

An encoding of Interval Temporal Logic in Isabelle/HOL

Antonio Cau

Ben Moszkowski

David Smallwood

October 20, 2018

Abstract

These Isabelle theories introduce the semantics and syntax of Interval Temporal Logic (ITL). The ITL proof system, as introduced in [3], has been encoded and its soundness has been checked. An extensive library of ITL theorems, taken from [5], has been checked. The time reversal operator [4] has been defined and a collection of theorems has been provided.

Furthermore the new ITL operators first \triangleright and last \triangleleft (introduced using time reversal) have been defined together with an extensive library of theorems. These were used to introduce the Runtime Verification monitor language RV [6] together with the algebraic properties of this language.

We also provide an algebraic characterisation of ITL based on [2] and link it with the work on Kleene Algebras [1].

Contents

1	Intervals	3
1.1	Definitions	4
1.2	Lemmas	5
1.2.1	Interval Length	5
1.2.2	nth	6
1.2.3	index sequence	6
1.2.4	prefix, suffix and sub	8
1.2.5	Reverse	12
2	Syntax	17
2.1	Primitive formulae	17
2.2	Derived Boolean Operators	17
2.3	Next and Previous Operators	18
2.4	More and Empty	18
2.5	Box and Diamond Operators	18
2.6	Initial and Final Operators	19
2.7	Programming Constructs	19
2.8	Time reversal	20
3	Semantics	20
3.1	Semantics Primitive Operators	20
3.2	Semantics Boolean Operators	21
3.3	Semantics Box and Diamond Operators	21

3.4	Semantics Next and Previous Operators	22
3.5	Semantics More and Empty	22
3.6	Semantics Initial and Final Operators	23
3.7	Semantics Programming Constructs	23
3.8	Soundness Axioms	23
3.8.1	ChopAssoc	23
3.8.2	OrChopImp	24
3.8.3	ChopOrImp	24
3.8.4	EmptyChop	24
3.8.5	ChopEmpty	24
3.8.6	StatImpBi	24
3.8.7	NextImpNotNextNot	24
3.8.8	BiBoxChopImpChop	24
3.8.9	BoxInduct	25
3.8.10	ChopStarEqv	25
3.9	Time Reversal	33
4	Axioms and Rules	36
4.1	Rules	36
4.2	Axioms	36
5	ITL theorems	37
5.1	Propositional reasoning	38
5.2	State formulas	43
5.3	Basic Theorems	43
5.4	Further Properties Di and Bi	56
5.5	Properties of Da and Ba	61
5.6	Properties of Fin	69
5.7	Properties of Chopstar and Chopplus	90
5.8	Properties of While	105
5.9	Properties of Halt	110
5.10	Properties of Groups of chops	115
5.11	Properties of Time Reversal	115
6	The First Occurrence Operator in ITL	121
6.1	Definitions	121
6.1.1	Definitions Strict Initial and Final	121
6.1.2	Definition First and Last Operators	122
6.2	First and Time Reversal	122
6.3	Semantic Theorems	125
6.3.1	Semantics First and Last Operators	125
6.3.2	Various Semantic Lemmas	127
6.4	Theorems	129
6.4.1	Fixed length intervals	129
6.4.2	Strict initial intervals	132
6.4.3	First occurrence	147

7	Monitors	173
7.1	Syntax	173
7.2	Derived Monitors	174
7.3	Semantics	175
7.4	Monitor Laws	175
8	Interval Temporal Algebra	194
8.1	Definition of fuse operator	194
8.1.1	Fuse lemmas	195
8.2	Definition of Set of intervals and Operations on them	196
8.3	Simplification Lemmas	198
8.4	Algebraic Laws	200
8.4.1	Commutative Additive Monoid	200
8.4.2	Boolean algebra	200
8.4.3	multiplicative monoid	200
8.4.4	Subsumption order	201
8.4.5	Helper lemmas	201
8.4.6	Kleene Algebra	202
8.4.7	Time reversal	203
8.4.8	ITL specific Laws	204
8.5	Derived Laws	205
8.5.1	Helper Lemmas	205
8.5.2	ITL Axioms derived	210
8.6	Extra Laws	212
8.6.1	Boolean Laws	212
8.6.2	Chop	214
8.6.3	Next	214
8.6.4	SInit	217
8.6.5	SStar	220
8.6.6	Box and Diamond	223
8.6.7	Time Reversal	227
8.7	Link between Set of Intervals and ITL	228

```

theory Interval
imports
  Main
begin

```

1 Intervals

An interval is a sequence of elements of a particular type. Intervals are similar to list in Isabelle/HOL, the difference is that intervals have instead of nil a single element at the end. So an interval of length zero is a single element whereas for Isabelle's list we have that an empty list is nil (no element present).

The usual operations on intervals are defined: *length* (*intlen*), *prefix*, *suffix*, *sub*, *nth*, *intfirst*, *intlast*, *intapp* and *intrev*.

In order to define the semantics of the ITL chopstar we introduce *index-sequence* which is a sequence of chop (fuse) points. This sequence is again of type interval but the elements are natural numbers. Two functions *shift* and *shifm* are introduced that are used to add (shift) and subtract a natural number of each element in the sequence of chop (fuse) points.

1.1 Definitions

datatype 'a interval =
 St 'a ([·])
 | Cons 'a 'a interval (infixr \odot 65)

for

 map: map
 rel: interval-all2
 pred: interval-all

type-synonym index = nat interval

syntax

 — interval Enumeration
 -interval :: args => 'a interval ($\langle\langle(-)\rangle\rangle$)

translations

$\langle x, xs \rangle == x \odot \langle xs \rangle$
 $\langle x \rangle == [x]$

primrec (nonexhaustive) intlen :: 'a interval \Rightarrow nat **where**

 intlen (St x) = 0
 | intlen (x \odot xs) = 1 + (intlen xs)

primrec (nonexhaustive) nth :: 'a interval \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a **where**

 nth (St x) n = x
 | nth (Cons x xs) n = (case n of 0 \Rightarrow x | Suc k \Rightarrow nth xs k)

primrec prefix :: nat \Rightarrow 'a interval \Rightarrow 'a interval **where**

 prefix n (St x) = (St x)
 | prefix n (Cons x xs) = (case n of 0 \Rightarrow (St x) | Suc m \Rightarrow (Cons x (prefix m xs)))

primrec suffix :: nat \Rightarrow 'a interval \Rightarrow 'a interval **where**

 suffix n (St x) = (St x)
 | suffix n (Cons x xs) = (case n of 0 \Rightarrow (Cons x xs) | Suc m \Rightarrow suffix m xs)

definition sub :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a interval \Rightarrow 'a interval

where

 sub n k xs = (if k < n then prefix 0 (suffix n xs)
 else prefix (k - n) (suffix n xs)
)

primrec intfirst :: 'a interval \Rightarrow 'a **where**

 intfirst (St x) = x
 | intfirst (Cons x -) = x

primrec *intlast* :: 'a interval \Rightarrow 'a **where**

intlast (St x) = x
| *intlast* (Cons - xs) = *intlast* xs

primrec *intapp* :: 'a interval \Rightarrow 'a interval \Rightarrow 'a interval (**infixr** \odot 65) **where**

intapp-St: (St x) \ominus ys = x \odot ys |
intapp-Cons: (x \odot xs) \ominus ys = x \odot (xs \ominus ys)

primrec *intrev* :: 'a interval \Rightarrow 'a interval **where**

intrev (St x) = (St x)
| *intrev* (Cons x xs) = (*intrev* xs) \ominus (St x)

definition *index-sequence* :: nat \Rightarrow index \Rightarrow bool **where**

index-sequence x idx \equiv (nth idx 0 = x) \wedge (\forall n. n < *intlen* idx \longrightarrow nth idx n < nth idx (Suc n))

definition *shift* :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat **where**

shift k = (λ x. x+k)

definition *shiftn* :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat **where**

shiftn k = (λ x. (if k > x then 0 else (x-k)))

1.2 Lemmas

Basic lemmas are introduced for each of the above operations on intervals.

1.2.1 Interval Length

lemma *interval-intlen-gr-zero* [*simp*]:

intlen xs \geq 0

by *auto*

lemma *interval-intlen-st* :

intlen (St x) = 0

by *simp*

lemma *interval-intlen-cons* [*simp*]:

(*intlen* (x \odot xs)) = (*intlen* xs) + 1

by *simp*

lemma *interval-intlen-cons-1* :

intlen l > 0 \longleftrightarrow (\exists x ls. l = x \odot ls)

by (*induct* l) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intlen-map*:

intlen (map f xs) = *intlen* xs

by (*induct* xs) *simp-all*

1.2.2 nth

lemma *interval-nth-zero* [simp]:

$$\text{nth } (x \odot xs) 0 = x$$

by *simp*

lemma *interval-nth-Suc* [simp]:

$$\text{nth } (x \odot xs) (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nth } xs n$$

by *auto*

lemma *interval-nth-last*:

$$\text{nth } (x \odot xs) (\text{intlen } (x \odot xs)) = \text{nth } xs (\text{intlen } xs)$$

by *simp*

lemma *interval-nth-cons*:

assumes $0 < i \wedge i < 1 + \text{intlen}(xs)$

shows $\text{nth}(x \odot xs) i = \text{nth } xs (i - 1) \wedge$

$$\text{nth}(x \odot xs) (i + 1) = \text{nth } xs ((i - 1) + 1)$$

by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-lel add commute assms interval-nth-Suc le-add-diff-inverse2 plus-1-eq-Suc*)

lemma *interval-nth-zero-intfirst*:

$$\text{nth } xs 0 = \text{intfirst } xs$$

by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-nth-intlen-intlast*:

$$\text{nth } xs (\text{intlen } xs) = \text{intlast } xs$$

by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-st-intlen* :

$$(xs = (\text{St } x)) \longleftrightarrow \text{intlen } xs = 0 \wedge \text{nth } xs 0 = x$$

by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-eq-nth-eq* :

$$(xs = ys) = (\text{intlen } xs = \text{intlen } ys \wedge (\forall i \leq \text{intlen } xs. \text{nth } xs i = \text{nth } ys i))$$

apply (*induct xs arbitrary: ys*)

apply (*metis interval-st-intlen le-numeral-extra(3)*)

apply (*case-tac ys, simp*)

by *fastforce*

lemma *interval-nth-map* :

$$\text{nth } (\text{map } f xs) i = f (\text{nth } xs i)$$

apply (*induct xs arbitrary: i, simp*)

apply (*case-tac i, simp, simp*)

done

1.2.3 index sequence

lemma *interval-idx-less*:

assumes *iseq*: *index-sequence* $x \text{ idx}$

shows $(n < \text{intlen } \text{idx} \wedge n + k < \text{intlen } \text{idx}) \longrightarrow \text{nth } \text{idx } n < \text{nth } \text{idx } (\text{Suc}(n + k))$

apply (*induct k*)

using *index-sequence-def iseq* **apply** *auto*[1]
using *index-sequence-def iseq* **by** *auto*

lemma *interval-idx-less-last* :
assumes *index-sequence x idx*
shows $(i < \text{intlen } \text{idx} \wedge i + (\text{intlen } \text{idx} - (i + 1)) < \text{intlen } \text{idx})$
 $\longrightarrow \text{nth } \text{idx } i < \text{nth } \text{idx } (\text{Suc}(i + (\text{intlen } \text{idx} - (i + 1))))$
using *assms interval-idx-less* **by** *blast*

lemma *interval-idx-less-last-1*:
assumes *index-sequence x idx*
shows $i < \text{intlen } \text{idx} \longrightarrow \text{nth } \text{idx } i < \text{nth } \text{idx } (\text{intlen } \text{idx})$
using *assms interval-idx-less-last* **by** *auto*

lemma *interval-idx-greater-first*:
assumes *index-sequence x idx*
shows $(i > 0 \wedge i \leq \text{intlen } \text{idx}) \longrightarrow x < \text{nth } \text{idx } i$
apply (*induct i, simp*)
using *assms*
by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-le-lessD add-Suc index-sequence-def interval-idx-less less-le-trans plus-1-eq-Suc*)

lemma *interval-idx-cons*:
 $\text{index-sequence } 0 \ (x \odot \text{ls}) =$
 $(x = 0 \wedge x < \text{nth } \text{ls } 0 \wedge \text{index-sequence } (\text{nth } \text{ls } 0) \ \text{ls})$
apply (*simp add: index-sequence-def*)
using *less-Suc-eq-0-disj* **by** *auto*

lemma *interval-idx-shift-mono*:
 $\text{mono } (\text{shift } k)$
by (*simp add: Interval.shift-def mono-def*)

lemma *interval-idx-expand*:
 $\text{index-sequence } 0 \ l \wedge (\text{nth } l \ (\text{intlen } l)) = (\text{intlen } \text{xs}) \wedge 0 \leq i \wedge i < (\text{intlen } l)$
 $\implies 0 \leq (\text{nth } l \ i) \wedge (\text{nth } l \ i) \leq (\text{nth } l \ (i + 1)) \wedge (\text{nth } l \ (i + 1)) \leq (\text{intlen } \text{xs})$
apply (*simp add: index-sequence-def*)
apply (*induct l, simp*)
by (*metis Suc-lessl eq-imp-le index-sequence-def interval-idx-less-last-1 less-imp-le-nat*)

lemma *interval-idx-shift-idx* [*simp*]:
 $(\text{index-sequence } (x + k) \ (\text{map } (\text{shift } k) \ \text{idx})) = (\text{index-sequence } x \ \text{idx})$
by (*simp add: Interval.shift-def index-sequence-def interval-intlen-map interval-nth-map*)

lemma *interval-idx-shiftm* :
 $(\text{index-sequence } k \ (\text{lsk}) \wedge \text{ls} = \text{map } (\text{shiftm } k) \ \text{lsk}) \implies$
 $\text{index-sequence } 0 \ (\text{ls}) \wedge (\text{intlen } \text{ls}) = (\text{intlen } \text{lsk})$
by (*simp add: interval-eq-nth-eq index-sequence-def shift-def shiftm-def interval-nth-map*)
 $(\text{smt Suc-lel diff-less-mono index-sequence-def interval-idx-greater-first interval-intlen-map le-less-trans less-Suc-eq-0-disj not-less order.asym})$

lemma *interval-lsk-ls* :

$(\text{index-sequence } k \text{ (lsk)} \wedge \text{lsk} = \text{map } (\text{shift } k) \text{ ls} \wedge \text{index-sequence } 0 \text{ (ls)}) =$
 $(\text{index-sequence } k \text{ (lsk)} \wedge \text{ls} = \text{map } (\text{shifm } k) \text{ lsk} \wedge \text{index-sequence } 0 \text{ (ls)})$

apply (*simp add: interval-eq-nth-eq index-sequence-def shift-def shifm-def interval-nth-map*)

apply *rule*

apply (*metis (no-types, lifting) add-diff-cancel-right' interval-intlen-map not-add-less2*)

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Suc-eq-plus1 add.commute add-cancel-right-left add-diff-inverse-nat*
ex-least-nat-less interval-intlen-map le-SucE le-zero-eq not-less-zero order-refl)

lemma *interval-idx-link-shifm*:

$(\text{index-sequence } k \text{ (lsk)} \wedge \text{ls} = \text{map } (\text{shifm } k) \text{ lsk}) =$
 $(\text{index-sequence } k \text{ (lsk)} \wedge \text{ls} = \text{map } (\text{shifm } k) \text{ lsk} \wedge$
 $\text{index-sequence } 0 \text{ (ls)} \wedge (\text{intlen ls}) = (\text{intlen lsk}))$

using *interval-idx-shifm* **by** *blast*

lemma *interval-idx-link*:

$(\text{lsk} = \text{map } (\text{shift } k) \text{ ls} \wedge \text{index-sequence } 0 \text{ (ls)}) =$
 $(\text{lsk} = \text{map } (\text{shift } k) \text{ ls} \wedge \text{index-sequence } k \text{ (lsk)} \wedge \text{index-sequence } 0 \text{ (ls)} \wedge$
 $(\text{intlen ls}) = (\text{intlen lsk}))$

by (*metis Interval.shift-def add-diff-cancel-left' diff-diff-cancel diff-is-0-eq'*
interval-idx-shift-idx interval-idx-shift-mono interval-intlen-map le-numeral-extra(3) mono-def)

lemma *interval-idx-bound-0* :

assumes *index-sequence 0 ls* \wedge *Interval.nth ls (intlen ls) = intlen (suffix k xs)*

shows $((i \leq \text{intlen ls}) \longrightarrow ((\text{nth ls } i) \leq (\text{intlen (suffix k xs)})))$

using *assms*

by (*metis add.commute add-eq-if eq-iff interval-idx-less le-add-diff-inverse2*
le-neq-implies-less lessl less-imp-le-nat)

lemma *interval-idx-bound-1*:

$(\text{index-sequence } 0 \text{ (ls)} \wedge (\text{nth (ls) (intlen (ls))}) = (\text{intlen (suffix k xs)})) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\text{index-sequence } 0 \text{ (ls)} \wedge (\text{nth (ls) (intlen (ls))}) = (\text{intlen (suffix k xs)}) \wedge$
 $(\forall i. (i \leq \text{intlen ls}) \longrightarrow ((\text{nth ls } i) \leq (\text{intlen (suffix k xs)}))))$

using *interval-idx-bound-0* **by** *blast*

1.2.4 prefix, suffix and sub

lemma *interval-prefix-state* [*simp*]:

prefix m (St x) = (St x)

by *simp*

lemma *interval-prefix-suc* [*simp*]:

prefix (Suc m) (x \odot xs) = x \odot (prefix m xs)

by *auto*

lemma *interval-prefix-zero* [*simp*]:

prefix 0 (x \odot xs) = St x

by *auto*

lemma *interval-prefix-zero-intfirst* [*simp*]:

$\text{prefix } 0 \text{ } xs = \text{St } (\text{intfirst } xs)$
by $(\text{induct } xs) \text{ simp-all}$

lemma *interval-intfirst-prefix* [simp]:
 $i \leq \text{intlen } xs \implies \text{intfirst } (\text{prefix } i \text{ } xs) = \text{intfirst } xs$
by $(\text{induct } xs \text{ arbitrary: } i, \text{ auto}) (\text{case-tac } i, \text{ auto})$

lemma *interval-prefix-intlen* [simp]:
 $(\text{prefix } (\text{intlen } xs) \text{ } xs) = xs$
by $(\text{induct } xs) \text{ simp-all}$

lemma *interval-prefix-intlen-gr-1* [simp]:
 $(\text{prefix } ((\text{intlen } xs) + i) \text{ } xs) = xs$
by $(\text{induct } xs) \text{ simp-all}$

lemma *interval-intlen-prefix-cons* [simp]:
 $\text{intlen } (\text{prefix } (\text{Suc } i) \text{ } (x \odot xs)) = 1 + \text{intlen } (\text{prefix } i \text{ } xs)$
using *interval-intlen-cons* **by** *auto*

lemma *interval-prefix-length* :
 $\text{intlen } (\text{prefix } i \text{ } xs) = (\text{if } i \leq \text{intlen } xs \text{ then } i \text{ else } \text{intlen } xs)$
by $(\text{induct } xs \text{ arbitrary: } i, \text{ simp}) (\text{case-tac } i, \text{ auto})$

lemma *interval-prefix-length-good* [simp]:
assumes $i \leq \text{intlen } xs$
shows $(\text{intlen } (\text{prefix } i \text{ } xs)) = i$
using *assms* **by** $(\text{simp add: interval-prefix-length})$

lemma *interval-prefix-length-bad* [simp] :
assumes $i > \text{intlen } xs$
shows $\text{intlen } (\text{prefix } i \text{ } xs) = \text{intlen } xs$
using *assms* **by** $(\text{simp add: interval-prefix-length})$

lemma *interval-pref-intlen-bound* :
assumes $i \leq (\text{intlen } xs)$
shows $\text{intlen } (\text{prefix } i \text{ } xs) \leq \text{intlen } xs$
using *assms* **by** $(\text{induct } xs, \text{ simp}) (\text{metis interval-prefix-length})$

lemma *interval-suffix-length*:
 $\text{intlen } (\text{suffix } i \text{ } xs) = (\text{if } i \leq \text{intlen } xs \text{ then } (\text{intlen } xs) - i \text{ else } 0)$
by $(\text{induct } xs \text{ arbitrary: } i, \text{ simp}) (\text{case-tac } i, \text{ auto})$

lemma *interval-suffix-length-good* [simp]:
assumes $i \leq \text{intlen } xs$
shows $\text{intlen } (\text{suffix } i \text{ } xs) = (\text{intlen } xs) - i$
using *assms* **by** $(\text{simp add: interval-suffix-length})$

lemma *interval-suffix-length-bad* [simp]:
assumes $i > \text{intlen } xs$
shows $\text{intlen } (\text{suffix } i \text{ } xs) = 0$

using *assms* **by** (*simp add: interval-suffix-length*)

lemma *interval-nth-prefix* [*simp*]:

$i \leq \text{intlen } xs \wedge k \leq i \implies \text{nth } (\text{prefix } i \text{ } xs) \text{ } k = \text{nth } xs \text{ } k$
apply (*induct xs arbitrary: i k, auto*)
apply (*case-tac i, auto*)
apply (*case-tac k, auto*)
done

lemma *interval-nth-suffix* [*simp*]:

$i \leq \text{intlen } xs \wedge k \leq \text{intlen } xs - i \implies \text{nth } (\text{suffix } i \text{ } xs) \text{ } k = \text{nth } xs \text{ } (i+k)$
by (*induct xs arbitrary: i k, auto*) (*case-tac i, auto*)

lemma *interval-suffix-prefix-help-1*:

assumes $ia+i \leq \text{intlen } xs \wedge k \leq ia$
shows $\text{nth } (\text{prefix } ia \text{ } (\text{suffix } i \text{ } xs)) \text{ } k = \text{nth } (\text{suffix } i \text{ } (\text{prefix } (ia+i) \text{ } xs)) \text{ } k$
proof –
have 1: $\text{nth } (\text{prefix } ia \text{ } (\text{suffix } i \text{ } xs)) \text{ } k = \text{nth } (\text{suffix } i \text{ } xs) \text{ } k$
using *interval-nth-prefix assms* **by** (*metis interval-prefix-intlen-gr-1 le-cases le-iff-add*)
have 2: $\text{nth } (\text{suffix } i \text{ } xs) \text{ } k = \text{nth } xs \text{ } (i+k)$
using *interval-nth-suffix assms* **by** (*simp add: add-le-imp-le-diff*)
have 3: $\text{nth } xs \text{ } (i+k) = \text{nth } (\text{prefix } (ia+i) \text{ } xs) \text{ } (i+k)$
using *interval-nth-prefix assms* **by** *simp*
have 4: $\text{nth } (\text{prefix } (ia+i) \text{ } xs) \text{ } (i+k) = \text{nth } (\text{suffix } i \text{ } (\text{prefix } (ia+i) \text{ } xs)) \text{ } k$
using *interval-nth-suffix assms* **by** *simp*
from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *interval-suffix-prefix-help-2*:

assumes $ia+i \leq \text{intlen } xs$
shows $(\forall k \leq ia. \text{nth } (\text{prefix } ia \text{ } (\text{suffix } i \text{ } xs)) \text{ } k = \text{nth } (\text{suffix } i \text{ } (\text{prefix } (ia+i) \text{ } xs)) \text{ } k)$
using *interval-suffix-prefix-help-1* **using** *assms* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *interval-suffix-prefix-help-3*:

assumes $ia+i \leq \text{intlen } xs$
shows $\text{intlen } (\text{prefix } ia \text{ } (\text{suffix } i \text{ } xs)) = \text{intlen } (\text{suffix } i \text{ } (\text{prefix } (ia+i) \text{ } xs))$
using *assms interval-prefix-length-good interval-suffix-length-good* **by** *auto*

lemma *interval-suffix-prefix-swap*:

assumes $ia+i \leq \text{intlen } xs$
shows $\text{prefix } ia \text{ } (\text{suffix } i \text{ } xs) = \text{suffix } i \text{ } (\text{prefix } (ia+i) \text{ } xs)$
by (*simp add: interval-eq-nth-eq interval-suffix-prefix-help-2 interval-suffix-prefix-help-3 assms*)

lemma *interval-prefix-prefix-zero* [*simp*]:

$\text{prefix } 0 \text{ } (\text{prefix } 0 \text{ } xs) = \text{prefix } 0 \text{ } xs$
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-pref-pref* [*simp*]:

$(\text{prefix } i \text{ } (\text{prefix } i \text{ } xs)) = \text{prefix } i \text{ } xs$
by (*metis interval-prefix-intlen interval-prefix-intlen-gr-1 interval-prefix-length*)

less-imp-add-positive not-less)

lemma *interval-pref-pref-3* [simp]:
 (prefix *i* (prefix (*i*+*k*) *xs*)) = prefix *i* *xs*
apply (induct *xs* arbitrary: *i* *k*, simp)
apply (case-tac *i*, auto)
by (simp add: Nitpick.case-nat-unfold)

lemma *interval-pref-help*:
 assumes $i \leq \text{intlen } xs$ (prefix (intlen *xs* – Suc 0) *xs*)
 shows (prefix *i* (prefix (intlen *xs* – Suc 0) *xs*)) = (prefix *i* *xs*)
using *assms*
by (metis diff-le-self interval-pref-pref-3 interval-prefix-length
 ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse)

lemma *interval-pref-pref-help*:
 assumes $\text{intlen } xs > 0 \wedge ia < \text{intlen } xs$
 shows (prefix *ia* (prefix (intlen *xs* – Suc 0) *xs*)) = (prefix *ia* *xs*)
using *assms*
by (metis Suc-le1 Suc-le-mono Suc-pred diff-le-self interval-pref-help interval-prefix-length-good)

lemma *interval-pref-pref-help-1*:
 assumes $i > 0 \wedge i \leq \text{intlen } xs$
 shows (prefix (intlen (prefix *i* *xs*) – Suc 0) (prefix *i* *xs*)) =
 (prefix (intlen (prefix *i* *xs*) – Suc 0) *xs*)
using *assms* interval-pref-pref-3 **by** (metis diff-le-self interval-prefix-length-good le-iff-add)

lemma *interval-suffix-suc* [simp]:
 suffix (Suc *m*) (*x* ⊙ *xs*) = suffix *m* *xs*
by auto

lemma *interval-suffix-zero* [simp]:
 suffix 0 *xs* = *xs*
by (induct *xs*) simp-all

lemma *interval-suffix-intlen* [simp]:
 suffix (intlen *xs*) *xs* = (St (nth *xs* (intlen *xs*)))
by (induct *xs*) simp-all

lemma *interval-suffix-intlast* [simp]:
 suffix (intlen *xs*) *xs* = St (intlast *xs*)
by (induct *xs*) simp-all

lemma *interval-suffix-suffix* [simp]:
 suffix *i* (suffix *j* *xs*) = suffix (*i*+*j*) *xs*
apply (induct *xs* arbitrary: *i* *j*, simp)
apply (case-tac *i*, auto)
by (simp add: Nitpick.case-nat-unfold)

lemma *interval-prefix-suffix-intlen*:

$\text{intlen } (\text{prefix } ia \text{ (suffix } i \text{ xs)}) =$
 $(\text{if } i \leq \text{intlen } xs \text{ then}$
 $(\text{if } ia \leq \text{intlen } xs - i \text{ then } ia \text{ else } (\text{intlen } xs) - i)$
 $\text{else } 0)$
by (metis interval-prefix-length interval-suffix-length le-zero-eq)

lemma interval-prefix-suffix-intlen-good [simp]:
assumes $ia \leq \text{intlen } xs - i \wedge i \leq \text{intlen } xs$
shows $\text{intlen } (\text{prefix } ia \text{ (suffix } i \text{ xs)}) = ia$
using assms **by** (simp add: interval-prefix-suffix-intlen)

lemma interval-prefix-suffix-intlen-bad-0 [simp]:
assumes $i > \text{intlen } xs$
shows $\text{intlen } (\text{prefix } ia \text{ (suffix } i \text{ xs)}) = 0$
using assms **by** (simp add: interval-prefix-suffix-intlen)

lemma interval-prefix-suffix-intlen-bad-1 [simp] :
assumes $i \leq \text{intlen } xs \wedge ia > \text{intlen } xs - i$
shows $\text{intlen } (\text{prefix } ia \text{ (suffix } i \text{ xs)}) = (\text{intlen } xs) - i$
using assms **by** (simp add: interval-prefix-suffix-intlen)

lemma interval-suffix-suffix-3:
assumes $i > 0 \wedge ia < i \wedge i \leq \text{intlen } xs$
shows $(\text{suffix } (i - ia) \text{ (suffix } ((\text{intlen } xs) - i) \text{ xs})) = (\text{suffix } (((\text{intlen } xs) - ia)) \text{ xs})$
using assms **by** simp

lemma interval-sub-zero-prefix :
 $\text{sub } 0 \text{ k xs} = \text{prefix } k \text{ xs}$
by (simp add: Interval.sub-def)

lemma interval-sub-suffix :
assumes $(i < j \wedge j \leq (\text{intlen } xs) - k)$
shows $(\text{sub } (i + k) \text{ (j + k) xs}) = (\text{sub } i \text{ j (suffix k xs)})$
using assms **by** (simp add: Interval.sub-def)

lemma interval-sub-prefix-suffix-0:
assumes $(0 \leq i \wedge ia + i \leq \text{intlen } xs)$
shows $(\text{sub } i \text{ (i + ia) xs}) = (\text{prefix } (ia) \text{ (suffix } i \text{ xs)})$
using assms **by** (simp add: Interval.sub-def)

lemma interval-sub-prefix-suffix:
assumes $0 \leq i \wedge i \leq j \wedge j \leq \text{intlen } xs$
shows $(\text{sub } i \text{ j xs}) = (\text{prefix } (j - i) \text{ (suffix } i \text{ xs)})$
using assms **by** (simp add: Interval.sub-def)

1.2.5 Reverse

lemma interval-intlen-intapp [simp]:
 $\text{intlen } (xs \ominus ys) = (\text{intlen } xs) + (\text{intlen } ys) + 1$
by (induct xs arbitrary: ys) simp-all

lemma *interval-intrev-intlen* [simp]:

$\text{intlen} (\text{intrev } xs) = \text{intlen } xs$

by (induct xs, simp, simp)

lemma *interval-suffix-intapp* [simp]:

$\text{suffix} (\text{Suc} (\text{intlen } xs)) (xs \ominus ys) = ys$

by (induct xs) simp-all

lemma *interval-suffix-intapp2* [simp]:

$\text{suffix} (\text{intlen } xs - k) (xs \ominus ys) = \text{suffix} (\text{intlen } xs - k) (xs \ominus ys)$

by (induct xs, simp)

(metis Suc-diff-le diff-is-0-eq' intapp-Cons interval-suffix-suc interval-suffix-zero
intlen.simps(2) not-less-eq-eq plus-1-eq-Suc)

lemma *interval-intapp-assoc* [simp]:

$(xs \ominus ys) \ominus zs = xs \ominus (ys \ominus zs)$

by (induct xs) simp-all

lemma *interval-intapp-nth*:

$\text{nth} (xs \ominus ys) k = (\text{if } k \leq \text{intlen } xs$
 $\text{then } (\text{nth } xs k)$
 $\text{else } (\text{nth } ys (k - (\text{intlen } xs) - 1)))$

apply (induct xs arbitrary: k)

apply (case-tac k, simp, simp)

apply (case-tac k, simp, simp)

done

lemma *interval-rev-intapp* [simp]:

$\text{intrev} (xs \ominus ys) = (\text{intrev } ys) \ominus (\text{intrev } xs)$

by (induct xs) simp-all

lemma *interval-rev-rev-ident* [simp]:

$\text{intrev} (\text{intrev } xs) = xs$

by (induct xs) auto

lemma *interval-rev-swap* :

$((\text{intrev } xs) = ys) = (xs = \text{intrev } ys)$

by auto

lemma *interval-intlast-intrev*:

$\text{intlast} (\text{intrev } xs) = \text{intfirst } xs$

by (induct xs, auto)

(metis Suc-eq-plus1 add.right-neutral interval.inject(1) interval-intlen-intapp
interval-intlen-st interval-suffix-intapp interval-suffix-intlast)

lemma *interval-intfirst-intrev*:

$\text{intfirst} (\text{intrev } xs) = \text{intlast } xs$

by (induct xs, auto)

(metis intapp-St interval-intlast-intrev interval-rev-intapp intlast.simps(2) intrev.simps(1))

lemma *interval-intrev-nth*:

$k \leq \text{intlen } (x) \implies (\text{nth } (x) k) = (\text{nth } x ((\text{intlen } x) - k))$

apply (*induct* *xs*, *simp*)

apply *simp*

apply (*case-tac* *k*)

apply (*simp add: interval-intapp-nth*)

by (*smt* *Interval.nth.simps(1)* *Suc-diff-Suc diff-Suc-Suc diff-is-0-eq'* *interval-intapp-nth*
interval-intrev-intlen le-SucE less-Suc-eq-le old.nat.simps(4) old.nat.simps(5))

lemma *interval-intrev-prefix*:

$k \leq \text{intlen } x \implies \text{intrev } (\text{prefix } k x) = \text{suffix } ((\text{intlen } x) - k) (\text{intrev } x)$

apply (*induct* *xs arbitrary: k*, *simp*)

apply *simp*

apply (*case-tac* *k*)

apply (*metis* *diff-zero interval-intrev-intlen interval-suffix-intapp intrev.simps(1) old.nat.simps(4)*)

by (*metis* *Suc-le-mono diff-Suc-Suc interval-intrev-intlen interval-suffix-intapp2*
intrev.simps(2) old.nat.simps(5))

lemma *interval-intrev-suffix*:

$k \leq \text{intlen } x \implies \text{intrev } (\text{suffix } k x) = \text{prefix } ((\text{intlen } x) - k) (\text{intrev } x)$

by (*induct* *xs arbitrary: k*, *simp*, *simp add: interval-intrev-prefix interval-rev-swap*)

lemma *interval-intrev-sub1*:

assumes $0 \leq i \wedge i \leq j \wedge j \leq \text{intlen } x$

shows $\text{intrev } (\text{sub } i j x) = \text{intrev } (\text{prefix } (j-i) (\text{suffix } i x))$

using *assms interval-sub-prefix-suffix* **by** (*simp add: interval-sub-prefix-suffix*)

lemma *interval-intrev-sub2*:

assumes $0 \leq i \wedge i \leq j \wedge j \leq \text{intlen } x$

shows $\text{intrev } (\text{prefix } (j-i) (\text{suffix } i x)) = \text{suffix } ((\text{intlen } x) - j) (\text{intrev } (\text{suffix } i x))$

using *assms interval-intrev-prefix[of j-i suffix i x]* **by** *auto*

lemma *interval-intrev-sub3*:

assumes $0 \leq i \wedge i \leq j \wedge j \leq \text{intlen } x$

shows $\text{suffix } ((\text{intlen } x) - j) (\text{intrev } (\text{suffix } i x)) =$
 $\text{suffix } ((\text{intlen } x) - j) (\text{prefix } ((\text{intlen } x) - i) (\text{intrev } x))$

using *assms interval-intrev-suffix[of i x]* **by** *auto*

lemma *interval-intrev-sub4*:

assumes $0 \leq i \wedge i \leq j \wedge j \leq \text{intlen } x$

shows $\text{suffix } ((\text{intlen } x) - j) (\text{prefix } ((\text{intlen } x) - i) (\text{intrev } x)) =$
 $\text{sub } ((\text{intlen } x) - j) ((\text{intlen } x) - i) (\text{intrev } x)$

using *assms* **by** (*simp add: diff-le-mono2 interval-sub-prefix-suffix interval-suffix-prefix-swap*)

lemma *interval-intrev-sub*:

assumes $0 \leq i \wedge i \leq j \wedge j \leq \text{intlen } x$

shows $\text{intrev } (\text{sub } i j x) = \text{sub } ((\text{intlen } x) - j) ((\text{intlen } x) - i) (\text{intrev } x)$

using *assms*

by (*simp add: interval-intrev-sub1 interval-intrev-sub2 interval-intrev-sub3 interval-intrev-sub4*)

lemma *interval-intrev-idx-2:*

assumes *index-sequence* $0 \leq i \wedge (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l)) = (intlen\ xs) \wedge$
 $0 \leq i \wedge i < (intlen\ l)$

shows $(intrev\ (sub\ (nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (i+1))\ xs)) =$
 $(sub\ ((intlen\ xs) - (nth\ l\ (i+1)))\ ((intlen\ xs) - (nth\ l\ i))\ (intrev\ xs)))$

using *assms interval-idx-expand interval-intrev-sub*[*of* $(nth\ l\ i)\ (nth\ l\ (i+1))\ xs]$
by *blast*

lemma *interval-intrev-idx-3:*

assumes *index-sequence* $0 \leq i \wedge (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l)) = (intlen\ xs) \wedge$
 $ls = map\ (\lambda\ x.\ (intlen\ xs) - x)\ (intrev\ l)$

shows $(nth\ ls\ 0) = 0 \wedge (nth\ ls\ (intlen\ ls)) = (intlen\ xs) \wedge intlen\ ls = intlen\ l$

using *assms*

by (*metis diff-self-eq-0 diff-zero index-sequence-def interval-intfirst-intrev*
interval-intlast-intrev interval-intlen-map interval-intrev-intlen
interval-nth-intlen-intlast interval-nth-map interval-nth-zero-intfirst)

lemma *interval-intrev-idx-4:*

index-sequence $0 \leq i \wedge (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l)) = (intlen\ xs) \wedge$
 $ls = map\ (\lambda\ x.\ (intlen\ xs) - x)\ (intrev\ l)$

$\implies i \leq intlen\ ls \implies (nth\ ls\ i) = (intlen\ xs) - (nth\ l\ ((intlen\ l) - i))$

apply (*induct* *ls*)

apply (*metis diff-zero interval-intlen-st interval-intrev-idx-3 le-0-eq*)

by (*simp add: interval-intlen-map interval-intrev-nth interval-nth-map*)

lemma *interval-intrev-idx-5:*

assumes (*index-sequence* $0 \leq i \wedge (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l)) = (intlen\ xs)$)

shows $(i < intlen\ l \implies$
 $(intlen\ xs) - (nth\ l\ ((intlen\ l) - i)) < (intlen\ xs) - (nth\ l\ ((intlen\ l) - (i+1))))$

using *assms*

by (*smt Suc-diff-Suc Suc-eq-plus1 add-gr-0 add-less-cancel-left diff-less*
index-sequence-def le-add-diff-inverse2 le-numeral-extra(3) less-diff-conv
less-imp-le-nat not-gr-zero interval-idx-expand)

lemma *interval-intrev-idx-6:*

assumes (*index-sequence* $0 \leq i \wedge (nth\ l\ (intlen\ l)) = (intlen\ xs) \wedge$
 $ls = map\ (\lambda\ x.\ (intlen\ xs) - x)\ (intrev\ l)$)

shows $(i < intlen\ ls \implies$
 $((nth\ ls\ i) = (intlen\ xs) - (nth\ l\ ((intlen\ l) - i)) \wedge$
 $(nth\ ls\ (i+1)) = (intlen\ xs) - (nth\ l\ ((intlen\ l) - (i+1))) \wedge$
 $(nth\ ls\ i) < (nth\ ls\ (i+1))))$

proof –

have 1: $(i < intlen\ ls \implies (nth\ ls\ i) = (intlen\ xs) - (nth\ l\ ((intlen\ l) - i)))$
using *assms interval-intrev-idx-4 less-imp-le-nat* **by** *blast*

have 2: $(i < intlen\ ls \implies (nth\ ls\ (i+1)) = (intlen\ xs) - (nth\ l\ ((intlen\ l) - (i+1))))$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: interval-intrev-idx-4*)

have 3: $(i < intlen\ ls \implies$
 $((nth\ ls\ i) = (intlen\ xs) - (nth\ l\ ((intlen\ l) - i)) \wedge$
 $(nth\ ls\ (i+1)) = (intlen\ xs) - (nth\ l\ ((intlen\ l) - (i+1))))$

```

    using 1 2 by auto
have 4: (i < intlen ls →
  ((nth ls i) = (intlen xs) - (nth l ((intlen l) - i)) ∧
  (nth ls (i+1)) = (intlen xs) - (nth l ((intlen l) - (i+1))) ∧
  (nth ls i) < (nth ls (i+1))))
  using assms 3 index-sequence-def interval-intrev-idx-5
  by (metis interval-intlen-map interval-intrev-intlen)
from 4 show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma interval-intrev-idx-7:
  assumes (index-sequence 0 l ∧ (nth l (intlen l)) = (intlen xs) ∧
    ls = map (λ x. (intlen xs) - x) (intrev l))
  shows index-sequence 0 ls
using assms interval-intrev-idx-6 interval-intrev-idx-3
by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 index-sequence-def)

lemma interval-intrev-idx-8:
  assumes index-sequence 0 l ∧ (nth l (intlen l)) = (intlen xs) ∧
    ls = map (λ x. (intlen xs) - x) (intrev l) ∧ index-sequence 0 ls
  shows i < intlen ls →
    (intlen xs) - (nth l (i+1)) = nth ls ((intlen ls) - (i+1)) ∧
    (intlen xs) - (nth l i) = (nth ls ((intlen ls) - i))
using assms interval-intrev-idx-4
by (smt Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-le1 add-diff-cancel-right' assms diff-diff-cancel diff-diff-left
  diff-le-self interval-intrev-idx-3)

lemma interval-intrev-idx-9:
  assumes index-sequence 0 l ∧ (nth l (intlen l)) = (intlen xs) ∧
    ls = map (λ x. (intlen xs) - x) (intrev l) ∧ index-sequence 0 ls
  shows i < intlen ls →
    sub ((intlen xs) - (nth l (i+1))) ((intlen xs) - (nth l i)) (intrev xs) =
    sub (nth ls ((intlen ls) - (i+1))) (nth ls ((intlen ls) - i)) (intrev xs)

using interval-intrev-idx-8 using assms by fastforce

lemma interval-intrev-idx-11:
  assumes (index-sequence 0 l ∧ (nth l (intlen l)) = (intlen xs))
  shows i ≤ intlen l →
    (nth l i) = (nth (map (λ x. (intlen xs) - x) (intrev (map (λ x. (intlen xs) - x) (intrev l)))) i)
using assms index-sequence-def
by (smt diff-diff-cancel diff-is-0 eq diff-less diff-zero leD le-cases not-gr-zero
  interval-intrev-idx-3 interval-intrev-idx-6 interval-intrev-idx-7)

lemma interval-intrev-idx-12:
  assumes (index-sequence 0 l ∧ (nth l (intlen l)) = (intlen xs))
  shows l = map (λ x. (intlen xs) - x) (intrev (map (λ x. (intlen xs) - x) (intrev l)))
using assms interval-intrev-idx-11
by (simp add: interval-intrev-idx-11 interval-eq-nth-eq interval-intlen-map)

```


end

theory *Syntax*

imports

Main

abbrevs $\&-i = \wedge_i$ and

$| -i = \vee_i$ and

$) -i = \supset_i$ and

$\neg -i = \neg_i$ and

$= -i = \equiv_i$ and

false-i = *false_i* and

true-i = *true_i* and

if-i = *if_i* and

$-* = *$

begin

2 Syntax

The basic ITL syntax is introduced first followed by the derived operators including the time reversal operator ([4]).

2.1 Primitive formulae

datatype *'a pitl* =

<i>false-d</i>	(<i>false_i</i>)
<i>atom-d 'a</i> \Rightarrow <i>bool</i>	((<i>atom_i</i> -))
<i>implies-d 'a pitl 'a pitl</i>	((- \supset_i -) [26,25] 25)
<i>skip-d</i>	(<i>skip</i>)
<i>chop-d 'a pitl 'a pitl</i>	((- ; -) [84,84] 83)
<i>chopstar-d 'a pitl</i>	((- *) [85] 85)

2.2 Derived Boolean Operators

definition *not-d* ((\neg_i -) [90] 90)

where

$\neg_i f \equiv f \supset_i \text{false}_i$

definition *true-d* (*true_i*)

where

true_i $\equiv \neg_i \text{false}_i$

definition *or-d* ((- \vee_i -) [31,30] 30)

where

$f \vee_i g \equiv \neg_i f \supset_i g$

definition *and-d* ((- \wedge_i -) [36,35] 35)

where

$$f \wedge_i g \equiv \neg_i (\neg_i f \vee_i \neg_i g)$$

definition *iff-d* ($(- \equiv_i -)$ [21,20] 20)

where

$$f \equiv_i g \equiv ((f \supset_i g) \wedge_i (g \supset_i f))$$

2.3 Next and Previous Operators

definition *next-d* ($(\bigcirc -)$ [88] 87)

where

$$\bigcirc f \equiv \text{skip} ; f$$

definition *wnext-d* ($(\text{wnext} -)$ [88] 87)

where

$$\text{wnext } f \equiv \neg_i (\bigcirc (\neg_i f))$$

definition *prev-d* ($(\text{prev} -)$ [88] 87)

where

$$\text{prev } f \equiv f ; \text{skip}$$

definition *wprev-d* ($(\text{wprev} -)$ [88] 87)

where

$$\text{wprev } f \equiv \neg_i (\text{prev}(\neg_i f))$$

2.4 More and Empty

definition *more-d* (*more*)

where

$$\text{more} \equiv \bigcirc \text{true}_i$$

definition *empty-d* (*empty*)

where

$$\text{empty} \equiv \neg_i \text{more}$$

2.5 Box and Diamond Operators

definition *sometimes-d* ($(\Diamond -)$ [88] 87)

where

$$\Diamond f \equiv \text{true}_i ; f$$

definition *always-d* ($(\Box -)$ [88] 87)

where

$$\Box f \equiv \neg_i (\Diamond (\neg_i f))$$

definition *di-d* ($(\text{di} -)$ [88] 87)

where

$$\text{di } f \equiv f ; \text{true}_i$$

definition *bi-d* ($(\text{bi} -)$ [88] 87)

where

$$bi\ f \equiv \neg_i (di\ (\neg_i\ f))$$

definition *da-d* ((*da -*) [88] 87)

where

$$da\ f \equiv true_i ; (f ; true_i)$$

definition *ba-d* ((*ba -*) [88] 87)

where

$$ba\ f \equiv \neg_i (da\ (\neg_i\ f))$$

definition *dm-d* ((*dm -*) [88] 87)

where

$$dm\ f \equiv \Diamond (more \wedge_i f)$$

definition *bm-d* ((*bm -*) [88] 87)

where

$$bm\ f \equiv \neg_i (dm\ (\neg_i\ f))$$

2.6 Initial and Final Operators

definition *init-d* ((*init -*) [88] 87)

where

$$init\ f \equiv ((empty \wedge_i f); true_i)$$

definition *fin-d* ((*fin -*) [88] 87)

where

$$fin\ f \equiv \Box (empty \supset_i f)$$

2.7 Programming Constructs

definition *halt-d* ((*halt -*) [88] 87)

where

$$halt\ f \equiv \Box (empty \equiv_i f)$$

definition *keep-d* ((*keep -*) [88] 87)

where

$$keep\ f \equiv ba\ (skip \supset_i f)$$

definition *yields-d* ((*- yields -*) [88,88] 87)

where

$$f\ yields\ g \equiv \neg_i (f ; \neg_i\ g)$$

definition *ifthenelse-d* ((*if_i - then - else -*) [88,88,88] 87)

where

$$if_i\ f\ then\ g\ else\ h \equiv (f \wedge_i g) \vee_i (\neg_i f) \wedge_i h$$

definition *ifthen-d* ((*if_i - then -*) [88,88] 87)

where

$$if_i\ f\ then\ g \equiv if_i\ f\ then\ g\ else\ true_i$$

definition *while-d* ((*while - do -*) [88,88] 87)

where

while f do g $\equiv (f \wedge_i g)^* \wedge_i \text{fin } (\neg_i f)$

definition *repeat-d* ((*repeat - until -*) [88,88] 87)

where

repeat f until g $\equiv f ; (\text{while } (\neg_i g) \text{ do } f)$

primrec *len-d* :: *nat* \Rightarrow '*a pitl* ((*len -*) [88] 87) **where**

(*len 0*) = *empty*

| (*len (Suc n)*) = (*skip ; len n*)

primrec *power-chop-d* :: '*a pitl* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow '*a pitl* **where**

power-0 : *power-chop-d f 0* = *empty*

| *power-Suc*: *power-chop-d f (Suc n)* = ((*f* \wedge_i *more*);(*power-chop-d f n*))

primrec *power-d* :: '*a pitl* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow '*a pitl* ((*power -*) [88,88] 87) **where**

pow-0 : *power-d f 0* = *empty*

| *pow-Suc*: *power-d f (Suc n)* = ((*f*);(*power-d f n*))

2.8 Time reversal

primrec *rev-d* :: '*a pitl* \Rightarrow '*a pitl* ((*- r*) [88] 87)

where

false_i^r = *false_i*

| (*atom_i p*)^r = *fin (atom_i p)*

| (*f1* \supset_i *f2*)^r = (*f1^r* \supset_i *f2^r*)

| *skip^r* = *skip*

| (*f1* ; *f2*)^r = (*f2^r* ; *f1^r*)

| (*f*^{*})^r = (*f^r*)^{*}

end

theory *Semantics*

imports

Interval

Syntax

begin

3 Semantics

The semantics of the basic ITL operators is defined. Then lemmas are introduced that define the derived ITL operators in terms of operations on intervals. Furthermore we prove the soundness of the ITL axioms. This is followed by the key time reversal lemma.

