (((SPower SSkip (Suc n)) \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot STrue =$

$((((SPower SSkip (Suc n)) \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot SInf)$

by (metis FI23 boolean-algebra-cancel.inf0 compl-bot-eq inf-commute strue-def)

```

have 10:  $SInf \cap SAlways ( (((SPower SSkip (Suc n)) \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot STrue) =$ 
 $SAlways ( (((SPower SSkip (Suc n)) \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot SInf)$ 
by (metis 9 BD29 FI53)
have 11:  $(((SPower SSkip (Suc n)) \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot SInf = SInf$ 
proof (induct n)
case 0
then show ?case
proof -
have f1:  $(SFinite) \cap SSkip = SSkip$ 
using SSkipSFinite by blast
have f2:  $\forall S. S \cdot SSkip \subseteq (SMore \cap SFinite) \vee \neg S \subseteq SFinite$ 
using FI10 FI21 FusionRuleL by (metis inf-commute sfmore-def)
have f3:  $(SEmpty) \cdot SSkip = SSkip$ 
using f1 by (metis FusionSEmptyR inf-commute pwr-0 spower-commutes)
have f4:  $(SEmpty) \subseteq SFinite$ 
using pwr-0 spower-finite by blast
have f5:  $(SSkip) \subseteq SFinite$ 
using f1 by blast
have f6:  $(SSkip) \cdot (STrue \cdot (SFalse \cdot SFalse)) = SInf$ 
by (metis FI25 FusionAssoc1 FusionSFalse Powerstarhelp2 SMoreImpSSkipFusion SSkipFusion-
ImpSMore
subset-antisym)
have f7:  $(SSkip) \cap SMore \cap SFinite = SSkip$ 
using f4 f3 f2 by blast
have  $(SSkip) \cdot SInf = SInf$ 
using f6 f5 f4 f3 f2 by (simp add: SFalseFusion sinf-def)
then show ?thesis
by (simp add: f7 FusionSEmptyR SSkipSFinite)
qed
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
proof -
have f1:  $SSkip \cdot STrue = SMore$ 
using SMoreImpSSkipFusion SSkipFusionImpSMore by blast
have f2:  $\forall S. STrue \cap S = S$ 
by (simp add: strue-def)
have f3:  $\forall S. SMore \cap (SSkip \cdot S) = SSkip \cdot S$ 
using f1
by (metis (no-types) B14 CH06 boolean-algebra-cancel.inf0 compl-bot-eq inf-aci(1) inf-le2 strue-def)
then have f0:  $\forall S. SMore \cap SFinite \cap (SSkip \cdot S) = SFinite \cap (SSkip \cdot S)$ 
by blast
then show ?thesis
using f3 f2 f1
proof -
have f4:  $SPower (SSkip) (Suc (Suc n)) \cap SMore \cap SFinite = SFinite \cap (SSkip \cap SFinite \cdot SPower$ 
 $SSkip (Suc n))$ 
by (metis SSkipSFinite f3 inf-sup-aci(1) pwr-Suc)
then have f5:  $SPower (SSkip) (Suc (Suc n)) \cap SMore \cap SFinite = SSkip \cap SFinite \cdot SPower SSkip$ 
 $(Suc n)$ 

```

```

proof -
  have ( $S\text{Skip}$ )  $\cap S\text{Finite} \cdot S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } (\text{Suc } n) \subseteq S\text{Finite}$ 
    using pwr-Suc spower-finite by blast
  then show ?thesis
    using f4 by blast
  qed
  show ?thesis
  by (metis B14 CH01 FI12 FI23 FI25 FusionAssoc1 Powerstarhelp3 SSkipSFinite Suc f1 f3
    inf-commute pwr-Suc sinf-def spower-finite sup-inf-absorb)
  qed
  qed
  qed
have 12:  $S\text{Inf} \subseteq S\text{Always} (((((S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } (\text{Suc } n)) \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot S\text{Inf}))$ 
  by (metis 11 B04 FI53)
show ?thesis
  using 11 SOmegaWeakCoinduct by (metis 12 FI53)
qed

```

lemma OA09:

$$(\text{somega } ((S\text{Power } S\text{Skip } (\text{Suc } n)))) = S\text{Inf}$$
using OA07 OA08 **by** blast

lemma OA10:

$$(\text{somega } S\text{Skip}) = S\text{Inf}$$
by (metis FusionSEmptyR OA09 SSkipSFinite pwr-0 spower.simps(2))

lemma OA11:

$$(\text{somega } S\text{True}) \subseteq S\text{Inf}$$
by (metis FI15 FI42 SOmegaUnroll boolean-algebra.compl-zero boolean-algebra-cancel.inf0
 compl-le-swap1 dual-order.refl inf-commute sfmore-def strue-def)

lemma OA12:

$$S\text{Inf} \subseteq (\text{somega } S\text{True})$$
proof -
 have 1: $(S\text{Always } (S\text{False} \cup ((S\text{True} \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot S\text{True})) \subseteq S\text{Inf}$
by (metis B04 BD01 BD09 BD35 FI10 FI15 FI19 FI21 ITA.SChopAssoc SMoreImpSSkipFusion
 SSkipFusionImpSMore boolean-algebra.compl-zero boolean-algebra.de-Morgan-disj
 boolean-algebra-cancel.inf0 inf-commute salways-def sfalse-def sfmore-def smore-def strue-def)
 have 2: $S\text{Inf} \subseteq (S\text{Always } (S\text{False} \cup ((S\text{True} \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot S\text{True}))$
by (metis 1 B15 BD01 BD09 BD12 BD35 FI10 FI15 FI19 FI21 FI56 ITA.SChopAssoc SMoreImpSSkip-
 Fusion
 SSkipFusionImpSMore boolean-algebra.compl-zero boolean-algebra-cancel.inf0 inf.absorb-iff2
 inf-commute salways-def sfmore-def smore-def strue-def sup.absorb2)
 have 3: $S\text{Inf} \cap S\text{True} \cap (S\text{Always } (S\text{False} \cup ((S\text{True} \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot S\text{True})) \subseteq (\text{somega } S\text{True})$
by (metis OA06 compl-bot-eq compl-top-eq sfalse-def strue-def)
show ?thesis
 using 2 3 strue-def **by** fastforce
qed

lemma OA13:

$$(\text{somega } S\text{True}) = S\text{Inf}$$

by (simp add: B04 OA11 OA12)

lemma OA14:

$$(\text{somega } S\text{More}) \subseteq S\text{Inf}$$

by (metis Int-absorb1 Int-lower2 OA13 SOmegaUnroll SOmegaWeakCoinduct compl-bot-eq inf-top-left strue-def)

lemma OA15:

$$S\text{Inf} \subseteq (\text{somega } S\text{More})$$

proof –

have 1: $(S\text{Always } (S\text{False} \cup ((S\text{More} \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot S\text{True})) \subseteq S\text{Inf}$

by (metis FI05 FI10 FI19 FI21 FusionSEmptyR ITA.SChopAssoc SMoreImpSSkipFusion SSkipFusion-ImpSMore

ST33 boolean-algebra.compl-one boolean-algebra.compl-zero boolean-algebra.de-Morgan-conj inf.idem inf-commute sfalse-def sfmore-def smore-def strue-def subset-antisym)

have 2: $S\text{Inf} \subseteq (S\text{Always } (S\text{False} \cup ((S\text{More} \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot S\text{True}))$

by (metis BD38 FI10 FI19 FI21 FI25 FI53 FusionSEmptyR ITA.SChopAssoc SMoreImpSSkipFusion SSkipFusionImpSMore ST33 boolean-algebra.compl-one boolean-algebra.compl-zero boolean-algebra.de-Morgan-conj inf.idem inf-commute inf-le1 sfalse-def sfmore-def smore-def strue-def subset-antisym)

have 3: $S\text{Inf} \cap S\text{True} \cap (S\text{Always } (S\text{False} \cup ((S\text{More} \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot S\text{True})) \subseteq (\text{somega } S\text{True})$

using OA13 **by** blast

show ?thesis

by (metis 1 2 Int-absorb1 OA06 boolean-algebra-cancel.inf0 compl-bot-eq compl-top-eq sfalse-def strue-def subset-antisym)

qed

lemma OA16:

$$(\text{somega } S\text{More}) = S\text{Inf}$$

using OA14 OA15 **by** blast

lemma OA17:

$$(\text{somega } S\text{Finite}) \subseteq S\text{Inf}$$

by (metis FI07 FI15 FI21 FI42 SOmegaUnroll compl-le-swap1 dual-order.refl inf.orderE sfmore-def)

lemma OA18:

$$S\text{Inf} \subseteq (\text{somega } S\text{Finite})$$

proof –

have 1: $(S\text{Always } (S\text{False} \cup ((S\text{Finite} \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot S\text{True})) \subseteq S\text{Inf}$

by (metis B14 FI05 FI07 FI10 FI19 FI21 FusionSEmptyR ITA.SChopAssoc SMoreImpSSkipFusion SSkipFusionImpSMore ST33 boolean-algebra.compl-one boolean-algebra.compl-zero boolean-algebra.de-Morgan-conj sfalse-def sfmore-def smore-def strue-def subset-antisym)

have 2: $S\text{Inf} \subseteq (S\text{Always } (S\text{False} \cup ((S\text{Finite} \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot S\text{True}))$

by (metis B14 BD38 FI10 FI19 FI21 FI25 FI53 FusionSEmptyR ITA.SChopAssoc SMoreImpSSkipFusion SSkipFusionImpSMore ST33 boolean-algebra.compl-one boolean-algebra.compl-zero boolean-algebra.de-Morgan-conj inf-le1 sfalse-def sfmore-def smore-def strue-def subset-antisym)

have 3: $S\text{Inf} \cap S\text{True} \cap (S\text{Always } (S\text{False} \cup ((S\text{Finite} \cap S\text{More}) \cap S\text{Finite}) \cdot S\text{True})) \subseteq (\text{somega } S\text{True})$

using OA13 **by** blast

show ?thesis
by (metis 1 2 Int-absorb1 OA06 boolean-algebra-cancel.info0 compl-bot-eq compl-top-eq sfalse-def strue-def subset-antisym)
qed

lemma OA19:
 $(\text{somega } SFinite) = SInf$
by (simp add: B04 OA17 OA18)

lemma OA20:
assumes $H \subseteq ((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot H$
shows $H \cap SInf \subseteq (\text{somega } F)$
using assms
using SOmegaWeakCoinduct **by** blast

lemma OA21:
assumes $F \subseteq G$
shows $(\text{somega } F) \cap SInf \subseteq (\text{somega } G)$
using assms
by (metis FusionRuleL OA20 SOmegaUnroll inf-mono subset-refl)

lemma OA22:
assumes $F = G$
shows $(\text{somega } F) = (\text{somega } G)$
using assms **by** auto

lemma OA23:
 $(\text{somega } (F \cap G)) \cap SInf \subseteq (\text{somega } F)$
by (simp add: OA21)

lemma OA24:
 $(\text{somega } (F \cap G)) \cap SInf \subseteq (\text{somega } G)$
by (simp add: OA21)

lemma BD60:
 $(SBi F) \cap (G0 \cdot G1) \subseteq (F \cap G0) \cdot G1$
proof –
have 1: $F \subseteq (-G0 \cup (F \cap G0))$
by blast
have 2: $SBi F \subseteq SBi(-G0 \cup (F \cap G0))$
by (simp add: 1 BD39)
have 3: $SBi(-G0 \cup (F \cap G0)) \cap (G0 \cdot G1) \subseteq (F \cap G0) \cdot G1$
by (simp add: BD45)
show ?thesis
using 2 3 **by** blast
qed

lemma BD61:
 $(SAlways H) \cap (F \cdot G) \subseteq F \cdot (H \cap G)$
proof –

have 1: $H \subseteq (-G \cup (H \cap G))$
by blast
have 2: $SAlways H \subseteq SAlways (-G \cup (H \cap G))$
by (simp add: 1 BD38)
have 3: $SAlways (-G \cup (H \cap G)) \cap (F \cdot G) \subseteq F \cdot (H \cap G)$
using BD46 by blast
show ?thesis
using 2 3 by blast
qed

lemma BD62:
 $(SBa F) \cap (G0 \cdot G1) \subseteq (F \cap G0) \cdot (F \cap G1)$
proof –
have 1: $(SBa F) \subseteq (SBi F)$
by (simp add: BD27)
have 2: $(SBi F) \cap (G0 \cdot G1) \subseteq (F \cap G0) \cdot G1$
by (simp add: BD60)
have 3: $(SBa F) \subseteq (SAlways F)$
by (simp add: BD28)
have 4: $(SAlways F) \cap ((F \cap G0) \cdot G1) \subseteq (F \cap G0) \cdot (F \cap G1)$
using BD61 by blast
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 4 by blast
qed

lemma BD63:
 $(SBa F) \cap (G \cdot G1) \subseteq (F \cap G) \cdot ((SBa F) \cap G1)$
proof –
have 1: $(SBa F) = (SBa (SBa F))$
using BD44 by blast
have 2: $(SBa (SBa F)) \cap (G \cdot G1) \subseteq G \cdot ((SBa F) \cap G1)$
using BD28 BD61 by blast
have 3: $(SBa F) \cap (G \cdot ((SBa F) \cap G1)) \subseteq (F \cap G) \cdot ((SBa F) \cap G1)$
using BD62 FusionRuleR by blast
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 by blast
qed

lemma OA25:
 $SBa (-F \cup G) \cap SInf \cap (somega F) \subseteq (somega G)$
proof –
have 1: $SBa (-F \cup G) \cap (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (somega F)) \subseteq$
 $((-F \cup G) \cap ((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite)) \cdot ((-F \cup G) \cap (somega F))$
by (simp add: BD62)
have 2: $(-F \cup G) \cap ((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \subseteq ((G \cap SMore) \cap SFinite)$
by auto
have 3: $(-F \cup G) \cap (somega F) \subseteq (somega F)$
by auto
have 4: $SBa (-F \cup G) \cap (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (somega F)) \subseteq ((G \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (somega F)$
using 1 2 3 CH06 by blast

```

have 5:  $SBa(-F \cup G) \cap (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (somega F)) \subseteq$   

 $((-F \cup G) \cap ((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite)) \cdot (SBa(-F \cup G) \cap (somega F))$   

using BD63 by blast  

have 6:  $((-F \cup G) \cap ((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite)) \cdot (SBa(-F \cup G) \cap (somega F)) \subseteq$   

 $((G \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (SBa(-F \cup G) \cap (somega F))$   

using 2 FusionRuleL by blast  

have 7:  $(SBa(-F \cup G) \cap (somega F)) \subseteq ((G \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (SBa(-F \cup G) \cap (somega F))$   

using 5 6 SOmegaUnroll by blast  

have 8:  $(SBa(-F \cup G) \cap (somega F)) \cap SInf \subseteq (somega G)$   

by (simp add: 7 OA20)  

show ?thesis  

using 8 by auto  

qed

```

lemma OA26:

$$SBa((-F \cup G) \cap (-G \cup F)) \cap SInf \subseteq (-(somega G) \cup (somega F)) \cap (-(somega F) \cup (somega G))$$

proof –

```

have 1:  $SBa((-F \cup G) \cap (-G \cup F)) = SBa(-F \cup G) \cap SBa(-G \cup F)$   

by (simp add: BD22 sba-def)  

have 2:  $SBa(-F \cup G) \cap SInf \subseteq (-(somega F) \cup (somega G))$   

by (simp add: B19 OA25)  

have 3:  $SBa(-G \cup F) \cap SInf \subseteq (-(somega G) \cup (somega F))$   

by (simp add: B19 OA25)  

show ?thesis  

using 1 2 3 by blast  

qed

```

lemma OA27:

$$SBa F \cap (somega G) \cap SInf \subseteq somega(F \cap G)$$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) BD63 OA20 SOmegaUnroll inf-assoc)

lemma FI57:

$$SInf \cap (((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot G) = ((F \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (G \cap SInf)$$

using FI23 **by** blast

lemma FI58:

$$SInf \cap (SAlways F) = SAlways(F \cap SInf)$$

using BD29 FI53 **by** blast

lemma FI59:

$$SInf \cap (SAlways(-F \cup G)) = SAlways((-F \cap SInf) \cup (G \cap SInf))$$

by (simp add: FI58 inf-sup-distrib2)

11.6 Link between Set of Intervals and ITL

lemma interval-lan [simp]:

$$\sigma \in (lan f) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models f)$$

by (simp add: lan-def)

lemma valid-lan-eqv :

$((\text{lan } f) = (\text{lan } g)) \longleftrightarrow (\vdash f = g)$
using interval-lan lan-def Valid-def **by** fastforce

lemma valid-lan-imp :
 $((\text{lan } f) \subseteq (\text{lan } g)) \longleftrightarrow (\vdash f \rightarrow g)$
using interval-lan lan-def Valid-def
by (simp add: Valid-def lan-def Collect-mono-iff)

lemma valid-strue :
 $((\text{lan } f) = S\text{True}) \longleftrightarrow (\vdash f)$
using strue-def **by** fastforce

lemma strue-true :
 $\sigma \in S\text{True} \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models \# \text{True})$
by (simp add: strue-elim)

lemma strue-true-1 :
 $S\text{True} = (\text{lan } (\text{LIFT } \# \text{True}))$
using lan-def strue-true **by** fastforce

lemma sfalse-false :
 $\sigma \in S\text{False} \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models \# \text{False})$
by (simp add: sfalse-def)

lemma sfalse-false-1 :
 $S\text{False} = (\text{lan } (\text{LIFT}(\# \text{False})))$
using sfalse-false **using** lan-def **by** fastforce

lemma not-negation :
 $\sigma \in (\neg (\text{lan } f)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models \neg f)$
by simp

lemma not-negation-1 :
 $\neg (\text{lan } f) = (\text{lan } (\text{LIFT}(\neg f)))$
using interval-lan lan-def **by** fastforce

lemma inter-and :
 $(\sigma \in ((\text{lan } f) \cap (\text{lan } g))) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models f \wedge g)$
by (simp add: lan-def)

lemma inter-and-1 :
 $((\text{lan } f) \cap (\text{lan } g)) = (\text{lan } (\text{LIFT}(f \wedge g)))$
using inter-and lan-def **by** fastforce

lemma union-or :
 $(\sigma \in ((\text{lan } f) \cup (\text{lan } g))) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models f \vee g)$
by (simp add: lan-def)

lemma union-or-1 :
 $((\text{lan } f) \cup (\text{lan } g)) = (\text{lan } (\text{LIFT}(f \vee g)))$

using *union-or lan-def by fastforce*

lemma *subset-impl*:

$$(\sigma \in (-(\text{lan } f) \cup (\text{lan } g))) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models f \rightarrow g)$$

by *simp*

lemma *subset-impl-1*:

$$(-(\text{lan } f) \cup (\text{lan } g)) = (\text{lan } (\text{LIFT}(f \rightarrow g)))$$

using *subset-impl lan-def by fastforce*

lemma *fusion-chop*:

$$(\sigma \in ((\text{lan } f) \cdot (\text{lan } g))) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models f;g)$$

by *(auto simp add: fusion-iff chop-nfuse)*

lemma *fusion-chop-1*:

$$((\text{lan } f) \cdot (\text{lan } g)) = (\text{lan } (\text{LIFT}(f;g)))$$

using *fusion-chop lan-def by blast*

lemma *sempty-empty*:

$$\sigma \in SEmpty \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models empty)$$

by *(simp add: itl-defs sempty-elim zero-enat-def)*

lemma *sempty-empty-1*:

$$SEmpty = (\text{lan } (\text{LIFT } empty))$$

using *sempty-empty lan-def by fastforce*

lemma *smore-more*:

$$\sigma \in SMore \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models more)$$

using *zero-enat-def by (auto simp add: itl-defs sempty-elim smore-def)*

lemma *smore-more-1*:

$$SMore = (\text{lan } (\text{LIFT } more))$$

using *smore-more lan-def by fastforce*

lemma *sskip-skip*:

$$\sigma \in SSkip = (\sigma \models skip)$$

by *(simp add: one-enat-def itl-defs sskip-elim)*

lemma *sskip-skip-1*:

$$SSkip = (\text{lan } (\text{LIFT } skip))$$

using *sskip-skip lan-def by fastforce*

lemma *snext-next*:

$$\sigma \in (SNext (\text{lan } f)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models \bigcirc f)$$

by *(metis snext-def fusion-chop next-d-def sskip-skip-1)*

lemma *snext-next-1*:

$$(SNext (\text{lan } f)) = (\text{lan } (\text{LIFT}(\bigcirc f)))$$

using *snext-next lan-def by fastforce*

```

lemma swnext-wnext:
 $\sigma \in (SWnext(lan f)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models wnext f)$ 
by (simp add: swnext-def fusion-chop-1 next-d-def not-negation-1 sskip-skip-1 wnext-d-def)
using swnext-wnext lan-def by fastforce

lemma swnext-wnext-1:
 $(SWnext(lan f)) = (lan(LIFT(wnext f)))$ 
using swnext-wnext lan-def by fastforce

lemma sprev-prev:
 $\sigma \in (SPrev(lan f)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models prev f)$ 
by (metis fusion-chop prev-d-def sprev-def sskip-skip-1)
using sprev-prev lan-def by fastforce

lemma sprev-prev-1:
 $(SPrev(lan f)) = (lan(LIFT(prev f)))$ 
using sprev-prev lan-def by fastforce

lemma swprev-wprev:
 $\sigma \in (SWprev(lan f)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models wprev f)$ 
by (simp add: fusion-chop-1 not-negation-1 prev-d-def sskip-skip-1 suprev-def wprev-d-def)
using swprev-wprev lan-def by fastforce

lemma sinit-init:
 $\sigma \in SInit(lan f) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models init f)$ 
by (simp add: Int-commute fusion-chop-1 init-d-def inter-and-1 sempty-empty-1 sinit-def strue-true-1)
using sinit-init lan-def by fastforce

lemma sinit-init-1:
 $SInit(lan f) = (lan(LIFT(init f)))$ 
using sinit-init lan-def by fastforce

lemma sfinite:
 $\sigma \in SFinite \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models finite)$ 
by (simp add: sfinite-def sinf-def finite-d-def infinite-d-def fusion-chop sfalse-false-1 strue-true-1)
using sfinite lan-def by fastforce

lemma sfinite-1:
 $SFinite = lan(LIFT(finite))$ 
using sfinite lan-def by fastforce

lemma and-inter-finite:
 $\sigma \in (((lan f) \cap SFinite)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models (f \wedge finite))$ 
using sfinite inter-and by auto

lemma and-inter-finite-1:
 $((lan f) \cap SFinite) = lan(LIFT(f \wedge finite))$ 
by (simp add: inter-and-1 sfinite-1)
using sfinite inter-and by auto

lemma and-inter-more:
 $\sigma \in (((lan f) \cap SMore)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models (f \wedge more))$ 

```

using smore-more inter-and **by** auto

lemma and-inter-more-1:

$\sigma \in (((\text{lan } f) \cap \text{SMore})) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \in (\text{lan } (\text{LIFT}(f \wedge \text{more}))))$
using and-inter-more lan-def **by** (simp add: smore-more-1)

lemma and-inter-more-2:

$((\text{lan } f) \cap \text{SMore}) = (\text{lan } (\text{LIFT}(f \wedge \text{more})))$
using and-inter-more-1 **by** blast

lemma and-chop:

$\sigma \in (((\text{lan } f) \cap \text{SMore}) \cdot (\text{lan } g)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models (f \wedge \text{more}); g)$
by (metis fusion-chop inter-and-1 smore-more-1)

lemma and-chop-1:

$((\text{lan } f) \cap \text{SMore}) \cdot (\text{lan } g) = (\text{lan } (\text{LIFT}((f \wedge \text{more}); g)))$
using and-chop lan-def **by** blast

lemma spower-chop-power:

$(\text{SPower } (\text{lan } f) n) = (\text{lan } (\text{LIFT}(\text{fpower } f n)))$

proof (induct n)

case 0

then show ?case

by (simp add: sempty-empty-1 fpower-d-def)

next

case (Suc n)

then show ?case

by (simp add: and-inter-finite-1 fusion-chop-1 fpower-d-def)

qed

lemma spowerstar:

$\sigma \in \text{SPowerstar } (\text{lan } f) \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in \text{SFPowerstar } (\text{lan } (f)) \cdot (\text{SEmpty} \cup ((\text{lan } f) \cap \text{SInf}))$
by (simp add: spowerstar-def)

lemma sstar-spowerstar:

$\sigma \in \text{SStar } (\text{lan } f) \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in \text{SPowerstar } ((\text{lan } f) \cap \text{SMore})$
by (simp add: sstar-def)

lemma union-exists:

$\sigma \in (\bigcup n. \text{SPower } (\text{lan } f) n) \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in \text{lan}(\text{LIFT}(\exists n. \text{fpower } f n))$
by (simp add: spower-chop-power)

lemma union-exists-1:

$(\bigcup n. \text{SPower } (\text{lan } f) n) = \text{lan}(\text{LIFT}(\exists n. \text{fpower } f n))$
using union-exists lan-def **by** blast

lemma sstar-chopstar:

$\sigma \in (\text{SStar } (\text{lan } f)) \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in (\text{lan } (\text{LIFT}(f^*)))$

proof –

have 1: $\sigma \in (\text{SStar } (\text{lan } f)) \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in \text{SPowerstar } ((\text{lan } f) \cap \text{SMore})$

```

using sstar-spowerstar by blast
have 2:  $\sigma \in SPowerstar((lan f) \cap SMore) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\sigma \in SFPowerstar(lan(f) \cap SMore) \cdot (SEmpty \cup (((lan f) \cap SMore) \cap SInf))$ 
by (simp add: spowerstar-def)
have 3:  $SFPowerstar(lan(f) \cap SMore) = SFPowerstar(lan(LIFT(f \wedge more)))$ 
by (simp add: and-inter-more-2)
have 31:  $\bigwedge n. SPower(lan(LIFT(f \wedge more))) n = lan(LIFT(fpower(f \wedge more) n))$ 
using spower-chop-power by blast
have 32:  $SFPowerstar(lan(LIFT(f \wedge more))) = lan(LIFT(fpowerstar(f \wedge more)))$ 
using union-exists-1 by (auto simp add: sfpowerstar-def fpowerstar-d-def)
have 4:  $(SEmpty \cup (((lan f) \cap SMore) \cap SInf)) =$ 
 $lan(LIFT(empty \vee ((f \wedge more) \wedge inf)))$ 
by (metis Morgan and-inter-more-2 finite-d-def inter-and-1 not-negation-1 sempty-empty-1
sfinite-1 sfinite-def union-or-1)
have 5:  $SFPowerstar(lan(f) \cap SMore) \cdot (SEmpty \cup (((lan f) \cap SMore) \cap SInf)) =$ 
 $lan(LIFT(powerstar(f \wedge more)))$ 
by (simp add: powerstar-d-def 3 32 4 fpowerstar-d-def fusion-chop-1)
have 6:  $lan(LIFT(powerstar(f \wedge more))) =$ 
 $lan(LIFT(chopstar f))$ 
by (simp add: chopstar-d-def)
show ?thesis
by (simp add: 1 2 5 6)
qed

```

```

lemma chopstar-sstar-1:
 $(SStar(lan f)) = (lan(LIFT(f^*)))$ 
using sstar-chopstar lan-def by blast

```

```

lemma chopstar-seqv:
 $\sigma \in (lan(LIFT(f^*))) \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in (lan(LIFT(empty \vee (f \wedge more); f^*)))$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) SChopstarEqv chopstar-sstar-1 fusion-chop-1 inter-and-1
sempty-empty-1 smore-more-1 union-or-1)

```

```

lemma chopstar-seqv-1:
 $(lan(LIFT(f^*))) = (lan(LIFT(empty \vee (f \wedge more); f^*)))$ 
using chopstar-seqv lan-def by blast

```

```

lemma sinf:
 $\sigma \in SInf \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models inf)$ 
by (simp add: fusion-chop infinite-d-def sfalse-false-1 sinf-def strue-true-1)

```

```

lemma sinf-1:
 $SInf = lan(LIFT(inf))$ 
using sinf by fastforce

```

```

lemma fmore:
 $\sigma \in SFMore \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models fmore)$ 
by (metis fmore-d-def inf-commute inter-and-1 interval-lan sfinite-1 sfmore-def smore-more-1)

```

```

lemma fmore-1:

```

$SFMore = lan(LIFT(fmore))$

using $fmore$ **by** fastforce

lemma omega-induct-sem:

$x \in - (lan g) \cup (((((lan f) \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (lan g))) \leftrightarrow$

$(x \models g \rightarrow ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite); g)$

by (simp add: fusion-chop-1 inter-and-1 sfinite-1 smore-more-1)

lemma omega-induct:

$- (lan g) \cup (((((lan f) \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (lan g))) =$

$lan(LIFT(g \rightarrow ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite); g))$

using omega-induct-sem[of - g f] **by** fastforce

lemma somega-omega-sem-1:

assumes $x \models f^\omega$

shows $x \in \text{somega} (lan f)$

proof –

have 1: $x \models f^\omega \rightarrow ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite); f^\omega$

by (metis OmegaUnroll intD int-iffD1 inteq-reflection)

have 2: $x \in - (lan (LIFT(f^\omega))) \cup (((((lan f) \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (lan (LIFT(f^\omega))))$

using 1 omega-induct-sem **by** blast

have 3: $\bigwedge x . x \in (lan (LIFT(f^\omega))) \implies x \in (((((lan f) \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (lan (LIFT(f^\omega)))))$

by (metis OmegaUnroll fusion-chop-1 inteq-reflection inter-and-1 sfinite-1 smore-more-1)

show ?thesis **using** 3 SOmegaWeakCoinductsem assms interval-lan **by** blast

qed

lemma somega-omega-sem-2:

assumes $x \in \text{somega} (lan f)$

shows $x \models f^\omega$

proof –

have 1: $x \in - (\text{somega} (lan f)) \cup (((((lan f) \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (\text{somega} (lan f))))$

using SOmegaCases **by** blast

have 2: $\bigwedge x . (\lambda x . x \in \text{somega} (lan f)) x \implies x \in (((((lan f) \cap SMore) \cap SFinite) \cdot (\text{somega} (lan f))))$

using SOmegaCases **by** blast

have 3: $\bigwedge x . (\lambda x . x \in \text{somega} (lan f)) x \implies$

$(\exists n . f ((ntaken n x)) \wedge n > 0 \wedge$

$(\lambda x . x \in \text{somega} (lan f)) ((ndropn n (x))))$

using interval-lan[of - f] somega.cases[of - (lan f)]

by (metis enat-ord-simps(2) ndropn-nfuse nfinite-nlength-enat ntaken-nfuse the-enat.simps zero-enat-def)

have 4: $\bigwedge x . (\lambda x . x \in \text{somega} (lan f)) x \implies$

$x \models ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite); (\lambda x . x \in \text{somega} (lan f))$

by (metis 3 FMoreSem-var i0-less min-enat-simps(2) ntaken-nfuse ntaken-nlength somega.simps)

have 5: $(\lambda x . x \in \text{somega} (lan f)) x$

by (simp add: assms)

show ?thesis **using** 4 5 OmegaWeakCoinductSem[of $(\lambda x . x \in \text{somega} (lan f)) f$]

by (metis Int-iff Prop10 intI inteq-reflection inter-and-1 interval-lan)

qed

lemma *somega-omega*:

$x \in \text{somega}(\text{lan } f) \longleftrightarrow (x \models f^\omega)$

using *somega-omega-sem-1* *somega-omega-sem-2* **by** *blast*

lemma *somega-omega-1*:

$\text{somega}(\text{lan } f) = \text{lan}(\text{LIFT}(f^\omega))$

using *somega-omega* **by** *fastforce*

end

12 Until operator for Finite and Infinite Intervals

theory *Until*

imports *Semantics SChopTheorems*

begin

This theory introduces the weak and strong versions of the until operator. The theorems from [11] are proven in a mostly deductive style.

12.1 Definitions

definition *until-d* :: $('a :: \text{world}) \text{ formula} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ formula} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ formula}$

where $\text{until-d } F G \equiv \lambda s. (\exists k. k \leq \text{nlength } s \wedge (\text{ndropn } k s) \models G) \wedge (\forall j. j < k \longrightarrow (\text{ndropn } j s) \models F))$

syntax

$\text{-until-d} :: [\text{lift}, \text{lift}] \Rightarrow \text{lift} \quad ((\text{- U -}) [84,84] 83)$

syntax (ASCII)

$\text{-until-d} :: [\text{lift}, \text{lift}] \Rightarrow \text{lift} \quad ((\text{- until -}) [84,84] 83)$

translations

$\text{-until-d} \Rightarrow \text{CONST until-d}$

definition *suntil-d* :: $('a :: \text{world}) \text{ formula} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ formula} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ formula}$

where $\text{suntil-d } F G \equiv \text{LIFT}(\bigcirc(F \mathcal{U} G))$

definition *wait-d* :: $('a :: \text{world}) \text{ formula} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ formula} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ formula}$

where $\text{wait-d } F G \equiv \text{LIFT}(\Box F \vee F \mathcal{U} G)$

definition *release-d* :: $('a :: \text{world}) \text{ formula} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ formula} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ formula}$

where $\text{release-d } F G \equiv \text{LIFT}(\neg(\neg F) \mathcal{U} (\neg G))$

syntax

$\text{-wait-d} :: [\text{lift}, \text{lift}] \Rightarrow \text{lift} \quad ((\text{- W -}) [84,84] 83)$

$\text{-release-d} :: [\text{lift}, \text{lift}] \Rightarrow \text{lift} \quad ((\text{- R -}) [84,84] 83)$

$\text{-suntil-d} :: [\text{lift}, \text{lift}] \Rightarrow \text{lift} \quad ((\text{- U}^s -) [84,84] 83)$

syntax (ASCII)

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{-}wait\text{-}d & :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift & ((\text{-} wait \text{-}) [84,84] 83) \\ \text{-}release\text{-}d & :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift & ((\text{-} release \text{-}) [84,84] 83) \\ \text{-}suntil\text{-}d & :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift & ((\text{-} suntil \text{-}) [84,84] 83) \end{array}$$

translations

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{-}wait\text{-}d & \Rightarrow CONST \text{ wait-}d \\ \text{-}release\text{-}d & \Rightarrow CONST \text{ release-}d \\ \text{-}suntil\text{-}d & \Rightarrow CONST \text{ suntil-}d \end{array}$$

definition $srelease\text{-}d :: ('a :: world) formula \Rightarrow 'a formula \Rightarrow 'a formula$
where $srelease\text{-}d F G \equiv LIFT(\neg((\neg F) \mathcal{W} (\neg G)))$

syntax

$$\text{-}srelease\text{-}d :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift \quad ((\text{-} \mathcal{M} \text{-}) [84,84] 83)$$

syntax (ASCII)

$$\text{-}srelease\text{-}d :: [lift,lift] \Rightarrow lift \quad ((\text{-} srelease \text{-}) [84,84] 83)$$

translations

$$\text{-}srelease\text{-}d \Rightarrow CONST \text{ srelease-}d$$

12.2 Axioms

12.2.1 NextUntil

lemma $NextUntil$ *sema*:

assumes $(\sigma \models \circ(f \mathcal{U} g))$

shows $(\sigma \models (\circ f) \mathcal{U} (\circ g))$

proof -

have $0: 0 < nlength \sigma \wedge$

$(\exists k. k \leq nlength (ndropn (Suc 0) \sigma) \wedge g ((ndropn (Suc k) \sigma)) \wedge$
 $(\forall j < k. f ((ndropn (Suc j) \sigma)))$

using *assms zero-enat-def* **by** (auto simp add: next-defs until-d-def ndropn-ndropn)

have $1: 0 < nlength \sigma$

using 0 **by** auto

have $2: (\exists k. k \leq nlength (ndropn (Suc 0) \sigma) \wedge g ((ndropn (Suc k) \sigma)) \wedge$

$(\forall j < k. f ((ndropn (Suc j) \sigma)))$

using 0 **by** auto

obtain k **where** $3: k \leq nlength (ndropn (Suc 0) \sigma) \wedge g ((ndropn (Suc k) \sigma)) \wedge$

$(\forall j < k. f ((ndropn (Suc j) \sigma)))$

using 2 **by** auto

have $4: g ((ndropn (Suc k) \sigma))$

using 3 **by** auto

have $5: k \leq nlength \sigma$

using 3 0

by (metis diff-le-self dual-order.order-iff-strict enat-ile enat-ord-simps(1) idiff-enat-enat less-le-trans ndropn-nlength not-less)

have $6: 0 < nlength (ndropn k \sigma)$

using 1 3

```

by (metis gr-zeroI iless-Suc-eq is-NNil-ndropn leD le-numeral-extra(3) ndropn-0
      ndropn-Suc-conv-ndropn nlength-NCons zero-enat-def)
have 7: ( $\forall j < k. 0 < \text{nlength}(\text{ndropn } j \sigma) \wedge f((\text{ndropn } (\text{Suc } j) \sigma)))$ )
using 3 5
by (metis enat-ord-simps(2) is-NNil-ndropn ndropn-0 not-less order.trans zero-le)
have 71:  $\text{nlength } \sigma - \text{enat } k \neq \text{enat } 0$ 
using 6 zero-enat-def by auto
have 72: ( $\forall j < k. \text{nlength } \sigma - \text{enat } j \neq \text{enat } 0 \wedge f(\text{ndropn } (\text{Suc } j) \sigma))$ 
using 7 zero-enat-def by auto
have 8:  $\exists k. k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$ 
       $\text{nlength } \sigma - \text{enat } k \neq \text{enat } 0 \wedge$ 
       $g((\text{ndropn } (\text{Suc } k) \sigma)) \wedge$ 
       $(\forall j < k. \text{nlength } \sigma - \text{enat } j \neq \text{enat } 0 \wedge f(\text{ndropn } (\text{Suc } j) \sigma))$ 
using 3 5 6 71 7 72 by blast
from 8 show ?thesis
by (simp add: next-defs until-d-def ndropn-ndropn)
qed

```

```

lemma NextUntilsemb:
assumes ( $\sigma \models (\bigcirc f) \mathcal{U} (\bigcirc g)$ )
shows ( $\sigma \models \bigcirc(f \mathcal{U} g)$ )
proof -
have 1:  $\exists k. k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge 0 < \text{nlength}(\text{ndropn } k \sigma) \wedge g((\text{ndropn } (\text{Suc } k) \sigma)) \wedge$ 
       $(\forall j < k. j < \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge f((\text{ndropn } (\text{Suc } j) \sigma)))$ 
using assms
by (auto simp add: next-defs until-d-def ndropn-ndropn)
      (metis is-NNil-ndropn le-zero-eq ndropn-0 ndropn-nlength not-less zero-enat-def)
obtain k where 2:  $k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge 0 < \text{nlength}(\text{ndropn } k \sigma) \wedge$ 
       $g((\text{ndropn } (\text{Suc } k) \sigma)) \wedge$ 
       $(\forall j < k. j < \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge f((\text{ndropn } (\text{Suc } j) \sigma)))$ 
using 1 by auto
have 3:  $0 < \text{nlength } \sigma$ 
using 2 by auto
have 4:  $k \leq \text{nlength}(\text{ndropn } (\text{Suc } 0) \sigma)$ 
by auto
      (metis 2 3 add.commute add.right-neutral enat-min iless-Suc-eq ndropn-0
       ndropn-Suc-conv-ndropn ndropn-nlength nlength-NCons zero-enat-def)
have 5:  $g((\text{ndropn } (\text{Suc } k) \sigma))$ 
using 2 by auto
have 6:  $(\forall j < k. j < \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge f((\text{ndropn } (\text{Suc } j) \sigma)))$ 
using 2 by blast
have 7:  $0 < \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$ 
       $(\exists k. k \leq \text{nlength}(\text{ndropn } (\text{Suc } 0) \sigma) \wedge g((\text{ndropn } (\text{Suc } k) \sigma)) \wedge$ 
       $(\forall j < k. f((\text{ndropn } (\text{Suc } j) \sigma)) \ ) \ )$ 
using 2 3 4 by blast
from 7 show ?thesis by (auto simp: next-defs until-d-def zero-enat-def ndropn-ndropn)
qed

```

```

lemma NextUntilsem:
 $\sigma \models \bigcirc(f \mathcal{U} g) = (\bigcirc f) \mathcal{U} (\bigcirc g)$ 

```

using *NextUntilsema* *NextUntilsemb* **using** *unl-lift2* **by** *blast*

lemma *NextUntil*:

$$\vdash \circ(f \mathcal{U} g) = (\circ f) \mathcal{U} (\circ g)$$

using *NextUntilsem* *Valid-def* **by** *blast*

12.2.2 UntilNextUntil

lemma *UntilNextUntilsema*:

assumes $0 < nlength \sigma \wedge$

$$(\exists k. 0 < k \wedge k \leq nlength \sigma \wedge g((ndropn k \sigma)) \wedge (\forall j. 0 < j \wedge j < k \longrightarrow f((ndropn j \sigma))))$$

shows $(\sigma) \models \circ(f \mathcal{U} g)$

proof –

have 1: $0 < nlength \sigma \wedge$

$$(\exists k. 0 < k \wedge k \leq nlength \sigma \wedge g((ndropn k \sigma)) \wedge (\forall j. 0 < j \wedge j < k \longrightarrow f((ndropn j \sigma))))$$

using assms **by** *auto*

have 3: $(\exists k. 0 < k \wedge k \leq nlength \sigma \wedge g((ndropn k \sigma)) \wedge (\forall j. 0 < j \wedge j < k \longrightarrow f((ndropn j \sigma))))$

using 1 **by** *auto*

obtain k **where** 4: $0 < (Suc k) \wedge (Suc k) \leq nlength \sigma \wedge g((ndropn (Suc k) \sigma)) \wedge$

$$(\forall j. 0 < j \wedge j < (Suc k) \longrightarrow f((ndropn j \sigma)))$$

using 3 **by** (*metis Suc-pred*)

have 5: $k \leq nlength (ndropn (Suc 0) \sigma)$

by (*metis* 4 *One-nat-def add.commute co.enat.sel(2) eSuc-enat epred-conv-minus le-cases min-absorb1 ndropn-nlength ntaken-all ntaken-ndropn-swap-nlength ntaken-nlength one-enat-def plus-1-eSuc(2) plus-1-eq-Suc*)

have 6: $g((ndropn (Suc k) \sigma))$

using 4 **by** *auto*

have 7: $(\forall j < k. f((ndropn (Suc j) \sigma)))$

using 4 **by** *blast*

have 8: $(\exists k. k \leq nlength (ndropn (Suc 0) \sigma) \wedge g((ndropn (Suc k) \sigma)) \wedge (\forall j < k. f((ndropn (Suc j) \sigma))))$

using 4 5 **by** *blast*

have 9: $0 < nlength \sigma \wedge$

$$(\exists k. k \leq nlength (ndropn (Suc 0) \sigma) \wedge g((ndropn (Suc k) \sigma)) \wedge (\forall j < k. f((ndropn (Suc j) \sigma))))$$

using 1 8 **by** *blast*

from 9 **show** ?thesis **by** (*auto simp add: next-defs until-d-def zero-enat-def ndropn-ndropn*)

qed

lemma *UntilNextUntilsemb*:

assumes $\sigma \models \circ(f \mathcal{U} g)$

shows $0 < nlength \sigma \wedge$

$$(\exists k. 0 < k \wedge k \leq nlength \sigma \wedge g((ndropn k \sigma)) \wedge (\forall j. 0 < j \wedge j < k \longrightarrow f((ndropn j \sigma))))$$

proof –

have 1: $0 < nlength \sigma \wedge$

$$(\exists k. k \leq nlength (ndropn (Suc 0) \sigma) \wedge g((ndropn (Suc k) \sigma)) \wedge (\forall j < k. f((ndropn (Suc j) \sigma))))$$

using assms **by** (*auto simp add: next-defs until-d-def ndropn-ndropn*) (*simp add: zero-enat-def*)

have 2: $(\exists k. k \leq nlength (ndropn (Suc 0) \sigma) \wedge g((ndropn (Suc k) \sigma)) \wedge (\forall j < k. f((ndropn (Suc j) \sigma))))$

using 1 **by** *auto*

obtain k **where** 3: $k \leq nlength (ndropn (Suc 0) \sigma) \wedge g((ndropn (Suc k) \sigma)) \wedge$

$$(\forall j < k. f((ndropn (Suc j) \sigma)))$$

using 2 **by** *auto*

```

have 4:  $0 < (\text{Suc } k)$ 
  by simp
have 5:  $g ((\text{ndropn } (\text{Suc } k) \sigma))$ 
  using 3 by auto
have 6:  $(\text{Suc } k) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma$ 
  using 3 by auto
  (metis 1 dual-order.eq-iff eSuc-enat is-NNil-ndropn le-cases ndropn-0 ndropn-Suc-conv-ndropn
  ndropn-ndropn ndropn-nlength nlength-NCons plus-1-eq-Suc zero-enat-def)
have 7:  $(\forall j. 0 < j \wedge j < (\text{Suc } k) \longrightarrow f ((\text{ndropn } j \sigma)))$ 
  using 3 less-Suc-eq-0-disj by auto
have 8:  $(\exists k. 0 < k \wedge k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge g ((\text{ndropn } k \sigma)) \wedge (\forall j. 0 < j \wedge j < k \longrightarrow f ((\text{ndropn } j \sigma))))$ 
  using 3 6 7 by blast
show ?thesis using 1 8 by blast
qed

lemma UntilNextUntilsem:
 $(\sigma \models \circlearrowleft (f \mathcal{U} g)) =$ 
 $(0 < \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$ 
 $(\exists k. 0 < k \wedge k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge g ((\text{ndropn } k \sigma)) \wedge (\forall j. 0 < j \wedge j < k \longrightarrow f ((\text{ndropn } j \sigma)))))$ 
using UntilNextUntilsema[ $\sigma$   $g$   $f$ ] UntilNextUntilsemb[ $f$   $g$   $\sigma$ ] by meson

lemma UntilNextUntilsem1:
 $(\sigma \models f \mathcal{U} g) = (\sigma \models (g \vee (f \wedge \circlearrowleft(f \mathcal{U} g))))$ 
unfolding UntilNextUntilsem
proof
  assume a:  $(\sigma \models f \mathcal{U} g)$ 
  show  $(\sigma \models g \vee$ 
     $f \wedge$ 
     $(\lambda \sigma. 0 < \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$ 
       $(\exists k. 0 < k \wedge k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge g ((\text{ndropn } k \sigma)) \wedge (\forall j. 0 < j \wedge j < k \longrightarrow f ((\text{ndropn } j \sigma))))$ 
    ))
  using a by (simp add: until-d-def) (metis enat-0-iff(2) i0-less ndropn-0 neq0-conv not-le)
next
next
  assume b:  $(\sigma \models g \vee$ 
     $f \wedge$ 
     $(\lambda \sigma. 0 < \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$ 
       $(\exists k. 0 < k \wedge k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge g ((\text{ndropn } k \sigma)) \wedge (\forall j. 0 < j \wedge j < k \longrightarrow f ((\text{ndropn } j \sigma))))))$ 
  show  $(\sigma \models f \mathcal{U} g)$ 
  using b by (simp add: until-d-def) (metis i0-lb linorder-cases ndropn-0 not-less-zero zero-enat-def)
qed

lemma UntilNextUntil:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{U} g = (g \vee (f \wedge \circlearrowleft(f \mathcal{U} g)))$ 
by (simp add: UntilNextUntilsem1 Valid-def)

```

12.2.3 NotUntilFalse

```

lemma NotUntilFalse:
 $\vdash \neg (f \mathcal{U} \# \text{False})$ 

```

by (*simp add: intI until-d-def*)