3.1 Semantics Primitive Operators

fun *semantics-pitl* :: [*a interval*, '*a pitl*] \Rightarrow *bool* ((*-* \models *-*) [80,10] 10)

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\sigma \models \text{false}_i) = \text{False} \\
& | (\sigma \models \text{atom}_i \ p) = (p \ (\text{intfirst } \sigma)) \\
& | (\sigma \models f \supset_i g) = ((\sigma \models f) \longrightarrow (\sigma \models g)) \\
& | (\sigma \models \text{skip}) = (\text{intlen } \sigma = 1) \\
& | (\sigma \models f ; g) = \\
& \quad (\exists i. ((0 \leq i) \wedge (i \leq (\text{intlen } \sigma)) \wedge ((\text{prefix } i \ \sigma) \models f) \wedge ((\text{suffix } i \ \sigma) \models g))) \\
& | (\sigma \models f^*) = (\exists (l::\text{index}). \text{index-sequence } 0 \ l \wedge (\text{nth } l \ (\text{intlen } l)) = (\text{intlen } \sigma) \wedge \\
& \quad (\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (\text{intlen } l)) \longrightarrow \\
& \quad \quad ((\text{sub } (\text{nth } l \ i) \ (\text{nth } l \ (i+1))) \sigma) \models f) \\
& \quad) \\
&)
\end{aligned}$$

3.2 Semantics Boolean Operators

lemma *not-defs [simp]*:

$$(\sigma \models \neg_i f) = \text{Not } (\sigma \models f)$$

by (*simp add: not-d-def*)

lemma *or-defs [simp]*:

$$(\sigma \models f1 \vee_i f2) = ((\sigma \models f1) \vee (\sigma \models f2))$$

by (*metis not-defs or-d-def semantics-pitl.simps(3)*)

lemma *and-defs [simp]*:

$$(\sigma \models f1 \wedge_i f2) = ((\sigma \models f1) \wedge (\sigma \models f2))$$

by (*simp add: and-d-def*)

lemma *implies-defs [simp]*:

$$(\sigma \models f1 \supset_i f2) = ((\sigma \models f1) \longrightarrow (\sigma \models f2))$$

by *auto*

lemma *iff-defs [simp]*:

$$(\sigma \models f1 \equiv_i f2) = ((\sigma \models f1) = (\sigma \models f2))$$

by (*metis and-defs iff-d-def semantics-pitl.simps(3)*)

lemma *true-defs [simp]*:

$$(\sigma \models \text{true}_i)$$

by (*simp add: true-d-def*)

3.3 Semantics Box and Diamond Operators

lemma *sometimes-defs [simp]*:

$$(\sigma \models \diamond f) = (\exists i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma. (\text{suffix } i \ \sigma \models f))$$

by (*simp add: sometimes-d-def*)

lemma *always-defs [simp]*:

$$(\sigma \models \Box f) = (\forall i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma. (\text{suffix } i \ \sigma \models f))$$

by (*simp add: always-d-def*)

lemma *di-defs [simp]*:

$(\sigma \models di\ f) = (\exists\ i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma. (\text{prefix } i\ \sigma \models f))$
by (*simp add: di-d-def*)

lemma *bi-defs* [*simp*]:
 $(\sigma \models bi\ f) = (\forall\ i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma. (\text{prefix } i\ \sigma \models f))$
by (*simp add: bi-d-def*)

lemma *da-defs* [*simp*]:
 $(\sigma \models da\ f) = (\exists\ i\ ia. 0 \leq i \wedge ia+i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge (\text{sub } i\ (ia+i)\ \sigma \models f))$
apply (*simp add: da-d-def*)
using *interval-prefix-length-good interval-suffix-length-good*
by (*smt add.commute add-diff-cancel-left' add-leD2 interval-sub-prefix-suffix-0 le-iff-add nat-add-left-cancel-le zero-le*)

lemma *ba-defs* [*simp*]:
 $(\sigma \models ba\ f) = (\forall\ i\ ia. (0 \leq i \wedge ia+i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma) \longrightarrow (\text{sub } i\ (ia+i)\ \sigma \models f))$
by (*metis ba-d-def da-defs not-defs*)

3.4 Semantics Next and Previous Operators

lemma *skip-defs* :
 $(\sigma \models \text{skip}) = (\text{intlen } \sigma = 1)$
by *simp*

lemma *next-defs* [*simp*]:
 $(\sigma \models \circ\ f) = (\text{intlen } \sigma > 0 \wedge ((\text{suffix } 1\ \sigma) \models f))$
using *Suc-le-eq* **by** (*simp add: next-d-def*) *force*

lemma *wnext-defs* [*simp*]:
 $(\sigma \models \text{wnext } f) = ((\text{intlen } \sigma) = 0 \vee ((\text{suffix } 1\ \sigma) \models f))$
by (*simp add: wnext-d-def*)

lemma *prev-defs* [*simp*]:
 $(\sigma \models \text{prev } f) = (\text{intlen } \sigma > 0 \wedge ((\text{prefix } ((\text{intlen } \sigma) - 1)\ \sigma) \models f))$
by (*simp add: prev-d-def*)
(metis One-nat-def Suc-le1 diff-diff-cancel diff-is-0-eq' diff-le-self interval-suffix-length-good neq0-conv zero-neq-one)

lemma *wprev-defs* [*simp*]:
 $(\sigma \models \text{wprev } f) = ((\text{intlen } \sigma) = 0 \vee ((\text{prefix } ((\text{intlen } \sigma) - 1)\ \sigma) \models f))$
by (*simp add: wprev-d-def*)

3.5 Semantics More and Empty

lemma *more-defs* [*simp*] :
 $(\sigma \models \text{more}) = (\text{intlen } \sigma > 0)$
by (*simp add: more-d-def*)

lemma *empty-defs* [*simp*]:
 $(\sigma \models \text{empty}) = (\text{intlen } \sigma = 0)$

by (simp add: empty-d-def)

3.6 Semantics Initial and Final Operators

lemma *init-defs* [simp]:

$$(\sigma \models \text{init } f) = (\text{St } (\text{intfirst } \sigma)) \models f$$

by (simp add: init-d-def) auto

lemma *fin-defs* [simp]:

$$(\sigma \models \text{fin } f) = (\text{St } (\text{intlast } \sigma)) \models f$$

by (simp add: fin-d-def interval-nth-intlen-intlast)

3.7 Semantics Programming Constructs

lemma *ifthenelse-defs* [simp]:

$$(\sigma \models \text{if } f_0 \text{ then } f_1 \text{ else } f_2) =$$

$$((\sigma \models f_0) \wedge (\sigma \models f_1)) \vee (\neg(\sigma \models f_0) \wedge (\sigma \models f_2))$$

by (simp add: ifthenelse-d-def)

lemma *len-defs* [simp]:

$$(\sigma \models \text{len } n) = ((\text{intlen } \sigma) = n)$$

by (induct n arbitrary: σ , simp, simp) fastforce

3.8 Soundness Axioms

3.8.1 ChopAssoc

lemma *ChopAssocSemHelp*:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\exists i \text{ ia} . i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge \text{ia} \leq \text{intlen } \sigma - i \wedge (\text{prefix } i \sigma \models f) \wedge \\ &(\text{prefix } \text{ia } (\text{suffix } i \sigma) \models g) \wedge (\text{suffix } (\text{ia} + i) \sigma \models h)) = \\ &(\exists j \text{ ja} . j \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge \text{ja} \leq j \wedge (\text{prefix } \text{ja } (\text{prefix } j \sigma) \models f) \wedge \\ &(\text{suffix } \text{ja } (\text{prefix } j \sigma) \models g) \wedge (\text{suffix } j \sigma \models h)) \end{aligned}$$

by (smt Nat.le-diff-conv2 add-diff-cancel-left' interval-pref-pref-3 interval-suffix-prefix-swap
le-add1 le-add-diff-inverse2 le-trans)

lemma *ChopAssocSemHelp2*:

$$(\sigma \models f ; (g ; h)) = (\sigma \models (f;g);h)$$

proof –

$$\text{have } (\sigma \models f ; (g ; h)) =$$

$$((\exists i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma . (\text{prefix } i \sigma \models f) \wedge (\exists \text{ia} \leq \text{intlen } (\text{suffix } i \sigma) . \\ (\text{prefix } \text{ia } (\text{suffix } i \sigma) \models g) \wedge (\text{suffix } (\text{ia} + i) \sigma \models h))))$$

by simp-all

also have ... =

$$(\exists i \text{ ia} . i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge \text{ia} \leq \text{intlen } \sigma - i \wedge (\text{prefix } i \sigma \models f) \wedge \\ (\text{prefix } \text{ia } (\text{suffix } i \sigma) \models g) \wedge (\text{suffix } (\text{ia} + i) \sigma \models h))$$

by fastforce

also have ... =

$$(\exists j \text{ ja} . j \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge \text{ja} \leq j \wedge (\text{prefix } \text{ja } (\text{prefix } j \sigma) \models f) \wedge \\ (\text{suffix } \text{ja } (\text{prefix } j \sigma) \models g) \wedge (\text{suffix } j \sigma \models h))$$

using ChopAssocSemHelp[of σ f g h] by blast

also have ... =

$$(\exists i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma. (\exists ia \leq \text{intlen } (\text{prefix } i \ \sigma). (\text{prefix } ia (\text{prefix } i \ \sigma) \models f) \wedge (\text{suffix } ia (\text{prefix } i \ \sigma) \models g)) \wedge (\text{suffix } i \ \sigma \models h))$$

by *fastforce*

also have ... =

$$(\sigma \models (f;g);h) \text{ by } \textit{simp-all}$$

finally show $(\sigma \models f ; (g ; h)) = (\sigma \models (f;g);h) .$

qed

lemma *ChopAssocSem:*

$$(\sigma \models f ; (g ; h) \equiv_i (f;g);h)$$

using *ChopAssocSemHelp2* **using** *iff-defs* **by** *blast*

3.8.2 OrChopImp

lemma *OrChopImpSem:*

$$(\sigma \models (f \vee_i g);h \supset_i f;h \vee_i g;h)$$

by *simp-all blast*

3.8.3 ChopOrImp

lemma *ChopOrImpSem:*

$$(\sigma \models f;(g \vee_i h) \supset_i f;g \vee_i f;h)$$

by *simp-all blast*

3.8.4 EmptyChop

lemma *EmptyChopSem:*

$$(\sigma \models \textit{empty} ; f \equiv_i f)$$

by *simp-all auto*

3.8.5 ChopEmpty

lemma *ChopEmptySem:*

$$(\sigma \models f;\textit{empty} \equiv_i f)$$

by *simp-all auto*

3.8.6 StateImpBi

lemma *StateImpBiSem:*

$$(\sigma \models \textit{init } f \supset_i \textit{bi } (\textit{init } f))$$

by *simp-all*

3.8.7 NextImpNotNextNot

lemma *NextImpNotNextNotSem:*

$$(\sigma \models \bigcirc f \supset_i \neg_i (\bigcirc \neg_i f))$$

by *auto*

3.8.8 BiBoxChopImpChop

lemma *BiBoxChopImpChopSem:*

$$(\sigma \models \textit{bi } (f \supset_i f1) \wedge_i \Box(g \supset_i g1) \supset_i f;g \supset_i f1;g1)$$

by *fastforce*

3.8.9 BoxInduct

lemma *box-induct-help-1* :

$(\sigma \models f) \wedge (\forall i. \text{Suc } 0 \leq \text{intlen } \sigma - i \longrightarrow$
 $i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \longrightarrow (\text{suffix } i \sigma \models f) \longrightarrow (\text{suffix } (\text{Suc } i) \sigma \models f))$
 $\implies (\forall j. j \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \longrightarrow (\text{suffix } j \sigma \models f))$

proof

fix *j*

show $(\sigma \models f) \wedge (\forall i. \text{Suc } 0 \leq \text{intlen } \sigma - i \longrightarrow$
 $i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \longrightarrow (\text{suffix } i \sigma \models f) \longrightarrow (\text{suffix } (\text{Suc } i) \sigma \models f))$
 $\implies j \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \longrightarrow (\text{suffix } j \sigma \models f)$

proof

(induct j arbitrary: σ)

case *0*

then show *?case* by *simp*

next

case *(Suc j)*

then show *?case*

by *(metis Nat.le-diff-conv2 One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1-left Suc-leD)*

qed

qed

lemma *BoxInductSem*:

$(\sigma \models \Box (f \supset_i \text{wnext } f) \wedge_i f \supset_i \Box f)$

apply *(simp)*

using *box-induct-help-1* by *(metis One-nat-def diff-self-eq-0 not-one-le-zero)*

3.8.10 ChopStarEqv

lemma *chopstar-help-1*:

$(\exists I. I = \langle 0 \rangle \wedge \text{index-sequence } 0 I \wedge$
 $\text{Interval.nth } I (\text{intlen } I) = (\text{intlen } \sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (\text{intlen } I)) \longrightarrow$
 $((\text{sub } (\text{nth } I i) (\text{nth } I (i+1)) \sigma) \models f)$
 $)) \longleftrightarrow (\text{intlen } \sigma = 0)$

by *(simp add: index-sequence-def)*

lemma *chopstar-help-2*:

$(\forall i. (0 < i \wedge i < 1 + (\text{intlen } I)) \longrightarrow$
 $((\text{sub } (\text{nth } I (i-1)) (\text{nth } I ((i-1)+1)) \sigma) \models f)$
 $) =$
 $(\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (\text{intlen } I)) \longrightarrow$
 $((\text{sub } (\text{nth } I i) (\text{nth } I ((i)+1)) \sigma) \models f)$
 $)$

by *(metis Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-pred add-diff-cancel-right' add-less-cancel-left*
add-nonneg-pos le-add2 le-add-same-cancel2 plus-1-eq-Suc zero-less-one)

lemma *chop-power-chain*:

$(\exists (I::\text{index}). (\text{intlen } I) = (\text{Suc } n) \wedge \text{index-sequence } 0 I \wedge (\text{nth } I (\text{intlen } I)) = (\text{intlen } \sigma) \wedge$

```

      (∀ i. (0 ≤ i ∧ i < (intlen l)) →
        ((sub (nth l i) (nth l (i+1))) σ) ⊨ f)
    )
  ) =
  (∃ k. 0 ≤ k ∧ k ≤ intlen σ ∧ k > 0 ∧
    (sub 0 k σ ⊨ f) ∧
    (∃ ls. (intlen ls) = n ∧ index-sequence 0 (ls) ∧
      (nth (ls) (intlen (ls))) = (intlen (suffix k σ))
      ∧ (∀ i. (0 ≤ i ∧ i < (intlen ls)) →
        ((sub (nth ls i) (nth ls ((i)+1))) (suffix k σ)) ⊨ f)
    ))
  )
proof -
have (∃ (l::index). (intlen l) = (Suc n) ∧ index-sequence 0 l ∧
  (nth l (intlen l)) = (intlen σ) ∧
  (∀ i. (0 ≤ i ∧ i < (intlen l)) →
    ((sub (nth l i) (nth l (i+1))) σ) ⊨ f)
  )
=
(∃ x ls l. (intlen l) = (Suc n) ∧ l = x ⊙ ls ∧ index-sequence 0 l ∧
  (nth l (intlen l)) = (intlen σ) ∧
  (∀ i. (0 ≤ i ∧ i < (intlen l)) →
    ((sub (nth l i) (nth l (i+1))) σ) ⊨ f)
  )
)
using interval-intlen-cons-1 by (metis zero-less-Suc)
also have ... =
  (∃ x ls l. (intlen l) = (Suc n) ∧ l = x ⊙ ls ∧ index-sequence 0 (x ⊙ ls) ∧
    (nth (x ⊙ ls) (intlen (x ⊙ ls))) = (intlen σ) ∧
    (∀ i. (0 ≤ i ∧ i < (intlen (x ⊙ ls))) →
      ((sub (nth (x ⊙ ls) i) (nth (x ⊙ ls) (i+1))) σ) ⊨ f)
    )
  )
by auto
also have ... =
  (∃ x ls. (intlen ls) = n ∧ index-sequence 0 (x ⊙ ls) ∧
    (nth (x ⊙ ls) (intlen (x ⊙ ls))) = (intlen σ) ∧
    (∀ i. (0 ≤ i ∧ i < (intlen (x ⊙ ls))) →
      ((sub (nth (x ⊙ ls) i) (nth (x ⊙ ls) (i+1))) σ) ⊨ f)
    )
  )
by auto
also have ... =
  (∃ x ls. (intlen ls) = n ∧ x = 0 ∧ index-sequence 0 (x ⊙ ls) ∧
    (nth (ls) (intlen (ls))) = (intlen σ) ∧
    ((∀ i. (0 ≤ i ∧ i < (intlen (x ⊙ ls))) →
      ((sub (nth (x ⊙ ls) i) (nth (x ⊙ ls) (i+1))) σ) ⊨ f))
    )
  )

```

by (*simp add: index-sequence-def*)

also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\exists x \text{ } ls . (intlen \text{ } ls) = n \wedge x = 0 \wedge index\text{-}sequence \text{ } (nth \text{ } ls \text{ } 0) \text{ } (ls) \wedge \\
 & \quad (nth \text{ } (ls) \text{ } (intlen \text{ } (ls))) = (intlen \text{ } \sigma) \wedge \\
 & \quad (x < (nth \text{ } ls \text{ } 0) \wedge \\
 & \quad ((\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (intlen \text{ } (x \odot ls)))) \longrightarrow \\
 & \quad \quad ((sub \text{ } (nth \text{ } (x \odot ls) \text{ } i) \text{ } (nth \text{ } (x \odot ls) \text{ } (i+1)) \text{ } \sigma) \models f)) \\
 & \quad) \\
 &) \\
 &)
 \end{aligned}$$

using *interval-idx-cons* **by** *auto*

also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\exists x \text{ } ls . (intlen \text{ } ls) = n \wedge x = 0 \wedge index\text{-}sequence \text{ } (nth \text{ } ls \text{ } 0) \text{ } (ls) \wedge \\
 & \quad (nth \text{ } (ls) \text{ } (intlen \text{ } (ls))) = (intlen \text{ } \sigma) \wedge \\
 & \quad (x < (nth \text{ } ls \text{ } 0) \wedge \\
 & \quad ((sub \text{ } (nth \text{ } (x \odot ls) \text{ } 0) \text{ } (nth \text{ } (x \odot ls) \text{ } (1)) \text{ } \sigma) \models f) \\
 & \quad \wedge \\
 & \quad ((\forall i. (0 < i \wedge i < 1 + (intlen \text{ } (ls)))) \longrightarrow \\
 & \quad \quad ((sub \text{ } (nth \text{ } (x \odot ls) \text{ } i) \text{ } (nth \text{ } (x \odot ls) \text{ } (i+1)) \text{ } \sigma) \models f)) \\
 & \quad) \\
 &) \\
 &)
 \end{aligned}$$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) One-nat-def add.right-neutral add-Suc add-Suc-right*
add-cancel-right-left interval-intlen-cons not-gr-zero zero-le zero-less-Suc)

also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\exists x \text{ } ls . (intlen \text{ } ls) = n \wedge x = 0 \wedge index\text{-}sequence \text{ } (nth \text{ } ls \text{ } 0) \text{ } (ls) \wedge \\
 & \quad (nth \text{ } (ls) \text{ } (intlen \text{ } (ls))) = (intlen \text{ } \sigma) \wedge \\
 & \quad (x < (nth \text{ } ls \text{ } 0) \wedge (nth \text{ } (x \odot ls) \text{ } 0) = x \wedge (nth \text{ } (x \odot ls) \text{ } (1)) = (nth \text{ } ls \text{ } 0) \wedge \\
 & \quad ((sub \text{ } (nth \text{ } (x \odot ls) \text{ } 0) \text{ } (nth \text{ } (x \odot ls) \text{ } (1)) \text{ } \sigma) \models f) \\
 & \quad \wedge \\
 & \quad ((\forall i. (0 < i \wedge i < 1 + (intlen \text{ } (ls)))) \longrightarrow \\
 & \quad \quad ((sub \text{ } (nth \text{ } (x \odot ls) \text{ } i) \text{ } (nth \text{ } (x \odot ls) \text{ } (i+1)) \text{ } \sigma) \models f)) \\
 & \quad) \\
 &) \\
 &)
 \end{aligned}$$

by *auto*

also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\exists x \text{ } ls . (intlen \text{ } ls) = n \wedge x = 0 \wedge index\text{-}sequence \text{ } (nth \text{ } ls \text{ } 0) \text{ } (ls) \wedge \\
 & \quad (nth \text{ } (ls) \text{ } (intlen \text{ } (ls))) = (intlen \text{ } \sigma) \wedge \\
 & \quad (x < (nth \text{ } ls \text{ } 0) \wedge (nth \text{ } (x \odot ls) \text{ } 0) = x \wedge (nth \text{ } (x \odot ls) \text{ } (1)) = (nth \text{ } ls \text{ } 0) \wedge \\
 & \quad ((sub \text{ } x \text{ } (nth \text{ } ls \text{ } 0) \text{ } \sigma) \models f) \\
 & \quad \wedge \\
 & \quad ((\forall i. (0 < i \wedge i < 1 + (intlen \text{ } (ls)))) \longrightarrow \\
 & \quad \quad ((sub \text{ } (nth \text{ } (x \odot ls) \text{ } i) \text{ } (nth \text{ } (x \odot ls) \text{ } (i+1)) \text{ } \sigma) \models f)) \\
 & \quad) \\
 &) \\
 &)
 \end{aligned}$$

by *auto*

also have ... =

$$(\exists x \text{ } ls . (intlen \text{ } ls) = n \wedge x = 0 \wedge index\text{-}sequence \text{ } (nth \text{ } ls \text{ } 0) \text{ } (ls) \wedge$$

```

    (nth (ls) (intlen (ls))) = (intlen σ) ∧
    (x < (nth ls 0) ∧
    ((sub x (nth ls 0) σ) ⊨ f)
    ∧
    ((∀ i. (0 < i ∧ i < 1 + (intlen (ls))) →
    ((sub (nth (x ⊙ ls) i) (nth (x ⊙ ls) (i+1)) σ) ⊨ f))
    )
  )
)
)
)
by auto
also have ... =
  (∃ x ls . (intlen ls) = n ∧ x = 0 ∧ index-sequence (nth ls 0) (ls) ∧
  (nth (ls) (intlen (ls))) = (intlen σ) ∧
  (x < (nth ls 0) ∧
  ((sub x (nth ls 0) σ) ⊨ f)
  ∧
  (∀ i. (0 < i ∧ i < 1 + (intlen ls)) →
  ((sub (nth ls (i-1)) (nth ls ((i-1)+1)) σ) ⊨ f)
  )))
using interval-nth-cons by metis
also have ... =
  (∃ x ls . (intlen ls) = n ∧ x = 0 ∧ index-sequence (nth ls 0) (ls) ∧
  (nth (ls) (intlen (ls))) = (intlen σ) ∧
  (x < (nth ls 0) ∧
  ((sub x (nth ls 0) σ) ⊨ f))
  ∧ (∀ i. (0 ≤ i ∧ i < (intlen ls)) →
  ((sub (nth ls (i)) (nth ls ((i)+1)) σ) ⊨ f)
  )
  )
)
)
using chopstar-help-2 by metis
also have ... =
  (∃ ls . (intlen ls) = n ∧ index-sequence (nth ls 0) (ls) ∧
  (nth (ls) (intlen (ls))) = (intlen σ) ∧
  (0 < (nth ls 0) ∧
  ((sub 0 (nth ls 0) σ) ⊨ f))
  ∧ (∀ i. (0 ≤ i ∧ i < (intlen ls)) →
  ((sub (nth ls (i)) (nth ls ((i)+1)) σ) ⊨ f)
  )
  )
)
)
by simp
also have ... =
  (∃ lsk . (intlen lsk) = n ∧ (nth lsk 0) ≤ intlen σ ∧ (nth lsk 0) > 0 ∧
  ((sub 0 (nth lsk 0) σ) ⊨ f) ∧
  index-sequence (nth lsk 0) (lsk) ∧
  (nth (lsk) (intlen (lsk))) = (intlen σ) ∧
  (∀ i. (0 ≤ i ∧ i < (intlen lsk)) →
  ((sub (nth lsk (i)) (nth lsk ((i)+1)) σ) ⊨ f)
  )
  )
)
)
by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-pred add.left-neutral eq-iff interval-idx-less-last

```

interval-intlen-gr-zero le-neq-implies-less lessl less-imp-le-nat)

also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\exists k \text{ lsk. } (\text{intlen lsk}) = n \wedge (\text{nth lsk } 0) \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge \\
& \quad (\text{nth lsk } 0) > 0 \wedge k = (\text{nth lsk } 0) \wedge \\
& \quad (\text{sub } 0 (\text{nth lsk } 0) \sigma \models f) \wedge \\
& \quad \text{index-sequence } (\text{nth lsk } 0) (\text{lsk}) \wedge \\
& \quad (\text{nth } (\text{lsk}) (\text{intlen } (\text{lsk}))) = (\text{intlen } (\sigma)) \wedge \\
& \quad (\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (\text{intlen lsk})) \longrightarrow \\
& \quad \quad ((\text{sub } ((\text{nth lsk } (i))) ((\text{nth lsk } ((i)+1)))) (\sigma)) \models f) \\
& \quad) \\
&) \\
\end{aligned}$$

by auto

also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\exists k \text{ lsk. } (\text{intlen lsk}) = n \wedge 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge k = (\text{nth lsk } 0) \wedge \\
& \quad (\text{sub } 0 k \sigma \models f) \wedge \\
& \quad (\text{index-sequence } k (\text{lsk}) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (\text{nth } (\text{lsk}) (\text{intlen } (\text{lsk}))) = ((\text{intlen } (\text{suffix } k \sigma)) + k) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (\text{intlen lsk})) \longrightarrow \\
& \quad \quad \quad ((\text{sub } ((\text{nth lsk } (i))) ((\text{nth lsk } ((i)+1)))) (\sigma)) \models f) \\
& \quad \quad)) \\
& \quad) \\
&) \\
\end{aligned}$$

apply (simp add: interval-prefix-suffix-intlen interval-suffix-length interval-prefix-length)

by auto

also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\exists k \text{ lsk. } (\text{intlen lsk}) = n \wedge 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge \\
& \quad (\text{sub } 0 k \sigma \models f) \wedge \\
& \quad (\text{index-sequence } k (\text{lsk}) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (\text{nth } (\text{lsk}) (\text{intlen } (\text{lsk}))) = ((\text{intlen } (\text{suffix } k \sigma)) + k) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (\text{intlen lsk})) \longrightarrow \\
& \quad \quad \quad ((\text{sub } ((\text{nth lsk } (i))) ((\text{nth lsk } ((i)+1)))) (\sigma)) \models f) \\
& \quad \quad)) \\
& \quad) \\
&) \\
\end{aligned}$$

using index-sequence-def **by auto**

also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\exists k. \quad 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge \\
& \quad (\text{sub } 0 k \sigma \models f) \wedge \\
& \quad (\exists \text{ lsk. } (\text{intlen lsk}) = n \wedge \text{index-sequence } k (\text{lsk}) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \text{ls} = \text{map } (\text{shiftm } k) \text{ lsk} \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (\text{nth } (\text{lsk}) (\text{intlen } (\text{lsk}))) = ((\text{intlen } (\text{suffix } k \sigma)) + k) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (\text{intlen lsk})) \longrightarrow \\
& \quad \quad \quad ((\text{sub } ((\text{nth lsk } (i))) ((\text{nth lsk } ((i)+1)))) (\sigma)) \models f) \\
& \quad \quad)) \\
& \quad) \\
&) \\
\end{aligned}$$

by blast

also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\exists k. \quad 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge \\
& \quad (\text{sub } 0 k \sigma \models f) \wedge \\
& \quad (\exists \text{ lsk. } (\text{intlen lsk}) = n \wedge \text{index-sequence } k (\text{lsk}) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \text{ls} = \text{map } (\text{shiftm } k) \text{ lsk} \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \text{index-sequence } 0 (\text{ls}) \wedge (\text{intlen ls}) = n \wedge \\
& \quad \quad) \\
& \quad) \\
&) \\
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (nth\ (lsk)\ (intlen\ (lsk))) = ((intlen\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma)) + k) \wedge \\
& (\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (intlen\ lsk)) \longrightarrow \\
& \quad ((sub\ ((nth\ lsk\ (i)))\ ((nth\ lsk\ ((i)+1))))\ (\sigma)) \models f) \\
&)) \\
&) \\
\text{using } & \text{interval-idx-link-shiftm by blast} \\
\text{also have } & \dots = \\
& (\exists k. \ 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq intlen\ \sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge \\
& \quad (sub\ 0\ k\ \sigma \models f) \wedge \\
& \quad (\exists ls\ lsk. (intlen\ lsk) = n \wedge index\text{-}sequence\ k\ (lsk) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad lsk = map\ (shift\ k)\ ls \wedge \\
& \quad \quad index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ (ls) \wedge (intlen\ ls) = n \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (nth\ (lsk)\ (intlen\ (lsk))) = ((intlen\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma)) + k) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (intlen\ lsk)) \longrightarrow \\
& \quad \quad \quad ((sub\ ((nth\ lsk\ (i)))\ ((nth\ lsk\ ((i)+1))))\ (\sigma)) \models f) \\
& \quad)) \\
&) \\
\text{using } & \text{interval-lsk-ls by blast} \\
\text{also have } & \dots = \\
& (\exists k\ ls\ lsk. \ 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq intlen\ \sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge \\
& \quad (sub\ 0\ k\ \sigma \models f) \wedge \\
& \quad ((intlen\ lsk) = n \wedge lsk = map\ (shift\ k)\ ls \wedge \\
& \quad \quad index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ (ls) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad index\text{-}sequence\ k\ (lsk) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (nth\ (ls)\ (intlen\ (ls))) = (intlen\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma)) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (intlen\ ls)) \longrightarrow \\
& \quad \quad \quad ((sub\ ((nth\ ls\ (i)) + k)\ ((nth\ ls\ ((i)+1)) + k)\ (\sigma)) \models f) \\
& \quad)) \\
&) \\
\text{apply } & (simp\ add: Interval.shift-def\ interval-intlen-map\ interval-nth-map) \text{ by blast} \\
\text{also have } & \dots = \\
& (\exists k\ ls\ lsk. \ 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq intlen\ \sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge \\
& \quad (sub\ 0\ k\ \sigma \models f) \wedge \\
& \quad ((intlen\ lsk) = n \wedge lsk = map\ (shift\ k)\ ls \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (intlen\ ls) = n \wedge index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ (ls) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (nth\ (ls)\ (intlen\ (ls))) = (intlen\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma)) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (intlen\ ls)) \longrightarrow \\
& \quad \quad \quad ((sub\ ((nth\ ls\ (i)) + k)\ ((nth\ ls\ ((i)+1)) + k)\ (\sigma)) \models f) \\
& \quad)) \\
&) \\
\text{using } & \text{interval-idx-link by blast} \\
\text{also have } & \dots = \\
& (\exists k. \ 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq intlen\ \sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge \\
& \quad (sub\ 0\ k\ \sigma \models f) \wedge \\
& \quad (\exists ls. (intlen\ ls) = n \wedge index\text{-}sequence\ 0\ (ls) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (nth\ (ls)\ (intlen\ (ls))) = (intlen\ (suffix\ k\ \sigma)) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (intlen\ ls)) \longrightarrow \\
& \quad \quad \quad ((sub\ ((nth\ ls\ (i)) + k)\ ((nth\ ls\ ((i)+1)) + k)\ (\sigma)) \models f) \\
& \quad)) \\
&)
\end{aligned}$$

by (*simp add: interval-intlen-map*)

also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\exists k. 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge \\
 & \quad (\text{sub } 0 \ k \ \sigma \models f) \wedge \\
 & \quad (\exists ls. (\text{intlen } ls) = n \wedge \text{index-sequence } 0 \ (ls) \wedge \\
 & \quad \quad (\text{nth } (ls) \ (\text{intlen } (ls))) = (\text{intlen } (\text{suffix } k \ \sigma)) \wedge \\
 & \quad \quad (\forall i \leq \text{intlen } ls. \text{Interval.nth } ls \ i \leq \text{intlen } (\text{suffix } k \ \sigma)) \wedge \\
 & \quad \quad (\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (\text{intlen } ls)) \longrightarrow \\
 & \quad \quad \quad ((\text{sub } ((\text{nth } ls \ i)) + k) ((\text{nth } ls \ ((i)+1)) + k) (\sigma)) \models f) \\
 & \quad) \\
 &) \\
 &)
 \end{aligned}$$

using *interval-idx-bound-1* **by** *blast*

also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\exists k. 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge \\
 & \quad (\text{sub } 0 \ k \ \sigma \models f) \wedge \\
 & \quad (\exists ls. (\text{intlen } ls) = n \wedge \text{index-sequence } 0 \ (ls) \wedge \\
 & \quad \quad (\text{nth } (ls) \ (\text{intlen } (ls))) = (\text{intlen } (\text{suffix } k \ \sigma)) \wedge \\
 & \quad \quad (\forall i \leq \text{intlen } ls. \text{Interval.nth } ls \ i \leq \text{intlen } (\text{suffix } k \ \sigma)) \\
 & \quad \wedge (\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (\text{intlen } ls)) \longrightarrow \\
 & \quad \quad ((\text{sub } (\text{nth } ls \ i)) (\text{nth } ls \ ((i)+1)) (\text{suffix } k \ \sigma)) \models f) \\
 & \quad) \\
 &) \\
 &)
 \end{aligned}$$

by (*smt add: commute index-sequence-def interval-idx-expand interval-sub-suffix*
interval-suffix-length-good plus-1-eq-Suc)

also have ... =

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\exists k. 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge \\
 & \quad (\text{sub } 0 \ k \ \sigma \models f) \wedge \\
 & \quad (\exists ls. (\text{intlen } ls) = n \wedge \text{index-sequence } 0 \ (ls) \wedge \\
 & \quad \quad (\text{nth } (ls) \ (\text{intlen } (ls))) = (\text{intlen } (\text{suffix } k \ \sigma)) \\
 & \quad \wedge (\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (\text{intlen } ls)) \longrightarrow \\
 & \quad \quad ((\text{sub } (\text{nth } ls \ i)) (\text{nth } ls \ ((i)+1)) (\text{suffix } k \ \sigma)) \models f) \\
 & \quad)) \\
 &)
 \end{aligned}$$

using *interval-idx-bound-1* **by** *blast*

finally show $(\exists (l::\text{index}). (\text{intlen } l) = (\text{Suc } n) \wedge \text{index-sequence } 0 \ l \wedge$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \quad (\text{nth } l \ (\text{intlen } l)) = (\text{intlen } \sigma) \wedge \\
 & \quad (\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (\text{intlen } l)) \longrightarrow \\
 & \quad \quad ((\text{sub } (\text{nth } l \ i) \ (\text{nth } l \ (i+1))) \sigma) \models f) \\
 & \quad)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &) = \\
 & (\exists k. 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge k > 0 \wedge (\text{sub } 0 \ k \ \sigma \models f) \wedge \\
 & \quad (\exists ls. (\text{intlen } ls) = n \wedge \text{index-sequence } 0 \ (ls) \wedge \\
 & \quad \quad (\text{nth } (ls) \ (\text{intlen } (ls))) = (\text{intlen } (\text{suffix } k \ \sigma)) \wedge \\
 & \quad \quad (\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (\text{intlen } ls)) \longrightarrow \\
 & \quad \quad \quad ((\text{sub } (\text{nth } ls \ i)) (\text{nth } ls \ ((i)+1)) (\text{suffix } k \ \sigma)) \models f) \\
 & \quad) \\
 &) \\
 &)
 \end{aligned}$$

qed

lemma chop-power-equiv-sem:

($\exists n. (\sigma \models (\text{power-chop-d } f \ n))$) =
 (($\sigma \models \text{empty}$) \vee ($\exists n. (\sigma \models (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (\text{power-chop-d } f \ n))$))
by (metis not0-implies-Suc power-chop-d.power-0 power-chop-d.power-Suc)

lemma chopstar-equiv-power-chop-help:

($\sigma \models \text{power-chop-d } f \ n$) =
 ($\exists (l::\text{index}). \text{intlen}(l) = n \wedge \text{index-sequence } 0 \ l \wedge$
 ($\text{nth } l \ (\text{intlen } l) = (\text{intlen } (\sigma)) \wedge$
 ($\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (\text{intlen } l)) \longrightarrow$
 (($\text{sub } (\text{nth } l \ i) \ (\text{nth } l \ (i+1)) \ (\sigma) \models f$)

)

proof
 (induct n arbitrary: σ)

case 0

then show ?case **using** index-sequence-def chopstar-help-1 **by** fastforce

next

case (Suc n)

then show ?case

proof –

have 1: ($\sigma \models \text{power-chop-d } f \ (\text{Suc } n)$) = ($\sigma \models ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); (\text{power-chop-d } f \ n))$)

by simp

have 2: ($\sigma \models ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); (\text{power-chop-d } f \ n))$) =

($\exists k. 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq \text{intlen } (\sigma) \wedge k > 0 \wedge$

($\text{prefix } k \ (\sigma) \models f$) \wedge

($\text{suffix } k \ (\sigma) \models \text{power-chop-d } f \ n$)

)

by auto

have 3: ($\exists k. 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq \text{intlen } (\sigma) \wedge k > 0 \wedge$

($\text{prefix } k \ (\sigma) \models f$) \wedge

($\text{suffix } k \ (\sigma) \models \text{power-chop-d } f \ n$)

) =

($\exists k. 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq \text{intlen } (\sigma) \wedge k > 0 \wedge$

($\text{sub } 0 \ k \ (\sigma) \models f$) \wedge

($\text{suffix } k \ (\sigma) \models \text{power-chop-d } f \ n$)

)

by (simp add: interval-sub-zero-prefix)

have 4: ($\exists k. 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq \text{intlen } (\sigma) \wedge k > 0 \wedge$

($\text{sub } 0 \ k \ (\sigma) \models f$) \wedge

($\text{suffix } k \ (\sigma) \models \text{power-chop-d } f \ n$)

) =

($\exists k. 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq \text{intlen } (\sigma) \wedge k > 0 \wedge$

($\text{sub } 0 \ k \ (\sigma) \models f$) \wedge

($\exists (l::\text{index}). \text{intlen}(l) = n \wedge \text{index-sequence } 0 \ l \wedge$

($\text{nth } l \ (\text{intlen } l) = (\text{intlen } (\text{suffix } k \ \sigma)) \wedge$


```

      (∀ i. (0 ≤ i ∧ i < (intlen l)) →
        ((sub (nth l i) (nth l (i+1))) (suffix k σ)) ⊨ f)
    )
  )
)
using Suc.hyps by auto
have 5:
  (∃ (l::index). (intlen l) = (Suc n) ∧ index-sequence 0 l ∧
    (nth l (intlen l)) = (intlen σ) ∧
    (∀ i. (0 ≤ i ∧ i < (intlen l)) →
      ((sub (nth l i) (nth l (i+1))) σ) ⊨ f)
    )
  ) =
  (∃ k. 0 ≤ k ∧ k ≤ intlen σ ∧ k > 0 ∧
    (sub 0 k σ ⊨ f) ∧
    (∃ ls. (intlen ls) = n ∧ index-sequence 0 (ls) ∧
      (nth (ls) (intlen (ls))) = (intlen (suffix k σ)) ∧
      (∀ i. (0 ≤ i ∧ i < (intlen ls)) →
        ((sub (nth ls (i)) (nth ls ((i)+1))) (suffix k σ)) ⊨ f)
      )
    )
  )
)
using chop-power-chain by simp
from 1 2 3 4 5 show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma chopstar-equiv-power-chop:

(σ ⊨ f^{*}) = (∃ k. (σ ⊨ power-chop-d f k))
by (simp add: chopstar-equiv-power-chop-help)

lemma ChopstarEqvSem:

(σ ⊨ f^{*} ≡_i empty ∨_i (f ∧_i more); f^{*})
using chopstar-equiv-power-chop
by (smt chop-power-equiv-sem iff-defs or-defs semantics-pitl.simps(5))

3.9 Time Reversal

lemma time-reverse-help-1:

assumes index-sequence 0 l ∧ (nth l (intlen l)) = (intlen σ) ∧
 ls = map (λ x. (intlen σ) - x) (intrev l) ∧ index-sequence 0 ls
shows (∀ i. 0 ≤ i ∧ i < intlen ls →
 (sub (nth ls ((intlen ls) - (i+1))) ((nth ls ((intlen ls) - i))) (intrev σ) ⊨ f')
 =
 (∀ i. 0 ≤ i ∧ i < intlen ls → (sub (nth ls (i)) ((nth ls (i+1))) (intrev σ) ⊨ f'))
by (smt Suc-diff-Suc Suc-eq-plus1 add-gr-0 diff-diff-cancel diff-less le-add-diff-inverse2
 le-simps(1) zero-less-one zero-order(1))

lemma TimeReverseSem:

(σ ⊨ f) ↔ ((intrev σ) ⊨ f')

```

proof
  (induct f arbitrary:  $\sigma$ )
  case false-d
  then show ?case by auto
  next
  case (atom-d x)
  then show ?case by (simp add: interval-intlast-intrev)
  next
  case (implies-d f1 f2)
  then show ?case by simp
  next
  case skip-d
  then show ?case by simp
  next
  case (chop-d f1 f2)
  then show ?case using interval-intrev-prefix interval-intrev-suffix
  by (smt interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-intrev-intlen interval-prefix-length
      interval-rev-rev-ident interval-suffix-length-good order-refl rev-d.simps(5)
      semantics-pitl.simps(5))
  next
  case (chopstar-d f)
  then show ?case
  proof –
    have ( $\sigma \models f^*$ ) =
      ( $\exists l. \text{index-sequence } 0\ l \wedge (\text{nth } l\ (\text{intlen } l)) = (\text{intlen } \sigma) \wedge$ 
        ( $\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (\text{intlen } l)) \longrightarrow$ 
          ( $((\text{sub } (\text{nth } l\ i)\ (\text{nth } l\ (i+1)))\ \sigma) \models f$ )
        )
      )
    by simp
    also have ... =
      ( $\exists l. \text{index-sequence } 0\ l \wedge (\text{nth } l\ (\text{intlen } l)) = (\text{intlen } \sigma) \wedge$ 
        ( $\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (\text{intlen } l)) \longrightarrow$ 
          ( $(\text{intrev } (\text{sub } (\text{nth } l\ i)\ (\text{nth } l\ (i+1)))\ \sigma) \models f^r$ )
        )
      )
    using chopstar-d.hyps by simp
    also have ... =
      ( $\exists l. \text{index-sequence } 0\ l \wedge (\text{nth } l\ (\text{intlen } l)) = (\text{intlen } \sigma) \wedge$ 
        ( $\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (\text{intlen } l)) \longrightarrow$ 
          ( $((\text{sub } ((\text{intlen } \sigma) - (\text{nth } l\ (i+1))))\ ((\text{intlen } \sigma) - (\text{nth } l\ i))\ (\text{intrev } \sigma)) \models f^r$ )
        )
      )
    using interval-intrev-idx-2 by metis
    also have ... =
      ( $\exists l\ ls. ls = \text{map } (\lambda x. (\text{intlen } \sigma) - x)\ (\text{intrev } l) \wedge \text{index-sequence } 0\ ls \wedge$ 
         $\text{index-sequence } 0\ l \wedge (\text{nth } l\ (\text{intlen } l)) = (\text{intlen } \sigma) \wedge$ 
        ( $\forall i. (0 \leq i \wedge i < (\text{intlen } l)) \longrightarrow$ 
          ( $((\text{sub } ((\text{intlen } \sigma) - (\text{nth } l\ (i+1))))\ ((\text{intlen } \sigma) - (\text{nth } l\ i))\ (\text{intrev } \sigma)) \models f^r$ )
        )
      )

```

```

    )
using interval-intrev-idx-7 by auto
also have ... =
  (∃ l ls. ls = map (λ x. (intlen σ) - x) (intrev l) ∧
    index-sequence 0 ls ∧ index-sequence 0 l
    ∧ (nth l (intlen l)) = (intlen σ) ∧ (nth ls (intlen ls)) = (intlen σ) ∧
      (∀ i. (0 ≤ i ∧ i < (intlen ls)) →
        ( (sub ((intlen σ) - (nth l (i+1))) ((intlen σ) - (nth l i)) (intrev σ)) ⊨ fr )
      )
  )

```

```

by (metis interval-intrev-idx-3)
also have ... =
  (∃ l ls. ls = map (λ x. (intlen σ) - x) (intrev l) ∧
    index-sequence 0 ls ∧ index-sequence 0 l
    ∧ (nth l (intlen l)) = (intlen σ) ∧ (nth ls (intlen ls)) = (intlen σ) ∧
      (∀ i. (0 ≤ i ∧ i < (intlen ls)) →
        ( sub (nth ls ((intlen ls) - (i+1))) ((nth ls ((intlen ls) - i)) ) (intrev σ) ⊨ fr )
      )
  )

```

```

using interval-intrev-idx-9 by metis
also have ... =
  (∃ l ls. ls = map (λ x. (intlen σ) - x) (intrev l) ∧
    index-sequence 0 ls ∧ index-sequence 0 l
    ∧ (nth l (intlen l)) = (intlen σ) ∧ (nth ls (intlen ls)) = (intlen σ) ∧
      (∀ i. (0 ≤ i ∧ i < (intlen ls)) →
        ( (sub (nth ls (i)) ((nth ls (i+1))) ) (intrev σ) ⊨ fr )
      )
  )

```

```

using time-reverse-help-1 by metis
also have ... =
  (∃ ls. index-sequence 0 ls ∧
    (nth ls (intlen ls)) = (intlen σ) ∧
      (∀ i. (0 ≤ i ∧ i < (intlen ls)) →
        ( (sub (nth ls (i)) ((nth ls (i+1))) ) (intrev σ) ⊨ fr )
      )
  )

```

```

using interval-intrev-idx-12 by (smt interval-intrev-idx-3 interval-intrev-idx-7)
also have ... = (intrev σ ⊨ ( fr * ) ) by simp
also have ... = (intrev σ ⊨ ( f * )r ) by simp
finally show (σ ⊨ f*) = (intrev σ ⊨ ( f * )r) .

```

qed

qed

end

theory *ITL*
imports

begin

4 Axioms and Rules

The ITL axiom and proof rules are introduced (taken from [3]) together with the validity operation. The soundness of the rules and axioms are checked using the lemmas of Semantics.thy.

definition *valid* :: 'a pitl \Rightarrow bool ((\vdash -) 5)

where ($\vdash f$) = ($\forall \sigma. (\sigma \models f)$)

lemma *itl-valid [simp]* :

($\vdash f$) = ($\forall \sigma. (\sigma \models f)$)

by (*simp add: valid-def*)

lemma *itl-eq*:

($\vdash f \equiv_i g$) = ($\forall \sigma. (\sigma \models f) = (\sigma \models g)$)

by *simp*

lemma *EqvReverseReverse*:

$\vdash (f^r)^r \equiv_i f$

using *TimeReverseSem* **by** (*metis interval-rev-rev-ident itl-eq*)

lemma *ReverseEqv*:

($\vdash f$) \longleftrightarrow ($\vdash f^r$)

by (*metis TimeReverseSem interval-rev-swap valid-def*)

4.1 Rules

lemma *MP* :

assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$

$\vdash f$

shows $\vdash g$

using *assms(1) assms(2)* **by** *auto*

lemma *BoxGen* :

assumes $\vdash f$

shows $\vdash \Box f$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *BiGen*:

assumes $\vdash f$

shows $\vdash bi f$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

4.2 Axioms

lemma *ChopAssoc* :

$\vdash f ; (g ; h) \equiv_i (f;g);h$

using *ChopAssocSem valid-def* **by** *blast*

```

lemma OrChopImp :
   $\vdash (f \vee_i g); h \supset_i f; h \vee_i g; h$ 
using OrChopImpSem valid-def by blast

lemma ChopOrImp :
   $\vdash f; (g \vee_i h) \supset_i f; g \vee_i f; h$ 
using ChopOrImpSem valid-def by blast

lemma EmptyChop :
   $\vdash \text{empty} ; f \equiv_i f$ 
using EmptyChopSem valid-def by blast

lemma ChopEmpty :
   $\vdash f; \text{empty} \equiv_i f$ 
using ChopEmptySem valid-def by blast

lemma StatImpBi :
   $\vdash \text{init } f \supset_i \text{bi } (\text{init } f)$ 
using StatImpBiSem valid-def by blast

lemma NextImpNotNextNot :
   $\vdash \bigcirc f \supset_i \neg_i (\bigcirc \neg_i f)$ 
using NextImpNotNextNotSem valid-def by blast

lemma BiBoxChopImpChop :
   $\vdash \text{bi } (f \supset_i f1) \wedge_i \Box (g \supset_i g1) \supset_i f; g \supset_i f1; g1$ 
using BiBoxChopImpChopSem valid-def by blast

lemma BoxInduct :
   $\vdash \Box (f \supset_i \text{wnext } f) \wedge_i f \supset_i \Box f$ 
using BoxInductSem valid-def by blast

lemma ChopstarEqv :
   $\vdash f^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$ 
using ChopstarEqvSem valid-def by blast