12.2.4 UntilOrDist

lemma *UntilOrDistsem*:

$$\sigma \models f \mathcal{U} (g \vee h) = (f \mathcal{U} g \vee f \mathcal{U} h)$$

by (*auto simp add: until-d-def*)

lemma *UntilOrDist*:

$$\vdash f \mathcal{U} (g \vee h) = (f \mathcal{U} g \vee f \mathcal{U} h)$$

using *UntilOrDistsem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

12.2.5 UntilRightDistOr

lemma *UntilRightDistOr*:

$$\vdash f \mathcal{U} h \vee g \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow (f \vee g) \mathcal{U} h$$

by (*auto simp add: Valid-def until-d-def*)

12.2.6 UntilLeftDistAnd

lemma *UntilLeftDistAnd*:

$$\vdash f \mathcal{U} (g \wedge h) \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} g \wedge f \mathcal{U} h$$

by (*auto simp add: Valid-def until-d-def*)

12.2.7 UntilAndDist

lemma *UntilAndDistsem*:

$$\sigma \models (f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} h = ((f \mathcal{U} h) \wedge (g \mathcal{U} h))$$

by (*auto simp add: until-d-def*)

(metis (no-types, lifting) less-le-trans not-less-iff-gr-or-eq order.order-iff-strict)

lemma *UntilAndDist*:

$$\vdash (f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} h = ((f \mathcal{U} h) \wedge (g \mathcal{U} h))$$

using *UntilAndDistsem Valid-def* **by** *blast*

12.2.8 untilNotImp

lemma *UntilNotImp*:

$$\vdash f \mathcal{U} g \wedge (\neg g) \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} h$$

by (*simp add: Valid-def until-d-def*)

(metis not-less-iff-gr-or-eq order.strict-trans)

12.2.9 UntilUntil

lemma *UntilUntilsem*:

$$(\sigma \models f \mathcal{U} g) = (\sigma \models f \mathcal{U} (f \mathcal{U} g))$$

proof *auto*

show $\sigma \models (f \mathcal{U} g) \implies \sigma \models (f \mathcal{U} (f \mathcal{U} g))$

by (*simp add: until-d-def*)

(metis enat-add-sub-same enat-le-plus-same(1) enat-ord-code(4) enat-ord-simps(4) gen-nlength-def ndropn-0 nlength-code not-less-zero)

show $\sigma \models (f \mathcal{U} (f \mathcal{U} g)) \implies \sigma \models (f \mathcal{U} g)$

```

proof -
assume  $a: \sigma \models (f \cup (f \cup g))$ 
show  $\sigma \models (f \cup g)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\exists k. \text{enat } k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$ 
 $(\exists ka. \text{enat } ka \leq \text{nlength } (\text{ndropn } k \sigma) \wedge g (\text{ndropn } ka (\text{ndropn } k \sigma)) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall j < ka. f (\text{ndropn } j (\text{ndropn } k \sigma))) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall j < k. f (\text{ndropn } j \sigma))$ 
using a unfolding until-d-def by blast
obtain k where 2:
enat  $k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$ 
 $(\exists ka. \text{enat } ka \leq \text{nlength } (\text{ndropn } k \sigma) \wedge g (\text{ndropn } ka (\text{ndropn } k \sigma)) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall j < ka. f (\text{ndropn } j (\text{ndropn } k \sigma))) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall j < k. f (\text{ndropn } j \sigma))$ 
using 1 by auto
have 3:  $(\exists ka. \text{enat } ka \leq \text{nlength } (\text{ndropn } k \sigma) \wedge g (\text{ndropn } ka (\text{ndropn } k \sigma)) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall j < ka. f (\text{ndropn } j (\text{ndropn } k \sigma)))$ 
using 2 by auto
obtain ka where 4:
enat  $ka \leq \text{nlength } (\text{ndropn } k \sigma) \wedge g (\text{ndropn } ka (\text{ndropn } k \sigma)) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall j < ka. f (\text{ndropn } j (\text{ndropn } k \sigma)))$ 
using 3 by auto
have 41:  $\text{enat } ka \leq \text{nlength } \sigma - (\text{enat } k)$ 
using 4 by auto
have 5:  $\text{enat } (ka+k) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma$ 
using 2 41 by auto
 $(\text{metis add.commute antisym-conv2 enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same enat-min le-iff-add}$ 
 $\text{less-imp-le order-refl plus-enat-simps(1)})$ 
have 6:  $g (\text{ndropn } (ka+k) \sigma)$ 
by (metis 4 add.commute ndropn-ndropn)
have 7:  $(\forall j < (ka+k). f (\text{ndropn } j \sigma))$ 
by (metis 2 4 add-diff-inverse-nat less-diff-conv2 linorder-not-less ndropn-ndropn)
have 8:  $\exists k. k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge g (\text{ndropn } k \sigma) \wedge (\forall j < k. f (\text{ndropn } j \sigma))$ 
using 5 6 7 by blast
show ?thesis unfolding until-d-def by (simp add: 8)
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma UntilUntil:
 $\vdash f \cup g = f \cup (f \cup g)$
using UntilUntilsem **by** fastforce

12.2.10 UntilRightOr

lemma UntilRightOr:
 $\vdash f \cup (g \cup h) \longrightarrow (f \vee g) \cup h$
proof (auto simp add: Valid-def until-d-def ndropn-ndropn)
fix w :: 'a nellist
fix k

```

fix ka
assume a0: enat k ≤ nlength w
assume a1: ∀ j < k. f (ndropn j w)
assume a2: enat ka ≤ nlength w - enat k
assume a3: h (ndropn (k + ka) w)
assume a4: ∀ j < ka. g (ndropn (k + j) w)
show ∃ k. enat k ≤ nlength w ∧ h (ndropn k w) ∧ (∀ j < k. f (ndropn j w) ∨ g (ndropn j w))
proof -
  have 1: ka+k ≤ nlength w
    by (metis a0 a2 add.commute dual-order.order-iff-strict enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same
        enat-less-enat-plusI2 less-eqE plus-enat-simps(1))
  have 2: h (ndropn (ka+k) w)
    using a3 by (simp add: add.commute)
  have 3: (∀ j < (ka+k). f (ndropn j w) ∨ g (ndropn j w))
    by (metis a1 a4 add-diff-inverse-nat less-diff-conv2 not-less)
  show ?thesis using 1 2 3 by blast
qed
qed

```

12.2.11 UntilRightAnd

```

lemma UntilRightAndsem:
assumes (σ ⊢ f U (g ∧ h))
shows (σ ⊢ (f U g) U h)
proof -
  have 1: ∃ k. k ≤ nlength σ ∧ g ((ndropn k σ)) ∧ h ((ndropn k σ)) ∧ (∀ j < k. f ((ndropn j σ)))
    using assms by (simp add: until-d-def)
  obtain k where 2: k ≤ nlength σ ∧ g ((ndropn k σ)) ∧ h ((ndropn k σ)) ∧ (∀ j < k. f ((ndropn j σ)))
    using 1 by auto
  have 3: h ((ndropn k σ))
    using 2 by auto
  have 4: k ≤ nlength σ
    using 2 by auto
  have 5: (∀ j < k.
    ∃ ka. ka ≤ nlength (ndropn j σ) ∧ g ((ndropn (ka + j) σ)) ∧ (∀ ja < ka. f ((ndropn (ja + j) σ))))
  proof auto
    fix j
    assume a0: j < k
    show ∃ ka. enat ka ≤ nlength σ - enat j ∧ g (ndropn (ka + j) σ) ∧ (∀ ja < ka. f (ndropn (ja + j) σ))
    proof -
      have 51: k - j ≤ nlength (ndropn j σ)
        using 4 a0
        by (metis enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat ndropn-nlength)
      have 52: g ((ndropn ((k-j) + j) σ))
        by (simp add: 2 a0 less-imp-le-nat)
      have 53: (∀ ja < (k-j). f ((ndropn (ja + j) σ)))
        using 2 less-diff-conv by blast
      show ?thesis
        using 51 52 53 by auto
    qed
  qed

```

```

qed
have 6:  $\exists k. k \leq nlength \sigma \wedge$ 
        $h ((ndropn k \sigma)) \wedge$ 
        $(\forall j < k. \exists k \leq nlength (ndropn j \sigma). g ((ndropn (k + j) \sigma)) \wedge (\forall ja < k. f ((ndropn (ja + j) \sigma))))$ 
using 2 5 by blast
from 6 show ?thesis by (simp add: until-d-def ndropn-ndropn add.commute)
qed

lemma UntilRightAnd:
   $\vdash f \mathcal{U} (g \wedge h) \longrightarrow (f \mathcal{U} g) \mathcal{U} h$ 
using UntilRightAndsem Valid-def by auto

```

12.2.12 DiamondEqvTrueUntil

```

lemma DiamondEqvTrueUntil:
   $\vdash \Diamond f = \# True \mathcal{U} f$ 
by (simp add: Valid-def sometimes-defs until-d-def)

```

12.2.13 TrueUntilImpNotUntil

```

lemma nellist-ndropn-first-upto:
assumes  $(\exists i \leq k. f ((ndropn i xs)))$ 
shows  $(\exists i \leq k. f ((ndropn i xs)) \wedge (\forall j < i. \neg (f ((ndropn j xs)))))$ 
using assms
proof (induct k arbitrary: xs)
case 0
then show ?case by simp
next
case (Suc k)
then show ?case
by (metis le-Suc-eq less-Suc-eq-le)
qed

```

```

lemma nellist-ndropn-first:
assumes  $(\exists i \leq nlength xs. f ((ndropn i xs)))$ 
shows  $(\exists i \leq nlength xs. f ((ndropn i xs)) \wedge (\forall j. j < i \longrightarrow \neg (f ((ndropn j xs)))))$ 
proof (cases nfinite xs)
case True
then show ?thesis using assms nellist-ndropn-first-upto[of - f xs] nfinite-nlength-enat[of xs]
  by force
next
case False
then show ?thesis using assms nellist-ndropn-first-upto[of - f xs]
proof -
assume a1:  $\bigwedge k. \exists i \leq k. f ((ndropn i xs)) \implies \exists i \leq k. f ((ndropn i xs)) \wedge (\forall j < i. \neg f ((ndropn j xs)))$ 
obtain nn :: nat where
f2:  $f ((ndropn nn xs)) \wedge enat nn \leq nlength xs$ 
using assms by blast
then have  $\forall e. \neg e \leq enat nn \vee e \leq nlength xs$ 
by force
then show ?thesis

```

```

using f2 a1 by (meson enat-ord-simps(1) less-imp-le-nat)
qed
qed

lemma NotSuffixFirstfinite:
assumes ( $\exists n \leq nlength xs. \neg f ((ndropn n xs))$ )
shows ( $\exists n \leq nlength xs. \neg f ((ndropn n xs)) \wedge (\forall k. k < n \rightarrow f ((ndropn k xs)))$ )
using assms nellist-ndropn-first[of xs LIFT( $\neg f$ )] by auto

```

```

lemma TrueUntilImpNotUntilsem:
assumes  $\sigma \models \#True \mathcal{U} g$ 
shows  $\sigma \models (\neg g) \mathcal{U} g$ 
using assms
by (simp add: until-d-def nellist-ndropn-first)

```

```

lemma TrueUntilImpNotUntil:
 $\vdash \#True \mathcal{U} g \rightarrow (\neg g) \mathcal{U} g$ 
by (simp add: intI nellist-ndropn-first until-d-def)

```

12.2.14 WaitNotDistUntil

```

lemma WaitNotDistUntilsem1:
assumes ( $\sigma \models \neg(f \mathcal{W} g)$ )
shows ( $\sigma \models ((\neg g) \mathcal{U} ((\neg f) \wedge (\neg g)))$ )
proof -
have 1: ( $\forall k. g ((ndropn k \sigma)) \rightarrow k \leq nlength \sigma \rightarrow (\exists j < k. \neg f ((ndropn j \sigma))) \wedge (\exists n \leq nlength \sigma. \neg f ((ndropn n \sigma)))$ )
using assms by (simp add: wait-d-def until-d-def always-defs)
have 2: ( $\forall k. k \leq nlength \sigma \rightarrow \neg g ((ndropn k \sigma)) \vee (\exists j < k. \neg f ((ndropn j \sigma)))$ )
using 1 by auto
have 3: ( $\exists n \leq nlength \sigma. \neg f ((ndropn n \sigma))$ )
using 1 by auto
obtain n where 4:  $n \leq nlength \sigma \wedge \neg f ((ndropn n \sigma)) \wedge (\forall k < n. f ((ndropn k \sigma)))$ 
using 3 using NotSuffixFirstfinite by blast
have 16:  $n \leq nlength \sigma$ 
by (simp add: 4)
have 17:  $\neg g ((ndropn n \sigma))$ 
using 1 4 by blast
have 18: ( $\forall j < n. \neg g ((ndropn j \sigma))$ )
by (metis 2 4 dual-order.strict-iff-order dual-order.strict-trans1 enat-ord-simps(2))
have 19:  $\exists k \leq nlength \sigma. \neg f ((ndropn k \sigma)) \wedge \neg g ((ndropn k \sigma)) \wedge (\forall j < k. \neg g ((ndropn j \sigma)))$ 
using 16 17 18 4 by blast
have 20: ( $\sigma \models ((\neg g) \mathcal{U} (\neg f \wedge \neg g))$ )
using 19 by (simp add: until-d-def)
show ?thesis using 20 by auto
qed

lemma WaitNotDistUntilsem2:
assumes ( $\sigma \models ((\neg g) \mathcal{U} ((\neg f) \wedge (\neg g)))$ )

```

shows $(\sigma \models \neg(f \mathcal{W} g))$
using *assms not-less-iff-gr-or-eq* **by** (*auto simp add: always-defs wait-d-def until-d-def*)

lemma *WaitNotDistUntilsem*:
 $(\sigma \models (\neg(f \mathcal{W} g)) = ((\neg g) \mathcal{U} ((\neg f) \wedge (\neg g))))$
using *WaitNotDistUntilsem1 WaitNotDistUntilsem2 unl-lift2* **by** *blast*

lemma *WaitNotDistUntil*:
 $\vdash (\neg(f \mathcal{W} g)) = ((\neg g) \mathcal{U} (\neg f \wedge \neg g))$
using *WaitNotDistUntilsem Valid-def* **by** (*metis*)

12.2.15 UntilInduction

lemma *LFPUntilsem1*:
assumes $\forall n \leq nlength \sigma.$
 $(g((ndropn n \sigma)) \rightarrow h((ndropn n \sigma))) \wedge$
 $(f((ndropn n \sigma)) \wedge n < nlength \sigma \wedge h((ndropn (Suc n) \sigma)) \rightarrow$
 $h((ndropn n \sigma)))$
 $k \leq nlength \sigma$
 $g((ndropn k \sigma))$
 $\forall j < k. f((ndropn j \sigma))$
shows $h(\sigma)$
using *assms*
proof (*induct k arbitrary: σ*)
case 0
then show ?case **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc k*)
then show ?case
proof –
have 1: $g((ndropn (Suc k) \sigma)) \rightarrow h((ndropn (Suc k) \sigma))$
using *Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(2)* **by** *blast*
have 2: $(f((ndropn k \sigma)) \wedge k < nlength \sigma \wedge h((ndropn (Suc k) \sigma)) \rightarrow$
 $h((ndropn k \sigma)))$
by (*simp add: Suc.prems(1) less-le-not-le*)
have 3: $k < nlength \sigma$
using *Suc.prems(2) Suc-ile-eq* **by** *auto*
have 4: $f((ndropn k \sigma))$
by (*simp add: Suc.prems(4)*)
have 5: $h((ndropn k \sigma))$
using 1 2 3 4 *Suc.prems(3)* **by** *blast*
have 6: $h((ndropn 0 \sigma))$
using *zero-induct[of λk . h(ndropn k σ) k]*
3 5 Suc.prems nellist-ndropn-first[of σ h]
by (*metis Suc-ile-eq less-Suc-eq-0-disj less-imp-le not-less-eq*)
show ?thesis
using 6 **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *LFPUntilsem*:
 $\sigma \models \square((g \vee (f \wedge \circ h)) \rightarrow h) \rightarrow (f \mathcal{U} g \rightarrow h)$
using *LFPUntilsem1*[of σ g h f]
by (auto simp add: always-defs next-defs until-d-def ndropn-ndropn)
 $(metis$ canonically-ordered-monoid-add-class.lessE enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same zero-enat-def)

lemma *LFPUntil*:
 $\vdash \square((g \vee (f \wedge \circ h)) \rightarrow h) \rightarrow (f \mathcal{U} g \rightarrow h)$
using *LFPUntilsem* Valid-def
by (metis)

lemma *UntilInduction-a*:
 $\vdash \square(f \rightarrow ((\circ f) \wedge g) \vee h) \rightarrow (f \rightarrow \square g \vee g \mathcal{U} h)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\square g \vee g \mathcal{U} h) = g \mathcal{W} h$
by (auto simp add: wait-d-def)
have 2: $\vdash (f \rightarrow \square g \vee g \mathcal{U} h) = ((\neg h) \mathcal{U} (\neg g \wedge \neg h) \rightarrow \neg f)$
using 1 WaitNotDistUntil **by** fastforce
have 3: $\vdash \square(((\neg g \wedge \neg h) \vee (\neg h \wedge \circ(\neg f))) \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow ((\neg h) \mathcal{U} (\neg g \wedge \neg h) \rightarrow \neg f)$
using *LFPUntil* **by** blast
have 4: $\vdash (f \rightarrow ((\circ f) \wedge g) \vee h) \rightarrow ((\neg g \wedge \neg h) \vee (\neg h \wedge \circ(\neg f))) \rightarrow \neg f$
using NextImpNotNextNot[of f] **by** auto
have 5: $\vdash \square(f \rightarrow ((\circ f) \wedge g) \vee h) \rightarrow \square(((\neg g \wedge \neg h) \vee (\neg h \wedge \circ(\neg f))) \rightarrow \neg f)$
using 4 **by** (rule ImpBoxRule)
show ?thesis
using 2 3 5 **by** fastforce
qed

lemma *UntilInduction-b*:
 $\vdash \square(f \rightarrow (\circ f) \vee g) \rightarrow (f \rightarrow \square f \vee f \mathcal{U} g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} g) = f \mathcal{W} g$
by (auto simp add: wait-d-def)
have 2: $\vdash (f \rightarrow \square f \vee f \mathcal{U} g) = ((\neg g) \mathcal{U} (\neg f \wedge \neg g) \rightarrow \neg f)$
using 1 WaitNotDistUntil **by** fastforce
have 3: $\vdash \square(((\neg f \wedge \neg g) \vee (\neg g \wedge \circ(\neg f))) \rightarrow \neg f) \rightarrow ((\neg g) \mathcal{U} (\neg f \wedge \neg g) \rightarrow \neg f)$
using *LFPUntil* **by** blast
have 4: $\vdash (f \rightarrow (\circ f) \vee g) \rightarrow ((\neg f \wedge \neg g) \vee (\neg g \wedge \circ(\neg f))) \rightarrow \neg f$
using NextImpNotNextNot[of f] **by** auto
have 5: $\vdash \square(f \rightarrow (\circ f) \vee g) \rightarrow \square(((\neg f \wedge \neg g) \vee (\neg g \wedge \circ(\neg f))) \rightarrow \neg f)$
using 4 BoxImpBoxRule **by** blast
show ?thesis
using 2 3 5 **by** fastforce
qed

12.3 Theorems

lemma *NextFalseSUntil*:
 $\vdash \circ g = \#False \mathcal{U}^s g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \#False \mathcal{U} g = g$
using UntilNextUntil[of LIFT(#False) g] **by auto**
show ?thesis **unfolding** suntil-d-def **using** 1 integ-reflection **by force**
qed

lemma WNextUntil:
 $\vdash wnext(f \mathcal{U} g) = (\text{empty} \vee (\circ f) \mathcal{U} (\circ g))$
by (meson NextUntil Prop06 WnextEqvEmptyOrNext)

lemma UntilRelease:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{R} g = (\neg (\neg f) \mathcal{U} (\neg g))$
by (simp add: release-d-def)

lemma SReleaseWait:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{M} g = (\neg (\neg f) \mathcal{W} (\neg g))$
by (simp add: srelease-d-def)

lemma ReleaseUntil:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{U} g = (\neg (\neg f) \mathcal{R} (\neg g))$
by (simp add: release-d-def)

lemma WaitSRelease:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{W} g = (\neg (\neg f) \mathcal{M} (\neg g))$
by (simp add: srelease-d-def)

lemma NotUntilRelease:
 $\vdash \neg(f \mathcal{U} g) = (\neg f) \mathcal{R} (\neg g)$
by (simp add: ReleaseUntil)

lemma NotWaitSRelease:
 $\vdash \neg(f \mathcal{W} g) = (\neg f) \mathcal{M} (\neg g)$
by (simp add: WaitSRelease)

lemma NotReleaseUntil:
 $\vdash \neg(f \mathcal{R} g) = (\neg f) \mathcal{U} (\neg g)$
by (simp add: UntilRelease)

lemma NotSReleaseWait:
 $\vdash \neg(f \mathcal{M} g) = (\neg f) \mathcal{W} (\neg g)$
by (simp add: SReleaseWait)

lemma BoxEqvFalseRelease:
 $\vdash \Box f = \#False \mathcal{R} f$
unfolding release-d-def
by (metis DiamondEqvTrueUntil Prop11 always-d-def int-simps(3) integ-reflection lift-imp-neg)

lemma UntilTrue:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{U} \#True$
using UntilNextUntil **by** fastforce

```

lemma UntilIdempotent:
  ⊢ f U f = f
using UntilNextUntil[of f f] by auto

lemma UntilImpUntil:
  assumes ⊢ f0 → f1
    ⊢ g0 → g1
  shows ⊢ f0 U g0 → f1 U g1
using assms
by (metis Prop10 Prop12 UntilAndDist UntilLeftDistAnd int-eq)

```

```

lemma UntilEqvUntil:
  assumes ⊢ f0 = f1
    ⊢ g0 = g1
  shows ⊢ f0 U g0 = f1 U g1
proof -
have 1: ⊢ f0 → f1
  using assms by auto
have 2: ⊢ g0 → g1
  using assms by auto
have 3: ⊢ f0 U g0 → f1 U g1
  using 1 2 UntilImpUntil[of f0 f1 g0 g1] by auto
have 4: ⊢ f1 → f0
  using assms by auto
have 5: ⊢ g1 → g0
  using assms by auto
have 6: ⊢ f1 U g1 → f0 U g0
  using 4 5 UntilImpUntil[of f1 f0 g1 g0] by auto
from 3 6 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma UntilRightDistImp:
  ⊢ (f → g) U h → (f U h → g U h)
proof -
have 1: ⊢ (f → g) U h → (f U h → g U h) =
  ((f → g) U h ∧ f U h → g U h)
  by auto
have 2: ⊢ ((f → g) U h ∧ f U h) = ((f → g) ∧ f) U h
  by (simp add: UntilAndDist int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI)
have 3: ⊢ ((f → g) ∧ f) = (f ∧ g)
  by auto
have 4: ⊢ h = h
  by auto
have 5: ⊢ ((f → g) ∧ f) U h = (f ∧ g) U h
  using 3 4 using UntilEqvUntil by blast
have 6: ⊢ (f ∧ g) U h = (f U h ∧ g U h)
  by (simp add: UntilAndDist)
show ?thesis
  using 2 5 6 by fastforce

```

qed

lemma *FalseUntil*:

$\vdash \#False \mathcal{U} g = g$

by (*metis Prop10 Prop12 TrueW UntilNextUntil int-simps(14) int-simps(21) int-simps(25) int-simps(3) inteq-reflection*)

lemma *UntilExclMid*:

$\vdash f \mathcal{U} g \vee f \mathcal{U} (\neg g)$

using *UntilOrDist UntilTrue* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *NotUntilImp*:

$\vdash (\neg f) \mathcal{U} (g \mathcal{U} h) \wedge f \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow g \mathcal{U} h$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\neg f) \mathcal{U} (g \mathcal{U} h) \longrightarrow (\neg f \vee g) \mathcal{U} h$

by (*simp add: UntilRightOr*)

have 2: $\vdash (\neg f \vee g) = (f \longrightarrow g)$

by *auto*

have 3: $\vdash h = h$

by *auto*

have 4: $\vdash (\neg f \vee g) \mathcal{U} h = (f \longrightarrow g) \mathcal{U} h$

by (*simp add: 2 UntilEqvUntil*)

have 5: $\vdash (f \longrightarrow g) \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow (f \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow g \mathcal{U} h)$

by (*simp add: UntilRightDistImp*)

have 6: $\vdash (\neg f) \mathcal{U} (g \mathcal{U} h) \longrightarrow (f \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow g \mathcal{U} h)$

using 1 4 5 **by** *fastforce*

from 6 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *UntilNotImpa*:

$\vdash f \mathcal{U} ((\neg g) \mathcal{U} h) \wedge g \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} h$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \mathcal{U} ((\neg g) \mathcal{U} h) \longrightarrow (f \vee (\neg g)) \mathcal{U} h$

by (*simp add: UntilRightOr*)

have 2: $\vdash (f \vee (\neg g)) = (g \longrightarrow f)$

by *auto*

have 3: $\vdash h = h$

by *auto*

have 4: $\vdash (f \vee (\neg g)) \mathcal{U} h = (g \longrightarrow f) \mathcal{U} h$

by (*simp add: 2 UntilEqvUntil*)

have 5: $\vdash (g \longrightarrow f) \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow (g \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} h)$

by (*simp add: UntilRightDistImp*)

have 6: $\vdash f \mathcal{U} ((\neg g) \mathcal{U} h) \longrightarrow (g \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} h)$

using 1 4 5 **by** *fastforce*

from 6 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *UntilNotUntilImp*:

$\vdash f \mathcal{U} g \wedge (\neg g) \mathcal{U} f \longrightarrow f$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash f \mathcal{U} g \wedge (\neg g) \mathcal{U} f \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} f$ 
  using UntilNotImp by auto
have 2:  $\vdash f \mathcal{U} f = f$ 
  using UntilIdempotent by auto
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma AndNotUntilImp:
 $\vdash f \wedge (\neg f) \mathcal{U} g \longrightarrow g$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f = f \mathcal{U} f$ 
  by (simp add: UntilIdempotent int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI)
have 2:  $\vdash g = \#False \mathcal{U} g$ 
  by (meson FalseUntil Prop11)
have 3:  $\vdash f \mathcal{U} f \wedge (\neg f) \mathcal{U} g \longrightarrow \#False \mathcal{U} g$ 
  by (metis 1 FalseUntil UntilNotImp inteq-reflection)
from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma UntilImpOr:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{U} g \longrightarrow f \vee g$ 
proof -
have  $\vdash f \wedge \Diamond(f \mathcal{U} g) \longrightarrow f \vee g$ 
  by force
then show ?thesis
  using UntilNextUntil[of f g] by auto
qed

lemma UntilIntro:
 $\vdash g \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} g$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash g = \#False \mathcal{U} g$ 
  by (meson FalseUntil Prop11)
have 2:  $\vdash \#False \longrightarrow f$ 
  by auto
have 3:  $\vdash g \longrightarrow g$ 
  by auto
have 4:  $\vdash \#False \mathcal{U} g \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} g$ 
  by (simp add: UntilImpUntil)
from 1 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma OrImpUntil:
 $\vdash f \wedge g \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} g$ 
by (simp add: Prop01 Prop05 UntilIntro)

lemma UntilAbsorp-a:
 $\vdash (f \vee f \mathcal{U} g) = (f \vee g)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (f \vee f \mathcal{U} g) \longrightarrow f \vee g$ 

```

```

using UntilImpOr by fastforce
have 2:  $\vdash f \vee g \longrightarrow (f \vee f \mathcal{U} g)$ 
  using UntilIntro by fastforce
from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma UntilAbsorp-b:
 $\vdash (f \mathcal{U} g \vee g) = f \mathcal{U} g$ 
using UntilNextUntil by fastforce

lemma UntilAbsorp-c:
 $\vdash (f \mathcal{U} g \wedge g) = g$ 
using UntilIntro by fastforce

lemma UntilAbsorp-d:
 $\vdash (f \mathcal{U} g \vee (f \wedge g)) = f \mathcal{U} g$ 
using UntilNextUntil by fastforce

lemma UntilAbsorp-e:
 $\vdash (f \mathcal{U} g \wedge (f \vee g)) = f \mathcal{U} g$ 
by (meson Prop10 Prop11 UntilImpOr)

lemma LeftUntilAbsorp:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{U} (f \mathcal{U} g) = f \mathcal{U} g$ 
by (meson Prop11 UntilUntil)

lemma RightUntilAbsorp:
 $\vdash (f \mathcal{U} g) \mathcal{U} g = f \mathcal{U} g$ 
by (metis Prop11 UntilAbsorp-b UntilAbsorp-c UntilImpOr UntilRightAnd UntilUntil inteq-reflection)

lemma UntilAbsorpAndDiamond:
 $\vdash (f \mathcal{U} g \wedge \lozenge g) = f \mathcal{U} g$ 
by (metis DiamondEqvTrueUntil Prop11 Prop12 UntilIdempotent UntilImpUntil int-simps(12) inteq-reflection)

lemma UntilAbsorpOrDiamond:
 $\vdash (f \mathcal{U} g \vee \lozenge g) = \lozenge g$ 
using UntilAbsorpAndDiamond by fastforce

lemma UntilAbsorpDiamond:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{U} (\lozenge g) = \lozenge g$ 
using DiamondDiamondEqvDiamond UntilAbsorpOrDiamond UntilAbsorp-b by fastforce

lemma UntilImpDiamond:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{U} g \longrightarrow \lozenge g$ 
using UntilAbsorpAndDiamond by fastforce

lemma AlwaysImpNotUntilNot:
 $\vdash \Box f \longrightarrow \neg(g \mathcal{U} (\neg f))$ 
by (simp add: UntilImpDiamond always-d-def)

```

lemma UntilAlwaysAndDist:

$$\vdash \square f \wedge g \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow (f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge h)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \square(h \vee g \wedge \Diamond((f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge h))) \longrightarrow (f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge h) \longrightarrow$
 $g \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow (f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge h)$

using LFPUntil by blast

have 2: $\vdash f \longrightarrow (h \vee g \wedge \Diamond((f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge h))) \longrightarrow (f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge h)$

using UntilNextUntil[of LIFT($f \wedge g$) LIFT($f \wedge h$)] by auto

have 3: $\vdash \square f \longrightarrow \square(h \vee g \wedge \Diamond((f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge h))) \longrightarrow (f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge h)$

using 2 BoxImpBoxRule by blast

have 4: $\vdash \square f \longrightarrow (g \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow (f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge h))$

using 1 3 lift-imp-trans by blast

show ?thesis using 4 by fastforce

qed

lemma UntilAndImp:

$$\vdash \square f \wedge \Diamond g \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} g$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \Diamond g = \# \text{True} \mathcal{U} g$
by (simp add: DiamondEqvTrueUntil)

have 2: $\vdash \square f \wedge \# \text{True} \mathcal{U} g \longrightarrow (f \wedge \# \text{True}) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge g)$

using UntilAlwaysAndDist by blast

have 3: $\vdash (f \wedge \# \text{True}) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge g) = f \mathcal{U} (f \wedge g)$
by simp

have 4: $\vdash f \mathcal{U} (f \wedge g) \longrightarrow (f \mathcal{U} f) \mathcal{U} g$
by (simp add: UntilRightAnd)

have 5: $\vdash (f \mathcal{U} f) = f$
by (simp add: UntilIdempotent)

have 6: $\vdash (f \mathcal{U} f) \mathcal{U} g = f \mathcal{U} g$
by (simp add: 5 UntilEqvUntil)

show ?thesis
by (metis 1 2 3 4 5 inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans)

qed

lemma UntilRightMono:

$$\vdash \square(f \longrightarrow g) \longrightarrow (h \mathcal{U} f \longrightarrow h \mathcal{U} g)$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \square(f \longrightarrow g) \wedge h \mathcal{U} f \longrightarrow ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge h) \mathcal{U} ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f)$
using UntilAlwaysAndDist by blast

have 2: $\vdash ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge h) \mathcal{U} ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f) \longrightarrow h \mathcal{U} ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f)$
by (meson Prop12 UntilImpUntil int-iffD2 lift-and-com)

have 3: $\vdash ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f) \longrightarrow g$
by auto

have 4: $\vdash h \mathcal{U} ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f) \longrightarrow h \mathcal{U} g$
by (simp add: 3 UntilImpUntil)

show ?thesis
by (meson 1 2 4 Prop09 lift-imp-trans)

qed

lemma UntilLeftMono:

$\vdash \square (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (f \mathcal{U} h \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} h)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \square (f \rightarrow g) \wedge f \mathcal{U} h \rightarrow ((f \rightarrow g) \wedge f) \mathcal{U} ((f \rightarrow g) \wedge h)$
 by (simp add: UntilAlwaysAndDist)
have 2: $\vdash ((f \rightarrow g) \wedge f) \mathcal{U} ((f \rightarrow g) \wedge h) \rightarrow ((f \rightarrow g) \wedge f) \mathcal{U} h$
 by (meson Prop12 UntilLeftDistAnd)
have 3: $\vdash ((f \rightarrow g) \wedge f) \rightarrow g$
 by auto
have 4: $\vdash ((f \rightarrow g) \wedge f) \mathcal{U} h \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} h$
 by (simp add: 3 UntilImpUntil)
show ?thesis
 by (meson 1 2 4 Prop09 lift-imp-trans)
qed

lemma UntilCatRule:
 $\vdash \square ((f \rightarrow g) \mathcal{U} h) \wedge (h \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} i) \rightarrow (f \rightarrow (g \mathcal{U} i))$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \square ((f \rightarrow g) \mathcal{U} h) \wedge (h \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} i) \rightarrow \square (f \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} h)$
 by (metis BoxElim BoxEqvBoxBox BoxImpBoxRule Prop12 inteq-reflection)
have 2: $\vdash \square ((f \rightarrow g) \mathcal{U} h) \wedge (h \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} i) \rightarrow \square (h \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} i)$
 by (metis BoxElim BoxEqvBoxBox BoxImpBoxRule Prop12 inteq-reflection)
have 3: $\vdash \square (h \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} i) \rightarrow \square (g \mathcal{U} h \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} (g \mathcal{U} i))$
 by (metis BoxEqvBoxBox BoxImpBoxRule UntilRightMono inteq-reflection)
have 4: $\vdash \square (g \mathcal{U} h \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} (g \mathcal{U} i)) \rightarrow \square (g \mathcal{U} h \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} i)$
 by (metis BoxEqvBoxBox UntilUntil int-iffD1 inteq-reflection)
have 5: $\vdash \square (f \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} h) \rightarrow (f \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} h)$
 by (simp add: BoxElim)
have 6: $\vdash \square (g \mathcal{U} h \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} i) \rightarrow (g \mathcal{U} h \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} i)$
 by (simp add: BoxElim)
have 7: $\vdash (f \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} h) \wedge (g \mathcal{U} h \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} i) \rightarrow (f \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} i)$
 by auto
have 8: $\vdash \square ((f \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} h) \wedge (h \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} i)) \rightarrow$
 $(f \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} h) \wedge (g \mathcal{U} h \rightarrow g \mathcal{U} i)$
using 1 2 3 4 5 6 **by** fastforce
from 7 8 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma UntilStrengthen:
 $\vdash \square ((f \rightarrow h) \wedge (g \rightarrow i)) \rightarrow (f \mathcal{U} g \rightarrow h \mathcal{U} i)$
proof –
have 11: $\vdash \square ((f \rightarrow h) \wedge (g \rightarrow i)) \rightarrow \square (f \rightarrow h)$
 by (meson BoxImpBoxRule Prop12 int-iffD2 lift-and-com)
have 1: $\vdash \square ((f \rightarrow h) \wedge (g \rightarrow i)) \rightarrow (f \mathcal{U} g \rightarrow h \mathcal{U} g)$
 using 11 UntilLeftMono[of f h g] **by** fastforce
have 21: $\vdash \square ((f \rightarrow h) \wedge (g \rightarrow i)) \rightarrow \square (g \rightarrow i)$
 by (simp add: BoxImpBoxRule Prop01 Prop05)
have 2: $\vdash \square ((f \rightarrow h) \wedge (g \rightarrow i)) \rightarrow (h \mathcal{U} g \rightarrow h \mathcal{U} i)$
 using 21 UntilRightMono[of g i h] **by** fastforce
have 3: $\vdash \square ((f \rightarrow h) \wedge (g \rightarrow i)) \rightarrow (f \mathcal{U} g \rightarrow h \mathcal{U} g) \wedge (h \mathcal{U} g \rightarrow h \mathcal{U} i)$
 using 1 2 **by** fastforce

```

have 4:  $\vdash (f \mathcal{U} g \longrightarrow h \mathcal{U} g) \wedge (h \mathcal{U} g \longrightarrow h \mathcal{U} i) \longrightarrow (f \mathcal{U} g \longrightarrow h \mathcal{U} i)$ 
  by auto
from 3 4 show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

lemma *UntilInduction*:

```

 $\vdash \Box(f \longrightarrow \neg g \wedge \Diamond f) \longrightarrow (f \longrightarrow \neg(h \mathcal{U} g))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \Box(\neg g) \longrightarrow \neg(h \mathcal{U} g)$ 
  by (simp add: UntilImpDiamond always-d-def)
have 15:  $\vdash (f \longrightarrow \neg g \wedge \Diamond f) \longrightarrow (g \vee \Diamond(\neg f) \longrightarrow \neg f)$ 
  using NextImpNotNextNot[of f] by fastforce
have 16:  $\vdash (f \longrightarrow \neg g \wedge \Diamond f) \longrightarrow (g \vee \#True \wedge \Diamond(\neg f) \longrightarrow \neg f)$ 
  using 15 by auto
have 2:  $\vdash \Box(f \longrightarrow \neg g \wedge \Diamond f) \longrightarrow \Box(g \vee \#True \wedge \Diamond(\neg f) \longrightarrow \neg f)$ 
  using 16 BoxImpBoxRule by blast
have 3:  $\vdash \Box(f \longrightarrow \neg g \wedge \Diamond f) \longrightarrow (\#True \mathcal{U} g \longrightarrow \neg f)$ 
  using 2 LFPUntil[of g] LIFT(#True) LIFT(\neg f)
  by fastforce
have 4:  $\vdash (\#True \mathcal{U} g \longrightarrow \neg f) \longrightarrow (f \longrightarrow \neg(\#True \mathcal{U} g))$ 
  by auto
have 5:  $\vdash \neg(\#True \mathcal{U} g) = \Box(\neg g)$ 
  using BoxEqvFalseRelease NotUntilRelease inteq-reflection by fastforce
from 5 4 3 1 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *UntilBoxImp*:

```

 $\vdash f \mathcal{U} (\Box g) \longrightarrow \Box(f \mathcal{U} g)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f \mathcal{U} \Box g \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} g$ 
  by (meson BoxElim BoxGen MP UntilRightMono)
have 2:  $\vdash \text{wnext}(f \mathcal{U} \Box g) = (\text{empty} \vee \Diamond(f \mathcal{U} \Box g))$ 
  by (meson WnextEqvEmptyOrNext)
have 3:  $\vdash \Box g = (g \wedge \text{wnext}(\Box g))$ 
  by (metis (no-types) BoxEqvAndWnextBox)
have 4:  $\vdash f \mathcal{U} \Box g = (\Box g \vee f \wedge \Diamond(f \mathcal{U} \Box g))$ 
  by (meson UntilNextUntil)
have 5:  $\vdash g \wedge \text{wnext}(\Box g) \longrightarrow \text{empty} \vee \Diamond(f \mathcal{U} \Box g)$ 
  by (metis NextUntil Prop01 Prop05 Prop08 UntilIntro WnextEqvEmptyOrNext int-iffD1
    inteq-reflection)
have 6:  $\vdash \text{more} \wedge f \mathcal{U} \Box g \longrightarrow \text{empty} \vee \Diamond(f \mathcal{U} \Box g)$ 
  using 5 3 4 by fastforce
have 7:  $\vdash \text{more} \wedge f \mathcal{U} \Box g \longrightarrow \Diamond(f \mathcal{U} \Box g)$ 
  using 2 6 WnextAndMoreEqvNext by fastforce
from 1 7 show ?thesis using BoxIntro[of LIFT(f \mathcal{U} (\Box g)) LIFT(f \mathcal{U} g)]
  by auto
qed

```

lemma *UntilBoxEqvBox*:

```

 $\vdash f \mathcal{U} (\Box f) = \Box f$ 

```

proof -

```
have 1: ⊢ f U (□ f) → □(f U f)
  using UntilBoxImp[of f f] by auto
have 2: ⊢ □(f U f) = □ f
  by (simp add: BoxEqvBox UntilIdempotent)
have 3: ⊢ □ f → f U (□ f)
  by (simp add: UntilIntro)
from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
```

lemma UntilRightStrengthen:

```
⊢ f U (g ∧ h) → f U (g U h)
by (meson BoxGen MP OrImpUntil UntilRightMono)
```

lemma UntilLeftStrengthen:

```
⊢ (f ∧ g) U h → (f U g) U h
by (simp add: OrImpUntil UntilImpUntil)
```

lemma UntilLeftAndOrder:

```
⊢ (f ∧ g) U h → f U (g U h)
by (metis Prop12 UntilIdempotent UntilImpUntil UntilIntro inteq-reflection)
```

lemma UntilFrameNext:

```
⊢ □ f → (○ g → ○ (f U g))
by (simp add: NextImpNext Prop01 Prop05 Prop09 UntilIntro)
```

lemma UntilFrameDiamond:

```
⊢ □ f → (◊ g → ◊ (f U g))
by (meson NowImpDiamond Prop09 UntilAndImp lift-imp-trans)
```

lemma UntilFrameBox:

```
⊢ □ f → (□ g → □ (f U g))
by (simp add: BoxAndBoxImpBoxRule OrImpUntil Prop09)
```

lemma UntilImpNot:

```
⊢ f U g → (f ∧ ¬g) U g
```

proof -

```
have 1: ⊢ f U g → ◊ g
  by (simp add: UntilImpDiamond)
have 2: ⊢ ◊ g = #True U g
  by (simp add: DiamondEqvTrueUntil)
have 3: ⊢ #True U g → (¬g) U g
  by (simp add: TrueUntilImpNotUntil)
have 4: ⊢ (f U g ∧ (¬g) U g) = (f ∧ ¬g) U g
  using UntilAndDist by fastforce
show ?thesis
  by (meson 1 2 3 4 Prop10 int-iffD1 lift-imp-trans)
qed
```

lemma UntilAndRule:

$\vdash f \mathcal{U} g = (f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} g \rightarrow f \mathcal{U} g$

using UntilAndDist by fastforce

show ?thesis by (simp add: 1 UntilImpNot int-iffI)

qed

lemma UntilWait:

$\vdash f \mathcal{U} g = (f \mathcal{W} g \wedge \diamond g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \mathcal{U} g \rightarrow f \mathcal{W} g \wedge \diamond g$

by (simp add: Prop05 Prop12 UntilImpDiamond wait-d-def)

have 2: $\vdash (f \mathcal{W} g \wedge \diamond g) = ((\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} g) \wedge \diamond g)$

by (auto simp add: wait-d-def)

have 3: $\vdash ((\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} g) \wedge \diamond g) = ((\square f \wedge \diamond g) \vee (f \mathcal{U} g \wedge \diamond g))$

by auto

have 4: $\vdash (\square f \wedge \diamond g) \rightarrow f \mathcal{U} g$

by (simp add: UntilAndImp)

have 5: $\vdash (f \mathcal{U} g \wedge \diamond g) \rightarrow f \mathcal{U} g$

by auto

show ?thesis

using 1 2 4 by fastforce

qed

lemma WaitLeftDistAnd:

$\vdash f \mathcal{W} (g \wedge h) \rightarrow f \mathcal{W} g \wedge f \mathcal{W} h$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \mathcal{W} (g \wedge h) \rightarrow f \mathcal{W} g$

unfolding wait-d-def

by (metis Prop08 Prop12 UntilAbsorp-a UntilLeftDistAnd int-iffD1 inteq-reflection)

have 2: $\vdash f \mathcal{W} (g \wedge h) \rightarrow f \mathcal{W} h$

unfolding wait-d-def

by (metis Prop08 Prop12 UntilAbsorp-a UntilLeftDistAnd int-iffD1 inteq-reflection)

show ?thesis by (simp add: 1 2 Prop12)

qed

lemma WaitRightDistAnd:

$\vdash (f \wedge g) \mathcal{W} h = (f \mathcal{W} h \wedge g \mathcal{W} h)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \square(f \wedge g) = (\square f \wedge \square g)$

by (metis BoxAndBoxEqvBoxRule inteq-reflection lift-and-com)

have 2: $\vdash (f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} h = (f \mathcal{U} h \wedge g \mathcal{U} h)$

by (simp add: UntilAndDist)

have 3: $\vdash ((\square f \wedge \square g) \rightarrow ((\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} h) \wedge (\square g \vee g \mathcal{U} h)))$

by (simp add: intI)

have 4: $\vdash (f \mathcal{U} h \wedge g \mathcal{U} h) \rightarrow ((\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} h) \wedge (\square g \vee g \mathcal{U} h))$

by auto

have 5: $\vdash ((\square f \wedge \square g) \vee (f \mathcal{U} h \wedge g \mathcal{U} h)) \rightarrow ((\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} h) \wedge (\square g \vee g \mathcal{U} h))$

using 3 4 by fastforce

have 6: $\vdash \square f \wedge \square g \rightarrow ((\square f \wedge \square g) \vee (f \mathcal{U} h \wedge g \mathcal{U} h))$

```

by auto
have 7:  $\vdash \square f \wedge g \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow ((\square f \wedge \square g) \vee (f \mathcal{U} h \wedge g \mathcal{U} h))$ 
  by (metis 2 Prop05 Prop12 UntilAlwaysAndDist UntilLeftDistAnd inteq-reflection lift-imp-trans)
have 8:  $\vdash f \mathcal{U} h \wedge \square g \longrightarrow ((\square f \wedge \square g) \vee (f \mathcal{U} h \wedge g \mathcal{U} h))$ 
  by (metis Prop05 Prop08 Prop12 UntilAlwaysAndDist UntilAndDist UntilLeftDistAnd inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
have 9:  $\vdash f \mathcal{U} h \wedge g \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow ((\square f \wedge \square g) \vee (f \mathcal{U} h \wedge g \mathcal{U} h))$ 
  by auto
have 10:  $\vdash ((\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} h) \wedge (\square g \vee g \mathcal{U} h)) \longrightarrow ((\square f \wedge \square g) \vee (f \mathcal{U} h \wedge g \mathcal{U} h))$ 
  using 6 7 8 9 by fastforce
have 11:  $\vdash ((\square f \wedge \square g) \vee (f \mathcal{U} h \wedge g \mathcal{U} h)) = ((\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} h) \wedge (\square g \vee g \mathcal{U} h))$ 
  using 5 10 by auto
have 12:  $\vdash (\square(f \wedge g) \vee (f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} h) = ((\square f \wedge \square g) \vee (f \mathcal{U} h \wedge g \mathcal{U} h))$ 
  using 1 2 by fastforce
show ?thesis unfolding wait-d-def using 11 12
  by (meson Prop04 UntilIdempotent)
qed

```

```

lemma WaitAndRule:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{W} g = (f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{W} g$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (f \mathcal{W} g \wedge (\neg g) \mathcal{W} g) = (f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{W} g$ 
  by (meson Prop11 WaitRightDistAnd)
have 2:  $\vdash (\neg g) \mathcal{W} g$ 
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) DiamondEqvTrueUntil FalseUntil UntilAndRule UntilExclMid always-d-def int-simps(17) int-simps(4) inteq-reflection wait-d-def)
show ?thesis
  using 1 2 by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma WaitUntilb:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{W} g = (\square (f \wedge \neg g) \vee f \mathcal{U} g)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f \mathcal{W} g = (f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{W} g$ 
  by (simp add: WaitAndRule)
have 2:  $\vdash (f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{W} g = (\square (f \wedge \neg g) \vee (f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} g)$ 
  by (auto simp add: wait-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash (f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} g = f \mathcal{U} g$ 
  by (meson Prop11 UntilAndRule)
show ?thesis
  using 1 2 3 by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma UntilNotDistWait:
 $\vdash (\neg (f \mathcal{U} g)) = (\neg g) \mathcal{W} (\neg f \wedge \neg g)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\neg (\neg g) \mathcal{W} (\neg f \wedge \neg g)) = (\neg(\neg f \wedge \neg g)) \mathcal{U} (\neg (\neg g) \wedge \neg (\neg f \wedge \neg g))$ 
  using WaitNotDistUntil by blast
have 2:  $\vdash (\neg(\neg f \wedge \neg g)) = (f \vee g)$ 
  by auto

```

```

have 3:  $\vdash (\neg(\neg g) \wedge \neg(\neg f \wedge \neg g)) = g$ 
  by auto
have 4:  $\vdash (\neg(\neg f \wedge \neg g)) \mathcal{U} (\neg(\neg g) \wedge \neg(\neg f \wedge \neg g)) =$ 
   $(f \vee g) \mathcal{U} g$ 
  using 2 3 UntilEqvUntil blast
have 5:  $\vdash (f \vee g) \mathcal{U} g = ((f \vee g) \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} g$ 
  by (simp add: UntilAndRule)
have 6:  $\vdash ((f \vee g) \wedge \neg g) = (f \wedge \neg g)$ 
  by auto
have 7:  $\vdash ((f \vee g) \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} g = (f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} g$ 
  using 6 inteq-reflection by fastforce
have 8:  $\vdash (f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} g = f \mathcal{U} g$ 
  by (meson Prop11 UntilAndRule)
have 9:  $\vdash f \mathcal{U} g = (\neg(\neg g) \mathcal{W} (\neg f \wedge \neg g))$ 
  using 1 4 5 7 8 by fastforce
show ?thesis using 9 by auto
qed

```

lemma UntilImpWait:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{U} g \longrightarrow f \mathcal{W} g$
by (meson Prop03 WaitUntilb)

lemma WaitAndDist:
 $\vdash (\Box f \wedge g \mathcal{W} h) \longrightarrow (f \wedge g) \mathcal{W} (f \wedge h)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\Box f \wedge g \mathcal{W} h) = (\Box f \wedge (\Box g \vee g \mathcal{U} h))$
by (auto simp add: wait-d-def)
have 2: $\vdash (\Box f \wedge (\Box g \vee g \mathcal{U} h)) = ((\Box f \wedge \Box g) \vee (\Box f \wedge g \mathcal{U} h))$
by auto
have 3: $\vdash (\Box f \wedge \Box g) = \Box(f \wedge g)$
by (simp add: BoxAndBoxEqvBoxRule)
have 4: $\vdash (\Box f \wedge g \mathcal{U} h) \longrightarrow (f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge h)$
by (simp add: UntilAlwaysAndDist)
have 5: $\vdash ((\Box f \wedge \Box g) \vee (\Box f \wedge g \mathcal{U} h)) \longrightarrow \Box(f \wedge g) \vee (f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge h)$
using 3 4 **by fastforce**
have 6: $\vdash (\Box(f \wedge g) \vee (f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge h)) = (f \wedge g) \mathcal{W} (f \wedge h)$
by (auto simp add: wait-d-def)
show ?thesis
using 1 5 6 **by fastforce**
qed

lemma WaitDiamondOr:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{W} (\Diamond g) = (\Box f \vee \Diamond g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \mathcal{W} (\Diamond g) = (\Box f \vee f \mathcal{U} (\Diamond g))$
by (auto simp add: wait-d-def)
have 2: $\vdash f \mathcal{U} (\Diamond g) = \Diamond g$
by (simp add: UntilAbsorpDiamond)
show ?thesis **using** 1 2 Prop06 **by blast**
qed

```

lemma WaitBoxImp:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{W} (\square g) \longrightarrow \square (f \mathcal{W} g)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f \mathcal{W} (\square g) = (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} (\square g))$ 
  by (auto simp add: wait-d-def)
have 2:  $\vdash \square f = \square (\square f)$ 
  by (simp add: BoxEqvBoxBox)
have 3:  $\vdash f \mathcal{U} (\square g) \longrightarrow \square (f \mathcal{U} g)$ 
  by (simp add: UntilBoxImp)
have 4:  $\vdash (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} (\square g)) \longrightarrow (\square (\square f) \vee \square (f \mathcal{U} g))$ 
  using 2 3 by fastforce
have 5:  $\vdash \square (\square f) \longrightarrow \square (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} g)$ 
  by (metis BoxImpBoxRule Prop08 UntilIdempotent UntilIntro int-simps(11) int-simps(25)
    inteq-reflection)
have 6:  $\vdash \square (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} g) \longrightarrow \square (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} g)$ 
  by (metis BoxImpBoxRule UntilImpWait wait-d-def)
have 7:  $\vdash (\square (\square f) \vee \square (f \mathcal{U} g)) \longrightarrow \square (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} g)$ 
  using 5 6 by fastforce
have 6:  $\vdash \square (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} g) = \square (f \mathcal{W} g)$ 
  by (simp add: wait-d-def)
show ?thesis
  by (metis 4 7 lift-imp-trans wait-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma WaitAbsorbBox:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{W} (\square f) = \square f$ 
by (metis Prop02 Prop11 UntilBoxEqvBox UntilImpWait inteq-reflection wait-d-def)

```

```

lemma BoxImpWait:
 $\vdash \square f \longrightarrow f \mathcal{W} g$ 
by (auto simp add: wait-d-def)

```

```

lemma WaitDistNext:
 $\vdash \bigcirc (f \mathcal{W} g) = (\bigcirc f) \mathcal{W} (\bigcirc g)$ 
— nitpick finds counterexample, does not hold because of finite intervals
oops

```

```

lemma WaitDistNextInfinite:
 $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow \bigcirc (f \mathcal{W} g) = (\bigcirc f) \mathcal{W} (\bigcirc g)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \bigcirc (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} g) \longrightarrow (\bigcirc (\square f) \vee \bigcirc (f \mathcal{U} g))$ 
  by (simp add: ChopOrImpRule next-d-def)
have 2:  $\vdash (\bigcirc (\square f) \vee \bigcirc (f \mathcal{U} g)) \longrightarrow \bigcirc (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} g)$ 
  by (metis BoxImpWait NextImpNext Prop02 UntilImpWait wait-d-def)
have 21:  $\vdash \bigcirc (\Diamond(\neg f)) = \Diamond(\bigcirc(\neg f))$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) ChopAssoc FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite inteq-reflection
    next-d-def sometimes-d-def)
have 22:  $\vdash (\neg(\bigcirc f)) = (\text{empty} \vee \bigcirc(\neg f))$ 

```

```

using WnextEqvEmptyOrNext[of LIFT( $\neg f$ )] unfolding wnext-d-def by simp
have 23:  $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow \circ(\neg f) = (\neg(\circ f))$ 
  using 22 MoreAndInfEqvInf WnextAndMoreEqvNext WnextEqvEmptyOrNext by fastforce
have 24:  $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow \diamond(\circ(\neg f)) = \diamond(\neg(\circ f))$ 
  unfolding sometimes-d-def using 23
  InfRightChopEqvChop[of LIFT( $\circ(\neg f)$ ) LIFT( $(\neg(\circ f))$ ) LIFT(finite)]
  by simp
have 25:  $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow \circ(\diamond(\neg f)) = \diamond(\neg(\circ f))$ 
  using 21 24 by fastforce
have 26:  $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow (\text{empty} \vee \circ(\diamond(\neg f))) = \circ(\diamond(\neg f))$ 
  using MoreAndInfEqvInf WnextAndMoreEqvNext WnextEqvEmptyOrNext by fastforce
have 27:  $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow \text{wnext}(\diamond(\neg f)) = \circ(\diamond(\neg f))$ 
  by (metis 26 WnextEqvEmptyOrNext inteq-reflection)
have 28:  $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow (\neg(\circ(\neg\diamond(\neg f)))) = \diamond(\neg(\circ f))$ 
  using 21 24 27 unfolding wnext-d-def by fastforce
have 3:  $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow \circ(\square f) = \square(\circ f)$ 
  unfolding always-d-def using 28 by fastforce
have 4:  $\vdash \circ(f \cup g) = \circ f \cup \circ g$ 
  by (simp add: NextUntil)
have 5:  $\vdash \circ(\square f \vee f \cup g) = (\circ(\square f) \vee \circ(f \cup g))$ 
  using 1 2 int-iffI by blast
have 6:  $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow \circ(f \mathcal{W} g) = \circ(\square f \vee f \cup g)$ 
  by (simp add: wait-d-def)
have 7:  $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow \circ(\square f \vee f \cup g) = (\circ(\square f) \vee \circ(f \cup g))$ 
  using 5 by auto
have 8:  $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow (\circ(\square f) \vee \circ(f \cup g)) = (\square(\circ f) \vee \circ f \cup \circ g)$ 
  using 4 3 by fastforce
show ?thesis
  by (metis 5 8 inteq-reflection wait-d-def)
qed

```

lemma WnextAlwaysEqvAlwaysWnext:
 $\vdash \text{finite} \longrightarrow \text{wnext}(\square f) = \square(\text{wnext } f)$
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) BoxEqvFinite Yields FiniteChopSkipEqvSkipChopFinite
 SkipYieldsEqvWeakNext YieldsYieldsEqvChop Yields intI inteq-reflection unl-lift2)

lemma WaitExpand:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{W} g = (g \vee (f \wedge \circ(f \mathcal{W} g)))$
 — nitpick finds counterexample, does not hold because of finite intervals
oops

lemma WaitExpand:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{W} g = (g \vee (f \wedge \text{wnext}(f \mathcal{W} g)))$
proof —
 have 1: $\vdash f \mathcal{W} g = (\square f \vee f \cup g)$
 by (simp add: wait-d-def)
 have 2: $\vdash \square f = (f \wedge \text{wnext}(\square f))$
 by (simp add: BoxEqvAndWnextBox)
 have 3: $\vdash f \cup g = (g \vee (f \wedge \circ(f \cup g)))$
 using UntilNextUntil by blast

```

have 4:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{wnext}(\square f)) = (f \wedge (\text{empty} \vee \bigcirc(\square f)))$ 
  using 2 BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox[of f] by fastforce
have 5:  $\vdash \text{wnext}(f \mathcal{W} g) = (\text{empty} \vee \bigcirc(f \mathcal{W} g))$ 
  using WnextEqvEmptyOrNext by blast
have 6:  $\vdash (f \wedge (\text{empty} \vee \bigcirc(\square f))) = ((f \wedge \text{empty}) \vee (f \wedge \bigcirc(\square f)))$ 
  by auto
have 7:  $\vdash (((f \wedge \text{empty}) \vee (f \wedge \bigcirc(\square f))) \vee$ 
   $(g \vee (f \wedge \bigcirc(f \mathcal{U} g)))) =$ 
   $(g \vee (f \wedge (\text{empty} \vee \bigcirc(\square f) \vee \bigcirc(f \mathcal{U} g))))$ 
  by auto
have 8:  $\vdash (\bigcirc(\square f) \vee \bigcirc(f \mathcal{U} g)) = \bigcirc(\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} g)$ 
  by (metis ChopOrEqv Prop11 next-d-def)
show ?thesis
  by (metis 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 inteq-reflection)
qed

```

```

lemma InfImpWnextEqnNext:
 $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow \text{wnext } f = \bigcirc f$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \text{wnext } f = (\text{empty} \vee \bigcirc f)$ 
  by (simp add: WnextEqvEmptyOrNext)
have 2:  $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow \text{more}$ 
  using MoreAndInfEqvInf by auto
have 3:  $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow (\text{empty} \vee \bigcirc f) = \bigcirc f$ 
  unfolding empty-d-def using 2 by fastforce
show ?thesis
  by (metis 1 3 inteq-reflection)
qed

```

```

lemma WaitExpandInfinite:
 $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow f \mathcal{W} g = (g \vee (f \wedge \bigcirc(f \mathcal{W} g)))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f \mathcal{W} g = (g \vee (f \wedge \text{wnext}(f \mathcal{W} g)))$ 
  using WaitExpand by blast
have 2:  $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow \text{wnext}(f \mathcal{W} g) = \bigcirc(f \mathcal{W} g)$ 
  using InfImpWnextEqnNext by blast
have 3:  $\vdash \text{inf} \longrightarrow (g \vee (f \wedge \text{wnext}(f \mathcal{W} g))) = (g \vee (f \wedge \bigcirc(f \mathcal{W} g)))$ 
  using 2 by auto
show ?thesis using 1 3 by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma WaitExclMid:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{W} g \vee f \mathcal{W} (\neg g)$ 
using WaitExpand
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f \mathcal{W} g = (g \vee f \wedge \text{wnext}(f \mathcal{W} g))$ 
  by (simp add: WaitExpand)
have 2:  $\vdash f \mathcal{W} (\neg g) = ((\neg g) \vee f \wedge \text{wnext}(f \mathcal{W} (\neg g)))$ 
  by (simp add: WaitExpand)
have 3:  $\vdash (f \mathcal{W} g \vee f \mathcal{W} (\neg g)) =$ 

```

```

( (g ∨ f ∧ wnext (f W g)) ∨ ((¬g) ∨ f ∧ wnext (f W (¬g))))
using 1 2 by fastforce
from 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma WaileftZero:
 $\vdash \#True \mathcal{W} g$ 
by (meson BoxGen BoxImpWait MP TrueW)

```

```

lemma WaitLeftDistOr:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{W} (g \vee h) = (f \mathcal{W} g \vee f \mathcal{W} h)$ 
proof –
  have 1:  $\vdash f \mathcal{W} (g \vee h) = (\Box f \vee f \mathcal{U} (g \vee h))$ 
    by (simp add: wait-d-def)
  have 2:  $\vdash (f \mathcal{W} g \vee f \mathcal{W} h) = ((\Box f \vee f \mathcal{U} g) \vee (\Box f \vee f \mathcal{U} h))$ 
    by (simp add: wait-d-def)
  have 3:  $\vdash f \mathcal{U} (g \vee h) = (f \mathcal{U} g \vee f \mathcal{U} h)$ 
    by (simp add: UntilOrDist)
  from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma WaitRightDistOr:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{W} h \vee g \mathcal{W} h \longrightarrow (f \vee g) \mathcal{W} h$ 
proof –
  have 0:  $\vdash \Box g \longrightarrow \Box (f \vee g)$ 
    by (simp add: BoxImpBoxRule intI)
  have 1:  $\vdash \Box f \longrightarrow \Box (f \vee g)$ 
    by (simp add: BoxImpBoxRule intI)
  have 11:  $\vdash \Box f \vee \Box g \longrightarrow \Box (f \vee g)$ 
    using 0 1 Prop02 by blast
  have 2:  $\vdash f \mathcal{W} h = (\Box f \vee f \mathcal{U} h)$ 
    by (simp add: wait-d-def)
  have 3:  $\vdash (f \vee g) \mathcal{W} h = (\Box (f \vee g) \vee (f \vee g) \mathcal{U} h)$ 
    by (simp add: wait-d-def)
  have 4:  $\vdash g \mathcal{W} h = (\Box g \vee g \mathcal{U} h)$ 
    by (simp add: wait-d-def)
  have 5:  $\vdash f \mathcal{U} h \vee g \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow (f \vee g) \mathcal{U} h$ 
    using UntilRightDistOr by simp
  have 6:  $\vdash (f \mathcal{W} h \vee g \mathcal{W} h) = ((\Box f \vee \Box g) \vee (f \mathcal{U} h \vee g \mathcal{U} h))$ 
    using 2 4 by fastforce
  from 11 5 6 3 show ?thesis
    by (meson BoxImpWait Prop02 Prop11 UntilImpWait lift-imp-trans)
qed

```

```

lemma WaitOrRule:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{W} g = (f \vee g) \mathcal{W} g$ 
proof –
  have 1:  $\vdash f \mathcal{W} g \longrightarrow (f \vee g) \mathcal{W} g$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Prop03 Prop10 UntilAbsorp-a WaitNotDistUntil int-iffD1 int-simps(14)
      int-simps(32) int-simps(33) integ-reflection)

```

have 2: $\vdash (f \vee g) \mathcal{W} g \longrightarrow f \mathcal{W} g$
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Prop03 Prop10 WaitNotDistUntil int-iffD2 int-simps(14)
int-simps(32) int-simps(33) inteq-reflection)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce
qed

lemma UntilOrRule:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{U} g = (f \vee g) \mathcal{U} g$
by (metis UntilWait WaitOrRule inteq-reflection)

lemma WaitRule:
 $\vdash (\neg f) \mathcal{W} f = \diamond f$
by (metis BoxGen BoxImpWait MP WaitOrRule int-eq-true int-simps(29) inteq-reflection)

lemma UntilRule:
 $\vdash (\neg f) \mathcal{U} f = \diamond f$
using DiamondEqvTrueUntil UntilOrRule inteq-reflection **by** fastforce

lemma WaitImpRule:
 $\vdash (f \longrightarrow g) \mathcal{W} f$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (f \longrightarrow g) \mathcal{W} f = ((f \longrightarrow g) \vee f) \mathcal{W} f$
by (simp add: WaitOrRule)
have 2: $\vdash (f \longrightarrow g) \vee f$
by auto
have 3: $\vdash ((f \longrightarrow g) \vee f) \mathcal{W} f = \#True \mathcal{W} f$
by (metis 1 2 int-eq-true inteq-reflection)
show ?thesis
using 1 3 WaitleftZero **by** fastforce
qed

lemma DiamondUntilImpRule:
 $\vdash \diamond f \longrightarrow (f \longrightarrow g) \mathcal{U} f$
using UntilWait WaitImpRule **by** fastforce

lemma WaitNotDist:
 $\vdash (\neg (f \mathcal{W} g)) = (f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} (\neg f \wedge \neg g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\neg (f \mathcal{W} g)) = (\neg g) \mathcal{U} (\neg f \wedge \neg g)$
using WaitNotDistUntil **by** blast
have 2: $\vdash (\neg g) \mathcal{U} (\neg f \wedge \neg g) = (\neg g \wedge \neg(\neg f \wedge \neg g)) \mathcal{U} (\neg f \wedge \neg g)$
using UntilAndRule **by** blast
have 3: $\vdash (\neg g \wedge \neg(\neg f \wedge \neg g)) = (f \wedge \neg g)$
by auto
have 4: $\vdash (\neg g \wedge \neg(\neg f \wedge \neg g)) \mathcal{U} (\neg f \wedge \neg g) = (f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} (\neg f \wedge \neg g)$
using 3 inteq-reflection **by** force
show ?thesis **using** 1 2 4 **by** fastforce
qed

lemma UntilNotDist:

$\vdash (\neg(f \mathcal{U} g)) = (f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{W} (\neg f \wedge \neg g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\neg(f \mathcal{U} g)) = (\neg g) \mathcal{W} (\neg f \wedge \neg g)$
 using UntilNotDistWait **by** blast
have 2: $\vdash (\neg g) \mathcal{W} (\neg f \wedge \neg g) = (\neg g \wedge \neg(\neg f \wedge \neg g)) \mathcal{W} (\neg f \wedge \neg g)$
 by (simp add: WaitAndRule)
have 3: $\vdash (\neg g \wedge \neg(\neg f \wedge \neg g)) = (f \wedge \neg g)$
 by auto
have 4: $\vdash (\neg g \wedge \neg(\neg f \wedge \neg g)) \mathcal{W} (\neg f \wedge \neg g) = (f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{W} (\neg f \wedge \neg g)$
 using 3 *inteq-reflection* **by** force
show ?thesis **using** 1 2 4 **by** fastforce
qed

lemma UntilDuala:

$\vdash (\neg((\neg f) \mathcal{U} (\neg g))) = g \mathcal{W} (f \wedge g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\neg((\neg f) \mathcal{U} (\neg g))) = (\neg f \wedge \neg(\neg g)) \mathcal{W} (\neg(\neg f) \wedge \neg(\neg g))$
 using UntilNotDist **by** blast
have 2: $\vdash (\neg f \wedge g) \mathcal{W} (f \wedge g) = g \mathcal{W} (f \wedge g)$
 using 1 UntilNotDistWait *int-eq* **by** fastforce
show ?thesis
using 1 2 **by** fastforce
qed

lemma UntilDualb:

$\vdash (\neg((\neg f) \mathcal{U} (\neg g))) = (\neg f \wedge g) \mathcal{W} (f \wedge g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\neg((\neg f) \mathcal{U} (\neg g))) = (\neg f \wedge \neg(\neg g)) \mathcal{W} (\neg(\neg f) \wedge \neg(\neg g))$
 using UntilNotDist **by** blast
show ?thesis
 using 1 **by** auto
qed

lemma WaitDuala:

$\vdash (\neg((\neg f) \mathcal{W} (\neg g))) = g \mathcal{U} (f \wedge g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\neg((\neg f) \mathcal{W} (\neg g))) = (\neg f \wedge \neg(\neg g)) \mathcal{U} (\neg(\neg f) \wedge \neg(\neg g))$
 using WaitNotDist **by** blast
have 2: $\vdash (\neg f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge g) = g \mathcal{U} (f \wedge g)$
 using 1 WaitNotDistUntil *int-eq* **by** fastforce
show ?thesis
 using 1 2 **by** fastforce
qed

lemma WaitDualb:

$\vdash (\neg((\neg f) \mathcal{W} (\neg g))) = (\neg f \wedge g) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\neg((\neg f) \mathcal{W} (\neg g))) = (\neg f \wedge \neg(\neg g)) \mathcal{U} (\neg(\neg f) \wedge \neg(\neg g))$
 using WaitNotDist **by** blast
show ?thesis **using** 1 **by** auto

qed

lemma *WaitIdempotent*:

$$\vdash f \mathcal{W} f = f$$

by (meson *BoxElim Prop02 Prop12 UntilIdempotent UntilImpWait UntilIntro WaitUntilb int-iffD1 int-iffI lift-imp-trans*)

lemma *WaitRightZero*:

$$\vdash f \mathcal{W} \#True$$

by (meson *MP TrueW UntilImpWait UntilIntro*)

lemma *WaitLeftIdentity*:

$$\vdash \#False \mathcal{W} g = g$$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) *UntilAbsorp-c UntilNotDistWait WaitDuala WaitIdempotent WaitSRelease int-eq int-simps(17) int-simps(3) srelease-d-def*)

lemma *WaitImpOr*:

$$\vdash f \mathcal{W} g \longrightarrow f \vee g$$

by (metis *Prop03 WaitIdempotent WaitLeftDistOr WaitOrRule inteq-reflection*)

lemma *BoxOrImpWait*:

$$\vdash \square(f \vee g) \longrightarrow f \mathcal{W} g$$

using *BoxImpWait WaitOrRule* **by** fastforce

lemma *BoxImpImpWait*:

$$\vdash \square(\neg g \longrightarrow f) \longrightarrow f \mathcal{W} g$$

proof –

$$\text{have 1: } \vdash (\neg g \longrightarrow f) = (f \vee g)$$

by auto

$$\text{have 2: } \vdash \square(\neg g \longrightarrow f) = \square(f \vee g)$$

using 1 *BoxEqvBox* **by** blast

show ?thesis **using** 2 *BoxOrImpWait* **by** fastforce

qed

lemma *WaitInsertion*:

$$\vdash g \longrightarrow f \mathcal{W} g$$

by (simp add: *Prop05 UntilIntro wait-d-def*)

lemma *WaitFrameNext*:

$$\vdash \square f \longrightarrow (\bigcirc g \longrightarrow \bigcirc(f \mathcal{W} g))$$

by (simp add: *NextImpNext Prop01 Prop05 Prop09 WaitInsertion*)

lemma *WaitFrameDiamond*:

$$\vdash \square f \longrightarrow (\lozenge g \longrightarrow \lozenge(f \mathcal{W} g))$$

by (simp add: *DiamondImpDiamond Prop01 Prop05 Prop09 WaitInsertion*)

lemma *WaitFrameBox*:

$$\vdash \square f \longrightarrow (\square g \longrightarrow \square(f \mathcal{W} g))$$

by (meson *BoxAndBoxImpBoxRule OrImpUntil Prop09 UntilImpWait lift-imp-trans*)

```

lemma WaitInductiona:
   $\vdash \square(f \rightarrow (\bigcirc f \wedge g) \vee h) \rightarrow (f \rightarrow g \mathcal{W} h)$ 
by (simp add: UntilInduction-a wait-d-def)

lemma WaitInductionb:
   $\vdash \square(f \rightarrow \bigcirc f \vee g) \rightarrow (f \rightarrow f \mathcal{W} g)$ 
by (simp add: UntilInduction-b wait-d-def)

lemma WaitInductionc:
   $\vdash \square(f \rightarrow \bigcirc f) \rightarrow (f \rightarrow f \mathcal{W} g)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (f \rightarrow \bigcirc f) \rightarrow (f \rightarrow \text{wnext } f)$ 
  unfolding wnext-d-def using NextImpNotNextNot[of f] by auto
  have 2:  $\vdash \square(f \rightarrow \bigcirc f) \rightarrow \square(f \rightarrow \text{wnext } f)$ 
  using 1 BoxImpBoxRule by blast
  show ?thesis by (meson 2 BoxImpWait BoxInduct Prop09 lift-imp-trans)
qed

lemma WaitInductiond:
   $\vdash \square(f \rightarrow g \wedge \bigcirc f) \rightarrow (f \rightarrow f \mathcal{W} g)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (f \rightarrow g \wedge \bigcirc f) \rightarrow (f \rightarrow \text{wnext } f)$ 
  unfolding wnext-d-def using NextImpNotNextNot[of f] by auto
  have 2:  $\vdash \square(f \rightarrow g \wedge \bigcirc f) \rightarrow \square(f \rightarrow \text{wnext } f)$ 
  using 1 BoxImpBoxRule by blast
  show ?thesis by (meson 2 BoxImpWait BoxInduct Prop09 lift-imp-trans)
qed

lemma WaitAbsorba:
   $\vdash (f \vee f \mathcal{W} g) = (f \vee g)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (f \vee f \mathcal{W} g) \rightarrow (f \vee g)$ 
  using WaitImpOr by fastforce
  have 2:  $\vdash f \vee g \rightarrow f \vee f \mathcal{W} g$ 
  using WaitInsertion by fastforce
  show ?thesis using 1 2 int-iffI by blast
qed

lemma WaitAbsorbb:
   $\vdash (f \mathcal{W} g \vee g) = f \mathcal{W} g$ 
using WaitInsertion[of g f] by auto

lemma WaitAbsorbc:
   $\vdash (f \mathcal{W} g \wedge g) = g$ 
using WaitInsertion by fastforce

lemma WaitAbsorbd:
   $\vdash (f \mathcal{W} g \wedge (f \vee g)) = f \mathcal{W} g$ 
by (meson Prop10 Prop11 WaitImpOr)

```

lemma *WaitAbsorb*:
 $\vdash (f \mathcal{W} g \vee (f \wedge g)) = f \mathcal{W} g$
unfolding *wait-d-def*
using *UntilAbsorp-d* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *WaitLeftAbsorb*:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{W} (f \mathcal{W} g) = f \mathcal{W} g$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) BoxEqvBoxBox UntilUntil WaitAbsorbBox WaitAbsorba WaitLeftDistOr inteq-reflection wait-d-def*)

lemma *WaitRightAbsorb*:
 $\vdash (f \mathcal{W} g) \mathcal{W} g = f \mathcal{W} g$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) LeftUntilAbsorp Prop10 WaitInsertion WaitNotDistUntil int-iffD1 int-iffI int-simps(32) inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WaitBox*:
 $\vdash \square f = f \mathcal{W} \#False$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) BoxGen DiamondNotEqvNotBox UntilAbsorpAndDiamond UntilAbsorp-c int-eq-true int-simps(2) int-simps(25) inteq-reflection wait-d-def*)

lemma *WaitDiamond*:
 $\vdash \diamond f = (\neg(\neg f) \mathcal{W} \#False)$
using *DiamondNotEqvNotBox WaitBox* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *WaitImp*:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{W} g \longrightarrow \square f \vee \diamond g$
by (*metis Prop08 UntilImpDiamond WaitAbsorbb WaitImpOr WaitRightAbsorb int-eq wait-d-def*)

lemma *WaitRightUntilAbsorb*:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{W} (f \mathcal{U} g) = f \mathcal{W} g$
by (*metis UntilUntil WaitOrRule inteq-reflection wait-d-def*)

lemma *WaitLeftUntilAbsorb*:
 $\vdash (f \mathcal{U} g) \mathcal{W} g = f \mathcal{U} g$
by (*metis Prop11 RightUntilAbsorp UntilAbsorp-b UntilImpWait WaitImpOr inteq-reflection*)

lemma *UntilRightWaitAbsorb*:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{U} (f \mathcal{W} g) = f \mathcal{W} g$
using *UntilImpWait UntilIntro WaitLeftAbsorb* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *UntilLeftWaitAbsorb*:
 $\vdash (f \mathcal{W} g) \mathcal{U} g = f \mathcal{U} g$
by (*metis UntilWait WaitRightAbsorb inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WaitDiamondAbsorb*:
 $\vdash (\diamond g) \mathcal{W} g = \diamond g$
by (*metis DiamondEqvTrueUntil WaitLeftUntilAbsorb inteq-reflection*)

lemma *WaitAndBoxAbsorb*:
 $\vdash (\square f \wedge f \mathcal{W} g) = \square f$

by (meson BoxImpWait NotDiamondNotEqvBox Prop04 Prop10)

lemma WaitOrBoxAbsorb:

$$\vdash (\square f \vee f \mathcal{W} g) = f \mathcal{W} g$$

by (metis UntilRightWaitAbsorb WaitLeftAbsorb inteq-reflection wait-d-def)

lemma WaitAndBoxImpBox:

$$\vdash f \mathcal{W} g \wedge \square (\neg g) \longrightarrow \square f$$

by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Prop02 Prop05 Prop07 Prop08 UntilIdempotent UntilImpDiamond UntilIntro always-d-def int-simps(25) int-simps(4) inteq-reflection wait-d-def)

lemma BoxImpUntilOrBox:

$$\vdash \square f \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} g \vee \square (\neg g)$$

proof –

$$\begin{aligned} \text{have 1: } & \vdash (\square f \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} g \vee \square (\neg g)) = \\ & ((\square f \wedge \diamond g) \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} g) \end{aligned}$$

by (auto simp add: always-d-def)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{have 2: } & \vdash (\square f \wedge \diamond g) \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} g \\ & \text{using UntilAndImp by blast} \end{aligned}$$

show ?thesis

using 1 2 by fastforce

qed

lemma NotBoxAndWaitImpDiamond:

$$\vdash \neg(\square f) \wedge f \mathcal{W} g \longrightarrow \diamond g$$

using WaitImp by fastforce

lemma DiamondImpNotBoxOrUntil:

$$\vdash \diamond g \longrightarrow \neg(\square f) \vee f \mathcal{U} g$$

proof –

$$\begin{aligned} \text{have 1: } & \vdash \diamond g \wedge \square f \longrightarrow f \mathcal{U} g \\ & \text{using UntilAndImp by fastforce} \end{aligned}$$

show ?thesis using 1 by auto

qed

lemma WaitRightDistImp:

$$\vdash (f \longrightarrow g) \mathcal{W} h \longrightarrow (f \mathcal{W} h \longrightarrow g \mathcal{W} h)$$

proof –

$$\begin{aligned} \text{have 0: } & \vdash \square(f \longrightarrow g) \wedge \square f \longrightarrow \square g \\ & \text{by (simp add: BoxAndBoxImpBoxRule intI)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{have 1: } & \vdash \square(f \longrightarrow g) \wedge \square f \longrightarrow \square g \vee g \mathcal{U} h \\ & \text{using 0 by auto} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{have 2: } & \vdash \square(f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f) \mathcal{U} ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge h) \\ & \text{using UntilAlwaysAndDist[of LIFT(f \longrightarrow g) f h] by auto} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{have 3: } & \vdash (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f \longrightarrow g \\ & \text{by auto} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{have 4: } & \vdash (f \longrightarrow g) \wedge h \longrightarrow h \\ & \text{by auto} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{have 5: } & \vdash ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge f) \mathcal{U} ((f \longrightarrow g) \wedge h) \longrightarrow \square g \vee g \mathcal{U} h \\ & \text{by (simp add: 3 4 Prop05 UntilImpUntil)} \end{aligned}$$

```

have 6:  $\vdash \square(f \rightarrow g) \wedge f \mathcal{U} h \rightarrow \square g \vee g \mathcal{U} h$ 
  using 2 5 lift-imp-trans by blast
have 7:  $\vdash \square(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow \square f \vee f \mathcal{U} h \rightarrow \square g \vee g \mathcal{U} h$ 
  by (simp add: 1 6 Prop09 Prop12)
have 8:  $\vdash \square f \wedge (f \rightarrow g) \mathcal{U} h \rightarrow (f \wedge (f \rightarrow g)) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge h)$ 
  by (simp add: UntilAlwaysAndDist)
have 9:  $\vdash f \wedge (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow g$ 
  by auto
have 10:  $\vdash f \wedge h \rightarrow h$ 
  by auto
have 11:  $\vdash (f \wedge (f \rightarrow g)) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge h) \rightarrow \square g \vee g \mathcal{U} h$ 
  by (simp add: 10 9 Prop05 UntilImpUntil)
have 12:  $\vdash (f \rightarrow g) \mathcal{U} h \wedge \square f \rightarrow \square g \vee g \mathcal{U} h$ 
  using 8 11 by fastforce
have 13:  $\vdash (f \rightarrow g) \mathcal{U} h \wedge f \mathcal{U} h \rightarrow \square g \vee g \mathcal{U} h$ 
  by (metis 3 Prop05 UntilAndDist UntilImpUntil UntilIntro UntilUntil inteq-reflection)
have 14:  $\vdash (f \rightarrow g) \mathcal{U} h \rightarrow \square f \vee f \mathcal{U} h \rightarrow \square g \vee g \mathcal{U} h$ 
  by (simp add: 12 13 Prop09 Prop12)
show ?thesis unfolding wait-d-def using 7 14 Prop02 by blast
qed

```

lemma WaitLeftMono:
 $\vdash \square(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (f \mathcal{W} h \rightarrow g \mathcal{W} h)$
by (meson BoxImpWait WaitRightDistImp lift-imp-trans)

lemma WaitRightMono:
 $\vdash \square(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (h \mathcal{W} f \rightarrow h \mathcal{W} g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \square(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (h \mathcal{U} f \rightarrow h \mathcal{U} g)$
by (simp add: UntilRightMono)
have 2: $\vdash \square(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (\square h \rightarrow \square h \vee h \mathcal{U} g)$
by auto
have 3: $\vdash \square(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (h \mathcal{U} f \rightarrow \square h \vee h \mathcal{U} g)$
using 1 **by** auto
have 4: $\vdash \square(f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (\square h \vee h \mathcal{U} f \rightarrow \square h \vee h \mathcal{U} g)$
using 2 3 **by** fastforce
from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: wait-d-def)
qed

lemma WaitStrengthen:
 $\vdash \square((f \rightarrow h) \wedge (g \rightarrow i)) \rightarrow (f \mathcal{W} g \rightarrow h \mathcal{W} i)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \square((f \rightarrow h) \wedge (g \rightarrow i)) \rightarrow (f \mathcal{W} g \rightarrow h \mathcal{W} g)$
by (meson BoxAndBoxEqvBoxRule Prop01 Prop05 Prop11 WaitLeftMono lift-and-com lift-imp-trans)
have 2: $\vdash \square((f \rightarrow h) \wedge (g \rightarrow i)) \rightarrow (h \mathcal{W} g \rightarrow h \mathcal{W} i)$
by (meson BoxElim BoxImpBoxBox BoxImpBoxRule Prop12 WaitRightMono lift-imp-trans)
have 3: $\vdash \square((f \rightarrow h) \wedge (g \rightarrow i)) \rightarrow (f \mathcal{W} g \rightarrow h \mathcal{W} g) \wedge (h \mathcal{W} g \rightarrow h \mathcal{W} i)$
using 1 2 **by** fastforce
have 4: $\vdash (f \mathcal{W} g \rightarrow h \mathcal{W} g) \wedge (h \mathcal{W} g \rightarrow h \mathcal{W} i) \rightarrow (f \mathcal{W} g \rightarrow h \mathcal{W} i)$
by auto

```
from 3 4 show ?thesis by auto
qed
```

lemma *WaitCatRule*:

```
⊢ □( (f → g W h) ∧ (h → g W i)) → (f → g W i)
```

proof –

```
have 1: ⊢ □( (f → g W h) ∧ (h → g W i)) → □( f → g W h)
```

by (metis BoxElim BoxEqvBoxBox BoxImpBoxRule Prop12 inteq-reflection)

```
have 2: ⊢ □( (f → g W h) ∧ (h → g W i)) → □( h → g W i)
```

by (metis BoxElim BoxEqvBoxBox BoxImpBoxRule Prop12 inteq-reflection)

```
have 3: ⊢ □( h → g W i) → □( g W h → g W (g W i))
```

by (metis BoxEqvBoxBox BoxImpBoxRule WaitRightMono inteq-reflection)

```
have 4: ⊢ □( g W h → g W (g W i)) → □( g W h → g W i)
```

by (metis BoxEqvBoxBox WaitLeftAbsorb int-iffD1 inteq-reflection)

```
have 5: ⊢ □( f → g W h) → (f → g W h)
```

by (simp add: BoxElim)

```
have 6: ⊢ □( g W h → g W i) → (g W h → g W i)
```

by (simp add: BoxElim)

```
have 7: ⊢ (f → g W h) ∧ (g W h → g W i) → (f → g W i)
```

by auto

```
have 8: ⊢ □( (f → g W h) ∧ (h → g W i)) →
```

```
      (f → g W h) ∧ (g W h → g W i)
```

using 1 2 3 4 5 6 by fastforce

```
from 7 8 show ?thesis by auto
```

qed

lemma *LeftUntilWaitImp*:

```
⊢ (f U g) W h → (f W g) W h
```

by (meson BoxGen MP UntilImpWait WaitLeftMono)

lemma *RightWaitUntilImp*:

```
⊢ f W (g U h) → f W (g W h)
```

by (meson BoxGen MP UntilImpWait WaitRightMono)

lemma *RightUntilUntilImp*:

```
⊢ f U (g U h) → f U (g W h)
```

by (meson BoxGen MP UntilImpWait UntilRightMono)

lemma *LeftUntilUntilImp*:

```
⊢ (f U g) U h → (f W g) U h
```

by (simp add: UntilImpUntil UntilImpWait)

lemma *LeftUntilOrStrengthen*:

```
⊢ (f U g) U h → (f ∨ g) U h
```

by (simp add: UntilImpOr UntilImpUntil)

lemma *LeftWaitOrStrengthen*:

```
⊢ (f W g) W h → (f ∨ g) W h
```

by (meson BoxGen MP WaitImpOr WaitLeftMono)

lemma *RightWaitOrStrengthen*:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{W} (g \mathcal{W} h) \longrightarrow f \mathcal{W} (g \vee h)$
by (*meson BoxGen MP WaitImpOr WaitRightMono*)

lemma *BoxImpBoxOr*:
 $\vdash \square f \longrightarrow \square(f \vee g)$
by (*metis BoxEqvBoxBox BoxImpBoxRule BoxImpWait Prop12 WaitAbsorbd inteq-reflection*)

lemma *RightWaitOrOrder*:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{W} (g \mathcal{W} h) \longrightarrow (f \vee g) \mathcal{W} h$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \mathcal{W} (g \mathcal{W} h) = (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} (\square g \vee g \mathcal{U} h))$
 by (*simp add: wait-d-def*)
have 2: $\vdash (f \vee g) \mathcal{W} h = (\square (f \vee g) \vee (f \vee g) \mathcal{U} h)$
 by (*simp add: wait-d-def*)
have 3: $\vdash \square f \longrightarrow (\square (f \vee g) \vee (f \vee g) \mathcal{U} h)$
 using *BoxImpBoxOr* **by** *fastforce*
have 4: $\vdash f \mathcal{U} (\square g \vee g \mathcal{U} h) = (f \mathcal{U} (\square g) \vee f \mathcal{U} (g \mathcal{U} h))$
 using *UntilOrDist* **by** *blast*
have 5: $\vdash f \mathcal{U} (g \mathcal{U} h) \longrightarrow (\square (f \vee g) \vee (f \vee g) \mathcal{U} h)$
 by (*simp add: Prop05 UntilRightOr*)
have 6: $\vdash f \mathcal{U} (\square g) \longrightarrow (\square (f \vee g) \vee (f \vee g) \mathcal{U} h)$
 by (*metis BoxImpBoxRule BoxImpWait UntilBoxImp UntilImpOr lift-imp-trans wait-d-def*)
have 7: $\vdash (f \mathcal{U} (\square g) \vee f \mathcal{U} (g \mathcal{U} h)) \longrightarrow (\square (f \vee g) \vee (f \vee g) \mathcal{U} h)$
 using 5 6 **by** *fastforce*
show ?thesis
 using 1 2 3 4 7 **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *RightWaitAndOrder*:
 $\vdash f \mathcal{W} (g \wedge h) \longrightarrow f \mathcal{W} (g \mathcal{W} h)$
by (*metis Prop03 WaitAbsorbe WaitLeftDistOr inteq-reflection*)

lemma *UntilOrder*:
 $\vdash ((\neg f) \mathcal{U} g \vee (\neg g) \mathcal{U} f) = \diamond(f \vee g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash ((\neg f) \mathcal{U} g \vee (\neg g) \mathcal{U} f) \longrightarrow \diamond(f \vee g)$
 using *UntilAbsorpAndDiamond UntilOrDist* **by** *fastforce*
have 2: $\vdash \diamond(f \vee g) = \#True \mathcal{U} (f \vee g)$
 by (*metis DiamondEqvTrueUntil*)
have 3: $\vdash \#True \mathcal{U} (f \vee g) = (\neg(f \vee g)) \mathcal{U} (f \vee g)$
 using 2 *UntilRule* **by** *fastforce*
have 4: $\vdash (\neg(f \vee g)) \mathcal{U} (f \vee g) = (\neg f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} (f \vee g)$
 by (*metis UntilAbsorp-c int-eq int-simps(14) int-simps(33)*)
have 5: $\vdash (\neg f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} (f \vee g) \longrightarrow (\neg f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} f \vee (\neg f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} g$
 by (*simp add: UntilOrDist int-iffD1*)
have 6: $\vdash (\neg f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} f \longrightarrow (\neg g) \mathcal{U} f$
 by (*metis UntilAndRule int-iffD2 inteq-reflection lift-and-com*)
have 7: $\vdash (\neg f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} g \longrightarrow (\neg f) \mathcal{U} g$
 by (*metis UntilAndRule int-iffD2*)

```

have 8:  $\vdash (\neg f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} f \vee (\neg f \wedge \neg g) \mathcal{U} g \longrightarrow (\neg f) \mathcal{U} g \vee (\neg g) \mathcal{U} f$ 
  using 6 7 by fastforce
have 9:  $\vdash \diamond(f \vee g) \longrightarrow (\neg f) \mathcal{U} g \vee (\neg g) \mathcal{U} f$ 
  using 2 3 4 5 8 by fastforce
show ?thesis using 1 9 by fastforce
qed

```

lemma WaitOrder:

```

 $\vdash (\neg f) \mathcal{W} g \vee (\neg g) \mathcal{W} f$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash (\neg f) \mathcal{W} g = (\square (\neg f) \vee (\neg f) \mathcal{U} g)$ 
  by (simp add: wait-d-def)
have 2:  $\vdash (\neg g) \mathcal{W} f = (\square (\neg g) \vee (\neg g) \mathcal{U} f)$ 
  by (simp add: wait-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash ((\square (\neg f) \vee (\neg f) \mathcal{U} g) \vee (\square (\neg g) \vee (\neg g) \mathcal{U} f)) =$ 
   $((\square (\neg f) \vee \square (\neg g)) \vee ((\neg f) \mathcal{U} g \vee (\neg g) \mathcal{U} f))$ 
  by auto
have 4:  $\vdash ((\neg f) \mathcal{U} g \vee (\neg g) \mathcal{U} f) = \diamond(f \vee g)$ 
  using UntilOrder by blast
have 5:  $\vdash (\square (\neg f) \vee \square (\neg g)) = (\neg (\diamond f) \vee \neg (\diamond g))$ 
  by (simp add: always-d-def)
have 6:  $\vdash \diamond(f \vee g) = (\diamond f \vee \diamond g)$ 
  by (simp add: ChopOrEqv sometimes-d-def)
have 7:  $\vdash (\square (\neg f) \vee \square (\neg g)) \vee \diamond(f \vee g)$ 
  using 5 6 by fastforce
show ?thesis
  using 1 2 4 7 by fastforce
qed

```

lemma WaitImpOrder:

```

 $\vdash f \mathcal{W} g \wedge (\neg g) \mathcal{W} h \longrightarrow f \mathcal{W} h$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash f \mathcal{W} g = (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} g)$ 
  by (simp add: wait-d-def)
have 2:  $\vdash f \mathcal{W} h = (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} h)$ 
  by (simp add: wait-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash (\neg g) \mathcal{W} h = (\square (\neg g) \vee (\neg g) \mathcal{U} h)$ 
  by (simp add: wait-d-def)
have 4:  $\vdash \square f \wedge (\square (\neg g) \vee (\neg g) \mathcal{U} h) \longrightarrow (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} h)$ 
  by auto
have 5:  $\vdash (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} g) \wedge \square (\neg g) \longrightarrow (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} h)$ 
  using 1 WaitAndBoxImpBox by fastforce
have 6:  $\vdash f \mathcal{U} g \wedge (\neg g) \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} h)$ 
  by (simp add: Prop05 UntilNotImp)
have 7:  $\vdash \square f \wedge (\neg g) \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} h)$ 
  by auto
have 8:  $\vdash (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} g) \wedge (\neg g) \mathcal{U} h \longrightarrow (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} h)$ 
  using 6 7 by fastforce
have 9:  $\vdash (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} g) \wedge (\square (\neg g) \vee (\neg g) \mathcal{U} h) \longrightarrow (\square f \vee f \mathcal{U} h)$ 
  using 5 8 by fastforce

```

```

show ?thesis by (simp add: 9 wait-d-def)
qed

```

end

13 Pi operator for infinite and finite ITL

```

theory Pi
imports Until Omega
begin

```

This theory introduces the Pi operator [10, 7]. The Pi operator is defined in terms of the filter operator. We prove the soundness of the rules and axiom system. The until operator from Until.thy is used as there is a striking similarity of the expressiveness of the until and the Pi operator [7].