end

```

```

theory Theorems
imports
  ITL
begin

```

5 ITL theorems

We give the proofs of a list of ITL theorems. These proofs and theorems were from [5].

5.1 Propositional reasoning

This is a list of propositional logic theorems used in the proofs of the ITL theorems.

lemma *itl-prop*:

$\vdash (f \equiv_i f) \equiv_i \text{true}_i$
 $\vdash (\neg_i \text{true}_i) \equiv_i \text{false}_i$
 $\vdash (\neg_i \text{false}_i) \equiv_i \text{true}_i$
 $\vdash (\neg_i \neg_i f) \equiv_i f$
 $\vdash (\neg_i f \equiv_i f) \equiv_i \text{false}_i$
 $\vdash (f \equiv_i \neg_i f) \equiv_i \text{false}_i$
 $\vdash (\neg_i (f \equiv_i g)) \equiv_i (f \equiv_i \neg_i g)$
 $\vdash (\text{true}_i \equiv_i f) \equiv_i f$
 $\vdash (f \equiv_i \text{true}_i) \equiv_i f$
 $\vdash (\text{true}_i \supset_i f) \equiv_i f$
 $\vdash (\text{false}_i \supset_i f) \equiv_i \text{true}_i$
 $\vdash (f \supset_i \text{true}_i) \equiv_i \text{true}_i$
 $\vdash (f \supset_i f) \equiv_i \text{true}_i$
 $\vdash (f \supset_i \text{false}_i) \equiv_i \neg_i f$
 $\vdash (f \supset_i \neg_i f) \equiv_i \neg_i f$
 $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{true}_i) \equiv_i f$
 $\vdash (\text{true}_i \wedge_i f) \equiv_i f$
 $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{false}_i) \equiv_i \text{false}_i$
 $\vdash (\text{false}_i \wedge_i f) \equiv_i \text{false}_i$
 $\vdash (f \wedge_i f) \equiv_i f$
 $\vdash (f \wedge_i \neg_i f) \equiv_i \text{false}_i$
 $\vdash (\neg_i f \wedge_i f) \equiv_i \text{false}_i$
 $\vdash (f \vee_i \text{true}_i) \equiv_i \text{true}_i$
 $\vdash (\text{true}_i \vee_i f) \equiv_i \text{true}_i$
 $\vdash (f \vee_i \text{false}_i) \equiv_i f$
 $\vdash (\text{false}_i \vee_i f) \equiv_i f$
 $\vdash (f \vee_i f) \equiv_i f$
 $\vdash (f \vee_i \neg_i f) \equiv_i \text{true}_i$
 $\vdash (\neg_i f \vee_i f) \equiv_i \text{true}_i$
 $(\vdash f \equiv_i f1) = (\vdash f1 \equiv_i f)$
 $(\vdash f \equiv_i f1) = ((\vdash f \supset_i f1) \wedge (\vdash f1 \supset_i f))$
 $(\vdash f \supset_i (f1 \wedge_i f2)) = ((\vdash f \supset_i f1) \wedge (\vdash f \supset_i f2))$
 $(\vdash f \equiv_i f1) = (\vdash \neg_i f \equiv_i \neg_i f1)$
 $(\vdash \neg_i (f \vee_i f1)) = (\vdash \neg_i f \wedge_i \neg_i f1)$
 $(\vdash (f \supset_i f1)) = (\vdash (\neg_i f \vee_i f1))$

by *auto*

lemma *prop01*:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$

shows $\vdash \neg_i f \equiv_i \neg_i g$

using *assms itl-prop(33)* **by** *blast*

lemma *prop02*:

assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$

$\vdash g \supset_i h$

shows $\vdash f \supset_i h$

using *assms*(1) *assms*(2) **by** *auto*

lemma *prop03*:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$

$\vdash g \equiv_i h$

shows $\vdash f \equiv_i h$

using *assms*(1) *assms*(2) **by** *auto*

lemma *prop04*:

$\vdash \neg_i (f \wedge_i g \wedge_i \neg_i h) \equiv_i (f \supset_i (g \supset_i h))$

by *auto*

lemma *prop05*:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$

shows $\vdash h \wedge_i f \equiv_i h \wedge_i g$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *prop06*:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$

shows $\vdash f \wedge_i h \equiv_i g \wedge_i h$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *prop07*:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i f1$

$\vdash g \equiv_i g1$

shows $\vdash (\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } g) \equiv_i (\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } f1 \text{ else } g1)$

using *assms*(1) *assms*(2) **by** *simp*

lemma *prop08*:

assumes $\vdash f \wedge_i g \supset_i h$

shows $\vdash f \wedge_i g \wedge_i f1 \supset_i h$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *prop09*:

assumes $\vdash f \wedge_i g \wedge_i h \supset_i f1$

$\vdash f \wedge_i h \wedge_i g \supset_i \neg_i f1$

shows $\vdash \neg_i (f \wedge_i h \wedge_i g)$

using *assms*(1) *assms*(2) **by** *auto*

lemma *prop10*:

assumes $\vdash f \wedge_i f1 \supset_i h$

shows $\vdash f \wedge_i g \wedge_i f1 \supset_i h$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *prop11*:

$\vdash (\text{if}_i g \text{ then } f \text{ else } f1) \supset_i ((g \supset_i f) \wedge_i (\neg_i g \supset_i f1))$

by *simp*

lemma *prop12*:

assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$

shows $\vdash h \wedge_i f \supset_i h \wedge_i g$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *prop13*:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i \neg_i g \vee_i h$
shows $\vdash g \wedge_i f \supset_i h$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *prop14*:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g \vee_i h$
 $\vdash h \supset_i h1$
shows $\vdash f \supset_i g \vee_i h1$
using *assms*(1) *assms*(2) **by** *auto*

lemma *prop15*:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$
 $\vdash h \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash h \supset_i f$
using *assms*(1) *assms*(2) **by** *auto*

lemma *prop16*:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g \vee_i h$
 $\vdash g \supset_i h1 \vee_i h$
shows $\vdash f \supset_i h1 \vee_i h$
using *assms*(1) *assms*(2) **by** *auto*

lemma *prop17*:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$
 $\vdash f1 \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash f \vee_i f1 \supset_i g$
using *assms*(1) *assms*(2) **by** *auto*

lemma *prop18*:
assumes $\vdash g \wedge_i h \supset_i h1$
 $\vdash f \equiv_i g$
shows $\vdash f \wedge_i h \supset_i h1$
using *assms*(1) *assms*(2) **by** *auto*

lemma *prop19*:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g \vee_i h$
shows $\vdash h \supset_i f$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *prop20*:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g \vee_i h$
shows $\vdash \neg_i f \equiv_i \neg_i g \wedge_i \neg_i h$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma prop21:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i h$
 $\vdash f \equiv_i h1$
shows $\vdash h1 \equiv_i h$
using *assms(1) assms(2) by auto*

lemma prop22:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i h$
 $\vdash g \equiv_i g1$
shows $\vdash f \wedge_i g \supset_i h \wedge_i g1$
using *assms(1) assms(2) by auto*

lemma prop23:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g \vee_i h$
shows $\vdash f \wedge_i f1 \equiv_i (g \wedge_i f1) \vee_i (h \wedge_i f1)$
using *assms by auto*

lemma prop24:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g \vee_i (h \wedge_i h1)$
 $\vdash g \equiv_i g1$
 $\vdash h \equiv_i h2 \wedge_i h3$
 $\vdash h3 \wedge_i h1 \equiv_i h4$
shows $\vdash f \equiv_i g1 \vee_i (h2 \wedge_i h4)$
using *assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) by auto*

lemma prop25:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i \text{false}_i$
shows $\vdash g \vee_i f \equiv_i g$
using *assms by auto*

lemma prop26:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash f \supset_i h \vee_i g$
using *assms by auto*

lemma prop27:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash \neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i f$
using *assms by auto*

lemma prop28:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g \vee_i h$
 $\vdash h \equiv_i h1$
shows $\vdash f \equiv_i g \vee_i h1$
using *assms(1) assms(2) by auto*

lemma prop29:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g \vee_i h$
shows $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i h$
using *assms by auto*

lemma prop30:
assumes $\vdash f0 \supset_i g$
 $\vdash f1 \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash f0 \vee_i f1 \supset_i g$
using *assms(1) assms(2)* **by** *auto*

lemma prop31:
 $\vdash (f \supset_i (g \equiv_i h)) \equiv_i ((f \wedge_i g) \equiv_i (f \wedge_i h))$
by *auto*

lemma prop32:
assumes $\vdash f \wedge_i g \supset_i h$
shows $\vdash g \supset_i (f \supset_i h)$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma prop33:
assumes $\vdash f0 \wedge_i g \supset_i h$
 $\vdash f1 \wedge_i g \supset_i h$
shows $\vdash (f0 \vee_i f1) \wedge_i g \supset_i h$
using *assms(1) assms(2)* **by** *auto*

lemma prop34:
assumes $\vdash f \wedge_i g \supset_i h$
 $\vdash f$
shows $\vdash g \supset_i h$
using *assms(1) assms(2)* **by** *auto*

lemma prop35:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g \vee_i h$
 $\vdash h \supset_i h1$
shows $\vdash f \supset_i g \vee_i h1$
using *assms(1) assms(2)* **by** *auto*

lemma prop36:
assumes $\vdash f \wedge_i g \supset_i h$
shows $\vdash f \supset_i (g \supset_i h)$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma prop37:
assumes $\vdash f1 \supset_i f$
 $\vdash f \wedge_i f1 \wedge_i g \supset_i h$
shows $\vdash f1 \wedge_i g \supset_i h$
using *assms(1) assms(2)* **by** *auto*

lemma prop38:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash f \equiv_i f \wedge_i g$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *prop39*:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i f1$
 $\vdash g \equiv_i g1$
shows $\vdash (f \supset_i g) \equiv_i (f1 \supset_i g1)$
using *assms(1) assms(2) by auto*

lemma *prop40*:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i f1$
 $\vdash g \equiv_i g1$
 $\vdash h \equiv_i h1$
shows $\vdash (f \equiv_i g \wedge_i h) \equiv_i (f1 \equiv_i g1 \wedge_i h1)$
using *assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) by auto*

5.2 State formulas

The *init* operator denotes state formulas, i.e., ITL formula that only constrain the first state of an interval.

lemma *Initprop* :
 $\vdash ((init\ f) \wedge_i (init\ g)) \equiv_i init(f \wedge_i g)$
 $\vdash (\neg_i (init\ f)) \equiv_i init(\neg_i f)$
 $\vdash ((init\ f) \vee_i (init\ g)) \equiv_i init(f \vee_i g)$
 $\vdash init\ true_i$
by auto

lemma *Finprop* :
 $\vdash (true_i;(f \wedge_i empty)) \wedge_i (true_i;(g \wedge_i empty)) \equiv_i (true_i;(f \wedge_i g \wedge_i empty))$
 $\vdash (true_i;(f \wedge_i empty)) \vee_i (true_i;(g \wedge_i empty)) \equiv_i (true_i;((f \vee_i g) \wedge_i empty))$
 $\vdash (true_i;(true_i \wedge_i empty))$
 $\vdash \neg_i (true_i;(f \wedge_i empty)) \equiv_i (true_i;(\neg_i f \wedge_i empty))$
apply simp-all
apply auto[1]
apply auto[2]
using dual-order.order-iff-strict by fastforce

5.3 Basic Theorems

lemma *BiChopImpChop* :
 $\vdash bi(f \supset_i f1) \supset_i f;g \supset_i f1;g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash g \supset_i g$ **by auto**
hence 2: $\vdash \Box(g \supset_i g)$ **by (rule BoxGen)**
have 3: $\vdash bi(f \supset_i f1) \wedge_i \Box(g \supset_i g) \supset_i f;g \supset_i f1;g$ **by (rule BiBoxChopImpChop)**
from 2 3 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma *AndChopA*:
 $\vdash (f \wedge_i f1);g \supset_i f;g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \wedge_i f1 \supset_i f$ **by auto**
hence 2: $\vdash bi(f \wedge_i f1 \supset_i f)$ **by (rule BiGen)**
have 3: $\vdash bi(f \wedge_i f1 \supset_i f) \supset_i (f \wedge_i f1);g \supset_i f;g$ **by (rule BiChopImpChop)**

from 2 3 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma AndChopB:

$\vdash (f \wedge_i f1);g \supset_i f1;g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \wedge_i f1 \supset_i f1$ by auto

hence 2: $\vdash bi (f \wedge_i f1 \supset_i f1)$ by (rule BiGen)

have 3: $\vdash bi (f \wedge_i f1 \supset_i f1) \supset_i (f \wedge_i f1);g \supset_i f1;g$ by (rule BiChopImpChop)

from 2 3 show ?thesis by auto

qed

lemma NextChop:

$\vdash (\bigcirc f);g \equiv_i \bigcirc(f;g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash skip;(f;g) \equiv_i (skip;f);g$ by (rule ChopAssoc)

show ?thesis by (metis 1 itl-prop(30) next-d-def)

qed

lemma BoxChopImpChop :

$\vdash \Box (g \supset_i g1) \supset_i f;g \supset_i f;g1$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash g \supset_i g$ by auto

hence 2: $\vdash bi (g \supset_i g)$ by (rule BiGen)

have 3: $\vdash bi (f \supset_i f) \wedge_i \Box(g \supset_i g1) \supset_i f;g \supset_i f;g1$ by (rule BiBoxChopImpChop)

from 2 3 show ?thesis by auto

qed

lemma LeftChopImpChop:

assumes $\vdash f \supset_i f1$

shows $\vdash f;g \supset_i f1;g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i f1$ using assms by auto

hence 2: $\vdash bi (f \supset_i f1)$ by (rule BiGen)

have 3: $\vdash bi (f \supset_i f1) \supset_i f;g \supset_i f1;g$ by (rule BiChopImpChop)

from 2 3 show ?thesis using MP by blast

qed

lemma RightChopImpChop:

assumes $\vdash g \supset_i g1$

shows $\vdash f;g \supset_i f;g1$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash g \supset_i g1$ using assms by auto

hence 2: $\vdash \Box (g \supset_i g1)$ by (rule BoxGen)

have 3: $\vdash \Box (g \supset_i g1) \supset_i f;g \supset_i f;g1$ by (rule BoxChopImpChop)

from 2 3 show ?thesis using MP by blast

qed

lemma RightChopEqvChop:

assumes $\vdash g \equiv_i g1$

shows $\vdash f;g \equiv_i f;g1$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash g \equiv_i g1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have 2: $(\vdash g \supset_i g1) \implies (\vdash f;g \supset_i f;g1)$ **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
have 3: $(\vdash g1 \supset_i g) \implies (\vdash f;g1 \supset_i f;g)$ **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
from 1 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *itl-prop(31)* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ChopOrEqv*:
 $\vdash f;(g \vee_i g1) \equiv_i f;g \vee_i f;g1$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash g \supset_i g \vee_i g1$ **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash f;g \supset_i f;(g \vee_i g1)$ **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
have 3: $\vdash g1 \supset_i g \vee_i g1$ **by** *auto*
hence 4: $\vdash f;g1 \supset_i f;(g \vee_i g1)$ **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
from 2 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *OrChopEqv*:
 $\vdash (f \vee_i f1);g \equiv_i f;g \vee_i f1;g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i f \vee_i f1$ **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash f;g \supset_i (f \vee_i f1);g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
have 3: $\vdash f1 \supset_i f \vee_i f1$ **by** *auto*
hence 4: $\vdash f1;g \supset_i (f \vee_i f1);g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
from 2 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *OrChopImpRule*:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i f1 \vee_i f2$
shows $\vdash f;g \supset_i (f1;g) \vee_i (f2;g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i f1 \vee_i f2$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash f;g \supset_i (f1 \vee_i f2);g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
have 3: $\vdash (f1 \vee_i f2);g \equiv_i f1;g \vee_i f2;g$ **by** (*rule OrChopEqv*)
from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *LeftChopEqvChop*:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i f1$
shows $\vdash f;g \equiv_i f1;g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash f \supset_i f1$ **by** *auto*
hence 3: $\vdash f;g \supset_i f1;g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
from 1 **have** $\vdash f1 \supset_i f$ **by** *auto*
hence 4: $\vdash f1;g \supset_i f;g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
from 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *OrChopEqvRule*:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i f1 \vee_i f2$
shows $\vdash f;g \equiv_i (f1;g) \vee_i (f2;g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i f1 \vee_i f2$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash f;g \equiv_i (f1 \vee_i f2);g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
have 3: $\vdash (f1 \vee_i f2);g \equiv_i f1;g \vee_i f2;g$ **by** (*rule OrChopEqv*)
from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *NextImpNext*:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash \bigcirc f \supset_i \bigcirc g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \Box (f \supset_i g)$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
have 3: $\vdash \Box (f \supset_i g) \supset_i (\text{skip};f) \supset_i (\text{skip};g)$ **by** (*rule BoxChopImpChop*)
have 4: $\vdash (\text{skip};f) \supset_i (\text{skip};g)$ **by** (*metis* 2 3 *MP*)
from 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis next-d-def*)
qed

lemma *ChopOrImpRule*:
assumes $\vdash g \supset_i g1 \vee_i g2$
shows $\vdash f;g \supset_i (f;g1) \vee_i (f;g2)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash g \supset_i g1 \vee_i g2$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash f;g \supset_i f;(g1 \vee_i g2)$ **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)
have 3: $\vdash f;(g1 \vee_i g2) \equiv_i f;g1 \vee_i f;g2$ **by** (*rule ChopOrEqv*)
from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *NextImpDist*:
 $\vdash \bigcirc (f \supset_i g) \supset_i \bigcirc f \supset_i \bigcirc g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \neg_i (f \supset_i g) \equiv_i f \wedge_i \neg_i g$ **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \text{skip};\neg_i (f \supset_i g) \equiv_i \text{skip};(f \wedge_i \neg_i g)$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
have 3: $\vdash f \supset_i g \vee_i (f \wedge_i \neg_i g)$ **by** *auto*
hence 4: $\vdash \text{skip};f \supset_i (\text{skip};g) \vee_i (\text{skip};(f \wedge_i \neg_i g))$ **by** (*rule ChopOrImpRule*)
hence 5: $\vdash \neg_i (\text{skip};(f \wedge_i \neg_i g)) \supset_i (\text{skip};f) \supset_i (\text{skip};g)$ **by** *auto*
have 6: $\vdash \neg_i (\text{skip};\neg_i (f \supset_i g)) \supset_i (\text{skip};f) \supset_i (\text{skip};g)$ **by** *auto*
hence 7: $\vdash \neg_i (\bigcirc \neg_i (f \supset_i g)) \supset_i (\bigcirc f) \supset_i (\bigcirc g)$ **by** (*simp add: next-d-def*)
have 8: $\vdash \bigcirc (f \supset_i g) \supset_i \neg_i (\bigcirc \neg_i (f \supset_i g))$ **by** (*rule NextImpNotNextNot*)
from 7 8 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *ChopImpDiamond*:
 $\vdash f;g \supset_i \Diamond g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i \text{true}_i$ **by** *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash f;g \supset_i \text{true}_i;g$ **by** (rule LeftChopImpChop)
 from 2 show ?thesis **by** auto
 qed

lemma NowImpDiamond:

$\vdash f \supset_i \Diamond f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{empty};f \equiv_i f$ **by** (rule EmptyChop)
 have 2: $\vdash \text{empty} \supset_i \text{true}_i$ **by** auto
 hence 3: $\vdash \text{empty};f \supset_i \text{true}_i;f$ **by** (rule LeftChopImpChop)
 have 4: $\vdash f \supset_i \text{true}_i;f$ **using** 1 3 **by** auto
 from 4 show ?thesis **by** auto
 qed

lemma BoxElim:

$\vdash \Box f \supset_i f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \neg_i f \supset_i \Diamond \neg_i f$ **by** (rule NowImpDiamond)
 hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i (\Diamond \neg_i f) \supset_i f$ **by** auto
 from 2 show ?thesis **by** (metis always-d-def)
 qed

lemma NextDiamondImpDiamond:

$\vdash \bigcirc (\Diamond f) \supset_i \Diamond f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{skip};(\text{true}_i;f) \equiv_i (\text{skip};\text{true}_i);f$ **by** (rule ChopAssoc)
 hence 2: $\vdash (\text{skip};\text{true}_i);f \equiv_i \text{skip};(\text{true}_i;f)$ **by** auto
 hence 3: $\vdash (\text{skip};\text{true}_i);f \equiv_i \bigcirc(\Diamond f)$ **by** (simp add: next-d-def)
 have 4: $\vdash (\text{skip};\text{true}_i);f \supset_i \Diamond f$ **by** (rule ChopImpDiamond)
 from 3 4 show ?thesis **by** auto
 qed

lemma BoxImpNowAndWeakNext:

$\vdash \Box f \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{wnext} (\Box f))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \neg_i f \supset_i \Diamond \neg_i f$ **by** (rule NowImpDiamond)
 hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i (\Diamond \neg_i f) \supset_i f$ **by** auto
 hence 3: $\vdash \Box f \supset_i f$ **by** (metis always-d-def)
 have 4: $\vdash \bigcirc (\Diamond \neg_i f) \supset_i \Diamond (\neg_i f)$ **by** (rule NextDiamondImpDiamond)
 have 5: $\vdash \neg_i \neg_i (\Diamond \neg_i f) \supset_i \Diamond (\neg_i f)$ **by** auto
 hence 6: $\vdash \bigcirc (\neg_i \neg_i (\Diamond \neg_i f)) \supset_i \bigcirc (\Diamond (\neg_i f))$ **by** (rule NextImpNext)
 have 7: $\vdash \bigcirc (\neg_i \neg_i (\Diamond \neg_i f)) \supset_i \Diamond (\neg_i f)$ **using** 4 6 **by** auto
 hence 8: $\vdash \bigcirc (\neg_i (\Box f)) \supset_i \Diamond (\neg_i f)$ **by** (simp add: always-d-def)
 hence 9: $\vdash \neg_i (\Diamond (\neg_i f)) \supset_i \neg_i (\bigcirc (\neg_i (\Box f)))$ **by** auto
 hence 10: $\vdash \Box f \supset_i \text{wnext} (\Box f)$ **by** (simp add: always-d-def wnext-d-def)
 from 3 10 show ?thesis **using** itl-prop(32) **by** blast
 qed

lemma BoxImpBoxRule:

assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash \Box f \supset_i \Box g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i f$ **by** *auto*
hence 3: $\vdash \Box(\neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i f)$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
have 4: $\vdash \Box(\neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i f) \supset_i (true_i; \neg_i g) \supset_i (true_i; \neg_i f)$ **by** (*rule BoxChopImpChop*)
have 5: $\vdash (true_i; \neg_i g) \supset_i (true_i; \neg_i f)$ **using** 3 4 *MP* **by** *auto*
hence 6: $\vdash \Diamond \neg_i g \supset_i \Diamond \neg_i f$ **by** (*simp add: sometimes-d-def*)
hence 7: $\vdash \neg_i (\Diamond \neg_i f) \supset_i \neg_i (\Diamond \neg_i g)$ **by** *auto*
from 7 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: always-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BoxImpDist*:

$\vdash \Box(f \supset_i g) \supset_i \Box f \supset_i \Box g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (f \supset_i g) \supset_i (\neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i f)$ **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \Box(f \supset_i g) \supset_i \Box(\neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i f)$ **by** (*rule BoxImpBoxRule*)
have 3: $\vdash \Box(\neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i f) \supset_i (true_i; \neg_i g) \supset_i (true_i; \neg_i f)$ **by** (*rule BoxChopImpChop*)
have 4: $\vdash \Box(f \supset_i g) \supset_i (true_i; \neg_i g) \supset_i (true_i; \neg_i f)$ **using** 2 3 *prop02* **by** *blast*
hence 5: $\vdash \Box(f \supset_i g) \supset_i \Diamond \neg_i g \supset_i \Diamond \neg_i f$ **by** (*simp add: sometimes-d-def*)
hence 6: $\vdash \Box(f \supset_i g) \supset_i \neg_i (\Diamond \neg_i f) \supset_i \neg_i (\Diamond \neg_i g)$ **by** *auto*
from 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: always-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiamondEmpty*:

$\vdash \Diamond \text{empty}$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash true_i$ **by** *auto*
have 2: $\vdash true_i; \text{empty} \equiv_i true_i$ **by** (*rule ChopEmpty*)
have 3: $\vdash true_i; \text{empty}$ **using** 1 2 **by** *auto*
from 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: sometimes-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NextEqvNext*:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$
shows $\vdash \bigcirc f \equiv_i \bigcirc g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \text{skip}; f \equiv_i \text{skip}; g$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: next-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NextAndNextImpNextRule*:

assumes $\vdash (f \wedge_i g) \supset_i h$
shows $\vdash (\bigcirc f \wedge_i \bigcirc g) \supset_i \bigcirc h$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *NextAndNextEqvNextRule*:

assumes $\vdash f \wedge_i g \equiv_i h$

shows $\vdash \bigcirc f \wedge_i \bigcirc g \equiv_i \bigcirc h$
using *assms by auto*

lemma *WeakNextEqvWeakNext*:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$
shows $\vdash \text{wnext } f \equiv_i \text{wnext } g$
using *assms by auto*

lemma *DiamondImpDiamond*:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash \Diamond f \supset_i \Diamond g$
using *assms by auto*

lemma *DiamondEqvDiamond*:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$
shows $\vdash \Diamond f \equiv_i \Diamond g$
using *assms by auto*

lemma *BoxEqvBox*:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$
shows $\vdash \Box f \equiv_i \Box g$
using *assms by auto*

lemma *BoxAndBoxImpBoxRule*:
assumes $\vdash f \wedge_i g \supset_i h$
shows $\vdash \Box f \wedge_i \Box g \supset_i \Box h$
using *assms by auto*

lemma *BoxAndBoxEqvBoxRule*:
assumes $\vdash f \wedge_i g \equiv_i h$
shows $\vdash \Box f \wedge_i \Box g \equiv_i \Box h$
using *assms by auto*

lemma *ImpBoxRule*:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash \Box f \supset_i \Box g$
using *assms by auto*

lemma *BoxIntro*:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$
 $\vdash \text{more } \wedge_i f \supset_i \bigcirc f$
shows $\vdash f \supset_i \Box g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{more } \wedge_i f \supset_i \bigcirc f$ **using** *assms by auto*
hence 2: $\vdash f \supset_i (\text{empty } \vee_i \bigcirc f)$ **by** *auto*
hence 3: $\vdash f \supset_i \text{wnext } f$ **by** *auto*
hence 4: $\vdash \Box(f \supset_i \text{wnext } f)$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)
have 5: $\vdash (\Box(f \supset_i \text{wnext } f)) \wedge_i f \supset_i \Box f$ **by** (*rule BoxInduct*)
hence 6: $\vdash (\Box(f \supset_i \text{wnext } f)) \supset_i (f \supset_i \Box f)$ **using** *prop36 by blast*
have 7: $\vdash f \supset_i \Box f$ **using** 4 6 *MP by blast*

have 8: $\vdash \Box f \supset_i f$ **by** (rule BoxElim)
have 9: $\vdash f \equiv_i \Box f$ **using** 7 8 itl-prop(31) **by** blast
have 10: $\vdash f \supset_i g$ **using** assms **by** auto
hence 11: $\vdash \Box f \supset_i \Box g$ **by** (rule ImpBoxRule)
from 7 9 11 **show** ?thesis **using** prop02 **by** blast
qed

lemma NextLoop:

assumes $\vdash f \supset_i \Box f$
shows $\vdash \neg_i f$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i \Box f$ **using** assms **by** auto
hence 2: $\vdash f \supset_i (\text{more } \wedge_i \text{wnext } f)$ **by** auto
hence 3: $\vdash f \supset_i \text{wnext } f$ **by** auto
hence 4: $\vdash \Box (f \supset_i \text{wnext } f)$ **by** (rule BoxGen)
have 5: $\vdash \Box (f \supset_i \text{wnext } f) \wedge_i f \supset_i \Box f$ **by** (rule BoxInduct)
hence 6: $\vdash \Box (f \supset_i \text{wnext } f) \supset_i (f \supset_i \Box f)$ **using** prop36 **by** blast
have 7: $\vdash f \supset_i \Box f$ **using** 4 6 MP **by** blast
have 8: $\vdash \Box f \supset_i f$ **by** (rule BoxElim)
have 9: $\vdash f \equiv_i \Box f$ **using** 7 8 itl-prop(31) **by** blast
have 10: $\vdash f \supset_i \text{more}$ **using** 2 **by** auto
hence 11: $\vdash \Box f \supset_i \Box \text{more}$ **by** (rule ImpBoxRule)
have 12: $\vdash \neg_i(\Box \text{more})$ **by** auto
from 7 9 11 12 **show** ?thesis **by** (metis not-d-def prop02)
qed

lemma WnextEqvEmptyOrNext:

$\vdash \text{wnext } f \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i \Box f$
by auto

lemma NotEmptyAndNext:

$\vdash \neg_i(\text{empty} \wedge_i \Box f)$
by auto

lemma BoxEqvAndWnextBox:

$\vdash \Box f \equiv_i f \wedge_i \text{wnext } (\Box f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \Box f \supset_i f \wedge_i \text{wnext } (\Box f)$
using BoxImpNowAndWeakNext **by** blast
have 2: $\vdash f \wedge_i \text{wnext } (\Box f) \supset_i f$
by simp
have 3: $\vdash \text{more} \wedge_i (f \wedge_i \text{wnext } (\Box f)) \supset_i \Box (f \wedge_i \text{wnext } (\Box f))$
by (metis 1 NextImpNext WnextEqvEmptyOrNext empty-d-def prop10 prop13 prop14)
have 4: $\vdash f \wedge_i \text{wnext } (\Box f) \supset_i \Box f$
using 2 3 BoxIntro **by** blast
from 1 4 **show** ?thesis **using** itl-prop(31) **by** blast
qed

lemma BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox:

$\vdash \Box f \equiv_i f \wedge_i (\text{empty} \vee_i \Box f)$

using *BoxEqvAndWnextBox WnextEqvEmptyOrNext* using *prop03 prop05* by *blast*

lemma *BoxEqvBoxBox*:

$\vdash \Box f \equiv_i \Box (\Box f)$

by *auto*

lemma *BoxBoxImpBox*:

$\vdash \Box(\Box h) \supset_i \Box h$

using *BoxEqvBoxBox itl-prop(31)* by *blast*

lemma *BoxImpBoxBox*:

$\vdash \Box h \supset_i \Box(\Box h)$

by *simp*

lemma *DiamondIntro*:

assumes $\vdash (f \wedge_i \neg_i g) \supset_i \bigcirc f$

shows $\vdash f \supset_i \Diamond g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i \bigcirc f$

using *assms* by *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i g \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g) \supset_i (\bigcirc f) \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g)$

by *auto*

have 3: $\vdash (\Box \neg_i g) \supset_i \neg_i g$

by (*rule BoxElim*)

hence 4: $\vdash \Box \neg_i g \equiv_i (\Box \neg_i g) \wedge_i \neg_i g$

using *BoxImpBoxBox BoxBoxImpBox itl-prop(31) itl-prop(32) prop02 prop26 prop29* by *blast*

have 5: $\vdash f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g) \supset_i \bigcirc f \wedge_i \Box \neg_i g$

using 2 4 by *auto*

have 6: $\vdash \Box \neg_i g \equiv_i (\neg_i g) \wedge_i \text{wnext}(\Box \neg_i g)$

using *BoxEqvAndWnextBox* by *blast*

have 7: $\vdash \bigcirc f \wedge_i \Box \neg_i g \supset_i \bigcirc f \wedge_i \text{wnext}(\Box \neg_i g)$

using 6 by *auto*

have 8: $\vdash f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g) \supset_i \bigcirc f \wedge_i \text{wnext}(\Box \neg_i g)$

using 5 7 by *auto*

hence 9: $\vdash f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g) \supset_i \text{more} \wedge_i \text{wnext } f \wedge_i \text{wnext}(\Box \neg_i g)$

by *auto*

hence 10: $\vdash f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g) \supset_i \text{wnext } f \wedge_i \text{wnext}(\Box \neg_i g)$

by *auto*

hence 11: $\vdash f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g) \supset_i \text{wnext } (f \wedge_i \Box \neg_i g)$

by *auto*

hence 12: $\vdash \Box(f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g) \supset_i \text{wnext } (f \wedge_i \Box \neg_i g))$

by (*rule BoxGen*)

have 13: $\vdash \Box(f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g) \supset_i \text{wnext } (f \wedge_i \Box \neg_i g)) \wedge_i f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g) \supset_i \Box(f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g))$

by (*rule BoxInduct*)

hence 14: $\vdash \Box(f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g) \supset_i \text{wnext } (f \wedge_i \Box \neg_i g)) \supset_i ((f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g)) \supset_i \Box(f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g)))$

using *prop36* by *blast*

have 15: $\vdash ((f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g)) \supset_i \Box(f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g)))$

using 12 14 *MP* by *blast*

have 16: $\vdash \Box(f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g)) \supset_i (f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g))$

by (*rule BoxElim*)

have 17: $\vdash \Box(f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g)) \equiv_i (f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g))$
using 16 15 *itl-prop*(31) **by** *blast*
have 18: $\vdash (f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g)) \supset_i \text{more}$
using 9 **by** *auto*
hence 19: $\vdash \Box(f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g)) \supset_i \Box \text{more}$
by (*rule ImpBoxRule*)
have 20: $\vdash \neg_i(\Box \text{more})$
by *auto*
have 21: $\vdash \neg_i(f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i g))$
using 17 19 20 **by** *auto*
hence 22: $\vdash \neg_i f \vee_i \neg_i (\Box \neg_i g)$
by *auto*
have 23: $\vdash \neg_i (\Box \neg_i g) \equiv_i \Diamond g$
by *auto*
from 22 23 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *DiamondIntroB*:

assumes $\vdash (f \wedge_i \neg_i g) \supset_i \Box (f \wedge_i \neg_i g)$
shows $\vdash f \supset_i \Diamond g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \neg_i g) \supset_i \Box (f \wedge_i \neg_i g)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i(f \wedge_i \neg_i g)$ **by** (*rule NextLoop*)
hence 3: $\vdash f \supset_i g$ **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash g \supset_i \Diamond g$ **by** (*rule NowImpDiamond*)
from 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *NextContra* :

assumes $\vdash (f \wedge_i \neg_i g) \supset_i (\Box f \wedge_i \neg_i (\Box g))$
shows $\vdash f \supset_i g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \neg_i g) \supset_i (\Box f \wedge_i \neg_i (\Box g))$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i(f \supset_i g) \supset_i \Box (\neg_i(f \supset_i g))$ **by** *auto*
hence 3: $\vdash \neg_i \neg_i(f \supset_i g)$ **by** (*rule NextLoop*)
from 3 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *DiamondDiamondEqvDiamond*:

$\vdash \Diamond(\Diamond f) \equiv_i \Diamond f$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{true}_i; \text{true}_i \equiv_i \text{true}_i$ **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash (\text{true}_i; \text{true}_i); f \equiv_i \text{true}_i; f$ **using** *LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash (\text{true}_i; \text{true}_i); f \equiv_i \text{true}_i; (\text{true}_i; f)$ **using** *ChopAssoc* *itl-prop*(30) **by** *blast*
from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *WeakNextDiamondInduct*:

assumes $\vdash \text{wnext } (\Diamond f) \supset_i f$

shows $\vdash f$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{wnext } (\Diamond f) \supset_i f$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i f \supset_i \neg_i (\text{wnext } (\Diamond f))$ **using** *prop27* **by** *blast*
hence 3: $\vdash \neg_i f \supset_i \bigcirc (\neg_i (\Diamond f))$ **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash f \supset_i \Diamond f$ **by** (*rule NowImpDiamond*)
hence 5: $\vdash \neg_i (\Diamond f) \supset_i \neg_i f$ **by** *auto*
have 6: $\vdash \neg_i f \supset_i \bigcirc (\neg_i f)$ **using** 3 5 **using** *NextImpNext prop02* **by** *blast*
hence 7: $\vdash \neg_i \neg_i f$ **by** (*rule NextLoop*)
from 7 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *EmptyNextInducta*:
assumes $\vdash \text{empty} \supset_i f$
 $\vdash \bigcirc f \supset_i f$
shows $\vdash f$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{empty} \supset_i f$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have 2: $\vdash \bigcirc f \supset_i f$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i \bigcirc f) \supset_i f$ **using** 1 2 *prop17* **by** *blast*
have 4: $\vdash \text{wnext } f \equiv_i (\text{empty} \vee_i \bigcirc f)$ **by** (*rule WnextEqvEmptyOrNext*)
hence 5: $\vdash \text{wnext } f \supset_i f$ **using** 3 **using** *itl-prop(31) prop02* **by** *blast*
hence 6: $\vdash \neg_i f \supset_i \neg_i (\text{wnext } f)$ **by** *auto*
hence 7: $\vdash \neg_i f \supset_i \bigcirc (\neg_i f)$ **by** *auto*
hence 8: $\vdash \neg_i \neg_i f$ **by** (*rule NextLoop*)
from 8 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *EmptyNextInductb*:
assumes $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i f \supset_i g$
 $\vdash \bigcirc (f \supset_i g) \wedge_i f \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash f \supset_i g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i f \supset_i g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have 2: $\vdash \bigcirc (f \supset_i g) \wedge_i f \supset_i g$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i \bigcirc (f \supset_i g)) \wedge_i f \supset_i g$ **using** 1 2 *prop33* **by** *blast*
hence 4: $\vdash \text{wnext } (f \supset_i g) \wedge_i f \supset_i g$ **using** *prop36* **by** *auto*
hence 5: $\vdash \text{wnext } (f \supset_i g) \supset_i (f \supset_i g)$ **using** *prop36* **by** *blast*
hence 6: $\vdash \neg_i (f \supset_i g) \supset_i \neg_i (\text{wnext } (f \supset_i g))$ **using** *prop27* **by** *blast*
hence 7: $\vdash \neg_i (f \supset_i g) \supset_i \bigcirc (\neg_i (f \supset_i g))$ **by** *simp*
hence 8: $\vdash \neg_i \neg_i (f \supset_i g)$ **by** (*rule NextLoop*)
from 8 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *FinImpFin*:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash \text{fin } f \supset_i \text{fin } g$
using *ImpBoxRule assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *FinEqvFin*:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$
shows $\vdash \text{fin } f \equiv_i \text{fin } g$
using *FinImpFin* *assms* *itl-prop(31)* **by** *blast*

lemma *FinAndFinImpFinRule*:
assumes $\vdash f \wedge_i g \supset_i h$
shows $\vdash \text{fin } f \wedge_i \text{fin } g \supset_i \text{fin } h$
proof –
have $\vdash f \wedge_i g \supset_i h$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *FinAndFinEqvFinRule*:
assumes $\vdash f \wedge_i g \equiv_i h$
shows $\vdash \text{fin } f \wedge_i \text{fin } g \equiv_i \text{fin } h$
by (*meson* *FinAndFinImpFinRule* *FinImpFin* *assms* *itl-prop(31)* *itl-prop(32)*)

lemma *HaltEqvHalt*:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$
shows $\vdash \text{halt } f \equiv_i \text{halt } g$
proof –
have *1*: $\vdash f \equiv_i g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence *2*: $\vdash (\text{empty} \equiv_i f) \equiv_i (\text{empty} \equiv_i g)$ **by** *auto*
hence *3*: $\vdash \square(\text{empty} \equiv_i f) \equiv_i \square(\text{empty} \equiv_i g)$ **by** (*rule* *BoxEqvBox*)
from *3* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp* *add*: *halt-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BiImpDiImpDi*:
 $\vdash \text{bi } (f \supset_i g) \supset_i \text{di } f \supset_i \text{di } g$
proof –
have *1*: $\vdash \text{bi } (f \supset_i g) \supset_i (f; \text{true}_i) \supset_i (g; \text{true}_i)$ **by** (*rule* *BiChopImpChop*)
from *1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp* *add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiImpDi*:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash \text{di } f \supset_i \text{di } g$
proof –
have *1*: $\vdash f \supset_i g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence *2*: $\vdash f; \text{true}_i \supset_i g; \text{true}_i$ **by** (*rule* *LeftChopImpChop*)
from *2* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp* *add*: *di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BiImpBiRule*:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash \text{bi } f \supset_i \text{bi } g$

proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i f$ **by** *auto*
hence 3: $\vdash di \neg_i g \supset_i di \neg_i f$ **by** (*rule DilmpDi*)
hence 4: $\vdash \neg_i (di \neg_i f) \supset_i \neg_i (di \neg_i g)$ **by** *auto*
from 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: bi-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiEqvDi*:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$
shows $\vdash di f \equiv_i di g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash f; true_i \equiv_i g; true_i$ **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
from 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BiEqvBi*:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$
shows $\vdash bi f \equiv_i bi g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i f \equiv_i \neg_i g$ **by** *auto*
hence 3: $\vdash di \neg_i f \equiv_i di \neg_i g$ **by** (*rule DiEqvDi*)
hence 4: $\vdash \neg_i (di \neg_i f) \equiv_i \neg_i (di \neg_i g)$ **by** *auto*
from 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: bi-d-def*)
qed

lemma *LeftChopChopImpChopRule*:
assumes $\vdash (f; g) \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash (f; g); h \supset_i (g; h)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (f; g) \supset_i g$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
hence 2: $\vdash (f; g); h \supset_i g; h$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
have 3: $\vdash f; (g; h) \equiv_i (f; g); h$ **by** (*rule ChopAssoc*)
from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *AndChopCommute* :
 $\vdash (f \wedge_i f1); g \equiv_i (f1 \wedge_i f); g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \wedge_i f1 \equiv_i f1 \wedge_i f$ **by** *auto*
from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
qed

lemma *BiAndChopImport*:
 $\vdash bi f \wedge_i (f1; g) \supset_i (f \wedge_i f1); g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i (f1 \supset_i f \wedge_i f1)$ **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash bi f \supset_i bi (f1 \supset_i f \wedge_i f1)$ **by** (*rule BilmpBiRule*)

have 3: $\vdash bi (f1 \supset_i (f \wedge_i f1)) \supset_i f1; g \supset_i (f \wedge_i f1); g$ **by** (rule BiChopImpChop)
from 1 3 **show** ?thesis **using** MP **by** auto
qed

lemma StateAndChopImport:

$\vdash (init\ w) \wedge_i (f; g) \supset_i ((init\ w) \wedge_i f); g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (init\ w) \supset_i bi (init\ w)$ **by** (rule StateImpBi)
hence 2: $\vdash (init\ w) \wedge_i (f; g) \supset_i bi (init\ w) \wedge_i (f; g)$ **by** auto
have 3: $\vdash bi (init\ w) \wedge_i (f; g) \supset_i ((init\ w) \wedge_i f); g$ **by** (rule BiAndChopImport)
from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **using** MP **by** auto
qed

5.4 Further Properties Di and Bi

lemma ImpDi:

$\vdash f \supset_i di\ f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f; empty \equiv_i f$ **by** (rule ChopEmpty)
have 2: $\vdash empty \supset_i true_i$ **by** auto
hence 3: $\vdash f; empty \supset_i f; true_i$ **by** (rule RightChopImpChop)
have 4: $\vdash f \supset_i f; true_i$ **by** auto
from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: di-d-def)
qed

lemma DiState:

$\vdash di (init\ w) \equiv_i (init\ w)$

proof –

have 0: $\vdash (init\ \neg_i w) \supset_i bi (init\ \neg_i w)$ **using** StateImpBi **by** fastforce
hence 1: $\vdash \neg_i (init\ w) \supset_i bi\ \neg_i (init\ w)$ **using** Initprop **by** auto
hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i (init\ w) \supset_i \neg_i (di\ \neg_i \neg_i (init\ w))$ **by** (simp add: bi-d-def)
have 3: $\vdash (\neg_i (init\ w) \supset_i \neg_i (di\ \neg_i \neg_i (init\ w))) \supset_i (di\ \neg_i \neg_i (init\ w) \supset_i (init\ w))$ **by** auto
have 4: $\vdash di\ \neg_i \neg_i (init\ w) \supset_i (init\ w)$ **using** 2 3 MP **by** blast
have 5: $\vdash (init\ w) \supset_i \neg_i \neg_i (init\ w)$ **by** auto
hence 6: $\vdash di (init\ w) \supset_i di\ \neg_i \neg_i (init\ w)$ **by** (rule DiImpDi)
have 7: $\vdash di (init\ w) \supset_i (init\ w)$ **using** 6 4 MP **using** prop02 **by** blast
have 8: $\vdash (init\ w) \supset_i di (init\ w)$ **by** (rule ImpDi)
from 7 8 **show** ?thesis **using** itl-prop(31) **by** blast
qed

lemma StateChop:

$\vdash (init\ w); f \supset_i (init\ w)$

using DiState **by** auto

lemma StateChopExportA:

$\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge_i f); g \supset_i (init\ w)$

using DiState **by** auto

lemma StateAndChop:

$\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge_i f); g \equiv_i (init\ w) \wedge_i (f; g)$

using StateAndChopImport StateChopExportA AndChopB itl-prop(31) itl-prop(32) by blast

lemma StateAndChopImpChopRule:

assumes $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f \supset_i f1$

shows $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i (f; g) \supset_i (f1; g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f \supset_i f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i f); g \supset_i f1; g$ **by** (rule LeftChopImpChop)

have 3: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i f); g \equiv_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i (f; g)$ **by** (rule StateAndChop)

from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*

qed

lemma StateImpChopEqvChop :

assumes $\vdash (\text{init } w) \supset_i (f \equiv_i f1)$

shows $\vdash (\text{init } w) \supset_i ((f; g) \equiv_i (f1; g))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \supset_i (f \equiv_i f1)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f \supset_i f1$ **by** *auto*

hence 3: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i (f; g) \supset_i (f1; g)$ **by** (rule StateAndChopImpChopRule)

have 4: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f1 \supset_i f$ **using** 1 **by** *auto*

hence 5: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i (f1; g) \supset_i (f; g)$ **by** (rule StateAndChopImpChopRule)

from 3 5 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*

qed

lemma ChopEqvStateAndChop:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f1$

shows $\vdash (f; g) \equiv_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i (f1; g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash f; g \equiv_i ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i f1); g$ **by** (rule LeftChopEqvChop)

have 3: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i f1); g \equiv_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i (f1; g)$ **by** (rule StateAndChop)

from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*

qed

lemma DiIntro:

$\vdash f \supset_i di \ f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f; \text{empty} \equiv_i f$ **by** (rule ChopEmpty)

have 2: $\vdash \text{empty} \supset_i \text{true}_i$ **by** *auto*

hence 3: $\vdash \Box(\text{empty} \supset_i \text{true}_i)$ **by** (rule BoxGen)

have 4: $\vdash \Box(\text{empty} \supset_i \text{true}_i) \supset_i (f; \text{empty} \supset_i f; \text{true}_i)$ **by** (rule BoxChopImpChop)

have 5: $\vdash f; \text{empty} \supset_i f; \text{true}_i$ **using** 3 4 **MP** **by** *auto*

hence 6: $\vdash f; \text{empty} \supset_i di \ f$ **by** (simp add: di-d-def)

from 1 6 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*

qed

lemma BiElim:

$\vdash bi \ f \supset_i f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \neg_i f \supset_i di \ \neg_i f$ **by** (rule DiIntro)

have 2: $\vdash (\neg_i f \supset_i di \neg_i f) \supset_i (\neg_i (di \neg_i f) \supset_i f)$ **by** *auto*
have 3: $\vdash \neg_i (di \neg_i f) \supset_i f$ **using** 1 2 *MP* **by** *blast*
from 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis bi-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BiContraPosImpDist*:

$\vdash bi (\neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i f) \supset_i (bi f) \supset_i (bi g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash bi (\neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i f) \supset_i (di \neg_i g) \supset_i (di \neg_i f)$ **by** (*rule BilmpDilmpDi*)
hence 2: $\vdash bi (\neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i f) \supset_i (\neg_i (di \neg_i f)) \supset_i (\neg_i (di \neg_i g))$ **by** *auto*
from 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis bi-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BilmpDist*:

$\vdash bi (f \supset_i g) \supset_i (bi f) \supset_i (bi g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \supset_i g) \supset_i (\neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i f)$ **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i (\neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i f) \supset_i \neg_i (f \supset_i g)$ **by** *auto*
hence 3: $\vdash bi (\neg_i (\neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i f) \supset_i \neg_i (f \supset_i g))$ **by** (*rule BiGen*)
have 4: $\vdash bi (\neg_i (\neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i f) \supset_i \neg_i (f \supset_i g))$
 \supset_i
 $bi (f \supset_i g) \supset_i bi (\neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i f)$ **by** (*rule BiContraPosImpDist*)
have 5: $\vdash bi (f \supset_i g) \supset_i bi (\neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i f)$ **using** 3 4 *MP* **by** *blast*
have 6: $\vdash bi (\neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i f) \supset_i (bi f) \supset_i (bi g)$ **by** (*rule BiContraPosImpDist*)
from 5 6 **show** *?thesis* **using** *prop02* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *IfChopEqvRule*:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } f1 \text{ else } f2$
shows $\vdash f; g \equiv_i \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (f1; g) \text{ else } (f2; g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } f1 \text{ else } f2$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash f \equiv_i ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i f1) \vee_i ((\text{init } \neg_i w) \wedge_i f2)$ **by** (*simp add: ifthenelse-d-def*)
hence 3: $\vdash f; g \equiv_i ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i f1); g \vee_i ((\text{init } \neg_i w) \wedge_i f2); g$ **by** (*rule OrChopEqvRule*)
have 4: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i f1); g \equiv_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i (f1; g)$ **by** (*rule StateAndChop*)
have 5: $\vdash ((\text{init } \neg_i w) \wedge_i f2); g \equiv_i (\text{init } \neg_i w) \wedge_i (f2; g)$ **by** (*rule StateAndChop*)
have 6: $\vdash f; g \equiv_i ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i f1; g) \vee_i ((\text{init } \neg_i w) \wedge_i f2; g)$ **using** 3 4 5 **by** *auto*
from 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: ifthenelse-d-def*)
qed

lemma *ChopOrEqvRule*:

assumes $\vdash g \equiv_i g1 \vee_i g2$
shows $\vdash f; g \equiv_i (f; g1) \vee_i (f; g2)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash g \equiv_i g1 \vee_i g2$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash f; g \equiv_i f; (g1 \vee_i g2)$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
have 3: $\vdash f; (g1 \vee_i g2) \equiv_i f; g1 \vee_i f; g2$ **by** (*rule ChopOrEqv*)
from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *EmptyOrChopEqv*:

$\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i f); g \equiv_i g \vee_i (f; g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i f); g \equiv_i (\text{empty}; g) \vee_i (f; g)$ **by** (rule *OrChopEqv*)

have 2: $\vdash \text{empty}; g \equiv_i g$ **by** (rule *EmptyChop*)

from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *EmptyOrNextChopEqv*:

$\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i \circ f); g \equiv_i g \vee_i \circ(f; g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i \circ f); g \equiv_i g \vee_i ((\circ f); g)$ **by** (rule *EmptyOrChopEqv*)

have 2: $\vdash \circ f; g \equiv_i \circ(f; g)$ **by** (rule *NextChop*)

from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *EmptyOrChopImpRule*:

assumes $\vdash f \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i f1$

shows $\vdash f; g \supset_i g \vee_i (f1; g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i f1$ **using** *assms* **by** auto

hence 2: $\vdash f; g \supset_i (\text{empty} \vee_i f1); g$ **by** (rule *LeftChopImpChop*)

have 3: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i f1); g \equiv_i g \vee_i (f1; g)$ **by** (rule *EmptyOrChopEqv*)

from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *EmptyOrChopEqvRule*:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i f1$

shows $\vdash f; g \equiv_i g \vee_i (f1; g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i f1$ **using** *assms* **by** auto

hence 2: $\vdash f; g \equiv_i (\text{empty} \vee_i f1); g$ **by** (rule *LeftChopEqvChop*)

have 3: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i f1); g \equiv_i g \vee_i (f1; g)$ **by** (rule *EmptyOrChopEqv*)

from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *EmptyOrNextChopImpRule*:

assumes $\vdash f \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i \circ f1$

shows $\vdash f; g \supset_i g \vee_i \circ(f1; g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i \circ f1$ **using** *assms* **by** auto

hence 2: $\vdash f; g \supset_i (\text{empty} \vee_i \circ f1); g$ **by** (rule *LeftChopImpChop*)

have 3: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i \circ f1); g \equiv_i g \vee_i \circ(f1; g)$ **by** (rule *EmptyOrNextChopEqv*)

from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *EmptyOrNextChopEqvRule*:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i \circ f1$

shows $\vdash f; g \equiv_i g \vee_i \circ(f1; g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i \circ f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash f; g \equiv_i (\text{empty} \vee_i \circ f1); g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
have 3: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i \circ f1); g \equiv_i g \vee_i \circ(f1; g)$ **by** (*rule EmptyOrNextChopEqv*)
from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *ChopEmptyOrImpRule*:
assumes $\vdash g \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i g1$
shows $\vdash f; g \supset_i f \vee_i (f; g1)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash g \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i g1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash f; g \supset_i (f; \text{empty}) \vee_i (f; g1)$ **by** (*rule ChopOrImpRule*)
have 3: $\vdash f; \text{empty} \equiv_i f$ **by** (*rule ChopEmpty*)
from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *StateAndEmptyImpBoxState*:
 $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty} \supset_i \Box (\text{init } w)$
by *simp*

lemma *BoxEqvAndBox*:
 $\vdash \Box f \equiv_i f \wedge_i \Box f$
by *fastforce*

lemma *NotBoxImpNotOrNotNextBox*:
 $\vdash \neg_i(\Box f) \supset_i \neg_i f \vee_i \neg_i(\Box f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \wedge_i \text{wnext}(\Box f) \equiv_i f \wedge_i \Box f$
by (*meson BoxEqvAndBox BoxEqvAndWnextBox prop21*)
have 2: $\vdash \neg_i(\text{wnext}(\Box f)) \supset_i \neg_i(\Box f)$
by (*metis (full-types) NextImpNotNextNot prop27 wnext-d-def*)
then show ?thesis **using** 1 **by** (*metis (no-types) and-d-def itl-prop(33) prop19 prop35*)
qed

lemma *BoxStateChopBoxEqvBox*:
 $\vdash \Box(\text{init } w); \Box(\text{init } w) \equiv_i \Box(\text{init } w)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \Box(\text{init } w) \equiv_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i (\text{empty} \vee_i \circ(\Box(\text{init } w)))$
by (*rule BoxEqvAndEmptyOrNextBox*)
hence 2: $\vdash \Box(\text{init } w); \Box(\text{init } w) \equiv_i$
 $(\text{init } w) \wedge_i ((\text{empty} \vee_i \circ(\Box(\text{init } w))); \Box(\text{init } w))$
by (*rule ChopEqvStateAndChop*)
have 3: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i \circ(\Box(\text{init } w))); \Box(\text{init } w) \equiv_i$
 $\Box(\text{init } w) \vee_i \circ(\Box(\text{init } w); \Box(\text{init } w))$
by (*rule EmptyOrNextChopEqv*)
have 4: $\vdash \Box(\text{init } w); \Box(\text{init } w) \equiv_i$
 $(\text{init } w) \wedge_i (\Box(\text{init } w) \vee_i \circ(\Box(\text{init } w); \Box(\text{init } w)))$
using 2 3 **by** *auto*
have 5: $\vdash \neg_i(\Box(\text{init } w)) \supset_i \neg_i(\text{init } w) \vee_i \neg_i(\Box(\text{init } w))$

by (rule NotBoxImpNotOrNotNextBox)
 have 6: $\vdash (\Box (init\ w); \Box (init\ w)) \wedge_i \neg_i (\Box (init\ w)) \supset_i$
 $\quad \Box (\Box (init\ w); \Box (init\ w)) \wedge_i \neg_i (\Box (\Box (init\ w)))$
 using 4 5 by auto
 hence 7: $\vdash \Box (init\ w); \Box (init\ w) \supset_i \Box (init\ w)$
 by (rule NextContra)
 have 11: $\vdash \Box (init\ w) \equiv_i (init\ w) \wedge_i \Box (init\ w)$
 by (rule BoxEqvAndBox)
 have 12: $\vdash empty ; \Box (init\ w) \equiv_i \Box (init\ w)$
 by (rule EmptyChop)
 have 13: $\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge_i empty) ; \Box (init\ w) \equiv_i (init\ w) \wedge_i (empty ; \Box (init\ w))$
 by (rule StateAndChop)
 have 14: $\vdash \Box (init\ w) \equiv_i ((init\ w) \wedge_i empty) ; \Box (init\ w)$
 using 11 12 13 by auto
 have 15: $\vdash (init\ w) \wedge_i empty \supset_i \Box (init\ w)$
 by (rule StateAndEmptyImpBoxState)
 hence 16: $\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge_i empty) ; \Box (init\ w) \supset_i \Box (init\ w); \Box (init\ w)$
 by (rule LeftChopImpChop)
 have 17: $\vdash \Box (init\ w) \supset_i \Box (init\ w); \Box (init\ w)$
 using 14 16 by auto
 from 7 17 show ?thesis using itl-prop(31) by blast
 qed

lemma NotBoxStatImpBoxYieldsNotBox:

$\vdash \neg_i (\Box (init\ w)) \supset_i (\Box (init\ w)) \text{ yields } \neg_i (\Box (init\ w))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \Box (init\ w); \Box (init\ w) \equiv_i \Box (init\ w)$ by (rule BoxStateChopBoxEqvBox)
 have 2: $\vdash \Box (init\ w) \equiv_i \neg_i \neg_i (\Box (init\ w))$ by auto
 hence 3: $\vdash \Box (init\ w); \Box (init\ w) \equiv_i \Box (init\ w); \neg_i \neg_i (\Box (init\ w))$ by (rule RightChopEqvChop)
 have 4: $\vdash \neg_i (\Box (init\ w)) \supset_i \neg_i (\Box (init\ w); \neg_i \neg_i (\Box (init\ w)))$ using 1 3 by auto
 from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)
 qed

lemma StateEqvBi:

$\vdash (init\ w) \equiv_i bi\ (init\ w)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (init\ w) \supset_i bi\ (init\ w)$ by (rule StatImpBi)
 have 2: $\vdash bi\ (init\ w) \supset_i (init\ w)$ by (rule BiElim)
 from 1 2 show ?thesis using itl-prop(31) by blast
 qed

lemma TrueChopEqvDiamond:

$\vdash true_i; f \equiv_i \Diamond f$

by simp

5.5 Properties of Da and Ba

lemma DaEqvDtDi:

$\vdash da\ f \equiv_i \Diamond (di\ f)$

proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{true}_i; (f; \text{true}_i) \equiv_i \text{true}_i; (f; \text{true}_i)$ **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \text{true}_i; (f; \text{true}_i) \equiv_i \text{true}_i; \text{di } f$ **by** (*simp add: di-d-def*)
have 3: $\vdash \text{true}_i; \text{di } f \equiv_i \Diamond(\text{di } f)$ **by** (*rule TrueChopEqvDiamond*)
have 4: $\vdash \text{true}_i; (f; \text{true}_i) \equiv_i \Diamond(\text{di } f)$ **using** 2 3 **by** *auto*
from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (*simp add: da-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DaEqvDiDt*:
 $\vdash \text{da } f \equiv_i \text{di } (\Diamond f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{true}_i; f \equiv_i \Diamond f$ **by** (*rule TrueChopEqvDiamond*)
hence 2: $\vdash (\text{true}_i; f); \text{true}_i \equiv_i (\Diamond f); \text{true}_i$ **by** (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
hence 3: $\vdash (\text{true}_i; f); \text{true}_i \equiv_i \text{di } (\Diamond f)$ **by** (*simp add: di-d-def*)
have 4: $\vdash \text{true}_i; (f; \text{true}_i) \equiv_i (\text{true}_i; f); \text{true}_i$ **by** (*rule ChopAssoc*)
have 5: $\vdash \text{true}_i; (f; \text{true}_i) \equiv_i \text{di } (\Diamond f)$ **using** 3 4 **by** *auto*
from 5 **show** ?thesis **by** (*simp add: da-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DtDiEqvDiDt*:
 $\vdash \Diamond(\text{di } f) \equiv_i \text{di } (\Diamond f)$
by (*metis ChopAssoc di-d-def sometimes-d-def*)

lemma *DiamondNotEqvNotBox*:
 $\vdash \Diamond \neg_i f \equiv_i \neg_i (\Box f)$
by *simp*

lemma *BaEqvBiBt*:
 $\vdash \text{ba } f \equiv_i \text{bi } (\Box f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{da } \neg_i f \equiv_i \text{di } (\Diamond \neg_i f)$ **by** (*rule DaEqvDiDt*)
have 2: $\vdash \Diamond \neg_i f \equiv_i \neg_i (\Box f)$ **by** (*rule DiamondNotEqvNotBox*)
hence 3: $\vdash \text{di } (\Diamond \neg_i f) \equiv_i \text{di } \neg_i (\Box f)$ **by** (*rule DiEqvDi*)
have 4: $\vdash \text{da } \neg_i f \equiv_i \text{di } \neg_i (\Box f)$ **using** 1 3 **by** *auto*
hence 5: $\vdash \neg_i (\text{da } \neg_i f) \equiv_i \neg_i (\text{di } \neg_i (\Box f))$ **by** *auto*
hence 6: $\vdash \neg_i (\text{da } \neg_i f) \equiv_i \text{bi } (\Box f)$ **by** (*simp add: bi-d-def*)
from 6 **show** ?thesis **by** (*simp add: ba-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiNotEqvNotBi*:
 $\vdash \text{di } \neg_i f \equiv_i \neg_i (\text{bi } f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{bi } f \equiv_i \neg_i (\text{di } \neg_i f)$ **by** (*simp add: bi-d-def*)
from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *NotDiamondNotEqvBox*:
 $\vdash \neg_i (\Diamond \neg_i f) \equiv_i \Box f$
by *simp*

lemma *BaEqvBtBi*:

$\vdash ba\ f \equiv_i \Box (bi\ f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash da\ \neg_i\ f \equiv_i \Diamond (di\ \neg_i\ f)$ **by** (rule *DaEqvDtDi*)

have 2: $\vdash di\ \neg_i\ f \equiv_i \neg_i (bi\ f)$ **by** (rule *DiNotEqvNotBi*)

hence 3: $\vdash \Diamond (di\ \neg_i\ f) \equiv_i \Diamond \neg_i (bi\ f)$ **by** (rule *DiamondEqvDiamond*)

have 4: $\vdash \neg_i (\Diamond \neg_i (bi\ f)) \equiv_i \Box (bi\ f)$ **by** (rule *NotDiamondNotEqvBox*)

have 5: $\vdash \neg_i (da\ \neg_i\ f) \equiv_i \Box (bi\ f)$ **using** 1 2 3 **by** *auto*

from 5 **show** ?thesis **by** (*simp add: ba-d-def*)

qed

lemma *BtBiEqvBiBt*:

$\vdash \Box (bi\ f) \equiv_i bi(\Box f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ba\ f \equiv_i \Box (bi\ f)$ **by** (rule *BaEqvBtBi*)

have 2: $\vdash ba\ f \equiv_i bi(\Box f)$ **by** (rule *BaEqvBiBt*)

from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *BoxStateEqvBaBoxState*:

$\vdash \Box (init\ w) \equiv_i ba(\Box (init\ w))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (init\ w) \equiv_i bi\ (init\ w)$ **by** (rule *StateEqvBi*)

hence 2: $\vdash \Box (init\ w) \equiv_i \Box (bi\ (init\ w))$ **by** (rule *BoxEqvBox*)

have 3: $\vdash \Box (bi\ (init\ w)) \equiv_i bi(\Box (init\ w))$ **by** (rule *BtBiEqvBiBt*)

have 4: $\vdash \Box (init\ w) \equiv_i \Box(\Box (init\ w))$ **by** (rule *BoxEqvBoxBox*)

hence 5: $\vdash bi(\Box (init\ w)) \equiv_i bi(\Box(\Box (init\ w)))$ **by** (rule *BiEqvBi*)

have 6: $\vdash ba(\Box (init\ w)) \equiv_i bi(\Box(\Box (init\ w)))$ **by** (rule *BaEqvBiBt*)

from 2 3 5 6 **show** ?thesis **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *BaImpBi*:

$\vdash ba\ f \supset_i bi\ f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ba\ f \equiv_i \Box (bi\ f)$ **by** (rule *BaEqvBtBi*)

have 2: $\vdash \Box (bi\ f) \supset_i bi\ f$ **by** (rule *BoxElim*)

from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **using** *MP* **using** *itl-prop(31)* *prop02* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *BaImpBt*:

$\vdash ba\ f \supset_i \Box f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ba\ f \equiv_i bi(\Box f)$ **by** (rule *BaEqvBiBt*)

have 2: $\vdash bi(\Box f) \supset_i \Box f$ **by** (rule *BiElim*)

from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **using** *MP* **using** *itl-prop(31)* *prop02* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *DiamondImpDa*:

$\vdash \Diamond f \supset_i da\ f$

by (*metis DilIntro DiamondImpDiamond da-d-def di-d-def sometimes-d-def*)

lemma *DImpDa*:

$\vdash \text{di } f \supset_i \text{da } f$

by (*metis NowImpDiamond da-d-def di-d-def sometimes-d-def*)

lemma *BoxAndChopImport*:

$\vdash \Box h \wedge_i f; g \supset_i f; (h \wedge_i g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash h \supset_i g \supset_i (h \wedge_i g)$ **by** *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash \Box h \supset_i \Box(g \supset_i (h \wedge_i g))$ **by** (*rule ImpBoxRule*)

have 3: $\vdash \Box(g \supset_i (h \wedge_i g)) \supset_i f; g \supset_i f; (h \wedge_i g)$ **by** (*rule BoxChopImpChop*)

from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *BaAndChopImport*:

$\vdash \text{ba } f \wedge_i (g; g1) \supset_i (f \wedge_i g); (f \wedge_i g1)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{ba } f \supset_i \text{bi } f$ **by** (*rule BalmpBi*)

have 2: $\vdash \text{bi } f \wedge_i (g; g1) \supset_i (f \wedge_i g); g1$ **by** (*rule BiAndChopImport*)

have 3: $\vdash \text{ba } f \supset_i \Box f$ **by** (*rule BalmpBt*)

have 4: $\vdash \Box f \wedge_i (f \wedge_i g); g1 \supset_i (f \wedge_i g); (f \wedge_i g1)$ **by** (*rule BoxAndChopImport*)

from 1 2 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *ChopAndCommute*:

$\vdash f; (g \wedge_i g1) \equiv_i f; (g1 \wedge_i g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (g \wedge_i g1) \equiv_i (g1 \wedge_i g)$ **by** *auto*

from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)

qed

lemma *ChopAndA*:

$\vdash f; (g \wedge_i g1) \supset_i f; g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (g \wedge_i g1) \supset_i g$ **by** *auto*

from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)

qed

lemma *ChopAndB*:

$\vdash f; (g \wedge_i g1) \supset_i f; g1$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (g \wedge_i g1) \supset_i g1$ **by** *auto*

from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)

qed

lemma *BoxStateAndChopEqvChop*:

$\vdash \Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i (f; g) \equiv_i (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f); (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \Box (\text{init } w) \equiv_i \text{ba}(\Box (\text{init } w))$

by (*rule BoxStateEqvBaBoxState*)

have 2: $\vdash ba(\Box (init\ w)) \wedge_i (f; g) \supset_i (\Box (init\ w) \wedge_i f); (\Box (init\ w) \wedge_i g)$
by (rule BaAndChopImport)
have 3: $\vdash \Box (init\ w) \wedge_i (f; g) \supset_i (\Box (init\ w) \wedge_i f); (\Box (init\ w) \wedge_i g)$
using 1 2 prop18 **by** blast
have 11: $\vdash (\Box (init\ w) \wedge_i f); (\Box (init\ w) \wedge_i g) \supset_i (\Box (init\ w)); (\Box (init\ w) \wedge_i g)$
by (rule AndChopA)
have 12: $\vdash (\Box (init\ w)); (\Box (init\ w) \wedge_i g) \supset_i (\Box (init\ w)); (\Box (init\ w))$
by (rule ChopAndA)
have 13: $\vdash (\Box (init\ w)); (\Box (init\ w)) \equiv_i \Box (init\ w)$
by (rule BoxStateChopBoxEqvBox)
have 14: $\vdash (\Box (init\ w) \wedge_i f); (\Box (init\ w) \wedge_i g) \supset_i f; (\Box (init\ w) \wedge_i g)$
by (rule AndChopB)
have 15: $\vdash f; (\Box (init\ w) \wedge_i g) \supset_i f; g$
by (rule ChopAndB)
have 16: $\vdash (\Box (init\ w) \wedge_i f); (\Box (init\ w) \wedge_i g) \supset_i \Box (init\ w) \wedge_i (f; g)$
using 11 12 13 14 15 **using** itl-prop(31) itl-prop(32) prop02 **by** metis
from 3 16 **show** ?thesis **using** itl-prop(31) **by** blast
qed

lemma DiEqvNotBiNot:

$\vdash di\ f \equiv_i \neg_i (bi\ \neg_i\ f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash bi\ \neg_i\ f \equiv_i \neg_i (di\ \neg_i\ \neg_i\ f)$ **by** (simp add: bi-d-def)

hence 2: $\vdash di\ \neg_i\ \neg_i\ f \equiv_i \neg_i (bi\ \neg_i\ f)$ **by** auto

have 3: $\vdash f \equiv_i \neg_i\ \neg_i\ f$ **by** auto

hence 4: $\vdash di\ f \equiv_i di\ \neg_i\ \neg_i\ f$ **by** (rule DiEqvDi)

from 2 4 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma ChopAndBoxImport:

$\vdash f; g \wedge_i \Box h \supset_i f; (g \wedge_i h)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \Box h \wedge_i f; g \supset_i f; (h \wedge_i g)$ **by** (rule BoxAndChopImport)

have 2: $\vdash f; (h \wedge_i g) \equiv_i f; (g \wedge_i h)$ **by** (rule ChopAndCommute)

from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma AndChopAndCommute:

$\vdash (f \wedge_i g); (f1 \wedge_i g1) \equiv_i (g \wedge_i f); (g1 \wedge_i f1)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge_i g); (f1 \wedge_i g1) \equiv_i (g \wedge_i f); (f1 \wedge_i g1)$ **by** (rule AndChopCommute)

have 2: $\vdash (g \wedge_i f); (f1 \wedge_i g1) \equiv_i (g \wedge_i f); (g1 \wedge_i f1)$ **by** (rule ChopAndCommute)

from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma ChopImpChop:

assumes $\vdash f \supset_i f1 \vdash g \supset_i g1$

shows $\vdash f; g \supset_i f1; g1$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i f1$ **using** assms **by** auto

hence 2: $\vdash f; g \supset_i f1; g$ **by** (rule LeftChopImpChop)
have 3: $\vdash g \supset_i g1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 4: $\vdash f1; g \supset_i f1; g1$ **by** (rule RightChopImpChop)
from 2 4 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *ChopEqvChop*:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i f1 \vdash g \equiv_i g1$
shows $\vdash f; g \equiv_i f1; g1$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash f; g \equiv_i f1; g$ **by** (rule LeftChopEqvChop)
have 3: $\vdash g \equiv_i g1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 4: $\vdash f1; g \equiv_i f1; g1$ **by** (rule RightChopEqvChop)
from 2 4 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *BoxImpBoxImpBox*:
 $\vdash \Box h \supset_i \Box(g \supset_i \Box h \wedge_i g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \Box h \supset_i (g \supset_i \Box h \wedge_i g)$ **by** *simp*
hence 2: $\vdash \Box(\Box h) \supset_i \Box(g \supset_i \Box h \wedge_i g)$ **by** (rule ImpBoxRule)
have 3: $\vdash \Box h \equiv_i \Box(\Box h)$ **by** (rule BoxEqvBoxBox)
from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *BoxChopImpChopBox*:
 $\vdash \Box h \supset_i f; g \supset_i f; (\Box h \wedge_i g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \Box h \supset_i \Box(g \supset_i \Box h \wedge_i g)$ **by** (rule BoxImpBoxImpBox)
have 2: $\vdash \Box(g \supset_i \Box h \wedge_i g) \supset_i f; g \supset_i f; (\Box h \wedge_i g)$ **by** (rule BoxChopImpChop)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *NotChopEqvYieldsNot*:
 $\vdash \neg_i (f; g) \equiv_i f \text{ yields } \neg_i g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash g \equiv_i \neg_i \neg_i g$ **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash f; g \equiv_i f; \neg_i \neg_i g$ **by** (rule RightChopEqvChop)
hence 3: $\vdash \neg_i (f; g) \equiv_i \neg_i (f; \neg_i \neg_i g)$ **by** *auto*
from 3 **show** ?thesis **by** (*simp add: yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NotDiFalse*:
 $\vdash \neg_i (di \text{ false}_i)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (init \text{ true}_i) \supset_i bi (init \text{ true}_i)$ **by** (rule StatImpBi)
hence 2: $\vdash \text{true}_i \supset_i bi \text{ true}_i$ **by** *auto*
have 3: $\vdash \text{true}_i$ **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash bi \text{ true}_i$ **using** 2 3 *MP* **by** *auto*

hence 5: $\vdash \neg_i (di \neg_i true_i)$ **by** *simp*
 have 6: $\vdash \neg_i true_i \equiv_i false_i$ **by** *auto*
 hence 7: $\vdash di \neg_i true_i \equiv_i di false_i$ **by** (*rule DiEqvDi*)
 from 5 7 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *StateAndEmptyChop*:

$\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge_i empty); f \equiv_i (init\ w) \wedge_i f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge_i empty); f \equiv_i (init\ w) \wedge_i empty; f$ **by** (*rule StateAndChop*)

have 2: $\vdash empty; f \equiv_i f$ **by** (*rule EmptyChop*)

from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *StateAndNextChop*:

$\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge_i \bigcirc f); g \equiv_i (init\ w) \wedge_i \bigcirc(f; g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge_i \bigcirc f); g \equiv_i (init\ w) \wedge_i (\bigcirc f); g$ **by** (*rule StateAndChop*)

have 2: $\vdash (\bigcirc f); g \equiv_i \bigcirc(f; g)$ **by** (*rule NextChop*)

from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *NextAndEqvNextAndNext*:

$\vdash \bigcirc(f \wedge_i g) \equiv_i \bigcirc f \wedge_i \bigcirc g$

by *auto*

lemma *NextStateAndChop*:

$\vdash \bigcirc(((init\ w) \wedge_i f); g) \equiv_i \bigcirc((init\ w) \wedge_i \bigcirc(f; g))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge_i f); g \equiv_i (init\ w) \wedge_i f; g$ **by** (*rule StateAndChop*)

hence 2: $\vdash \bigcirc(((init\ w) \wedge_i f); g) \equiv_i \bigcirc((init\ w) \wedge_i f; g)$ **by** (*rule NextEqvNext*)

have 3: $\vdash \bigcirc((init\ w) \wedge_i f; g) \equiv_i \bigcirc((init\ w) \wedge_i \bigcirc(f; g))$ **by** (*rule NextAndEqvNextAndNext*)

from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *StateYieldsEqv*:

$\vdash ((init\ w) \supset_i (f\ yields\ g)) \equiv_i ((init\ w) \wedge_i f)\ yields\ g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge_i f); \neg_i g \equiv_i (init\ w) \wedge_i f; (\neg_i g)$ **by** (*rule StateAndChop*)

hence 2: $\vdash ((init\ w) \supset_i \neg_i (f; \neg_i g)) \equiv_i \neg_i (((init\ w) \wedge_i f); \neg_i g)$ **by** *auto*

from 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: yields-d-def*)

qed

lemma *StateAndDi*:

$\vdash (init\ w) \wedge_i di\ f \equiv_i di\ ((init\ w) \wedge_i f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge_i f); true_i \equiv_i (init\ w) \wedge_i f; true_i$ **by** (*rule StateAndChop*)

from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: di-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DiNext*:

$\vdash di(\circ f) \equiv_i \circ (di f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\circ f); true_i \equiv_i \circ(f; true_i)$ **by** (rule *NextChop*)

from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: di-d-def)

qed

lemma *DiNextState*:

$\vdash di(\circ (init w)) \equiv_i \circ (init w)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash di(\circ (init w)) \equiv_i \circ(di (init w))$ **by** (rule *DiNext*)

have 2: $\vdash di (init w) \equiv_i (init w)$ **by** (rule *DiState*)

hence 3: $\vdash \circ(di (init w)) \equiv_i \circ (init w)$ **by** (rule *NextEqvNext*)

from 1 3 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *StateImpBiGen*:

assumes $\vdash (init w) \supset_i f$

shows $\vdash (init w) \supset_i bi f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (init w) \supset_i f$ **using** assms **by** auto

hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i f \supset_i \neg_i (init w)$ **by** auto

hence 3: $\vdash di \neg_i f \supset_i di \neg_i (init w)$ **by** (rule *DilmpDi*)

hence 4: $\vdash di \neg_i f \supset_i di (init \neg_i w)$ **by** auto

have 5: $\vdash di (init \neg_i w) \equiv_i (init \neg_i w)$ **by** (rule *DiState*)

have 6: $\vdash di \neg_i f \supset_i \neg_i (init w)$ **using** 4 5 **by** auto

hence 7: $\vdash (init w) \supset_i \neg_i (di \neg_i f)$ **by** auto

from 7 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: bi-d-def)

qed

lemma *ChopAndNotChopImp*:

$\vdash f; g \wedge_i \neg_i (f; g1) \supset_i f; (g \wedge_i \neg_i g1)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash g \supset_i (g \wedge_i \neg_i g1) \vee_i g1$ **by** auto

hence 2: $\vdash f; g \supset_i f; ((g \wedge_i \neg_i g1) \vee_i g1)$ **by** (rule *RightChopImpChop*)

have 3: $\vdash f; ((g \wedge_i \neg_i g1) \vee_i g1) \supset_i (f; (g \wedge_i \neg_i g1)) \vee_i (f; g1)$ **by** (rule *ChopOrImp*)

have 4: $\vdash f; g \supset_i f; (g \wedge_i \neg_i g1) \vee_i f; g1$ **using** 2 3 **MP** **by** auto

from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *ChopAndYieldsImp*:

$\vdash f; g \wedge_i f \text{ yields } g1 \supset_i f; (g \wedge_i g1)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash g \supset_i (g \wedge_i g1) \vee_i \neg_i g1$ **by** auto

hence 2: $\vdash f; g \supset_i f; ((g \wedge_i g1) \vee_i \neg_i g1)$ **by** (rule *RightChopImpChop*)

have 3: $\vdash f; ((g \wedge_i g1) \vee_i \neg_i g1) \supset_i (f; (g \wedge_i g1)) \vee_i (f; \neg_i g1)$ **by** (rule *ChopOrImp*)

have 4: $\vdash f; g \supset_i f; (g \wedge_i g1) \vee_i f; \neg_i g1$ **using** 2 3 **MP** **by** auto

hence 5: $\vdash f; g \wedge_i \neg_i (f; \neg_i g1) \supset_i f; (g \wedge_i g1)$ **by** auto

from 5 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: yields-d-def)

qed

lemma *ChopAndYieldsMP*:

$\vdash f; g \wedge_i f \text{ yields } (g \supset_i g1) \supset_i f; g1$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f; g \wedge_i f \text{ yields } (g \supset_i g1) \supset_i f; (g \wedge_i (g \supset_i g1))$ **by** (rule *ChopAndYieldsImp*)

have 2: $\vdash g \wedge_i (g \supset_i g1) \supset_i g1$ **by** *auto*

hence 3: $\vdash f; (g \wedge_i (g \supset_i g1)) \supset_i f; g1$ **by** (rule *RightChopImpChop*)

from 1 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *OrYieldsImp*:

$\vdash (f \vee_i f1) \text{ yields } g \equiv_i (f \text{ yields } g) \wedge_i (f1 \text{ yields } g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ((f \vee_i f1); \neg_i g) \equiv_i ((f; \neg_i g) \vee_i (f1; \neg_i g))$ **by** (rule *OrChopEqv*)

hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i ((f \vee_i f1); \neg_i g) \equiv_i \neg_i (f; \neg_i g) \wedge_i \neg_i (f1; \neg_i g)$ **by** *auto*

from 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: yields-d-def*)

qed

lemma *LeftYieldsImpYields*:

assumes $\vdash f \supset_i f1$

shows $\vdash (f1 \text{ yields } g) \supset_i (f \text{ yields } g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash f; \neg_i g \supset_i f1; \neg_i g$ **by** (rule *LeftChopImpChop*)

hence 3: $\vdash \neg_i (f1; \neg_i g) \supset_i \neg_i (f; \neg_i g)$ **by** *auto*

from 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: yields-d-def*)

qed

lemma *LeftYieldsEqvYields*:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i f1$

shows $\vdash (f \text{ yields } g) \equiv_i (f1 \text{ yields } g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i f1$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash f; \neg_i g \equiv_i f1; \neg_i g$ **by** (rule *LeftChopEqvChop*)

hence 3: $\vdash \neg_i (f; \neg_i g) \equiv_i \neg_i (f1; \neg_i g)$ **by** *auto*

from 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: yields-d-def*)

qed

5.6 Properties of Fin

lemma *FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty*:

$\vdash \text{fin } f \equiv_i \text{true}_i; (f \wedge_i \text{empty})$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{fin } f \equiv_i \Box(\text{empty} \supset_i f)$ **by** (*simp add: fin-d-def*)

have 2: $\vdash \Box(\text{empty} \supset_i f) \equiv_i \neg_i (\Diamond(\neg_i(\text{empty} \supset_i f)))$ **by** (*simp add: always-d-def*)

have 3: $\vdash (\neg_i(\text{empty} \supset_i f)) \equiv_i (\neg_i f \wedge_i \text{empty})$ **by** *auto*

hence 4: $\vdash \Diamond(\neg_i(\text{empty} \supset_i f)) \equiv_i \Diamond(\neg_i f \wedge_i \text{empty})$ **using** *DiamondEqvDiamond* **by** *blast*

hence 5: $\vdash \neg_i (\Diamond(\neg_i(\text{empty} \supset_i f))) \equiv_i \neg_i (\Diamond(\neg_i f \wedge_i \text{empty}))$ **by** *auto*

have 6: $\vdash \neg_i (\Diamond(\neg_i f \wedge_i \text{empty})) \equiv_i \text{true}_i; (f \wedge_i \text{empty})$ **using** *Finprop* **by** *auto*

from 1 2 5 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *DiamondFin*:

$\vdash \Diamond(\text{fin } w) \equiv_i \text{fin } w$

by (metis *DiamondDiamondEqvDiamond DiamondEqvDiamond FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty*
itl-prop(30) prop03 sometimes-d-def)

lemma *ChopFinExportA*:

$\vdash f;(g \wedge_i \text{fin } w) \supset_i \text{fin } w$

using *DiamondFin* **by** auto

lemma *FinImpBox*:

$\vdash \text{fin } w \supset_i \Box(\text{fin } w)$

by (metis *BoxImpBoxBox* fin-d-def)

lemma *FinAndChopImport*:

$\vdash (\text{fin } w) \wedge_i (f;g) \supset_i f;((\text{fin } w) \wedge_i g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{fin } w \supset_i \Box(\text{fin } w)$ **by** (rule *FinImpBox*)

hence 2: $\vdash \text{fin } w \wedge_i f;g \supset_i \Box(\text{fin } w) \wedge_i (f;g)$ **by** auto

have 3: $\vdash \Box(\text{fin } w) \wedge_i (f;g) \supset_i f;((\text{fin } w) \wedge_i g)$ **using** *BoxAndChopImport* **by** blast

from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **using** MP **by** auto

qed

lemma *FinAndChop*:

$\vdash f;(g \wedge_i \text{fin } w) \equiv_i \text{fin } w \wedge_i f;g$

using *FinAndChopImport ChopFinExportA ChopAndA ChopAndCommute* itl-prop(31) itl-prop(32) prop15
by blast

lemma *ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty*:

$\vdash ((f;g) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \equiv_i (f \wedge_i \text{empty});(g \wedge_i \text{empty})$

by auto

lemma *FinAndEmpty*:

$\vdash (\text{fin } w) \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i w \wedge_i \text{empty}$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{fin } w) \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i \text{true}_i;(w \wedge_i \text{empty}) \wedge_i \text{empty}$

using *FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty* **by** auto

have 2: $\vdash \text{true}_i;(w \wedge_i \text{empty}) \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{true}_i \wedge_i \text{empty});(w \wedge_i \text{empty})$

using *ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty* **by** auto

have 3: $\vdash (\text{true}_i \wedge_i \text{empty});(w \wedge_i \text{empty}) \equiv_i \text{empty};(w \wedge_i \text{empty})$

using *LeftChopEqvChop* itl-prop(17) **by** blast

have 4: $\vdash \text{empty};(w \wedge_i \text{empty}) \equiv_i w \wedge_i \text{empty}$

using *EmptyChop* **by** blast

from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty*:

$\vdash f \wedge_i \text{fin } g \equiv_i f;(g \wedge_i \text{empty})$

proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \wedge_i \text{fin } g \equiv_i f; \text{empty} \wedge_i \text{fin } g$ **using** *ChopEmpty itl-prop(30) prop06* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash \text{fin } g \wedge_i f; \text{empty} \equiv_i f; (\text{empty} \wedge_i \text{fin } g)$ **using** *FinAndChop using itl-prop(30)* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i \text{fin } g \equiv_i \text{fin } g \wedge_i \text{empty}$ **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash \text{fin } g \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i g \wedge_i \text{empty}$ **using** *FinAndEmpty* **by** *auto*
have 5: $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i \text{fin } g \equiv_i g \wedge_i \text{empty}$ **using** 3 4 **by** *auto*
hence 6: $\vdash f; (\text{empty} \wedge_i \text{fin } g) \equiv_i f; (g \wedge_i \text{empty})$ **using** *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
from 1 2 5 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *AndFinEqvChopStateAndEmpty*:
 $\vdash f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w) \equiv_i f; ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty})$
using *AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty* **by** *blast*