13.1 Definitions

```

definition sfxfilt :: 'a nellist  $\Rightarrow$  ('a:: world) formula  $\Rightarrow$  'a nellist nellist
where sfxfilt s f = (nfilter ( $\lambda$   $\sigma$ .  $\sigma \models f$ ) (ndropns s))

```

```

definition pifilt :: 'a nellist  $\Rightarrow$  ('a:: world) formula  $\Rightarrow$  'a nellist
where pifilt s f = (nmap ( $\lambda$ s. nnth s 0) (sfxfilt s f))

```

```

definition pi-d :: ('a:: world) formula  $\Rightarrow$  'a formula  $\Rightarrow$  'a formula
where pi-d F G  $\equiv$   $\lambda$ s. (  $(\exists i \leq nlength s. (ndropn i s) \models F) \wedge ((pifilt s F) \models G)$  )

```

syntax
 $\text{-pi-d} :: [\text{lift}, \text{lift}] \Rightarrow \text{lift}$ ((- PI -) [84,84] 83)

syntax (ASCII)
 $\text{-pi-d} :: [\text{lift}, \text{lift}] \Rightarrow \text{lift}$ ((- PI -) [84,84] 83)

translations
 $\text{-pi-d} \rightleftharpoons \text{CONST pi-d}$

```

definition upi-d :: ('a:: world) formula  $\Rightarrow$  'a formula  $\Rightarrow$  'a formula
where upi-d F G  $\equiv$  LIFT( $\neg(F \Pi (\neg G))$ )

```

syntax
 $\text{-upi-d} :: [\text{lift}, \text{lift}] \Rightarrow \text{lift}$ ((- Π^u -) [84,84] 83)

syntax (ASCII)
 $\text{-upi-d} :: [\text{lift}, \text{lift}] \Rightarrow \text{lift}$ ((- UPI -) [84,84] 83)

translations

$-upi-d \quad \equiv CONST upi-d$

13.2 Semantic Lemmas

lemma *sfxfilter-help*:

$(\exists ys \in nset (ndropns xs) . f ys) = (\exists i \leq nlength xs. f (ndropn i xs))$

using *in-nset-ndropns* **by** *auto*

lemma *pifiltinit-help*:

$(\exists y \in nset (xs). w (NNil y)) = (\exists i \leq nlength xs. w (NNil (nnth xs i)))$

by (*metis in-nset-conv-nnth*)

lemma *sfxfilt-nnth*:

assumes $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. (ndropn i \sigma) \models f)$
 $i \leq nlength (sfxfilt \sigma f)$

shows $(nnth (sfxfilt \sigma f) i) \models f$

using *assms* **by** (*metis in-nset-ndropns nkfilter-nnth-aa sfxfilt-def*)

lemma *pifilt-exists*:

assumes $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. f (ndropn i \sigma))$

shows $(\exists i \leq nlength (sfxfilt \sigma f). (nnth (sfxfilt \sigma f) i) \models f)$

using *assms* **by** (*metis sfxfilt-nnth zero-enat-def zero-le*)

lemma *sfxfilt-pifilt-nlength*:

shows $nlength (pifilt \sigma f) = nlength (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (sfxfilt \sigma f))$
by (*simp add: pifilt-def*)

lemma *sfxfilt-pifilt-nnth*:

assumes $j \leq nlength (pifilt \sigma f)$

shows $nnth (pifilt \sigma f) j = nnth (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (sfxfilt \sigma f)) j$

using *assms* **by** (*simp add: pifilt-def*)

lemma *sfxfilt-pifilt*:

shows $(nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (sfxfilt \sigma f)) = pifilt \sigma f$
by (*simp add: pifilt-def*)

lemma *sfxfilt-nlength-bound*:

assumes $(\exists i \leq nlength xs. f (ndropn i xs))$

shows $nlength (sfxfilt xs f) \leq nlength xs$

using *assms*

by (*metis length-nfilter-le ndropns-nlength sfxfilt-def*)

lemma *pifilt-nlength-bound*:

assumes $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. f (ndropn i \sigma))$

shows $nlength (pifilt \sigma f) \leq nlength \sigma$

using *assms* **by** (*simp add: pifilt-def sfxfilt-nlength-bound*)

lemma *sfxfilt-nlength-nnth-bound*:

assumes $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. f (ndropn i \sigma))$

$j \leq nlength (sfxfilt \sigma f)$

```

shows  nlength (nnth (sfxfilt σ f) j) ≤ nlength σ
using assms by (simp add: nfilter-ndropns-nnth-bound sfxfilt-def sfxfilter-help)

lemma sfxfilt-pifilt-nnth-ndropn:
assumes (exists i ≤ nlength σ. (ndropn i σ) ≡ f)
      j ≤ nlength (pifilt σ f)
shows   nnth (sfxfilt σ f) j = ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) j) σ
proof -
have 0: ∃ x ∈ nset (ndropns σ). f x
  by (simp add: assms(1) sfxfilter-help)
have 1: nnth (sfxfilt σ f) j = nnth (nfilter f (ndropns σ)) j
  by (simp add: sfxfilt-def)
have 2: nnth (nfilter f (ndropns σ)) j = nnth (ndropns σ) (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) j)
  using nkfilter-nfilter[of ndropns σ λ s. s ≡ f j 0] assms unfolding pifilt-def sfxfilt-def
  by (simp add: 0 nkfilter-nlength)
have 30: enat (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) j) ≤ nlength σ
  using 0 nkfilter-upperbound[of (ndropns σ) f j 0] assms unfolding pifilt-def sfxfilt-def
  by (metis gen-nlength-def ndropns-nlength nkfilter-nlength nlength-code nlength-nmap)
have 3: nnth (ndropns σ) (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) j) =
  ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) j) σ
  using ndropns-nnth[of (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) j)] ]
  using 30 by blast
show ?thesis by (simp add: 1 2 3)
qed

lemma pifilt-nnth:
assumes (exists i ≤ nlength σ. f (ndropn i σ))
      i ≤ nlength (pifilt σ f)
shows   (exists k ≤ nlength σ. nnth (pifilt σ f) i = nnth σ k)
using assms sfxfilt-pifilt-nnth-ndropn[of σ f i]
by (simp add: pifilt-def)
  (metis enat-the-enat infinity-ileE linorder-le-cases ndropn-all ndropn-nfirst)

lemma sfxfilt-nlength-imp:
assumes (exists i ≤ nlength σ. f (ndropn i σ) ∧ g (ndropn i σ))
shows   nlength (sfxfilt σ (LIFT(f ∧ g))) ≤ nlength (sfxfilt σ f)
proof -
have 1: nlength (sfxfilt σ (LIFT(f ∧ g))) =
  nlength (nfilter (λ ys. f ys ∧ g ys) (ndropns σ))
  by (simp add: sfxfilt-def)
have 2: nlength (nfilter f (ndropns σ)) = nlength (sfxfilt σ f)
  by (simp add: sfxfilt-def)
have 3: ∃ x ∈ nset (ndropns σ). f x ∧ g x
  using assms in-nset-ndropns by blast
have 4: nlength (nfilter (λ x. f x ∧ g x) (ndropns σ)) ≤ nlength (nfilter f (ndropns σ))
  using 3 nfilter-nlength-imp[of ndropns σ f g] by auto
show ?thesis using 1 2 4 by auto
qed

```

```

lemma pifilt-nlength-imp:
assumes ( $\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. f (ndropn i \sigma) \wedge g (ndropn i \sigma)$ )
shows  $nlength (pifilt \sigma (LIFT(f \wedge g))) \leq nlength (pifilt \sigma f)$ 
using assms
by (simp add: sfxfilt-nlength-imp pifilt-def)

lemma nellist-nset-sfxfilt [simp]:
assumes ( $\exists i \leq nlength xs. f (ndropn i xs)$ )
shows  $(nset (sfxfilt xs f)) = \{ys. ys \in nset (ndropns xs) \wedge f (ys)\}$ 
using assms
proof -
have 1:  $nset (sfxfilt xs f) = nset (nfilter f (ndropns xs))$ 
  by (simp add: sfxfilt-def)
have 2:  $\exists x \in nset (ndropns xs). f x$ 
  using assms using in-nset-ndropns by blast
have 3:  $nset (nfilter f (ndropns xs)) = \{ys. ys \in nset (ndropns xs) \wedge f ys\}$ 
  using 2 nset-nfilter[of ndropns xs f] by auto
show ?thesis by (simp add: 1 3)
qed

lemma subset-nfilter:
assumes  $\exists x \in nset xs. P x$ 
shows  $nset(nfilter P xs) \leq nset(nfilter (\lambda x. P x \vee Q x) xs)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\exists x \in nset xs. P x \vee Q x$ 
  using assms by blast
have 2:  $nset(nfilter (\lambda x. P x \vee Q x) xs) = \{x \in nset xs. P x \vee Q x\}$ 
  using assms nset-nfilter[of xs (\lambda x. P x \vee Q x)] by blast
have 3:  $nset(nfilter P xs) = \{x \in nset xs. P x\}$ 
  using assms nset-nfilter[of xs P] by (simp add: Collect-conj-eq)
have 4:  $\{x \in nset xs. P x\} \leq \{x \in nset xs. P x \vee Q x\}$ 
  by auto
show ?thesis by (simp add: 2 3 4)
qed

lemma nellist-subset-sfxfilt [simp]:
assumes ( $\exists i \leq nlength xs. f (ndropn i xs)$ )
shows  $(nset (sfxfilt xs f)) \leq (nset (sfxfilt xs (LIFT(f \vee g))))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\exists x \in nset (ndropns xs). f x$ 
  using assms using in-nset-ndropns by blast
have 2:  $nset (sfxfilt xs f) = nset (nfilter f (ndropns xs))$ 
  by (simp add: sfxfilt-def)
have 3:  $nset (sfxfilt xs (LIFT(f \vee g))) = nset (nfilter (\lambda x. f x \vee g x) (ndropns xs))$ 
  by (simp add: sfxfilt-def)
have 4:  $nset (nfilter f (ndropns xs)) \leq nset (nfilter (\lambda x. f x \vee g x) (ndropns xs))$ 
  using 1 subset-nfilter[of ndropns xs f g] by auto
show ?thesis using 2 3 4 by blast
qed

```

lemma nellist-nset-nmap:

(nset (nmap ($\lambda s. \text{nnth } s \ 0$) xs)) = $\{(\text{nnth } ys \ 0) \mid ys. \ ys \in \text{nset } xs\}$

by (auto simp add: in-nset-conv-nnth nset-conv-nnth)

(metis nnth-nmap)

lemma nellist-nset-pifilt [simp]:

assumes ($\exists i \leq \text{nlength } xs. f (ndropn i xs)$)

shows (nset (pifilt xs f)) = $\{(\text{nnth } ys \ 0) \mid ys. \ ys \in \text{nset}(\text{ndropns } xs) \wedge f (ys)\}$

proof -

have 1: (nset (pifilt xs f)) = (nset (nmap ($\lambda s. \text{nnth } s \ 0$) (sfxfilt xs f)))

by (simp add: pifilt-def)

have 2: (nset (nmap ($\lambda s. \text{nnth } s \ 0$) (sfxfilt xs f))) =

$\{(\text{nnth } ys \ 0) \mid ys. \ ys \in \text{nset}(\text{sfxfilt } xs \ f)\}$

using nellist-nset-nmap[of sfxfilt xs f] **by** auto

have 3: $\{(\text{nnth } ys \ 0) \mid ys. \ ys \in \text{nset}(\text{sfxfilt } xs \ f)\} =$

$\{(\text{nnth } ys \ 0) \mid ys. \ ys \in \text{nset}(\text{ndropns } xs) \wedge f (ys)\}$

using assms **by** auto

show ?thesis **using** 1 2 3 **by** auto

qed

lemma nellist-nnth-sfxfilt-in-nset:

$x \in \text{nset} (\text{sfxfilt } \sigma (\text{LIFT}(f \vee g))) =$

$(\exists i \leq \text{nlength } (\text{sfxfilt } \sigma (\text{LIFT}(f \vee g))). \ x = (\text{nnth } (\text{sfxfilt } \sigma (\text{LIFT}(f \vee g))) \ i))$

by (metis in-nset-conv-nnth)

lemma nfilter-nnth-or:

assumes $\exists x \in \text{nset } xs. P \ x$

shows $\exists x \in \text{nset}(\text{nfilter } (\lambda x. P \ x \vee Q \ x) \ xs). P \ x$

proof -

have 0: $\exists x \in \text{nset } xs. P \ x \vee Q \ x$

using assms **by** auto

have 1: $\exists i \leq \text{nlength}(\text{nfilter } (\lambda x. P \ x \vee Q \ x) \ xs). P \ (\text{nnth } (\text{nfilter } P \ xs) \ i)$

using assms nkfilter-nnth-aa[of xs ($\lambda x. P \ x$)] nkfilter-nnth-aa

by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) le-cases le-zero-eq zero-enat-def)

obtain i **where** 2: $i \leq \text{nlength}(\text{nfilter } (\lambda x. P \ x \vee Q \ x) \ xs) \wedge P \ (\text{nnth } (\text{nfilter } P \ xs) \ i) \wedge (\text{nnth } (\text{nfilter } P \ xs) \ i) \in \text{nset} (\text{nfilter } P \ xs)$

by (metis 1 in-nset-conv-nnth le-cases nfinite-ntaken nset-nlast ntaken-all ntaken-nlast)

have 3: $\text{nset} (\text{nfilter } P \ xs) \leq \text{nset}(\text{nfilter } (\lambda x. P \ x \vee Q \ x) \ xs)$

using assms subset-nfilter[of xs P Q] **by** auto

have 4: $(\text{nnth } (\text{nfilter } P \ xs) \ i) \in \text{nset} (\text{nfilter } P \ xs)$

using 2 **by** auto

have 5: $(\text{nnth } (\text{nfilter } P \ xs) \ i) \in \text{nset}(\text{nfilter } (\lambda x. P \ x \vee Q \ x) \ xs)$

using 3 4 **by** blast

show ?thesis **using** 2 5 **by** blast

qed

lemma sfxfilt-nnth-or:

assumes ($\exists i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma. (ndropn i \ \sigma) \models f$)

shows ($\exists i \leq \text{nlength } (\text{sfxfilt } \sigma (\text{LIFT}(f \vee g))). (\text{nnth } (\text{sfxfilt } \sigma (\text{LIFT}(f \vee g))) \ i) \models f$)

proof -

```

have 1:  $\exists x \in nset(ndropns \sigma). f x$ 
  using assms by (simp add: sfxfilter-help)
have 2:  $sfxfilt \sigma (LIFT(f \vee g)) = nfilter(\lambda x. f x \vee g x) (ndropns \sigma)$ 
  by (simp add: sfxfilt-def)
have 3:  $\exists x \in nset(nfilter(\lambda x. f x \vee g x) (ndropns \sigma)). f x$ 
  using 1 nfilter-nnth-or[of ndropns \sigma f g] by auto
from 2 3 show ?thesis
  by (metis (full-types) in-nset-conv-nnth)
qed

lemma NotPiFalse:
 $\sigma \models \neg ((\#False) \amalg f)$ 
by (simp add: pi-d-def)

lemma pifilt-true:
 $pifilt \sigma (LIFT(\#True)) = \sigma$ 
by (simp add: pifilt-def sfxfilt-def nmap-first-ndropns)

lemma pifilt-state-help:
 $(\exists x \in nset(ndropns xs) . (LIFT(init w)) x) = (\exists x \in nset xs. w((NNil x)))$ 
proof (auto simp add: init-defs)
  show  $\bigwedge x. x \in nset(ndropns xs) \implies w(NNil(nfirst x)) \implies \exists x \in nset xs. w(NNil x)$ 
  using in-nset-ndropns by (metis in-nset-conv-nnth ndropn-nfirst)
  show  $\bigwedge x. x \in nset xs \implies w(NNil x) \implies \exists x \in nset(ndropns xs). w(NNil(nfirst x))$ 
  by (metis in-nset-ndropns-nhd ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst)
qed

lemma pifilt-init:
  shows  $(pifilt xs (\lambda s. s \models init w)) = nfilter(\lambda y. w((NNil y))) xs$ 
proof (simp add: init-defs pifilt-def sfxfilt-def)
  show  $nmap(\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter(\lambda s. w(NNil(nfirst s))) (ndropns xs)) =$ 
     $nfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) xs$ 
proof –
  have 1:  $\bigwedge x. ((\lambda y. w((NNil y))) \circ (\lambda s. nnth s 0)) x = (\lambda s. w(NNil(nfirst s))) x$ 
    by simp (metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst)
  have 2:  $((\lambda y. w((NNil y))) \circ (\lambda s. nnth s 0)) = (\lambda s. w(NNil(nfirst s)))$ 
    using 1 by blast
  have 4:  $nmap(\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter(\lambda s. w(NNil(nfirst s))) (ndropns xs)) =$ 
     $nfilter(\lambda y. w((NNil y))) (nmap(\lambda s. nnth s 0) (ndropns xs))$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) 1 nfilter-cong nfilter-nmap)
  have 5:  $(nmap(\lambda s. nnth s 0) (ndropns xs)) = xs$ 
    by (simp add: nmap-first-ndropns)
  show ?thesis
    by (simp add: 4 5)
qed
qed

lemma pifilt-init-a:
  shows  $(pifilt xs (\lambda s. w(NNil(nnth s 0)))) = nfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) xs$ 
proof –

```

```

have 2:  $(\text{pifilt } xs (\lambda s. s \models \text{init } w)) = (\text{pifilt } xs (\lambda s. w (\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } s 0))) )$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) in-nset-ndropns init-defs ndropn-nfirst nfilter-cong nnth-zero-ndropn
    ntaken-0 pifilt-def sfxfilt-def)
show ?thesis using pifilt-init 2 by (metis)
qed

lemma pifilt-pifilt :
assumes ( $\exists i \leq \text{nlength } xs. f (\text{ndropn } i xs)$ )
  ( $\exists i \leq \text{nlength } (\text{pifilt } xs f). w (\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } (\text{ndropn } i (\text{pifilt } xs f) 0)))$ )
shows  $(\text{pifilt } (\text{pifilt } xs f) (\text{LIFT}(\text{init } w))) = \text{pifilt } xs (\text{LIFT}(f \wedge \text{init } w))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\exists i \leq \text{nlength } (\text{pifilt } xs f). (\text{LIFT}(\text{init } w)) (\text{ndropn } i (\text{pifilt } xs f))$ 
    using assms by (simp add: init-defs ndropn-nfirst)
  have 2:  $(\text{pifilt } (\text{pifilt } xs f) (\text{LIFT}(\text{init } w))) = \text{nfilter } (\lambda y. w ((\text{NNil } y))) (\text{pifilt } xs f)$ 
    using 1 pifilt-init[of (pifilt xs f) w] by auto
  have 3:  $(\text{pifilt } xs f) = \text{nmap } (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0) (\text{sfxfilt } xs f)$ 
    by (simp add: assms sfxfilt-pifilt)
  have 4:  $(\text{sfxfilt } xs f) = \text{nfilter } (\lambda ys. f ys) (\text{ndropns } xs)$ 
    using sfxfilt-def by blast
  have 5:  $(\text{pifilt } xs f) = \text{nmap } (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0) (\text{nfilter } (\lambda ys. f ys) (\text{ndropns } xs))$ 
    by (simp add: 3 4)
  have 6:  $\text{nfilter } (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) (\text{pifilt } xs f) =$ 
     $\text{nfilter } (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) (\text{nmap } (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0) (\text{nfilter } (\lambda ys. f ys) (\text{ndropns } xs)))$ 
    using 5 by simp
  have 7:  $\text{nfilter } (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) (\text{nmap } (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0) (\text{nfilter } (\lambda ys. f ys) (\text{ndropns } xs))) =$ 
     $\text{nmap } (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0) (\text{nfilter } ((\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \circ (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)) (\text{nfilter } (\lambda ys. f ys) (\text{ndropns } xs)))$ 
    using assms 3 4 by (simp add: nfilter-nmap pifiltinit-help)
  have 8:  $\exists x \in \text{nset } (\text{nfilter } (\lambda ys. f ys) (\text{ndropns } xs)). ((\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \circ (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)) x$ 
    using assms 3 4 in-nset-conv-nnth[of - (nfilter f (ndropns xs))]
    by simp
      (metis in-nset-conv-nnth ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst nlength-nmap nnth-nmap)
  have 9:  $\exists x \in \text{nset } (\text{ndropns } xs). (\lambda ys. f ys) x$ 
    using assms by (simp add: sfxfilter-help)
  have 10:  $\exists x \in \text{nset } (\text{ndropns } xs). ((\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \circ (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)) x \wedge (\lambda ys. f ys) x$ 
    using 8 9
    using exist-conj[of f (ndropns xs) ((λy. w (NNil y)) ∘ (λs. nnth s 0))] by fastforce
  have 11:  $\text{nfilter } ((\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \circ (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)) (\text{nfilter } (\lambda ys. f ys) (\text{ndropns } xs)) =$ 
     $\text{nfilter } (\lambda zs. ((\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \circ (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)) zs \wedge (\lambda ys. f ys) zs) (\text{ndropns } xs)$ 
    using nfilter-nfilter[of (\lambda ys. f ys) (ndropns xs) ((λy. w (NNil y)) ∘ (λs. nnth s 0)) ]
    8 10 by blast
  have 12:  $\exists i \leq \text{nlength } xs. (\lambda zs. ((\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \circ (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)) zs \wedge (\lambda ys. f ys) zs) (\text{ndropn } i xs)$ 
    by (metis 10 in-nset-ndropns)
  have 13:  $(\text{nfilter } (\lambda zs. ((\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \circ (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)) zs \wedge (\lambda ys. f ys) zs) (\text{ndropns } xs))$ 
     $= (\text{sfxfilt } xs (\lambda zs. ((\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \circ (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)) zs \wedge (\lambda ys. f ys) zs))$ 
    by (simp add: sfxfilt-def)
  have 14:  $\exists i \leq \text{nlength } xs. (\lambda zs. ((\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \circ (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)) zs \wedge (\lambda ys. f ys) zs) (\text{ndropn } i xs)$ 
    using 12 by blast
  have 15:  $\text{nmap } (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)$ 
     $((\text{sfxfilt } xs (\lambda zs. ((\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \circ (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)) zs \wedge (\lambda ys. f ys) zs)) ) =$ 
     $\text{pifilt } xs (\lambda zs. ((\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \circ (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)) zs \wedge (\lambda ys. f ys) zs)$ 

```

```

using 14 by (simp add: sfxfilt-pifilt)
have 16:  $\bigwedge xs . (\lambda zs. ((\lambda y. w (NNil y)) \circ (\lambda s. nnth s 0)) zs \wedge (\lambda ys. f ys) zs) xs =$ 
 $(LIFT(f \wedge init w)) xs$ 
by (simp add: init-defs) (metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst)
have 17: pifilt xs  $(\lambda zs. ((\lambda y. w (NNil y)) \circ (\lambda s. nnth s 0)) zs \wedge (\lambda ys. f ys) zs) =$ 
 $pifilt xs (LIFT(f \wedge init w))$ 
using 16 by presburger
show ?thesis
using 11 13 15 17 2 3 4 7 by auto
qed

```

```

lemma PiStatesem:
 $(\sigma \models (init w) \Pi f) =$ 
 $((\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. w (NNil (nnth \sigma i))) \wedge f (nfilter (\lambda y. w ((NNil y))) \sigma))$ 
by (simp add: pi-d-def init-defs ndropn-nfirst pifilt-init)

```

13.3 Soundness of Axioms

13.3.1 PiK

```

lemma PiKsem:
 $\sigma \models f1 \Pi^u (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (f1 \Pi^u f \rightarrow f1 \Pi^u g)$ 
by (simp add: upi-d-def init-defs pi-d-def) auto

```

```

lemma PiK:
 $\vdash f1 \Pi^u (f \rightarrow g) \rightarrow (f1 \Pi^u f \rightarrow f1 \Pi^u g)$ 
using PiKsem Valid-def by blast

```

13.3.2 PiDc

```

lemma PiDcsem:
 $\sigma \models f \Pi g \rightarrow f \Pi^u g$ 
by (simp add: upi-d-def init-defs pi-d-def)

```

```

lemma PiDc:
 $\vdash f \Pi g \rightarrow f \Pi^u g$ 
using PiDcsem Valid-def by blast

```

13.3.3 PiN

```

lemma PiN:
assumes  $\vdash g$ 
shows  $\vdash f \Pi^u g$ 
using assms by (simp add: Valid-def pi-d-def upi-d-def)

```

13.3.4 PiTrueEqvDiamond

```

lemma PiTrueEqvDiamond:
 $\vdash f \Pi \#True = \Diamond f$ 
by (simp add: Valid-def pi-d-def itl-defs)

```

13.3.5 PiOr

lemma *PiOr*:

$\vdash f \Pi (g1 \vee g2) = (f \Pi g1 \vee f \Pi g2)$
by (*simp add: Valid-def pi-d-def*) *blast*

13.3.6 UPiFalseEqvBoxNot:

lemma *UPiFalseEqvBoxNot*:

$\vdash f \Pi^u \#False = \square (\neg f)$
by (*simp add: Valid-def upi-d-def pi-d-def itl-defs*)

13.3.7 BoxEqvImpPiEqv

lemma *BoxEqvImpPiEqvsem*:

assumes $(\sigma \models \square (f1 = f2))$
shows $(\sigma \models (f1 \Pi g = f2 \Pi g))$

proof –

show $(\sigma \models (f1 \Pi g = f2 \Pi g))$

proof –

have 1: $\forall n \leq nlength \sigma. f1 (ndropn n \sigma) = f2 (ndropn n \sigma)$

using assms by (*simp add: itl-defs*)

have 2: $(\sigma \models (f1 \Pi g)) = ((\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. f1 (ndropn i \sigma)) \wedge g (pifilt \sigma f1))$
by (*simp add: pi-d-def*)

have 3: $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. f1 (ndropn i \sigma)) = (\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. f2 (ndropn i \sigma))$

using 1 by blast

have 6: $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. f1 (ndropn i \sigma)) \implies$

$\{ (ndropn n \sigma) \mid n. n \leq nlength \sigma \wedge f1 (ndropn n \sigma) \} =$
 $\{ (ndropn n \sigma) \mid n. n \leq nlength \sigma \wedge f2 (ndropn n \sigma) \}$

using 1 by blast

have 7: $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. f1 (ndropn i \sigma)) \implies (sfxfilt \sigma f1) = (sfxfilt \sigma f2)$

by (*metis 1 in-nset-ndropns nfilter-cong sfxfilt-def*)

have 8: $((\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. f1 (ndropn i \sigma)) \wedge g (pifilt \sigma f1)) =$
 $((\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. f2 (ndropn i \sigma)) \wedge g (pifilt \sigma f2))$
by (*metis 3 7 pifilt-def*)

show ?thesis

by (*simp add: 8 pi-d-def*)

qed

qed

lemma *BoxEqvImpPiEqv*:

$\vdash \square (f1 = f2) \longrightarrow (f1 \Pi g = f2 \Pi g)$

using *BoxEqvImpPiEqvsem* **by** (*simp add: Valid-def,auto*)

13.3.8 PiDiamondImpDiamond

lemma *PiDiamondImpDiamondsem*:

$\sigma \models f \Pi (\diamondsuit (init w)) \longrightarrow \diamondsuit (init w)$

by (*simp add: Valid-def pi-d-def itl-defs ndropn-nfirst*)
(*metis pifilt-nnth*)

lemma *PiDiamondImp*:

$\vdash f \Pi (\diamondsuit (init w)) \longrightarrow \diamondsuit (init w)$
using *PiDiamondImpDiamondsem Valid-def by blast*

13.3.9 PiAssoc

lemma *PiAssocsem1*:
assumes $i \leq nlength \sigma$
 $f (ndropn i \sigma)$
 $ia \leq nlength (pifilt \sigma f)$
 $w (NNil (nnth (pifilt \sigma f) ia))$
shows $\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. f (ndropn i \sigma) \wedge w (NNil (nnth (ndropn i \sigma) 0))$
proof –
have 1: $(nnth (pifilt \sigma f) ia) = (nnth (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (sfxfilt \sigma f)) ia)$
using assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) sfxfilt-pifilt-nnth by blast
have 2: $(nnth (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (sfxfilt \sigma f))) ia =$
 $(\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nnth (sfxfilt \sigma f) ia)$
by (metis assms(3) nlength-nmap nnth-nmap pifilt-def)
have 3: $f (nnth (sfxfilt \sigma f) ia)$
using sfxfilt-nnth
by (metis assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) nlength-nmap pifilt-def)
have 4: $nnth (sfxfilt \sigma f) ia = ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) ia) \sigma$
using sfxfilt-pifilt-nnth-ndropn assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) by blast
have 5: $w (NNil (nnth (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) ia) \sigma) 0))$
using 1 2 4 assms(4) by auto
show ?thesis by (metis 3 4 5 enat-ile le-cases ndropn-all)
qed

lemma *PiAssocsem2*:
assumes $i \leq nlength \sigma$
 $f (ndropn i \sigma)$
 $w (NNil (nnth \sigma i))$
shows $\exists j \leq nlength (pifilt \sigma f). w (NNil (nnth (pifilt \sigma f) j))$
proof –
have 1: $\exists j \leq nlength (sfxfilt \sigma f). f (nnth (sfxfilt \sigma f) j)$
using assms pifilt-exists by blast
have 2: $(LIFT (init w)) (ndropn i \sigma)$
by (simp add: assms init-defs ndropn-nfirst)
have 3: $\exists j \leq nlength (sfxfilt \sigma (LIFT(init w))). (LIFT(init w)) (nnth (sfxfilt \sigma (LIFT(init w))) j)$
using pifilt-exists 2 assms by blast
have 4: $(LIFT (f \wedge init w)) (ndropn i \sigma)$
by (simp add: 2 assms)
have 5: $\exists j \leq nlength (sfxfilt \sigma (LIFT(f \wedge init w))).$
 $(LIFT(f \wedge init w)) (nnth (sfxfilt \sigma (LIFT(f \wedge init w))) j)$
using pifilt-exists 4 assms by blast
have 6: $\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. ndropn i \sigma \models f \wedge init w$
using 4 assms by blast
have 7: $\exists j \leq nlength (sfxfilt \sigma (LIFT((f \wedge init w) \vee (f \wedge \neg (init w))))).$
 $(LIFT(f \wedge init w)) (nnth (sfxfilt \sigma (LIFT((f \wedge init w) \vee (f \wedge \neg (init w)))) j))$
using 6 sfxfilt-nnth-or[of \sigma LIFT(f \wedge init w) LIFT(f \wedge \neg (init w))]
by auto

```

have 8:  $\wedge \sigma . (\sigma \models ((f \wedge init w) \vee (f \wedge \neg (init w)))) = (\sigma \models f)$ 
  by auto
have 9:  $(sfxfilt \sigma (LIFT((f \wedge init w) \vee (f \wedge \neg (init w)))) ) =$ 
   $(sfxfilt \sigma f)$ 
  using 8 by (simp add: sfxfilt-def)
have 10:  $\exists j \leq nlength (sfxfilt \sigma f).$ 
   $(LIFT(f \wedge init w)) (nnth (sfxfilt \sigma f) j)$ 
  using 7 9 by auto
have 11:  $nlength (sfxfilt \sigma f) = nlength (pifilt \sigma f)$ 
  by (simp add: pifilt-def)
have 12:  $\exists j \leq nlength (pifilt \sigma f).$ 
   $(LIFT(init w)) (nnth (sfxfilt \sigma f) j)$ 
  using 10 11 by auto
from 12 11 show ?thesis unfolding pifilt-def init-defs
  by (metis nlast-NNil nnth-nmap ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast)
qed

```

lemma PiAssocsema:

```

 $((\exists i \leq nlength \sigma . f (ndropn i \sigma)) \wedge$ 
 $(\exists i \leq nlength (pifilt \sigma f) . w (NNil (nnth (ndropn i (pifilt \sigma f)) 0))) ) =$ 
 $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma . f (ndropn i \sigma) \wedge w (NNil (nnth (ndropn i \sigma) 0)))$ 
using PiAssocsem1[of - \sigma f - w] PiAssocsem2[of - \sigma f w] by fastforce

```

lemma PiAssocsemb:

```

 $((\exists i \leq nlength \sigma . f (ndropn i \sigma)) \wedge$ 
 $(\exists i \leq nlength (pifilt \sigma f) . (LIFT(init w)) (ndropn i (pifilt \sigma f))) ) =$ 
 $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma . (LIFT(f \wedge init w)) (ndropn i \sigma))$ 
using PiAssocsem1[of - \sigma f - w] PiAssocsem2[of - \sigma f w]
by (simp add: init-defs ndropn-nfirst) blast

```

lemma PiAssocsem:

```

 $\sigma \models f \amalg ((init w) \amalg g) = (f \wedge (init w)) \amalg g$ 
proof (auto simp add: pi-d-def init-defs)
  fix i
  fix ia
  assume a0:  $g (pifilt (pifilt \sigma f) (LIFT(init w)))$ 
  assume a1:  $(enat i) \leq nlength \sigma$ 
  assume a2:  $f (ndropn i \sigma)$ 
  assume a3:  $(enat ia) \leq nlength (pifilt \sigma f)$ 
  assume a4:  $w (NNil (nfirst (ndropn ia (pifilt \sigma f))))$ 
show  $\exists i. enat i \leq nlength \sigma \wedge f (ndropn i \sigma) \wedge w (NNil (nfirst (ndropn i \sigma)))$ 
  using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 PiAssocsem1
  by (metis ndropn-nfirst nnth-zero-ndropn)
next
  fix i
  fix ia
  assume a0:  $g (pifilt (pifilt \sigma f) (LIFT(init w)))$ 
  assume a1:  $(enat i) \leq nlength \sigma$ 
  assume a2:  $f (ndropn i \sigma)$ 

```

```

assume a3:  $(enat ia) \leq nlength (pifilt \sigma f)$ 
assume a4:  $w (NNil (nfirst (ndropn ia (pifilt \sigma f))))$ 
show  $g (pifilt \sigma (LIFT(f \wedge init w)))$ 
  using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 by (metis ndropn-nfirst nnth-zero-ndropn pifilt-pifilt)
next
  fix i
  assume a0:  $g (pifilt \sigma (LIFT(f \wedge init w)))$ 
  assume a1:  $(enat i) \leq nlength \sigma$ 
  assume a2:  $f (ndropn i \sigma)$ 
  assume a3:  $w (NNil (nfirst (ndropn i \sigma)))$ 
  show  $\exists i. enat i \leq nlength (pifilt \sigma f) \wedge w (NNil (nfirst (ndropn i (pifilt \sigma f))))$ 
    using a0 a1 a2 a3 by (metis PiAssocsem2 ndropn-nfirst)
next
  fix i
  assume a0:  $g (pifilt \sigma (LIFT(f \wedge init w)))$ 
  assume a1:  $(enat i) \leq nlength \sigma$ 
  assume a2:  $f (ndropn i \sigma)$ 
  assume a3:  $w (NNil (nfirst (ndropn i \sigma)))$ 
  show  $g (pifilt (pifilt \sigma f)) (LIFT(init w))$ 
    using a0 a1 a2 a3 by (metis PiAssocsem2 ndropn-nfirst nnth-zero-ndropn pifilt-pifilt)
qed

```

```

lemma PiAssoc:
 $\vdash f \Pi ((init w) \Pi g) = (f \wedge (init w)) \Pi g$ 
using PiAssocsem Valid-def by blast

```

13.3.10 PiNotEqvDiamondAndNotPi

```

lemma PiNotEqvDiamondAndNotPisem:
 $\sigma \models f \Pi (\neg g) = (\diamond f \wedge \neg(f \Pi g))$ 
by (simp add: pi-d-def itl-defs) blast

```

```

lemma PiNotEqvDiamondAndNotPi:
 $\vdash f \Pi (\neg g) = (\diamond f \wedge \neg(f \Pi g))$ 
using PiNotEqvDiamondAndNotPisem Valid-def by blast

```

13.3.11 PiSChopDist

```

lemma PiSChopDistsema:
assumes  $(\sigma \models (init w) \Pi (g \sim h))$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models ((init w) \Pi g) \sim ((init w) \wedge ((init w) \Pi h)))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $(\sigma \models (init w) \Pi (g \sim h))$ 
    using assms by auto
  have 2:  $((\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. (LIFT(init w)) (ndropn i \sigma)) \wedge$ 
     $((pifilt \sigma (LIFT(init w))) \models g \sim h)$ 
    )
  using 1 by (simp add: pi-d-def)
  have 3:  $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. (LIFT(init w)) (ndropn i \sigma))$ 
    using 2 by auto
  have 4:  $((pifilt \sigma (LIFT(init w))) \models g \sim h)$ 

```

```

using 2 by auto
have 5:  $nfilter(\lambda y. w((NNil y))) \sigma \models g \sim h$ 
  using pifilt-init by (metis 4)
have 6:  $(\exists n. (enat n) \leq nlength(nfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) \sigma) \wedge$ 
          $g(ntaken n(nfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) \sigma)) \wedge$ 
          $h(ndropn n(nfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) \sigma)))$ 
  using 5 by (simp add: itl-defs)
obtain n where 7:  $(enat n) \leq nlength(nfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) \sigma) \wedge$ 
                   $g(ntaken n(nfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) \sigma)) \wedge$ 
                   $h(ndropn n(nfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) \sigma))$ 
  using 6 by auto
have 8:  $\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. w(NNil(nnth \sigma i))$ 
  using 3 using init-defs PiStatesem assms by blast
have 9:  $\exists x \in nset \sigma. w(NNil x)$ 
  using 8 by (simp add: pifiltinit-help)
have 10:  $(ntaken n(nfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) \sigma)) =$ 
           $(nfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y))(ntaken((nnth(nkfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) 0 \sigma) n) ) \sigma) )$ 
  by (simp add: 7 9 nkfilter-ntaken-1 nkfilter-nlength)
have 11:  $(ndropn n(nfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) \sigma)) =$ 
           $(nfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y))(ndropn((nnth(nkfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) 0 \sigma) n) ) \sigma) )$ 
  by (simp add: 7 9 nkfilter-ndropn-1 nkfilter-nlength)
have 12:  $g(nfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y))(ntaken((nnth(nkfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) 0 \sigma) n) ) \sigma) )$ 
  using 10 7 by auto
have 13:  $h(nfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y))(ndropn((nnth(nkfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) 0 \sigma) n) ) \sigma) )$ 
  using 11 7 by auto
have 14:  $((nnth(nkfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) 0 \sigma) n) \leq nlength \sigma$ 
  using 7 9 nkfilter-upperbound[of \sigma (\lambda y. w(NNil y)) - 0]
  by (metis gen-nlength-def nkfilter-nlength nlength-code)
have 15:  $w(NNil(nnth(ndropn((nnth(nkfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) 0 \sigma) n) ) \sigma) 0))$ 
  using 7 9 nkfilter-nmap-nfilter[of \sigma \lambda x. w(NNil x)] nkfilter-nnth-aa[of \sigma \lambda x. w(NNil x)]
  by simp
  (metis (mono-tags, lifting) 7 nkfilter-nlength nnth-nmap )
have 16:  $(\exists i. (enat i) \leq nlength(ntaken((nnth(nkfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) 0 \sigma) n) ) \sigma) \wedge$ 
           $w(NNil(nnth(ndropn i(ntaken((nnth(nkfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) 0 \sigma) n) ) \sigma) 0)))$ 
  using 14 15
  by (metis le-cases min.orderE ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst ntaken-nlength ntaken-nnth)
have 17:  $(\exists i. (enat i) \leq nlength(ndropn((nnth(nkfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) 0 \sigma) n) ) \sigma) \wedge$ 
           $w(NNil(nnth(ndropn(i + ((nnth(nkfilter(\lambda y. w(NNil y)) 0 \sigma) n) ) \sigma) 0)))$ 
  using 15 by (metis add.left-neutral zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 18:  $(\exists n. (enat n) \leq nlength \sigma \wedge$ 
           $(\exists i. (enat i) \leq nlength(ntaken n \sigma) \wedge w(NNil(nnth(ndropn i(ntaken n \sigma) 0))) \wedge$ 
           $g(nfilter(\lambda y. w((NNil y)))(ntaken n \sigma)) \wedge$ 
           $w(NNil(nnth(ndropn n \sigma) 0)) \wedge$ 
           $(\exists i. (enat i) \leq nlength(ndropn n \sigma) \wedge w(NNil(nnth(ndropn(i + n) \sigma) 0))) \wedge$ 
           $h(nfilter(\lambda y. w((NNil y)))(ndropn n \sigma)) )$ 
  using 12 13 14 15 16 17 by blast
have 181:  $(\exists n. (enat n) \leq nlength \sigma \wedge (\exists i. (enat i) \leq nlength(ntaken n \sigma) \wedge w(NNil(nnth(ntaken n \sigma) i))) ) )$ 
  using 18 by auto
have 182:  $(\exists n. (enat n) \leq nlength \sigma \wedge (\exists i. (enat i) \leq nlength(ndropn n \sigma) \wedge w(NNil(nnth \sigma (i + n)))$ 

```

```

) )
  using 18 by auto
have 19: ( $\exists n. (\text{enat } n) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$ 
 $(\exists i. (\text{enat } i) \leq \text{nlength } (\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \wedge w (\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } (\text{ndropn } i (\text{ntaken } n \sigma)) 0)) \wedge$ 
 $g (\text{pifilt } (\text{ntaken } n \sigma) (\text{LIFT } (\text{init } w))) \wedge$ 
 $w (\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } (\text{ndropn } n \sigma) 0)) \wedge$ 
 $(\exists i. (\text{enat } i) \leq \text{nlength } (\text{ndropn } n \sigma) \wedge w (\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } (\text{ndropn } (i + n) \sigma) 0)) \wedge$ 
 $h (\text{pifilt } (\text{ndropn } n \sigma) (\text{LIFT } (\text{init } w))))))$ 
  using 18 pifilt-init[of - w] by auto
have 20: (( $\exists n. (\text{enat } n) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$ 
 $(\exists i. (\text{enat } i) \leq \text{nlength } (\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \wedge (\text{LIFT } (\text{init } w)) (\text{ndropn } i (\text{ntaken } n \sigma))) \wedge$ 
 $g (\text{pifilt } (\text{ntaken } n \sigma) (\text{LIFT } (\text{init } w))) \wedge$ 
 $(\text{LIFT } (\text{init } w)) (\text{ndropn } n \sigma) \wedge$ 
 $(\exists i. (\text{enat } i) \leq \text{nlength } (\text{ndropn } n \sigma) \wedge (\text{LIFT } (\text{init } w)) (\text{ndropn } (i + n) \sigma)) \wedge$ 
 $\wedge h (\text{pifilt } (\text{ndropn } n \sigma) (\text{LIFT } (\text{init } w))))))$ 
  using 19
  by (metis init-defs ndropn-nfirst nnth-zero-ndropn ntaken-0)
have 21: ( $\sigma \models ((\text{init } w) \amalg g) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge ((\text{init } w) \amalg h))$ )
  using 20 by (simp add: add.commute itl-defs ndropn-ndropn pi-d-def)
show ?thesis by (simp add: 21)
qed

```

lemma PiSChopDistsemb:

assumes ($\sigma \models ((\text{init } w) \amalg g) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge ((\text{init } w) \amalg h))$)

shows ($\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \amalg (g \sim h)$)

proof –

have 1: ($\sigma \models ((\text{init } w) \amalg g) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge ((\text{init } w) \amalg h))$)

using assms **by** auto

have 2: ($\exists n. (\text{enat } n) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$
 $((\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \models ((\text{init } w) \amalg g)) \wedge$
 $((\text{ndropn } n \sigma) \models ((\text{init } w) \wedge ((\text{init } w) \amalg h)))$)

using assms schop-defs **by** blast

obtain n **where** 3: ($\text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge (\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \models ((\text{init } w) \amalg g) \wedge$
 $((\text{ndropn } n \sigma) \models ((\text{init } w) \wedge ((\text{init } w) \amalg h)))$)

using 2 **by** auto

have 4: (($\exists i. (\text{enat } i) \leq \text{nlength } (\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \wedge (\text{LIFT } (\text{init } w)) (\text{ndropn } i (\text{ntaken } n \sigma))) \wedge$
 $((\text{pifilt } (\text{ntaken } n \sigma) (\text{LIFT } (\text{init } w))) \models g)$)
)

by (meson 3 pi-d-def)

have 5: ($\exists i. (\text{enat } i) \leq \text{nlength } (\text{ntaken } n \sigma) \wedge (\text{LIFT } (\text{init } w)) (\text{ndropn } i (\text{ntaken } n \sigma)))$)

using 4 **by** auto

have 6: $g (\text{pifilt } (\text{ntaken } n \sigma) (\text{LIFT } (\text{init } w)))$

using 4 **by** auto

have 7: $g (\text{filter } (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y))) (\text{ntaken } n \sigma)$

using 5 6 pifilt-init **by** (metis)

have 8: (($\exists i. (\text{enat } i) \leq \text{nlength } (\text{ndropn } n \sigma) \wedge (\text{LIFT } (\text{init } w)) (\text{ndropn } i (\text{ndropn } n \sigma))) \wedge$
 $((\text{pifilt } (\text{ndropn } n \sigma) (\text{LIFT } (\text{init } w))) \models h)$)
)

by (metis 3 intensional-rews(3) pi-d-def)

have 9: ($\exists i. (\text{enat } i) \leq \text{nlength } (\text{ndropn } n \sigma) \wedge (\text{LIFT } (\text{init } w)) (\text{ndropn } i (\text{ndropn } n \sigma)))$)

```

using 8 by auto
have 10:  $h(pifilt(ndropn\ n\ \sigma)\ (LIFT(init\ w)))$ 
  using 8 by auto
have 11:  $h(nfilter(\lambda y.\ w((NNil\ y)))\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma))$ 
  using 10 9 pifilt-init by metis
have 12:  $(\lambda y.\ w((NNil\ y)))\ (nlast(ntaken\ n\ \sigma))$ 
  by (metis 3 init-defs intensional-rews(3) ndropn-nfirst ntaken-0 ntaken-nlast)
have 13:  $\exists x \in nset\ \sigma.\ (\lambda y.\ w((NNil\ y)))\ x$ 
  using 12 3 by (metis in-nset-conv-nnth ntaken-nlast)
have 14:  $\exists x \in nset\ (ntaken\ n\ \sigma)\ .\ (\lambda y.\ w((NNil\ y)))\ x$ 
  using 12 using nfinite-ntaken nset-nlast by blast
have 15:  $\exists x \in nset\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma)\ .\ (\lambda y.\ w((NNil\ y)))\ x$ 
  by (metis 12 3 dual-order.order-iff-strict ndropn-Suc-conv-ndropn ndropn-nlast
    nellist.set-intros(1) nellist.set-intros(2) nfinite-ntaken ntaken-all ntaken-nlast the-enat.simps)
have 16:  $(nfilter(\lambda y.\ w((NNil\ y)))\ (ntaken\ n\ \sigma)) =$ 
   $ntaken(\text{the-enat}(nlength(nfilter(\lambda y.\ w((NNil\ y)))\ (ntaken\ n\ \sigma))))$ 
   $(nfilter(\lambda y.\ w((NNil\ y)))\ \sigma)$ 
  using 12 13 14 15 3 nfilter-chop1-ntaken[of n σ (λy. w (NNil y))] by auto
have 17:  $(nfilter(\lambda y.\ w((NNil\ y)))\ (ndropn\ n\ \sigma)) =$ 
   $ndropn(\text{the-enat}(nlength(nfilter(\lambda y.\ w((NNil\ y)))\ (ntaken\ n\ \sigma))))$ 
   $(nfilter(\lambda y.\ w((NNil\ y)))\ \sigma)$ 
  using 12 13 14 15 3 nfilter-chop1-ndropn[of n σ (λy. w (NNil y))] by auto
have 18:  $\exists n.\ (\text{enat}\ n) \leq nlength(nfilter(\lambda y.\ w(NNil\ y))\ \sigma) \wedge$ 
   $g(ntaken\ n\ (nfilter(\lambda y.\ w(NNil\ y))\ \sigma)) \wedge$ 
   $h(ndropn\ n\ (nfilter(\lambda y.\ w(NNil\ y))\ \sigma))$ 
  by (metis 11 16 17 7 enat-the-enat infinity-ileE le-cases ntaken-all)
have 19:  $nfilter(\lambda y.\ w((NNil\ y)))\ \sigma \models g \sim h$ 
  by (simp add: 18 schop-defs)
have 20:  $((pifilt\ \sigma\ (LIFT(init\ w))) \models g \sim h)$ 
  by (metis 19 pifilt-init)
have 21:  $(\exists i.\ (\text{enat}\ i) \leq nlength\ \sigma \wedge (LIFT(init\ w))\ (ndropn\ i\ \sigma))$ 
  using 3 by auto
show ?thesis by (simp add: 20 21 pi-d-def)
qed

```

lemma PiSChopDistsem:

$$\sigma \models (init\ w) \Pi (g \sim h) = ((init\ w) \Pi g) \sim ((init\ w) \wedge ((init\ w) \Pi h))$$

using PiSChopDistsema PiSChopDistsemb unl-lift2 **by** blast

lemma PiSChopDist:

$$\vdash (init\ w) \Pi (g \sim h) = ((init\ w) \Pi g) \sim ((init\ w) \wedge ((init\ w) \Pi h))$$

using PiSChopDistsem Valid-def **by** blast

13.3.12 PiProp

lemma Pistate:

$$(\sigma \models (init\ w) \Pi f) = \\ ((\exists x \in nset\ \sigma.\ w(NNil\ x)) \wedge ((nfilter(\lambda y.\ w(NNil\ y))\ \sigma) \models f))$$

proof -

$$\mathbf{have}\ 1:\ (\sigma \models (init\ w) \Pi f) =$$

```

( (  $\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. w (NNil (nnth \sigma i))) \wedge ((pifilt \sigma (LIFT(init w))) \models f) )$ 
by (auto simp add: pi-d-def init-defs ndropn-nfirst)
have 2:  $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. (LIFT(init w)) (ndropn i \sigma)) =$ 
 $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. w (NNil (nnth \sigma i)))$ 
by (auto simp add: init-defs ndropn-nfirst)
have 3:  $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. w (NNil (nnth \sigma i))) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(pifilt \sigma (LIFT(init w))) = (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) \sigma)$ 
using pifilt-init using 2 by blast
have 4:  $(\exists i. (enat i) \leq nlength \sigma \wedge w (NNil (nnth \sigma i))) = (\exists x \in nset \sigma. w (NNil x))$ 
using in-nset-conv-nnth by force
show ?thesis
using 1 3 4 by auto
qed

```

lemma PiPropsem1a:

```

( $\sigma \models f \Pi \$p$ ) =
 $((\exists i. (enat i) \leq nlength \sigma \wedge f (ndropn i \sigma)) \wedge p (nnth \sigma (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0)))$ 
using sfxfilt-pifilt-nnth-ndropn[of \sigma f]
by (simp add: pi-d-def current-val-d-def pifilt-def)
 $(metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst nnth-nmap zero-enat-def zero-order(1))$ 

```

lemma PiPropsem2a:

```

( $\sigma \models (\neg f) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge \$p)$ ) =
 $(\exists k \leq nlength \sigma. f (ndropn k \sigma) \wedge p (nnth \sigma k) \wedge (\forall j < k. \neg f (ndropn j \sigma)))$ 
by (simp add: until-d-def current-val-d-def ndropn-nfirst)

```

lemma PiPropsem3a:

```

assumes ( $\sigma \models f \Pi \$p$ )
shows ( $\sigma \models (\neg f) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge \$p)$ )
proof -
have 1:  $((\exists i. (enat i) \leq nlength \sigma \wedge f (ndropn i \sigma)) \wedge$ 
 $p (nnth \sigma (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0))$ 
using assms PiPropsem1a by auto
have 2:  $\exists x \in nset(ndropns \sigma). f x$ 
using 1 by (simp add: sfxfilter-help)
have 3:  $\forall x \in nset(nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)). f (nnth (ndropns \sigma) x)$ 
using 2 nkfilter-holds by fastforce
have 31:  $(nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0) \leq nlength \sigma$ 
by (metis 1 2 dual-order.strict-trans2 enat-ord-simps(2) leI ndropns-nnth nkfilter-not-before)
have 4:  $f (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0) \sigma)$ 
by (metis 3 31 in-nset-ndropns in-nset-ndropns-nhd ndropn-0 ndropns-nnth zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 5:  $(\forall j < (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0). \neg f (ndropn j \sigma))$ 
using nkfilter-not-before[of ndropns \sigma f] 2
by (simp add: 31 less-imp-le ndropns-nnth order-less-le-subst2)
have 6:  $(\exists k \leq nlength \sigma. f (ndropn k \sigma) \wedge p (nnth \sigma k) \wedge (\forall j < k. \neg f (ndropn j \sigma)))$ 
using 1 31 4 5 by blast
show ?thesis using 6 PiPropsem2a by metis
qed

```

lemma PiPropsem3b:

```

assumes ( $\sigma \models (\neg f) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge \$p)$ )
shows ( $\sigma \models f \Pi \$p$ )
proof -
have 1: ( $\exists k \leq nlength \sigma. f(ndropn k \sigma) \wedge p(nnth \sigma k) \wedge (\forall j < k. \neg f(ndropn j \sigma))$ )
  using assms PiPropsem2a by auto
obtain k where 2:  $k \leq nlength \sigma \wedge f(ndropn k \sigma) \wedge p(nnth \sigma k) \wedge (\forall j < k. \neg f(ndropn j \sigma))$ 
  using 1 by auto
have 3: ( $\exists i. (enat i) \leq nlength \sigma \wedge f(ndropn i \sigma)$ )
  using 2 by blast
have 31:  $\exists x \in nset(ndropns \sigma). f x$ 
  using 2 in-nset-ndropns by auto
have 331: ( $nnth(nkfilter f 0(ndropns \sigma)) 0 \leq nlength \sigma$ )
  by (metis 31 gen-nlength-def ndropns-nlength nkfilter-upperbound nlength-code zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 32:  $f(ndropn(nnth(nkfilter f 0(ndropns \sigma)) 0) \sigma)$ 
  using nkfilter-holds[of ndropns σ f 0] nkfilter-not-before[of ndropns σ f]
  by (metis 2 31 ndropns-nfilter-nnth sfxfilt-pifilt-nnth-ndropn zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 4:  $p(nnth \sigma (nnth(nkfilter f 0(ndropns \sigma)) 0))$ 
  by (metis 2 31 32 linorder-neqE-nat ndropns-nnth nkfilter-not-before)
show ?thesis using 4 3 by (simp add: PiPropsem1a)
qed

```

lemma *PiPropsema*:

```

 $\sigma \models f \Pi \$p = (\neg f) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge \$p)$ 
using PiPropsem3a PiPropsem3b unl-lift2 by blast

```

lemma *PiProp*:

```

 $\vdash f \Pi \$p = (\neg f) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge \$p)$ 
using PiPropsema Valid-def by blast

```

13.3.13 PiNext

lemma *PiNextsem1*:

```

 $(\sigma \models f \Pi (\circ g)) =$ 
   $((\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. f(ndropn i \sigma)) \wedge$ 
     $0 < nlength(nfilter f(ndropns \sigma)) \wedge$ 
     $g(ndropn(Suc 0)(nmap(\lambda s. nnth s 0)(nfilter f(ndropns \sigma))))$ )
using zero-enat-def by (simp add: pi-d-def itl-defs pifilt-def sfxfilt-def )

```

lemma *PiNextsem2*:

```

 $(\sigma \models (\neg f) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge \circ(f \Pi g))) =$ 
   $(\exists k \leq nlength \sigma.$ 
     $f(ndropn k \sigma) \wedge$ 
     $k < nlength \sigma \wedge$ 
     $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma - Suc k. f(ndropn(Suc(i + k)) \sigma)) \wedge$ 
     $g(nmap(\lambda s. nnth s 0)(nfilter f(ndropns(ndropn(Suc k) \sigma)))) \wedge (\forall j < k. \neg f(ndropn j \sigma))$ )
by (simp add: until-d-def itl-defs pi-d-def pifilt-def sfxfilt-def ndropn-ndropn add.commute)
  (metis add.right-neutral antisym-conv2 enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same less-eqE zero-enat-def)

```

lemma *PiNextsem3*:

```

assumes ( $\sigma \models f \Pi (\circ g)$ )

```

```

shows  ( $\sigma \models (\neg f) \cup (f \wedge \circ(f \amalg g))$ )
proof -
have 1:  $((\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. f (ndropn i \sigma)) \wedge 0 < nlength (nfilter f (ndropns \sigma)) \wedge$ 
          $g (ndropn (Suc 0) (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns \sigma)))))$ 
  using assms by (simp add: PiNextsem1)
have 2:  $\exists x \in nset(ndropns \sigma). f x$ 
  using 1 by (simp add: sfxfilter-help)
have 3:  $\forall x \in nset(nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)). f (nnth (ndropns \sigma) x)$ 
  using 2 nkfilter-holds by fastforce
have 31:  $(nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0) \leq nlength \sigma$ 
  by (metis 2 gen-nlength-def i0-lb ndropns-nlength nkfilter-upperbound nlength-code zero-enat-def)
have 4:  $f (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0) \sigma)$ 
  by (metis 3 31 i0-lb in-nset-conv-nnth ndropns-nnth zero-enat-def)
have 41:  $(nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 1) \in nset(nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma))$ 
  by (metis 1 2 One-nat-def eSuc-enat ileI1 in-nset-conv-nnth nkfilter-nlength zero-enat-def)
have 42:  $(nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 1) \leq nlength (ndropns \sigma)$ 
  by (metis 2 41 gen-nlength-def in-nset-conv-nnth nkfilter-upperbound nlength-code)
have 5:  $f (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 1) \sigma)$ 
  using 3 41 42 by (metis ndropns-nlength ndropns-nnth)
have 6:  $(nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0) < (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 1)$ 
  by (metis 1 2 One-nat-def ileI1 nidx-nkfilter-expand nkfilter-nlength one-eSuc one-enat-def)
have 7:  $Suc (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0) \leq nlength \sigma$ 
  by (metis 42 6 Suc-leI dual-order.trans enat-ord-simps(1) ndropns-nlength)
have 8:  $0 < nlength (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma))$ 
  using 1 2 nkfilter-nlength by fastforce
have 9:  $(nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 1) \leq nlength \sigma$ 
  by (metis 42 ndropns-nlength)
have 10:  $(Suc (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0)) \leq (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 1)$ 
  using 6 Suc-leI by blast
have 11:  $(nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 1) =$ 
            $(nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 1) - (Suc (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0)) +$ 
            $(Suc (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0))$ 
  using 10 by auto
have 12:  $(nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 1) - (Suc (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0)) \leq$ 
            $nlength \sigma - Suc (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0)$ 
  using 9 diff-le-mono by (metis enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat)
have 13:  $\exists i \leq nlength \sigma - Suc (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0).$ 
            $(nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 1) = (Suc (i + (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0)))$ 
  using 11 12 by auto
have 14:  $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma - Suc (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0).$ 
            $f (ndropn (Suc (i + (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0))) \sigma))$ 
  using 13 5 by auto
have 15:  $(ndropn (Suc 0) (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns \sigma)))) =$ 
            $(nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0)$ 
            $(nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn (Suc (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0)) \sigma))))$ 
  using 2 8
  by (metis One-nat-def ileI1 nfilter-ndropns-nmap nkfilter-nlength one-eSuc one-enat-def)
have 16:  $g (nmap (\lambda s . nnth s 0)$ 
            $(nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn (Suc (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0)) \sigma))))$ 
  using 1 15 by auto

```

have 17: $\forall j < (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) 0). \neg f (\text{ndropn } j \sigma)$
using 2 31 *nkfilter-not-before*[*of* ($\text{ndropns } \sigma$) f]
by (*metis enat-ord-simps(1) less-imp-le ndropns-nnth order.trans*)

have 18: $(\exists k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma.$
 $f (\text{ndropn } k \sigma) \wedge$
 $k < \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma - \text{Suc } k. f (\text{ndropn} (\text{Suc } (i + k)) \sigma)) \wedge$
 $g (\text{nmap} (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0) (\text{nfilter } f (\text{ndropns} (\text{ndropn} (\text{Suc } k) \sigma)))) \wedge$
 $(\forall j < k. \neg f (\text{ndropn } j \sigma)))$

using 14 16 17 4 7 *Suc-ile-eq less-imp-le* **by** *blast*
show ?thesis **using** 18 **by** (*simp add: PiNextsem2*)

qed

lemma *PiNextsem4*:
assumes $(\sigma \models (\neg f) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge \circ(f \amalg g)))$
shows $(\sigma \models f \amalg (\circ g))$
proof –

have 1: $(\exists k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma.$
 $f (\text{ndropn } k \sigma) \wedge$
 $k < \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma - \text{Suc } k. f (\text{ndropn} (\text{Suc } (i + k)) \sigma)) \wedge$
 $g (\text{nmap} (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0) (\text{nfilter } f (\text{ndropns} (\text{ndropn} (\text{Suc } k) \sigma)))) \wedge$
 $(\forall j < k. \neg f (\text{ndropn } j \sigma)))$

using assms **by** (*simp add: PiNextsem2*)

obtain k **where** 2: $k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge f (\text{ndropn } k \sigma) \wedge k < \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge (\exists i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma - \text{Suc } k.$
 $f (\text{ndropn} (\text{Suc } (i + k)) \sigma)) \wedge$
 $g (\text{nmap} (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0) (\text{nfilter } f (\text{ndropns} (\text{ndropn} (\text{Suc } k) \sigma)))) \wedge (\forall j < k. \neg f (\text{ndropn } j \sigma))$

using 1 **by** *auto*

have 3: $\exists x \in \text{nset} (\text{ndropns } \sigma). f x$
using 1 **using** *in-nset-ndropns* **by** *auto*

have 4: $\forall x \in \text{nset} (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)). f (\text{nnth} (\text{ndropns } \sigma) x)$
using 3 **nkfilter-holds** **by** *fastforce*

have 41: $(\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) 0) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma$
by (*metis 3 gen-nlength-def le-cases le-zero-eq ndropns-nlength nkfilter-upperbound nlength-code zero-enat-def*)

have 5: $f (\text{ndropn} (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) 0) \sigma)$
by (*metis 4 41 i0-lb in-nset-conv-nnth ndropns-nnth zero-enat-def*)

have 6: $0 < \text{nlength} (\text{nfilter } f (\text{ndropns } \sigma))$
using 2 3 **nfilter-nlength-zero-conv-a**[*of* ($\text{ndropns } \sigma$) f]
by *simp*
*(metis (no-types, lifting) add.commute eSuc-enat enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same
enat-less-enat-plusI2 ileI1 iless-Suc-eq less-add-Suc2 less-eqE ndropn-Suc-conv-ndropn
ndropn-nlength ndropns-nlength ndropns-nnth nlength-NCons)*

have 61: $(\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) 1) \in \text{nset} (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma))$
by (*metis 3 6 ileI1 in-nset-conv-nnth nkfilter-nlength one-eSuc one-enat-def*)

have 62: $(\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) 1) \leq \text{nlength} (\text{ndropns } \sigma)$
by (*metis 3 61 gen-nlength-def in-nset-conv-nnth nkfilter-upperbound nlength-code*)

have 7: $f (\text{ndropn} (\text{nnth} (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) 1) \sigma)$
using 4 61 62 **by** (*metis ndropns-nlength ndropns-nnth*)

have 8: $(\exists i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma. f (\text{ndropn } i \sigma))$

```

using 1 by blast
have 9:  $g(\text{ndropn}(\text{Suc } 0)(\text{nmap}(\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)(\text{nfilter } f(\text{ndropns } \sigma))))$ 
  using 2 3 5 6 nkfilter-not-before[of (ndropns σ) f] nfilter-ndropns-nmap[of σ f 0]
  by (metis One-nat-def ileI1 ndropns-nnth not-less-iff-gr-or-eq one-eSuc one-enat-def)
have 10:  $((\exists i. (\text{enat } i) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge f(\text{ndropn } i \sigma)) \wedge$ 
   $0 < \text{nlength } (\text{nfilter } f(\text{ndropns } \sigma)) \wedge$ 
   $g(\text{ndropn}(\text{Suc } 0)(\text{nmap}(\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)(\text{nfilter } f(\text{ndropns } \sigma))))$ 
  using 6 8 9 by blast
show ?thesis using 10
  by (simp add: PiNextsem1)
qed

```

lemma $PiNextsem$:

$$(\sigma \models f \amalg (\circlearrowleft g) = (\neg f) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge \circlearrowleft(f \amalg g)))$$
using $PiNextsem3$ $PiNextsem4$ $unl-lift2$ **by** blast

lemma $PiNext$:

$$\vdash f \amalg (\circlearrowleft g) = (\neg f) \mathcal{U} (f \wedge \circlearrowleft(f \amalg g))$$
using $PiNextsem$ $Valid-def$ **by** blast

13.3.14 PiUntil

lemma $PiUntilDistsem1$:

$$(\sigma \models f \amalg (g \mathcal{U} h)) =$$

$$((\exists i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma. f(\text{ndropn } i \sigma)) \wedge$$

$$(\exists k \leq \text{nlength } (nfilter f(\text{ndropns } \sigma)).$$

$$h(\text{ndropn } k(\text{nmap}(\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)(\text{nfilter } f(\text{ndropns } \sigma)))) \wedge$$

$$(\forall j < k. g(\text{ndropn } j(\text{nmap}(\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)(\text{nfilter } f(\text{ndropns } \sigma))))))$$
by (simp add: pi-d-def pifilt-def sfxfilt-def until-d-def)

lemma $PiUntilDistsem2$:

$$(\sigma \models (f \amalg g) \mathcal{U} (f \amalg h)) =$$

$$(\exists k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma.$$

$$(\exists i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma - k. f(\text{ndropn } (i + k) \sigma)) \wedge$$

$$h(\text{nmap}(\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)(\text{nfilter } f(\text{ndropn } k \sigma))) \wedge$$

$$(\forall j < k. (\exists i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma - j. f(\text{ndropn } (i + j) \sigma)) \wedge$$

$$g(\text{nmap}(\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)(\text{nfilter } f(\text{ndropn } j \sigma))))))$$
by (simp add: until-d-def pi-d-def pifilt-def sfxfilt-def ndropn-ndropn add.commute)

lemma $cover$:

assumes $(\exists i < k. ff(i) < (j::nat) \wedge j \leq ff(\text{Suc } i))$
 $(\forall i < k. ff(i) < ff(\text{Suc } i))$

shows $ff(0) < j \wedge j \leq ff(k)$

using assms

proof (induct k arbitrary:j)

case 0

then show ?case **by** simp

next

case ($\text{Suc } k$)

then show ?case

proof –

```
have 1: ( $\exists i < k. ff(i) < (j::nat) \wedge j \leq ff(Suc i)$ )  $\vee$  ( $ff(k) < j \wedge j \leq ff(Suc k)$ )
  using Suc.prem(1) less-SucE by blast
have 2: ( $\forall i < k. ff(i) < ff(Suc i)$ )
  using Suc.prem(2) by auto
have 3:  $ff k < ff(Suc k)$ 
  by (simp add: Suc.prem(2))
have 4: ( $ff(0) < j \wedge j \leq ff(k)$ )  $\vee$  ( $ff(k) < j \wedge j \leq ff(Suc k)$ )
  using 1 2 Suc.hyps by blast
have 41:  $ff(0) < j$ 
  using 2 4 Suc.hyps order-refl by force
have 42:  $j \leq ff(Suc k)$ 
  using 3 4 by linarith
have 5:  $ff(0) < j \wedge j \leq ff(Suc k)$ 
  by (simp add: 41 42)
show ?thesis
  by (simp add: 5)
qed
qed
```

lemma cover-a:

```
assumes ( $\forall j.$ 
  ( $j \leq ff 0$ )  $\vee$  ( $\exists i < k. ff(i) < (j::nat) \wedge j \leq ff(Suc i)$ )
   $\longrightarrow gg j$ )
```

$(\forall i < k. ff(i) < ff(Suc i))$

shows $(\forall j < ff k. gg j)$

proof –

```
have 1: ( $\forall j < ff 0. gg j$ )
  by (simp add: assms(1))
have 2: ( $\forall j. ff 0 < j \wedge j \leq ff k \longrightarrow gg j$ )
proof
```

fix j

show $ff 0 < j \wedge j \leq ff k \longrightarrow gg j$

using assms

proof (induct k arbitrary: j)

case 0

then show ?case by simp

next

case (Suc k)

then show ?case

proof –

```
have 21: ( $\forall j. (j \leq ff 0) \vee (\exists i < k. ff(i) < (j::nat) \wedge j \leq ff(Suc i))$ )
   $\vee (ff k < j \wedge j \leq ff(Suc k)) \longrightarrow gg j$ 
  using Suc.prem(1) less-SucI by blast
```

```
have 22: ( $\forall i < k. ff(i) < ff(Suc i)$ )
  using Suc.prem(2) by auto
```

```
have 23:  $ff k < ff(Suc k)$ 
  by (simp add: Suc.prem(2))
```

```
have 24: ( $\forall j.$ 
```

```


$$(j \leq ff 0) \vee (ff 0 < j \wedge j \leq ff k) \\
\vee (ff k < j \wedge j \leq ff (Suc k)) \\
\longrightarrow gg j)$$

using 21 22 Suc.hyps by blast
have 25:  $ff 0 < j \wedge j \leq ff (Suc k) \longrightarrow gg j$ 
using 24 not-less by blast
show ?thesis using 25 by blast
qed
qed
qed
show ?thesis
by (metis 2 assms(1) less-or-eq-imp-le linorder-neqE-nat)
qed

lemma PiUntilDistsem3:
assumes ( $\sigma \models f \Pi (g \mathcal{U} h)$ )
shows ( $\sigma \models (f \Pi g) \mathcal{U} (f \Pi h)$ )
proof –
have 1:  $((\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. f (ndropn i \sigma)) \wedge$ 
 $(\exists k \leq nlength (nfilter f (ndropns \sigma)).$ 
 $h (ndropn k (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns \sigma)))) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall j < k. g (ndropn j (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns \sigma))))))$ 
using assms PiUntilDistsem1 by blast
have 2:  $\exists x \in nset(ndropns \sigma). f x$ 
using 1 by (simp add: sfxfilter-help)
have 3:  $\forall x \in nset(nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)). f (nnth (ndropns \sigma) x)$ 
using 2 nkfilter-holds by fastforce
have 4:  $(\exists k \leq nlength (nfilter f (ndropns \sigma)).$ 
 $h (ndropn k (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns \sigma)))) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall j < k. g (ndropn j (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns \sigma))))))$ 
using 1 by auto
obtain k where 5:  $k \leq nlength (nfilter f (ndropns \sigma)) \wedge$ 
 $h (ndropn k (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns \sigma)))) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall j < k. g (ndropn j (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns \sigma))))))$ 
using 4 by auto
have 51:  $(nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) k) \leq nlength \sigma$ 
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) 2 5 gen-nlength-def ndropns-nlength nkfilter-nlength
nkfilter-upperbound nlength-code)
have 6:  $f (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) k) \sigma)$ 
using 2 3 5
by (metis 1 ndropns-nfilter-nnth nlength-nmap pifilt-def sfxfilt-def sfxfilt-pifilt-nnth-ndropn)
have 7:  $k = 0 \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists i. (enat i) \leq nlength \sigma - k \wedge f (ndropn (i + k) \sigma)) \wedge$ 
 $h (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma)))) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall j < k. (\exists i \leq nlength \sigma - j. f (ndropn (i + j) \sigma)) \wedge$ 
 $g (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn j \sigma))))))$ 
using 1 5 by auto
have 71:  $k > 0 \longrightarrow (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) (k - 1)) \in nset(nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma))$ 
by (metis 2 5 One-nat-def Suc-ile-eq Suc-pred in-nset-conv-nnth less-imp-le nkfilter-nlength)
have 72:  $k > 0 \longrightarrow enat (k - 1) \leq nlength (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma))$ 

```

```

by (metis 2 5 One-nat-def Suc-leq Suc-pred nkfilter-nlength order-less-imp-le)
have 8:  $k > 0 \rightarrow f(\text{ndropn}(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (k - 1))) \sigma$ 
  using 3 2 71 72 nkfilter-upperbound[of (ndropns σ) f k-1 0]
  by (metis add-0 ndropns-nlength ndropns-nnth zero-enat-def)
have 9:  $k > 0 \rightarrow (\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (k - 1)) < (\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) k)$ 
  by (metis 2 5 One-nat-def Suc-pred nkfilter-mono nkfilter-nlength)
have 10:  $k > 0 \rightarrow (\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (k - 1)) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma$ 
  by (metis 2 71 gen-nlength-def in-nset-conv-nnth ndropns-nlength nkfilter-upperbound
    nlength-code)
have 11:  $k > 0 \rightarrow (\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) k) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma$ 
  using nkfilter-upperbound[of ndropns σ f k 0]
  using 51 by blast
have 12:  $k > 0 \rightarrow$ 
  
$$h(\text{nmap}(\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)(\text{nfilter } f(\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn}(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) k) \sigma))))$$

  using nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn[of f (ndropns σ) k]
  
$$\text{ndropns-nfilter-ndropn}[of k f \sigma]$$

  by (metis 2 5 ndropn-nmap )
have 121:  $k > 0 \rightarrow$ 
  
$$h(\text{nmap}(\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)(\text{nfilter } f(\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn}(\text{Suc}(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (k - 1))) \sigma))))$$

  by (metis 2 5 One-nat-def Suc-pred nfilter-ndropns-nmap)
have 13:  $k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma - (\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) k).$ 
  
$$f(\text{ndropn}(i + (\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) k)) \sigma))$$

  using 6 by (metis add.left-neutral zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 130:  $k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists i. i + (\text{Suc}(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (k - 1))) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$ 
  
$$(\text{ndropn}(i + (\text{Suc}(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (k - 1)))) \sigma) =$$

  
$$(\text{ndropn}(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) k) \sigma))$$

  using 9 by (metis 11 Suc-leI diff-add)
have 131:  $k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists i. i + (\text{Suc}(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (k - 1))) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$ 
  
$$f(\text{ndropn}(i + (\text{Suc}(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (k - 1)))) \sigma))$$

  using 10 6 9 11 130 by auto
have 132:  $k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma - (\text{Suc}(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (k - 1))).$ 
  
$$f(\text{ndropn}(i + (\text{Suc}(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (k - 1)))) \sigma))$$

  using 131
  by (metis add-diff-cancel-right' enat-minus-mono1 idiff-enat-enat)
have 14:  $k > 0 \rightarrow (\forall j < (\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) k).$ 
  
$$(\exists i. i + j \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge f(\text{ndropn}(i + j) \sigma)))$$

  using 131 by (metis 11 6 diff-add less-imp-le plus-enat-simps(1))
have 141:  $k > 0 \rightarrow (\forall j < (\text{Suc}(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (k - 1))).$ 
  
$$(\exists i. i + j \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge f(\text{ndropn}(i + j) \sigma)))$$

  using 14 9 by auto
have 142:  $k > 0 \rightarrow (\forall j < (\text{Suc}(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (k - 1))).$ 
  
$$(\exists i \leq \text{nlength } \sigma - j. f(\text{ndropn}(i + j) \sigma)))$$

  using 141 by (metis add.commute enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same enat-minus-mono1)
have 15:  $(\forall j < k. g(\text{ndropn } j (\text{nmap}(\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)(\text{nfilter } f(\text{ndropns } \sigma)))))$ 
  using 5 by blast
have 151:  $(\forall j < k. g(\text{nmap}(\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)(\text{ndropn } j (\text{nfilter } f(\text{ndropns } \sigma)))))$ 
  by (metis 15 ndropn-nmap)
have 152:  $(\forall j < k. (\text{ndropn } j (\text{nfilter } f(\text{ndropns } \sigma)))) =$ 

```

```

  (nfilter f (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) j) (ndropns σ))))
using nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn-1[of ndropns σ f ]
by (simp add: 2 5 less-imp-le nkfilter-nlength order-less-le-subst2)
have 16: (∀j< k. g (nmap (λs. nnth s 0)
  (nfilter f (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) j) (ndropns σ)))))

using 151 152 nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn by simp
have 1610: (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) k) ≤ nlength(ndropns σ)
by (simp add: 51 ndropns-nlength)
have 1611: (∀j< k. (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) j) < (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) k))
by (simp add: 2 5 nidx-nkfilter-gr nkfilter-nlength)
have 1612: (∀j< k. (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) j) ≤ nlength(ndropns σ))
using 1610 1611 by (meson enat-ord-simps(2) less-imp-le order-less-le-subst2)
have 161: (∀j< k. ((ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) j) (ndropns σ)) =
  ((ndropns (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) j) σ)))))

using 1612 by (simp add: ndropn-ndropns)
have 17: (∀j< k. g (nmap (λs. nnth s 0)
  (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) j) σ)))))

using 16 161 by auto
have 18: k>0 →
  g (nmap (λs. nnth s 0)
  (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) (k-1)) σ)))))

using 17 by simp
have 19: k>0 →
  g (nmap (λs. nnth s 0)
  (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) 0) σ)))))

using 17 by blast
have 20: k>0 → (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) 0) σ))) =
  (nfilter f (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) 0) (ndropns σ)))
using 161 by auto
have 200: nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) 0 ≤ nlength (ndropns σ)
using 1610 1612 by blast
have 21: k>0 → (∀ j≤ (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) 0).
  ((ndropns (ndropn j σ))) =
  ((ndropn j (ndropns σ)))))

using 200 ndropn-ndropns by (simp add: ndropn-ndropns order-subst2)
have 22: k>0 → (∀ j≤ (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) 0).
  (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn j σ))) =
  (nfilter f (ndropn j (ndropns σ)))))

using 21 by auto
have 23: k>0 →
  (∀ j≤ (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) 0).
  (nmap (λs. nnth s 0)
  (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) 0) σ))))) =
  (nmap (λs. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn j σ)))))

by (simp add: 2 22 nfilter-ndropns-nmap-help-0)
have 24: k>0 →
  (∀ j≤ (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) 0).
  g (nmap (λs. nnth s 0)
  (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns σ)) 0) σ))))) =

```

```

g (nmap (λs. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn j σ))))
)
using 23 by auto
have 241:  $k > 0 \rightarrow (\forall j \leq (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } σ)) ) 0)$ .
g (nmap (λs. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn j σ)))) )
using 19 24 by blast
have 25:  $k > 0 \rightarrow (\forall i < k - 1.$ 
 $(\forall l. l \leq (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } σ)) (\text{Suc } i)) \wedge$ 
 $(\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } σ)) i) < l \rightarrow$ 
 $(\text{nfilter } f (\text{ndropn } (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } σ)) (\text{Suc } i)) (\text{ndropns } σ)) =$ 
 $(\text{nfilter } f (\text{ndropn } l (\text{ndropns } σ))) \quad ) \quad ) \quad )$ 
proof auto
fix i
fix l
assume a0:  $0 < k$ 
assume a1:  $i < k - \text{Suc } 0$ 
assume a2:  $l \leq \text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } σ)) (\text{Suc } i)$ 
assume a3:  $\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } σ)) i < l$ 
show  $\text{nfilter } f (\text{ndropn } (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } σ)) (\text{Suc } i)) (\text{ndropns } σ)) =$ 
 $\text{nfilter } f (\text{ndropn } l (\text{ndropns } σ))$ 
proof –
have 251:  $k = 1 \Rightarrow$ 
 $\text{nfilter } f (\text{ndropn } (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } σ)) (\text{Suc } i)) (\text{ndropns } σ)) =$ 
 $\text{nfilter } f (\text{ndropn } l (\text{ndropns } σ))$ 
using a1 by force
have 2511:  $1 < k \Rightarrow \text{enat } (\text{Suc } i) \leq \text{nlength } (\text{nfilter } f (\text{ndropns } σ))$ 
by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) 5 One-nat-def Suc-diff-1 Suc-mono a0 a1
enat-ord-simps(1) less-imp-le order-subst2)
then have 252:  $1 < k \Rightarrow$ 
 $\text{nfilter } f (\text{ndropn } (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } σ)) (\text{Suc } i)) (\text{ndropns } σ)) =$ 
 $\text{nfilter } f (\text{ndropn } l (\text{ndropns } σ))$ 
using 2 5 a1 nfilter-ndropns-nmap-help-j[of  $\text{ndropns } σ f l i$ ] a2 a3 by fastforce
show ?thesis
using 252 a1 by fastforce
qed
qed
have 261:  $k > 0 \rightarrow (\forall i < k - 1.$ 
 $(\forall l. l \leq (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } σ)) (\text{Suc } i)) \wedge$ 
 $(\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } σ)) i) < l \rightarrow$ 
 $l \leq \text{nlength } (\text{ndropns } σ) \quad ) \quad )$ 
using 1612 by (meson diff-less enat-ord-simps(1) less-one less-trans-Suc order-subst2)
have 262:  $k > 0 \rightarrow (\forall i < k - 1.$ 
 $(\forall l. l \leq (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } σ)) (\text{Suc } i)) \wedge$ 
 $(\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } σ)) i) < l \rightarrow$ 
 $(\text{ndropn } l (\text{ndropns } σ)) = (\text{ndropns } (\text{ndropn } l σ)) \quad ) \quad )$ 
using 261 by (simp add: ndropn-ndropns)
have 26:  $k > 0 \rightarrow (\forall i < k - 1.$ 
 $(\forall l. l \leq (\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } σ)) (\text{Suc } i)) \wedge$ 
 $(\text{nnth } (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } σ)) i) < l \rightarrow$ 
 $(\text{nmap } (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0)$ 

```

$$(nfilter f (ndropn (nnth(nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) (Suc i)) (ndropns \sigma)))) = \\ (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn l \sigma)))) \quad) \quad)$$

using 25 262 by auto

have 27: $k > 0 \rightarrow (\forall i < k - 1.$

$$(\forall l. l \leq (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) (Suc i)) \wedge \\ (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) i) < l \rightarrow \\ g (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) \\ (nfilter f \\ (ndropn (nnth(nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) (Suc i)) (ndropns \sigma))))))$$

by (simp add: 16)

have 28: $k > 0 \rightarrow (\forall i < k - 1.$

$$(\forall l. l \leq (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) (Suc i)) \wedge \\ (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) i) < l \rightarrow \\ g (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn l \sigma)))) \quad)))$$

using 25 262 27 by auto

have 281: $k > 0 \rightarrow$

$$(\forall j. \\ (j \leq (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) 0) \vee \\ (\exists i. i < k - 1 \wedge \\ j \leq (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) (Suc i)) \wedge \\ (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) i) < j) \rightarrow \\ g (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn j \sigma)))) \quad)))$$

using 241 28 by blast

have 282: $k > 0 \rightarrow (\forall i < k - 1.$

$$nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) i < nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) (Suc i))$$

using nidx-nkfilter-expand[of ndropns \sigma f - 0]

by (metis (full-types) 2 72 Suc-ile-eq enat-ord-simps(2) order-less-le-subst2)

have 29: $k > 0 \rightarrow (\forall j < (Suc (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) (k - 1))).$

$$g (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn j \sigma))))))$$

using 281 282 cover-a[of $\lambda x. nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) x = k - 1$

$$(\lambda j. g (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn j \sigma))))))]$$

using 18 less-antisym by blast

have 30: $k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists k \leq nlength \sigma.$

$$(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma - k. f (ndropn (i + k) \sigma)) \wedge \\ h (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma)))) \wedge \\ (\forall j < k. (\exists i \leq nlength \sigma - j. f (ndropn (i + j) \sigma)) \wedge \\ g (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn j \sigma))))))$$

using 10 11 121 132 142 29 9 by simp

(metis add-Suc-right antisym-conv2 eSuc-enat enat-ord-simps(1) ileI1 leD)

have 31: $(\exists k \leq nlength \sigma.$

$$(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma - k. f (ndropn (i + k) \sigma)) \wedge \\ h (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma)))) \wedge \\ (\forall j < k. (\exists i \leq nlength \sigma - j. f (ndropn (i + j) \sigma)) \wedge \\ g (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn j \sigma))))))$$

using 30 7 by (metis not-gr-zero zero-enat-def zero-le)

show ?thesis using 31 by (simp add: PiUntilDistsem2)

qed

lemma PiUntilDistsem4:

assumes $(\sigma \models (f \amalg g) \mathcal{U} (f \amalg h))$

shows $(\sigma \models f \Pi (g \mathcal{U} h))$
proof –
have 1: $(\exists k \leq nlength \sigma. \dots)$
 $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma - k. f (ndropn (i + k) \sigma)) \wedge$
 $h (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma)))) \wedge$
 $(\forall j < k.$
 $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma - j. f (ndropn (i + j) \sigma)) \wedge$
 $g (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn j \sigma))))))$
using assms by (simp add: PiUntilDistsem2)
obtain k **where** 2: $k \leq nlength \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma - k. f (ndropn (i + k) \sigma)) \wedge$
 $h (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma)))) \wedge$
 $(\forall j < k.$
 $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma - j. f (ndropn (i + j) \sigma)) \wedge$
 $g (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn j \sigma))))))$
using 1 by auto
have 3: $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma - k. f (ndropn (i + k) \sigma))$
using 2 by auto
have 31: $(\exists i \leq nlength (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma)). f (nnth (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma)) i))$
by (metis 3 add.commute ndropn-ndropn ndropn-nlength ndropns-nlength ndropns-nnth)
have 4: $k \leq nlength \sigma$
using 2 by auto
obtain i **where** 5: $(enat i) \leq nlength (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma)) \wedge f (nnth (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma)) i) \wedge$
 $i = (LEAST na. enat na \leq nlength (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma)) \wedge$
 $f (nnth (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma)) na))$
by (metis (no-types, lifting) 31 LeastI-ex)
have 51: $i = (LEAST na. enat na \leq nlength (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma)) \wedge f (nnth (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma)) na))$
using 5 by auto
have 60: $(enat i) \leq nlength \sigma - k$
by (metis 5 ndropn-nlength ndropns-nlength)
have 601: $k+i \leq nlength \sigma$
by (metis 4 60 dual-order.eq-iff enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same enat-less-enat-plusI2
less-eqE less-imp-le order.not-eq-order-implies-strict plus-enat-simps(1))
have 6: $i+k \leq nlength \sigma$
by (metis 601 add.commute)
have 61: $\exists x \in nset (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma)). f x$
using 31 exists-Pred-nnth-nset **by** blast
have 62: $\exists x \in nset (ndropns (ndropn (k+i) \sigma)). f x$
by (metis 5 in-nset-conv-nnth ndropn-ndropn ndropns nnth-zero-ndropn zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 7: $(\exists i \leq nlength \sigma. f (ndropn i \sigma))$
by (metis 5 6 add.commute ndropn-ndropn ndropns-nlength ndropns-nnth)
have 71: $\exists x \in nset (ndropns \sigma). f x$
using 5 6 **using** in-nset-ndropns **using** 7 **by** blast
have 72: $\exists x \in nset (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)). f (nnth (ndropns \sigma) x)$
using 71 nkfilter-holds-b[of (ndropns \sigma) f] sfxfilter-help[of \sigma]
by (metis 71 Nat.add-0-right ndropns-nlength ndropns-nnth)
have 722: $(nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma))) 0) \leq nlength (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma))$
by (metis 61 gen-nlength-def nkfilter-upperbound nlength-code zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 723: $(nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma))) 0) \leq nlength ((ndropn k \sigma))$

```

by (metis 722 ndropns-nlength)
have 73:  $f(\text{ndropn}(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter} f 0 (\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma))) 0) (\text{ndropn} k \sigma))$ 
  using nkfilter-holds[of  $\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma)$   $f 0$ ]
by (metis (no-types, lifting) 61 722 diff-zero ndropns-nfilter-nnth ndropns-nlength
  ndropns-nnth nkfilter-nfilter zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 74:  $f(\text{ndropn}((\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter} f 0 (\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma))) 0)+k) \sigma)$ 
  using 73 by (simp add: add.commute ndropn-ndropn)
have 75:  $f(\text{ndropn} k (\text{ndropn}(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter} f 0 (\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma))) 0) \sigma))$ 
  by (simp add: 74 ndropn-ndropn)
have 76:  $(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter} f 0 (\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma))) 0) = \text{nleast} f (\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma))$ 
  by (simp add: 61 nkfilter-nleast)
have 77:  $\text{nleast} f (\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma)) = (\text{LEAST} na. \text{enat} na \leq \text{nlength}(\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma)) \wedge$ 
   $f(\text{nnth}(\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma)) na))$ 
  using 61 nleast-conv[of  $\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma)$   $f$ ] by auto
have 78:  $\text{nleast} f (\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma)) = i$ 
  using 5 77 by blast
have 8:  $h(\text{nmap}(\lambda s. \text{nnth} s 0) (\text{nfilter} f (\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma))))$ 
  using 2 by auto
have 10:  $\text{nfirst}(\text{nkfilter} f k (\text{ndropn} k (\text{ndropns} \sigma))) =$ 
   $((\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter} f 0 (\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma))) 0)+k)$ 
  by (metis 2 61 diff-add ndropn-0 ndropn-ndropns ndropn-nfirst ndropns-nlength
  nkfilter-lowerbound nkfilter-nnth-n-zero zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 101:  $\text{nfirst}(\text{nkfilter} f k (\text{ndropn} k (\text{ndropns} \sigma))) = k+i$ 
  by (simp add: 10 76 78)
have 90:  $f(\text{nlast}(\text{ntaken}((\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter} f 0 (\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma))) 0)+k) (\text{ndropns} \sigma)))$ 
  by (metis 6 74 76 78 ndropns-nnth ntaken-nlast)
have 91:  $((\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter} f 0 (\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma))) 0)+k) \leq \text{nlength}(\text{ndropns} \sigma)$ 
  using nkfilter-upperbound[of  $(\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma)) f 0 0$ ]
  ndropn-nlength[of  $k \sigma$ ] ndropns-nlength[of  $\text{ndropn} k \sigma$ ]
  by (simp add: 6 76 78 ndropns-nlength)
have 92:  $((\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter} f 0 (\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma))) 0)+k) = ((\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter} f k (\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma))) 0))$ 
  by (simp add: 61 nkfilter-nleast)
let ?kf = (the-enat ((nlength(nfilter f (ntaken ((nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma))) 0)+k) (ndropns \sigma))))))
let ?nkf = (the-enat ((nlength(nkfilter f 0 (ntaken ((nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma))) 0)+k) (ndropns \sigma))))))
let ?pkf = (the-enat ((nlength (nkfilter f 0 (ntaken k (ndropns \sigma))))))
have 93: ?kf = ?nkf
  by (metis 90 nfinite-ntaken nkfilter-nlength nset-nlast)
have 94:  $((\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter} f 0 (\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma))) 0)+k) \leq \text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter} f 0 (\text{ndropns} \sigma)) ?nkf$ 
  by (metis 74 90 91 add.left-neutral eq-iff ndropn-nfirst ndropns-nlength ndropns-nnth
  nkfilter-chop1-ndropn nkfilter-nfirst)
have 95:  $(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter} f 0 (\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma))) 0) = 0 \implies k = \text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter} f 0 (\text{ndropns} \sigma)) ?nkf$ 
  by (metis 10 90 91 add-cancel-left-left ndropn-nfirst nkfilter-chop1-ndropn)
have 98:  $0 < ?nkf \wedge 0 < (\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter} f 0 (\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma))) 0) \implies$ 
   $\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter} f 0 (\text{ndropns} \sigma)) (?nkf - 1) < k$ 
proof -
  assume b:  $0 < ?nkf \wedge 0 < (\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter} f 0 (\text{ndropns}(\text{ndropn} k \sigma))) 0)$ 
  have 980:  $(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter} f 0 (\text{ndropns} \sigma)) (?nkf - 1)) \in \text{nset}(\text{nkfilter} f 0 (\text{ndropns} \sigma))$ 

```

```

by (metis in-nset-conv-nnth le-cases nfinite-ntaken nset-nlast ntaken-all ntaken-nlast)
have 981:  $f(\text{nnth}(\text{ndropns } \sigma)(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (?nkf - 1)))$ 
  using nkfilter-holds[of (ndropns  $\sigma$ )  $f(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (?nkf - 1)) 0]$ 
  using 71 980 by auto
have 982:  $\neg f(\text{ndropn } k \sigma)$ 
  by (metis 10 4 add-cancel-left-left b gr-implies-not0 ndropn-nfirst ndropns-nnth
    nkfilter-nfirst)
have 984:  $f(\text{ndropn}(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (?nkf - 1)) \sigma)$ 
  using 71 980 981 ndropns-nnth[of (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns  $\sigma$ )) (?nkf - 1))  $\sigma$ ]
  by (metis gen-nlength-def in-nset-conv-nnth ndropns-nlength nkfilter-upperbound nlength-code)
have 985:  $\bigwedge j. k \leq j \implies j < k+i \implies \neg f(\text{ndropn } j \sigma)$ 
proof -
  fix  $j$ 
  assume a0:  $k \leq j$ 
  assume a1:  $j < k+i$ 
  show  $\neg f(\text{ndropn } j \sigma)$ 
proof -
  have 9851:  $\exists x \in \text{nset}(\text{ndropn } k (\text{ndropns } \sigma)). f x$ 
    by (simp add: 4 61 ndropn-ndropns ndropns-nlength)
  have 9852:  $\text{enat } k \leq \text{nlength}(\text{ndropns } \sigma)$ 
    by (simp add: 4 ndropns-nlength)
  have 9853:  $k \leq j$ 
    by (simp add: a0)
  have 9854:  $j < \text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f k (\text{ndropn } k (\text{ndropns } \sigma))) 0$ 
    using 10 101 92 9852 a1 ndropn-ndropns by fastforce
  have 9855:  $\neg f(\text{nnth}(\text{ndropns } \sigma) j)$ 
    using 9851 9852 9853 9854 nkfilter-n-not-before[of  $k$  ndropns  $\sigma$   $f j$ ] by auto
  show ?thesis
    by (metis 10 101 6 76 78 9855 a1 enat-ord-simps(2) less-imp-le
      ndropns-nnth order-less-le-subst2)
qed
qed
have 986:  $\text{ntaken } ?nkf (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) =$ 
   $\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ntaken}(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } (\text{ndropn } k \sigma))) 0 + k) (\text{ndropns } \sigma))$ 
  using nkfilter-chop1-ntaken[of (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns (ndropn k  $\sigma$ ))) 0 + k) (ndropns  $\sigma$ )  $f 0$ ]
  using 90 91 by blast
have 987:  $\neg \text{enat } (?nkf) \leq \text{nlength}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) \vee$ 
   $\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (?nkf - 1) < \text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (?nkf)$ 
  using 71 by (meson b diff-less less-one nidx-nkfilter-gr)
have 988:  $\text{nlast } (\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ntaken}(\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } (\text{ndropn } k \sigma))) 0 + k) (\text{ndropns } \sigma)))$ 
=  $0 + (\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } (\text{ndropn } k \sigma))) 0 + k)$ 
  by (simp add: 90 91 nkfilter-nlast)
have 989:  $\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (?nkf) = \text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } (\text{ndropn } k \sigma))) 0 + k$ 
  using 986
  by (metis 988 add.left-neutral ntaken-nlast)
have 990:  $\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (?nkf) = \text{nfirst}(\text{nkfilter } f k (\text{ndropn } k (\text{ndropns } \sigma)))$ 
  using 10 989 by fastforce
have 991:  $\text{nnth}(\text{nkfilter } f 0 (\text{ndropns } \sigma)) (?nkf) = k + i$ 
  using 10 101 989 by presburger