lemma *FinStateEqvStateAndEmptyOrNextFinState*:
 $\vdash \text{fin } (\text{init } w) \equiv_i ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i \bigcirc (\text{fin } (\text{init } w))$

proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{fin } (\text{init } w) \equiv_i \Box (\text{empty} \supset_i \text{init } w)$
by *(simp add: fin-d-def)*
have 2: $\vdash \Box (\text{empty} \supset_i \text{init } w) \equiv_i$
 $(\text{empty} \supset_i \text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{wnext } (\Box (\text{empty} \supset_i \text{init } w))$
by *(rule BoxEqvAndWnextBox)*
have 3: $\vdash \text{fin } (\text{init } w) \equiv_i (\text{empty} \supset_i \text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{wnext } (\text{fin } (\text{init } w))$
using 1 2 **by** *(simp add: fin-d-def)*
have 4: $\vdash \text{wnext } (\text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i \bigcirc (\text{fin } (\text{init } w))$
by *(rule WnextEqvEmptyOrNext)*
have 5: $\vdash \text{fin } (\text{init } w) \equiv_i (\text{empty} \supset_i \text{init } w) \wedge_i (\text{empty} \vee_i \bigcirc (\text{fin } (\text{init } w)))$
using 3 4 **by** *(simp add: fin-d-def)*
have 6: $\vdash (\text{empty} \supset_i \text{init } w) \wedge_i (\text{empty} \vee_i \bigcirc (\text{fin } (\text{init } w))) \equiv_i$
 $((\text{empty} \supset_i \text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i ((\text{empty} \supset_i \text{init } w) \wedge_i \bigcirc (\text{fin } (\text{init } w)))$
by *auto*
have 7: $\vdash (\text{empty} \supset_i \text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}$
by *auto*
have 8: $\vdash (\text{empty} \supset_i \text{init } w) \wedge_i \bigcirc (\text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \equiv_i \bigcirc (\text{fin } (\text{init } w))$
by *auto*
have 9: $\vdash ((\text{empty} \supset_i \text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i ((\text{empty} \supset_i \text{init } w) \wedge_i \bigcirc (\text{fin } (\text{init } w))) \equiv_i$
 $((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i \bigcirc (\text{fin } (\text{init } w))$
using 7 8 **by** *auto*
from 5 6 9 **show** *?thesis* **using** *prop03* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *FinChopEqvOr*:
 $\vdash (\text{fin } (\text{init } w)); f \equiv_i ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i f) \vee_i \bigcirc ((\text{fin } (\text{init } w)); f)$

proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{fin } (\text{init } w) \equiv_i ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i \bigcirc (\text{fin } (\text{init } w))$
by *(rule FinStateEqvStateAndEmptyOrNextFinState)*
hence 2: $\vdash (\text{fin } (\text{init } w)); f \equiv_i (((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i \bigcirc (\text{fin } (\text{init } w))); f$
by *(rule LeftChopEqvChop)*
have 3: $\vdash (((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i \bigcirc (\text{fin } (\text{init } w))); f$
 $\equiv_i ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}); f \vee_i (\bigcirc (\text{fin } (\text{init } w))); f$

by (rule OrChopEqv)
 have 4: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}); f \equiv_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f$
 by (rule StateAndEmptyChop)
 have 5: $\vdash (\bigcirc (\text{fin } (\text{init } w))); f \equiv_i \bigcirc((\text{fin } (\text{init } w)); f)$
 by (rule NextChop)
 from 2 3 4 5 show ?thesis by auto
 qed

lemma FinChopEqvDiamond:

$\vdash (\text{fin } (\text{init } w)); f \equiv_i \Diamond((\text{init } w) \wedge_i f)$
 proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (\text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \equiv_i (\text{true}_i; ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}))$
 by (rule FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty)
 hence 2: $\vdash (\text{fin } (\text{init } w)); f \equiv_i (\text{true}_i; ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}); f)$
 by (rule LeftChopEqvChop)
 have 3: $\vdash \text{true}_i; ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}); f \equiv_i (\text{true}_i; ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty})); f$
 by (rule ChopAssoc)
 have 4: $\vdash \text{true}_i; ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}); f \equiv_i \Diamond((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}); f$
 by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)
 have 5: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}); f \equiv_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f$
 using StateAndEmptyChop by blast
 hence 6: $\vdash \Diamond((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}); f \equiv_i \Diamond((\text{init } w) \wedge_i f)$
 by (rule DiamondEqvDiamond)
 from 2 3 4 6 show ?thesis by simp
 qed

lemma NotDiamondAndNot:

$\vdash \neg_i(\Diamond(f \wedge_i \neg_i f))$
 by simp

lemma FinYields:

$\vdash (\text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \text{ yields } (\text{init } w)$
 proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (\text{fin } (\text{init } w)); \neg_i(\text{init } w) \equiv_i \Diamond((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \neg_i(\text{init } w))$ by (rule FinChopEqvDiamond)
 have 2: $\vdash \neg_i(\Diamond((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \neg_i(\text{init } w)))$ by (rule NotDiamondAndNot)
 have 3: $\vdash \neg_i((\text{fin } (\text{init } w)); \neg_i(\text{init } w))$ using 1 2 by auto
 from 3 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)
 qed

lemma ImpAndFinStateOrFinNotState:

$\vdash f \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \vee_i \text{fin } \neg_i(\text{init } w)$
 by (simp)

lemma AndFinChopEqvStateAndChop:

$\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); g \equiv_i f; ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g)$
 proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (\text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \text{ yields } (\text{init } w)$
 by (rule FinYields)
 have 2: $\vdash f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)$
 by auto

hence 3: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \text{ yields } (\text{init } w) \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \text{ yields } (\text{init } w)$
 by (rule LeftYieldsImpYields)
 have 4: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \text{ yields } (\text{init } w)$
 using 1 3 MP by auto
 have 5: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); g \wedge_i (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \text{ yields } (\text{init } w)$
 $\supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); (g \wedge_i (\text{init } w))$
 by (rule ChopAndYieldsImp)
 have 6: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); g \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); (g \wedge_i (\text{init } w))$
 using 4 5 by auto
 have 7: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); (g \wedge_i (\text{init } w)) \supset_i f; (g \wedge_i (\text{init } w))$
 by (rule AndChopA)
 have 8: $\vdash g \wedge_i (\text{init } w) \supset_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i g$
 by auto
 hence 9: $\vdash f; (g \wedge_i (\text{init } w)) \supset_i f; ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g)$
 by (rule RightChopImpChop)
 have 10: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); g \supset_i f; ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g)$
 using 6 7 9 by auto
 have 11: $\vdash f \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \vee_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w)$
 by (rule ImpAndFinStateOrFinNotState)
 hence 12: $\vdash f; ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g) \supset_i$
 $((f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \vee_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g)$
 by (rule LeftChopImpChop)
 have 13: $\vdash ((f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \vee_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g)$
 \equiv_i
 $(f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g) \vee_i (\text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g)$
 by (rule OrChopEqv)
 have 14: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\neg_i w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g) \supset_i \Diamond (\text{init } (\neg_i w) \wedge_i ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g))$
 using FinChopEqvDiamond itl-prop(31) by blast
 have 141: $\vdash \neg_i (\Diamond (\text{init } (\neg_i w) \wedge_i ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g))) \supset_i$
 $\neg_i ((f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\neg_i w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g))$
 using 14 by auto
 have 15: $\vdash \neg_i (\Diamond (\text{init } (\neg_i w) \wedge_i ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g)))$
 using NotDiamondAndNot Initprop by simp
 have 151: $\vdash \neg_i ((f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\neg_i w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g))$
 using 15 141 by auto
 have 152: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g) \vee_i (\text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g) \supset_i$
 $(f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g)$
 using 151 by auto
 have 16: $\vdash f; ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g) \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g)$
 using 12 13 152 by fastforce
 have 17: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g) \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); g$
 by (rule ChopAndB)
 have 18: $\vdash f; ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i g) \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)); g$
 using 16 17 by auto
 from 10 18 show ?thesis by auto
 qed

lemma DiAndFinEqvChopState:

$\vdash di (f \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \equiv_i f; (\text{init } w)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{fin}(\text{init } w)); \text{true}_i \equiv_i f; ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{true}_i)$ **by** (rule AndFinChopEqvStateAndChop)
have 2: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{true}_i \equiv_i (\text{init } w)$ **by** auto
hence 3: $\vdash f; ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{true}_i) \equiv_i f; (\text{init } w)$ **by** (rule RightChopEqvChop)
have 4: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{fin}(\text{init } w)); \text{true}_i \equiv_i f; (\text{init } w)$ **using** 1 3 **by** auto
from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: di-d-def)
qed

lemma FinNotStateEqvNotFinState:
 $\vdash \text{fin}(\text{init } \neg_i w) \equiv_i \neg_i(\text{fin}(\text{init } w))$
by (simp)

lemma BilmpFinEqvYieldsState:
 $\vdash \text{bi}(f \supset_i \text{fin}(\text{init } w)) \equiv_i f \text{ yields } (\text{init } w)$
proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{di}(f \wedge_i \text{fin}(\text{init } \neg_i w)) \equiv_i f; (\text{init } \neg_i w)$ **by** (rule DiAndFinEqvChopState)
have 2: $\vdash f \wedge_i \text{fin}(\text{init } \neg_i w) \equiv_i f \wedge_i \neg_i(\text{fin}(\text{init } w))$ **using** FinNotStateEqvNotFinState **by** auto
have 3: $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i(\text{fin}(\text{init } w)) \equiv_i \neg_i(f \supset_i \text{fin}(\text{init } w))$ **by** auto
have 4: $\vdash f \wedge_i \text{fin}(\text{init } \neg_i w) \equiv_i \neg_i(f \supset_i \text{fin}(\text{init } w))$ **using** 2 3 **by** (simp add: fin-d-def)
hence 5: $\vdash \text{di}(f \wedge_i \text{fin}(\text{init } \neg_i w)) \equiv_i \text{di } \neg_i(f \supset_i \text{fin}(\text{init } w))$ **by** (rule DiEqvDi)
have 6: $\vdash \text{di } \neg_i(f \supset_i \text{fin}(\text{init } w)) \equiv_i \neg_i(\text{bi}(f \supset_i \text{fin}(\text{init } w)))$ **by** (rule DiNotEqvNotBi)
have 7: $\vdash \neg_i(\text{bi}(f \supset_i \text{fin}(\text{init } w))) \equiv_i f; (\text{init } \neg_i w)$ **using** 1 5 6 **by** auto
hence 8: $\vdash \text{bi}(f \supset_i \text{fin}(\text{init } w)) \equiv_i \neg_i(f; \neg_i(\text{init } w))$ **by** auto
from 8 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: yields-d-def)
qed

lemma StateImpYields:
assumes $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f \supset_i \text{fin}(\text{init } w1)$
shows $\vdash (\text{init } w) \supset_i (f \text{ yields } (\text{init } w1))$
proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f \supset_i \text{fin}(\text{init } w1)$ **using** assms **by** auto
hence 2: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \supset_i (f \supset_i \text{fin}(\text{init } w1))$ **by** auto
hence 3: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \supset_i \text{bi}(f \supset_i \text{fin}(\text{init } w1))$ **by** (rule StateImpBiGen)
have 4: $\vdash \text{bi}(f \supset_i \text{fin}(\text{init } w1)) \equiv_i f \text{ yields } (\text{init } w1)$ **by** (rule BilmpFinEqvYieldsState)
from 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma StateAndYieldsImpYields:
assumes $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f \supset_i f1$
shows $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i (f1 \text{ yields } g) \supset_i (f \text{ yields } g)$
proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f \supset_i f1$ **using** assms **by** auto
hence 2: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i (f; \neg_i g) \supset_i f1; \neg_i g$ **by** (rule StateAndChopImpChopRule)
hence 3: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \neg_i(f1; \neg_i g) \supset_i \neg_i(f; \neg_i g)$ **by** auto
from 3 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: yields-d-def)
qed

lemma AndYieldsA:
 $\vdash f \text{ yields } g \supset_i (f \wedge_i f1) \text{ yields } g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \wedge_i f1 \supset_i f$ **by** auto

from 1 show ?thesis by (rule LeftYieldsImpYields)
qed

lemma AndYieldsB:

⊢ $f1 \text{ yields } g \supset_i (f \wedge_i f1) \text{ yields } g$

proof –

have 1: ⊢ $f \wedge_i f1 \supset_i f1$ by auto

from 1 show ?thesis by (rule LeftYieldsImpYields)

qed

lemma RightYieldsImpYields:

assumes ⊢ $g \supset_i g1$

shows ⊢ $(f \text{ yields } g) \supset_i (f \text{ yields } g1)$

proof –

have 1: ⊢ $g \supset_i g1$ using assms by auto

hence 2: ⊢ $\neg_i g1 \supset_i \neg_i g$ by auto

hence 3: ⊢ $f; \neg_i g1 \supset_i f; \neg_i g$ by (rule RightChopImpChop)

hence 4: ⊢ $\neg_i (f; \neg_i g) \supset_i \neg_i (f; \neg_i g1)$ by auto

from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)

qed

lemma RightYieldsEqvYields:

assumes ⊢ $g \equiv_i g1$

shows ⊢ $(f \text{ yields } g) \equiv_i (f \text{ yields } g1)$

proof –

have 1: ⊢ $g \equiv_i g1$ using assms by auto

hence 2: ⊢ $\neg_i g \equiv_i \neg_i g1$ by auto

hence 3: ⊢ $f; \neg_i g \equiv_i f; \neg_i g1$ by (rule RightChopEqvChop)

hence 4: ⊢ $\neg_i (f; \neg_i g) \equiv_i \neg_i (f; \neg_i g1)$ by auto

from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)

qed

lemma BoxImpYields:

⊢ $\Box g \supset_i f \text{ yields } g$

proof –

have 1: ⊢ $f; \neg_i g \supset_i \Diamond \neg_i g$ by (rule ChopImpDiamond)

hence 2: ⊢ $\neg_i (\Diamond \neg_i g) \supset_i \neg_i (f; \neg_i g)$ by auto

from 2 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)

qed

lemma BoxEqvTrueYields:

⊢ $\Box f \equiv_i \text{true}_i \text{ yields } f$

proof –

have 1: ⊢ $\text{true}_i; \neg_i f \equiv_i \Diamond \neg_i f$ by (rule TrueChopEqvDiamond)

hence 2: ⊢ $\neg_i (\text{true}_i; \neg_i f) \equiv_i \neg_i (\Diamond \neg_i f)$ by auto

have 3: ⊢ $\Box f \equiv_i \neg_i (\Diamond \neg_i f)$ by (simp add: always-d-def)

have 4: ⊢ $\Box f \equiv_i \neg_i (\text{true}_i; \neg_i f)$ using 2 3 by auto

from 4 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)

qed

lemma *YieldsGen*:

assumes $\vdash g$

shows $\vdash f \text{ yields } g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash \Box g$ **by** (*rule BoxGen*)

have 3: $\vdash \Box g \supset_i f \text{ yields } g$ **by** (*rule BoxImpYields*)

from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *YieldsAndYieldsEqvYieldsAnd*:

$\vdash (f \text{ yields } g) \wedge_i (f \text{ yields } g1) \equiv_i f \text{ yields } (g \wedge_i g1)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f; (\neg_i g \vee_i \neg_i g1) \equiv_i (f; \neg_i g) \vee_i (f; \neg_i g1)$ **by** (*rule ChopOrEqv*)

hence 2: $\vdash (f; \neg_i g) \vee_i (f; \neg_i g1) \equiv_i f; (\neg_i g \vee_i \neg_i g1)$ **by** *auto*

have 3: $\vdash \neg_i g \vee_i \neg_i g1 \equiv_i \neg_i (g \wedge_i g1)$ **by** *auto*

hence 4: $\vdash f; (\neg_i g \vee_i \neg_i g1) \equiv_i f; \neg_i (g \wedge_i g1)$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)

have 5: $\vdash (f; \neg_i g) \vee_i (f; \neg_i g1) \equiv_i f; \neg_i (g \wedge_i g1)$ **using** 2 4 **by** *auto*

hence 6: $\vdash \neg_i (f; \neg_i g) \wedge_i \neg_i (f; \neg_i g1) \equiv_i \neg_i (f; \neg_i (g \wedge_i g1))$ **by** *auto*

from 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: yields-d-def*)

qed

lemma *YieldsAndYieldsImpAndYieldsAnd*:

$\vdash (f \text{ yields } g) \wedge_i (f1 \text{ yields } g1) \supset_i (f \wedge_i f1) \text{ yields } (g \wedge_i g1)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \text{ yields } g \supset_i (f \wedge_i f1) \text{ yields } g$
by (*rule AndYieldsA*)

have 2: $\vdash f1 \text{ yields } g1 \supset_i (f \wedge_i f1) \text{ yields } g1$
by (*rule AndYieldsB*)

have 3: $\vdash (f \wedge_i f1) \text{ yields } g \wedge_i (f \wedge_i f1) \text{ yields } g1 \equiv_i (f \wedge_i f1) \text{ yields } (g \wedge_i g1)$
by (*rule YieldsAndYieldsEqvYieldsAnd*)

from 1 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *YieldsYieldsEqvChopYields*:

$\vdash f \text{ yields } (g \text{ yields } h) \equiv_i (f; g) \text{ yields } h$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f; (g; \neg_i h) \equiv_i (f; g); \neg_i h$ **by** (*rule ChopAssoc*)

hence 2: $\vdash f; (g; \neg_i h) \equiv_i (f; g); \neg_i h$ **by** *auto*

have 3: $\vdash g; \neg_i h \equiv_i \neg_i \neg_i (g; \neg_i h)$ **by** *auto*

hence 4: $\vdash f; (g; \neg_i h) \equiv_i f; \neg_i \neg_i (g; \neg_i h)$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)

have 5: $\vdash f; \neg_i \neg_i (g; \neg_i h) \equiv_i (f; g); \neg_i h$ **using** 2 4 **by** *auto*

hence 6: $\vdash f; \neg_i (g \text{ yields } h) \equiv_i (f; g); \neg_i h$ **by** (*simp add: yields-d-def*)

hence 7: $\vdash \neg_i (f; \neg_i (g \text{ yields } h)) \equiv_i \neg_i ((f; g); \neg_i h)$ **by** *auto*

from 7 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: yields-d-def*)

qed

lemma *EmptyYields*:

$\vdash \text{empty yields } f \equiv_i f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{empty} ; \neg_i f \equiv_i \neg_i f$ **by** (rule EmptyChop)
hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i (\text{empty} ; \neg_i f) \equiv_i f$ **by** auto
from 2 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: yields-d-def)
qed

lemma NextYields:

$\vdash (\bigcirc f) \text{ yields } g \equiv_i \text{wnext } (f \text{ yields } g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\bigcirc f); \neg_i g \equiv_i \bigcirc(f; \neg_i g)$ **by** (rule NextChop)
hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i ((\bigcirc f); \neg_i g) \equiv_i \neg_i (\bigcirc(f; \neg_i g))$ **by** auto
hence 3: $\vdash (\bigcirc f) \text{ yields } g \equiv_i \neg_i (\bigcirc(f; \neg_i g))$ **by** (simp add: yields-d-def)
have 4: $\vdash \neg_i (\bigcirc(f; \neg_i g)) \equiv_i \text{wnext } \neg_i (f; \neg_i g)$ **by** auto
have 5: $\vdash (\bigcirc f) \text{ yields } g \equiv_i \text{wnext } \neg_i (f; \neg_i g)$ **using** 3 4 **by** auto
from 5 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: yields-d-def)

qed

lemma SkipChopEqvNext:

$\vdash \text{skip} ; f \equiv_i \bigcirc f$

by (simp add: next-d-def)

lemma SkipYieldsEqvWeakNext:

$\vdash \text{skip} \text{ yields } f \equiv_i \text{wnext } f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{skip} ; \neg_i f \equiv_i \bigcirc \neg_i f$ **by** (rule SkipChopEqvNext)
hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i (\text{skip} ; \neg_i f) \equiv_i \neg_i (\bigcirc \neg_i f)$ **by** auto
have 3: $\vdash \neg_i (\bigcirc \neg_i f) \equiv_i \text{wnext } f$ **by** auto
have 4: $\vdash \neg_i (\text{skip} ; \neg_i f) \equiv_i \text{wnext } f$ **using** 2 3 **by** auto
from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: yields-d-def)

qed

lemma NextImpSkipYields:

$\vdash \bigcirc f \supset_i \text{skip} \text{ yields } f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \bigcirc f \supset_i \text{wnext } f$ **by** auto
have 2: $\vdash \text{skip} \text{ yields } f \equiv_i \text{wnext } f$ **by** (rule SkipYieldsEqvWeakNext)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma MoreEqvSkipChopTrue:

$\vdash \text{more} \equiv_i \text{skip} ; \text{true}_i$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{skip} ; \text{true}_i \equiv_i \bigcirc \text{true}_i$ **by** (rule SkipChopEqvNext)
hence 2: $\vdash \bigcirc \text{true}_i \equiv_i \text{skip} ; \text{true}_i$ **by** auto
from 2 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: more-d-def)

qed

lemma MoreChopImpMore:

$\vdash \text{more} ; f \supset_i \text{more}$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\bigcirc \text{true}_i); f \equiv_i \bigcirc(\text{true}_i; f)$ **by** (rule NextChop)

have 2: $\vdash \bigcirc(\text{true}_i; f) \supset_i \text{ more}$ **by** *auto*
have 3: $\vdash (\bigcirc \text{true}_i; f) \supset_i \text{ more}$ **using** 1 2 **by** *auto*
from 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis more-d-def*)
qed

lemma *ChopMoreImpMore*:
 $\vdash f; \text{ more} \supset_i \text{ more}$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f; \text{ more} \supset_i \Diamond \text{ more}$ **by** (*rule ChopImpDiamond*)
have 2: $\vdash \Diamond \text{ more} \supset_i \text{ more}$ **by** *auto*
from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *MoreChopEqvNextDiamond*:
 $\vdash \text{ more} ; f \equiv_i \bigcirc(\Diamond f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{ more} ; f \equiv_i (\bigcirc \text{true}_i); f$ **by** (*simp add: more-d-def*)
have 2: $\vdash (\bigcirc \text{true}_i); f \equiv_i \bigcirc(\text{true}_i; f)$ **by** (*rule NextChop*)
have 3: $\vdash \text{ more} ; f \equiv_i \bigcirc(\text{true}_i; f)$ **using** 1 2 **by** *auto*
from 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: sometimes-d-def*)
qed

lemma *WeakNextBoxImpMoreYields*:
 $\vdash \text{ more yields } f \equiv_i \text{wnext}(\Box f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{ more} ; \neg_i f \equiv_i \bigcirc(\Diamond \neg_i f)$ **by** (*rule MoreChopEqvNextDiamond*)
have 2: $\vdash \bigcirc(\Diamond \neg_i f) \equiv_i \bigcirc(\neg_i(\Box f))$ **by** *auto*
have 3: $\vdash \bigcirc(\neg_i(\Box f)) \equiv_i \neg_i(\text{wnext}(\Box f))$ **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash \text{ more} ; \neg_i f \equiv_i \neg_i(\text{more yields } f)$ **by** (*metis itl-prop(30) itl-prop(4) yields-d-def*)
from 1 2 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *NotEqvYieldsMore*:
 $\vdash \neg_i f \equiv_i f \text{ yields more}$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f; \text{ empty} \equiv_i f$ **by** (*rule ChopEmpty*)
hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i(f; \text{ empty}) \equiv_i \neg_i f$ **by** *auto*
have 3: $\vdash \text{ empty} \equiv_i \neg_i \text{ more}$ **by** *auto*
hence 4: $\vdash f; \text{ empty} \equiv_i f; \neg_i \text{ more}$ **by** (*rule RightChopEqvChop*)
hence 5: $\vdash \neg_i(f; \text{ empty}) \equiv_i \neg_i(f; \neg_i \text{ more})$ **by** *auto*
have 6: $\vdash \neg_i f \equiv_i \neg_i(f; \neg_i \text{ more})$ **using** 2 5 **by** *auto*
from 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis yields-d-def*)
qed

lemma *LeftChopImpMoreRule*:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i \text{ more}$
shows $\vdash f; g \supset_i \text{ more}$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i \text{ more}$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash f; g \supset_i \text{ more} ; g$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)

have 3: $\vdash \text{more} ; g \supset_i \text{more}$ **by** (rule *MoreChopImpMore*)
from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **using** prop02 **by** blast
qed

lemma *RightChopImpMoreRule*:

assumes $\vdash g \supset_i \text{more}$
shows $\vdash f ; g \supset_i \text{more}$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash g \supset_i \text{more}$ **using** assms **by** auto
hence 2: $\vdash f ; g \supset_i f ; \text{more}$ **by** (rule *RightChopImpChop*)
have 3: $\vdash f ; \text{more} \supset_i \text{more}$ **by** (rule *ChopMoreImpMore*)
from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **using** prop02 **by** blast
qed

lemma *NotDiEqvBiNot*:

$\vdash \neg_i (di\ f) \equiv_i bi\ (\neg_i\ f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i \neg_i \neg_i\ f$ **by** auto
hence 2: $\vdash di\ f \equiv_i di\ \neg_i \neg_i\ f$ **by** (rule *DiEqvDi*)
hence 3: $\vdash \neg_i (di\ f) \equiv_i \neg_i (di\ \neg_i \neg_i\ f)$ **by** auto
from 3 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: bi-d-def)
qed

lemma *ChopImpDi*:

$\vdash f ; g \supset_i di\ f$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash g \supset_i \text{true}_i$ **by** auto
hence 2: $\vdash f ; g \supset_i f ; \text{true}_i$ **by** (rule *RightChopImpChop*)
from 2 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: bi-d-def)
qed

lemma *TrueEqvTrueChopTrue*:

$\vdash \text{true}_i \equiv_i \text{true}_i ; \text{true}_i$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{true}_i ; \text{true}_i \supset_i \text{true}_i$ **by** auto
have 2: $\vdash \text{true}_i \supset_i di\ \text{true}_i$ **by** (rule *DiIntro*)
hence 3: $\vdash \text{true}_i \supset_i \text{true}_i ; \text{true}_i$ **by** (simp add: di-d-def)
from 1 3 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma *DiEqvDiDi*:

$\vdash di\ f \equiv_i di\ (di\ f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{true}_i \equiv_i \text{true}_i ; \text{true}_i$ **by** (rule *TrueEqvTrueChopTrue*)
hence 2: $\vdash f ; \text{true}_i \equiv_i f ; (\text{true}_i ; \text{true}_i)$ **by** (rule *RightChopEqvChop*)
have 3: $\vdash f ; (\text{true}_i ; \text{true}_i) \equiv_i (f ; \text{true}_i) ; \text{true}_i$ **by** (rule *ChopAssoc*)
have 4: $\vdash f ; \text{true}_i \equiv_i (f ; \text{true}_i) ; \text{true}_i$ **using** 2 3 **using** prop03 **by** blast
from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (metis di-d-def)
qed

lemma *BiEqvBiBi*:

$\vdash bi\ f \equiv_i bi\ (bi\ f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash di\ \neg_i\ f \equiv_i di\ (di\ \neg_i\ f)$ **by** (rule *DiEqvDiDi*)

have 2: $\vdash di\ \neg_i\ f \equiv_i \neg_i\ (bi\ f)$ **by** (rule *DiNotEqvNotBi*)

hence 3: $\vdash di\ (di\ \neg_i\ f) \equiv_i di\ \neg_i\ (bi\ f)$ **by** (rule *DiEqvDi*)

have 4: $\vdash di\ \neg_i\ f \equiv_i di\ \neg_i\ (bi\ f)$ **using** 1 3 **using** *prop03* **by** *blast*

hence 5: $\vdash \neg_i\ (di\ \neg_i\ f) \equiv_i \neg_i\ (di\ \neg_i\ (bi\ f))$ **using** *itl-prop(33)* **by** *blast*

from 5 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis bi-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DiOrEqv*:

$\vdash di\ (f \vee_i g) \equiv_i di\ f \vee_i di\ g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \vee_i g); true_i \equiv_i f; true_i \vee_i g; true_i$ **by** (rule *OrChopEqv*)

from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: di-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DiAndA*:

$\vdash di\ (f \wedge_i g) \supset_i di\ f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge_i g); true_i \supset_i f; true_i$ **by** (rule *AndChopA*)

from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: di-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DiAndB*:

$\vdash di\ (f \wedge_i g) \supset_i di\ g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \wedge_i g); true_i \supset_i g; true_i$ **by** (rule *AndChopB*)

from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: di-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DiAndImpAnd*:

$\vdash di\ (f \wedge_i g) \supset_i di\ f \wedge_i di\ g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash di\ (f \wedge_i g) \supset_i di\ f$ **by** (rule *DiAndA*)

have 2: $\vdash di\ (f \wedge_i g) \supset_i di\ g$ **by** (rule *DiAndB*)

from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *DiSkipEqvMore*:

$\vdash di\ skip \equiv_i more$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash skip; true_i \equiv_i \bigcirc true_i$ **by** (rule *SkipChopEqvNext*)

have 2: $\vdash \bigcirc true_i \equiv_i more$ **by** *auto*

have 3: $\vdash skip; true_i \equiv_i more$ **using** 1 2 **by** *auto*

from 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: di-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DiMoreEqvMore*:

$\vdash di\ more \equiv_i more$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash di\ (\bigcirc\ true_i) \equiv_i \bigcirc\ (di\ true_i)$ **by** (rule DiNext)
have 2: $\vdash \bigcirc\ (di\ true_i) \supset_i more$ **by** auto
have 3: $\vdash di\ (\bigcirc\ true_i) \supset_i more$ **using** 1 2 **by** auto
hence 4: $\vdash di\ more \supset_i more$ **by** (simp add: more-d-def)
have 5: $\vdash more \supset_i di\ more$ **by** (rule ImpDi)
from 4 5 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma DIfEqvRule:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i if_i\ (init\ w)\ then\ g\ else\ h$
shows $\vdash di\ f \equiv_i if_i\ (init\ w)\ then\ (di\ g)\ else\ (di\ h)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i if_i\ (init\ w)\ then\ g\ else\ h$ **using** assms **by** auto
hence 2: $\vdash f; true_i \equiv_i if_i\ (init\ w)\ then\ (g; true_i)\ else\ (h; true_i)$ **by** (rule IfChopEqvRule)
from 2 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: di-d-def)
qed

lemma DiEmpty:
 $\vdash di\ empty$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash true_i$ **by** auto
have 2: $\vdash empty; true_i \equiv_i true_i$ **by** (rule EmptyChop)
have 3: $\vdash empty; true_i$ **using** 1 2 **by** auto
from 3 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: di-d-def)
qed

lemma DaNotEqvNotBa:
 $\vdash da\ \neg_i\ f \equiv_i \neg_i\ (ba\ f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash ba\ f \equiv_i \neg_i\ (da\ \neg_i\ f)$ **by** (simp add: ba-d-def)
from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** simp
qed

lemma DaEqvDa:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$
shows $\vdash da\ f \equiv_i da\ g$
using assms **by** auto

lemma DaEqvNotBaNot:
 $\vdash da\ f \equiv_i \neg_i\ (ba\ \neg_i\ f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash ba\ \neg_i\ f \equiv_i \neg_i\ (da\ \neg_i\ \neg_i\ f)$ **by** (simp add: ba-d-def)
hence 2: $\vdash da\ \neg_i\ \neg_i\ f \equiv_i \neg_i\ (ba\ \neg_i\ f)$ **by** simp
have 3: $\vdash f \equiv_i \neg_i\ \neg_i\ f$ **by** simp
hence 4: $\vdash da\ f \equiv_i da\ \neg_i\ \neg_i\ f$ **by** (rule DaEqvDa)
from 2 4 **show** ?thesis **by** simp
qed

lemma *BaElim*:

$\vdash ba\ f \supset_i f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ba\ f \equiv_i \Box(bi\ f)$ **by** (rule *BaEqvBtBi*)

have 2: $\vdash bi\ f \supset_i f$ **by** (rule *BiElim*)

hence 3: $\vdash \Box(bi\ f \supset_i f)$ **by** (rule *BoxGen*)

have 4: $\vdash \Box(bi\ f \supset_i f) \supset_i \Box(bi\ f) \supset_i \Box f$ **by** (rule *BoxImpDist*)

have 5: $\vdash \Box(bi\ f) \supset_i \Box f$ **using** 3 4 *MP* **by** *simp*

have 6: $\vdash \Box f \supset_i f$ **by** (rule *BoxElim*)

from 1 5 6 **show** ?thesis **using** *BalmpBt prop02* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *DaIntro*:

$\vdash f \supset_i da\ f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ba\ \neg_i f \supset_i \neg_i f$ **by** (rule *BaElim*)

hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i \neg_i f \supset_i \neg_i (ba\ \neg_i f)$ **using** *prop27* **by** *blast*

have 3: $\vdash f \equiv_i \neg_i \neg_i f$ **by** *simp*

have 4: $\vdash da\ f \equiv_i \neg_i (ba\ \neg_i f)$ **by** (rule *DaEqvNotBaNot*)

from 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *BaGen*:

assumes $\vdash f$

shows $\vdash ba\ f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash \Box f$ **by** (rule *BoxGen*)

hence 3: $\vdash bi(\Box f)$ **by** (rule *BiGen*)

have 4: $\vdash ba\ f \equiv_i bi(\Box f)$ **by** (rule *BaEqvBiBt*)

from 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *BalmpDist*:

$\vdash ba\ (f \supset_i g) \supset_i ba\ f \supset_i ba\ g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash bi\ (f \supset_i g) \supset_i (bi\ f \supset_i bi\ g)$ **by** (rule *BiImpDist*)

hence 2: $\vdash \Box(bi\ (f \supset_i g) \supset_i (bi\ f \supset_i bi\ g))$ **by** (rule *BoxGen*)

have 3: $\vdash \Box(bi\ (f \supset_i g) \supset_i (bi\ f \supset_i bi\ g))$

\supset_i

$(\Box(bi\ (f \supset_i g)) \supset_i (\Box(bi\ f) \supset_i \Box(bi\ g)))$ **by** *simp*

have 4: $\vdash \Box(bi\ (f \supset_i g)) \supset_i (\Box(bi\ f) \supset_i \Box(bi\ g))$ **using** 2 3 *MP* **by** *simp*

have 5: $\vdash ba\ (f \supset_i g) \equiv_i \Box(bi\ (f \supset_i g))$ **by** (rule *BaEqvBtBi*)

have 6: $\vdash ba\ f \equiv_i \Box(bi\ f)$ **by** (rule *BaEqvBtBi*)

have 7: $\vdash ba\ g \equiv_i \Box(bi\ g)$ **by** (rule *BaEqvBtBi*)

from 4 5 6 7 **show** ?thesis **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *BaAndEqv*:

$\vdash ba(f \wedge_i g) \equiv_i ba f \wedge_i ba g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash ba(f \wedge_i g) \equiv_i \Box(bi(f \wedge_i g))$ **by** (rule *BaEqvBtBi*)
have 2: $\vdash bi(f \wedge_i g) \equiv_i bi f \wedge_i bi g$ **by** *auto*
hence 3: $\vdash \Box(bi(f \wedge_i g)) \equiv_i \Box(bi f \wedge_i bi g)$ **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash \Box(bi f \wedge_i bi g) \equiv_i \Box(bi f) \wedge_i \Box(bi g)$ **by** *auto*
have 5: $\vdash ba f \equiv_i \Box(bi f)$ **by** (rule *BaEqvBtBi*)
have 6: $\vdash ba g \equiv_i \Box(bi g)$ **by** (rule *BaEqvBtBi*)
from 1 3 4 5 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *BalmpBaEqvBa*:
 $\vdash ba(f \equiv_i g) \supset_i (ba f \equiv_i ba g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash ba(f \supset_i g) \supset_i ba f \supset_i ba g$ **by** (rule *BalmpDist*)
have 2: $\vdash ba(g \supset_i f) \supset_i ba g \supset_i ba f$ **by** (rule *BalmpDist*)
have 3: $\vdash ba(f \equiv_i g) \equiv_i ba((f \supset_i g) \wedge_i (g \supset_i f))$ **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash ba((f \supset_i g) \wedge_i (g \supset_i f)) \equiv_i ba((f \supset_i g)) \wedge_i ba((g \supset_i f))$ **by** (rule *BaAndEqv*)
have 5: $\vdash (ba f \supset_i ba g) \wedge_i (ba g \supset_i ba f) \equiv_i (ba f \equiv_i ba g)$ **by** *auto*
from 1 2 3 4 5 **show** *?thesis* **using** *itl-prop(31)* *itl-prop(32)* *prop02* **by** *smt*
qed

lemma *BalmpBa*:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash ba f \supset_i ba g$
using *BaGen* *BalmpDist* *MP* *assms* **by** *blast*

lemma *BaEqvBa*:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$
shows $\vdash ba f \equiv_i ba g$
using *BaGen* *BalmpBaEqvBa* *MP* *assms* **by** *blast*

lemma *DalmpDa*:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash da f \supset_i da g$
using *assms* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *DiamondEqvDiamondDiamond*:
 $\vdash \Diamond f \equiv_i \Diamond(\Diamond f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \Diamond(\Diamond f) \equiv_i true_i;(true_i;f)$
by *simp*
have 2: $\vdash true_i;(true_i;f) \equiv_i (true_i;true_i);f$
by (rule *ChopAssoc*)

have 3: $\vdash (true_i; true_i); f \equiv_i true_i; f$
using LeftChopEqvChop TrueEqvTrueChopTrue itl-prop(30) **by** blast
have 4: $\vdash true_i; f \equiv_i \Diamond f$
by (simp add: sometimes-d-def)
from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma DaEqvDaDa:

$\vdash da\ f \equiv_i da\ (da\ f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash da\ f \equiv_i \Diamond (di\ f)$
by (rule DaEqvDtDi)
have 2: $\vdash di\ f \equiv_i (di\ (di\ f))$
by (rule DiEqvDiDi)
hence 3: $\vdash \Diamond (di\ f) \equiv_i \Diamond (di\ (di\ f))$
by (rule DiamondEqvDiamond)
have 4: $\vdash \Diamond (di\ f) \equiv_i \Diamond (\Diamond (di\ (di\ f)))$
using DiamondEqvDiamondDiamond DiEqvDiDi **using** 3 prop03 **by** blast
have 5: $\vdash \Diamond (di\ (di\ f)) \equiv_i di\ (\Diamond (di\ f))$
by (rule DtDiEqvDiDt)
hence 6: $\vdash \Diamond (\Diamond (di\ (di\ f))) \equiv_i \Diamond (di\ (\Diamond (di\ f)))$
by (rule DiamondEqvDiamond)
have 7: $\vdash da\ f \equiv_i \Diamond (di\ (\Diamond (di\ f)))$
using 1 3 4 6 **using** prop03 **by** blast
have 8: $\vdash da\ (\Diamond (di\ f)) \equiv_i \Diamond (di\ (\Diamond (di\ f)))$
by (rule DaEqvDtDi)
have 9: $\vdash da\ (da\ f) \equiv_i da\ (\Diamond (di\ f))$
using 1 **by** (rule DaEqvDa)
from 7 8 9 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma BaEqvBaBa:

$\vdash ba\ f \equiv_i ba\ (ba\ f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash da\ (\neg_i f) \equiv_i da\ (da\ (\neg_i f))$ **by** (rule DaEqvDaDa)
have 2: $\vdash da\ (da\ (\neg_i f)) \equiv_i \neg_i (ba\ (\neg_i (da\ (\neg_i f))))$ **by** (rule DaEqvNotBaNot)
have 3: $\vdash \neg_i (da\ (da\ (\neg_i f))) \equiv_i ba\ (\neg_i (da\ (\neg_i f)))$ **by** auto
have 4: $\vdash \neg_i (da\ (\neg_i f)) \equiv_i ba\ (\neg_i (da\ (\neg_i f)))$ **using** 1 2 3 prop01 prop03 **by** blast
from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (metis ba-d-def)
qed

lemma BaLeftChopImpChop:

$\vdash ba\ (f \supset_i f1) \supset_i f; g \supset_i f1; g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash ba\ (f \supset_i f1) \supset_i bi\ (f \supset_i f1)$ **by** (rule BalmpBi)
have 2: $\vdash bi\ (f \supset_i f1) \supset_i f; g \supset_i f1; g$ **by** (rule BiChopImpChop)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *BaRightChopImpChop*:

$\vdash ba (g \supset_i g1) \supset_i f; g \supset_i f; g1$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ba (g \supset_i g1) \supset_i \Box(g \supset_i g1)$ **by** (rule *BaImpBt*)

have 2: $\vdash \Box(g \supset_i g1) \supset_i f; g \supset_i f; g1$ **by** (rule *BoxChopImpChop*)

from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *ChopAndBaImport*:

$\vdash (f; f1) \wedge_i ba g \supset_i (f \wedge_i g); (f1 \wedge_i g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ba g \wedge_i (f; f1) \supset_i (g \wedge_i f); (g \wedge_i f1)$ **by** (rule *BaAndChopImport*)

have 2: $\vdash (g \wedge_i f); (g \wedge_i f1) \equiv_i (f \wedge_i g); (f1 \wedge_i g)$ **by** (rule *AndChopAndCommute*)

from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *BaImpBaImpBaAnd*:

$\vdash ba h \supset_i ba(g \supset_i ba h \wedge_i g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ba h \supset_i (g \supset_i ba h \wedge_i g)$ **by** simp

hence 2: $\vdash ba(ba h) \supset_i ba(g \supset_i ba h \wedge_i g)$ **by** (rule *BaImpBa*)

have 3: $\vdash ba h \equiv_i ba(ba h)$ **by** (rule *BaEqvBaBa*)

from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **using** itl-prop(31) prop02 **by** blast

qed

lemma *BaChopImpChopBa*:

$\vdash ba f \supset_i g; g1 \supset_i g; ((ba f) \wedge_i g1)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ba f \supset_i ba(g1 \supset_i (ba f) \wedge_i g1)$ **by** (rule *BaImpBaImpBaAnd*)

have 2: $\vdash ba(g1 \supset_i ba f \wedge_i g1) \supset_i g; g1 \supset_i g; (ba f \wedge_i g1)$ **by** (rule *BaRightChopImpChop*)

from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *DiNotBaImpNotBa*:

$\vdash di \neg_i (ba f) \supset_i \neg_i (ba f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ba f \equiv_i ba(ba f)$ **by** (rule *BaEqvBaBa*)

have 2: $\vdash ba(ba f) \supset_i bi(ba f)$ **by** (rule *BaImpBi*)

have 3: $\vdash ba f \supset_i bi(ba f)$ **using** 1 2 **using** itl-prop(31) prop02 **by** blast

hence 4: $\vdash ba f \supset_i \neg_i (di \neg_i (ba f))$ **by** (simp add: bi-d-def)

from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** fastforce

qed

lemma *NotBaChopImpNotBa*:

$\vdash (\neg_i (ba f)); g \supset_i di \neg_i (ba f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\neg_i (ba f)); g \supset_i di \neg_i (ba f)$ **by** (rule *ChopImpDi*)

have 2: $\vdash di \neg_i (ba \ f) \supset_i \neg_i (ba \ f)$ **by** (rule DiNotBalmpNotBa)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **using** prop02 **by** blast
qed

lemma DiamondFinImpFin:

$\vdash \Diamond (fin \ f) \supset_i fin \ f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash fin \ f \equiv_i true_i; (f \wedge_i empty)$

by (rule FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty)

hence 2: $\vdash \Diamond (fin \ f) \equiv_i true_i; (true_i; (f \wedge_i empty))$

by fastforce

have 3: $\vdash true_i; (true_i; (f \wedge_i empty)) \equiv_i (true_i; true_i); (f \wedge_i empty)$

by (rule ChopAssoc)

have 4: $\vdash (true_i; true_i); (f \wedge_i empty) \equiv_i true_i; (f \wedge_i empty)$

using TrueEqvTrueChopTrue **using** LeftChopEqvChop itl-prop(30) **by** blast

from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma ChopFinImpFin:

$\vdash f; fin \ (init \ w) \supset_i fin \ (init \ w)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f; fin \ (init \ w) \supset_i \Diamond (fin \ (init \ w))$ **by** (rule ChopImpDiamond)

have 2: $\vdash \Diamond (fin \ (init \ w)) \supset_i fin \ (init \ w)$ **by** (rule DiamondFinImpFin)

from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **using** prop02 **by** blast

qed

lemma FinImpYieldsFin:

$\vdash fin \ (init \ w) \supset_i f \ yields \ (fin \ (init \ w))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f; fin \ (init \ \neg_i w) \supset_i fin \ (init \ \neg_i w)$

by (rule ChopFinImpFin)

have 2: $\vdash fin \ (init \ \neg_i w) \equiv_i \neg_i (fin \ (init \ w))$

using FinNotStateEqvNotFinState **by** blast

hence 3: $\vdash f; fin \ (init \ \neg_i w) \equiv_i f; \neg_i (fin \ (init \ w))$

by (rule RightChopEqvChop)

have 4: $\vdash f; \neg_i (fin \ (init \ w)) \supset_i \neg_i (fin \ (init \ w))$

using 1 2 3 itl-prop(31) prop02 **by** blast

hence 5: $\vdash fin \ (init \ w) \supset_i \neg_i (f; \neg_i (fin \ (init \ w)))$

using itl-prop(35) **by** auto

from 5 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: yields-d-def)

qed

lemma ChopAndFin:

$\vdash (f; g) \wedge_i fin \ (init \ w) \equiv_i f; (g \wedge_i fin \ (init \ w))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash fin \ (init \ w) \supset_i f \ yields \ (fin \ (init \ w))$

by (rule FinImpYieldsFin)

hence 2: $\vdash (f; g) \wedge_i fin \ (init \ w) \supset_i (f; g) \wedge_i f \ yields \ (fin \ (init \ w))$

by auto
have 3: $\vdash (f; g) \wedge_i f \text{ yields } (\text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \supset_i f; (g \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w))$
by (rule ChopAndYieldsImp)
have 4: $\vdash (f; g) \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w) \supset_i f; (g \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w))$
using 2 3 **by auto**
have 11: $\vdash f; (g \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \supset_i f; g$
by (rule ChopAndA)
have 12: $\vdash f; (g \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \supset_i f; \text{fin } (\text{init } w)$
by (rule ChopAndB)
have 13: $\vdash f; \text{fin } (\text{init } w) \supset_i \Diamond (\text{fin } (\text{init } w))$
by (rule ChopImpDiamond)
have 14: $\vdash \Diamond (\text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)$
by (rule DiamondFinImpFin)
have 15: $\vdash f; (g \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \supset_i (f; g) \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)$
using 11 12 13 14 *itl-prop(32) prop02* **by smt**
from 4 15 **show** ?thesis **using** *itl-prop(31)* **by blast**
qed

lemma ChopAndNotFin:

$\vdash f; g \wedge_i \neg_i (\text{fin } (\text{init } w)) \equiv_i f; (g \wedge_i \neg_i (\text{fin } (\text{init } w)))$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f; g \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } \neg_i w) \equiv_i f; (g \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } \neg_i w))$
by (rule ChopAndFin)
have 2: $\vdash \text{fin } (\text{init } \neg_i w) \equiv_i \neg_i (\text{fin } (\text{init } w))$
using FinNotStateEqvNotFinState **by blast**
hence 3: $\vdash g \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } \neg_i w) \equiv_i g \wedge_i \neg_i (\text{fin } (\text{init } w))$
by auto
hence 4: $\vdash f; (g \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } \neg_i w)) \equiv_i f; (g \wedge_i \neg_i (\text{fin } (\text{init } w)))$
by (rule RightChopEqvChop)
from 1 2 4 **show** ?thesis **by auto**
qed

lemma FinChopChain:

$\vdash ((\text{init } w) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w1)); ((\text{init } w1) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2))$
 $\supset_i ((\text{init } w) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2))$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i ((\text{init } w) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w1)); ((\text{init } w1) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2))$
 \supset_i
 $((\text{init } w) \wedge_i ((\text{init } w) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w1))); ((\text{init } w1) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2))$
by (rule StateAndChopImport)
have 2: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i ((\text{init } w) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w1)) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w1)$
by auto
have 3: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i ((\text{init } w) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w1))); ((\text{init } w1) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2))$
 \supset_i
 $(\text{fin } (\text{init } w1)); ((\text{init } w1) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2))$
using 2 **by** (rule LeftChopImpChop)
have 4: $\vdash (\text{fin } (\text{init } w1)); ((\text{init } w1) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)) \equiv_i$
 $\Diamond((\text{init } w1) \wedge_i ((\text{init } w1) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)))$
by (rule FinChopEqvDiamond)
have 41: $\vdash ((\text{init } w1) \wedge_i ((\text{init } w1) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2))) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)$

by auto
 have 42: $\vdash \Diamond((\text{init } w1) \wedge_i ((\text{init } w1) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2))) \supset_i \Diamond(\text{fin } (\text{init } w2))$
 using 41 *DiamondImpDiamond* by blast
 have 5: $\vdash \Diamond(\text{fin } (\text{init } w2)) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)$
 using *DiamondFinImpFin* by blast
 have 6: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i ((\text{init } w) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w1)); ((\text{init } w1) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2))$
 $\supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)$
 using 1 3 4 5 42 *itl-prop(30) prop02 prop15* by smt
 from 6 show ?thesis using prop32 by blast
 qed

lemma *ChopRule*:

assumes $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w1)$
 $\vdash (\text{init } w1) \wedge_i f1 \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)$
 shows $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i (f; f1) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)$
 proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i (f; f1) \supset_i ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i f); f1$ by (rule *StateAndChopImpPort*)
 have 2: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w1)$ using *assms* by auto
 hence 3: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i f); f1 \supset_i (\text{fin } (\text{init } w1)); f1$ by (rule *LeftChopImpChop*)
 have 4: $\vdash (\text{fin } (\text{init } w1)); f1 \equiv_i \Diamond((\text{init } w1) \wedge_i f1)$ by (rule *FinChopEqvDiamond*)
 have 5: $\vdash (\text{init } w1) \wedge_i f1 \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)$ using *assms* by auto
 hence 6: $\vdash \Diamond((\text{init } w1) \wedge_i f1) \supset_i \Diamond(\text{fin } (\text{init } w2))$ by (rule *DiamondImpDiamond*)
 have 7: $\vdash \Diamond(\text{fin } (\text{init } w2)) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)$ using *DiamondFinImpFin* by blast
 from 1 3 4 6 7 show ?thesis using *itl-prop(30) prop02 prop15* by smt
 qed

lemma *ChopRep*:

assumes $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f \supset_i f1 \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w1)$
 $\vdash (\text{init } w1) \wedge_i g \supset_i g1$
 shows $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i (f; g) \supset_i (f1; g1)$
 proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f \supset_i f1 \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w1)$ using *assms* by auto
 hence 2: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i (f; g) \supset_i (f1 \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w1)); g$ by (rule *StateAndChopImpChopRule*)
 have 3: $\vdash (f1 \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w1)); g \equiv_i f1; ((\text{init } w1) \wedge_i g)$ by (rule *AndFinChopEqvStateAndChop*)
 have 4: $\vdash (\text{init } w1) \wedge_i g \supset_i g1$ using *assms* by auto
 hence 5: $\vdash f1; ((\text{init } w1) \wedge_i g) \supset_i f1; g1$ by (rule *RightChopImpChop*)
 from 2 3 5 show ?thesis by simp
 qed

lemma *ChopRepAndFin*:

assumes $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f \supset_i f1 \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w1)$
 $\vdash (\text{init } w1) \wedge_i g \supset_i g1 \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)$
 shows $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i (f; g) \supset_i (f1; g1) \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)$
 proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f \supset_i f1 \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w1)$ using *assms* by auto
 have 2: $\vdash (\text{init } w1) \wedge_i g \supset_i g1 \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)$ using *assms* by auto
 have 3: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i (f; g) \supset_i f1; (g1 \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2))$ using 1 2 by (rule *ChopRep*)
 have 4: $\vdash f1; (g1 \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)) \supset_i f1; g1$ by (rule *ChopAndA*)
 have 5: $\vdash f1; (g1 \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)) \supset_i f1; \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)$ by (rule *ChopAndB*)

have 6: $\vdash f1; \text{fin } (\text{init } w2) \supset_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w2)$ **by** (rule ChopFinImpFin)
from 1 2 3 4 5 6 **show** ?thesis **using** ChopRep ChopRule itl-prop(32) **by** blast
qed

lemma TrueChopMoreEqvMore:
 $\vdash \text{true}_i; \text{more} \equiv_i \text{more}$
by auto

lemma MoreChopLoop:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i \text{more}; f$
shows $\vdash \neg_i f$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i \text{more}; f$
using assms **by** auto
hence 11: $\vdash \Diamond f \supset_i \Diamond (\text{more}; f)$
by (rule DiamondImpDiamond)
have 12: $\vdash \Diamond (\text{more}; f) \equiv_i \text{true}_i; (\text{more}; f)$
by simp
have 13: $\vdash \text{true}_i; (\text{more}; f) \equiv_i (\text{true}_i; \text{more}); f$
by (rule ChopAssoc)
have 14: $\vdash \Diamond (\text{more}; f) \equiv_i \text{more}; f$
using TrueChopMoreEqvMore 12 13 LeftChopChopImpChopRule NowImpDiamond
itl-prop(31) prop02 **by** metis
have 2: $\vdash \text{more}; f \equiv_i \bigcirc(\Diamond f)$
by (rule MoreChopEqvNextDiamond)
have 3: $\vdash \Diamond f \supset_i \bigcirc(\Diamond f)$
using 11 14 2 **by** auto
hence 4: $\vdash \neg_i (\Diamond f)$
by (rule NextLoop)
have 5: $\vdash \neg_i (\Diamond f) \supset_i \neg_i f$
by auto
from 4 5 **show** ?thesis **using** MP **by** blast
qed

lemma MoreChopContra:
assumes $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i (\text{more}; (f \wedge_i \neg_i g))$
shows $\vdash f \supset_i g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i (\text{more}; (f \wedge_i \neg_i g))$ **using** assms **by** auto
hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i (f \wedge_i \neg_i g)$ **by** (rule MoreChopLoop)
from 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma ChopLoop:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g; f$
 $\vdash g \supset_i \text{more}$
shows $\vdash \neg_i f$
proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i g; f$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have 2: $\vdash g \supset_i \text{more}$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 3: $\vdash g; f \supset_i \text{more}; f$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
have 4: $\vdash f \supset_i \text{more}; f$ **using** 1 3 **by** *auto*
from 4 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MoreChopLoop* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *ChopContra*:

assumes $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i h; f \wedge_i \neg_i (h; g)$
 $\vdash h \supset_i \text{more}$
shows $\vdash f \supset_i g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i h; f \wedge_i \neg_i (h; g)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have 2: $\vdash h \supset_i \text{more}$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have 3: $\vdash h; f \wedge_i \neg_i (h; g) \supset_i h; (f \wedge_i \neg_i g)$ **by** (*rule ChopAndNotChopImp*)
have 4: $\vdash h; (f \wedge_i \neg_i g) \supset_i \text{more}; (f \wedge_i \neg_i g)$ **using** 2 **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
have 5: $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i \text{more}; (f \wedge_i \neg_i g)$ **using** 1 3 4 **by** *auto*
from 5 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MoreChopContra* **by** *auto*
qed

5.7 Properties of Chopstar and Chopplus

lemma *EmptyImpCS*:

$\vdash \text{empty} \supset_i f^*$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$ **by** (*rule ChopstarEqv*)
have 2: $\vdash \text{empty} \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$ **by** *auto*
from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **using** *itl-prop(31)* *prop02* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *CSEqvOrChopCS*:

$\vdash f^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f; f^*)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$ **by** (*rule ChopstarEqv*)
have 2: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \supset_i f; f^*$ **by** (*rule AndChopA*)
have 3: $\vdash f^* \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i f; f^*$ **using** 1 2 **using** *prop14* **by** *blast*
have 4: $\vdash \text{empty} \supset_i f^*$ **by** (*rule EmptyImpCS*)
have 5: $\vdash f \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more})$ **by** *auto*
have 6: $\vdash f; f^* \supset_i f^* \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$ **using** 5 **by** (*rule EmptyOrChopImpRule*)
have 7: $\vdash f^* \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$ **using** 1 **using** *itl-prop(31)* **by** *blast*
have 8: $\vdash f; f^* \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$ **using** 6 7 *prop16* **by** *blast*
hence 9: $\vdash f; f^* \supset_i f^*$ **using** 1 *prop15* **by** *blast*
have 10: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i f; f^* \supset_i f^*$ **using** 9 4 *prop30* **by** *blast*
from 3 10 **show** *?thesis* **using** *itl-prop(31)* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *CSAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop*:

$\vdash f^* \wedge_i \text{more} \equiv_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*) \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$ **by** *auto*

have 2: $\vdash f^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$ **by** (rule ChopstarEqv)
have 3: $\vdash f^* \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$ **using** 1 2 **using** prop18 **by** blast
have 4: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \supset_i f^*$ **using** 2 prop19 **by** blast
have 5: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}) \supset_i \text{more}$ **by** auto
hence 6: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \supset_i \text{more}$ **by** (rule LeftChopImpMoreRule)
have 7: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \supset_i f^* \wedge_i \text{more}$ **using** 4 6 **using** itl-prop(32) **by** blast
from 3 7 **show** ?thesis **using** itl-prop(31) **by** blast
qed

lemma CSAndMoreImpChopCS:

$\vdash f^* \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i f; f^*$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash f^* \wedge_i \text{more} \equiv_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$ **by** (rule CSAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop)

have 2: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \supset_i f; f^*$ **by** (rule AndChopA)

from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma NotAndMoreEqvEmptyOr:

$\vdash \neg_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}) \equiv_i (\text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i f)$

by auto

lemma MoreAndEmptyOrEqvMoreAnd:

$\vdash \text{more} \wedge_i (\text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i f) \equiv_i \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i f$

by auto

lemma CSMoreNotImpChopCSAndMore:

$\vdash f^* \wedge_i \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i f \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f^* \wedge_i \text{more})$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash f^* \wedge_i \text{more} \equiv_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$

by (rule CSAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop)

have 2: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i \text{more}$

by auto

hence 3: $\vdash f^* \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f^* \wedge_i \text{more})$

by auto

hence 4: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}) \vee_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f^* \wedge_i \text{more}))$

by (rule ChopEmptyOrImpRule)

hence 5: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \wedge_i \neg_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}) \supset_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f^* \wedge_i \text{more}))$

using prop29 **by** blast

have 6: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \equiv_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \wedge_i \text{more}$ **using** 1

by auto

have 7: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \wedge_i \neg_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}) \equiv_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \wedge_i \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i (f \wedge_i \text{more})$

using 6 **by** auto

have 8: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \wedge_i \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i f \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f^* \wedge_i \text{more})$

using 5 7 **by** auto

have 9: $\vdash f^* \wedge_i \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i f \equiv_i (f^* \wedge_i \text{more}) \wedge_i (\text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i f)$

by auto

have 10: $\vdash (f^* \wedge_i \text{more}) \wedge_i (\text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i f) \equiv_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \wedge_i (\text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i f)$

using 1 prop06 **by** auto

from 1 8 9 10 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *CSAndMoreImpCSChop*:

$\vdash f^* \wedge_i \text{ more } \supset_i f^*; f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f^* \wedge_i \text{ more } \equiv_i (f \wedge_i \text{ more }); f^*$

by (*rule CSAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop*)

have 2: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i \text{ more}$

by *auto*

hence 3: $\vdash f^* \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f^* \wedge_i \text{ more})$

by *auto*

hence 4: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{ more }); f^* \supset_i$

$(f \wedge_i \text{ more}) \vee_i ((f \wedge_i \text{ more }); (f^* \wedge_i \text{ more}))$

by (*rule ChopEmptyOrImpRule*)

have 5: $\vdash f^* \wedge_i \text{ more} \wedge_i \neg_i f \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{ more }); (f^* \wedge_i \text{ more})$

by (*rule CSMoreNotImpChopCSAndMore*)

have 6: $\vdash f^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{ more }); f^*$

by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)

hence 7: $\vdash f^*; f \equiv_i f \vee_i ((f \wedge_i \text{ more }); f^*); f$

by (*rule EmptyOrChopEqvRule*)

have 8: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{ more }); (f^*; f) \equiv_i ((f \wedge_i \text{ more }); f^*); f$

by (*rule ChopAssoc*)

have 9: $\vdash (f^* \wedge_i \text{ more}) \wedge_i \neg_i (f^*; f) \supset_i$

$(f \wedge_i \text{ more }); (f^* \wedge_i \text{ more}) \wedge_i \neg_i ((f \wedge_i \text{ more }); (f^*; f))$

using 5 7 8 **by** *auto*

have 10: $\vdash f \wedge_i \text{ more} \supset_i \text{more}$

by *auto*

from 9 10 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*rule ChopContra*)

qed

lemma *NotEmptyEqvMore*:

$\vdash \neg_i \text{empty} \equiv_i \text{more}$

by *simp*

lemma *NotCSImpMore*:

$\vdash \neg_i (f^*) \supset_i \text{more}$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{empty} \supset_i (f^*)$ **using** *EmptyImpCS* **by** *blast*

hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f^*)$ **using** *itl-prop(35)* **by** *metis*

from 2 **show** *?thesis* **using** 1 *NotEmptyEqvMore* *itl-prop(31)* *prop02* *prop27* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *CSChopCSImpCS*:

$\vdash f^*; f^* \supset_i f^*$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{ more }); f^*$

by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)

hence 2: $\vdash f^*; f^* \equiv_i f^* \vee_i ((f \wedge_i \text{ more }); f^*); f^*$

by (*rule EmptyOrChopEqvRule*)

have 21: $\vdash f^*; f^* \wedge_i \neg_i (f^*) \supset_i ((f \wedge_i \text{ more }); f^*); f^*$

using 2 by (simp add: or-d-def)
 have 22: $\vdash \neg_i (f^*) \equiv_i \neg_i \text{empty} \wedge_i \neg_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*)$
 using 1 prop20 by blast
 have 23: $\vdash \neg_i (f^*) \supset_i \neg_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*)$
 using 2 22 using itl-prop(31) itl-prop(32) by blast
 have 24: $\vdash f^*; f^* \wedge_i \neg_i (f^*) \supset_i \neg_i (f^*)$
 by auto
 have 25: $\vdash f^*; f^* \wedge_i \neg_i (f^*) \supset_i \neg_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*)$
 using 23 24 MP by auto
 have 3: $\vdash f^*; f^* \wedge_i \neg_i (f^*) \supset_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*); f^* \wedge_i \neg_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*)$
 using 21 25 by auto
 have 4: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f^*; f^*) \equiv_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*); f^*$
 by (rule ChopAssoc)
 have 5: $\vdash f^*; f^* \wedge_i \neg_i (f^*) \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f^*; f^*) \wedge_i \neg_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*)$
 using 3 4 by auto
 have 6: $\vdash f \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i \text{more}$
 by auto
 from 5 6 show ?thesis using ChopContra by blast
 qed

lemma ImpChopPlus:

$\vdash f \supset_i f; f^*$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i f; f^*$ by (rule CSEqvOrChopCS)
 hence 2: $\vdash f; f^* \equiv_i f; \text{empty} \vee_i f; (f; f^*)$ using ChopOrEqvRule by blast
 have 3: $\vdash f; \text{empty} \equiv_i f$ using ChopEmpty by blast
 from 2 3 show ?thesis by simp

qed

lemma ImpCS:

$\vdash f \supset_i f^*$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i f; f^*$ by (rule ImpChopPlus)
 hence 2: $\vdash f \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i f; f^*$ by auto
 from 2 show ?thesis using CSEqvOrChopCS using prop15 by blast

qed

lemma CSChopImpCS:

$\vdash f^*; f \supset_i f^*$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i f^*$ by (rule ImpCS)
 hence 2: $\vdash f^*; f \supset_i f^*; f^*$ by (rule RightChopImpChop)
 have 3: $\vdash f^*; f^* \supset_i f^*$ by (rule CSChopCSImpCS)
 from 2 3 show ?thesis using prop02 by blast

qed

lemma ChopPlusImpCS:

$\vdash f; f^* \supset_i f^*$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f;f^* \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i f;f^*$ **by** *auto*
from 1 **show** ?thesis **using** *CSEqvOrChopCS* **using** *prop15* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *CSChopEqvOrChopPlusChop*:

$\vdash f^*; g \equiv_i g \vee_i (f;f^*); g$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash f^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i f;f^*$ **by** (rule *CSEqvOrChopCS*)

from 1 **show** ?thesis **using** *EmptyOrChopEqvRule* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *CSElim*:

assumes $\vdash \text{empty} \supset_i g$

$\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); g \supset_i g$

shows $\vdash f^* \supset_i g$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash f^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$

by (rule *ChopstarEqv*)

have 2: $\vdash \text{empty} \supset_i g$

using *assms* **by** *blast*

have 3: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); g \supset_i g$

using *assms* **by** *blast*

have 31: $\vdash \neg_i g \supset_i \text{more}$

using 2 **by** *auto*

have 32: $\vdash \neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); g)$

using 3 *prop27* **by** *blast*

have 33: $\vdash f^* \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$

using 1 **using** *CSEqvOrChopCS* **using** *itl-prop(31)* **by** *blast*

have 34: $\vdash f^* \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i f^* \wedge_i \text{more}$

using 31 **by** *auto*

have 35: $\vdash f^* \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$

using 33 34 **by** *auto*

have 36: $\vdash f^* \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); g)$

using 32 **by** *auto*

have 4: $\vdash f^* \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \wedge_i \neg_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); g)$

using 35 36 **by** *auto*

have 5: $\vdash f \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i \text{more}$

by *auto*

from 4 5 **show** ?thesis **using** *ChopContra* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *CSCSImpCS*:

$\vdash (f^*)^* \supset_i f^*$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash \text{empty} \supset_i f^*$ **by** (rule *EmptyImpCS*)

have 2: $\vdash (f^* \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \supset_i f^*; f^*$ **by** (rule *AndChopA*)

have 3: $\vdash f^*; f^* \supset_i f^*$ **by** (rule *CSChopCSImpCS*)

have 4: $\vdash (f^* \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \supset_i f^*$ **using** 2 3 *prop02* **by** *blast*

from 1 4 **show** ?thesis **using** *CSElim* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *RightEmptyOrChopEqv*:

$\vdash g;(\text{empty} \vee_i f) \equiv_i g \vee_i (g; f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash g;(\text{empty} \vee_i f) \equiv_i g;\text{empty} \vee_i g;f$ **by** (rule *ChopOrEqv*)

have 2: $\vdash g;\text{empty} \equiv_i g$ **by** (rule *ChopEmpty*)

from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *RightEmptyOrChopEqvRule*:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i f1$

shows $\vdash g;f \equiv_i g \vee_i (g;f1)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i f1$ **using** assms **by** auto

hence 2: $\vdash g;f \equiv_i g;(\text{empty} \vee_i f1)$ **by** (rule *RightChopEqvChop*)

have 3: $\vdash g;(\text{empty} \vee_i f1) \equiv_i g \vee_i (g;f1)$ **by** (rule *RightEmptyOrChopEqv*)

from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *ChopPlusEqvOrChopChopPlus*:

$\vdash (f;f^*) \equiv_i f \vee_i f; (f;f^*)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i f;f^*$ **by** (rule *CSEqvOrChopCS*)

from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** (rule *RightEmptyOrChopEqvRule*)

qed

lemma *CSAndEmptyEqvEmpty*:

$\vdash (f^*) \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i \text{empty}$

using *EmptyImpCS* **by** auto

lemma *NotAndMoreChopAndEmpty*:

$\vdash \neg_i(((f \wedge_i \text{more});g) \wedge_i \text{empty})$

by auto

lemma *NotChopAndMoreAndEmpty*:

$\vdash \neg_i((f;(g \wedge_i \text{more})) \wedge_i \text{empty})$

by auto

lemma *ChopCsAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*:

$\vdash ((f;f^*) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \equiv_i (f \wedge_i \text{empty})$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ((f;f^*) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \equiv_i (f \wedge_i \text{empty});(f^* \wedge_i \text{empty})$

using *ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty* **by** blast

have 2: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{empty});(f^* \wedge_i \text{empty}) \equiv_i (f \wedge_i \text{empty});\text{empty}$

using *CSAndEmptyEqvEmpty* **using** *RightChopEqvChop* **by** blast

have 3: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{empty});\text{empty} \equiv_i f \wedge_i \text{empty}$

by (rule *ChopEmpty*)

from 1 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *AndMoreChopAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop*:

$\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); g \wedge_i \text{more} \equiv_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); g$

apply *simp-all*

using *interval-prefix-length-good* **by** *auto*

lemma *ChopPlusEqv*:

$\vdash (f; f^*) \equiv_i f \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f; f^*)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$

by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)

have 2: $\vdash f^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i f; f^*$

by (*rule CSEqvOrChopCS*)

hence 3: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i f; f^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$

using 1 2 *prop21* **by** *blast*

have 4: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f^*) \equiv_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (\text{empty} \vee_i f; f^*)$

using 2 **using** *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*

hence 5: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i f; f^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (\text{empty} \vee_i f; f^*)$

using 3 4 **by** *auto*

have 6: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (\text{empty} \vee_i f; f^*) \equiv_i$

$(f \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f; f^*)$

using *ChopOrEqv* **by** *blast*

have 7: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{empty} \equiv_i f \wedge_i \text{more}$

using *ChopEmpty* **by** *blast*

have 8: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i f; f^* \equiv_i$

$\text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}) \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f; f^*)$

using 5 6 7 **by** *auto*

have 9: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i f; f^*) \wedge_i \text{more} \equiv_i f; f^* \wedge_i \text{more}$

by *auto*

have 10: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}) \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f; f^*)) \wedge_i \text{more} \equiv_i$

$((f \wedge_i \text{more}) \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f; f^*)) \wedge_i \text{more}$

by *auto*

have 11: $\vdash ((f \wedge_i \text{more}) \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f; f^*)) \wedge_i \text{more} \equiv_i$

$(f \wedge_i \text{more}) \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f; f^*)$

using *AndMoreChopAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop*

by (*metis* 10 *RightEmptyOrChopEqv* *itl-prop*(31) *itl-prop*(32) *prop15*)

have 12: $\vdash f; f^* \wedge_i \text{more} \equiv_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}) \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f; f^*)$

using 8 9 10 11 **by** *auto*

have 13: $\vdash f; f^* \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i f \wedge_i \text{empty}$

by (*rule ChopCsAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*)

have 14: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}) \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f; f^*) \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{empty}) \equiv_i$

$f \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f; f^*)$

by *auto*

have 15: $\vdash f; f^* \equiv_i (f; f^* \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i (f; f^* \wedge_i \text{more})$

by *auto*

from 11 12 13 14 15 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *ChopPlusImpChopPlus*:

assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$

shows $\vdash f;f^* \supset_i g;g^*$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i g$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

have 2: $\vdash f;f^* \equiv_i f \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f;f^*)$

by (*rule ChopPlusEqv*)

have 3: $\vdash g;g^* \equiv_i g \vee_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); (g;g^*)$

by (*rule ChopPlusEqv*)

have 4: $\vdash f;f^* \wedge_i \neg_i (g;g^*) \supset_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f;f^*)) \wedge_i \neg_i ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); (g;g^*))$

using 1 2 3 **by** *auto*

have 5: $\vdash f \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i g \wedge_i \text{more}$ **using** 1

by *auto*

have 6: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f;f^*) \supset_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); (g;g^*)$

using 5 **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)

have 7: $\vdash f;f^* \wedge_i \neg_i (g;g^*) \supset_i$

$((g \wedge_i \text{more}); (f;f^*)) \wedge_i \neg_i ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); (g;g^*))$

using 4 6 **by** *auto*

have 8: $\vdash g \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i \text{more}$

by *auto*

from 7 8 **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopContra* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *ChopChopPlusImpChopPlus*:

$\vdash f; (f;f^*) \supset_i f;f^*$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i \text{more}$ **by** *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash f \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more})$ **by** *auto*

hence 3: $\vdash f; (f;f^*) \supset_i (f;f^*) \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f;f^*)$ **by** (*rule EmptyOrChopImpRule*)

have 4: $\vdash f;f^* \equiv_i f \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f;f^*)$ **by** (*rule ChopPlusEqv*)

hence 5: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f;f^*) \supset_i f;f^*$ **by** *auto*

from 3 5 **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopPlusImpCS RightChopImpChop* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *CSImpCS*:

assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$

shows $\vdash f^* \supset_i g^*$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash f;f^* \supset_i g;g^*$ **by** (*rule ChopPlusImpChopPlus*)

hence 3: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i f;f^* \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i g;g^*$ **by** *auto*

from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *CSEqvOrChopCS prop14 prop15* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *ChopPlusIntro*:

assumes $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); f$

shows $\vdash f \supset_i g;g^*$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); f$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

have 2: $\vdash g;g^* \equiv_i g \vee_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); (g;g^*)$ **by** (rule ChopPlusEqv)
have 3: $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i (g;g^*) \supset_i$
 $(g \wedge_i \text{more}); f \wedge_i \neg_i ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); (g;g^*))$ **using** 1 2 **by** auto
have 4: $\vdash g \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i \text{more}$ **by** auto
from 3 4 **show** ?thesis **using** ChopContra **by** blast
qed

lemma ChopPlusElim:

assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$
 $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); g \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash f;f^* \supset_i g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f;f^* \equiv_i f \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f;f^*)$ **by** (rule ChopPlusEqv)
have 2: $\vdash f \supset_i g$ **using** assms **by** blast
hence 21: $\vdash \neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i f$ **by** auto
have 3: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); g \supset_i g$ **using** assms **by** blast
hence 31: $\vdash \neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); g)$ **using** prop27 **by** blast
hence 32: $\vdash f;f^* \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); g)$ **by** auto
have 33: $\vdash f;f^* \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f;f^*)$ **using** 1 21 **by** auto
have 4: $\vdash f;f^* \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i$
 $(f \wedge_i \text{more}); (f;f^*) \wedge_i \neg_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); g)$ **using** 31 33 **by** auto
have 5: $\vdash f \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i \text{more}$ **by** auto
from 4 5 **show** ?thesis **using** ChopContra **by** blast
qed

lemma ChopPlusElimWithoutMore:

assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$
 $\vdash f; g \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash f;f^* \supset_i g$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i g$ **using** assms **by** blast
have 2: $\vdash (f; g) \supset_i g$ **using** assms **by** blast
have 3: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); g \supset_i f; g$ **by** (rule AndChopA)
have 4: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); g \supset_i g$ **using** 2 3 prop02 **by** blast
from 1 4 **show** ?thesis **using** ChopPlusElim **by** blast
qed

lemma ChopPlusEqvChopPlus:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$
shows $\vdash f;f^* \equiv_i g;g^*$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i g$ **using** assms **by** auto
hence 2: $\vdash f \supset_i g$ **by** auto
hence 3: $\vdash f;f^* \supset_i g;g^*$ **by** (rule ChopPlusImpChopPlus)
have 4: $\vdash g \supset_i f$ **using** 1 **by** auto
hence 5: $\vdash g;g^* \supset_i f;f^*$ **by** (rule ChopPlusImpChopPlus)
from 3 5 **show** ?thesis **using** itl-prop(31) **by** blast
qed

lemma CSEqvCS:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$
shows $\vdash f^* \equiv_i g^*$
proof –
have $1: \vdash f \equiv_i g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence $2: \vdash f; f^* \equiv_i g; g^*$ **by** (*rule ChopPlusEqvChopPlus*)
hence $3: \vdash \text{empty} \vee_i f; f^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i g; g^*$ **by** *auto*
from 3 **show** ?thesis **using** *CSEqvOrChopCS* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *AndCSA*:
 $\vdash (f \wedge_i g)^* \supset_i f^*$
proof –
have $1: \vdash f \wedge_i g \supset_i f$ **by** *auto*
from 1 **show** ?thesis **using** *CSImpCS* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *AndCSB*:
 $\vdash (f \wedge_i g)^* \supset_i g^*$
proof –
have $1: \vdash f \wedge_i g \supset_i g$ **by** *auto*
from 1 **show** ?thesis **using** *CSImpCS* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *CSIntro*:
assumes $\vdash f \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); f$
shows $\vdash f \supset_i g^*$
proof –
have $1: \vdash f \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); f$
using *assms* **by** *auto*
have $2: \vdash \text{more} \equiv_i \neg_i \text{empty}$
by *auto*
have $3: \vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i \text{empty} \supset_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); f$
using 1 2 **by** *auto*
have $4: \vdash g^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); g^*$
by (*rule ChopstarEqv*)
hence 41: $\vdash \neg_i(\text{empty} \vee_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); g^*) \equiv_i \neg_i \text{empty} \wedge_i \neg_i((g \wedge_i \text{more}); g^*)$
using *prop20 prop21* **by** *blast*
have 411: $\vdash \neg_i \text{empty} \wedge_i \neg_i((g \wedge_i \text{more}); g^*) \equiv_i \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i((g \wedge_i \text{more}); g^*)$
using *NotEmptyEqvMore* **using** *prop06* **by** *blast*
have 42: $\vdash \neg_i(g^*) \equiv_i \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i((g \wedge_i \text{more}); g^*)$
using 4 41 411 *prop01 prop03* **by** *blast*
have 43: $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i(g^*) \supset_i f \wedge_i \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i((g \wedge_i \text{more}); g^*)$
using 42 **using** *itl-prop(31) prop12* **by** *blast*
have 44: $\vdash f \wedge_i \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i((g \wedge_i \text{more}); g^*) \supset_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); f \wedge_i \neg_i((g \wedge_i \text{more}); g^*)$
using 3 43 **by** *auto*
have 5: $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i(g^*) \supset_i$
 $(g \wedge_i \text{more}); f \wedge_i \neg_i((g \wedge_i \text{more}); g^*)$