```

```

show ?thesis
  by (metis 984 985 986 987 991 enat-the-enat infinity-ileE le-cases not-le-imp-less ntaken-all)
qed

have 100:  $h(nmap(\lambda s. nnth s 0)(ndropn ?kf(nfilter f(ndropns \sigma))))$ 
  using ndropns-nfilter-ndropn-a[of - f ndropn k \sigma ]
    nfilter-chop1-ndropn[of ((nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma))) 0)+k) (ndropns \sigma) f ]
      101 61 76 78 8 90 91
  by simp
    (metis 10 ndropn-0 ndropn-ndropn ndropn-ndropns zero-enat-def zero-le)
have 11:  $h(ndropn ?kf(nmap(\lambda s. nnth s 0)(nfilter f(ndropns \sigma))))$ 
  by (simp add: 100 ndropn-nmap)
have 111:  $?kf \leq nlength(nfilter f(ndropns \sigma))$ 
  by (metis 90 enat-ile le-cases nfilter-chop1-ntaken ntaken-all the-enat.simps)
have 112:  $nfinite(nfilter f(ntaken((nnth(nkfilter f 0 (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma))) 0)+k) (ndropns \sigma)))$ 
  by (metis 90 91 nfilter-chop1-ntaken nfinite-ntaken)
have 13:  $(\forall j < k. (\exists x \in nset(ndropns (ndropn j \sigma)). f x) \wedge$ 
   $g(nmap(\lambda s. nnth s 0)(nfilter f(ndropns (ndropn j \sigma)))))$ 
  by (metis 2 add.commute in-nset-ndropns ndropn-ndropn ndropn-nlength)
have 151:  $(\forall jj < ?kf.$ 
   $(ndropn jj (nfilter f(ndropns \sigma))) =$ 
   $nfilter f(ndropns (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) jj) \sigma))$ )
  ) using nfilter-nkfilter-ndropn
  by (simp add: 111 71 less-imp-le ndropns-nfilter-ndropn-a order-less-le-subst2)
have 152:  $(\bigwedge jj. (enat jj) < ?kf \implies (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) jj) < k)$ 
proof -
  fix jj
  assume a:  $(enat jj) < ?kf$ 
  show  $nnth(nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) jj < k$ 
proof -
  have 1522:  $(enat jj) \leq ?nkf - 1$ 
  using 93 a by auto
  have 1523:  $nnth(nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) (?nkf - 1) < nnth(nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) ?nkf$ 
  by (metis 111 71 93 a diff-less gr-implies-not-zero less-numeral-extra(1)
    nidx-nkfilter-gr nkfilter-nlength not-gr-zero zero-enat-def)
  have 15230:  $enat(?nkf - 1) \leq nlength(nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma))$ 
  by (metis 111 71 93 One-nat-def Suc-ile-eq Suc-pred a less-imp-le nkfilter-nlength
    not-gr-zero not-less-zero zero-enat-def)
  have 1524:  $nnth(nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) jj \leq nnth(nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) (?nkf - 1)$ 
  using 1522 1523 71 93 15230
    nidx-less-eq[of (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) (jj) ?nkf - 1]
    nidx-nkfilter[of (ndropns \sigma) f 0]
  using enat-ord-simps(1) by blast
  have 1525:  $k \leq nnth(nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) ?nkf$ 
  using 94 add-leE by blast
  have 1526:  $(nnth(nkfilter f 0 (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma))) 0) = 0 \implies$ 
     $nnth(nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) jj < k$ 
  using 1523 1524 95 by linarith
  have 1527:  $0 < (nnth(nkfilter f 0 (ndropns (ndropn k \sigma))) 0) \implies$ 
     $nnth(nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) (?nkf - 1) < k$ 

```

```

using 93 98 a by auto
show ?thesis using 1524 1526 1527 dual-order.strict-trans2 by blast
qed
qed
have 153: ( $\forall jj < ?kf.$ 
   $g (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns (ndropn (nnth (nkfilter f 0 (ndropns \sigma)) jj) \sigma))) )$ )
)
using 112 13 152 nfinite-nlength-enat by force
have 1530: ( $\forall jj < ?kf.$ 
   $g (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (ndropn jj (nfilter f (ndropns \sigma))))$ )
  by (simp add: 151 153)
have 1531: ( $\forall jj < ?kf.$ 
   $g (ndropn jj (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns \sigma))))$ )
  by (simp add: 1530 ndropn-nmap)
have 154: ( $(\exists i. (enat i) \leq nlength \sigma \wedge f (ndropn i \sigma)) \wedge$ 
   $(\exists kk. (enat kk) \leq nlength (nfilter f (ndropns \sigma)) \wedge$ 
   $h (ndropn kk (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns \sigma)))) \wedge$ 
   $(\forall jj < kk. g (ndropn jj (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns \sigma))))))$ )
using 11 111 1531 7 by blast
show ?thesis by (simp add: 154 PiUntilDistsem1)
qed

```

lemma *PiUntilDistsem*:

```

 $\sigma \models f \amalg (g \cup h) = (f \amalg g) \cup (f \amalg h)$ 
using PiUntilDistsem3 PiUntilDistsem4 using unl-lift2 by blast

```

lemma *PiUntilDist*:

```

 $\vdash f \amalg (g \cup h) = (f \amalg g) \cup (f \amalg h)$ 
using PiUntilDistsem Valid-def by blast

```

13.3.15 PiChopstar

lemma *wnextboxnotstatesem*:

```

assumes  $k \leq nlength \sigma$ 
shows  $(\forall j \leq nlength \sigma. k < j \rightarrow \neg (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nnth \sigma j)) =$ 
       $(LIFT(wnext (\square (init (\neg w))))) (ndropn k \sigma)$ 

```

using *assms*

```

proof (auto simp add: itl-defs ndropn-nfirst)
show  $\bigwedge n. enat k \leq nlength \sigma \implies$ 
   $\forall j. enat j \leq nlength \sigma \rightarrow k < j \rightarrow \neg w (NNil (nnth \sigma j)) \implies$ 
   $nlength \sigma - enat k \neq enat 0 \implies$ 
   $enat n \leq nlength \sigma - enat k - enat (Suc 0) \implies w (NNil (nnth \sigma (Suc (k + n)))) \implies False$ 
  by (metis add-Suc-right assms diff-self-eq-0 dual-order.order-iff-strict
       enat-ord-simps(2) idiff-enat-enat less-add-same-cancel1 linorder-le-cases
       nfinite-nlength-enat nfinite-ntaken not-le-imp-less ntaken-all ntaken-nlast
       zero-enat-def zero-less-Suc)
show  $\bigwedge j. enat k \leq nlength \sigma \implies enat j \leq nlength \sigma \implies k < j \implies w (NNil (nnth \sigma j)) \implies$ 
   $nlength \sigma - enat k = enat 0 \implies False$ 

```

```

by (metis add.right-neutral enat.inject enat-add-sub-same enat-ord-code(4) leD less-eqE
min.strict-order-iff min-enat-simps(1) zero-enat-def)
show  $\bigwedge j. \text{enat } k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \implies$ 
 $\text{enat } j \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \implies$ 
 $k < j \implies w(\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } \sigma j)) \implies$ 
 $\forall n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma - \text{enat } k - \text{enat } (\text{Suc } 0) \longrightarrow \neg w(\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } \sigma (\text{Suc } (k + n)))) \implies \text{False}$ 
proof -
  fix  $j$ 
  assume  $a0: \text{enat } k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma$ 
  assume  $a1: \text{enat } j \leq \text{nlength } \sigma$ 
  assume  $a2: k < j$ 
  assume  $a3: w(\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } \sigma j))$ 
  assume  $a4: \forall n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma - \text{enat } k - \text{enat } (\text{Suc } 0) \longrightarrow \neg w(\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } \sigma (\text{Suc } (k + n))))$ 
  show  $\text{False}$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $j = (\text{Suc } (k + (j - (\text{Suc } k))))$ 
    using  $a2$  by auto
  have 2:  $\forall n. \text{enat } n + 1 + k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \longrightarrow \neg w(\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } \sigma (\text{Suc } (k + n))))$ 
    by auto
    (metis One-nat-def a4 add.commute enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same enat-minus-mono1
one-enat-def)
  have 3:  $(j - (\text{Suc } k)) + 1 + k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma$ 
    using 1  $a1$  by simp
  have 4:  $\neg w(\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } \sigma (\text{Suc } (k + (j - (\text{Suc } k))))))$ 
    using 2 3 eSuc-enat plus-1-eSuc(2) by auto
    from 1 4  $a3$  show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *NotStateUntilStateAndsem*:

$$(\sigma \models (\text{init } (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ((\text{init } w) \wedge f)) = \\ (\exists k. (\text{enat } k) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge w(\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } \sigma k)) \wedge f(\text{ndropn } k \sigma) \wedge (\forall j < k. \neg w(\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } \sigma j))))$$

by (auto simp add: until-d-def init-defs ndropn-nfirst)

lemma *StateUntilEqvWPrevChopsem*:

$$\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \mathcal{U} f = (w \text{prev } (\square (\text{init } w))) \neg f$$

proof (auto simp add: until-d-def itl-defs ntaken-nnth ndropn-nfirst min-absorb1)

show $\bigwedge k. \text{enat } k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \implies$
 $f(\text{ndropn } k \sigma) \implies$
 $\forall j < k. w(\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } \sigma j)) \implies$
 $\exists n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } \sigma \wedge$
 $(\min(\text{enat } n) (\text{nlength } \sigma) = \text{enat } 0 \vee$
 $(\forall na. na \leq \text{the-enat } (\min(\text{enat } (n - \text{Suc } 0)) (\text{epred } (\text{nlength } \sigma))) \wedge na \leq n \wedge \text{enat } na \leq \text{nlength } \sigma) \longrightarrow$
 $w(\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } \sigma na))) \wedge$
 $f(\text{ndropn } n \sigma)$

by (metis One-nat-def Suc-pred epred-enat epred-min le-imp-less-Suc min.orderE not-gr-zero
the-enat.simps)

```

next
fix n
assume a0: enat n ≤ nlength σ
assume a1: f (ndropn n σ)
assume a2: ∀ na. na ≤ the-enat (min (enat (n − Suc 0)) (epred (nlength σ))) ∧ na ≤ n ∧
            enat na ≤ nlength σ → w (NNil (nnth σ na))
show ∃ k. enat k ≤ nlength σ ∧ f (ndropn k σ) ∧ (∀ j < k. w (NNil (nnth σ j)))
proof −
have 1: enat n ≤ nlength σ ∧ f (ndropn n σ)
using a0 a1 by auto
have 2: (∀ na. na ≤ the-enat (min (enat (n − Suc 0)) (epred (nlength σ))) ∧ na ≤ n ∧
            enat na ≤ nlength σ → w (NNil (nnth σ na))) →
            (∀ j < n. w (NNil (nnth σ j)))
by (auto simp add: min-def)
  (simp add: a0 less-imp-le order-less-le-subst2,
   metis One-nat-def a0 epred-enat epred-min min.absorb-iff2)
have 3: (∀ j < n. w (NNil (nnth σ j)))
using 2 a2 by blast
show ?thesis
using 1 3 by blast
qed
qed

```

lemma StateUntilEqvWPrevChop:

$$\vdash (\text{init } w) \mathcal{U} f = (w\text{prev}(\square(\text{init } w))) \rightsquigarrow f$$
using StateUntilEqvWPrevChopsem Valid-def **by** blast

lemma UntilChopDist:

$$\vdash (\text{init } w) \mathcal{U} (g \rightsquigarrow h) = ((\text{init } w) \mathcal{U} g) \rightsquigarrow h$$
using StateUntilEqvWPrevChop[of w]
by (metis SChopAssoc inteq-reflection)

lemma PiEmptysem:

$$\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty} = (\text{init } (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wnext}(\square(\text{init } (\neg w))))$$
proof −
have 1: ($\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}$) =
 $(\exists x \in \text{nset } \sigma. w (\text{NNil } x)) \wedge \text{nlength}(\text{nfilter}(\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma) = 0)$
by (simp add: Pistate itl-defs zero-enat-def)
have 2: ($(\exists x \in \text{nset } \sigma. w (\text{NNil } x)) \wedge \text{nlength}(\text{nfilter}(\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma) = 0$) =
 $(\exists k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma. (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) (\text{nnth } \sigma k) \wedge$
 $(\forall j. j < k \rightarrow \neg(\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) (\text{nnth } \sigma j)) \wedge$
 $(\forall j \leq \text{nlength } \sigma. k < j \rightarrow \neg(\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) (\text{nnth } \sigma j)))$
by (simp add: nfilter-nlength-zero-conv-2)
have 3: ($\exists k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma. (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) (\text{nnth } \sigma k) \wedge$
 $(\forall j. j < k \rightarrow \neg(\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) (\text{nnth } \sigma j)) \wedge$
 $(\forall j \leq \text{nlength } \sigma. k < j \rightarrow \neg(\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) (\text{nnth } \sigma j))$) =
 $(\exists k \leq \text{nlength } \sigma.$
 $w (\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } \sigma k)) \wedge$
 $(\text{LIFT}(\text{wnext}(\square(\text{init } (\neg w)))))) (ndropn k \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall j < k. \neg w (\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } \sigma j))))$ (**is** ?lhs=?rhs)

```

proof
assume a: ?lhs
show ?rhs using a wnextboxnotstatesem by auto
next
assume b: ?rhs
show ?lhs
proof -
obtain k where 1:
  enat k ≤ nlength σ ∧ w (NNil (nnth σ k)) ∧
  (LIFT(wnext (□ (init (¬ w))))) (ndropn k σ) ∧ (∀ j < k. ¬ w (NNil (nnth σ j)))
  using b by auto
have 2: enat k ≤ nlength σ ∧ w (NNil (nnth σ k)) ∧ (∀ j < k. ¬ w (NNil (nnth σ j))) ∧
  (∀ j. enat j ≤ nlength σ → k < j → ¬ w (NNil (nnth σ j)))
  using 1 wnextboxnotstatesem[of k σ w]
proof -
have ∀ x0. (x0 < k → ¬ w (NNil (nnth σ x0))) = (¬ x0 < k ∨ ¬ w (NNil (nnth σ x0)))
  by meson
then have f1: enat k ≤ nlength σ ∧ w (NNil (nnth σ k)) ∧
  (LIFT(wnext (□ (init (¬ w))))) (ndropn k σ) ∧ (∀ n. ¬ n < k ∨ ¬ w (NNil (nnth σ n)))
  by (metis `enat k ≤ nlength σ ∧ w (NNil (nnth σ k)) ∧
  (LIFT(wnext (□ (init (¬ w))))) (ndropn k σ) ∧ (¬ j < k. ¬ w (NNil (nnth σ j)))))
have (enat k ≤ nlength σ → (∀ n. enat n ≤ nlength σ ∧ k < n → ¬ w (NNil (nnth σ n))) =
  (LIFT(wnext (□ (init (¬ w))))) (ndropn k σ)) =
  (¬ enat k ≤ nlength σ ∨ (∀ n. (¬ enat n ≤ nlength σ ∨ ¬ k < n) ∨ ¬ w (NNil (nnth σ n))) =
  (LIFT(wnext (□ (init (¬ w))))) (ndropn k σ))
  by blast
then have ¬ enat k ≤ nlength σ ∨ (∀ n. (¬ enat n ≤ nlength σ ∨ ¬ k < n) ∨ ¬ w (NNil (nnth σ
n))) =
  (LIFT(wnext (□ (init (¬ w))))) (ndropn k σ)
  by (metis `enat k ≤ nlength σ ⇒ (∀ j. enat j ≤ nlength σ → k < j → ¬ w (NNil (nnth σ j))) =
  (LIFT(wnext (□ (init (¬ w))))) (ndropn k σ))
=
  (LIFT(wnext (□ (init (¬ w))))) (ndropn k σ))
then show ?thesis using f1 by presburger
qed
show ?thesis using 2 by blast
qed
qed
have 4: (∃ k ≤ nlength σ.
  w (NNil (nnth σ k)) ∧
  (LIFT(wnext (□ (init (¬ w))))) (ndropn k σ) ∧
  (∀ j < k. ¬ w (NNil (nnth σ j)))) =
  (σ ⊨ (init (¬ w)) U ((init w) ∧ wnext (□ (init (¬ w)))))
  by (simp add: NotStateUntilStateAndsem)
from 1 2 3 4 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma PiEmpty:
  ⊢ (init w) Π empty = (init (¬ w)) U ((init w) ∧ wnext (□ (init (¬ w))))
  using PiEmptysem Valid-def by blast

```

lemma *StatePiBoxStatesem*:

$$\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \amalg f = (\text{init } w) \amalg (f \wedge \square (\text{init } w))$$

proof –

have 1: $(\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \amalg f) =$
 $((\exists x \in nset \sigma. w (\text{NNil } x)) \wedge ((\text{nfilter} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma) \models f))$
by (metis *Pistate*)

have 2: $(\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \amalg (f \wedge \square (\text{init } w))) =$
 $((\exists x \in nset \sigma. w (\text{NNil } x)) \wedge ((\text{nfilter} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma) \models f \wedge \square (\text{init } w)))$
by (metis *Pistate*)

have 3: $((\text{nfilter} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma) \models f \wedge \square (\text{init } w))$
 $= (f (\text{nfilter} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall n \leq nlength (\text{nfilter} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma). w (\text{NNil } (\text{nnth} (\text{nfilter} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma) n))))$
by (simp add: *itl-defs* *ndropn-nfirst*)

have 4: $((\exists x \in nset \sigma. w (\text{NNil } x)) \wedge (f (\text{nfilter} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall n \leq nlength (\text{nfilter} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma). w (\text{NNil } (\text{nnth} (\text{nfilter} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma) n)))))) =$
 $((\exists x \in nset \sigma. w (\text{NNil } x)) \wedge f (\text{nfilter} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma))$
by (meson *nkfilter-nnth-aa*)

show ?thesis **using** 1 2 3 4 **by** auto

qed

lemma *StatePiBoxState*:

$$\vdash (\text{init } w) \amalg f = (\text{init } w) \amalg (f \wedge \square (\text{init } w))$$

using *StatePiBoxStatesem Valid-def* **by** blast

lemma *StatePiUntil1*:

$$\vdash ((\text{init } (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg f)) =$$

$$(wprev (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg f)$$

using *StateUntilEqvWPrevChop* **by** blast

lemma *StatePiUntilsem2*:

$$(\sigma \models (wprev (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg f)) =$$

$$(\sigma \models ((wprev (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg f))$$

by (auto simp add: *schop-defs* *init-defs* *empty-defs* *zero-enat-def* *ndropn-nfirst*)
(basic (no-types, lifting) add.right-neutral dual-order.refl enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same
min.orderE min.orderE nfinite-ntaken nnth-nlast ntaken-nlast ntaken-nlength the-enat.simps
zero-enat-def)

lemma *StatePiUntil2*:

$$\vdash (wprev (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg f) =$$

$$((wprev (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg f))$$

by (simp add: *StatePiUntilsem2 Valid-def*)

lemma *StatePiUntil3*:

$$\vdash ((wprev (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})) =$$

$$(((\text{init } (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wnext} (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}))$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (wprev (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) =$
 $((\text{init } (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}))$
by (meson *Prop11 StateUntilEqvWPrevChop*)

have 2: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) = ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wnext}(\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})$
by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs zero-enat-def ndropn-nfirst)
 $\quad (\text{metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst},$
 $\quad \text{metis add.right-neutral add-diff-inverse-nat enat.simps(3) enat-add-sub-same enat-ord-simps(2)}$
 $\quad \text{iless-Suc-eq less-eqE min.absorb2 min-def ntaken-all one-eSuc one-enat-def order-refl}$
 $\quad \text{plus-1-eq-Suc zero-enat-def zero-le})$
show ?thesis **by** (metis 1 2 UntilChopDist inteq-reflection)
qed

lemma StatePiUntilsem4:
 $\sigma \models ((\text{init } (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wnext}(\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) =$
 $\quad (\sigma \models ((\text{init } w) \amalg \text{empty}) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}))$
by (metis PiEmpty inteq-reflection)

lemma StatePiUntil4:
 $\vdash ((\text{init } (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wnext}(\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty}) =$
 $\quad ((\text{init } w) \amalg \text{empty}) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})$
by (simp add: StatePiUntilsem4 Valid-def)

lemma StatePiUntilsem:
 $\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \amalg f = (\text{init } (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg f)$
proof –
have 2: $(\sigma \models (\text{init } (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg f)) =$
 $\quad (\sigma \models (\text{wprev}(\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg f))$
using StateUntilEqvWPrevChopsem[of LIFT($\neg w$) LIFT($(\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg f$) σ]
by simp
have 7: $(\sigma \models (\text{wprev}(\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg f)) =$
 $\quad (\sigma \models (((\text{init } w) \amalg \text{empty}) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg f))$
by (metis PiEmpty StatePiUntil2 StatePiUntil3 inteq-reflection)
have 8: $(\sigma \models (((\text{init } w) \amalg \text{empty}) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{empty})) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg f)) =$
 $\quad (\sigma \models (((\text{init } w) \amalg \text{empty}) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg f))$
by (auto simp add: schop-defs init-defs empty-defs zero-enat-def ndropn-nfirst)
 $\quad (\text{metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) enat-0-iff(2) min.absorb-iff1 ndropn-all ndropn-nlength}$
 $\quad \text{nle-le nlength-NNil ntaken-nlast ntaken-nlength ntaken-ntaken})$
have 9: $(\sigma \models (((\text{init } w) \amalg \text{empty}) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg f)) =$
 $\quad (\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{empty} \sim f))$
using PiSChopDistsema PiSChopDistsemb **by** blast
have 10: $(\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{empty} \sim f)) = (\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \amalg f)$
by (metis EmptySChop inteq-reflection)
show ?thesis
by (simp add: 10 2 7 8 9)
qed

lemma StatePiUntil:
 $\vdash (\text{init } w) \amalg f = (\text{init } (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg f)$
using StatePiUntilsem **by** blast

lemma StateAndPiEmpty:
 $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg \text{empty}) = (w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim (\text{wnext}(\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))$
proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}) =$   

 $\quad ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))))$   

using PiEmpty by fastforce  

have 2:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))))$   

 $\quad = ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))))$   

by (auto simp add: until-d-def Valid-def init-defs ndropn-nfirst)  

  (metis ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst neq0-conv,  

   metis gr-implies-not-zero ndropn-0 ndropn-nfirst zero-enat-def zero-le)  

have 3:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))))) = (w \wedge \text{empty}) \frown (\text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))))$   

proof –  

have  $\bigwedge p \text{ pa. } \vdash ((p :: 'a nellist \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \wedge \text{empty}) \frown \text{pa} = (\text{init } p \wedge \text{pa})$   

  by (metis InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty StateAndEmptySChop inteq-reflection)  

then show ?thesis  

  by (simp add: Prop11)  

qed  

show ?thesis  

  by (metis 1 2 3 inteq-reflection)  

qed

```

lemma *PiFPowerExpandsem*:

$$(\sigma \models (\exists k. (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f k))) =$$

$$(\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty} \vee (\exists k. (\text{init } w) \Pi (f \frown (\text{fpower } f (k)))))$$

proof –

have 1: $(\sigma \models (\exists k. (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f k))) =$
 $\quad (\exists k. (\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f k)))$
by simp

have 2: $(\exists k. (\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f k))) =$
 $\quad ((\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f 0)) \vee (\exists k. 1 \leq k \wedge (\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f (k)))))$
by (metis One-nat-def diff-Suc-1 le-SucE le-add1 plus-1-eq-Suc)

have 3: $(\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f 0)) = (\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})$
by (simp add: itl-def fpower-d-def)

have 4: $(\exists k. 1 \leq k \wedge (\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f (k)))) =$
 $\quad (\exists k. (\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f (\text{Suc } k))))$
by (metis le-add1 ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse plus-1-eq-Suc)

have 5: $(\exists k. (\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f (\text{Suc } k)))) =$
 $\quad (\sigma \models (\exists k. (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f (\text{Suc } k))))$
by simp

have 6: $((\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}) \vee (\sigma \models (\exists k. (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f (\text{Suc } k))))) =$
 $\quad (\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty} \vee (\exists k. (\text{init } w) \Pi (f \frown \text{fpower } f (k))))$
unfolding fpower-d-def **by** (auto simp add: schop-d-def)
show ?thesis **using** 1 2 3 4 5 6 **by** blast
qed

lemma *PiFPowerExpandsem1*:

$$\forall \sigma. \sigma \models (\exists k. (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f k)) =$$

$$((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty} \vee (\exists k. (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f (\text{Suc } k))))$$

proof

fix σ

show $\sigma \models (\exists k. (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f k)) =$
 $\quad ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty} \vee (\exists k. (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f (\text{Suc } k))))$

```

proof -
have 1:  $(\sigma \models (\exists k. (init w) \Pi (fpower f k)) =$ 
 $((init w) \Pi empty \vee (\exists k. (init w) \Pi (fpower f (Suc k)))) )$ 
 $= (\sigma \models (\exists k. (init w) \Pi (fpower f k))) =$ 
 $(\sigma \models (init w) \Pi empty \vee (\exists k. (init w) \Pi (fpower f (Suc k)))) )$ 

```

```

by auto
have 2:  $(\sigma \models (\exists k. (init w) \Pi (fpower f k))) =$ 
 $(\sigma \models (init w) \Pi empty \vee (\exists k. (init w) \Pi (fpower f (Suc k)))) )$ 
using PiFPowerExpandsem[of w f σ]
unfolding fpower-d-def by (auto simp add: schop-d-def)
show ?thesis using 1 2 by blast
qed
qed

```

```

lemma PiFPowerExpand:
 $\vdash (\exists k. (init w) \Pi (fpower f k)) =$ 
 $((init w) \Pi empty \vee (\exists k. (init w) \Pi (fpower f (Suc k)))) )$ 
using PiFPowerExpandsem1[of w f ] by (auto simp add: Valid-def PiFPowerExpandsem1)

```

```

lemma exists-expand-sem:
 $(\sigma \models (\exists k. (fpower ((init w) \Pi f \wedge fin w) k))) =$ 
 $((\sigma \models (fpower ((init w) \Pi f \wedge fin w) 0)) \vee$ 
 $(\sigma \models (\exists k. (fpower ((init w) \Pi f \wedge fin w) (Suc k)))) )$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) not0-implies-Suc unl-Rex)

```

```

lemma exists-expand:
 $\vdash (\exists k. (fpower ((init w) \Pi f \wedge fin w) k)) =$ 
 $((fpower ((init w) \Pi f \wedge fin w) 0) \vee (\exists k. (fpower ((init w) \Pi f \wedge fin w) (Suc k)))) )$ 
using exists-expand-sem Valid-def by fastforce

```

13.3.16 TruePiEqv

```

lemma TruePiEqvsem:
 $\sigma \models \#True \Pi f = f$ 
by (simp add: pi-d-def pifilt-true) (metis zero-enat-def zero-le)

```

```

lemma TruePiEqv:
 $\vdash (\#True) \Pi f = f$ 
using TruePiEqvsem by (auto simp add: Valid-def)

```

13.3.17 BoxImpEqvPi

```

lemma BoxImpEqvPi:
 $\vdash \Box f \longrightarrow g = f \Pi g$ 
proof (simp add: Valid-def itl-defs pi-d-def pifilt-def sfxfilt-def )
show  $\forall w. (\forall n. enat n \leq nlength w \longrightarrow f (ndropn n w)) \longrightarrow$ 
 $g w = ((\exists i. enat i \leq nlength w \wedge f (ndropn i w)) \wedge$ 
 $g (nmap (\lambda s. nnth s 0) (nfilter f (ndropns w))))$ 
proof
fix w

```

```

show ( $\forall n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } w \longrightarrow f(\text{ndropn } n w)) \longrightarrow$ 
 $g w = ((\exists i. \text{enat } i \leq \text{nlength } w \wedge f(\text{ndropn } i w)) \wedge$ 
 $g(\text{nmap } (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0) (\text{nfilter } f(\text{ndropns } w))))$ 
proof
assume a0:  $\forall n. \text{enat } n \leq \text{nlength } w \longrightarrow f(\text{ndropn } n w)$ 
show g w = (( $\exists i. \text{enat } i \leq \text{nlength } w \wedge f(\text{ndropn } i w)) \wedge$ 
 $g(\text{nmap } (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0) (\text{nfilter } f(\text{ndropns } w))))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $(\text{nfilter } f(\text{ndropns } w)) = (\text{ndropns } w)$ 
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) a0 ile0_eq in-nset-ndropns le-cases nfilter-id-conv
zero-enat-def)
have 2:  $w = (\text{nmap } (\lambda s. \text{nnth } s 0) (\text{nfilter } f(\text{ndropns } w)))$ 
by (simp add: 1 nmap-first-ndropns)
show ?thesis by (metis 1 a0 nmap-first-ndropns zero-enat-def zero-le)
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

13.3.18 PiEqvDiamondUPi

```

lemma PiEqvDiamondUPi:
 $\vdash f \Pi g = (\Diamond f \wedge f \Pi^u g)$ 
by (simp add: Valid-def upi-d-def itl-defs pi-d-def,blast)

```

13.3.19 PiEqvUntilPi

```

lemma PiEqvUntilPi:
 $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi g = (\text{init } (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ((\text{init } w) \Pi g)$ 
by (metis StatePiUntil UntilUntil int-eq)

```

13.3.20 UPiEqvBoxOrPi

```

lemma UPiEqvBoxOrPi:
 $\vdash f \Pi^u g = (\Box (\neg f) \vee f \Pi g)$ 
by (simp add: Valid-def upi-d-def itl-defs pi-d-def,blast)

```

13.4 Theorems

```

lemma UPiImpRule:
assumes  $\vdash g1 \longrightarrow g2$ 
shows  $\vdash f \Pi^u g1 \longrightarrow f \Pi^u g2$ 
using assms
by (meson MP PiK PiN)

```

```

lemma UPiEqvRule:
assumes  $\vdash g1 = g2$ 
shows  $\vdash f \Pi^u g1 = f \Pi^u g2$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash g1 \longrightarrow g2$ 
using assms by (simp add: int-iffD1)

```

```

have 2:  $\vdash f \Pi^u g1 \longrightarrow f \Pi^u g2$ 
  using 1 UPiImpRule by blast
have 3:  $\vdash g2 \longrightarrow g1$ 
  using assms by (simp add: int-iffD2)
have 4:  $\vdash f \Pi^u g2 \longrightarrow f \Pi^u g1$ 
  using 3 UPiImpRule by blast
from 3 4 show ?thesis
  by (simp add: 2 int-iffI)
qed

```

```

lemma PiEqvNotUPiNot:
 $\vdash f \Pi g = (\neg(f \Pi^u (\neg g)))$ 
by (simp add: upi-d-def)

```

```

lemma NotPiEqvNotUPi:
 $\vdash f \Pi (\neg g) = (\neg(f \Pi^u g))$ 
by (simp add: upi-d-def)

```

```

lemma UPiEqvNotPiNot:
 $\vdash f \Pi^u g = (\neg(f \Pi (\neg g)))$ 
by (simp add: upi-d-def)

```

```

lemma NotUPiEqvNotPi:
 $\vdash f \Pi^u (\neg g) = (\neg(f \Pi g))$ 
by (simp add: upi-d-def)

```

```

lemma PiImpRule:
assumes  $\vdash g1 \longrightarrow g2$ 
shows  $\vdash f \Pi g1 \longrightarrow f \Pi g2$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \neg g2 \longrightarrow \neg g1$ 
  by (simp add: assms)
have 2:  $\vdash f \Pi^u (\neg g2) \longrightarrow f \Pi^u (\neg g1)$ 
  using 1 UPiImpRule by blast
have 3:  $\vdash \neg(f \Pi^u (\neg g1)) \longrightarrow \neg(f \Pi^u (\neg g2))$ 
  using 2 by fastforce
from 3 show ?thesis using PiEqvNotUPiNot by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma PiEqvRule:
assumes  $\vdash g1 = g2$ 
shows  $\vdash f \Pi g1 = f \Pi g2$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash g1 \longrightarrow g2$ 
  using assms by (simp add: int-iffD1)
have 2:  $\vdash f \Pi g1 \longrightarrow f \Pi g2$ 
  using 1 PiImpRule by blast
have 3:  $\vdash g2 \longrightarrow g1$ 
  using assms by (simp add: int-iffD2)
have 4:  $\vdash f \Pi g2 \longrightarrow f \Pi g1$ 

```

```

using 3 PiImpRule by blast
from 2 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: int-iffI)
qed

```

```

lemma UPiAndPiImpPiAnd:
  ⊢ f1 Πu f ∧ f1 Π (¬ g) → f1 Π (f ∧ ¬g)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ (¬(f → g)) = (f ∧ ¬g)
    by fastforce
  have 2: ⊢ (¬(f1 Πu (f → g))) = f1 Π (¬(f → g))
    by (simp add: NotPiEqvNotUPi int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI)
  have 3: ⊢ ¬(f1 Πu f → f1 Πu g) → ¬(f1 Πu (f → g))
    by (simp add: PiK)
  have 4: ⊢ (¬(f1 Πu f → f1 Πu g)) = (f1 Πu f ∧ f1 Π (¬ g))
    using NotPiEqvNotUPi[of f1 g] by fastforce
  have 5: ⊢ f1 Π (¬(f → g)) = f1 Π (f ∧ ¬g)
    using 1 by (simp add: PiEqvRule)
  from 1 2 3 4 5 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma UPiAndPiImpPiAndA:
  ⊢ f1 Πu f ∧ f1 Π g → f1 Π (f ∧ g)
using UPiAndPiImpPiAnd[of f1 f LIFT(¬g)] by fastforce

```

```

lemma PiAndPiImpPiAnd:
  ⊢ f1 Π f ∧ f1 Π g → f1 Π (f ∧ g)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ f1 Πu f ∧ f1 Π g → f1 Π (f ∧ g)
    using UPiAndPiImpPiAndA by fastforce
  have 2: ⊢ f1 Π f → f1 Πu f
    using PiDc by blast
  from 1 2 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma PiAnd:
  ⊢ f Π (g1 ∧ g2) = (f Π g1 ∧ f Π g2)
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ f Π (g1 ∧ g2) → f Π g1
    by (meson PiImpRule Prop12 int-iffD1 lift-and-com)
  have 2: ⊢ f Π (g1 ∧ g2) → f Π g2
    by (meson PiImpRule Prop12 int-iffD1 lift-and-com)
  have 3: ⊢ f Π (g1 ∧ g2) → f Π g1 ∧ f Π g2
    using 1 2 by fastforce
  have 4: ⊢ f Π g1 ∧ f Π g2 → f Π (g1 ∧ g2)
    by (simp add: PiAndPiImpPiAnd)
  from 3 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma UPiAnd:
  ⊢ f Πu (g1 ∧ g2) = (f Πu g1 ∧ f Πu g2)

```

proof –

```
have 1:  $\vdash f \Pi (\neg g1 \vee \neg g2) = (f \Pi (\neg g1) \vee f \Pi (\neg g2))$ 
  by (simp add: PiOr)
have 2:  $\vdash (\neg(f \Pi (\neg g1 \vee \neg g2))) = (\neg(f \Pi (\neg g1)) \vee f \Pi (\neg g2))$ 
  using 1 by fastforce
have 3:  $\vdash (\neg(f \Pi (\neg g1 \vee \neg g2))) = f \Pi^u (\neg(\neg g1 \vee \neg g2))$ 
  by (meson NotUPiEqvNotPi Prop11)
have 4:  $\vdash (\neg(\neg g1 \vee \neg g2)) = (g1 \wedge g2)$ 
  by fastforce
have 5:  $\vdash f \Pi^u (\neg(\neg g1 \vee \neg g2)) = f \Pi^u (g1 \wedge g2)$ 
  using 4 by (simp add: UPiEqvRule)
have 6:  $\vdash (\neg(f \Pi (\neg g1)) \vee f \Pi (\neg g2)) = (\neg(f \Pi (\neg g1)) \wedge \neg(f \Pi (\neg g2)))$ 
  by fastforce
have 7:  $\vdash \neg(f \Pi (\neg g1)) = f \Pi^u g1$ 
  by (simp add: NotPiEqvNotUPi)
have 8:  $\vdash \neg(f \Pi (\neg g2)) = f \Pi^u g2$ 
  by (simp add: NotPiEqvNotUPi)
have 9:  $\vdash (\neg(f \Pi (\neg g1)) \wedge \neg(f \Pi (\neg g2))) = (f \Pi^u g1 \wedge f \Pi^u g2)$ 
  using 6 7 8 by fastforce
show ?thesis by (metis 2 3 5 6 9 inteq-reflection)
qed
```

lemma UPiOr:

```
 $\vdash f \Pi^u (g1 \vee g2) = (f \Pi^u g1 \vee f \Pi^u g2)$ 
proof –
have 1:  $\vdash f \Pi (\neg g1 \wedge \neg g2) = (f \Pi (\neg g1) \wedge f \Pi (\neg g2))$ 
  by (simp add: PiAnd)
have 2:  $\vdash (\neg(f \Pi (\neg g1 \wedge \neg g2))) = (\neg(f \Pi (\neg g1)) \wedge f \Pi (\neg g2))$ 
  using 1 by fastforce
have 3:  $\vdash (\neg(f \Pi (\neg g1 \wedge \neg g2))) = f \Pi^u (\neg(\neg g1 \wedge \neg g2))$ 
  by (meson NotUPiEqvNotPi Prop11)
have 4:  $\vdash (\neg(\neg g1 \wedge \neg g2)) = (g1 \vee g2)$ 
  by fastforce
have 5:  $\vdash f \Pi^u (\neg(\neg g1 \wedge \neg g2)) = f \Pi^u (g1 \vee g2)$ 
  using 4 UPiEqvRule by blast
have 6:  $\vdash (\neg(f \Pi (\neg g1)) \wedge f \Pi (\neg g2)) = (\neg(f \Pi (\neg g1)) \vee \neg(f \Pi (\neg g2)))$ 
  by fastforce
have 7:  $\vdash (\neg(f \Pi (\neg g1))) = f \Pi^u g1$ 
  by (simp add: upi-d-def)
have 8:  $\vdash (\neg(f \Pi (\neg g2))) = f \Pi^u g2$ 
  by (simp add: upi-d-def)
have 9:  $\vdash (\neg(f \Pi (\neg g1)) \vee \neg(f \Pi (\neg g2))) = (f \Pi^u g1 \vee f \Pi^u g2)$ 
  using 7 8 by fastforce
show ?thesis
by (metis 2 3 4 6 9 inteq-reflection )
qed
```

lemma UpiAndImp:

```
 $\vdash f \Pi^u (g1 \longrightarrow g2) \wedge f \Pi g1 \longrightarrow f \Pi g2$ 
```

proof –

```
have 2:  $\vdash f \Pi^u ((\neg g2) \rightarrow (\neg g1)) \rightarrow (f \Pi^u (\neg g2) \rightarrow f \Pi^u (\neg g1))$ 
  using PiK by blast
have 3:  $\vdash (f \Pi^u (\neg g2) \rightarrow f \Pi^u (\neg g1)) = ((\neg (f \Pi^u (\neg g1))) \rightarrow (\neg (f \Pi^u (\neg g2))))$ 
  by auto
have 4:  $\vdash (\neg (f \Pi^u (\neg g2))) = f \Pi^u g2$ 
  by (simp add: upi-d-def)
have 5:  $\vdash (\neg (f \Pi^u (\neg g1))) = f \Pi^u g1$ 
  by (simp add: upi-d-def)
have 6:  $\vdash f \Pi^u ((\neg g2) \rightarrow (\neg g1)) = f \Pi^u (g1 \rightarrow g2)$ 
  by simp
have 7:  $\vdash (f \Pi^u (g1 \rightarrow g2) \wedge f \Pi^u g1 \rightarrow f \Pi^u g2) =$ 
   $(f \Pi^u (g1 \rightarrow g2) \rightarrow (f \Pi^u g1 \rightarrow f \Pi^u g2))$ 
  by auto
show ?thesis
  using 2 4 5 by fastforce
qed
```

lemma BoxImpUPiBox:

```
 $\vdash \square (init w) \rightarrow f \Pi^u (\square (init w))$ 
```

proof –

```
have 1:  $\vdash f \Pi (\diamond (init (\neg w))) \rightarrow \diamond (init (\neg w))$ 
  by (simp add: PiDiamondImp)
have 2:  $\vdash \neg \diamond (init (\neg w)) \rightarrow \neg (f \Pi (\diamond (init (\neg w))))$ 
  using 1 by auto
have 3:  $\vdash (\neg \diamond (init (\neg w))) = \square (init w)$ 
  by (metis 2 Initprop(2) Prop10 always-d-def inteq-reflection)
have 4:  $\vdash (\neg (f \Pi (\diamond (init (\neg w))))) = f \Pi^u (\square (init w))$ 
  by (simp add: upi-d-def)
  (metis 3 int-simps(4) inteq-reflection)
show ?thesis
using 2 3 4 by fastforce
qed
```

lemma WPrevPi:

```
 $\vdash (init w) \amalg f = (wprev (\square (init (\neg w)))) \sim ((init w) \wedge (init w) \amalg f)$ 
  using StatePiUntil StatePiUntil1 by fastforce
```

lemma EmptyAndSChopAndMoreEqvAndSChop:

```
 $\vdash (w \wedge empty) \sim (f \wedge more) = ((w \wedge empty) \sim f \wedge more)$ 
```

proof –

```
have 1:  $\vdash (w \wedge empty) \sim (f \wedge more) \rightarrow (w \wedge empty) \sim f$ 
  by (simp add: SChopAndA)
have 2:  $\vdash (w \wedge empty) \sim (f \wedge more) \rightarrow more$ 
  by (meson SChopAndB SChopMoreImpMore lift-imp-trans)
have 3:  $\vdash ((w \wedge empty) \sim f \wedge more) \rightarrow (w \wedge empty) \sim (f \wedge more)$ 
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty Prop11 Prop12 StateAndEmptySChop
    int-simps(1) inteq-reflection)
show ?thesis
  by (simp add: 1 2 3 Prop12 int-iffI)
```

qed

lemma *PiInfImpInf*:
 $\vdash f \amalg \text{inf} \longrightarrow \text{inf}$
unfolding *Valid-def pi-d-def init-defs infinite-defs*
by auto
(*metis enat-ile nfinite-conv-nlength-enat pifilt-nlength-bound*)

lemma *DiamondWPrevBoxSChop*:
 $\vdash \diamond (\text{init } w) = (\text{wprev } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))) \rightsquigarrow (\text{init } w)$
by (*metis Initprop(2) StateUntilEqvWPrevChop UntilRule inteq-reflection*)

lemma *PiChopDist1*:
 $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \amalg (f;g) \vee ((\text{init } w) \amalg (f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{inf})) =$
 $\quad (((\text{init } w) \amalg f);((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg g))$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f;g = (f \rightsquigarrow g \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}))$
 by (*simp add: ChopSChopdef*)
have 2: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \amalg (f;g) = ((\text{init } w) \amalg (f \rightsquigarrow g) \vee (\text{init } w) \amalg (f \wedge \text{inf}))$
 by (*metis 1 PiOr int-eq*)
have 3: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \amalg (f \rightsquigarrow g) = ((\text{init } w) \amalg f) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg g)$
 by (*simp add: PiSChopDist*)
have 4: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \amalg f);((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg g) =$
 $\quad (((\text{init } w) \amalg f) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg g) \vee ((\text{init } w) \amalg f \wedge \text{inf}))$
 by (*simp add: ChopSChopdef*)
have 41: $\vdash f = (f \wedge (\text{finite} \vee \text{inf}))$
 unfolding *finite-d-def* **by** *fastforce*
have 5: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \amalg f = (\text{init } w) \amalg (f \wedge (\text{finite} \vee \text{inf}))$
 by (*simp add: 41 PiEqvRule*)
have 6: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \amalg f \wedge \text{inf}) =$
 $\quad (((\text{init } w) \amalg (f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{inf}) \vee ((\text{init } w) \amalg (f \wedge \text{inf}) \wedge \text{inf}))$
 by (*metis AndInfEqvChopFalse OrChopEqvRule OrFiniteInf PiOr inteq-reflection*)
have 7: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \amalg (f \wedge \text{inf}) \wedge \text{inf}) = ((\text{init } w) \amalg (f \wedge \text{inf}))$
 by (*metis EmptySChop PiImpRule PiInfImpInf Prop01 Prop04 Prop05 Prop10 int-iffD1 lift-imp-trans*)
show ?thesis
using 2 3 4 6 7 **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *PiChopDist2*:
 $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \amalg (f;g)) =$
 $\quad (((\text{init } w) \amalg (f \wedge \text{finite})) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg g) \vee ((\text{init } w) \amalg (f \wedge \text{inf}) \wedge \text{inf}))$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \amalg (f;g) = ((\text{init } w) \amalg (f \rightsquigarrow g) \vee (\text{init } w) \amalg (f \wedge \text{inf}))$
 by (*metis ChopSChopdef PiOr inteq-reflection*)
have 2: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \amalg (f \rightsquigarrow g) = ((\text{init } w) \amalg f) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg g)$

```

by (simp add: PiSChopDist)
have 3:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi f) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi g) =$ 
 $((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi g)$ 
by (simp add: schop-d-def)
have 31:  $\vdash f = (f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (f \wedge \text{inf})$ 
unfolding finite-d-def by fastforce
have 32:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi f = (\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (f \wedge \text{inf})$ 
using 31 PiEqvRule by blast
have 33:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}) = ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{inf}))$ 
by (simp add: PiOr)
have 34:  $\vdash \neg((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{inf}) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
unfolding finite-d-def using PiAnd[of LIFT init w f LIFT inf] PiInfImpInf[of LIFT init w]
by fastforce
have 4:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{finite}) = ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{finite})$ 
using 32 33 34 by fastforce
have 5:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{inf}) = ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{inf}) \wedge \text{inf})$ 
by (metis PiAnd PiInfImpInf Prop10 Prop12 int-eq int-iffD2)
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 2 4 5 int-eq schop-d-def)
qed

```

```

lemma InfEqvNotForallNotLen:
 $\vdash \text{inf} = (\forall n. \neg (\text{len } n))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \text{finite} = (\exists n. \text{len } n)$ 
by (simp add: Finite-exist-len)
have 2:  $\vdash \neg \text{finite} = (\neg(\exists n. \text{len } n))$ 
using 1
by (metis NotEqvYieldsMore int-eq)
have 3:  $\vdash \neg(\exists n. \text{len } n) = (\forall n. \neg (\text{len } n))$ 
by fastforce
show ?thesis using 2 3 by (metis InfEqvNotFinite int-eq)
qed

```

```

lemma PiEx:
 $\vdash f \Pi (\exists n. g n) = (\exists n. f \Pi (g n))$ 
unfolding Valid-def by (auto simp add: pi-d-def)

```

```

lemma PiAll:
 $\vdash f \Pi (\forall n. g n) = (\forall n. f \Pi (g n))$ 
unfolding Valid-def by (auto simp add: pi-d-def)

```

```

lemma PiLenSuc:
 $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{len } (\text{Suc } n)) = ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{skip}) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{len } n))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \text{len } (\text{Suc } n) = \text{skip} \sim (\text{len } n)$ 
by (metis NextSChopdef len-d-def next-d-def wpow-Suc)

```

```

have 2:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{skip} \multimap (\text{len } n)) = ((\text{init } w) \amalg \text{skip}) \multimap ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{len } n))$ 
  by (simp add: PiSChopDist)
show ?thesis by (metis 1 2 int-eq)
qed

```

```

lemma PiImpDiamond:
 $\vdash f \amalg g \longrightarrow \diamond f$ 
by (meson PiEqvDiamondUPi Prop12 int-iffD1)

```

```

lemma PiMPA:
assumes  $\vdash f \amalg g1$ 
 $\vdash f \amalg (g1 \longrightarrow g2)$ 
shows  $\vdash f \amalg g2$ 
using assms
by (meson MP PiDc Prop09 UpiAndImp)

```

```

lemma PiMPB:
assumes  $\vdash f \amalg g1$ 
 $\vdash g1 \longrightarrow g2$ 
shows  $\vdash f \amalg g2$ 
using assms
by (metis MP PiAnd Prop10 Prop12 int-iffD2 inteq-reflection)

```

```

lemma PiMPBC:
assumes  $\vdash f1 \longrightarrow f2 \amalg g1$ 
 $\vdash g1 \longrightarrow g2$ 
shows  $\vdash f1 \longrightarrow f2 \amalg g2$ 
using assms
by (meson PiImpRule lift-imp-trans)

```

```

lemma SlideInitSFin:
 $\vdash f \multimap ((\text{init } w) \wedge g) = (f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \multimap g$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \text{sfin } (\text{init } w) = \text{sfin } (w)$ 
  by (metis InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty int-eq)
show ?thesis
  by (metis 1 AndSFinSChopEqvStateAndSChop inteq-reflection)
qed

```

```

lemma UPiSChop:
 $\vdash (\text{init } w) \amalg^u (f \multimap g) = (\square(\text{init } (\neg w)) \vee ((\text{init } w) \amalg f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \multimap ((\text{init } w) \amalg g))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \amalg^u (f \multimap g) = (\square(\text{init } (\neg w)) \vee (\text{init } w) \amalg (f \multimap g))$ 
  by (metis Initprop(2) UPiEqvBoxOrPi int-eq)

```

```

have 2:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (f \rightsquigarrow g) = ((\text{init } w) \Pi f) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi g)$ 
  by (simp add: PiSChopDist)
have 3:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi f) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi g) =$ 
   $((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi g)$ 
  by (simp add: SlideInitSFin)
show ?thesis
using 1 2 3 by (meson Prop06)
qed

```

```

lemma PiUPiSChop:
 $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi g) = ((\text{init } w) \Pi^u f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi^u g)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi f) = (\Diamond (\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi^u f)$ 
  by (simp add: PiEqvDiamondUPi)
have 2:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) = (\Diamond (\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi^u f \wedge \text{sfin } w)$ 
  using 1 by auto
have 3:  $\vdash (\Diamond (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{sfin } w) = \text{sfin } w$ 
  by (metis InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty Prop10 SChopAndA SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty TrueSChopEqvDiamond
    inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
have 4:  $\vdash (\Diamond (\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi^u f \wedge \text{sfin } w) = ((\text{init } w) \Pi^u f \wedge \text{sfin } w)$ 
  using 3 by auto
have 5:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi g) = (\Diamond (\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi^u g)$ 
  by (simp add: PiEqvDiamondUPi)
have 6:  $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi g) = (\text{init } w \wedge \Diamond (\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi^u g)$ 
  using 5 by auto
have 7:  $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge \Diamond (\text{init } w)) = \text{init } w$ 
  by (meson NowImpDiamond Prop10 Prop11)
have 8:  $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge \Diamond (\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi^u g) = (\text{init } w \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi^u g)$ 
  using 7 by auto
show ?thesis
by (metis 2 4 6 8 SlideInitSFin inteq-reflection)
qed

```

```

lemma PiFiniteAbsorb:
 $\vdash (g \Pi (f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{finite}) = (g \Pi f \wedge \text{finite})$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (g \Pi (f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow (g \Pi f \wedge \text{finite})$ 
  by (metis FiniteImp PiAnd PiAndPiImpPiAnd Prop01 Prop05 Prop12 inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
have 31:  $\vdash f = (f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (f \wedge \text{inf})$ 
  unfolding finite-d-def by fastforce
have 32:  $\vdash g \Pi f = g \Pi ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (f \wedge \text{inf}))$ 
  using 31 PiEqvRule by blast
have 33:  $\vdash g \Pi ((f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee (f \wedge \text{inf})) = (g \Pi (f \wedge \text{finite}) \vee g \Pi (f \wedge \text{inf}))$ 
  by (simp add: PiOr)
have 34:  $\vdash \neg(g \Pi (f \wedge \text{inf}) \wedge \text{finite})$ 

```

unfolding *finite-d-def* **using** *PiAnd*[*of g f LIFT inf*] *PiInfImpInf*[*of g*]
by *fastforce*
have 4: $\vdash (g \Pi f \wedge \text{finite}) = (g \Pi (f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{finite})$
using 32 33 34 **by** *fastforce*
have 2: $\vdash (g \Pi f \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow (g \Pi (f \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{finite})$
by (*simp add: 4 int-iffD1*)
show ?*thesis* **using** 1 2 **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *PiInfAbsorb*:
 $\vdash (g \Pi (f \wedge \text{inf}) \wedge \text{inf}) = (g \Pi (f \wedge \text{inf}))$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (g \Pi (f \wedge \text{inf}) \wedge \text{inf}) \longrightarrow (g \Pi (f \wedge \text{inf}))$
by *fastforce*
have 2: $\vdash (g \Pi (f \wedge \text{inf})) \longrightarrow (g \Pi (f \wedge \text{inf}) \wedge \text{inf})$
by (*metis PiAnd PiInfImpInf Prop10 Prop12 int-iffD1 lift-imp-trans*)
show ?*thesis* **using** 1 2 **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *PiMoreImpMore*:
 $\vdash (g \Pi \text{more}) \longrightarrow \text{more}$
unfolding *Valid-def pi-d-def itl-defs pifilt-def sfxfilt-def*
by *auto*
(*metis enat-min-eq-0-iff length-nfilter-le min-def ndropns-nlength*)

lemma *PiMoreAbsorb*:
 $\vdash (g \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{more}) = (g \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}))$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (g \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{more}) \longrightarrow (g \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}))$
by *auto*
have 2: $\vdash (g \Pi (f \wedge \text{more})) \longrightarrow (g \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{more})$
by (*metis PiMoreImpMore PiAnd Prop10 Prop12 int-iffD1 inteq-reflection*)
show ?*thesis* **using** 1 2 **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *EmptyImpPiEmpty*:
 $\vdash (g \Pi f \wedge \text{empty}) \longrightarrow (g \Pi \text{empty})$
unfolding *Valid-def pi-d-def itl-defs pifilt-def sfxfilt-def*
by *auto*
(*metis ile0-eq length-nfilter-le ndropns-nlength*)

lemma *PiEmptyAbsorb*:
 $\vdash (g \Pi (f \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{empty}) = (g \Pi f \wedge \text{empty})$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (g \Pi (f \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{empty}) \longrightarrow (g \Pi f \wedge \text{empty})$
using *PiAnd* **by** *fastforce*

```

have 2:  $\vdash (g \Pi f \wedge empty) \longrightarrow (g \Pi (f \wedge empty) \wedge empty)$ 
  using EmptyImpPiEmpty PiAnd by fastforce
show ?thesis using 1 2 by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma SlideInitSFinVar1:
 $\vdash (init w) \Pi (((F \wedge more) \wedge finite); X) =$ 
 $(((init w) \Pi (F \wedge more) \wedge sfin w) \wedge finite); ((init w) \Pi X)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (init w) \Pi (((F \wedge more) \wedge finite); X) =$ 
 $((init w) \Pi (F \wedge more) \wedge finite); ((init w) \wedge (init w) \Pi X)$ 
  by (metis PiSChopDist schop-d-def)
have 2:  $\vdash ((init w) \Pi (F \wedge more) \wedge finite); ((init w) \wedge (init w) \Pi X) =$ 
 $(((init w) \Pi (F \wedge more) \wedge sfin w) \wedge finite); ((init w) \Pi X)$ 
using SlideInitSFin[of LIFT (init w) \Pi (F \wedge more) w LIFT (init w) \Pi X] unfolding schop-d-def
  by blast
show ?thesis using 1 2 by (metis int-eq)
qed

```

```

lemma UPiAbsorp:
 $\vdash (((init w) \Pi (F \wedge more) \wedge sfin w) \wedge finite); ((init w) \Pi^u X) =$ 
 $(((init w) \Pi (F \wedge more) \wedge sfin w) \wedge finite); ((init w) \Pi X)$ 
proof -
have 0:  $\vdash ((init w) \Pi^u X) = (\square (init (\neg w)) \vee (init w) \Pi X)$ 
  by (metis Initprop(2) UPiEqvBoxOrPi inteq-reflection)
have 1:  $\vdash (((init w) \Pi (F \wedge more) \wedge sfin w) \wedge finite); ((init w) \Pi^u X) =$ 
 $(((init w) \Pi (F \wedge more) \wedge sfin w) \wedge finite); (\square (init (\neg w)) \vee (init w) \Pi X)$ 
  using 0 RightChopEqvChop by blast
have 2:  $\vdash (((init w) \Pi (F \wedge more) \wedge sfin w) \wedge finite); (\square (init (\neg w)) \vee (init w) \Pi X) =$ 
 $(((init w) \Pi (F \wedge more)) \wedge finite); ((init w) \wedge (\square (init (\neg w)) \vee (init w) \Pi X))$ 
using SlideInitSFin[of LIFT (init w) \Pi (F \wedge more) w LIFT (\square (init (\neg w)) \vee (init w) \Pi X) ]
  unfolding schop-d-def
  by (metis inteq-reflection)
have 3:  $\vdash ((init w) \wedge (\square (init (\neg w)) \vee (init w) \Pi X)) =$ 
 $((init w) \wedge (\square (init (\neg w)) \vee (init w) \Pi X))$ 
  using BoxElim Initprop(2) by fastforce
have 4:  $\vdash (((init w) \Pi (F \wedge more)) \wedge finite); ((init w) \wedge (\square (init (\neg w)) \vee (init w) \Pi X)) =$ 
 $(((init w) \Pi (F \wedge more)) \wedge finite); ((init w) \wedge (init w) \Pi X)$ 
  using 3 RightChopEqvChop by blast
have 5:  $\vdash (((init w) \Pi (F \wedge more)) \wedge finite); ((init w) \wedge (init w) \Pi X) =$ 
 $(((init w) \Pi (F \wedge more) \wedge sfin w) \wedge finite); ((init w) \Pi X)$ 
using SlideInitSFin[of LIFT ((init w) \Pi (F \wedge more)) w LIFT (init w) \Pi X]
  unfolding schop-d-def
  by auto
show ?thesis
  by (metis 1 2 4 5 inteq-reflection)

```

qed

lemma *PiStateFinite*:

$$\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{finite} = \diamond ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{wnext} (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))))$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{finite} = (\text{init } w) \Pi (\# \text{True} \sim \text{empty})$

by (metis DiamondEmptyEqvFinite DiamondSChopdef PiEqvRule int-eq)

have 2: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (\# \text{True} \sim \text{empty}) = ((\text{init } w) \Pi \# \text{True}) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})$

by (simp add: PiSChopDist)

have 3: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}) = ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wnext} (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))$

by (metis InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty StateAndEmptySChop StateAndPiEmpty inteq-reflection)

have 4: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi \# \text{True} = \diamond (\text{init } w)$

by (simp add: PiTrueEqvDiamond)

have 5: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi \# \text{True}) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}) =$

$$(\diamond (\text{init } w)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wnext} (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))$$

by (simp add: 3 4 SChopEqvSChop)

have 6: $\vdash (\diamond (\text{init } w)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wnext} (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))) =$

$$(\diamond (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \sim (\text{wnext} (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))$$

by (simp add: SlideInitSFin)

have 7: $\vdash (\diamond (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{sfin } w) = \text{sfin } w$

by (metis DiamondSChopdef InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty Prop10 SChopAndA SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty

inteq-reflection lift-and-com)

have 8: $\vdash (\diamond (\text{init } w) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \sim (\text{wnext} (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))) =$

$$(\text{sfin } w) \sim (\text{wnext} (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))$$

using 7 LeftSChopEqvSChop **by** blast

have 9: $\vdash (\text{sfin } w) \sim (\text{wnext} (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))) = \# \text{True} \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{wnext} (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))))$

by (metis SlideInitSFin int-eq int-simps(17))

show ?thesis

by (metis 1 2 3 4 6 7 9 TrueSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection)

qed

lemma *PiStateInf*:

$$\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{inf} = (\diamond (\text{init } w) \wedge \square ((\text{init } w) \rightarrow \circ (\diamond (\text{init } w))))$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{inf} = (\text{init } w) \Pi (\neg \text{finite})$

by (simp add: InfEqvNotFinite PiEqvRule)

have 2: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (\neg \text{finite}) = (\neg ((\text{init } w) \Pi^u \text{finite}))$

by (simp add: NotPiEqvNotUPI)

have 3: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi^u \text{finite}) = (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)) \vee (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{finite})$

by (metis Initprop(2) UPiEqvBoxOrPi inteq-reflection)

have 4: $\vdash (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)) \vee (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{finite}) =$

$$(\square (\text{init } (\neg w)) \vee \diamond ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{wnext} (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))))$$

using PiStateFinite **by** fastforce

have 5: $\vdash (\neg ((\text{init } w) \Pi^u \text{finite})) =$

$$(\neg (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)) \vee \diamond ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{wnext} (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))))))$$

using 3 4 **by** fastforce

```

have 6:  $\vdash (\neg(\square(\text{init}(\neg w)) \vee \diamond((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{wnext } (\square(\text{init}(\neg w))))))) =$   

 $(\neg(\square(\text{init}(\neg w))) \wedge \neg(\diamond((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{wnext } (\square(\text{init}(\neg w)))))))$   

by force  

have 7:  $\vdash (\neg(\square(\text{init}(\neg w)))) = \diamond(\text{init } w)$   

unfolding always-d-def  

by (metis Initprop(2) int-simps(4) inteq-reflection)  

have 8:  $\vdash (\neg(\diamond((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{wnext } (\square(\text{init}(\neg w))))))) =$   

 $\square(\neg((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{wnext } (\square(\text{init}(\neg w))))))$   

by (simp add: always-d-def)  

have 9:  $\vdash (\neg((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{wnext } (\square(\text{init}(\neg w)))))) =$   

 $(\neg(\text{init } w) \vee \neg(\text{wnext } (\square(\text{init}(\neg w)))))$   

by force  

have 10:  $\vdash (\neg(\text{init } w)) = (\text{init } (\neg w))$   

by (simp add: Initprop(2))  

have 11:  $\vdash (\neg(\text{wnext } (\square(\text{init}(\neg w))))) = \circ(\neg((\square(\text{init}(\neg w)))))$   

by (simp add: wnnext-d-def)  

have 12:  $\vdash \circ(\neg((\square(\text{init}(\neg w))))) = \circ(\diamond(\text{init } w))$   

by (simp add: 7 NextEqvNext)  

have 13:  $\vdash (\neg(\text{init } w) \vee \neg(\text{wnext } (\square(\text{init}(\neg w))))) = ((\text{init } w) \longrightarrow \circ(\diamond(\text{init } w)))$   

using 10 11 12 by fastforce  

have 14:  $\vdash \square(\neg((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{wnext } (\square(\text{init}(\neg w)))))) =$   

 $\square((\text{init } w) \longrightarrow \circ(\diamond(\text{init } w)))$   

by (metis 13 8 9 inteq-reflection)  

have 15:  $\vdash (\neg(\square(\text{init}(\neg w))) \wedge \neg(\diamond((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{wnext } (\square(\text{init}(\neg w))))))) =$   

 $(\diamond(\text{init } w) \wedge \square((\text{init } w) \longrightarrow \circ(\diamond(\text{init } w))))$   

by (metis 13 6 7 8 9 inteq-reflection)  

show ?thesis  

by (metis 1 15 2 5 6 int-eq)  

qed

```

```

lemma UPiPiState:  

 $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi^u ((\text{init } w) \Pi f) = (\text{init } w) \Pi^u f$   

proof –  

have 1:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi^u ((\text{init } w) \Pi f) = (\square(\text{init}(\neg w)) \vee (\text{init } w) \Pi ((\text{init } w) \Pi f))$   

by (metis Initprop(2) UPiEqvBoxOrPi int-eq)  

have 2:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi ((\text{init } w) \Pi f) = ((\text{init } w) \Pi f)$   

by (metis PiAssoc Prop10 StateEqvBi StateImpBi inteq-reflection)  

have 3:  $\vdash (\square(\text{init}(\neg w)) \vee (\text{init } w) \Pi ((\text{init } w) \Pi f)) =$   

 $(\square(\text{init}(\neg w)) \vee ((\text{init } w) \Pi f))$   

using 2 by auto  

have 4:  $\vdash (\square(\text{init}(\neg w)) \vee ((\text{init } w) \Pi f)) = (\text{init } w) \Pi^u f$   

by (metis Initprop(2) UPiEqvBoxOrPi int-eq)  

show ?thesis  

by (metis 1 3 4 int-eq)  

qed

```

lemma PiUPiState:

$\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi ((\text{init } w) \Pi^u f) = (\text{init } w) \Pi f$
proof -
have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi ((\text{init } w) \Pi^u f) = (\Diamond(\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi^u ((\text{init } w) \Pi^u f))$
 by (simp add: PiEqvDiamondUPi)
have 2: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi^u ((\text{init } w) \Pi^u f) = ((\text{init } w) \Pi^u f)$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) NotUPiEqvNotPi UPiPiState inteq-reflection upi-d-def)
have 3: $\vdash (\Diamond(\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi^u ((\text{init } w) \Pi^u f)) =$
 $(\Diamond(\text{init } w) \wedge ((\text{init } w) \Pi^u f))$
using 2 by auto
have 4: $\vdash (\Diamond(\text{init } w) \wedge ((\text{init } w) \Pi^u f)) = (\text{init } w) \Pi f$
 by (meson PiEqvDiamondUPi Prop11)
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 3 4 int-eq)
qed

lemma PiStateFiniteAndFinite:

$\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{finite} \wedge \text{finite}) = (\Diamond(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite})$

proof -

have 1: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{finite} \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow (\Diamond(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite})$
 using PiImpDiamond by fastforce
have 2: $\vdash (\Diamond(\text{init } w) \wedge \text{finite}) \longrightarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{finite} \wedge \text{finite})$
 by (metis PiFiniteAbsorb PiTrueEqvDiamond TrueW int-simps(13) int-simps(17) inteq-reflection)
show ?thesis
using 1 2 int-iffI by blast
qed

lemma PiStateState:

$\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{init } w) = (\text{init } w) \Pi \# \text{True}$

by (metis BoxElim PiImpDiamond PiMPBC PiTrueEqvDiamond Prop11 StatePiBoxState int-simps(17) inteq-reflection)

lemma StateAndPiState:

$\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{empty} \wedge w)) = ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wnext} (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))$

proof -

have 2: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{init } w \Pi \text{empty}) = (\text{init } w \wedge \text{wnext} (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))$
 by (metis InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty StateAndEmptySChop StateAndPiEmpty inteq-reflection)
have 5: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi \# \text{True} = \Diamond(\text{init } w)$
 by (simp add: PiTrueEqvDiamond)
show ?thesis
by (metis 2 5 InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty PiAnd PiImpDiamond PiStateState Prop10 inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
qed

lemma PiStateFiniteSfin:

$\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{finite} \wedge \text{sfin } w) = \text{sfin } w$

proof -

have 1: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{finite} \wedge \text{sfin } w) =$

```

(((init w) Π finite ∧ finite) ∧ sfin w)
by (metis AndSFinEqvSChopAndEmpty SChopAndEmptyEqvSChopAndEmpty SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty
dEmpty
    inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
have 2: ⊢ (((init w) Π finite ∧ finite) ∧ sfin w) = ((◊ (init w) ∧ finite) ∧ sfin w)
    using PiStateFiniteAndFinite by fastforce
have 3: ⊢ ((◊ (init w) ∧ finite) ∧ sfin w) = ((◊ (init w)) ∧ sfin w)
    by (metis AndSFinEqvSChopAndEmpty SChopAndEmptyEqvSChopAndEmpty SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty
dEmpty
    inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
have 4: ⊢ ((◊ (init w)) ∧ sfin w) = sfin w
    by (metis 3 InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty Prop11 Prop12 SChopAndA SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty
TrueSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection)
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 2 3 4 inteq-reflection)
qed

```

lemma PiStateSFin:

$$\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{sfin } w) = (\text{sfin } w); (\text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{sfin } w) = \text{finite}; (\text{empty} \wedge w)$
 by (metis ChopAndCommute SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty TrueSChopEqvDiamond inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def)

have 2: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{finite}; (\text{empty} \wedge w)) =$
 $((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{finite} \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{empty} \wedge w))$
 using PiSChopDist[of w LIFT finite LIFT (empty ∧ w)] unfolding schop-d-def
 by auto

have 3: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{finite} \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{empty} \wedge w)) =$
 $((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{finite} \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))$
 using RightChopEqvChop StateAndPiState by blast

have 4: $\vdash (\text{sfin } w \wedge \text{finite}) = \text{sfin } w$
 by (metis 1 ChopAndA DiamondEmptyEqvFinite Prop10 inteq-reflection sometimes-d-def)

have 5: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{finite} \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))) =$
 $((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{finite} \wedge \text{sfin } w); (\text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))$
 using SlideInitSFin[of LIFT (init w) Π finite w LIFT wnnext (square (init (neg w)))] 4
 unfolding schop-d-def
 by (metis Prop10 Prop12 int-eq int-iffD2 lift-and-com)

have 6: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{finite} \wedge \text{sfin } w); (\text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))) = (\text{sfin } w); (\text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))$
 using LeftChopEqvChop PiStateFiniteSfin by blast

show ?thesis
 by (metis 1 2 3 5 6 int-eq)
 qed

13.5 SChopstar and Pi

lemma PiChopstarhelp2a:

$$\vdash (w \wedge \text{empty}) \rightsquigarrow (\text{fpower} ((f \rightsquigarrow (w \wedge \text{empty})) \wedge \text{more}) k) =$$

$$(\text{fpower} (((w \wedge \text{empty}) \rightsquigarrow f) \wedge \text{more}) k) \rightsquigarrow (w \wedge \text{empty})$$

proof (induction k)

```

case 0
then show ?case
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim \text{empty} = \text{empty} \sim (w \wedge \text{empty})$ 
    by (metis EmptySChop InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty StateAndEmptySChop int-eq)
  show ?thesis
  by (metis 1 fpower-d-def wpow-0)
qed
next
case (Suc k)
then show ?case
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim \text{fpower} (f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) (\text{Suc } k) =$ 
     $(w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim ((f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) \sim \text{fpower} (f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) k)$ 
    unfolding fpower-d-def
    by (simp add: schop-d-def)
  have 11:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{more}) \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) = (\text{sfin } w \wedge (f \wedge \text{more}))$ 
    by (metis SChopAndEmptyEqvSChopAndEmpty SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty int-eq)
  have 12:  $\vdash (f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty})) = (\text{sfin } w \wedge f)$ 
    by (metis SChopAndEmptyEqvSChopAndEmpty SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty inteq-reflection)
  have 13:  $\vdash (f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) = ((\text{sfin } w \wedge f) \wedge \text{more})$ 
    using 12 by auto
  have 14:  $\vdash ((\text{sfin } w \wedge f) \wedge \text{more}) = (\text{sfin } w \wedge (f \wedge \text{more}))$ 
    by fastforce
  have 2:  $\vdash (f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) = (f \wedge \text{more}) \sim (w \wedge \text{empty})$ 
    using 11 13 by fastforce
  have 20:  $\vdash ((f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) \sim \text{fpower} (f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) k) =$ 
     $((f \wedge \text{more}) \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim \text{fpower} (f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) k)$ 
    by (simp add: 2 LeftSChopEqvSChop)
  have 21:  $\vdash ((f \wedge \text{more}) \sim (w \wedge \text{empty})) \sim \text{fpower} (f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) k =$ 
     $(f \wedge \text{more}) \sim ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim \text{fpower} (f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) k)$ 
    by (meson Prop11 SChopAssoc)
  have 22:  $\vdash (f \wedge \text{more}) \sim ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim \text{fpower} (f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) k) =$ 
     $((f \wedge \text{more}) \sim (\text{fpower} ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim f \wedge \text{more}) k \sim (w \wedge \text{empty})))$ 
    by (simp add: RightSChopEqvSChop Suc.IH)
  have 23:  $\vdash (w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim ((f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) \sim \text{fpower} (f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) k) =$ 
     $(w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim (((f \wedge \text{more}) \sim (w \wedge \text{empty})) \sim \text{fpower} (f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) k)$ 
    using 20 RightSChopEqvSChop by blast
  have 24:  $\vdash (w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim (((f \wedge \text{more}) \sim (w \wedge \text{empty})) \sim \text{fpower} (f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) k) =$ 
     $(w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim ((f \wedge \text{more}) \sim ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim \text{fpower} (f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) k))$ 
    using 21 RightSChopEqvSChop by blast
  have 25:  $\vdash (w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim ((f \wedge \text{more}) \sim ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim \text{fpower} (f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) k)) =$ 
     $(w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim ((f \wedge \text{more}) \sim (\text{fpower} ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim f \wedge \text{more}) k \sim (w \wedge \text{empty})))$ 
    using 23 22 RightSChopEqvSChop by blast
  have 3:  $\vdash (w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim ((f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) \sim \text{fpower} (f \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}) \wedge \text{more}) k) =$ 
     $(w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim ((f \wedge \text{more}) \sim (\text{fpower} ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim f \wedge \text{more}) k \sim (w \wedge \text{empty})))$ 
    using 23 24 25 by fastforce
  have 4:  $\vdash (w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim ((f \wedge \text{more}) \sim (\text{fpower} ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim f \wedge \text{more}) k \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}))) =$ 
     $((w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim (f \wedge \text{more}) \sim ((\text{fpower} ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \sim f \wedge \text{more}) k \sim (w \wedge \text{empty}))))$ 
    using SChopAssoc by blast

```

```

have 6:  $\vdash ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg (f \wedge \text{more})) \neg ((\text{fpower} ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg f \wedge \text{more}) k \neg (w \wedge \text{empty}))) =$   

 $((w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg f \wedge \text{more}) \neg ((\text{fpower} ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg f \wedge \text{more}) k \neg (w \wedge \text{empty})))$   

by (meson EmptyAndSChopAndMoreEqvAndSChop LeftSChopEqvSChop)  

have 7:  $\vdash ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg f \wedge \text{more}) \neg ((\text{fpower} ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg f \wedge \text{more}) k \neg (w \wedge \text{empty}))) =$   

 $((\text{fpower} ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg f \wedge \text{more}) (\text{Suc } k) \neg (w \wedge \text{empty})))$   

by (metis SChopAssoc fpower-d-def schop-d-def wpow-Suc)  

show ?thesis using 1 3 4 6 7  

by (metis inteq-reflection)  

qed  

qed

```

lemma PiChopstarhelp2:

$$\vdash (w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg (\text{schopstar}(f \neg (w \wedge \text{empty}))) = (\text{schopstar}((w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg f)) \neg (w \wedge \text{empty})$$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash (w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg (\text{schopstar}(f \neg (w \wedge \text{empty}))) =$   

 $(w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg (\exists k. \text{fpower} ((f \neg (w \wedge \text{empty})) \wedge \text{more}) k)$   

by (simp add: schopstar-d-def fpowerstar-d-def)  

have 2:  $\vdash (w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg (\exists k. \text{fpower} ((f \neg (w \wedge \text{empty})) \wedge \text{more}) k) =$   

 $(\exists k. (w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg (\text{fpower} ((f \neg (w \wedge \text{empty})) \wedge \text{more}) k))$   

using SChopExist by fastforce  

have 3:  $\vdash (\exists k. (w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg (\text{fpower} ((f \neg (w \wedge \text{empty})) \wedge \text{more}) k)) =$   

 $(\exists k. (\text{fpower} ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg f \wedge \text{more}) k) \neg (w \wedge \text{empty}))$   

by (simp add: ExEqvRule PiChopstarhelp2a)  

have 4:  $\vdash (\exists k. (\text{fpower} ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg f \wedge \text{more}) k) \neg (w \wedge \text{empty})) =$   

 $(\exists k. (\text{fpower} ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg f \wedge \text{more}) k) \neg (w \wedge \text{empty}))$   

using ExistSChop by fastforce  

have 5:  $\vdash (\exists k. (\text{fpower} ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg f \wedge \text{more}) k)) \neg (w \wedge \text{empty}) =$   

 $(\text{schopstar}((w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg f)) \neg (w \wedge \text{empty})$   

by (simp add: schopstar-d-def fpowerstar-d-def)  

show ?thesis  

by (metis 1 2 3 4 5 int-eq)  

qed

```

lemma PiFPowerSuca:

$$\vdash (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{fpower } f (\text{Suc } k)) = ((\text{init } w) \amalg f) \neg ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{fpower } f k))$$

unfolding fpower-d-def **by** (metis PiSChopDist schop-d-def wpow-Suc)

lemma PiFPowerSucb:

$$\vdash (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{fpower } f (\text{Suc } k)) = ((\text{init } w) \amalg f) \neg ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{fpower } f k))$$

proof –

```

have 0:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{fpower } f (\text{Suc } k)) = ((\text{init } w) \amalg f) \neg ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{fpower } f k))$   

by (meson PiFPowerSuca)  

have 1:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{fpower } f k)) = (w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{fpower } f k))$   

by (metis InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty Prop10 Prop12 StateAndEmptySChop int-iffD2 inteq-reflection  

lift-and-com)  

have 2:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \amalg f) \neg ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{fpower } f k)) =$   

 $((\text{init } w) \amalg f) \neg ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{fpower } f k)))$   

using 1 RightSChopEqvSChop by blast  

have 3:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \amalg f) \neg ((w \wedge \text{empty}) \neg ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{fpower } f k))) =$ 

```

```

(((init w) Π f) ⊂ (w ∧ empty)) ⊂ ((init w) ∧ (init w) Π (fpower f k))
by (simp add: SChopAssoc)
have 4: ⊢ (((init w) Π f) ⊂ (w ∧ empty)) = ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w)
by (metis SChopAndEmptyEqvSChopAndEmpty SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
have 5: ⊢ (((init w) Π f) ⊂ (w ∧ empty)) ⊂ ((init w) ∧ (init w) Π (fpower f k)) =
((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) ⊂ ((init w) ∧ (init w) Π (fpower f k))
by (simp add: 4 LeftSChopEqvSChop)
show ?thesis
using 0 2 3 5 by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma PiFPowerSucc:
 $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f (\text{Suc } k)) =$ 
 $(\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } k)) \subset ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})$ 
proof (induction k)
case 0
then show ?case
using PiFPowerSucb[of w f 0]
unfolding schop-d-def fpower-d-def wpow-0 wpow-Suc
proof –
assume a1: ⊢ init w Π ((f ∧ finite); empty) =
 $((\text{init } w \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{init } w \wedge \text{init } w \Pi \text{empty})$ 
have ⊢ (((init w Π f ∧ sfin w) ∧ finite); empty ∧ finite) = ((init w Π f ∧ sfin w) ∧ finite)
by (metis AndChopB ChopEmpty Prop10 inteq-reflection)
then show ⊢ init w Π ((f ∧ finite); empty) =
 $((\text{init } w \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{empty} \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{init } w \wedge \text{init } w \Pi \text{empty})$ 
by (metis a1 int-eq)
qed
next
case (Suc k)
then show ?case
proof –
have 3: ⊢ (init w Π f) ⊂
 $(\text{init } w \wedge \text{fpower } (\text{init } w \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } k) \subset (\text{init } w \wedge \text{init } w \Pi \text{empty})) =$ 
 $((\text{init } w \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \subset \text{fpower } (\text{init } w \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } k)) \subset$ 
 $(\text{init } w \wedge \text{init } w \Pi \text{empty})$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) AndSFinSChopEqvStateAndSChop InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty SChopAssoc
SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty inteq-reflection)
have 4: ⊢ (init w Π f) ⊂ (init w ∧ init w Π (f ⊂ fpower f k)) =
 $((\text{init } w \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \subset$ 
 $((\text{init } w \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \subset \text{fpower } (\text{init } w \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) k) \subset$ 
 $(\text{init } w \wedge \text{init } w \Pi \text{empty})$ 
using 3 Suc.IH unfolding fpower-d-def wpow-Suc schop-d-def by (metis int-eq)
show ?thesis
by (metis 4 PiFPowerSuc a fpower-d-def inteq-reflection schop-d-def wpow-Suc)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma PiFPowerSucd:
 $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f (\text{Suc } k)) = (\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } k)) \subset ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})$ 

```

```

proof (induction k)
case 0
then show ?case unfolding fpower-d-def wpow-0 wpow-Suc
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty PiSChopDist SChopAndEmptyEqvSChopAndEmpty
SChopAssoc SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty StateAndEmptySChop inteq-reflection lift-and-com schop-d-def)
next
case (Suc k)
then show ?case
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \text{init } w \Pi \text{fpower } f (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)) = (\text{init } w) \Pi (f \sim (\text{fpower } f (\text{Suc } k)))$ 
by (metis PiFPowerSuca PiSChopDist inteq-reflection)
have 2:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (f \sim (\text{fpower } f (\text{Suc } k))) = ((\text{init } w) \Pi f) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f (\text{Suc } k)))$ 
by (simp add: PiSChopDist)
have 3:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi f) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f (\text{Suc } k))) =$ 
 $((\text{init } w) \Pi f) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } k)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}))$ 
by (metis 2 PiFPowerSucc inteq-reflection)
have 4:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi f) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } k)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})) =$ 
 $((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \sim ((\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } k)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}))$ 
by (metis AndSFinSChopEqvStateAndSChop InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty inteq-reflection)
have 5:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \sim ((\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } k)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})) =$ 
 $((\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k))) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}))$ 
by (metis 1 2 4 PiFPowerSucc inteq-reflection)
have 6:  $\vdash ((\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k))) \sim ((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})) =$ 
 $((\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k))) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}))$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) PiFPowerSucc SChopAssoc Suc fpower-d-def inteq-reflection schop-d-def
wpow-Suc)
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 2 3 4 5 6 inteq-reflection)
qed
qed

```

lemma SFin-SChop:

$\vdash (f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \sim g = (f \wedge \text{fin } w) \sim g$

proof -

have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge \text{fin } w) \sim g = (f \wedge (\text{fin } w \wedge \text{finite})) \sim g$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) LeftChopEqvChop Prop11 Prop12 lift-and-com schop-d-def)

have 2: $\vdash (\text{fin } w \wedge \text{finite}) = (\text{sfin } w \wedge \text{finite})$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) EmptyImpFinite FinAndEmpty FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty Finprop(5)
Prop10 SChopAndB SChopImpFinite SFinAndEmpty SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty inteq-reflection
lift-imp-trans sfin-d-def)

have 3: $\vdash (f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \sim g = (f \wedge (\text{sfin } w \wedge \text{finite})) \sim g$

by (metis AndSChopCommute DiamondEmptyEqvFinite Prop10 SChopAndB SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty)

```

TrueSChopEqvDiamond int-eq)
show ?thesis
  using 1 2 3 by (metis inteq-reflection)
qed

lemma PiChopstar:
  ⊢ (init w) Π (schopstar f) =
    (init (¬ w)) U ((init w) ∧ (schopstar(((init w) Π f) ∧ sfin w)) ⊨ wnext(□ (init (¬ w))))
proof -
  have 1: ⊢ (init w) Π (schopstar f) = (init w) Π (Ǝ k. fpower f k)
    by (metis FPowerstardef PiEqvRule SChopstar-FPowerstar inteq-reflection)
  have 2: ⊢ (init w) Π (Ǝ k. fpower f k) = (Ǝ k. (init w) Π (fpower f k))
    by (simp add: Valid-def pi-d-def)
  have 3: ⊢ (Ǝ k. (init w) Π (fpower f k)) =
    ( (init w) Π empty ∨ (Ǝ k. (init w) Π (fpower f (Suc k))))
    using PiFPowerExpand by auto
  have 4: ⊢ (Ǝ k. (init w) Π (fpower f (Suc k))) =
    (Ǝ k. (fpower ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) (Suc k)) ⊨ ((init w) Π empty))
    by (meson ExEqvRule PiFPowerSucd)
  have 5: ⊢ (init w) Π empty = empty ⊨ ((init w) Π empty)
    by (simp add: EmptySChop int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI)
  have 6: ⊢ (Ǝ k. (fpower ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) (Suc k)) ⊨ ((init w) Π empty)) =
    (Ǝ k. (fpower ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) (Suc k)) ⊨ ((init w) Π empty))
    by (simp add: ExistSChop)
  have 7: ⊢ ( (init w) Π empty ∨ (Ǝ k. (fpower ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) (Suc k)) ⊨ ((init w) Π empty))) =
    (empty ⊨ ((init w) Π empty)) ∨
    (Ǝ k. (fpower ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) (Suc k)) ⊨ ((init w) Π empty))
    using 5 6 by fastforce
  have 8: ⊢ (empty ⊨ ((init w) Π empty)) ∨
    (Ǝ k. (fpower ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) (Suc k)) ⊨ ((init w) Π empty)) =
    (empty ∨ (Ǝ k. (fpower ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) (Suc k)) ⊨ ((init w) Π empty)))
    by (meson OrSChopEqv Prop11)
  have 9: ⊢ empty = (fpower ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) 0)
    unfolding fpower-d-def by simp
  have 10: ⊢ (empty ∨ (Ǝ k. (fpower ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) (Suc k)))) =
    ((fpower ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) 0) ∨ (Ǝ k. (fpower ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) (Suc k))))
    unfolding fpower-d-def by simp
  have 11: ⊢ ((fpower ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) 0) ∨ (Ǝ k. (fpower ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) (Suc k)))) =
    (Ǝ k. (fpower ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) k))
    using exists-expand[of w f] unfolding fpower-d-def
    by (metis (mono-tags) Valid-def inteq-reflection wpow-0 wpowersem1)
  have 12: ⊢ ( (init w) Π empty ∨
    (Ǝ k. (fpower ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) (Suc k)) ⊨ ((init w) Π empty))) =
    (Ǝ k. (fpower ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) k)) ⊨ ((init w) Π empty)
    by (metis 11 7 8 9 inteq-reflection)
  have 13: ⊢ (Ǝ k. (fpower ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) (k)) ⊨ ((init w) Π empty)) =
    (schopstar ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w)) ⊨ ((init w) Π empty)
    by (metis FPowerstardef LeftSChopEqvSChop SChopstar-FPowerstar inteq-reflection)
  have 14: ⊢ (schopstar ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w)) ⊨ ((init w) Π empty) =
    ((init w) Π empty) ∨

```

```

(((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) ∼ (schopstar ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w))) ∼ ((init w) Π empty))
by (metis 5 OrSChopEqvRule SChopstar-unfoldl-eq inteq-reflection)
have 15: ⊢ ((init w) Π empty) = (init (¬ w)) U ( (init w) ∧ wnnext (□ (init (¬ w))))
by (simp add: PiEmpty)
have 16: ⊢ (((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) ∼ (schopstar ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w))) ∼ ((init w) Π empty) =
( ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) ∼ (schopstar ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w))) ∼ wnnext (□ (init (¬ w)))
proof -
have 161: ⊢ (((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) ∼ (schopstar ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w))) ∼ ((init w) Π empty) =
( ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) ∼ ((schopstar ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w)) ∼ ((init w) Π empty)))
by (meson Prop11 SChopAssoc)
have 162: ⊢ ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) = ((init w) Π f) ∼ (w ∧ empty)
by (metis SChopAndEmptyEqvSChopAndEmpty SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty inteq-reflection lift-and-com)

have 163: ⊢ (schopstar ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w)) = (schopstar (((init w) Π f) ∼ (w ∧ empty)))
by (metis 162 SChopstardef inteq-reflection)
have 164: ⊢ ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) ∼ (schopstar ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w)) =
( (init w) Π f) ∼ ((w ∧ empty) ∼ (schopstar (((init w) Π f) ∼ (w ∧ empty))))
using 162 SChopAssoc int-eq by metis
have 165: ⊢ ((init w) Π f) ∼ ((w ∧ empty) ∼ (schopstar (((init w) Π f) ∼ (w ∧ empty)))) =
( (init w) Π f) ∼ ((schopstar ((w ∧ empty) ∼ ((init w) Π f))) ∼ (w ∧ empty))
by (simp add: PiChopstarhelp2 RightSChopEqvSChop)
have 166: ⊢ (((init w) Π f) ∼ ((schopstar ((w ∧ empty) ∼ ((init w) Π f))) ∼ (w ∧ empty))) ∼ ((init w) Π empty) =
( (((init w) Π f) ∼ (schopstar ((w ∧ empty) ∼ ((init w) Π f)))) ∼ ((init w) ∧ ((init w) Π empty)))
by (metis (no-types, lifting) InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty SChopAssoc StateAndEmptySChop int-eq)
have 167: ⊢ (((((init w) Π f) ∼ (schopstar ((w ∧ empty) ∼ ((init w) Π f)))) ∼ ((init w) ∧ ((init w) Π empty))) ) =
( (((init w) Π f) ∼ (schopstar ((w ∧ empty) ∼ ((init w) Π f)))) ∼ ((w ∧ empty) ∼ wnnext (□ (init (¬ w)))) )
by (simp add: RightSChopEqvSChop StateAndPiEmpty)
have 168: ⊢ (((((init w) Π f) ∼ (schopstar ((w ∧ empty) ∼ ((init w) Π f)))) ∼ ((w ∧ empty) ∼ wnnext (□ (init (¬ w)))) ) =
( (((init w) Π f) ∼ (schopstar ((w ∧ empty) ∼ ((init w) Π f)))) ∼ (w ∧ empty) ∼ wnnext (□ (init (¬ w))))
by (simp add: SChopAssoc)
have 169: ⊢ (((((init w) Π f) ∼ (schopstar ((w ∧ empty) ∼ ((init w) Π f)))) ∼ (w ∧ empty)) =
( (((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) ∼ (schopstar ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w)) )
using 164 165 SChopAssoc by fastforce
show ?thesis by (metis 164 165 166 167 168 169 int-eq)
qed
have 17: ⊢ ((init w) Π f ∧ sfin w) = ((init w) Π f) ∼ ((init w) ∧ empty)
by (metis InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty SChopAndEmptyEqvSChopAndEmpty SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty
inteq-reflection lift-and-com)
have 18: ⊢ ((init w) Π f) = wprev(□ (init (¬ w))) ∼ ((init w) ∧ (init w) Π f)
by (simp add: WPrevPi)
have 19: ⊢ wprev(□ (init (¬ w))) ∼ ((init w) ∧ (init w) Π f) =
wprev(□ (init (¬ w))) ∼ (((init w) ∧ empty) ∼ ((init w) Π f))
by (meson RightSChopImpSChop StateAndEmptySChop int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI)

```

have 20: $\vdash wprev(\square (init (\neg w))) \sim (((init w) \wedge empty) \sim ((init w) \Pi f)) =$
 $(init (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ((init w) \wedge ((init w) \Pi f))$
using 18 19 *StatePiUntil* **by** fastforce
have 21: $\vdash (((init w) \Pi f \wedge sfin w) \sim (schopstar ((init w) \Pi f \wedge sfin w))) \sim wnnext (\square (init (\neg w))) =$
 $(init (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ($
 $(init w) \wedge$
 $((((init w) \Pi f) \wedge sfin w) \sim (schopstar ((init w) \Pi f \wedge sfin w))) \sim wnnext (\square (init (\neg w)))$
 $)$
proof –
have $\vdash (init w \Pi f) \sim (init w \wedge empty) = (init w \Pi f \wedge sfin w)$
by (metis 17 inteq-reflection)
then show ?thesis **using** *StatePiUntil*[of w f]
UntilChopDist[of w *LIFT*((init w \wedge empty)) *LIFT*((schopstar ((init w) Π f \wedge sfin w))) \sim wnnext ($\square (init (\neg w))$)]
by (metis (no-types, lifting) *AndSFinSChopEqvStateAndSChop SChopAssoc StateUntilEqvWPPrevChop int-eq*)
qed
have 22: $\vdash ((init (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ((init w) \wedge wnnext (\square (init (\neg w))))) \vee$
 $(init (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ($
 $(init w) \wedge$
 $((((init w) \Pi f) \wedge sfin w) \sim (schopstar ((init w) \Pi f \wedge sfin w))) \sim wnnext (\square (init (\neg w)))$
 $) =$
 $(init (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ($
 $((init w) \wedge wnnext (\square (init (\neg w)))) \vee$
 $((init w) \wedge (((init w) \Pi f) \wedge sfin w) \sim (schopstar ((init w) \Pi f \wedge sfin w))) \sim wnnext (\square (init (\neg w)))$
 $)$
using *UntilOrDist* **by** fastforce
have 23: $\vdash ($
 $((init w) \wedge wnnext (\square (init (\neg w)))) \vee$
 $((init w) \wedge (((init w) \Pi f) \wedge sfin w) \sim (schopstar ((init w) \Pi f \wedge sfin w))) \sim wnnext (\square (init (\neg w)))$
 $) =$
 $((init w) \wedge (schopstar (((init w) \Pi f) \wedge sfin w)) \sim wnnext (\square (init (\neg w))))$
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) *EmptyOrSChopEqv Prop11 SChopOrEqvRule SChopstar-unfoldl-eq State-AndEmptySChop int-eq*)
have 24: $\vdash (init w) \Pi (schopstar f) = ((init w) \Pi empty \vee (\exists k. (init w) \Pi (fpower f (Suc k))))$
using 1 2 3 **by** fastforce
have 25: $\vdash ((init w) \Pi empty \vee (\exists k. (init w) \Pi (fpower f (Suc k)))) =$
 $((init w) \Pi empty \vee (\exists k. (fpower ((init w) \Pi f \wedge sfin w) (Suc k)) \sim ((init w) \Pi empty)))$
using 4 **by** fastforce
have 26: $\vdash ((init w) \Pi empty \vee$
 $(\exists k. (fpower ((init w) \Pi f \wedge sfin w) (Suc k)) \sim ((init w) \Pi empty))) =$
 $(schopstar ((init w) \Pi f \wedge sfin w)) \sim ((init w) \Pi empty)$
using 12 13 **by** fastforce
have 27: $\vdash (schopstar ((init w) \Pi f \wedge sfin w)) \sim ((init w) \Pi empty) =$
 $((init (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ((init w) \wedge wnnext (\square (init (\neg w))))) \vee$
 $((((init w) \Pi f \wedge sfin w) \sim (schopstar ((init w) \Pi f \wedge sfin w))) \sim wnnext (\square (init (\neg w))))$
using 14 15 16 **by** fastforce
have 28: $\vdash (schopstar ((init w) \Pi f \wedge sfin w)) \sim ((init w) \Pi empty) =$
 $(init (\neg w)) \mathcal{U} ((init w) \wedge (schopstar (((init w) \Pi f) \wedge sfin w)) \sim wnnext (\square (init (\neg w))))$
using 27 21 22 23

```

by (metis inteq-reflection)
show ?thesis
  by (metis 24 25 26 28 inteq-reflection)
qed