```

    using 43 44 prop02 by auto
  have 6:  $\vdash g \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i \text{more}$ 
    by auto
  from 5 6 show ?thesis using ChopContra by blast
qed

```

```

lemma CSElimWithoutMore:
  assumes  $\vdash \text{empty} \supset_i g$ 
     $\vdash f; g \supset_i g$ 
  shows  $\vdash f^* \supset_i g$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash \text{empty} \supset_i g$  using assms by blast
  have 2:  $\vdash f; g \supset_i g$  using assms by blast
  have 3:  $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); g \supset_i f; g$  by (rule AndChopA)
  have 4:  $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); g \supset_i g$  using 2 3 prop02 by blast
  from 1 4 show ?thesis using CSElim by blast
qed

```

```

lemma ChopAssocB:
   $\vdash (f;g);h \equiv_i f;(g;h)$ 
using ChopAssoc itl-prop(30) by blast

```

```

lemma CSChopEqvChopOrRule:
  assumes  $\vdash f \equiv_i (g^*; h)$ 
  shows  $\vdash f \equiv_i (g; f) \vee_i h$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f \equiv_i (g^*; h)$  using assms by auto
  have 2:  $\vdash g^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (g; g^*)$  by (rule CSEqvOrChopCS)
  hence 3:  $\vdash g^*; h \equiv_i h \vee_i ((g; g^*); h)$  by (rule EmptyOrChopEqvRule)
  have 4:  $\vdash (g; g^*); h \equiv_i g; (g^*; h)$  by (rule ChopAssocB)
  hence 41:  $\vdash g^*; h \equiv_i h \vee_i g; (g^*; h)$  using 3 by auto
  have 5:  $\vdash g; f \equiv_i g; (g^*; h)$  using 1 by (rule RightChopEqvChop)
  hence 6:  $\vdash (g^*; h) \equiv_i h \vee_i g; f$  using 41 by auto
  hence 61:  $\vdash (g^*; h) \equiv_i (g; f) \vee_i h$  by auto
  from 1 61 show ?thesis using prop03 by blast
qed

```

```

lemma CSChopIntroRule:
  assumes  $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i h \supset_i g; f$ 
     $\vdash g \supset_i \text{more}$ 
  shows  $\vdash f \supset_i g^*; h$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i h \supset_i g; f$  using assms by blast
  have 2:  $\vdash g \supset_i \text{more}$  using assms by blast
  hence 3:  $\vdash g \supset_i g \wedge_i \text{more}$  by auto
  hence 4:  $\vdash g; f \supset_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); f$  by (rule LeftChopImpChop)
  have 5:  $\vdash f \supset_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); f \vee_i h$  using 1 4 by auto
  have 6:  $\vdash g^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); g^*$  by (rule ChopstarEqv)
  hence 7:  $\vdash (g^*); h \equiv_i h \vee_i ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); g^*); h$  by (rule EmptyOrChopEqvRule)
  have 8:  $\vdash ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); g^*); h \equiv_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); (g^*; h)$  by (rule ChopAssocB)

```

have 9: $\vdash (g^*); h \equiv_i h \vee_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); (g^*; h)$ **using** 7 8 **by** *auto*
have 10: $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i (g^*; h) \supset_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); f \wedge_i \neg_i ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); (g^*; h))$ **using** 5 9 **by** *auto*
have 11: $\vdash g \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i \text{more}$ **by** *auto*
from 10 11 **show** ?thesis **using** *ChopContra* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *DiamondAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*:
 $\vdash \Diamond f \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i f \wedge_i \text{empty}$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \Diamond f \wedge_i \text{empty} \supset_i f \wedge_i \text{empty}$ **by** *auto*
have 2: $\vdash f \wedge_i \text{empty} \supset_i \Diamond f \wedge_i \text{empty}$ **by** *auto*
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **using** *itl-prop(31)* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*:
 $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i w \wedge_i \text{empty}$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i (w \wedge_i \text{empty}); \text{true}_i \wedge_i \text{empty}$ **by** *auto*
have 2: $\vdash (w \wedge_i \text{empty}); \text{true}_i \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i (w \wedge_i \text{empty}); (\text{true}_i \wedge_i \text{empty})$ **using** *ChopAndEmptyEqvEmptyChopEmpty* **by** *auto*
have 3: $\vdash (w \wedge_i \text{empty}); (\text{true}_i \wedge_i \text{empty}) \equiv_i (w \wedge_i \text{empty}); \text{empty}$ **using** *RightChopEqvChop itl-prop(17)* **by** *blast*
have 4: $\vdash (w \wedge_i \text{empty}); \text{empty} \equiv_i w \wedge_i \text{empty}$ **using** *ChopEmpty* **by** *blast*
from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *InitAndNotBoxInitImpNotEmpty*:
 $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge_i \neg_i (\Box (\text{init } w)) \supset_i \neg_i \text{empty}$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i w \wedge_i \text{empty}$ **by** (rule *InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*)
have 2: $\vdash \neg_i (\Box (\text{init } w)) \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i \Diamond \neg_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}$ **by** *auto*
have 3: $\vdash \Diamond \neg_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i \neg_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}$ **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash \neg_i (\text{init } w) \equiv_i (\text{init } \neg_i w)$ **by** *auto*
have 5: $\vdash \neg_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i \neg_i w \wedge_i \text{empty}$ **using** 4 *InitAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty* **by** *auto*
have 6: $\vdash \neg_i (\Box (\text{init } w)) \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i \neg_i w \wedge_i \text{empty}$ **using** 2 3 5 *prop03* **by** *blast*
have 7: $\vdash \neg_i (\text{init } w \wedge_i \neg_i (\Box (\text{init } w)) \wedge_i \text{empty})$ **using** 1 6 **by** *auto*
from 7 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *BoxImpTrueChopAndEmpty*:
 $\vdash \Box f \supset_i \text{true}_i; (f \wedge_i \text{empty})$
by *auto*

lemma *BoxInitAndMoreImpBoxInitAndMoreAndFinInit*:
 $\vdash \Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more}) \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } w)$
proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{fin} (\text{init } w) \equiv_i \text{true}_i ; (\text{init } w \wedge_i \text{empty})$ **using** *FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash \Box (\text{init } w) \supset_i \text{true}_i ; (\text{init } w \wedge_i \text{empty})$ **by** (rule *BoxImpTrueChopAndEmpty*)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *CSImpBox*:

assumes $\vdash f \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more}) ; f$
shows $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge_i f \supset_i \Box (\text{init } w)$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more}) ; f$
using *assms* **by** *auto*
have 2: $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge_i \neg_i (\Box (\text{init } w)) \supset_i \neg_i \text{empty}$
by (rule *InitAndNotBoxInitImpNotEmpty*)
have 3: $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge_i f \wedge_i \neg_i (\Box (\text{init } w)) \supset_i (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more}) ; f$
using 1 2 **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash \Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more}) \wedge_i \text{fin} (\text{init } w)$
by (rule *BoxInitAndMoreImpBoxInitAndMoreAndFinInit*)
hence 5: $\vdash (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more}) ; f \supset_i ((\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more}) \wedge_i \text{fin} (\text{init } w)) ; f$
by (rule *LeftChopImpChop*)
have 6: $\vdash ((\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more}) \wedge_i \text{fin} (\text{init } w)) ; f \equiv_i$
 $(\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more}) ; (\text{init } w \wedge_i f)$
by (rule *AndFinChopEqvStateAndChop*)
have 7: $\vdash \neg_i (\Box (\text{init } w)) \supset_i (\Box (\text{init } w)) \text{yields} \neg_i (\Box (\text{init } w))$
by (rule *NotBoxStateImpBoxYieldsNotBox*)
have 8: $\vdash (\Box (\text{init } w)) \text{yields} \neg_i (\Box (\text{init } w)) \supset_i$
 $(\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more}) \text{yields} \neg_i (\Box (\text{init } w))$
by (rule *AndYieldsA*)
have 9: $\vdash (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more}) ; (\text{init } w \wedge_i f) \wedge_i (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more}) \text{yields} \neg_i (\Box (\text{init } w))$
 \supset_i
 $(\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more}) ; ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f) \wedge_i \neg_i (\Box (\text{init } w)))$
by (rule *ChopAndYieldsImp*)
have 10: $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge_i f) \wedge_i \neg_i (\Box (\text{init } w)) \supset_i$
 $(\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more}) ; ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f) \wedge_i \neg_i (\Box (\text{init } w)))$
using 3 5 6 7 8 9 **by** *auto*
have 11: $\vdash (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more}) ; ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f) \wedge_i \neg_i (\Box (\text{init } w))) \supset_i$
 $\text{more} ; ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f) \wedge_i \neg_i (\Box (\text{init } w)))$
by (rule *AndChopB*)
have 12: $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge_i f) \wedge_i \neg_i (\Box (\text{init } w)) \supset_i$
 $\text{more} ; ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f) \wedge_i \neg_i (\Box (\text{init } w)))$
using 10 11 **by** *auto*
from 12 **show** ?thesis **using** *MoreChopContra* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *BoxCSEqvBox*:

$\vdash \text{init } w \wedge_i (\Box (\text{init } w))^* \equiv_i \Box (\text{init } w)$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash (\Box (\text{init } w))^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more}) ; (\Box (\text{init } w))^*$
by (rule *ChopstarEqv*)
hence 2: $\vdash (\Box (\text{init } w))^* \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more}) ; (\Box (\text{init } w))^*$
using *itl-prop(31)* **by** *blast*

hence 3: $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge_i (\Box (\text{init } w))^* \supset_i \Box (\text{init } w)$
 by (rule CSImpBox)
 have 11: $\vdash \Box (\text{init } w) \supset_i (\text{init } w)$
 by auto
 have 12: $\vdash \Box (\text{init } w) \supset_i (\Box (\text{init } w))^*$
 by (rule ImpCS)
 have 13: $\vdash \Box (\text{init } w) \supset_i \text{init } w \wedge_i (\Box (\text{init } w))^*$
 using 11 12 using itl-prop(32) by blast
 from 3 13 show ?thesis using itl-prop(31) by blast
 qed

lemma BoxStateAndCSEqvCS:

$\vdash \Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f^* \equiv_i \text{init } w \wedge_i (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f)^*$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \Box (\text{init } w) \supset_i \text{init } w$ by auto
 have 2: $\vdash f^* \wedge_i \text{more} \equiv_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$ by (rule CSAndMoreEqvAndMoreChop)
 have 3: $\vdash \Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*) \equiv_i$
 $(\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f \wedge_i \text{more}); (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f^*)$ by (rule BoxStateAndChopEqvChop)
 have 4: $\vdash \Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f) \wedge_i \text{more}$ by auto
 hence 5: $\vdash (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f \wedge_i \text{more}); (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f^*) \supset_i$
 $((\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f) \wedge_i \text{more}); (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f^*)$ by (rule LeftChopImpChop)
 have 6: $\vdash (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f^*) \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i$
 $((\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f) \wedge_i \text{more}); (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f^*)$ using 2 3 5 by auto
 hence 7: $\vdash \Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f^* \supset_i (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f)^*$ by (rule CSIntro)
 have 71: $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge_i \Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f^* \supset_i \text{init } w \wedge_i (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f)^*$ using 7 prop12 by blast
 have 8: $\vdash \Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f^* \supset_i \text{init } w \wedge_i (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f)^*$ using 1 71 prop37 by blast
 have 11: $\vdash (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f)^* \supset_i (\Box (\text{init } w))^*$ by (rule AndCSA)
 have 12: $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge_i (\Box (\text{init } w))^* \equiv_i \Box (\text{init } w)$ by (rule BoxCSEqvBox)
 have 13: $\vdash (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f)^* \supset_i f^*$ by (rule AndCSB)
 have 14: $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge_i (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f)^* \supset_i \text{init } w \wedge_i (\Box (\text{init } w))^* \wedge_i f^*$ using 11 13 by auto
 have 15: $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge_i (\Box (\text{init } w))^* \wedge_i f^* \supset_i \Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f^*$ using 12 by auto
 have 16: $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge_i (\Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f)^* \supset_i \Box (\text{init } w) \wedge_i f^*$ using 14 15 prop02 by blast
 from 8 16 show ?thesis using itl-prop(31) by blast
 qed

lemma BaCSImpCS:

$\vdash \text{ba } (f \supset_i g) \supset_i f^* \supset_i g^*$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$
 by (rule ChopstarEqv)
 have 2: $\vdash g^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); g^*$
 by (rule ChopstarEqv)
 have 21: $\vdash \neg_i(g^*) \equiv_i \neg_i \text{empty} \wedge_i \neg_i((g \wedge_i \text{more}); g^*)$
 using 2 prop20 by blast
 hence 22: $\vdash \neg_i(g^*) \equiv_i \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i((g \wedge_i \text{more}); g^*)$
 by (meson NotCSImpMore itl-prop(31) itl-prop(32) prop18 NotEmptyEqvMore)
 have 3: $\vdash f^* \wedge_i \neg_i(g^*) \supset_i$
 $(\text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*) \wedge_i \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i((g \wedge_i \text{more}); g^*)$
 using 1 22 prop22 by blast
 have 31: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*) \wedge_i \text{more} \equiv_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \wedge_i \text{more}$

by auto
 have 32: $\vdash f^* \wedge_i \neg_i (g^*) \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \wedge_i \neg_i ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); g^*)$
 using 3 31 by auto
 have 4: $\vdash (f \supset_i g) \supset_i (f \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i g \wedge_i \text{more})$
 by auto
 hence 5: $\vdash ba (f \supset_i g) \supset_i ba (f \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i g \wedge_i \text{more})$
 by (rule BaImpBa)
 have 6: $\vdash ba (f \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i g \wedge_i \text{more}) \supset_i$
 $(f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \supset_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$
 by (rule BaLeftChopImpChop)
 have 7: $\vdash ba (f \supset_i g) \wedge_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \supset_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); f^*$
 using 5 6 by auto
 have 8: $\vdash (g \wedge_i \text{more}); f^* \wedge_i \neg_i ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); g^*)$
 $\supset_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); (f^* \wedge_i \neg_i (g^*))$
 by (rule ChopAndNotChopImp)
 have 9: $\vdash (g \wedge_i \text{more}); (f^* \wedge_i \neg_i (g^*)) \supset_i \text{more}; (f^* \wedge_i \neg_i (g^*))$
 by (rule AndChopB)
 have 10: $\vdash ba (f \supset_i g) \supset_i \text{more}; (f^* \wedge_i \neg_i (g^*)) \supset_i$
 $\text{more}; (ba (f \supset_i g) \wedge_i f^* \wedge_i \neg_i (g^*))$
 by (rule BaChopImpChopBa)
 have 11: $\vdash ba (f \supset_i g) \wedge_i f^* \wedge_i \neg_i (g^*) \supset_i$
 $\text{more}; (ba (f \supset_i g) \wedge_i f^* \wedge_i \neg_i (g^*))$
 using 32 7 8 9 10 by auto
 hence 12: $\vdash \neg_i ((ba (f \supset_i g)) \wedge_i (f^*) \wedge_i (\neg_i (g^*)))$
 using MoreChopLoop by blast
 from 12 show ?thesis using prop04 MP itl-prop(31) by blast
 qed

lemma BaCSEqvCS:

$\vdash ba (f \equiv_i g) \supset_i (f^* \equiv_i g^*)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash ba (f \equiv_i g) \equiv_i ba (f \supset_i g) \wedge_i ba (g \supset_i f)$ by auto
 have 2: $\vdash ba (f \supset_i g) \supset_i (f^* \supset_i g^*)$ by (rule BaCSImpCS)
 have 3: $\vdash ba (g \supset_i f) \supset_i (g^* \supset_i f^*)$ by (rule BaCSImpCS)
 have 4: $\vdash ba (f \equiv_i g) \supset_i (f^* \supset_i g^*) \wedge_i (g^* \supset_i f^*)$ using 1 2 3 by auto
 have 5: $\vdash (f^* \supset_i g^*) \wedge_i (g^* \supset_i f^*) \equiv_i (f^* \equiv_i g^*)$ by auto
 from 4 5 show ?thesis by auto

qed

lemma BaAndCSImport:

$\vdash ba f \wedge_i g^* \supset_i (f \wedge_i g)^*$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i (g \supset_i f \wedge_i g)$ by auto
 hence 2: $\vdash ba f \supset_i ba (g \supset_i f \wedge_i g)$ by (rule BaImpBa)
 have 3: $\vdash ba (g \supset_i f \wedge_i g) \supset_i g^* \supset_i (f \wedge_i g)^*$ by (rule BaCSImpCS)
 from 2 3 show ?thesis by auto

qed

lemma CSSkip:

$\vdash \text{skip}^*$

by (metis ChopPlusImpCS EmptyImpCS EmptyNextInducta next-d-def)

5.8 Properties of While

lemma *WhileEqvIf*:

$\vdash \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \equiv_i \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (f; (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f)) \text{ else empty}$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \equiv_i ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i f)^* \wedge_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w)$

by (simp add: while-d-def)

have 2: $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f); (\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^*)$

by (rule CSEqvOrChopCS)

have 21: $\vdash ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i f)^* \wedge_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w) \equiv_i$

$(\text{empty} \vee_i ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f); (\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^*)) \wedge_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w)$

using 2 prop06 **by** blast

have 22: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f); (\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^*)) \wedge_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w) \equiv_i$

$(\text{empty} \wedge_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w)) \vee_i (((\text{init } w \wedge_i f); (\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^*) \wedge_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w))$

by auto

have 3: $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w) \equiv_i \neg_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}$

using AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty EmptyChop prop03 **by** blast

have 4: $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge_i f); (\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^* \equiv_i \text{init } w \wedge_i (f; (\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^*)$

by (rule StateAndChop)

have 41: $\vdash ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f); (\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^*) \wedge_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w) \equiv_i$

$\text{init } w \wedge_i (f; (\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^*) \wedge_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w)$

using 4 **by** auto

have 42: $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge_i (f; (\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^*) \wedge_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w) \equiv_i$

$\text{init } w \wedge_i (f; (\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^*) \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } \neg_i w)$

by (simp)

have 5: $\vdash (f; ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^*)) \wedge_i (\text{fin } (\text{init } \neg_i w))$

$\equiv_i (f; ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^* \wedge_i (\text{fin } (\text{init } \neg_i w))))$

by (rule ChopAndFin)

have 51: $\vdash (f; ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^* \wedge_i (\text{fin } (\text{init } \neg_i w)))) \equiv_i$

$(f; ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^* \wedge_i (\text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w))))$

by (simp)

have 52: $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge_i (f; (\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^*) \wedge_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w) \equiv_i$

$\text{init } w \wedge_i (f; ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^* \wedge_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w)))$

using 42 5 51 **by** auto

have 6: $\vdash f; ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^* \wedge_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w)) \equiv_i f; \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f$

by (simp add: while-d-def)

have 61: $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge_i (f; ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^* \wedge_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w))) \equiv_i$

$\text{init } w \wedge_i (f; \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f) \text{ using } 6$

by auto

have 62: $\vdash (\text{empty} \wedge_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w)) \vee_i (((\text{init } w \wedge_i f); (\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^*) \wedge_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w))$

$\equiv_i (\neg_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i (\text{init } w \wedge_i (f; \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f))$

using 21 22 3 4 52 61 **by** auto

have 7: $\vdash \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f$

$\equiv_i (\neg_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i (\text{init } w \wedge_i (f; \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f))$

using 1 21 22 62 prop03 **by** blast

have 71: $\vdash \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (f; (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f)) \text{ else empty} \equiv_i$

$(\neg_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i (\text{init } w \wedge_i (f; \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f))$

by auto

from 7 71 show ?thesis using itl-prop(30) prop03 by blast
qed

lemma WhileChopEqvIf:

$\vdash (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f); g \equiv_i \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (f; ((\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f); g)) \text{ else } g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \equiv_i$
 $\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (f; (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f)) \text{ else } \text{empty}$
by (rule WhileEqvIf)

hence 2: $\vdash (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f); g \equiv_i$
 $\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } ((f; \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f); g) \text{ else } (\text{empty} ; g)$
by (rule IfChopEqvRule)

have 3: $\vdash \text{empty} ; g \equiv_i g$
by (rule EmptyChop)

have 4: $\vdash \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } ((f; \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f); g) \text{ else } (\text{empty} ; g) \equiv_i$
 $\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } ((f; \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f); g) \text{ else } g$
using 3 **by** (simp add: ifthenelse-d-def)

have 5: $\vdash ((f; \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f); g) \equiv_i (f; (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f ; g))$
by (rule ChopAssocB)

have 6: $\vdash \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } ((f; \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f); g) \text{ else } g \equiv_i$
 $\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (f; ((\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f); g)) \text{ else } g$
using 5 **by** (simp add: ifthenelse-d-def)

from 1 2 4 6 show ?thesis using prop02 prop03 by blast
qed

lemma WhileChopEqvIfRule:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g); h$

shows $\vdash f \equiv_i \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (g; f) \text{ else } h$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g); h$
using assms **by** auto

have 2: $\vdash (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g); h \equiv_i$
 $\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (g; ((\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g); h)) \text{ else } h$
by (rule WhileChopEqvIf)

have 3: $\vdash (g; f) \equiv_i (g; ((\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g); h))$
using 1 **by** (rule RightChopEqvChop)

have 4: $\vdash (g; ((\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g); h)) \equiv_i (g; f)$
using 3 **by** auto

have 5: $\vdash \text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (g; ((\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g); h)) \text{ else } h \equiv_i$
 $\text{if}_i (\text{init } w) \text{ then } (g; f) \text{ else } h$
using 4 **by** (simp add: ifthenelse-d-def)

from 1 2 5 show ?thesis using prop03 by blast
qed

lemma WhileImpFin:

$\vdash \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \supset_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^* \wedge_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w) \supset_i \text{fin } \neg_i (\text{init } w)$ **by** auto

from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: while-d-def)

qed

lemma *WhileEqvEmptyOrChopWhile*:

$\vdash \text{while } (init \ w) \ \text{do } f \equiv_i (\neg_i (init \ w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i (init \ w \wedge_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (init \ w) \ \text{do } f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (init \ w \wedge_i f)^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i ((init \ w \wedge_i f) \wedge_i \text{more}); (init \ w \wedge_i f)^*$

by (rule *ChopstarEqv*)

have 2: $\vdash (init \ w \wedge_i f) \wedge_i \text{more} \equiv_i init \ w \wedge_i (f \wedge_i \text{more})$

by *auto*

hence 3: $\vdash ((init \ w \wedge_i f) \wedge_i \text{more}); (init \ w \wedge_i f)^* \equiv_i (init \ w \wedge_i f \wedge_i \text{more}); (init \ w \wedge_i f)^*$

by (rule *LeftChopEqvChop*)

have 4: $\vdash (init \ w \wedge_i f)^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (init \ w \wedge_i f \wedge_i \text{more}); (init \ w \wedge_i f)^*$

using 1 3 **by** (meson *EmptyImpCS itl-prop(31) prop30 prop19 prop02 prop14*)

have 5: $\vdash (init \ w \wedge_i f)^* \wedge_i \text{fin} \neg_i (init \ w) \equiv_i$

$(\text{empty} \wedge_i \text{fin} \neg_i (init \ w)) \vee_i$

$((init \ w \wedge_i f \wedge_i \text{more}); (init \ w \wedge_i f)^* \wedge_i \text{fin} \neg_i (init \ w))$

using 1 *prop23* **using** 4 **by** *blast*

have 6: $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i \text{fin} \neg_i (init \ w) \equiv_i \neg_i (init \ w) \wedge_i \text{empty}$

using *AndFinEqvChopAndEmpty EmptyChop prop03* **by** *blast*

have 7: $\vdash (init \ w \wedge_i f \wedge_i \text{more}); (init \ w \wedge_i f)^* \equiv_i init \ w \wedge_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (init \ w \wedge_i f)^*$

by (rule *StateAndChop*)

have 8: $\vdash ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); (init \ w \wedge_i f)^*) \wedge_i \text{fin} (init \neg_i w) \equiv_i$

$(f \wedge_i \text{more}); ((init \ w \wedge_i f)^* \wedge_i \text{fin} (init \neg_i w))$

by (rule *ChopAndFin*)

have 81: $\vdash \text{fin} (init \neg_i w) \equiv_i \text{fin} \neg_i (init \ w)$

using *FinEqvFin Initprop(2) itl-prop(30)* **by** *blast*

have 82: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more}); (init \ w \wedge_i f)^* \wedge_i \text{fin} \neg_i (init \ w) \equiv_i$

$(f \wedge_i \text{more}); ((init \ w \wedge_i f)^* \wedge_i \text{fin} \neg_i (init \ w))$

using 8 81 **by** *auto*

have 9: $\vdash (init \ w \wedge_i f)^* \wedge_i \text{fin} \neg_i (init \ w) \equiv_i$

$(\neg_i (init \ w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i$

$(init \ w \wedge_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); ((init \ w \wedge_i f)^* \wedge_i \text{fin} \neg_i (init \ w)))$

using 5 6 7 82 *prop24* **by** *blast*

from 9 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis while-d-def*)

qed

lemma *WhileIntro*:

assumes $\vdash \neg_i (init \ w) \wedge_i f \supset_i \text{empty}$

$\vdash init \ w \wedge_i f \supset_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); f$

shows $\vdash f \supset_i \text{while } (init \ w) \ \text{do } g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \neg_i (init \ w) \wedge_i f \supset_i \text{empty}$

using *assms* **by** *blast*

have 2: $\vdash init \ w \wedge_i f \supset_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); f$

using *assms* **by** *blast*

have 3: $\vdash \text{while } (init \ w) \ \text{do } g \equiv_i$

$(\neg_i (init \ w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i (init \ w \wedge_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (init \ w) \ \text{do } g)$

by (rule *WhileEqvEmptyOrChopWhile*)

hence 31: $\vdash \neg_i (\text{while } (init \ w) \ \text{do } g) \equiv_i$

$\neg_i (\neg_i (init \ w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i (init \ w \wedge_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (init \ w) \ \text{do } g))$

using *itl-prop(33)* **by** *blast*

hence 32: $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g) \equiv_i$
 $f \wedge_i \neg_i ((\neg_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i (\text{init } w \wedge_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g))$
 using prop05 by blast
 have 33: $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i ((\neg_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i (\text{init } w \wedge_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g)) \equiv_i$
 $f \wedge_i \neg_i (\neg_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \wedge_i \neg_i (\text{init } w \wedge_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g)$
 by auto
 have 34: $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i (\neg_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \wedge_i \neg_i (\text{init } w \wedge_i (g \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g) \equiv_i$
 $f \wedge_i ((\text{init } w) \vee_i \text{more}) \wedge_i (\neg_i (\text{init } w) \vee_i \neg_i ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g))$
 by auto
 have 35: $\vdash f \wedge_i ((\text{init } w) \vee_i \text{more}) \wedge_i (\neg_i (\text{init } w) \vee_i \neg_i ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g)) \equiv_i$
 $(f \wedge_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \neg_i ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g)) \vee_i$
 $(f \wedge_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \neg_i (\text{init } w)) \vee_i$
 $(f \wedge_i \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g)) \vee_i$
 $(f \wedge_i \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i (\text{init } w))$
 by auto
 have 36: $\vdash \neg_i (f \wedge_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \neg_i (\text{init } w))$
 by auto
 have 37: $\vdash \neg_i (f \wedge_i \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i (\text{init } w))$
 using 1 by auto
 have 38: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g)) \supset_i$
 $((g \wedge_i \text{more}); f \wedge_i \neg_i ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g))$
 using 1 2 by auto
 have 39: $\vdash (f \wedge_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \neg_i ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g)) \supset_i$
 $((g \wedge_i \text{more}); f \wedge_i \neg_i ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g))$
 using 2 by auto
 have 40: $\vdash ((f \wedge_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \neg_i ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g)) \vee_i$
 $(f \wedge_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \neg_i (\text{init } w)) \vee_i$
 $(f \wedge_i \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g)) \vee_i$
 $(f \wedge_i \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i (\text{init } w))) \supset_i$
 $(g \wedge_i \text{more}); f \wedge_i \neg_i ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g)$
 using 39 38 37 38 by auto
 have 4: $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g) \supset_i$
 $(g \wedge_i \text{more}); f \wedge_i \neg_i ((g \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g)$
 using 32 33 34 35 40 by auto
 have 5: $\vdash g \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i \text{more}$
 by auto
 from 4 5 show ?thesis using ChopContra by blast
 qed

lemma WhileElim:

assumes $\vdash \neg_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty} \supset_i g$

$\vdash \text{init } w \wedge_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); g \supset_i g$

shows $\vdash \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \supset_i g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f \equiv_i$

$(\neg_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i (\text{init } w \wedge_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f)$

by (rule WhileEqvEmptyOrChopWhile)

hence 11: $\vdash (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f) \wedge_i \neg_i g \equiv_i$

$((\neg_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i (\text{init } w \wedge_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f)) \wedge_i \neg_i g$

using prop06 by blast

have 2: $\vdash \neg_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty} \supset_i g$
using *assms* **by** *blast*
hence 21: $\vdash \neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i (\neg_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty})$
by *auto*
have 22: $\vdash ((\neg_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i (\text{init } w \wedge_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f)) \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i$
 $(\text{init } w \wedge_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f)$
using 21 **by** *auto*
have 23: $\vdash (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f) \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i$
 $(\text{init } w \wedge_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f) \wedge_i \neg_i g$
using 11 21 **by** *auto*
have 3: $\vdash (\text{init } w) \wedge_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); g) \supset_i g$
using *assms* **by** *blast*
hence 31: $\vdash \neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i ((\text{init } w) \wedge_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); g))$
using *prop27* **by** *blast*
have 32: $\vdash (\text{init } w \wedge_i (f \wedge_i \text{more}); \text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f) \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i$
 $((f \wedge_i \text{more}); (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f)) \wedge_i \neg_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); g) \wedge_i \neg_i g$
using 31 **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f) \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i$
 $((f \wedge_i \text{more}); (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f)) \wedge_i \neg_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more}); g)$
using 23 32 **by** *auto*
have 5: $\vdash f \wedge_i \text{more} \supset_i \text{more}$
by *auto*
from 4 5 **show** *?thesis* **using** *ChopContra* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *BaWhileImpWhile*:

$\vdash \text{ba } (f \supset_i g) \supset_i (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f) \supset_i (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \supset_i g) \supset_i ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f) \supset_i (\text{init } w \wedge_i g))$
by *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \text{ba } (f \supset_i g) \supset_i \text{ba } ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f) \supset_i (\text{init } w \wedge_i g))$
by (*rule BaImpBa*)
have 3: $\vdash \text{ba } ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f) \supset_i (\text{init } w \wedge_i g)) \supset_i ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^* \supset_i (\text{init } w \wedge_i g)^*)$
by (*rule BaCSImpCS*)
have 4: $\vdash \text{ba } (f \supset_i g) \supset_i ((\text{init } w \wedge_i f)^* \wedge_i \text{fin} \neg_i (\text{init } w) \supset_i (\text{init } w \wedge_i g)^* \wedge_i \text{fin} \neg_i (\text{init } w))$
using 2 3 **by** *auto*
from 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: while-d-def*)
qed

lemma *WhileImpWhile*:

assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$

shows $\vdash (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f) \supset_i (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i g$
using *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \text{ba } (f \supset_i g)$
by (*rule BaGen*)
have 3: $\vdash \text{ba } (f \supset_i g) \supset_i (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } f) \supset_i (\text{while } (\text{init } w) \text{ do } g)$
by (*rule BaWhileImpWhile*)
from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *MP* **by** *blast*

qed

5.9 Properties of Halt

lemma *WnextAndMoreEqvNext*:

$\vdash \text{wnext } f \wedge_i \text{ more} \equiv_i \bigcirc f$

by *auto*

lemma *BoxStateAndEmptyEqvStateAndEmpty*:

$\vdash \Box(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w)) \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}$

apply *simp-all*

by *auto*

lemma *BoxEmptyEqvIStateqvEmptyAndStateOrNotStateNext*:

$\vdash \Box(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w)) \equiv_i (\text{empty} \wedge_i \text{init } w) \vee_i (\neg_i(\text{init } w) \wedge_i \bigcirc(\Box(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w))))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \Box(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w)) \equiv_i$

$(\Box(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w)) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i (\Box(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w)) \wedge_i \text{more})$

by *auto*

have 2: $\vdash \Box(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w)) \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty}$

using *BoxStateAndEmptyEqvStateAndEmpty* **by** *blast*

have 3: $\vdash \Box(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w)) \equiv_i (\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w)) \wedge_i \text{wnext}(\Box(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w)))$

using *BoxEqvAndWnextBox* **by** *blast*

hence 4: $\vdash \Box(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w)) \wedge_i \text{more} \equiv_i$

$(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w)) \wedge_i \text{wnext}(\Box(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w))) \wedge_i \text{more}$

by *auto*

have 5: $\vdash (\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w)) \wedge_i \text{more} \equiv_i \neg_i(\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{more}$

by *auto*

have 6: $\vdash \text{wnext}(\Box(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w))) \wedge_i \text{more} \equiv_i \bigcirc(\Box(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w)))$

using *WnextAndMoreEqvNext* **by** *auto*

from 1 2 4 5 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *HaltStateEqvIfStateThenEmptyElseNext*:

$\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \equiv_i \text{if}_i(\text{init } w) \text{ then empty else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w)))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{halt}(\text{init } w) \equiv_i \Box(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w))$

by (*simp add: halt-d-def*)

have 2: $\vdash \Box(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w)) \equiv_i$

$(\text{empty} \wedge_i \text{init } w) \vee_i (\neg_i(\text{init } w) \wedge_i \bigcirc(\Box(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w))))$

by (*rule BoxEmptyEqvIStateqvEmptyAndStateOrNotStateNext*)

have 21: $\vdash (\text{empty} \wedge_i \text{init } w) \vee_i (\neg_i(\text{init } w) \wedge_i \bigcirc(\Box(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w)))) \equiv_i$

$(\text{init } w \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i (\neg_i(\text{init } w) \wedge_i \bigcirc(\Box(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w))))$

by *auto*

have 22: $\vdash \bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w)) \equiv_i \bigcirc(\Box(\text{empty} \equiv_i (\text{init } w)))$

using *NextEqvNext* **using** 1 **by** *blast*

have 3: $\vdash \text{if}_i(\text{init } w) \text{ then empty else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w))) \equiv_i$

$(\text{init } w \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i (\neg_i(\text{init } w) \wedge_i \bigcirc(\text{halt}(\text{init } w)))$

by (*simp add: ifthenelse-d-def*)

from 1 2 21 22 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: halt-d-def*)

qed

lemma *HaltChopEqv*:

$\vdash ((\text{halt } (init\ w)); f) \equiv_i (\text{if}_i (init\ w) \text{ then } (f) \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt } (init\ w)); f)))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{halt}(init\ w) \equiv_i$
 $(\text{if}_i (init\ w) \text{ then } \text{empty} \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt } (init\ w))))$

by (rule *HaltStateEqvIfStateThenEmptyElseNext*)

hence 2: $\vdash ((\text{halt}(init\ w)); f) \equiv_i$
 $(\text{if}_i (init\ w) \text{ then } (\text{empty}; f) \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt } (init\ w)); f)))$

by (rule *IfChopEqvRule*)

have 3: $\vdash \text{empty}; f \equiv_i f$

by (rule *EmptyChop*)

have 4: $\vdash (\bigcirc(\text{halt } (init\ w))); f \equiv_i \bigcirc(\text{halt } (init\ w)); f$

by (rule *NextChop*)

from 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **using** prop07 prop03 **by** blast

qed

lemma *AndHaltChopImp*:

$\vdash init\ w \wedge_i (\text{halt } (init\ w)); f \supset_i f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{halt } (init\ w); f \equiv_i \text{if}_i (init\ w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt } (init\ w)); f))$
by (rule *HaltChopEqv*)

have 2: $\vdash init\ w \wedge_i \text{if}_i (init\ w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt } (init\ w)); f) \supset_i f$
by (simp add: *ifthenelse-d-def* and-*d-def*)

from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *NotAndHaltChopImpNext*:

$\vdash \neg_i (init\ w) \wedge_i (\text{halt } (init\ w); f) \supset_i \bigcirc(\text{halt } (init\ w); f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{halt } (init\ w); f \equiv_i \text{if}_i (init\ w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt } (init\ w)); f))$
by (rule *HaltChopEqv*)

have 2: $\vdash \neg_i (init\ w) \wedge_i \text{if}_i (init\ w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\bigcirc(\text{halt } (init\ w)); f) \supset_i$
 $\bigcirc(\text{halt } (init\ w); f)$

by auto

from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *NotAndHaltChopImpSkipYields*:

$\vdash \neg_i (init\ w) \wedge_i (\text{halt } (init\ w); f) \supset_i \text{skip yields } (\text{halt } (init\ w); f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \neg_i (init\ w) \wedge_i (\text{halt } (init\ w); f) \supset_i \bigcirc(\text{halt } (init\ w); f)$
by (rule *NotAndHaltChopImpNext*)

have 2: $\vdash \bigcirc(\text{halt } (init\ w); f) \supset_i \text{skip yields } (\text{halt } (init\ w); f)$
by (rule *NextImpSkipYields*)

from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *TrueChopAndEmptyEqvChopAndEmpty*:

$\vdash (true_i; (f \wedge_i empty)) \wedge_i g \equiv_i (g; (f \wedge_i empty))$
by *force*

lemma *WprevEqvEmptyOrPrev*:
 $\vdash wprev\ f \equiv_i empty \vee_i prev\ f$
by *auto*

lemma *NotChopSkipEqvMoreAndNotChopSkip*:
 $\vdash (\neg_i f); skip \equiv_i more \wedge_i \neg_i (f; skip)$
using *WprevEqvEmptyOrPrev*
by (*metis (full-types) and-d-def empty-d-def*
itl-prop(30) itl-prop(33) itl-prop(4) prev-d-def prop03 prop28 wprev-d-def)

lemma *HaltChopImpNotHaltChopNot*:
 $\vdash halt\ (init\ w); f \supset_i \neg_i (halt\ (init\ w); \neg_i f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash halt\ (init\ w); f \equiv_i if_i\ (init\ w)\ then\ f\ else\ (\bigcirc(halt\ (init\ w); f))$
by (*rule HaltChopEqv*)
have 2: $\vdash if_i\ (init\ w)\ then\ f\ else\ (\bigcirc(halt\ (init\ w); f)) \supset_i$
 $(((init\ w) \supset_i f) \wedge_i (\neg_i (init\ w) \supset_i (\bigcirc(halt\ (init\ w); f))))$
by (*rule prop11*)
have 3: $\vdash halt\ (init\ w); \neg_i f \equiv_i$
 $if_i\ (init\ w)\ then\ \neg_i f\ else\ (\bigcirc(halt\ (init\ w); \neg_i f))$
by (*rule HaltChopEqv*)
have 4: $\vdash if_i\ (init\ w)\ then\ \neg_i f\ else\ (\bigcirc(halt\ (init\ w); \neg_i f)) \supset_i$
 $(((init\ w) \supset_i \neg_i f) \wedge_i (\neg_i (init\ w) \supset_i (\bigcirc(halt\ (init\ w); \neg_i f))))$
by (*rule prop11*)
have 5: $\vdash halt\ (init\ w); f \wedge_i halt\ (init\ w); \neg_i f \supset_i$
 $((init\ w) \supset_i f) \wedge_i (\neg_i (init\ w) \supset_i (\bigcirc(halt\ (init\ w); f))) \wedge_i$
 $((init\ w) \supset_i \neg_i f) \wedge_i (\neg_i (init\ w) \supset_i (\bigcirc(halt\ (init\ w); \neg_i f)))$
using 1 2 3 4 **by** *auto*
have 6: $\vdash ((init\ w) \supset_i f) \wedge_i (\neg_i (init\ w) \supset_i (\bigcirc(halt\ (init\ w); f))) \wedge_i$
 $((init\ w) \supset_i \neg_i f) \wedge_i (\neg_i (init\ w) \supset_i (\bigcirc(halt\ (init\ w); \neg_i f))) \supset_i$
 $(\bigcirc(halt\ (init\ w); f)) \wedge_i (\bigcirc(halt\ (init\ w); \neg_i f))$
by *auto*
have 7: $\vdash halt\ (init\ w); f \wedge_i halt\ (init\ w); \neg_i f \supset_i$
 $(\bigcirc(halt\ (init\ w); f)) \wedge_i (\bigcirc(halt\ (init\ w); \neg_i f))$
using 5 6 *prop02* **by** *blast*
have 8: $\vdash (\bigcirc(halt\ (init\ w); f)) \wedge_i (\bigcirc(halt\ (init\ w); \neg_i f)) \equiv_i$
 $\bigcirc(halt\ (init\ w); f \wedge_i halt\ (init\ w); \neg_i f)$
by *auto*
have 9: $\vdash halt\ (init\ w); f \wedge_i halt\ (init\ w); \neg_i f \supset_i$
 $\bigcirc(halt\ (init\ w); f \wedge_i halt\ (init\ w); \neg_i f)$
using 7 8 *itl-prop(31) prop02* **by** *blast*
hence 10: $\vdash \neg_i(halt\ (init\ w); f \wedge_i halt\ (init\ w); \neg_i f)$
using *NextLoop* **by** *blast*
from 10 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *HaltChopImpHaltYields*:

$\vdash \text{halt } (init\ w); f \supset_i (\text{halt } (init\ w)) \text{ yields } f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{halt } (init\ w); f \supset_i \neg_i (\text{halt } (init\ w); \neg_i f)$ **by** (rule *HaltChopImpNotHaltChopNot*)

from 1 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: yields-d-def)

qed

lemma *HaltChopAnd*:

$\vdash (\text{halt } (init\ w)); f \wedge_i (\text{halt } (init\ w)); g \supset_i (\text{halt } (init\ w)); (f \wedge_i g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{halt } (init\ w)); g \supset_i (\text{halt } (init\ w)) \text{ yields } g$ **by** (rule *HaltChopImpHaltYields*)

hence 2: $\vdash (\text{halt } (init\ w)); f \wedge_i (\text{halt } (init\ w)); g \supset_i$
 $(\text{halt } (init\ w)); f \wedge_i (\text{halt } (init\ w)) \text{ yields } g$ **by** auto

have 3: $\vdash (\text{halt } (init\ w)); f \wedge_i (\text{halt } (init\ w)) \text{ yields } g \supset_i$
 $(\text{halt } (init\ w)); (f \wedge_i g)$ **by** (rule *ChopAndYieldsImp*)

from 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *HaltAndChopAndHaltChopImpHaltAndChopAnd*:

$\vdash (\text{halt } (init\ w) \wedge_i f); f1 \wedge_i (\text{halt } (init\ w); g) \supset_i (\text{halt } (init\ w) \wedge_i f); (f1 \wedge_i g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f1 \supset_i \neg_i g \vee_i (f1 \wedge_i g)$

by auto

hence 2: $\vdash (\text{halt } (init\ w) \wedge_i f); f1 \supset_i$
 $(\text{halt } (init\ w) \wedge_i f); \neg_i g \vee_i ((\text{halt } (init\ w) \wedge_i f); (f1 \wedge_i g))$
by (rule *ChopOrImpRule*)

have 3: $\vdash (\text{halt } (init\ w) \wedge_i f); \neg_i g \supset_i \text{halt } (init\ w); \neg_i g$
by (rule *AndChopA*)

have 31: $\vdash (\text{halt } (init\ w) \wedge_i f); f1 \supset_i$
 $\text{halt } (init\ w); \neg_i g \vee_i ((\text{halt } (init\ w) \wedge_i f); (f1 \wedge_i g))$
using 23 **by** auto

have 4: $\vdash \text{halt } (init\ w); g \supset_i \neg_i (\text{halt } (init\ w); \neg_i g)$
by (rule *HaltChopImpNotHaltChopNot*)

hence 41: $\vdash (\text{halt } (init\ w); \neg_i g) \supset_i \neg_i (\text{halt } (init\ w); g)$
by auto

have 42: $\vdash (\text{halt } (init\ w) \wedge_i f); f1 \supset_i$
 $\neg_i (\text{halt } (init\ w); g) \vee_i ((\text{halt } (init\ w) \wedge_i f); (f1 \wedge_i g))$
using 31 41 **by** auto

from 42 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *HaltImpBoxYields*:

$\vdash (\text{halt } (init\ w)); f \supset_i (\Box \neg_i (init\ w)) \text{ yields } ((\text{halt } (init\ w)); f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\Box \neg_i (init\ w)); \neg_i (\text{halt } (init\ w); f) \supset_i di (\Box \neg_i (init\ w))$
by (rule *ChopImpDi*)

have 2: $\vdash \Box \neg_i (init\ w) \supset_i \neg_i (init\ w)$
by (rule *BoxElim*)

hence 3: $\vdash di (\Box \neg_i (init\ w)) \supset_i di \neg_i (init\ w)$

by (rule DilmpDi)
 have 4: $\vdash di \ (init \neg_i w) \equiv_i \ (init \neg_i w)$
 by (rule DiState)
 have 41: $\vdash (init \neg_i w) \equiv_i \neg_i (init w)$
 by auto
 have 42: $\vdash di \neg_i (init w) \equiv_i \neg_i (init w)$
 using 4 41 by auto
 have 5: $\vdash ((\Box \neg_i (init w)); \neg_i (halt (init w); f)) \supset_i \neg_i (init w)$
 using 1 2 42 using 3 itl-prop(31) prop02 by blast
 hence 51: $\vdash (halt (init w); f) \wedge_i ((\Box \neg_i (init w)); \neg_i (halt (init w); f)) \supset_i$
 $(halt (init w); f) \wedge_i \neg_i (init w)$
 using prop12 by blast
 have 6: $\vdash halt (init w); f \equiv_i if_i (init w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\Box (halt (init w); f))$
 by (rule HaltChopEqv)
 hence 61: $\vdash halt (init w); f \wedge_i \neg_i (init w) \equiv_i$
 $(if_i (init w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\Box (halt (init w); f))) \wedge_i \neg_i (init w)$
 using 6 by auto
 have 62: $\vdash (if_i (init w) \text{ then } f \text{ else } (\Box (halt (init w); f))) \wedge_i$
 $\neg_i (init w) \supset_i (\Box (halt (init w); f))$
 by auto
 have 63: $\vdash halt (init w); f \wedge_i \neg_i (init w) \supset_i (\Box (halt (init w); f))$
 using 61 62 by auto
 have 7: $\vdash (halt (init w); f) \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i (init w)); \neg_i (halt (init w); f) \supset_i$
 $\Box ((halt (init w)); f)$
 using 51 63 using prop02 by blast
 have 8: $\vdash \Box \neg_i (init w) \supset_i empty \ \forall_i \Box (\Box \neg_i (init w))$
 by auto
 hence 9: $\vdash ((\Box \neg_i (init w)); \neg_i (halt (init w); f)) \supset_i$
 $\neg_i (halt (init w); f) \ \forall_i \Box ((\Box \neg_i (init w)); \neg_i (halt (init w); f))$
 by (rule EmptyOrNextChopImpRule)
 hence 10: $\vdash ((halt (init w)); f) \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i (init w)); \neg_i (halt (init w); f) \supset_i$
 $\Box ((\Box \neg_i (init w)); \neg_i (halt (init w); f))$
 using prop13 by blast
 have 11: $\vdash (halt (init w)); f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i (init w)); \neg_i (halt (init w); f) \supset_i$
 $\Box ((halt (init w)); f) \wedge_i \Box ((\Box \neg_i (init w)); \neg_i (halt (init w); f))$
 using 7 10 by auto
 have 12: $\vdash \Box ((halt (init w)); f) \wedge_i \Box ((\Box \neg_i (init w)); \neg_i (halt (init w); f))$
 $\supset_i \Box (((halt (init w)); f) \wedge_i ((\Box \neg_i (init w)); \neg_i (halt (init w); f)))$
 by auto
 have 13: $\vdash (halt (init w)); f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i (init w)); \neg_i (halt (init w); f) \supset_i$
 $\Box (((halt (init w)); f) \wedge_i ((\Box \neg_i (init w)); \neg_i (halt (init w); f)))$
 using 11 12 by auto
 hence 14: $\vdash \neg_i ((halt (init w)); f \wedge_i (\Box \neg_i (init w)); \neg_i (halt (init w); f))$
 using NextLoop by blast
 hence 15: $\vdash (halt (init w)); f \supset_i \neg_i ((\Box \neg_i (init w)); \neg_i (halt (init w); f))$
 by auto
 from 15 show ?thesis by (simp add: yields-d-def)

qed

5.10 Properties of Groups of chops

lemma *NestedChopImpChop*:

assumes $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge_i f \supset_i g; (\text{init } w1 \wedge_i f1)$

$\vdash \text{init } w1 \wedge_i f1 \supset_i g1; (\text{init } w2 \wedge_i f2)$

shows $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge_i f \supset_i g; (g1; (\text{init } w2 \wedge_i f2))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{init } w \wedge_i f \supset_i g; (\text{init } w1 \wedge_i f1)$ **using** *assms(1)* **by** *auto*

have 2: $\vdash \text{init } w1 \wedge_i f1 \supset_i g1; (\text{init } w2 \wedge_i f2)$ **using** *assms(2)* **by** *auto*

hence 3: $\vdash g; (\text{init } w1 \wedge_i f1) \supset_i g; (g1; (\text{init } w2 \wedge_i f2))$ **by** (*rule RightChopImpChop*)

from 1 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

5.11 Properties of Time Reversal

lemma *RNot*:

$\vdash (\neg_i f)^r \equiv_i \neg_i f^r$

by *simp*

lemma *RRNot*:

$\vdash (\neg_i (f^r))^r \equiv_i \neg_i f$

by (*metis EqvReverseReverse not-d-def rev-d.simps(1) rev-d.simps(3)*)

lemma *RTrue*:

$\vdash (\text{true}_i)^r \equiv_i \text{true}_i$

by (*simp add: not-d-def true-d-def*)

lemma *ROr*:

$\vdash (f \vee_i g)^r \equiv_i f^r \vee_i g^r$

by (*simp add: not-d-def or-d-def*)

lemma *RROr*:

$\vdash (f^r \vee_i g^r)^r \equiv_i f \vee_i g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f^r \vee_i g^r)^r \equiv_i (f^r)^r \vee_i (g^r)^r$ **using** *ROr* **by** *blast*

have 2: $\vdash (f^r)^r \vee_i (g^r)^r \equiv_i f \vee_i g$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *auto*

from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *RAnd*:

$\vdash (f \wedge_i g)^r \equiv_i f^r \wedge_i g^r$

by (*simp add: and-d-def not-d-def or-d-def*)

lemma *RRAnd*:

$\vdash (f^r \wedge_i g^r)^r \equiv_i f \wedge_i g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f^r \wedge_i g^r)^r \equiv_i (f^r)^r \wedge_i (g^r)^r$ **using** *RAnd* **by** *blast*

have 2: $\vdash (f^r)^r \wedge_i (g^r)^r \equiv_i f \wedge_i g$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *auto*

from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *REqvRule*:
assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$
shows $\vdash f^r \equiv_i g^r$
using *assms*
by (*metis ReverseEqv itl-prop(31) rev-d.simps(3)*)

lemma *RImpRule*:
assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash f^r \supset_i g^r$
using *assms*
by (*metis ReverseEqv rev-d.simps(3)*)

lemma *RNextEqvPrev*:
 $\vdash (\bigcirc f)^r \equiv_i \text{prev } (f^r)$
by (*simp add: next-d-def prev-d-def*)

lemma *RRNextEqvPrev*:
 $\vdash (\bigcirc (f^r))^r \equiv_i \text{prev } (f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\bigcirc (f^r))^r \equiv_i \text{prev } ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RNextEqvPrev* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash \text{prev } ((f^r)^r) \equiv_i \text{prev } f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *auto*
from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *RWNextEqvWPrev*:
 $\vdash (\text{wnext } f)^r \equiv_i \text{wprev } (f^r)$
by (*simp add: next-d-def not-d-def prev-d-def wnext-d-def wprev-d-def*)

lemma *RRWNextEqvWPrev*:
 $\vdash (\text{wnext } (f^r))^r \equiv_i \text{wprev } (f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\text{wnext } (f^r))^r \equiv_i \text{wprev } ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RWNextEqvWPrev* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash \text{wprev } ((f^r)^r) \equiv_i \text{wprev } f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *auto*
from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *RPrevEqvNext*:
 $\vdash (\text{prev } f)^r \equiv_i \bigcirc (f^r)$
by (*simp add: next-d-def prev-d-def*)

lemma *RRPrevEqvNext*:
 $\vdash (\text{prev } (f^r))^r \equiv_i \bigcirc (f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\text{prev } (f^r))^r \equiv_i \bigcirc ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RPrevEqvNext* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash \bigcirc ((f^r)^r) \equiv_i \bigcirc f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *auto*
from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *RWPrevEqvWNext*:
 $\vdash (\text{wprev } f)^r \equiv_i \text{wnext } (f^r)$

by (*simp add: next-d-def not-d-def prev-d-def wnext-d-def wprev-d-def*)

lemma *RRWPrevEqvWNext*:

$\vdash (wprev (f^r))^r \equiv_i wnext(f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (wprev (f^r))^r \equiv_i wnext ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RRWPrevEqvWNext* **by** *blast*

have 2: $\vdash wnext ((f^r)^r) \equiv_i wnext f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *auto*

from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *RDiamondEqvDi*:

$\vdash (\Diamond f)^r \equiv_i di (f^r)$

by (*metis RTrue RightChopEqvChop di-d-def rev-d.simps(5) sometimes-d-def*)

lemma *RRDiamondEqvDi*:

$\vdash (\Diamond (f^r))^r \equiv_i di (f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\Diamond (f^r))^r \equiv_i di ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RDiamondEqvDi* **by** *blast*

have 2: $\vdash di ((f^r)^r) \equiv_i di f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *auto*

from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *RBoxEqvBi*:

$\vdash (\Box f)^r \equiv_i bi (f^r)$

using *RDiamondEqvDi*

by (*simp add: always-d-def not-d-def sometimes-d-def true-d-def*)

lemma *RRBoxEqvBi*:

$\vdash (\Box (f^r))^r \equiv_i bi (f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\Box (f^r))^r \equiv_i bi ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RBoxEqvBi* **by** *blast*

have 2: $\vdash bi ((f^r)^r) \equiv_i bi f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *auto*

from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *RDIEqvDiamond*:

$\vdash (di f)^r \equiv_i \Diamond (f^r)$

by (*metis RTrue LeftChopEqvChop di-d-def rev-d.simps(5) sometimes-d-def*)

lemma *RRDIEqvDiamond*:

$\vdash (di (f^r))^r \equiv_i \Diamond (f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (di (f^r))^r \equiv_i \Diamond ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RDIEqvDiamond* **by** *blast*

have 2: $\vdash \Diamond ((f^r)^r) \equiv_i \Diamond f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *auto*

from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *RBIeqvBox*:

$\vdash (bi f)^r \equiv_i \Box (f^r)$

using *RDIEqvDiamond*

by (*simp add: bi-d-def di-d-def not-d-def true-d-def*)

lemma *RRBiEqvBox*:

$\vdash (bi\ (f^r))^r \equiv_i \square (f)$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash (bi\ (f^r))^r \equiv_i \square ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RRBiEqvBox* **by** *blast*

have 2: $\vdash \square ((f^r)^r) \equiv_i \square f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *auto*

from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *RDaEqvDa*:

$\vdash (da\ f)^r \equiv_i da(f^r)$

by (*metis ChopAssoc da-d-def itl-prop(30) not-d-def rev-d.simps(1) rev-d.simps(3) rev-d.simps(5) true-d-def*)

lemma *RRDaEqvDa*:

$\vdash (da\ (f^r))^r \equiv_i da(f)$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash (da\ (f^r))^r \equiv_i da((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RDaEqvDa* **by** *blast*

have 2: $\vdash da((f^r)^r) \equiv_i da\ f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *auto*

from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *RBaEqvBa*:

$\vdash (ba\ f)^r \equiv_i ba(f^r)$

using *RDaEqvDa*

by (*metis ba-d-def itl-prop(33) not-d-def rev-d.simps(1) rev-d.simps(3)*)

lemma *RRBaEqvBa*:

$\vdash (ba\ (f^r))^r \equiv_i ba(f)$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash (ba\ (f^r))^r \equiv_i ba((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RBaEqvBa* **by** *blast*

have 2: $\vdash ba((f^r)^r) \equiv_i ba\ f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *auto*

from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *ChopCslmpCSChop*:

$\vdash f;f^* \supset_i f^*;f$

by (*meson CSChopEqvChopOrRule CSChopEqvOrChopPlusChop ChopAssocB ChopPlusElimWithoutMore EmptyYields prop19 prop21 prop28*)

lemma *CSChopImpChopCS*:

$\vdash f^*;f \supset_i f;f^*$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash (f^r);(f^r)^* \supset_i (f^r)^*;(f^r)$ **using** *ChopCslmpCSChop* **by** *blast*

hence 2: $\vdash ((f^r);(f^r)^* \supset_i (f^r)^*;(f^r))^r$ **using** *ReverseEqv* **by** *blast*

have 3: $\vdash ((f^r);(f^r)^* \supset_i (f^r)^*;(f^r))^r \equiv_i ((f^r);(f^r)^*)^r \supset_i ((f^r)^*;(f^r))^r$ **by** *simp*

have 4: $\vdash ((f^r);(f^r)^*)^r \equiv_i ((f^r)^*)^r; (f^r)^r$ **by** *simp*

have 5: $\vdash ((f^r)^*)^r; (f^r)^r \equiv_i ((f^r)^r)^*;(f^r)^r$ **by** *simp*

have 6: $\vdash (f^r)^r \equiv_i f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse itl-prop(30)* **by** *blast*

have 7: $\vdash ((f^r)^r)^*; (f^r)^r \equiv_i f^*; f$ **using** 6 *CSEqvCS ChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
have 8: $\vdash ((f^r); (f^r)^*)^r \equiv_i f^*; f$ **using** 7 5 **by** *auto*
have 9: $\vdash ((f^r)^*; (f^r))^r \equiv_i (f^r)^r; ((f^r)^*)^r$ **by** *simp*
have 10: $\vdash (f^r)^r; ((f^r)^*)^r \equiv_i (f^r)^r; ((f^r)^r)^*$ **by** *simp*
have 11: $\vdash (f^r)^r; ((f^r)^r)^* \equiv_i f; f^*$ **using** 6 *ChopPlusEqvChopPlus* **by** *blast*
have 12: $\vdash ((f^r); (f^r)^*)^r \equiv_i f; f^*$ **using** 9 10 11
by (*metis* 2 *ChopCslmpCSChop itl-prop(31) prop03 rev-d.simps(3) rev-d.simps(5) rev-d.simps(6)*)
from 2 3 8 12 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *CSChopEqvChopCS*:
 $\vdash f; f^* \equiv_i f^*; f$
using *ChopCslmpCSChop CSChopImpChopCS* **using** *itl-prop(31)* **by** *blast*

lemma *TrueChopSkipEqvSkipChopTrue*:
 $\vdash \text{true}_i; \text{skip} \equiv_i \text{skip}; \text{true}_i$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{skip}; \text{skip}^* \equiv_i \text{skip}^*; \text{skip}$ **using** *CSChopEqvChopCS* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash \text{skip}^* \equiv_i \text{true}_i$ **using** *CSSkip* **by** *simp*
have 3: $\vdash \text{skip}; \text{skip}^* \equiv_i \text{skip}; \text{true}_i$ **using** 2 **using** *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
have 4: $\vdash \text{skip}^*; \text{skip} \equiv_i \text{true}_i; \text{skip}$ **using** 2 **using** *LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
from 1 3 4 **show** ?thesis **using** *itl-prop(30) prop03* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *RMoreEqvMore*:
 $\vdash \text{more}^r \equiv_i \text{more}$
by (*metis* *TrueChopSkipEqvSkipChopTrue more-d-def next-d-def not-d-def rev-d.simps(1) rev-d.simps(3) rev-d.simps(4) rev-d.simps(5) true-d-def*)

lemma *REmptyEqvEmpty*:
 $\vdash \text{empty}^r \equiv_i \text{empty}$
by (*metis* *RMoreEqvMore empty-d-def not-d-def prop01 rev-d.simps(1) rev-d.simps(3)*)

lemma *RInitEqvFin*:
 $\vdash (\text{init } f)^r \equiv_i \text{fin}(f^r)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } f)^r \equiv_i ((f \wedge_i \text{empty}); \text{true}_i)^r$
by (*metis* *AndChopCommute REqvRule init-d-def*)
have 2: $\vdash ((f \wedge_i \text{empty}); \text{true}_i)^r \equiv_i (\text{true}_i; (f \wedge_i \text{empty}))^r$
using *RTrue* **by** *auto*
have 3: $\vdash \text{true}_i; (f \wedge_i \text{empty})^r \equiv_i \text{true}_i; (f^r \wedge_i \text{empty})$
by (*meson* *ChopEqvChop RAnd REmptyEqvEmpty RTrue itl-prop(30) prop05 prop21*)
have 4: $\vdash \text{true}_i; (f^r \wedge_i \text{empty}) \equiv_i \text{fin}(f^r)$
using *FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty itl-prop(30)* **by** *blast*
from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *RRInitEqvFin*:
 $\vdash (\text{init } (f^r))^r \equiv_i \text{fin}(f)$
proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\text{init } (f^r))^r \equiv_i \text{fin } ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RInitEqvFin* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash \text{fin } ((f^r)^r) \equiv_i \text{fin } f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *auto*
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *RFinEqvInit*:

$\vdash (\text{fin } f)^r \equiv_i \text{init } (f^r)$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash \text{fin } f \equiv_i \text{true}_i; (f \wedge_i \text{empty})$
using *FinEqvTrueChopAndEmpty* **by** *auto*
have 2: $\vdash (\text{fin } f)^r \equiv_i (\text{true}_i; (f \wedge_i \text{empty}))^r$
using 1 *REqvRule* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash (\text{true}_i; (f \wedge_i \text{empty}))^r \equiv_i (f \wedge_i \text{empty})^r; \text{true}_i$
using *RTrue* **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash (f \wedge_i \text{empty})^r; \text{true}_i \equiv_i (f^r \wedge_i \text{empty}); \text{true}_i$
using *LeftChopEqvChop RAnd REmptyEqvEmpty prop03 prop05* **by** *blast*
have 5: $\vdash (f^r \wedge_i \text{empty}); \text{true}_i \equiv_i \text{init } (f^r)$
by *auto*
from 1 2 3 4 5 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *RRFinEqvInit*:

$\vdash (\text{fin } (f^r))^r \equiv_i \text{init } (f)$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash (\text{fin } (f^r))^r \equiv_i \text{init } ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RFinEqvInit* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash \text{init } ((f^r)^r) \equiv_i \text{init } f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **by** *auto*
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *NextDiamondEqvDiamondNext*:

$\vdash \bigcirc (\Diamond f) \equiv_i \Diamond (\bigcirc f)$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash \text{true}_i; \text{skip} \equiv_i \text{skip}; \text{true}_i$ **by** (rule *TrueChopSkipEqvSkipChopTrue*)
hence 2: $\vdash (\text{true}_i; \text{skip}); f \equiv_i (\text{skip}; \text{true}_i); f$ **using** *LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash (\text{true}_i; \text{skip}); f \equiv_i \text{true}_i; (\text{skip}; f)$ **using** *ChopAssoc itl-prop(30)* **by** *blast*
have 4: $\vdash (\text{skip}; \text{true}_i); f \equiv_i \text{skip}; (\text{true}_i; f)$ **using** *ChopAssoc itl-prop(30)* **by** *blast*
from 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: next-d-def)
qed

lemma *WeakNextBoxInduct*:

assumes $\vdash \text{wnext } (\Box f) \supset_i f$

shows $\vdash f$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash \text{wnext } (\Box f) \supset_i f$ **using** *assms* **by** *blast*
hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i f \supset_i \neg_i (\text{wnext } (\Box f))$ **using** *prop27* **by** *blast*
hence 3: $\vdash \neg_i f \supset_i \bigcirc (\neg_i (\Box f))$ **by** *simp*
have 4: $\vdash \neg_i (\Box f) \equiv_i (\Diamond \neg_i f)$ **by** *auto*
hence 5: $\vdash \bigcirc (\neg_i (\Box f)) \equiv_i \bigcirc (\Diamond \neg_i f)$ **by** *auto*
have 6: $\vdash \neg_i f \supset_i \bigcirc (\Diamond \neg_i f)$ **using** 3 5 **by** *auto*
have 7: $\vdash \bigcirc (\Diamond \neg_i f) \equiv_i \Diamond (\bigcirc \neg_i f)$ **using** *NextDiamondEqvDiamondNext* **by** *blast*