```

lemma *PiChopstar-var1*:

$$\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{schopstar } f) = \\ (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})$$

proof –

$$\text{have 1: } \vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{schopstar } f) = (\text{init } w) \Pi (\exists k. \text{fpower } f k)$$

by (metis *FPowerstardef PiEqvRule SChopstar-FPowerstar inteq-reflection*)

$$\text{have 2: } \vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (\exists k. \text{fpower } f k) = (\exists k. (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f k))$$

by (*simp add: Valid-def pi-d-def*)

$$\text{have 3: } \vdash (\exists k. (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f k)) = \\ ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty} \vee (\exists k. (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f (\text{Suc } k))))$$

using *PiFPowerExpand* **by** *auto*

$$\text{have 4: } \vdash (\exists k. (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{fpower } f (\text{Suc } k))) = \\ (\exists k. (\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } k)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}))$$

by (meson *ExEqvRule PiFPowerSucd*)

$$\text{have 5: } \vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty} = \text{empty} \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})$$

by (*simp add: EmptySChop int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI*)

$$\text{have 6: } \vdash (\exists k. (\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } k)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})) = \\ (\exists k. (\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } k)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}))$$

by (*simp add: ExistSChop*)

$$\text{have 7: } \vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty} \vee (\exists k. (\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } k)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}))) = \\ (\text{empty} \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}) \vee \\ (\exists k. (\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } k)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})))$$

using 5 6 **by** *fastforce*

$$\text{have 8: } \vdash (\text{empty} \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}) \vee$$

$$(\exists k. (\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } k)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})) =$$

$$(\text{empty} \vee (\exists k. (\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } k)))) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})$$

by (meson *OrSChopEqv Prop11*)

$$\text{have 9: } \vdash \text{empty} = (\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) 0)$$

unfolding *fpower-d-def* **by** *simp*

$$\text{have 10: } \vdash (\text{empty} \vee (\exists k. (\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } k)))) =$$

$$((\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) 0) \vee (\exists k. (\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } k))))$$

unfolding *fpower-d-def* **by** *simp*

$$\text{have 11: } \vdash ((\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) 0) \vee (\exists k. (\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } k)))) =$$

$$(\exists k. (\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) k))$$

using *exists-expand[of w f]* **unfolding** *fpower-d-def*

by (metis (*mono-tags*) *Valid-def inteq-reflection wpow-0 wpowerset1*)

$$\text{have 12: } \vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty} \vee$$

$$(\exists k. (\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) (\text{Suc } k)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}))) =$$

$$(\exists k. (\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) k)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})$$

by (metis 11 7 8 9 *inteq-reflection*)

$$\text{have 13: } \vdash (\exists k. (\text{fpower } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) k)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}) =$$

$$(\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})$$

by (metis *FPowerstardef LeftSChopEqvSChop SChopstar-FPowerstar inteq-reflection*)

show ?*thesis*

by (metis 1 12 13 2 3 4 inteq-reflection)
qed

lemma PiChopstar-var2:

$$\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{schopstar } f) = ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty} \vee (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow (\text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))))$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) = (\text{empty} \vee (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w))$
by (metis (no-types, lifting) DiamondEmptyEqvFinite Prop10 Prop12 SCSEqvOrChopSCSB SChopAndB

SFinEqvTrueSChopAndEmpty TrueSChopEqvDiamond int-eq int-iffD2 lift-and-com)

have 2: $\vdash (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}) = ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}) \vee (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})$
II empty))

using 1 EmptyOrSChopEqvRule **by** blast

have 3: $\vdash ((\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}) = (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}))$

by (meson Prop11 SChopAssoc)

have 4: $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})) = (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow (\text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))))$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty SlideInitSFin StateAndEmptySChop StateAndPiEmpty inteq-reflection)

have 5: $\vdash (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})) = (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow (\text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))))$

by (metis 4 RightChopEqvChop schop-d-def)

show ?thesis

by (metis 2 3 5 PiChopstar-var1 int-eq)

qed

lemma PiChopstar-var3:

$$\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (f \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } f)) = (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow (\text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))))$$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (f \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } f)) = ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{schopstar } f))$

by (metis PiSChopDist SlideInitSFin inteq-reflection)

have 2: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{schopstar } f)) = (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})$
using PiChopstar-var1 **by** auto

have 3: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{schopstar } f)) = ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}))$

using 2 RightSChopEqvSChop **by** blast

have 4: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})) = (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w))) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})$

by (simp add: SChopAssoc)

```

have 5:  $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w))) =$   

 $\quad (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{finite})$   

by (simp add: SChopplusCommute)  

have 6:  $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{finite}) = (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w))$   

using SFinEQvFinAndFinite by fastforce  

have 7:  $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}) =$   

 $\quad (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow (\text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))$   

by (metis (no-types, lifting) InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty SlideInitSFin StateAndEmptySChop  

StateAndPiEmpty intereq-reflection)  

show ?thesis  

by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 2 5 6 7 SChopAssoc intereq-reflection)  

qed

```

lemma PiChopstar-var4:

$$\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (f \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } f)) =
(((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w))) \rightsquigarrow (\text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))$$

proof -

```

have 1:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (f \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } f)) =$   

 $\quad (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow (\text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w)))))$   

using PiChopstar-var3 by blast  

have 2:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow (\text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))) =$   

 $\quad ((\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow (\text{wnext } (\square (\text{init } (\neg w))))$   

by (simp add: SChopAssoc)  

have 3:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) = (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{finite})$   

using SFinEQvFinAndFinite by fastforce  

have 4:  $\vdash (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{finite}) =$   

 $\quad (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)))$   

by (simp add: SChopstar-slide-var)  

show ?thesis  

by (metis 1 2 3 4 intereq)  

qed

```

lemma PiChopstar-var5:

$$\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (f \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } f)) =
(\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{finite}))$$

proof -

```

have 1:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (f \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } f)) =$   

 $\quad ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{schopstar } f))$   

by (metis PiSChopDist SlideInitSFin intereq-reflection)  

have 2:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{schopstar } f)) =$   

 $\quad (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})$   

using PiChopstar-var1 by auto  

have 3:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{schopstar } f)) =$   

 $\quad ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}))$   

using 2 RightSChopEqvSChop by blast  

have 4:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})) =$   

 $\quad (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w))) \rightsquigarrow ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})$   

using SChopAssoc by blast  

have 5:  $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \rightsquigarrow (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w))) =$   

 $\quad (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \rightsquigarrow (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{finite})$ 

```

```

by (simp add: SChopplusCommute)
have 6:  $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{finite}) = (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w))$ 
  using SFinEQvFinAndFinite by fastforce
have 7:  $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}) =$ 
   $(\text{init } w) \Pi (f \sim \text{empty})$ 
  by (metis PiSChopDist SlideInitSFin inteq-reflection)
have 8:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (f \sim \text{empty}) = (\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{finite})$ 
  by (simp add: ChopEmpty PiEqvRule schop-d-def)
have 9:  $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w))) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}) =$ 
   $(\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \sim (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})$ 
  by (metis 4 5 6 inteq-reflection)
have 10:  $\vdash ((\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \sim (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w))) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}) =$ 
   $(\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \sim (((\text{init } w) \Pi f \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty})$ 
  by (meson Prop11 SChopAssoc)
show ?thesis
by (metis 1 10 3 4 7 8 9 int-eq)
qed

```

lemma PiChopstar-var6:

$$\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{schopstar } f) =$$

$$(((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)))$$

$$\sim (\text{wnext}(\square(\text{init } (\neg w)))))$$

proof –

$$\begin{aligned} \text{have } 1: & \vdash (\text{schopstar } f) = (\text{schopstar } (f \vee \text{empty})) \\ & \text{by (metis SChopstar-star2 SChopstar-swap inteq-reflection)} \\ \text{have } 1: & \vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{schopstar } (f \vee \text{empty})) = \\ & (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}) \\ & \text{by (simp add: PiChopstar-var1)} \\ \text{have } 2: & \vdash (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)) = \\ & (\text{empty} \vee (\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \\ & \text{by (meson Prop06 SCSEqvOrChopSCSB SChopstar-slide-var)} \\ \text{have } 3: & \vdash (\text{empty} \vee (\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \sim \\ & ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}) \\ & = (((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}) \vee ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \\ & \wedge \text{sfin } w))) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}) \end{aligned}$$

using EmptyOrSChopEqv **by** blast

$$\begin{aligned} \text{have } 4: & \vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)) = \\ & (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \sim (((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{finite}) \\ & \text{by (simp add: SChopplusCommute)} \\ \text{have } 5: & \vdash (((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{finite}) = \\ & (((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \\ & \text{using SFinEQvFinAndFinite by fastforce} \\ \text{have } 6: & \vdash (((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \sim ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{empty}) = \\ & (((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \sim (\text{wnext}(\square(\text{init } (\neg w)))) \\ & \text{by (metis (no-types, lifting) InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty SlideInitSFin StateAndEmptySChop State-} \\ & \text{AndPiEmpty int-eq)} \\ \text{have } 7: & \vdash (((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \sim (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w))) \sim ((\text{init } w) \end{aligned}$$

```

 $\Pi \text{ empty} =$ 
  (((init w)  $\Pi$  (f  $\vee$  empty)  $\wedge$  sfin w)  $\leadsto$  (schopstar ((init w)  $\Pi$  (f  $\vee$  empty)  $\wedge$  sfin w)))  $\leadsto$  (wnext( $\square$ (init ( $\neg$ w)))))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 4 5 6 SChopAssoc inteq-reflection)
have 8:  $\vdash (((init w) \Pi \text{ empty}) \vee (((init w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \leadsto (\text{schopstar } ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \vee \text{empty}) \wedge \text{sfin } w))) \leadsto ((\text{init } w) \Pi \text{ empty})) =$ 
  (((init w)  $\Pi$  (f  $\vee$  empty)  $\wedge$  sfin w)  $\leadsto$  (schopstar ((init w)  $\Pi$  (f  $\vee$  empty)  $\wedge$  sfin w)))  $\leadsto$  ((init w)  $\Pi$  empty))
  by (metis 1 2 3 7 FiniteAndEmptyEqvEmpty PiChopstar-var4 Prop05 SChopstar-sup-id-star1 int-iffD1
inteq-reflection)
show ?thesis
by (meson PiChopstar-var4 PiEqvRule Prop04 SChopstar-star2 SChopstar-sup-id-star1
SChopstar-swap UntilImpOr UntilIntro lift-imp-trans)
qed

```

13.6 Omega and Pi

lemma OmegaImpDiamond:

 $\vdash (\text{omega } ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \longrightarrow \diamondsuit (\text{init } w)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{omega } ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)) =$
 $\quad (((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}; (\text{omega } ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{sfin } w))$

using OmegaUnroll[of LIFT ((init w) Π (f \wedge more) \wedge sfin w)] **by** blast

have 2: $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite} \longrightarrow \diamondsuit (\text{init } w)$

using PiImpDiamond **by** fastforce

have 3: $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite} =$
 $\quad (((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{finite}$

by auto

have 4: $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}; (\text{omega } ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)) =$
 $\quad (((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{finite}; (\text{omega } ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{sfin } w))$

using 3 LeftChopEqvChop **by** blast

have 5: $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{finite}; (\text{omega } ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)) =$
 $\quad (((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{omega } ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{sfin } w))$

using SlideInitSFin[of LIFT ((init w) Π (f \wedge more) \wedge more) w
LIFT (omega ((init w) Π (f \wedge more) \wedge sfin w))] **unfolding** schop-d-def

by (metis inteq-reflection)

have 6: $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); ((\text{init } w) \wedge \text{omega } ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \longrightarrow \diamondsuit (\text{init } w)$

by (metis ChopAndB FiniteChopImpDiamond inteq-reflection lift-and-com lift-imp-trans)

show ?thesis **using** 1 3 4 5 6 **by** (metis int-eq)

qed

lemma PiStateOmegaUnroll:

 $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{omega } f) = (((\text{init } w) \Pi ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{sfin } w); ((\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{omega } f))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{omega } f) = (\text{init } w) \Pi (((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}); (\text{omega } f))$

by (simp add: OmegaUnroll PiEqvRule)

```

have 2:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi (((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite});(\text{omega } f)) =$   

 $\quad (((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite});((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{omega } f)))$   

using PiSChopDist[of  $w$  LIFT  $(f \wedge \text{more})$  LIFT  $(\text{omega } f)$ ] unfolding schop-d-def  

by simp  

have 3:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) = ((\text{init } w) \Pi ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{finite})$   

by (meson PiFiniteAbsorb Prop11)  

have 4:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi (f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite});((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{omega } f)) =$   

 $\quad (((\text{init } w) \Pi ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{finite});((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{omega } f)))$   

by (simp add: 3 LeftChopEqvChop)  

have 5:  $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \Pi ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{finite});((\text{init } w) \wedge (\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{omega } f)) =$   

 $\quad (((\text{init } w) \Pi ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{finite};((\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{omega } f))$   

using SlideInitSFin[of LIFT  $(\text{init } w) \Pi ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite})$   $w$  LIFT  $(\text{init } w) \Pi (\text{omega } f)$ ]  

unfolding schop-d-def by blast  

have 6:  $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \Pi ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{finite} =$   

 $\quad (((\text{init } w) \Pi ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{sfin } w))$   

using SFinEQvFinAndFinite by fastforce  

have 7:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \Pi ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) = ((\text{init } w) \Pi ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{more})$   

by (metis PiAnd PiAndPiImpPiAnd PiMoreAbsorb Prop10 Prop12 inteq-reflection)  

have 8:  $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \Pi ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)) =$   

 $\quad (((\text{init } w) \Pi ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{sfin } w))$   

using 7 by auto  

show ?thesis  

by (metis 1 2 4 5 6 8 int-eq)  

qed

```

lemma PiAOmega-sfin:

assumes $\neg \text{nfinite } l$

$\text{nnth } l 0 = 0$

$\text{nidx } l$

$(\forall i. \text{enat } (\text{nnth } l i) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma)$

shows $((\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } l i) (\text{nnth } l (\text{Suc } i))) \models \text{sfin } w) =$
 $w (\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } \sigma (\text{nnth } l (\text{Suc } i))))$

using assms nidx-expand[of l]

by (simp add: sfin-defs)

(metis diff-add less-imp-le-nat linorder-le-cases ndropn-nlast nfinite-ntaken nsubn-def1 ntaken-all ntaken-ndropn-nlast)

lemma PiAOmega-sfin-exist:

assumes $\neg \text{nfinite } l$

$\text{nnth } l 0 = 0$

$\text{nidx } l$

$(\forall i. \text{enat } (\text{nnth } l i) \leq \text{nlength } \sigma)$

$w (\text{NNil } (\text{nnth } \sigma (\text{nnth } l (\text{Suc } i))))$

shows $(\exists x \in \text{nset } (\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } l i) (\text{nnth } l (\text{Suc } i))) . w (\text{NNil } x))$

using assms nidx-expand[of l] in-nset-conv-nnth[of - ($\text{nsubn } \sigma (\text{nnth } l i) (\text{nnth } l (\text{Suc } i))$)]

unfolding nsubn-def1

by (metis diff-add less-imp-le-nat linorder-le-cases nfinite-ntaken nset-nlast ntaken-all ntaken-ndropn-nlast)

lemma *PiAOmega-help2*:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma \models (aomega ((init w) \amalg ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) \wedge sfin w))) = \\
(\exists l. \neg nfinite l \wedge \\
nnth l 0 = 0 \wedge \\
nidx l \wedge (\forall i. enat (nnth l i) \leq nlength \sigma) \wedge \\
(\forall i. (\\
((nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i)))) \models f) \wedge \\
0 < nlength (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i)))) \wedge \\
nfinite (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i)))) \\
\wedge w (NNil (nnth \sigma (nnth l (Suc i)))) \\
) \\
)))
\end{aligned}$$

proof –

have 1: $(\sigma \models (aomega ((init w) \amalg ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) \wedge sfin w))) =$
 $(\exists l. \neg nfinite l \wedge$
 $nnth l 0 = 0 \wedge$
 $nidx l \wedge (\forall i. enat (nnth l i) \leq nlength \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall i. nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i)) \models init w \amalg ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) \wedge sfin w))$

unfolding aomega-d-def by blast

have 2: $(\exists l. \neg nfinite l \wedge$
 $nnth l 0 = 0 \wedge$
 $nidx l \wedge (\forall i. enat (nnth l i) \leq nlength \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall i. nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i)) \models init w \amalg ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) \wedge sfin w)) =$
 $(\exists l. \neg nfinite l \wedge$
 $nnth l 0 = 0 \wedge$
 $nidx l \wedge (\forall i. enat (nnth l i) \leq nlength \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall i. (\exists x \in nset (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))) . w (NNil x)) \wedge$
 $(nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))) \models (f \wedge more) \wedge finite)$
 $\wedge ((nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))) \models sfin w)$
 $)$
 $))$

using Pstate[of w LIFT $((f \wedge more) \wedge finite)$] by auto

have 3: $(\exists l. \neg nfinite l \wedge$
 $nnth l 0 = 0 \wedge$
 $nidx l \wedge (\forall i. enat (nnth l i) \leq nlength \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall i. (\exists x \in nset (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))) . w (NNil x)) \wedge$
 $(nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))) \models (f \wedge more) \wedge finite)$
 $\wedge ((nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))) \models sfin w)$
 $)$
 $)) =$
 $(\exists l. \neg nfinite l \wedge$
 $nnth l 0 = 0 \wedge$
 $nidx l \wedge (\forall i. enat (nnth l i) \leq nlength \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall i. (\exists x \in nset (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))) . w (NNil x)) \wedge$
 $((nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i)))) \models f) \wedge$
 $0 < nlength (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i)))) \wedge$
 $nfinite (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i)))) \wedge$
 $((nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))) \models sfin w)$
 $)$

))

unfolding more-defs finite-defs **by** simp

have 4: $(\exists l. \neg nfinite l \wedge$

$nnth l 0 = 0 \wedge$

$nidx l \wedge (\forall i. enat (nnth l i) \leq nlength \sigma) \wedge$

$(\forall i. ((\exists x \in nset (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))) . w (NNil x)) \wedge$

$((nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i)))) \models f) \wedge$

$0 < nlength (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i)))) \wedge$

$nfinite (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))))$

$\wedge ((nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))) \models sfin w)$

)

)) =

$(\exists l. \neg nfinite l \wedge$

$nnth l 0 = 0 \wedge$

$nidx l \wedge (\forall i. enat (nnth l i) \leq nlength \sigma) \wedge$

$(\forall i. ((\exists x \in nset (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))) . w (NNil x)) \wedge$

$((nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i)))) \models f) \wedge$

$0 < nlength (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i)))) \wedge$

$nfinite (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))))$

$\wedge w (NNil (nnth \sigma (nnth l (Suc i))))$

)

))

using PiAOmega-sfin[of - σ w] **by** blast

have 5: $(\exists l. \neg nfinite l \wedge$

$nnth l 0 = 0 \wedge$

$nidx l \wedge (\forall i. enat (nnth l i) \leq nlength \sigma) \wedge$

$(\forall i. ((\exists x \in nset (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))) . w (NNil x)) \wedge$

$((nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i)))) \models f) \wedge$

$0 < nlength (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i)))) \wedge$

$nfinite (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))))$

$\wedge w (NNil (nnth \sigma (nnth l (Suc i))))$

)

)) =

$(\exists l. \neg nfinite l \wedge$

$nnth l 0 = 0 \wedge$

$nidx l \wedge (\forall i. enat (nnth l i) \leq nlength \sigma) \wedge$

$(\forall i. ($

$((nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i)))) \models f) \wedge$

$0 < nlength (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i)))) \wedge$

$nfinite (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nnth l i) (nnth l (Suc i))))$

$\wedge w (NNil (nnth \sigma (nnth l (Suc i))))$

)

))

using PiAOmega-sfin-exist[of - σ w] **by** blast

show ?thesis

using 1 2 3 4 5 **by** presburger

qed

primcorec oml :: ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a nellist \Rightarrow nat nellist \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat nellist

where

```
oml P xs l x =
NCons (case x of 0 => 0 |
          Suc j => (the-enat (nlength (nfilter P (ntaken (nnth l x) xs)))))  
          (oml P xs l (Suc x)))
```

lemma *oml-nnth-zero*:

```
nnth (oml P xs l 0) 0 = 0
using oml.disc-iff oml.simps(2) nhd-conv-nnth
by (metis old.nat.simps(4))
```

lemma *oml-nnth-one*:

```
assumes ¬ nfinite l
          ¬ nfinite xs
shows nnth (oml P xs l 0) 1 = (the-enat (nlength (nfilter P (ntaken (nnth l 1) xs))))
using assms
oml.code oml.disc-iff oml.simps(2) nhd-conv-nnth nnth-Suc-NCons
by (metis One-nat-def old.nat.simps(5))
```

lemma *oml-nnth*:

```
assumes ¬ nfinite l
          ¬ nfinite xs
shows nnth (oml P xs l x) i =
        (if x = 0 then
         (if i = 0 then 0 else (the-enat (nlength (nfilter P (ntaken (nnth l i) xs)))))  

          else (the-enat (nlength (nfilter P (ntaken (nnth l (i+x)) xs)))))  

using assms
proof (induct i arbitrary: x)
case 0
then show ?case
by (metis Nitpick.case-nat-unfold ndropn-0 ndropn-nnth nhd-conv-nnth oml.disc-iff oml.simps(2))
next
case (Suc i)
then show ?case
by (metis One-nat-def Zero-not-Suc add.commute add-Suc-shift nnth-Suc-NCons oml.code plus-1-eq-Suc)
qed
```

lemma *oml-infinite*:

```
assumes ¬ nfinite l
          ¬ nfinite xs
shows ¬ nfinite (oml P xs l x)
proof
assume nfinite (oml P xs l x)
thus False
using assms
proof (induct zs≡ (oml P xs l x) arbitrary: x rule: nfinite-induct)
case (NNil y)
then show ?case by (metis nellist.disc(1) oml.disc-iff)
next
```

```

case (NCons x nell)
then show ?case by (metis nellist.sel(5) oml.simps(3))
qed
qed

lemma PiAOmegaImpSem:
assumes ( $\sigma \models (aomega ((init w) \Pi ((f \wedge more) \wedge finite) \wedge sfin w)))$ 
shows ( $\sigma \models (init w) \Pi (aomega f))$ 
proof -
have 1: ( $\exists l. \neg nfinite l \wedge$ 
     $nth l 0 = 0 \wedge$ 
     $nidx l \wedge (\forall i. enat (nth l i) \leq nlength \sigma) \wedge$ 
     $(\forall i. ($ 
         $((nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)))) \models f) \wedge$ 
         $0 < nlength (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)))) \wedge$ 
         $nfinite (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)))) \wedge$ 
         $w (NNil (nth \sigma (nth l (Suc i))))$ 
    )
)
)
)
using assms PiAOmega-help2[of w f σ] by blast
obtain l where 2:  $\neg nfinite l \wedge$ 
     $nth l 0 = 0 \wedge$ 
     $nidx l \wedge (\forall i. enat (nth l i) \leq nlength \sigma) \wedge$ 
     $(\forall i. ($ 
         $((nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)))) \models f) \wedge$ 
         $0 < nlength (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)))) \wedge$ 
         $nfinite (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nth l i) (nth l (Suc i)))) \wedge$ 
         $w (NNil (nth \sigma (nth l (Suc i))))$ 
    )
)
)
)
using 1 by auto
have 3:  $\bigwedge j. 0 < j \longrightarrow w (NNil (nth \sigma (nth l j)))$ 
using 2 by (metis Suc-diff-1)
have 31:  $\bigwedge j. 0 < j \longrightarrow nfinite (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (ntaken (nth l j) \sigma))$ 
by (metis 2 3 nfilter-chop1-ntaken nfinite-ntaken ntaken-nlast)
have 32:  $\bigwedge j. (nth l j) < (nth l (Suc j))$ 
by (metis 2 linorder-le-cases nfinite-ntaken nidx-expand ntaken-all)
have 4:  $\bigwedge j. 0 < j \longrightarrow$ 
     $(nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma (nth l j) (nth l (Suc j)))) =$ 
     $(nsubn (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) \sigma) ($ 
         $(the-enat (nlength (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (ntaken (nth l j) \sigma))))$ 
         $(the-enat (nlength (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (ntaken (nth l (Suc j)) \sigma))))$ 
    )
)

using 2 3 32 nfilter-nsubn[of - σ (λy. w (NNil y))]
by (metis 31 less-imp-le-nat nfinite-nlength-enat the-enat.simps zero-less-Suc)
have 5:  $(nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) (nsubn \sigma 0 (nth l (Suc 0)))) =$ 
     $(nsubn (nfilter (\lambda y. w (NNil y)) \sigma) 0)$ 

```

```

(the-enat (nlength(nfilter (λy. w (NNil y)) (ntaken (nnth l (Suc 0)) σ)))) )
)
by (simp add: 2 nfilter-nsubn-zero)
have 6:  $0 < (\text{the-enat} (\text{nlength}(\text{nfilter} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) (\text{ntaken} (\text{nnth } l (\text{Suc } 0)) \sigma)))) )$ 
by (metis 2 5 gr0I i0-less nlength-NNil nsubn-def1 ntaken-0 zero-less-diff)
have 7:  $\bigwedge j. 0 < j \longrightarrow (\text{the-enat} (\text{nlength}(\text{nfilter} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) (\text{ntaken} (\text{nnth } l j) \sigma)))) < (\text{the-enat} (\text{nlength}(\text{nfilter} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) (\text{ntaken} (\text{nnth } l (\text{Suc } j)) \sigma)))) )$ 
by (metis 2 4 bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum i0-less nlength-NNil nsubn-def1 ntaken-0 zero-less-diff)
have 8:  $\neg \text{nfinite} (\text{oml} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma l 0)$ 
using 2 infinite-nidx-imp-infinite-interval oml-infinite by blast
have 9:  $\text{nnth} (\text{oml} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma l 0) 0 = 0$ 
by (simp add: oml-nnth-zero)
have 10:  $\bigwedge j. 0 < j \longrightarrow \text{nnth} (\text{oml} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma l 0) j = (\text{the-enat} (\text{nlength}(\text{nfilter} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) (\text{ntaken} (\text{nnth } l j) \sigma)))) )$ 
by (metis 2 infinite-nidx-imp-infinite-interval less-not-refl2 oml-nnth)
have 11:  $\bigwedge j. \text{nnth} (\text{oml} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma l 0) j < \text{nnth} (\text{oml} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma l 0) (\text{Suc } j)$ 
by (metis 10 6 7 9 bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum zero-less-Suc)
have 12:  $\text{nidx} (\text{oml} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma l 0)$ 
using nidx-expand[of (oml (λy. w (NNil y)) σ l 0)]
using 11 by blast
have 13:  $(\forall i. \text{enat} (\text{nnth} (\text{oml} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma l 0) i) \leq \text{nlength} (\text{nfilter} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma))$ 
by (metis 10 3 bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum enat-ile le-zero-eq linorder-le-cases
      nfilter-chop1-ntaken ntaken-all ntaken-nlast oml-nnth-zero the-enat.simps zero-enat-def)
have 14:  $(\forall i. f (\text{nsubn} (\text{nfilter} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma)$ 
 $\quad (\text{nnth} (\text{oml} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma l 0) i)$ 
 $\quad (\text{nnth} (\text{oml} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma l 0) (\text{Suc } i))))$ 
by (metis 10 2 4 5 9 bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum zero-less-Suc)
have 15:  $(\exists x \in \text{nset} \sigma. w (\text{NNil } x))$ 
by (meson 2 in-nset-conv-nnth)
have 16:  $((\exists x \in \text{nset} \sigma. w (\text{NNil } x)) \wedge$ 
 $(\exists l. \neg \text{nfinite} l \wedge$ 
 $\quad \text{nnth } l 0 = 0 \wedge$ 
 $\quad \text{nidx } l \wedge$ 
 $\quad (\forall i. \text{enat} (\text{nnth } l i) \leq \text{nlength} (\text{nfilter} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma)) \wedge$ 
 $\quad (\forall i. f (\text{nsubn} (\text{nfilter} (\lambda y. w (\text{NNil } y)) \sigma) (\text{nnth } l i) (\text{nnth } l (\text{Suc } i))))))$ 
using 12 13 14 15 8 oml-nnth-zero by blast
have 17:  $(\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{aomega } f))$ 
using 16
using Pistate[of w LIFT aomega f σ] unfolding aomega-d-def by blast
show ?thesis using 17 by auto
qed

```

lemma PiAOmegaImp:

```

 $\vdash (\text{aomega} ((\text{init } w) \amalg ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \longrightarrow (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{aomega } f)$ 
unfolding Valid-def using PiAOmegaImpSem
using unl-lift2 by blast

```

lemma PiOmegaImpSem:

```

assumes  $(\sigma \models (\text{omega} ((\text{init } w) \amalg ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)))$ 

```

```

shows  $(\sigma \models (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{omega } f))$ 
by (metis OmegaEqvAOmega PiAOmegaImpSem assms inteq-reflection)

lemma PiOmegaImp:
 $\vdash (\text{omega } ((\text{init } w) \amalg ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \longrightarrow (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{omega } f)$ 
unfolding Valid-def using PiOmegaImpSem using unl-lift2 by blast

lemma PiOmega:
 $\vdash (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{omega } f) = (\text{omega } ((\text{init } w) \amalg ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{sfin } w))$ 
proof -
have 0:  $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \amalg ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) =$ 
 $(((\text{init } w) \amalg ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}$ 
using SFinEQvFinAndFinite by fastforce
have 1:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{omega } f) \longrightarrow \text{inf}$ 
by (metis AndChopB AndMoreAndFiniteEqvAndFmore OmegaMoreEqvInf OmegaWeakCoinduct 0
PiStateOmegaUnroll fmore-d-def int-eq int-simps(20))
have 2:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{omega } f) \longrightarrow$ 
 $\text{inf} \wedge (((\text{init } w) \amalg ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{sfin } w) \wedge \text{fmore}); ((\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{omega } f))$ 
by (metis 1 AndMoreSChopEqvAndFmoreChop 0 PiStateOmegaUnroll Prop12 int-eq int-iffD1 schop-d-def)
have 3:  $\vdash (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{omega } f) \longrightarrow (\text{omega } ((\text{init } w) \amalg ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{sfin } w))$ 
using OmegaIntro by (metis 2 Prop12)
have 4:  $\vdash (\text{omega } ((\text{init } w) \amalg ((f \wedge \text{more}) \wedge \text{finite}) \wedge \text{sfin } w)) \longrightarrow (\text{init } w) \amalg (\text{omega } f)$ 
by (simp add: PiOmegaImp)
show ?thesis
by (simp add: 3 4 int-iffI)
qed

end

```

14 First Order Finite and Infinite ITL theorems

```

theory FOTheorems
imports
SChopTheorems Chopstar
begin

```

We give the proofs of a list of first order (in)finite ITL theorems.

```

lemma EExI-unl:
 $w \models f x \implies w \models (\exists \exists x. f x)$ 
by (meson exist-state-d-def)

```

```

lemma EExNoDep:
 $\vdash (\exists \exists x. g) = g$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash g \longrightarrow (\exists \exists x. g)$  by (meson EExI)

```

```

have 2:  $\bigwedge x. \vdash g \rightarrow g$  by simp
have 3:  $\vdash (\exists \exists x. g) \rightarrow g$  using 2 by (meson EExE)
from 1 3 show ?thesis using int-iffI by blast
qed

```

```

lemma AAxNoDep:
 $\vdash (\forall \forall x. g) = g$ 
using EExNoDep[of LIFT( $\neg g$ )] AAxDef EExE EExI
by (simp add: exist-state-d-def forall-state-d-def intI)

```

```

lemma EExEqvRule:
assumes  $\bigwedge x. \vdash f x = g x$ 
shows  $\vdash (\exists \exists x. f x) = (\exists \exists x. g x)$ 
by (metis EExE EExI assms int-iffD1 int-iffD2 int-iffI lift-imp-trans)

```

```

lemma AAxImpEEx:
 $\vdash (\forall \forall x. f x) \rightarrow (\exists \exists x. f x)$ 
by (simp add: exist-state-d-def forall-state-d-def intI)

```

```

lemma EExImpRule:
assumes  $\vdash f x \rightarrow g x$ 
shows  $\vdash (\forall \forall x. f x) \rightarrow (\exists \exists x. g x)$ 
using assms by (meson MP EExI)

```

```

lemma EExImpRuleDist:
assumes  $\vdash f x \rightarrow g x$ 
shows  $\vdash (\forall \forall x. f x) \rightarrow (\exists \exists x. g x)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash (f x) \rightarrow (\exists \exists x. g x)$  using EExI assms lift-imp-trans by blast
have 2:  $\vdash \neg(f x) \vee (\exists \exists x. g x)$  using 1 by auto
have 3:  $\vdash \neg(f x) \rightarrow (\exists \exists x. \neg(f x))$  by (meson EExI)
have 4:  $\vdash (\exists \exists x. \neg(f x)) = (\neg(\forall \forall x. f x))$  using AAxDef by fastforce
from 2 3 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma EExImpNoDepDist:
assumes  $\vdash f \rightarrow g x$ 
shows  $\vdash f \rightarrow (\exists \exists x. g x)$ 
using assms by (metis EExI lift-imp-trans)

```

```

lemma EExOrDist-1:
 $\vdash (\exists \exists x. h x) \rightarrow (\exists \exists x. (f x) \vee (h x))$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\bigwedge x. \vdash h x \rightarrow f x \vee h x$  by (simp add: Valid-def)
have 2:  $\bigwedge x. \vdash f x \vee h x \rightarrow (\exists \exists x. (f x) \vee (h x))$  by (meson EExI)
have 3:  $\bigwedge x. \vdash h x \rightarrow (\exists \exists x. (f x) \vee (h x))$  using 1 2 by (meson lift-imp-trans)
from 3 show ?thesis using EExE by blast
qed

```

```

lemma EExOrDist-2:

```

$\vdash (\exists \exists x. f x) \longrightarrow (\exists \exists x. (f x) \vee (h x))$

proof –

have 1: $\bigwedge x. \vdash f x \longrightarrow f x \vee h x$ **by** (simp add: Valid-def)

have 2: $\bigwedge x. \vdash f x \vee h x \longrightarrow (\exists \exists x. (f x) \vee (h x))$ **by** (meson EExI)

have 3: $\bigwedge x. \vdash f x \longrightarrow (\exists \exists x. (f x) \vee (h x))$ **using** 1 2 **by** (meson lift-imp-trans)

from 3 show ?thesis **using** EExE **by** blast

qed

lemma EExOrDist-3:

$\vdash (\exists \exists x. f x) \vee (\exists \exists x. h x) \longrightarrow (\exists \exists x. (f x) \vee (h x))$

using EExOrDist-2 EExOrDist-1 **by** fastforce

lemma EExOrDist-4:

$\vdash (\exists \exists x. (f x) \vee (h x)) \longrightarrow (\exists \exists x. f x) \vee (\exists \exists x. h x)$

proof –

have 1: $\bigwedge x. \vdash (f x) \vee (h x) \longrightarrow (\exists \exists x. f x) \vee (\exists \exists x. h x)$

by (simp add: EExI-unl intI)

from 1 show ?thesis **by** (simp add: EExE)

qed

lemma EExOrDist:

$\vdash ((\exists \exists x. f x) \vee (\exists \exists x. h x)) = (\exists \exists x. (f x) \vee (h x))$

using EExOrDist-3 EExOrDist-4 **by** fastforce

lemma EExOrImport-1:

$\vdash g \longrightarrow (\exists \exists x. g \vee (f x))$

by (simp add: EExI-unl Valid-def)

lemma EExOrImport-2:

$\vdash (\exists \exists x. f x) \longrightarrow (\exists \exists x. g \vee (f x))$

by (simp add: EExOrDist-1)

lemma EExOrImport-3:

$\vdash (g \vee (\exists \exists x. f x)) \longrightarrow (\exists \exists x. g \vee (f x))$

using EExOrImport-1 EExOrImport-2 **by** fastforce

lemma EExOrImport-4:

$\vdash (\exists \exists x. g \vee f x) \longrightarrow (g \vee (\exists \exists x. f x))$

proof –

have 1: $\bigwedge x. \vdash g \vee f x \longrightarrow g \vee (\exists \exists x. f x)$ **by** (meson EExI int-iffD2 int-simps(27) Prop04 Prop08)

from 1 show ?thesis **by** (simp add: EExE)

qed

lemma EExOrImport:

$\vdash (g \vee (\exists \exists x. f x)) = (\exists \exists x. g \vee f x)$

by (metis EExOrImport-3 EExOrImport-4 int-iffI)

lemma EExAndImport-1:

$\vdash g \wedge (\exists \exists x. f x) \longrightarrow (\exists \exists x. g \wedge f x)$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash (g \wedge (\exists \exists x. f x) \rightarrow (\exists \exists x. g \wedge f x)) = ((\exists \exists x. f x) \rightarrow (g \rightarrow (\exists \exists x. g \wedge f x)))$ 
  by fastforce
have 2:  $\bigwedge x. \vdash f x \rightarrow (g \rightarrow (\exists \exists x. g \wedge f x))$ 
  using EExI[of  $\lambda x. LIFT(g \wedge f x)$ ] by fastforce
hence 3:  $\vdash (\exists \exists x. f x) \rightarrow (g \rightarrow (\exists \exists x. g \wedge f x))$ 
  by (simp add: EExE)
from 1 3 show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma EExAndImport-2:
 $\vdash (\exists \exists x. g \wedge f x) \rightarrow g \wedge (\exists \exists x. f x)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\bigwedge x. \vdash g \wedge f x \rightarrow g \wedge (\exists \exists x. f x)$ 
  by (metis EExI int-iffD2 lift-and-com lift-imp-trans Prop12)
from 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: EExE)
qed

```

```

lemma EExAndImport:
 $\vdash (g \wedge (\exists \exists x. f x)) = (\exists \exists x. g \wedge f x)$ 
by (simp add: EExAndImport-1 EExAndImport-2 int-iffI)

```

```

lemma EExAndDist:
assumes  $\vdash f x \wedge g x$ 
shows  $\vdash (\exists \exists x. f x) \wedge (\exists \exists x. g x)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f x$  using assms by fastforce
have 2:  $\vdash g x$  using assms by fastforce
have 3:  $\vdash (\exists \exists x. f x)$  using 1 by (meson EExI MP)
have 4:  $\vdash (\exists \exists x. g x)$  using 2 by (meson EExI MP)
from 3 4 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma EExAndNoDepDist:
assumes  $\vdash f \wedge g x$ 
shows  $\vdash f \wedge (\exists \exists x. g x)$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash f$  using assms by fastforce
have 2:  $\vdash g x$  using assms by fastforce
have 3:  $\vdash (\exists \exists x. g x)$  using 2 by (meson EExI MP)
from 1 3 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma Spec:
 $\vdash (\forall \forall x. f x) \rightarrow f x$ 
proof -
have 1:  $\vdash \neg(f x) \rightarrow (\exists \exists x. \neg(f x))$  by (meson EExI)
have 2:  $\vdash \neg(\exists \exists x. \neg(f x)) \rightarrow f x$  using 1 by auto
from 2 show ?thesis using AAxDef by fastforce
qed

```

lemma AAxE:
assumes $\vdash (\forall \forall x. f x)$
 $\quad \vdash f x \longrightarrow g$
shows $\vdash g$
using MP Spec assms(1) assms(2) **by** blast

lemma AAxI:
assumes $\bigwedge x. \vdash f x$
shows $\vdash (\forall \forall x. f x)$
using assms **by** (simp add: Valid-def exist-state-d-def forall-state-d-def)

lemma AAxEqvRule:
assumes $\bigwedge x. \vdash f x = g x$
shows $\vdash (\forall \forall x. f x) = (\forall \forall x. g x)$
unfolding forall-state-d-def **using** assms EExEqvRule[of $\lambda x. (LIFT(\neg f x)) \lambda x. (LIFT(\neg g x))$] **by** fastforce

lemma AAxAndDist:
 $\vdash (\forall \forall x. (f x) \wedge (g x)) = ((\forall \forall x. f x) \wedge (\forall \forall x. g x))$
proof –
have 1: $\bigwedge x. \vdash (\neg(f x) \vee \neg(g x)) = (\neg((f x) \wedge (g x)))$
by auto
have 2: $\vdash (\exists \exists x. \neg(f x) \vee \neg(g x)) = (\exists \exists x. \neg((f x) \wedge (g x)))$
using 1 **by** (simp add: EExEqvRule)
have 3: $\vdash (\exists \exists fa. \neg(f fa \wedge g fa)) = (\exists \exists fa. \neg f fa \vee \neg g fa)$
using 2 **by** auto
have 4: $\vdash (\neg (\neg (\exists \exists fa. \neg f fa) \wedge \neg (\exists \exists f. \neg g f))) = ((\exists \exists fa. \neg f fa) \vee (\exists \exists f. \neg g f))$
by auto
have $\vdash ((\exists \exists fa. \neg f fa) \vee (\exists \exists f. \neg g f)) = (\exists \exists fa. \neg f fa \vee \neg g fa)$
by (simp add: EExOrDist inteq-reflection)
then have 5: $\vdash (\neg (\exists \exists fa. \neg f fa) \wedge \neg (\exists \exists f. \neg g f)) = (\neg (\exists \exists fa. \neg f fa \vee \neg g fa))$
by auto
show ?thesis **using** 3 5 **unfolding** forall-state-d-def **by** fastforce
qed

lemma AAxAndImport:
 $\vdash (g \wedge (\forall \forall x. f x)) = (\forall \forall x. g \wedge f x)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\neg g \vee (\exists \exists x. \neg(f x))) = (\exists \exists x. \neg g \vee \neg(f x))$
by (simp add: EExOrImport)
have 2: $\vdash (\neg (\exists \exists x. \neg(f x))) = (\neg ((\forall \forall x. f x)))$
using AAxDef **by** fastforce
have 3: $\vdash (\neg g \vee (\exists \exists x. \neg(f x))) = (\neg(g \wedge (\forall \forall x. f x)))$
using 2 **by** fastforce
have 4: $\bigwedge x. \vdash (\neg g \vee \neg(f x)) = (\neg(g \wedge f x))$
by auto
have 5: $\vdash (\exists \exists x. \neg g \vee \neg(f x)) = (\exists \exists x. \neg(g \wedge f x))$
using 4 **by** (simp add: EExEqvRule)
have 6: $\vdash (\exists \exists x. \neg(g \wedge f x)) = (\neg (\forall \forall x. g \wedge f x))$

```

using AAxDef by fastforce
have 7: ⊢ (¬(g ∧ (∀ x. f x))) = (¬(∀ x. g ∧ f x))
  by (metis 1 3 5 6 inteq-reflection)
from 7 show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma AAxOrImport:
 $\vdash (g \vee (\forall x. f x)) = (\forall x. g \vee f x)$ 
proof -
have 1: ⊢ (¬g ∧ (\exists x. ¬(f x))) = (\exists x. ¬g ∧ ¬(f x)) by (simp add: EExAndImport)
have 2: ⊢ (\exists x. ¬(f x)) = (¬(\forall x. f x)) using AAxDef by fastforce
have 3: ⊢ (¬g ∧ (\exists x. ¬(f x))) = (¬(g ∨ (\forall x. f x))) using 2 by fastforce
have 4: ⋀ x. ⊢ (¬g ∧ ¬(f x)) = (¬(g ∨ f x)) by auto
have 5: ⊢ (\exists x. ¬g ∧ ¬(f x)) = (\exists x. ¬(g ∨ f x)) using 4 by (simp add: EExEqvRule)
have 6: ⊢ (\exists x. ¬(g ∨ f x)) = (¬(\forall x. g ∨ f x)) using AAxDef by fastforce
have 7: ⊢ (¬(g ∨ (\forall x. f x))) = (¬(\forall x. g ∨ f x)) by (metis 1 3 5 6 inteq-reflection)
from 7 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma EExImpChopRule:
assumes ⊢ f x → g x
shows ⊢ (\exists x. h;(f x) → h;(g x))
using RightChopImpChop[of f x g x h]
   $EExImpRule[\lambda x. LIFT(h;(f x)) x \lambda x. LIFT(h;(g x))] assms$  by auto

lemma EExChopRight:
 $\vdash (\exists x. (f x);g) \rightarrow (\exists x. f x);g$ 
proof -
have 1: ⋀ x. ⊢ (f x);g \rightarrow (\exists x. f x);g by (simp add: EExI LeftChopImpChop)
from 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: EExE)
qed

lemma EExChopRightNoDep:
 $\vdash (\exists x. (f x);g) = (\exists x. (f x));g$ 
by (auto simp add: exist-state-d-def Valid-def itl-defs)

lemma EExChopLeft :
 $\vdash (\exists x. g;(f x)) \rightarrow g;(\exists x. f x)$ 
proof -
have 1: ⋀ x. ⊢ g;(f x) \rightarrow g;(\exists x. f x) by (simp add: EExI RightChopImpChop)
from 1 show ?thesis by (simp add: EExE)
qed

lemma EExChopLeftNoDep:
 $\vdash (\exists x. g;(f x)) = g;(\exists x. f x)$ 
by (auto simp add: exist-state-d-def Valid-def itl-defs)

lemma EExEEExChopEqvEExEEExChop:
 $\vdash (\exists v. (\exists y. (f v);(g y))) = (\exists y. (\exists v. (f v);(g y)))$ 
by (simp add: exist-state-d-def Valid-def itl-defs) blast

```

```

lemma EExEExChopEqvEExChopEExA:
   $\vdash (\exists \exists v. (\exists \exists y. (f v); (g y))) = (\exists \exists v. (f v); (\exists \exists y. (g y)))$ 
by (simp add: exist-state-d-def Valid-def itl-defs) blast

lemma EExEExChopEqvEExChopEExB:
   $\vdash (\exists \exists y. (\exists \exists v. (f v); (g y))) = (\exists \exists y. (\exists \exists v. (f v)); (g y))$ 
by (simp add: exist-state-d-def Valid-def itl-defs) blast

lemma EExEExChopEqvEExChopEExC:
   $\vdash (\exists \exists v. (\exists \exists y. (f v); (g y))) = (\exists \exists v. (f v)); (\exists \exists y. (g y))$ 
by (simp add: exist-state-d-def Valid-def itl-defs) blast

lemma ExLenOrInf:
   $\vdash (\exists n. len(n)) \vee inf$ 
using nfinite-nlength-enat by (auto simp add: Valid-def len-defs itl-defs)

lemma CSPowerChop:
   $\vdash (f^*) = (\exists n. fpower (f \wedge more) n); (empty \vee (f \wedge more) \wedge inf)$ 
by (simp add: chopstar-d-def fpowerstar-d-def powerstar-d-def Valid-def)

lemma ExChopRightNoDep:
   $\vdash (\exists x. (f x); g) = (\exists x. (f x)); g$ 
by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)

lemma ExChopLeftNoDep:
   $\vdash (\exists x. g; (f x)) = g; (\exists x. f x)$ 
by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)

lemma ExExEqvExEx:
   $\vdash (\exists x. (\exists y. (f x); (g y))) = (\exists y. (\exists x. (f x); (g y)))$ 
by (auto simp add: Valid-def itl-defs)

end

```

References

- [1] A. Armstrong, G. Struth, and T. Weber. Kleene algebra. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2013. http://isa-afp.org/entries/Kleene_Algebra.shtml, Formal proof development.
- [2] G. Grov and S. Merz. A Definitional Encoding of TLA* in Isabelle/HOL. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2011. <https://www.isa-afp.org/entries/TLA.html>, Formal proof development.
- [3] A. Lochbihler. Coinductive. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, feb 2010. <https://www.isa-afp.org/entries/Coinductive.html>, Formal proof development.
- [4] S. Merz. An Encoding of TLA in Isabelle. <http://www.pst.informatik.uni-muenchen.de/~merz/isabelle/>. Part of the Isabelle distribution., 1998.

- [5] B. Moszkowski. Compositional reasoning about projected and infinite time. In *Proceedings of the First IEEE Int'l Conf. on Engineering of Complex Computer Systems (ICECCS'95)*, pages 238–245. IEEE Computer Society Press, 1995. [Download pdf](#).
- [6] B. Moszkowski. A Hierarchical Completeness Proof for Propositional Interval Temporal Logic with Finite Time. *Journal of Applied Non-Classical Logics*, 14(1–2):55–104, 2004.
- [7] B. Moszkowski and D. Guelev. An application of temporal projection to interleaving concurrency. *Formal Aspects of Computing*, 29(4):705–750, July 2017.
- [8] B. C. Moszkowski. Imperative reasoning in interval temporal logic. Technical report, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, 1996.
- [9] B. C. Moszkowski. A Complete Axiom System for Propositional Interval Temporal Logic with Infinite Time. *Logical Methods in Computer Science Journal*, 8(3), 2012.
- [10] B. C. Moszkowski and D. P. Guelev. An Application of Temporal Projection to Interleaving Concurrency. In *Dependable Software Engineering: Theories, Tools, and Applications - First International Symposium, SETTA 2015, Nanjing, China, November 4-6, 2015, Proceedings*, pages 153–167, 2015.
- [11] J. S. Warford, D. Vega, and S. M. Staley. A Calculational Deductive System for Linear Temporal Logic. <https://www.cslab.pepperdine.edu/warford/Papers/Vega-Paper.pdf>, 2019.