```

have 8:  $\vdash \neg_i f \supset_i \Diamond(\bigcirc \neg_i f)$  using 6 7 by auto
have 9:  $\vdash \Diamond(\neg_i f) \supset_i \Diamond(\Diamond(\bigcirc \neg_i f))$  using 8 DiamondImpDiamond by blast
have 10:  $\vdash \Diamond(\Diamond(\bigcirc \neg_i f)) \equiv_i \Diamond(\bigcirc \neg_i f)$  using DiamondDiamondEqvDiamond by blast
have 11:  $\vdash \Diamond(\neg_i f) \supset_i \Diamond(\bigcirc \neg_i f)$  using 9 10 by auto
have 12:  $\vdash \Diamond(\neg_i f) \supset_i \bigcirc(\Diamond \neg_i f)$  using 7 11 by auto
hence 13:  $\vdash \neg_i(\Diamond(\neg_i f))$  using NextLoop by blast
hence 14:  $\vdash \Box f$  by (simp add: always-d-def)
have 15:  $\vdash \Box f \supset_i f$  using BoxElim by blast
from 14 15 show ?thesis using MP by blast
qed

end

```

```

theory First
imports
  Theorems
begin

```

6 The First Occurrence Operator in ITL

Runtime verification (RV) has gained significant interest in recent years. The behaviour of a program can be verified in real time by analysing its evolving trace. This approach has two significant benefits over static verification techniques such as model checking. Firstly, it is only necessary to verify actual execution paths rather than all possible paths. Secondly, it is possible to react at runtime should the program diverge from its specified behaviour. RV does not replace traditional verification techniques but it does provide an extra layer of security.

Linear Temporal Logic (LTL) is a popular formalism for writing specifications from which RV monitors can be derived automatically. By contrast, Interval Temporal Logic (ITL) has not been as widely represented in this field despite being more expressive and compositional. The principal issue is efficiency. ITL uses non-deterministic operators to construct sequential and iterative specifications (chop and chop-star, respectively) and these introduce combinatorial complexity. Approaches to mitigate this include using a deterministic subset of ITL or adapting the semantics to include a deterministic chop operator. This thesis proposes an alternative approach, wholly within existing ITL, and based upon a new, derived operator called “first occurrence”.

A theory of first occurrence is developed and used to derive an algebra of RV monitors.

6.1 Definitions

6.1.1 Definitions Strict Initial and Final

definition *bs-d* ((*bs -*) [88] 87)

where

$bs\ f \equiv (empty \vee_i ((bi\ f) ; skip))$

definition *bt-d* ((*bt -*) [88] 87)

where

$bt\ f \equiv (empty \vee_i (skip;(\Box f)))$

definition *ds-d* ((*ds* -) [88] 87)

where

$$ds\ f \equiv \neg_i (bs\ (\neg_i\ f))$$

definition *dt-d* ((*dt* -) [88] 87)

where

$$dt\ f \equiv \neg_i (bt\ (\neg_i\ f))$$

6.1.2 Definition First and Last Operators

definition *first-d* ((\triangleright -) [88] 87)

where

$$\triangleright\ f \equiv (f \wedge_i (bs\ (\neg_i\ f)))$$

definition *last-d* ((\triangleleft -) [88] 87)

where

$$\triangleleft\ f \equiv (f \wedge_i (bt\ (\neg_i\ f)))$$

6.2 First and Time Reversal

lemma *BsEqvRule*:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$

shows $\vdash bs\ f \equiv_i bs\ g$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash bi(f) \equiv_i bi(g)$ **by** *simp*

hence 3: $\vdash bi(f);skip \equiv_i bi(g);skip$ **by** *auto*

hence 4: $\vdash empty \vee_i bi(f);skip \equiv_i empty \vee_i bi(g);skip$ **by** *auto*

hence 5: $\vdash bs(f) \equiv_i bs(g)$ **by** (*simp add: bs-d-def*)

from 1 2 3 4 5 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *BtEqvRule*:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$

shows $\vdash bt\ f \equiv_i bt\ g$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash \Box(f) \equiv_i \Box(g)$ **by** *simp*

hence 3: $\vdash skip;\Box(f) \equiv_i skip;\Box(g)$ **using** *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*

hence 4: $\vdash empty \vee_i skip;\Box(f) \equiv_i empty \vee_i skip;\Box(g)$ **by** *auto*

hence 5: $\vdash bt(f) \equiv_i bt(g)$ **by** (*simp add: bt-d-def*)

from 1 2 3 4 5 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *FstEqvRule*:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$

shows $\vdash \triangleright f \equiv_i \triangleright g$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i f \equiv_i \neg_i g$ **by** *auto*
 hence 3: $\vdash bs(\neg_i f) \equiv_i bs(\neg_i g)$ **by** (*simp add: bs-d-def*)
 hence 4: $\vdash f \wedge_i bs(\neg_i f) \equiv_i g \wedge_i bs(\neg_i g)$ **using** 1 **by** *auto*
 from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (*simp add: first-d-def*)
qed

lemma *LstEqvRule*:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$
shows $\vdash \triangleleft f \equiv_i \triangleleft g$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash f \equiv_i g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i f \equiv_i \neg_i g$ **by** *auto*
 hence 3: $\vdash bt(\neg_i f) \equiv_i bt(\neg_i g)$ **by** (*simp add: bt-d-def*)
 hence 4: $\vdash f \wedge_i bt(\neg_i f) \equiv_i g \wedge_i bt(\neg_i g)$ **using** 1 **by** *auto*
 from 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (*simp add: last-d-def*)
qed

lemma *RBsEqvBt*:

$\vdash (bs\ f)^r \equiv_i (bt\ (f^r))$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (bs\ f)^r \equiv_i (empty\ \vee_i ((bi\ f) ; skip))^r$
 by (*simp add: bs-d-def*)
 have 2: $\vdash (empty\ \vee_i ((bi\ f) ; skip))^r \equiv_i (empty^r\ \vee_i ((bi\ f) ; skip)^r)$
 using *ROr* **by** *blast*
 have 3: $\vdash (empty^r\ \vee_i ((bi\ f) ; skip)^r) \equiv_i (empty\ \vee_i (skip^r ; (bi\ f)^r))$
 using *REmptyEqvEmpty* **by** *auto*
 have 4: $\vdash (empty\ \vee_i (skip^r ; (bi\ f)^r)) \equiv_i (empty\ \vee_i (skip ; \square (f^r)))$
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting) NextEqvNext RBiEqvBox REmptyEqvEmpty next-d-def*
 or-d-def prop01 prop21 prop39 rev-d.simps(4))
 have 5: $\vdash (empty\ \vee_i (skip ; \square (f^r))) \equiv_i (bt\ (f^r))$
 by (*simp add: bt-d-def*)
 from 1 2 3 4 5 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *RRBsEqvBt*:

$\vdash (bs\ (f^r))^r \equiv_i (bt\ (f))$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (bs\ (f^r))^r \equiv_i bt\ ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RBsEqvBt* **by** *blast*
 have 2: $\vdash bt\ ((f^r)^r) \equiv_i bt\ f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **using** *BtEqvRule* **by** *blast*
 from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *RBtEqvBs*:

$\vdash (bt\ f)^r \equiv_i (bs\ (f^r))$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (bt\ f)^r \equiv_i (empty\ \vee_i (skip ; \square f))^r$
 by (*simp add: bt-d-def*)
 have 2: $\vdash (empty\ \vee_i (skip ; \square f))^r \equiv_i (empty^r\ \vee_i (skip ; \square f)^r)$
 using *ROr* **by** *blast*
 have 3: $\vdash (empty^r\ \vee_i (skip ; \square f)^r) \equiv_i (empty\ \vee_i (\square f)^r ; skip^r)$

using *REmptyEqvEmpty* by auto
 have 4: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i (\Box f)^r; \text{skip}^r) \equiv_i (\text{empty} \vee_i (bi(f^r)); \text{skip})$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) LeftChopEqvChop RBoxEqvBi REmptyEqvEmpty
 or-d-def prop01 prop21 prop39 rev-d.simps(4))
 have 5: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i (bi(f^r)); \text{skip}) \equiv_i (bs(f^r))$
 by (simp add: bs-d-def)
 from 1 2 3 4 5 show ?thesis by auto
 qed

lemma *RRBtEqvBs*:
 $\vdash (bt(f^r))^r \equiv_i (bs(f))$
 proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (bt(f^r))^r \equiv_i bs((f^r)^r)$ using *RBtEqvBs* by blast
 have 2: $\vdash bs((f^r)^r) \equiv_i bs f$ using *EqvReverseReverse* using *BsEqvRule* by blast
 from 1 2 show ?thesis by auto
 qed

lemma *RFirstEqvLast*:
 $\vdash (\triangleright f)^r \equiv_i (\triangleleft (f^r))$
 proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (\triangleright f)^r \equiv_i (f \wedge_i bs(\neg_i f))^r$ by (simp add: first-d-def)
 have 2: $\vdash (f \wedge_i bs(\neg_i f))^r \equiv_i (f^r \wedge_i (bs(\neg_i f))^r)$ using *RAnd* by blast
 have 3: $\vdash (f^r \wedge_i (bs(\neg_i f))^r) \equiv_i (f^r \wedge_i bt((\neg_i f)^r))$ using *RBsEqvBt* prop05 by blast
 have 4: $\vdash (f^r \wedge_i bt((\neg_i f)^r)) \equiv_i (f^r \wedge_i bt(\neg_i(f^r)))$ by (simp add: not-d-def)
 have 5: $\vdash (f^r \wedge_i bt(\neg_i(f^r))) \equiv_i (\triangleleft (f^r))$ by (simp add: last-d-def)
 from 1 2 3 4 5 show ?thesis by auto
 qed

lemma *RRFirstEqvLast*:
 $\vdash (\triangleright (f^r))^r \equiv_i (\triangleleft (f))$
 proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (\triangleright (f^r))^r \equiv_i \triangleleft ((f^r)^r)$ using *RFirstEqvLast* by blast
 have 2: $\vdash \triangleleft ((f^r)^r) \equiv_i \triangleleft f$ using *EqvReverseReverse* using *LstEqvRule* by blast
 from 1 2 show ?thesis by auto
 qed

lemma *RLastEqvFirst*:
 $\vdash (\triangleleft f)^r \equiv_i (\triangleright (f^r))$
 proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (\triangleleft f)^r \equiv_i (f \wedge_i bt(\neg_i f))^r$ by (simp add: last-d-def)
 have 2: $\vdash (f \wedge_i bt(\neg_i f))^r \equiv_i (f^r \wedge_i (bt(\neg_i f))^r)$ using *RAnd* by blast
 have 3: $\vdash (f^r \wedge_i (bt(\neg_i f))^r) \equiv_i (f^r \wedge_i bs(\neg_i(f^r)))$ using *RBtEqvBs* prop05 by blast
 have 4: $\vdash (f^r \wedge_i bs(\neg_i(f^r))) \equiv_i (f^r \wedge_i bs(\neg_i(f^r)))$ by (simp add: not-d-def)
 have 5: $\vdash (f^r \wedge_i bs(\neg_i(f^r))) \equiv_i (\triangleright (f^r))$ by (simp add: first-d-def)
 from 1 2 3 4 5 show ?thesis by auto
 qed

lemma *RRLastEqvFirst*:
 $\vdash (\triangleleft (f^r))^r \equiv_i (\triangleright (f))$
 proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\triangleleft (f^r))^r \equiv_i \triangleright ((f^r)^r)$ **using** *RLastEqvFirst* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash \triangleright ((f^r)^r) \equiv_i \triangleright f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse* **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

6.3 Semantic Theorems

6.3.1 Semantics First and Last Operators

lemma *FstAndBisem*:

$(\text{intlen } \sigma > 0 \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge (\sigma \models \text{bi } \neg_i f; \text{skip})) =$
 $(\text{intlen } \sigma > 0 \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge (\forall ia < \text{intlen } (\sigma). (\text{prefix } ia \ \sigma \models \neg_i f)))$

apply *simp-all*

apply (*simp add: interval-prefix-length interval-suffix-length*)

proof –

have 1: $(0 < \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge$
 $(\exists i. (i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \longrightarrow (\forall ia \leq i. \neg (\text{prefix } ia (\text{prefix } i \ \sigma) \models f))) \wedge$
 $\text{intlen } \sigma - i = \text{Suc } 0) \wedge i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma)$
 $) =$
 $(0 < \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge$
 $(\exists i. (i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \longrightarrow (\forall ia \leq i. \neg (\text{prefix } ia (\text{prefix } i \ \sigma) \models f))) \wedge$
 $i = \text{intlen } \sigma - \text{Suc } 0) \wedge i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma)$
 $)$

by *auto*

also have ... =

$(0 < \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge$
 $(\forall ia \leq (\text{intlen } \sigma - \text{Suc } 0). \neg (\text{prefix } ia (\text{prefix } (\text{intlen } \sigma - \text{Suc } 0) \ \sigma) \models f))$
 $)$

using *diff-le-self* **by** *blast*

also have ... =

$(\text{intlen } \sigma > 0 \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge$
 $(\forall ia < \text{intlen } (\sigma). \neg (\text{prefix } ia (\text{prefix } (\text{intlen } \sigma - \text{Suc } 0) \ \sigma) \models f))$
 $)$ **by** (*metis Suc-pred less-Suc-eq-le*)

also have ... =

$(\text{intlen } \sigma > 0 \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge$
 $(\forall ia < \text{intlen } (\sigma). (\text{prefix } ia (\text{prefix } (\text{intlen } \sigma - \text{Suc } 0) \ \sigma) \models \neg_i f))$
 $)$

using *not-defs* **by** *blast*

also have ... =

$(\text{intlen } \sigma > 0 \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge (\forall ia < \text{intlen } (\sigma). \neg (\text{prefix } ia \ \sigma \models f)))$
by (*simp add: interval-pref-pref-help*)

finally show $(0 < \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge$

$(\exists i. (i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \longrightarrow (\forall ia \leq i. \neg (\text{prefix } ia (\text{prefix } i \ \sigma) \models f))) \wedge$
 $\text{intlen } \sigma - i = \text{Suc } 0) \wedge i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma)$

$) =$

$(0 < \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge (\forall ia < \text{intlen } \sigma. \neg (\text{prefix } ia \ \sigma \models f)))$.

qed

lemma *Fstsem-0*:

$(\sigma \models \triangleright f) =$

(

($\sigma \models f \wedge_i \text{empty}$) \vee ($\text{intlen } \sigma > 0 \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge (\sigma \models \text{bi } \neg_i f; \text{skip})$)
)

apply (*simp add: first-d-def bs-d-def*) **using** *neq0-conv* **by** *blast*

lemma *Emptysem*:

($\sigma \models f \wedge_i \text{empty}$) = (($\sigma \models f$) \wedge $\text{intlen } \sigma = 0$)

by *simp*

lemma *Fstsem*:

($\sigma \models \triangleright f$) =

(
($(\sigma \models f) \wedge \text{intlen } \sigma = 0$) \vee
($\text{intlen } \sigma > 0 \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge (\forall ia < \text{intlen } (\sigma). (\text{prefix } ia \ \sigma \models \neg_i f))$)
)

using *Fstsem-0 Emptysem FstAndBisem* **by** *blast*

lemma *Lstsem*:

($\sigma \models \triangleleft f$) =

(($(\sigma \models f) \wedge \text{intlen } \sigma = 0$) \vee
($\text{intlen } \sigma > 0 \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge (\forall ia < \text{intlen } \sigma. (\text{suffix } ((\text{intlen } \sigma) - ia) \ \sigma \models \neg_i f))$))
)

proof –

have ($\sigma \models \triangleleft f$) = ($\sigma \models (\triangleright (f^r))^r$)

using *RRFirstEqvLast iff-defs itl-valid* **by** *blast*

also have ... = ($\text{intrev } \sigma \models \triangleright (f^r)$)

by (*metis TimeReverseSem interval-rev-rev-ident*)

also have ... =

(
($(\text{intrev } \sigma \models f^r) \wedge \text{intlen } (\text{intrev } \sigma) = 0$) \vee
($\text{intlen } (\text{intrev } \sigma) > 0 \wedge (\text{intrev } \sigma \models f^r) \wedge$
($\forall ia < \text{intlen } (\text{intrev } \sigma). (\text{prefix } ia \ (\text{intrev } \sigma) \models \neg_i (f^r))$)
)

using *Fstsem* **by** *blast*

also have ... =

(
($(\sigma \models f) \wedge \text{intlen } (\sigma) = 0$) \vee
($\text{intlen } (\sigma) > 0 \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge$
($\forall ia < \text{intlen } (\text{intrev } \sigma). (\text{prefix } ia \ (\text{intrev } \sigma) \models (\neg_i (f))^r$)
)

by (*metis TimeReverseSem interval-intrev-intlen not-d-def rev-d.simps(1) rev-d.simps(3)*)

also have ... =

(
($(\sigma \models f) \wedge \text{intlen } (\sigma) = 0$) \vee
($\text{intlen } (\sigma) > 0 \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge$
($\forall ia < \text{intlen } (\text{intrev } \sigma). (\text{intrev } (\text{prefix } ia \ (\text{intrev } \sigma)) \models (\neg_i (f)))$)
)

using *TimeReverseSem* **by** (*metis interval-rev-rev-ident*)

also have ... =

(

```

( (  $\sigma \models f$  )  $\wedge$   $\text{intlen } (\sigma) = 0$  )  $\vee$ 
(  $\text{intlen } (\sigma) > 0 \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge$ 
   $(\forall ia < \text{intlen } (\sigma). (\text{suffix } ((\text{intlen } \sigma) - ia) (\sigma)) \models (\neg_i(f))))$ 
)
  by (simp add: interval-intrev-prefix)
finally show
 $(\sigma \models \triangleleft f) =$ 
( (  $(\sigma \models f) \wedge \text{intlen } \sigma = 0$  )  $\vee$ 
  (  $\text{intlen } \sigma > 0 \wedge (\sigma \models f) \wedge$ 
     $(\forall ia < \text{intlen } \sigma. (\text{suffix } ((\text{intlen } \sigma) - ia) \sigma \models \neg_i f))$  )
  ) .
qed

```

6.3.2 Various Semantic Lemmas

lemma *DiLensem*:

```

 $(\sigma \models di (f \wedge_i \text{len}(i))) =$ 
  (  $(\text{prefix } i \sigma \models f) \wedge i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma$  )

```

apply *simp-all*

using *interval-prefix-length-good* **by** *auto*

lemma *PrefixFstsem*:

```

(  $(\text{prefix } i \sigma \models \triangleright f) \wedge i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma$  ) =
  (  $i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge$ 
    (
      (  $(\text{prefix } i \sigma \models f) \wedge i = 0$  )  $\vee$ 
      (  $i > 0 \wedge (\text{prefix } i \sigma \models f) \wedge (\forall ia < i. (\text{prefix } ia \sigma \models \neg_i f))$  )
    )
  )

```

proof —

```

have 1: (  $((\text{prefix } i \sigma) \models \triangleright f)$  ) =
  (
    (  $((\text{prefix } i \sigma) \models f) \wedge \text{intlen } (\text{prefix } i \sigma) = 0$  )  $\vee$ 
    (  $\text{intlen } (\text{prefix } i \sigma) > 0 \wedge ((\text{prefix } i \sigma) \models f) \wedge$ 
       $(\forall ia < \text{intlen } (\text{prefix } i \sigma). (\text{prefix } ia (\text{prefix } i \sigma) \models \neg_i f))$  )
    )
  )

```

using *Fstsem* **by** *blast*

```

hence 2: (  $((\text{prefix } i \sigma) \models \triangleright f) \wedge i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma$  ) =
  (  $i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge$ 
    (
      (  $((\text{prefix } i \sigma) \models f) \wedge \text{intlen } (\text{prefix } i \sigma) = 0$  )  $\vee$ 
      (  $\text{intlen } (\text{prefix } i \sigma) > 0 \wedge ((\text{prefix } i \sigma) \models f) \wedge$ 
         $(\forall ia < \text{intlen } (\text{prefix } i \sigma). (\text{prefix } ia (\text{prefix } i \sigma) \models \neg_i f))$  )
      )
    )
  )

```

by *auto*

```

hence 3: (  $((\text{prefix } i \sigma) \models \triangleright f) \wedge i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma$  ) =
  (  $i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge$ 
    (
      (  $((\text{prefix } i \sigma) \models f) \wedge i = 0$  )  $\vee$ 
      (  $i > 0 \wedge ((\text{prefix } i \sigma) \models f) \wedge (\forall ia < i. (\text{prefix } ia (\text{prefix } i \sigma) \models \neg_i f))$  )
    )
  )

```

```

)
  by auto
hence 4: ( ((prefix i σ) ⊨ ▷f) ∧ i ≤ intlen σ) =
  ( i ≤ intlen σ ∧ (
    ( ((prefix i σ) ⊨ f) ∧ i = 0) ∨
    ( i > 0 ∧ ((prefix i σ) ⊨ f) ∧ (∀ ia < i. (prefix ia σ ⊨ ¬if)))
  )
)
  using interval-pref-pref-3 using less-imp-add-positive by fastforce
from 4 show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

lemma *PrefixFstAndsem*:

```

( (prefix i σ ⊨ ▷f ∧i g) ∧ i ≤ intlen σ) =
  ( i ≤ intlen σ ∧
    (
      ( (prefix i σ ⊨ f ∧i g) ∧ i = 0) ∨
      ( i > 0 ∧ (prefix i σ ⊨ f ∧i g) ∧ (∀ ia < i. (prefix ia σ ⊨ ¬if)))
    )
  )
  using PrefixFstsem by (metis and-defs)

```

lemma *DiLenFstsem*:

```

(σ ⊨ di (▷f ∧i len(i))) =
  ( i ≤ intlen σ ∧
    (
      ( (prefix i σ ⊨ f) ∧ i = 0) ∨
      ( i > 0 ∧ (prefix i σ ⊨ f) ∧ (∀ ia < i. (prefix ia σ ⊨ ¬if)))
    )
  )
  using DiLensem PrefixFstsem by blast

```

lemma *DiLenFstAndsem*:

```

(σ ⊨ di (▷f ∧i g ∧i len(i))) =
  ( i ≤ intlen σ ∧
    (
      ( (prefix i σ ⊨ f ∧i g) ∧ i = 0) ∨
      ( i > 0 ∧ (prefix i σ ⊨ f ∧i g) ∧ (∀ ia < i. (prefix ia σ ⊨ ¬if)))
    )
  )

```

proof –

have 1: (σ ⊨ di ((▷f ∧_i g) ∧_i len(i))) =
 ((prefix i σ ⊨ (▷f ∧_i g)) ∧ i ≤ intlen σ)
 using DiLensem by blast

have 2: ((prefix i σ ⊨ (▷f ∧_i g)) ∧ i ≤ intlen σ) =
 (i ≤ intlen σ ∧
 (
 ((prefix i σ ⊨ f ∧_i g) ∧ i = 0) ∨
 (i > 0 ∧ (prefix i σ ⊨ f ∧_i g) ∧ (∀ ia < i. (prefix ia σ ⊨ ¬_if)))
)
)


```

    )
    using PrefixFstAndsem by blast
    from 1 2 show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

lemma *FstLenSamesem*:

```

( ( i ≤ intlen σ ∧
  (
    ( prefix i σ ⊨ f ) ∧ i = 0 ) ∨
    ( i > 0 ∧ ( prefix i σ ⊨ f ) ∧ (∀ ia < i. ( prefix ia σ ⊨ ¬if )))
  )
) ∧
( j ≤ intlen σ ∧
  (
    ( prefix j σ ⊨ f ) ∧ j = 0 ) ∨
    ( j > 0 ∧ ( prefix j σ ⊨ f ) ∧ (∀ ia < j. ( prefix ia σ ⊨ ¬if )))
  )
)
) → (i=j)

```

using linorder-neqE-nat by (meson not-defs)

6.4 Theorems

6.4.1 Fixed length intervals

lemma *LenZeroEqvEmpty*:

$\vdash \text{len}(0) \equiv_i \text{empty}$

by simp

lemma *LenOneEqvSkip*:

$\vdash \text{len}(1) \equiv_i \text{skip}$

by (metis ChopEmpty One-nat-def len-d.simps(1) len-d.simps(2))

lemma *LenNPlusOneA*:

$\vdash \text{len}(n+1) \equiv_i \text{skip}; \text{len}(n)$

by simp

lemma *LenEqvLenChopLen*:

$\vdash \text{len}(i+j) \equiv_i \text{len}(i); \text{len}(j)$

proof

(induct i)

case 0

then show ?case using add.left-neutral by auto

next

case (Suc i)

then show ?case

by (metis ChopAssoc NextEqvNext add-Suc len-d.simps(2) next-d-def prop03)

qed

lemma *LenNPlusOneB*:

$\vdash \text{len}(n+1) \equiv_i \text{len}(n); \text{skip}$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{len}(n+1) \equiv_i \text{len}(n); \text{len}(1)$ **by** (rule LenEqvLenChopLen)
have 2: $\vdash \text{len}(1) \equiv_i \text{skip}$ **by** (rule LenOneEqvSkip)
hence 3: $\vdash \text{len}(n); \text{len}(1) \equiv_i \text{len}(n); \text{skip}$ **using** RightChopEqvChop **by** blast
from 1 3 **show** ?thesis **using** prop03 **by** blast
qed

lemma RLenEqvLen:
 $\vdash (\text{len } k)^r \equiv_i (\text{len } k)$
apply simp-all **using** TimeReverseSem
by (metis interval-intrev-intlen interval-rev-rev-ident len-defs)

lemma ExistsLen:
 $(\forall \sigma. \exists k. (\sigma \models \text{len}(k)))$
using len-defs **by** simp

lemma AndExistsLen:
 $(\forall \sigma. (\sigma \models f) = ((\sigma \models f) \wedge (\exists k. (\sigma \models \text{len}(k)))))$
using ExistsLen **by** simp

lemma AndExistsLenChop:
 $(\forall \sigma. (\sigma \models f;g) = (\exists k. (\sigma \models (f \wedge_i \text{len}(k));g)))$
using AndExistsLen **by** auto

lemma AndExistsLenChopR:
 $(\forall \sigma. (\sigma \models f;g) = (\exists k. (\sigma \models f;(g \wedge_i \text{len}(k)))))$
using AndExistsLen **by** auto

lemma LFixedAndDistr:
 $\vdash (f0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k));g0 \wedge_i (f1 \wedge_i \text{len}(k));g1 \equiv_i (f0 \wedge_i f1 \wedge_i \text{len}(k));(g0 \wedge_i g1)$
apply simp-all
by (metis interval-prefix-length-good)

lemma RFixedAndDistr:
 $\vdash f0;(g0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)) \wedge_i f1;(g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)) \equiv_i (f0 \wedge_i f1);(g0 \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(k))$
apply simp-all
apply (simp add: interval-prefix-length interval-suffix-length)
by (metis diff-diff-cancel)

lemma LFixedAndDistrA:
 $\vdash (f0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k));g0 \wedge_i (f1 \wedge_i \text{len}(k));g0 \equiv_i (f0 \wedge_i f1 \wedge_i \text{len}(k));g0$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (f0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k));g0 \wedge_i (f1 \wedge_i \text{len}(k));g0 \equiv_i (f0 \wedge_i f1 \wedge_i \text{len}(k));(g0 \wedge_i g0)$
by (rule LFixedAndDistr)
have 2: $\vdash (f0 \wedge_i f1 \wedge_i \text{len}(k));(g0 \wedge_i g0) \equiv_i (f0 \wedge_i f1 \wedge_i \text{len}(k));g0$
by auto
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **using** prop03 **by** blast
qed

lemma *LFixedAndDistrB*:

$\vdash (f0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); g0 \wedge_i (f0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); g1 \equiv_i (f0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); (g0 \wedge_i g1)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); g0 \wedge_i (f0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); g1 \equiv_i (f0 \wedge_i f0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); (g0 \wedge_i g1)$
by (*rule LFixedAndDistr*)

have 2: $\vdash (f0 \wedge_i f0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); (g0 \wedge_i g1) \equiv_i (f0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); (g0 \wedge_i g1)$
by *auto*

from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **using** *prop03* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *RFixedAndDistrA*:

$\vdash f0; (g0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)) \wedge_i f0; (g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)) \equiv_i f0; (g0 \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(k))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f0; (g0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)) \wedge_i f0; (g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)) \equiv_i (f0 \wedge_i f0); (g0 \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(k))$
by (*rule RFixedAndDistr*)

have 2: $\vdash (f0 \wedge_i f0); (g0 \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)) \equiv_i f0; (g0 \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(k))$
by *auto*

from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **using** *prop03* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *RFixedAndDistrB*:

$\vdash f0; (g0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)) \wedge_i f1; (g0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)) \equiv_i (f0 \wedge_i f1); (g0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f0; (g0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)) \wedge_i f1; (g0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)) \equiv_i (f0 \wedge_i f1); (g0 \wedge_i g0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k))$
by (*rule RFixedAndDistr*)

have 2: $\vdash (f0 \wedge_i f1); (g0 \wedge_i g0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)) \equiv_i (f0 \wedge_i f1); (g0 \wedge_i \text{len}(k))$
by *auto*

from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **using** *prop03* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *ChopSkipAndChopSkip*:

$\vdash f0; \text{skip} \wedge_i f1; \text{skip} \equiv_i (f0 \wedge_i f1); \text{skip}$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f0; (\text{true}_i \wedge_i \text{len}(1)) \wedge_i f1; (\text{true}_i \wedge_i \text{len}(1)) \equiv_i (f0 \wedge_i f1); (\text{true}_i \wedge_i \text{len}(1))$
by (*rule RFixedAndDistrB*)

have 2: $\vdash (\text{true}_i \wedge_i \text{len}(1)) \equiv_i \text{skip}$
using *LenOneEqvSkip* *itl-prop(17)* *prop03* **by** *blast*

hence 3: $\vdash f0; (\text{true}_i \wedge_i \text{len}(1)) \equiv_i f0; \text{skip}$
using *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*

have 4: $\vdash f1; (\text{true}_i \wedge_i \text{len}(1)) \equiv_i f1; \text{skip}$
using 2 *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*

have 5: $\vdash f0; (\text{true}_i \wedge_i \text{len}(1)) \wedge_i f1; (\text{true}_i \wedge_i \text{len}(1)) \equiv_i f0; \text{skip} \wedge_i f1; \text{skip}$
using 3 4 **by** *auto*

have 6: $\vdash (f0 \wedge_i f1); (\text{true}_i \wedge_i \text{len}(1)) \equiv_i (f0 \wedge_i f1); \text{skip}$
using 2 *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*

from 1 5 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *BiAndChopSkipEqv*:

$\vdash (bi (f \wedge_i g)); \text{skip} \equiv_i (bi f); \text{skip} \wedge_i (bi g); \text{skip}$

proof –
have 1: $\vdash bi\ (f \wedge_i g) \equiv_i (bi\ f) \wedge_i (bi\ g)$
by *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash (bi\ (f \wedge_i g));skip \equiv_i (bi\ f \wedge_i bi\ g);skip$
by (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)
have 3: $\vdash (bi\ f \wedge_i bi\ g);skip \equiv_i (bi\ f);skip \wedge_i (bi\ g);skip$
using *ChopSkipAndChopSkip itl-prop(30)* **by** *blast*
from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *DiAndChopSkipEqv*:
 $\vdash (di\ (f \wedge_i g));skip \supset_i (di\ f);skip \wedge_i (di\ g);skip$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash di\ (f \wedge_i g) \supset_i (di\ f) \wedge_i (di\ g)$
by *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash (di\ (f \wedge_i g));skip \supset_i (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g);skip$
by (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
have 3: $\vdash (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g);skip \equiv_i (di\ f);skip \wedge_i (di\ g);skip$
using *ChopSkipAndChopSkip itl-prop(30)* **by** *blast*
from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *NotNotChopSkip*:
 $\vdash \neg_i(\neg_i f;skip) \equiv_i empty \vee_i (f;skip)$
by (*metis WprevEqvEmptyOrPrev prev-d-def wprev-d-def*)

lemma *NotChopFixed*:
 $\vdash \neg_i(f;(g \wedge_i len(k))) \equiv_i \neg_i(\Diamond(g \wedge_i len(k))) \vee_i (\neg_i f;(g \wedge_i len(k)))$
apply *simp* **by** (*smt diff-diff-cancel interval-suffix-length-good*)

lemma *NotFixedChop*:
 $\vdash \neg_i((g \wedge_i len(k));f) \equiv_i \neg_i(di(g \wedge_i len(k))) \vee_i ((g \wedge_i len(k));\neg_i f)$
apply *simp* **by** *auto*

6.4.2 Strict initial intervals

lemma *DsMoreDi*:
 $\vdash ds\ f \equiv_i more \wedge_i (di\ f);skip$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash ds\ f \equiv_i \neg_i(bs \neg_i f)$
by (*simp add: ds-d-def*)
have 2: $\vdash \neg_i(bs \neg_i f) \equiv_i \neg_i(empty \vee_i (bi \neg_i f);skip)$
by (*simp add: bs-d-def*)
have 3: $\vdash \neg_i(empty \vee_i (bi \neg_i f);skip) \equiv_i \neg_i empty \wedge_i \neg_i((bi \neg_i f);skip)$
by *auto*
have 4: $\vdash \neg_i empty \wedge_i \neg_i((bi \neg_i f);skip) \equiv_i more \wedge_i \neg_i((bi \neg_i f);skip)$
by *auto*
have 5: $\vdash more \wedge_i \neg_i((bi \neg_i f);skip) \equiv_i more \wedge_i \neg_i(\neg_i(di\ f);skip)$
by *auto*

have 6: $\vdash \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i(\neg_i(di\ f);skip) \equiv_i \text{more} \wedge_i (\text{empty} \vee_i (di\ f);skip)$
using *NotNotChopSkip* **using** *prop05* **by** *blast*
have 7: $\vdash \text{more} \wedge_i (\text{empty} \vee_i (di\ f);skip) \equiv_i \text{more} \wedge_i (di\ f);skip$
by *auto*
from 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *DsDi*:

$\vdash ds\ f \equiv_i (di\ f);skip$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash ds\ f \equiv_i \text{more} \wedge_i (di\ f);skip$ **by** (rule *DsMoreDi*)
have 2: $\vdash (di\ f);skip \supset_i \text{more}$ **by** *auto*
hence 3: $\vdash \text{more} \wedge_i (di\ f);skip \equiv_i (di\ f);skip$ **by** *auto*
from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *BsEqvNotDsNot*:

$\vdash bs\ f \equiv_i \neg_i(ds\ \neg_i\ f)$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash ds\ \neg_i\ f \equiv_i \text{more} \wedge_i (di\ \neg_i\ f);skip$ **by** (rule *DsMoreDi*)
hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i(ds\ \neg_i\ f) \equiv_i \neg_i(\text{more} \wedge_i (di\ \neg_i\ f);skip)$ **by** *auto*
have 3: $\vdash \neg_i(\text{more} \wedge_i (di\ \neg_i\ f);skip) \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i((di\ \neg_i\ f);skip)$ **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i((di\ \neg_i\ f);skip) \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(\neg_i(bi\ f);skip)$ **by** *auto*
have 5: $\vdash \neg_i(\neg_i(bi\ f);skip) \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (bi\ f);skip$ **by** (rule *NotNotChopSkip*)
hence 6: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(\neg_i(bi\ f);skip) \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (bi\ f);skip$ **by** *auto*
from 2 3 4 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: bs-d-def*)

qed

lemma *NotBsEqvDsNot*:

$\vdash \neg_i(bs\ f) \equiv_i ds\ \neg_i\ f$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash bs\ f \equiv_i \neg_i(ds\ \neg_i\ f)$ **by** (rule *BsEqvNotDsNot*)
hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i(bs\ f) \equiv_i \neg_i\neg_i(ds\ \neg_i\ f)$ **by** *auto*
from 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *NotDsEqvBsNot*:

$\vdash \neg_i(ds\ f) \equiv_i bs\ \neg_i\ f$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash \neg_i(ds\ f) \equiv_i \neg_i\neg_i(bs\ \neg_i\ f)$ **by** (*simp add: ds-d-def*)
from 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *NotDsAndEmpty*:

$\vdash \neg_i(ds\ f \wedge_i \text{empty})$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash ds\ f \equiv_i \text{more} \wedge_i (di\ f);skip$ **by** (rule *DsMoreDi*)
have 2: $\vdash \text{more} \wedge_i (di\ f);skip \wedge_i \text{empty} \supset_i \text{false}$ **by** *auto*
from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *BsMoreEqvEmpty*:

$\vdash bs\ more \equiv_i empty$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash bs\ more \equiv_i empty \vee_i (bi\ more);skip$ **by** (*simp add: bs-d-def*)

have 2: $\vdash bi\ more \supset_i false_i$ **using** *DiEmpty* **by** *auto*

hence 3: $\vdash (bi\ more);skip \supset_i false_i$ **by** *auto*

hence 4: $\vdash empty \vee_i ((bi\ more);skip) \equiv_i empty$ **using** *prop25* **by** *blast*

from 1 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *BsAndEqv*:

$\vdash bs\ f \wedge_i bs\ g \equiv_i bs(f \wedge_i g)$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash bs\ f \equiv_i empty \vee_i (bi\ f);skip$

by (*simp add: bs-d-def*)

have 2: $\vdash bs\ g \equiv_i empty \vee_i (bi\ g);skip$

by (*simp add: bs-d-def*)

have 3: $\vdash bs\ f \wedge_i bs\ g \equiv_i (empty \vee_i (bi\ f);skip) \wedge_i (empty \vee_i (bi\ g);skip)$

using 1 2 **by** *auto*

have 4: $\vdash (empty \vee_i (bi\ f);skip) \wedge_i (empty \vee_i (bi\ g);skip) \equiv_i$

$empty \vee_i ((bi\ f);skip \wedge_i (bi\ g);skip)$

by *auto*

have 5: $\vdash ((bi\ f);skip \wedge_i (bi\ g);skip) \equiv_i bi(f \wedge_i g);skip$

using *BiAndChopSkipEqv itl-prop(30)* **by** *blast*

hence 6: $\vdash empty \vee_i ((bi\ f);skip \wedge_i (bi\ g);skip) \equiv_i empty \vee_i bi(f \wedge_i g);skip$

by *auto*

from 3 4 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: bs-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DsEqvRule*:

assumes $\vdash f \equiv_i g$

shows $\vdash ds\ f \equiv_i ds\ g$

by (*meson DiEqvDi DsDi LeftChopEqvChop assms itl-prop(30) prop03*)

lemma *DsOrEqv*:

$\vdash ds\ f \vee_i ds\ g \equiv_i ds(f \vee_i g)$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash ds\ f \equiv_i \neg_i(bs\ \neg_i f)$ **by** (*simp add: ds-d-def*)

have 2: $\vdash ds\ g \equiv_i \neg_i(bs\ \neg_i g)$ **by** (*simp add: ds-d-def*)

have 3: $\vdash ds\ f \vee_i ds\ g \equiv_i \neg_i(bs\ \neg_i f) \vee_i \neg_i(bs\ \neg_i g)$ **using** 1 2 **by** *auto*

have 4: $\vdash \neg_i(bs\ \neg_i f) \vee_i \neg_i(bs\ \neg_i g) \equiv_i \neg_i(bs\ \neg_i f \wedge_i bs\ \neg_i g)$ **by** *auto*

have 5: $\vdash bs\ \neg_i f \wedge_i bs\ \neg_i g \equiv_i bs(\neg_i f \wedge_i \neg_i g)$ **by** (*rule BsAndEqv*)

hence 6: $\vdash \neg_i(bs\ \neg_i f \wedge_i bs\ \neg_i g) \equiv_i \neg_i(bs(\neg_i f \wedge_i \neg_i g))$ **by** *auto*

have 7: $\vdash \neg_i(bs(\neg_i f \wedge_i \neg_i g)) \equiv_i ds(\neg_i(\neg_i f \wedge_i \neg_i g))$ **by** (*rule NotBsEqvDsNot*)

have 8: $\vdash \neg_i(\neg_i f \wedge_i \neg_i g) \equiv_i (f \vee_i g)$ **by** *auto*

hence 9: $\vdash ds(\neg_i(\neg_i f \wedge_i \neg_i g)) \equiv_i ds(f \vee_i g)$ **by** (*rule DsEqvRule*)

from 3 4 6 7 9 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *BiOrBilmpBiOr*:

$\vdash bi\ f\ \vee_i\ bi\ g\ \supset_i\ bi(f\ \vee_i\ g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f\ \supset_i\ f\ \vee_i\ g$ **by** *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash bi\ f\ \supset_i\ bi(f\ \vee_i\ g)$ **by** (*rule BilmpBiRule*)

have 3: $\vdash g\ \supset_i\ f\ \vee_i\ g$ **by** *auto*

hence 4: $\vdash bi\ g\ \supset_i\ bi(f\ \vee_i\ g)$ **by** (*rule BilmpBiRule*)

from 2 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *BsOrlmp*:

$\vdash bs\ f\ \vee_i\ bs\ g\ \supset_i\ bs(f\ \vee_i\ g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash bi\ f\ \vee_i\ bi\ g\ \supset_i\ bi(f\ \vee_i\ g)$

by (*rule BiOrBilmpBiOr*)

hence 2: $\vdash (bi\ f\ \vee_i\ bi\ g);skip\ \supset_i\ (bi(f\ \vee_i\ g));skip$

by (*rule LeftChoplmpChop*)

have 3: $\vdash (bi\ f);skip\ \vee_i\ (bi\ g);skip\ \supset_i\ (bi(f\ \vee_i\ g));skip$

using 1 *OrChopEqv* 2 *itl-prop(31)* *prop02* **by** *blast*

hence 4: $\vdash empty\ \vee_i\ (bi\ f);skip\ \vee_i\ (bi\ g);skip\ \supset_i\ empty\ \vee_i\ (bi(f\ \vee_i\ g));skip$

by *auto*

hence 5: $\vdash empty\ \vee_i\ (bi\ f);skip\ \vee_i\ empty\ \vee_i\ (bi\ g);skip\ \supset_i\ empty\ \vee_i\ (bi(f\ \vee_i\ g));skip$

by *auto*

from 5 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: bs-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DsAndlmp*:

$\vdash ds\ (f\ \wedge_i\ g)\ \supset_i\ ds\ f\ \wedge_i\ ds\ g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash bs\ \neg_i f\ \vee_i\ bs\ \neg_i g\ \supset_i\ bs(\neg_i f\ \vee_i\ \neg_i g)$ **by** (*rule BsOrlmp*)

have 2: $\vdash \neg_i f\ \vee_i\ \neg_i g\ \equiv_i\ \neg_i(f\ \wedge_i\ g)$ **by** *auto*

hence 3: $\vdash bs(\neg_i f\ \vee_i\ \neg_i g)\ \equiv_i\ bs\ \neg_i(f\ \wedge_i\ g)$ **by** (*rule BsEqvRule*)

have 4: $\vdash bs\ \neg_i f\ \vee_i\ bs\ \neg_i g\ \supset_i\ bs\ \neg_i(f\ \wedge_i\ g)$ **using** 1 3 **by** *auto*

have 5: $\vdash bs\ \neg_i f\ \equiv_i\ \neg_i(ds\ f)$ **using** *NotDsEqvBsNot* **by** *auto*

have 6: $\vdash bs\ \neg_i g\ \equiv_i\ \neg_i(ds\ g)$ **using** *NotDsEqvBsNot* **by** *auto*

have 7: $\vdash bs\ \neg_i(f\ \wedge_i\ g)\ \equiv_i\ \neg_i(ds\ (f\ \wedge_i\ g))$ **using** *NotDsEqvBsNot* **by** *auto*

have 8: $\vdash \neg_i(ds\ f)\ \vee_i\ \neg_i(ds\ g)\ \supset_i\ \neg_i(ds\ (f\ \wedge_i\ g))$ **using** 4 5 6 7 **by** *auto*

hence 9: $\vdash \neg_i(ds\ f\ \wedge_i\ ds\ g)\ \supset_i\ \neg_i(ds\ (f\ \wedge_i\ g))$ **by** *auto*

from 9 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *DsAndlmpElimL*:

$\vdash ds\ (f\ \wedge_i\ g)\ \supset_i\ ds\ f$

using *DsAndlmp* **by** *auto*

lemma *DsAndlmpElimR*:

$\vdash ds\ (f\ \wedge_i\ g)\ \supset_i\ ds\ g$

using *DsAndlmp* **by** *auto*

lemma *MoreAndBilmpBiChopSkip*:

$\vdash \text{more} \wedge_i \text{bi } f \supset_i (\text{bi } f); \text{skip}$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash (\text{bi } f); \text{skip} \equiv_i \neg_i (\text{di } \neg_i f); \text{skip}$ **by** *auto*
have 2: $\vdash \neg_i (\neg_i (\text{di } \neg_i f); \text{skip}) \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (\text{di } \neg_i f); \text{skip}$ **by** (*rule NotNotChopSkip*)
have 3: $\vdash \text{empty} \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i \text{di } \neg_i f$ **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash (\text{di } \neg_i f); \text{skip} \supset_i \text{di } \neg_i f$ **using** *ChopImpDi DiEqvDiDi itl-prop(31) prop02* **by** *blast*
hence 5: $\vdash (\text{di } \neg_i f); \text{skip} \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i \text{di } \neg_i f$ **by** (*rule prop26*)
have 6: $\vdash \neg_i (\neg_i (\text{di } \neg_i f); \text{skip}) \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i \text{di } \neg_i f$ **using** 2 3 5 **by** *auto*
hence 7: $\vdash \neg_i (\text{empty} \vee_i \text{di } \neg_i f) \supset_i \neg_i (\neg_i (\neg_i (\text{di } \neg_i f); \text{skip}))$ **by** (*rule prop27*)
have 8: $\vdash \neg_i (\neg_i (\neg_i (\text{di } \neg_i f); \text{skip})) \equiv_i \neg_i (\text{di } \neg_i f); \text{skip}$ **by** *auto*
have 9: $\vdash \neg_i (\text{empty} \vee_i \text{di } \neg_i f) \equiv_i \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i (\text{di } \neg_i f)$ **by** *auto*
have 10: $\vdash \text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i (\text{di } \neg_i f) \equiv_i \text{more} \wedge_i \text{bi } f$ **by** *auto*
from 1 6 7 8 9 10 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *BImpBs*:

$\vdash \text{bi } f \supset_i \text{bs } f$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{empty} \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i (\text{bi } f); \text{skip}$ **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i \text{bi } f \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i (\text{bi } f); \text{skip}$ **by** *auto*
have 2: $\vdash \text{more} \wedge_i \text{bi } f \supset_i (\text{bi } f); \text{skip}$ **by** (*rule MoreAndBImpBiChopSkip*)
hence 3: $\vdash \text{more} \wedge_i \text{bi } f \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i (\text{bi } f); \text{skip}$ **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash \text{bi } f \equiv_i (\text{bi } f \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i (\text{bi } f \wedge_i \text{more})$ **by** *auto*
have 5: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i (\text{bi } f); \text{skip} \equiv_i \text{bs } f$ **by** (*simp add: bs-d-def*)
from 2 3 4 5 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *BsImpBsBs*:

$\vdash \text{bs } f \supset_i \text{bs } (\text{bs } f)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{bi } f \supset_i \text{bs } f$ **by** (*rule BImpBs*)
hence 2: $\vdash \text{bi } (\text{bi } f) \supset_i \text{bi } (\text{bs } f)$ **by** (*rule BImpBiRule*)
hence 3: $\vdash (\text{bi } f) \supset_i \text{bi } (\text{bs } f)$ **using** *BIeqvBiBi itl-prop(31) prop02* **by** *blast*
hence 4: $\vdash (\text{bi } f); \text{skip} \supset_i (\text{bi } (\text{bs } f)); \text{skip}$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
hence 5: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i (\text{bi } f); \text{skip} \supset_i \text{empty} \vee_i (\text{bi } (\text{bs } f)); \text{skip}$ **by** *auto*
from 5 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: bs-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DsImpDi*:

$\vdash \text{ds } f \supset_i \text{di } f$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash \text{bi } \neg_i f \supset_i \text{bs } \neg_i f$ **by** (*rule BImpBs*)
hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i (\text{bs } \neg_i f) \supset_i \neg_i (\text{bi } \neg_i f)$ **by** (*rule prop27*)
from 2 **show** *?thesis* **using** *NotBsEqvDsNot DiEqvNotBiNot* **by** (*simp add: ds-d-def*)
qed

lemma *BsImpBsRule*:

assumes $\vdash f \supset_i g$
shows $\vdash \text{bs } f \supset_i \text{bs } g$
proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i g$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash bi\ f \supset_i bi\ g$ **by** (*rule BilmpBiRule*)
hence 3: $\vdash (bi\ f);skip \supset_i (bi\ g);skip$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
hence 4: $\vdash empty \vee_i (bi\ f);skip \supset_i empty \vee_i (bi\ g);skip$ **by** *auto*
from 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: bs-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DiChopImpDiB*:

$\vdash di(f;g) \supset_i di\ f$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash f ; (g;true_i) \supset_i di\ f$ **by** (*rule ChopImpDi*)
have 2: $\vdash f ; (g;true_i) \equiv_i (f;g);true_i$ **by** (*rule ChopAssoc*)
from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: di-d-def*)
qed

lemma *DsChopImpDsB*:

$\vdash ds\ (f;g) \supset_i ds\ f$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash di(f;g) \supset_i di\ f$ **by** (*rule DiChopImpDiB*)
hence 2: $\vdash (di(f;g));skip \supset_i (di\ f);skip$ **by** (*rule LeftChopImpChop*)
from 2 **show** *?thesis* **using** *DsDi* **by** (*metis itl-prop(31) prop02*)
qed

lemma *NotBsImpBsNotChop*:

$\vdash bs\ \neg_i f \supset_i bs\ (\neg_i(f;g))$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash ds\ (f;g) \supset_i ds\ f$ **by** (*rule DsChopImpDsB*)
hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i(ds\ f) \supset_i \neg_i(ds\ (f;g))$ **by** (*rule prop27*)
from 2 **show** *?thesis* **using** *NotDsEqvBsNot* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *BiBiOrImpBi*:

$\vdash bi\ (bi\ f \vee_i bi\ g) \supset_i bi\ f \vee_i bi\ g$
using *BiElim* **by** *auto*

lemma *BilmpBiBiOr*:

$\vdash bi\ f \supset_i bi\ (bi\ f \vee_i bi\ g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash bi\ f \supset_i bi\ f \vee_i bi\ g$ **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash bi\ (bi\ f) \supset_i bi\ (bi\ f \vee_i bi\ g)$ **using** *BilmpBiRule* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash bi\ (bi\ f) \equiv_i bi\ f$ **using** *BiEqvBiBi* *itl-prop(30)* **by** *blast*
from 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *BilmpBiBiOrB*:

$\vdash bi\ g \supset_i bi\ (bi\ f \vee_i bi\ g)$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash bi\ g \supset_i bi\ f \vee_i bi\ g$ **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash bi\ (bi\ g) \supset_i bi\ (bi\ f \vee_i bi\ g)$ **using** *BilmpBiRule* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash bi\ (bi\ g) \equiv_i bi\ g$ **using** *BiEqvBiBi* *itl-prop(30)* **by** *blast*

from 2 3 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma *BiBiOrEqvBi*:

$\vdash bi (bi f \vee_i bi g) \equiv_i bi f \vee_i bi g$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash bi (bi f \vee_i bi g) \supset_i bi f \vee_i bi g$ **by** (rule *BiBiOrImpBi*)

have 2: $\vdash bi f \supset_i bi (bi f \vee_i bi g)$ **by** (rule *BiImpBiBiOr*)

have 3: $\vdash bi g \supset_i bi (bi f \vee_i bi g)$ **by** (rule *BiImpBiBiOrB*)

have 4: $\vdash bi f \vee_i bi g \supset_i bi (bi f \vee_i bi g)$ **using** 2 3 **by** auto

from 1 4 **show** ?thesis **using** *itl-prop(31)* **by** blast

qed

lemma *BsOrBsEqvBsBiOrBi*:

$\vdash bs f \vee_i bs g \equiv_i bs(bi f \vee_i bi g)$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash bs f \vee_i bs g \equiv_i empty \vee_i (bi f);skip \vee_i empty \vee_i (bi g);skip$
by (*simp add: bs-d-def*)

have 2: $\vdash empty \vee_i (bi f);skip \vee_i empty \vee_i (bi g);skip \equiv_i empty \vee_i (bi f);skip \vee_i (bi g);skip$
by auto

have 3: $\vdash (bi f);skip \vee_i (bi g);skip \equiv_i (bi f \vee_i bi g);skip$
using *OrChopEqv* **using** *itl-prop(30)* **by** blast

hence 4: $\vdash empty \vee_i (bi f);skip \vee_i (bi g);skip \equiv_i empty \vee_i (bi f \vee_i bi g);skip$
by auto

have 5: $\vdash bi (bi f \vee_i bi g) \equiv_i bi f \vee_i bi g$
by (rule *BiBiOrEqvBi*)

hence 6: $\vdash bi (bi f \vee_i bi g);skip \equiv_i (bi f \vee_i bi g);skip$
using *LeftChopEqvChop* **by** blast

hence 7: $\vdash empty \vee_i bi (bi f \vee_i bi g);skip \equiv_i empty \vee_i (bi f \vee_i bi g);skip$
by auto

from 1 2 4 7 **show** ?thesis **by** (*simp add: bs-d-def*)

qed

lemma *DiOrDsEqvDi*:

$\vdash di f \vee_i ds f \equiv_i di f$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash di f \supset_i di f \vee_i ds f$ **by** auto

have 2: $\vdash di f \supset_i di f$ **by** auto

have 3: $\vdash ds f \supset_i di f$ **by** (rule *DsImpDi*)

have 4: $\vdash di f \vee_i ds f \supset_i di f$ **using** 2 3 **by** auto

from 1 4 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *DiAndDsEqvDs*:

$\vdash di f \wedge_i ds f \equiv_i ds f$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash di f \wedge_i ds f \supset_i ds f$ **by** auto

have 2: $\vdash ds f \supset_i ds f$ **by** auto

have 3: $\vdash ds f \supset_i di f$ **by** (rule *DsImpDi*)

have 4: $\vdash ds\ f \supset_i di\ f \wedge_i ds\ f$ **using** 2 3 **by** auto
 from 1 4 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma *DiEqvOrDiChopSkipA*:

$\vdash di\ f \equiv_i f \vee_i di(f;skip)$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash di\ f \equiv_i f ; true_i$ **by** (simp add: di-d-def)

hence 2: $\vdash di\ f \equiv_i f ; (empty \vee_i more)$ **by** auto

hence 3: $\vdash f ; (empty \vee_i more) \equiv_i f ; empty \vee_i f ; more$ **using** ChopOrEqv **by** blast

have 4: $\vdash f ; empty \equiv_i f$ **by** (rule ChopEmpty)

have 5: $\vdash more \equiv_i skip ; true_i$ **using** MoreEqvSkipChopTrue **by** blast

hence 6: $\vdash f ; more \equiv_i f ; (skip ; true_i)$ **using** RightChopEqvChop **by** blast

have 7: $\vdash f ; (skip ; true_i) \equiv_i (f ; skip) ; true_i$ **by** (rule ChopAssoc)

from 2 3 4 6 7 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *SkipTrueEqvTrueSkip*:

$\vdash skip ; true_i \equiv_i true_i ; skip$

using TrueChopSkipEqvSkipChopTrue itl-prop(30) **by** blast

lemma *DiEqvOrDiChopSkipB*:

$\vdash di\ f \equiv_i f \vee_i (di\ f) ; skip$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash (di\ f) \equiv_i f \vee_i di(f;skip)$ **by** (rule DiEqvOrDiChopSkipA)

have 2: $\vdash di(f;skip) \equiv_i (f;skip) ; true_i$ **by** (simp add: di-d-def)

have 3: $\vdash (f;skip) ; true_i \equiv_i f ; (skip ; true_i)$ **by** (rule ChopAssocB)

have 4: $\vdash di(f;skip) \equiv_i f ; (skip ; true_i)$ **using** 2 3 **by** auto

have 5: $\vdash skip ; true_i \equiv_i true_i ; skip$ **by** (rule SkipTrueEqvTrueSkip)

hence 6: $\vdash f ; (skip ; true_i) \equiv_i f ; (true_i ; skip)$ **using** RightChopEqvChop **by** blast

have 7: $\vdash di(f;skip) \equiv_i f ; (true_i ; skip)$ **using** 4 6 **by** auto

have 8: $\vdash f ; (true_i ; skip) \equiv_i (f ; true_i) ; skip$ **by** (rule ChopAssoc)

have 9: $\vdash (f ; true_i) ; skip \equiv_i (di\ f) ; skip$ **by** (simp add: di-d-def)

have 10: $\vdash di(f;skip) \equiv_i (di\ f) ; skip$ **using** 7 8 9 **by** auto

hence 11: $\vdash f \vee_i di(f;skip) \equiv_i f \vee_i (di\ f) ; skip$ **by** auto

from 1 11 **show** ?thesis **using** prop03 **by** blast

qed

lemma *OrDsEqvDi*:

$\vdash f \vee_i ds\ f \equiv_i di\ f$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash ds\ f \equiv_i (di\ f) ; skip$ **by** (rule DsDi)

hence 2: $\vdash f \vee_i ds\ f \equiv_i f \vee_i (di\ f) ; skip$ **by** auto

from 2 **show** ?thesis **using** DiEqvOrDiChopSkipB itl-prop(30) prop03 **by** blast

qed

lemma *BiEqvAndEmptyOrBiChopSkip*:

$\vdash bi\ f \equiv_i f \wedge_i (empty \vee_i (bi\ f) ; skip)$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash di\ \neg_i f \equiv_i \neg_i f \vee_i (di\ \neg_i f ; skip)$ **by** (rule DiEqvOrDiChopSkipB)

have 2: $\vdash di \neg_i f \equiv_i \neg_i (bi f)$ **by** (rule DiNotEqvNotBi)
have 3: $\vdash \neg_i (bi f) \equiv_i \neg_i f \vee_i (di \neg_i f; skip)$ **using** 1 2 **using** itl-prop(30) prop03 **by** blast
hence 4: $\vdash bi f \equiv_i \neg_i (\neg_i f \vee_i (di \neg_i f; skip))$ **by** (metis 1 bi-d-def prop01)
have 5: $\vdash \neg_i (\neg_i f \vee_i (di \neg_i f; skip)) \equiv_i f \wedge_i \neg_i (di \neg_i f; skip)$ **by** auto
have 6: $\vdash di \neg_i f; skip \equiv_i \neg_i (bi f); skip$ **by** auto
hence 7: $\vdash \neg_i (di \neg_i f; skip) \equiv_i \neg_i (\neg_i (bi f); skip)$ **by** auto
have 8: $\vdash \neg_i (\neg_i (bi f); skip) \equiv_i (empty \vee_i (bi f); skip)$ **using** NotNotChopSkip **by** blast
hence 9: $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i (di \neg_i f; skip) \equiv_i f \wedge_i (empty \vee_i (bi f); skip)$ **using** 7 8 **by** auto
from 4 5 9 **show** ?thesis **using** prop03 **by** blast
qed

lemma AndBsEqvBi:

$\vdash f \wedge_i bs f \equiv_i bi f$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash f \wedge_i bs f \equiv_i f \wedge_i (empty \vee_i (bi f); skip)$ **by** (simp add: bs-d-def)
from 1 **show** ?thesis **using** BiEqvAndEmptyOrBiChopSkip **by** (metis bs-d-def itl-prop(30))
qed

lemma BsEqvBsBi:

$\vdash bs f \equiv_i bs (bi f)$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash bs f \equiv_i empty \vee_i (bi f); skip$ **by** (simp add: bs-d-def)
have 2: $\vdash bi f \equiv_i bi (bi f)$ **by** (rule BiEqvBiBi)
hence 3: $\vdash (bi f); skip \equiv_i bi (bi f); skip$ **using** LeftChopEqvChop **by** blast
hence 4: $\vdash empty \vee_i (bi f); skip \equiv_i empty \vee_i bi (bi f); skip$ **by** auto
from 1 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: bs-d-def)
qed

lemma StatImpBs:

$\vdash init w \supset_i bs (init w)$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash init w \equiv_i bi (init w)$ **by** (rule StateEqvBi)
have 2: $\vdash bi (init w) \supset_i bs (init w)$ **by** (rule BilmpBs)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **using** StatImpBi prop02 **by** blast
qed

lemma DiDiAndEqvDi:

$\vdash di (di f \wedge_i di g) \equiv_i di f \wedge_i di g$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash bi (bi \neg_i f \vee_i bi \neg_i g) \equiv_i bi \neg_i f \vee_i bi \neg_i g$
by (rule BiBiOrEqvBi)
have 2: $\vdash bi \neg_i f \equiv_i \neg_i (di f)$
by auto
have 3: $\vdash bi \neg_i g \equiv_i \neg_i (di g)$
by auto
have 4: $\vdash bi \neg_i f \vee_i bi \neg_i g \equiv_i \neg_i (di f) \vee_i \neg_i (di g)$
using 2 3 **by** auto
have 5: $\vdash \neg_i (di f) \vee_i \neg_i (di g) \equiv_i \neg_i (di f \wedge_i di g)$
by auto
have 6: $\vdash bi (bi \neg_i f \vee_i bi \neg_i g) \equiv_i \neg_i (di f \wedge_i di g)$

using 1 5 by auto
 hence 7: $\vdash \neg_i (bi \ (\ bi \neg_i f \vee_i bi \neg_i g)) \equiv_i (di \ f \wedge_i di \ g)$
 by simp
 have 8 : $\vdash \neg_i (bi \ (\ bi \neg_i f \vee_i bi \neg_i g)) \equiv_i di \ (\neg_i (bi \neg_i f \vee_i bi \neg_i g))$
 using DiNotEqvNotBi itl-prop(30) by blast
 have 9 : $\vdash \neg_i (bi \neg_i f \vee_i bi \neg_i g) \equiv_i di \ f \wedge_i di \ g$
 by auto
 hence 10: $\vdash di \ (\neg_i (bi \neg_i f \vee_i bi \neg_i g)) \equiv_i di \ (\ di \ f \wedge_i di \ g)$
 using DiEqvDi by blast
 from 7 8 10 show ?thesis using itl-prop(30) prop03 by blast
 qed

lemma BilInduct:

$\vdash bi(f \supset_i wprev \ f) \wedge_i f \supset_i bi \ f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \Box((f^r) \supset_i wnext(f^r)) \wedge_i f^r \supset_i \Box(f^r)$ using BoxInduct by blast
 hence 2: $\vdash (\Box((f^r) \supset_i wnext(f^r)) \wedge_i f^r \supset_i \Box(f^r))^r$ using ReverseEqv by blast
 have 3: $\vdash ((f^r)^r) \equiv_i f$ using EqvReverseReverse itl-prop(30) by blast
 have 4: $\vdash (\Box(f^r))^r \equiv_i bi(f)$ using RBoxEqvBi by blast
 have 5: $\vdash ((f^r) \supset_i wnext(f^r))^r \equiv_i ((f^r)^r \supset_i (wnext(f^r))^r)$ by simp
 have 6: $\vdash (wnext(f^r))^r \equiv_i wprev(f)$ using RRWNextEqvWPrev by blast
 have 7: $\vdash ((f^r)^r \supset_i (wnext(f^r))^r) \equiv_i (f \supset_i wprev(f))$ using 6 3 prop39 by auto
 have 8: $\vdash bi((f^r)^r \supset_i (wnext(f^r))^r) \equiv_i bi(f \supset_i wprev(f))$ using 7 3 BiEqvBi by blast
 have 9: $\vdash (\Box((f^r) \supset_i wnext(f^r)))^r \equiv_i bi((f^r) \supset_i wnext(f^r))^r$ using RBoxEqvBi by blast
 have 10: $\vdash (\Box((f^r) \supset_i wnext(f^r)))^r \equiv_i bi(f \supset_i wprev(f))$ using 8 9 by auto
 have 11: $\vdash (\Box((f^r) \supset_i wnext(f^r)) \wedge_i f^r \supset_i \Box(f^r))^r \equiv_i$
 $((\Box((f^r) \supset_i wnext(f^r)))^r \wedge_i (f^r)^r \supset_i (\Box(f^r))^r)$ using RAnd by auto
 have 12: $\vdash ((\Box((f^r) \supset_i wnext(f^r)))^r \wedge_i (f^r)^r \supset_i (\Box(f^r))^r) \equiv_i$
 $(bi(f \supset_i wprev(f)) \wedge_i f \supset_i bi \ f)$ using 8 3 4 10 by simp
 from 2 11 12 show ?thesis using MP itl-prop(31) by blast
 qed

lemma PrevLoop:

assumes $\vdash f \supset_i prev \ f$

shows $\vdash \neg_i f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i prev \ f$ using assms by auto
 hence 2: $\vdash f \supset_i (more \wedge_i wprev \ f)$ by auto
 hence 3: $\vdash f \supset_i wprev \ f$ by auto
 hence 4: $\vdash bi(f \supset_i wprev \ f)$ by (rule BiGen)
 have 5: $\vdash bi(f \supset_i wprev \ f) \wedge_i f \supset_i bi \ f$ by (rule BilInduct)
 hence 6: $\vdash bi(f \supset_i wprev \ f) \supset_i (f \supset_i bi \ f)$ using prop36 by blast
 have 7: $\vdash (f \supset_i bi \ f)$ using 4 6 MP by blast
 have 8: $\vdash bi \ f \supset_i f$ by (rule BiElim)
 have 9: $\vdash f \equiv_i bi \ f$ using 7 8 itl-prop(31) by blast
 have 10: $\vdash f \supset_i more$ using 2 by auto
 hence 11: $\vdash bi \ f \supset_i bi \ more$ using BilmpBiRule by blast
 have 12: $\vdash \neg_i (bi \ more)$ using DiEmpty by auto
 from 7 9 11 12 show ?thesis using MP prop27 by blast
 qed

lemma *PrevImpNotPrevNot*:

$\vdash \text{prev } f \supset_i \neg_i (\text{prev } \neg_i f)$

by *auto*

lemma *BiEqvAndWprevBi*:

$\vdash bi\ f \equiv_i f \wedge_i wprev(bi\ f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \Box (f^r) \equiv_i f^r \wedge_i wnext(\Box (f^r))$

using *BoxEqvAndWnextBox* **by** *blast*

hence 2: $\vdash (\Box (f^r) \equiv_i f^r \wedge_i wnext(\Box (f^r)))^r$

using *ReverseEqv* **by** *blast*

have 3: $\vdash (\Box (f^r))^r \equiv_i bi\ (f)$

using *RRBoxEqvBi* **by** *blast*

have 4: $\vdash (f^r)^r \equiv_i f$

using *EqvReverseReverse* *itl-prop(30)* **by** *blast*

have 5: $\vdash (wnext(\Box (f^r)))^r \equiv_i wprev(\Box (f^r))^r$

using *RWNNextEqvWPrev* **by** *blast*

have 6: $\vdash wprev(\Box ((f^r)))^r \equiv_i wprev(bi(f))$

using 3 5 **by** *auto*

have 7: $\vdash (wnext(\Box (f^r)))^r \equiv_i wprev(bi(f))$

using 5 6 **by** *auto*

have 8: $\vdash (\Box (f^r) \equiv_i f^r \wedge_i wnext(\Box (f^r)))^r \equiv_i$

$(\Box (f^r))^r \equiv_i ((f^r)^r) \wedge_i (wnext(\Box (f^r)))^r$

by (*meson* 1 2 *RAnd REqvRule iff-defs prop03 valid-def*)

have 9: $\vdash ((\Box (f^r))^r \equiv_i ((f^r)^r) \wedge_i (wnext(\Box (f^r)))^r) \equiv_i$

$(bi\ f \equiv_i f \wedge_i wprev(bi\ f))$

using 7 3 4 *prop40* **by** *auto*

from 9 8 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *DiIntroLoop*:

assumes $\vdash (f \wedge_i \neg_i g) \supset_i \text{prev } f$

shows $\vdash f \supset_i di\ g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i g \supset_i \text{prev } f$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

hence 2: $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i g \wedge_i (bi\ \neg_i g) \supset_i (\text{prev } f) \wedge_i (bi\ \neg_i g)$

by *auto*

have 3: $\vdash (bi\ \neg_i g) \supset_i \neg_i g$

by (*rule BiElim*)

hence 4: $\vdash bi\ \neg_i g \equiv_i (bi\ \neg_i g) \wedge_i \neg_i g$

using *prop38* **by** *blast*

have 5: $\vdash f \wedge_i (bi\ \neg_i g) \supset_i \text{prev } f \wedge_i bi\ \neg_i g$

using 2 4 **by** *auto*

have 6: $\vdash bi\ \neg_i g \equiv_i (\neg_i g) \wedge_i wprev(bi\ \neg_i g)$

by (*rule BiEqvAndWprevBi*)

have 7: $\vdash \text{prev } f \wedge_i bi\ \neg_i g \supset_i \text{prev } f \wedge_i wprev(bi\ \neg_i g)$

using 6 **using** *itl-prop(31)* *itl-prop(32)* *prop12* **by** *blast*

have 8: $\vdash f \wedge_i (bi\ \neg_i g) \supset_i \text{prev } f \wedge_i wprev(bi\ \neg_i g)$

using 5 7 by auto
 hence 9: $\vdash f \wedge_i (bi \neg_i g) \supset_i more \wedge_i wprev f \wedge_i wprev(bi \neg_i g)$
 by auto
 hence 10: $\vdash f \wedge_i (bi \neg_i g) \supset_i wprev f \wedge_i wprev(bi \neg_i g)$
 by auto
 hence 11: $\vdash f \wedge_i (bi \neg_i g) \supset_i wprev (f \wedge_i bi \neg_i g)$
 by auto
 hence 12: $\vdash bi(f \wedge_i (bi \neg_i g) \supset_i wprev (f \wedge_i bi \neg_i g))$
 by (rule BiGen)
 have 13: $\vdash bi(f \wedge_i (bi \neg_i g) \supset_i wprev (f \wedge_i bi \neg_i g)) \wedge_i f \wedge_i (bi \neg_i g)$
 $\supset_i bi(f \wedge_i (bi \neg_i g))$
 by (rule Bilnduct)
 hence 14: $\vdash bi(f \wedge_i (bi \neg_i g) \supset_i wprev (f \wedge_i bi \neg_i g)) \supset_i$
 $((f \wedge_i (bi \neg_i g)) \supset_i bi(f \wedge_i (bi \neg_i g)))$
 using prop36 by blast
 have 15: $\vdash ((f \wedge_i (bi \neg_i g)) \supset_i bi(f \wedge_i (bi \neg_i g)))$
 using 12 14 MP by blast
 have 16: $\vdash bi(f \wedge_i (bi \neg_i g)) \supset_i f \wedge_i (bi \neg_i g)$
 by (rule BiElim)
 have 17: $\vdash bi(f \wedge_i (bi \neg_i g)) \equiv_i (f \wedge_i (bi \neg_i g))$
 using 16 15 itl-prop(31) by blast
 have 18: $\vdash (f \wedge_i (bi \neg_i g)) \supset_i more$
 using 9 by auto
 hence 19: $\vdash bi (f \wedge_i (bi \neg_i g)) \supset_i bi more$
 using BilmpBiRule by blast
 have 20: $\vdash \neg_i (bi more)$
 using DiEmpty by auto
 have 21: $\vdash \neg_i (f \wedge_i (bi \neg_i g))$
 using 17 19 20 by fastforce
 hence 22: $\vdash \neg_i f \vee_i \neg_i (bi \neg_i g)$
 by auto
 have 23: $\vdash \neg_i (bi \neg_i g) \equiv_i di g$
 by auto
 from 22 23 show ?thesis by auto
 qed

lemma DiEqvOrChopMore:

$\vdash di f \equiv_i (f \vee_i f; more)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash di f \equiv_i f; true_i$ by auto

hence 2: $\vdash di f \equiv_i f; (empty \vee_i more)$ by auto

have 3: $\vdash f; (empty \vee_i more) \equiv_i f; empty \vee_i f; more$ by auto

have 4: $\vdash f; empty \equiv_i f$ by (rule ChopEmpty)

from 2 3 4 show ?thesis by auto

qed

lemma DiAndDiEqvDiAndDiOrDiAndDi:

$\vdash di f \wedge_i di g \equiv_i di(f \wedge_i di g) \vee_i di(g \wedge_i di f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash di f \equiv_i (f \vee_i f; more)$

```

    using DiEqvOrChopMore by blast
have 2:  $\vdash di\ g \equiv_i (g \vee_i g;more)$ 
    using DiEqvOrChopMore by blast
have 3:  $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i di\ g \equiv_i (f \vee_i f;more) \wedge_i (g \vee_i g;more)$ 
    using 1 2 by auto
have 4:  $\vdash (f \vee_i f;more) \wedge_i (g \vee_i g;more) \equiv_i$ 
       $(f \wedge_i g) \vee_i (f \wedge_i g;more) \vee_i (g \wedge_i f;more) \vee_i (f;more \wedge_i g;more)$ 
    by auto
have 5:  $\vdash more \equiv_i true_i;skip$ 
    using MoreEqvSkipChopTrue SkipTrueEqvTrueSkip prop03 by blast
hence 6:  $\vdash f;more \equiv_i f;(true_i;skip)$ 
    using RightChopEqvChop by blast
have 7:  $\vdash f;(true_i;skip) \equiv_i (f;true_i);skip$ 
    by (rule ChopAssoc)
have 8:  $\vdash f;more \equiv_i prev\ (di\ f)$ 
    using 6 7 by (simp add: prev-d-def)
have 9:  $\vdash g;more \equiv_i g;(true_i;skip)$ 
    using 5 RightChopEqvChop by blast
have 10:  $\vdash g;(true_i;skip) \equiv_i (g;true_i);skip$ 
    by (rule ChopAssoc)
have 11:  $\vdash g;more \equiv_i prev\ (di\ g)$ 
    using 9 10 by (simp add: prev-d-def)
have 12:  $\vdash f;more \wedge_i g;more \equiv_i prev\ (di\ f) \wedge_i prev\ (di\ g)$ 
    using 8 11 by auto
hence 13:  $\vdash f;more \wedge_i g;more \equiv_i prev\ (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g)$ 
    by auto
have 14:  $\vdash (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g) \equiv_i$ 
       $((f \wedge_i g) \vee_i (f \wedge_i g;more) \vee_i (g \wedge_i f;more)) \vee_i (f;more \wedge_i g;more)$ 
    using 3 4 by auto
have 15:  $\vdash (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g) \equiv_i$ 
       $((f \wedge_i g) \vee_i (f \wedge_i g;more) \vee_i (g \wedge_i f;more)) \vee_i prev\ (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g)$ 
    using 13 14 prop28 by blast
hence 16:  $\vdash (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g) \supset_i$ 
       $((f \wedge_i g) \vee_i (f \wedge_i g;more) \vee_i (g \wedge_i f;more)) \vee_i prev\ (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g)$ 
    using itl-prop(31) by blast
hence 17:  $\vdash (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g) \wedge_i \neg_i((f \wedge_i g) \vee_i (f \wedge_i g;more) \vee_i (g \wedge_i f;more)) \supset_i$ 
       $prev\ (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g)$ 
    using prop29 by blast
hence 18:  $\vdash (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g) \supset_i di((f \wedge_i g) \vee_i (f \wedge_i g;more) \vee_i (g \wedge_i f;more))$ 
    using DiIntroLoop by blast
have 19:  $\vdash di((f \wedge_i g) \vee_i (f \wedge_i g;more) \vee_i (g \wedge_i f;more)) \equiv_i$ 
       $di(f \wedge_i g) \vee_i di(f \wedge_i g;more) \vee_i di(g \wedge_i f;more)$ 
    by auto
have 20:  $\vdash f \supset_i di\ f$ 
    using DiIntro by blast
hence 21:  $\vdash f \wedge_i g \supset_i g \wedge_i di\ f$ 
    by auto
hence 22:  $\vdash di(f \wedge_i g) \supset_i di(g \wedge_i di\ f)$ 
    using DilmpDi by blast
hence 23:  $\vdash di(f \wedge_i g) \supset_i di(g \wedge_i di\ f) \vee_i di(f \wedge_i di\ g)$ 

```


by auto
 have 24: $\vdash g; \text{more} \supset_i di\ g$
 by auto
 hence 25: $\vdash f \wedge_i g; \text{more} \supset_i f \wedge_i di\ g$
 by auto
 hence 26: $\vdash di(f \wedge_i g; \text{more}) \supset_i di(f \wedge_i di\ g)$
 using *DilmpDi* by blast
 hence 27: $\vdash di(f \wedge_i g; \text{more}) \supset_i di(f \wedge_i di\ g) \vee_i di(g \wedge_i di\ f)$
 by auto
 have 28: $\vdash f; \text{more} \supset_i di\ f$
 by auto
 hence 29: $\vdash g \wedge_i f; \text{more} \supset_i g \wedge_i di\ f$
 by auto
 hence 30: $\vdash di(g \wedge_i f; \text{more}) \supset_i di(g \wedge_i di\ f)$
 using *DilmpDi* by blast
 hence 31: $\vdash di(g \wedge_i f; \text{more}) \supset_i di(f \wedge_i di\ g) \vee_i di(g \wedge_i di\ f)$
 by auto
 have 32: $\vdash di(f \wedge_i g) \vee_i di(f \wedge_i g; \text{more}) \vee_i di(g \wedge_i f; \text{more}) \supset_i$
 $di(f \wedge_i di\ g) \vee_i di(g \wedge_i di\ f)$
 using 23 27 31 by auto
 have 33: $\vdash di((f \wedge_i g) \vee_i (f \wedge_i g; \text{more}) \vee_i (g \wedge_i f; \text{more})) \supset_i$
 $di(f \wedge_i di\ g) \vee_i di(g \wedge_i di\ f)$
 using 19 32 by auto
 have 34: $\vdash (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g) \supset_i di(f \wedge_i di\ g) \vee_i di(g \wedge_i di\ f)$
 using 18 33 by auto
 have 35: $\vdash f \supset_i di\ f$
 using *DilIntro* by blast
 hence 36: $\vdash f \wedge_i di\ g \supset_i di\ f \wedge_i di\ g$
 by auto
 hence 37: $\vdash di(f \wedge_i di\ g) \supset_i di(di\ f \wedge_i di\ g)$
 using *DilmpDi* by blast
 have 38: $\vdash di(di\ f \wedge_i di\ g) \equiv_i di\ f \wedge_i di\ g$
 using *DiDiAndEqvDi* by blast
 have 39: $\vdash di(f \wedge_i di\ g) \supset_i di\ f \wedge_i di\ g$
 using 37 38 using *itl-prop(31)* *prop02* by blast
 have 40: $\vdash g \supset_i di\ g$
 using *DilIntro* by blast
 hence 41: $\vdash g \wedge_i di\ f \supset_i di\ f \wedge_i di\ g$
 by auto
 hence 42: $\vdash di(g \wedge_i di\ f) \supset_i di(di\ f \wedge_i di\ g)$
 using *DilmpDi* by blast
 have 43: $\vdash di(di\ f \wedge_i di\ g) \equiv_i di\ f \wedge_i di\ g$
 using *DiDiAndEqvDi* by blast
 have 44: $\vdash di(g \wedge_i di\ f) \supset_i di\ f \wedge_i di\ g$
 using 42 43 using *itl-prop(31)* *prop02* by blast
 have 45: $\vdash di(f \wedge_i di\ g) \vee_i di(g \wedge_i di\ f) \supset_i di\ f \wedge_i di\ g$
 using 39 44 *prop30* by blast
 from 34 45 show ?thesis using *itl-prop(31)* by blast
 qed

lemma *DsAndDsEqvDsAndDiOrDsAndDi*:

$\vdash ds\ f \wedge_i ds\ g \equiv_i ds\ (f \wedge_i di\ g) \vee_i ds\ (g \wedge_i di\ f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i di\ g \equiv_i di\ (f \wedge_i di\ g) \vee_i di\ (g \wedge_i di\ f)$

by (*rule DiAndDiEqvDiAndDiOrDiAndDi*)

hence 2: $\vdash (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g);skip \equiv_i (di\ (f \wedge_i di\ g) \vee_i di\ (g \wedge_i di\ f));skip$

by (*rule LeftChopEqvChop*)

have 3: $\vdash (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g);skip \equiv_i (di\ f);skip \wedge_i (di\ g);skip$

using *ChopSkipAndChopSkip* **using** *itl-prop(30)* **by** *blast*

have 4: $\vdash (di\ f);skip \wedge_i (di\ g);skip \equiv_i (di\ (f \wedge_i di\ g) \vee_i di\ (g \wedge_i di\ f));skip$

using 2 3 **by** *auto*

have 5: $\vdash (di\ (f \wedge_i di\ g) \vee_i di\ (g \wedge_i di\ f));skip \equiv_i di\ (f \wedge_i di\ g);skip \vee_i di\ (g \wedge_i di\ f);skip$

using *OrChopEqv* **by** *blast*

have 6: $\vdash ds\ f \equiv_i (di\ f);skip$

using *DsDi* **by** *blast*

have 7: $\vdash ds\ g \equiv_i (di\ g);skip$

using *DsDi* **by** *blast*

have 8: $\vdash (di\ f);skip \wedge_i (di\ g);skip \equiv_i ds\ f \wedge_i ds\ g$

using 6 7 **by** *auto*

have 9: $\vdash ds\ (f \wedge_i di\ g) \equiv_i di\ (f \wedge_i di\ g);skip$

using *DsDi* **by** *blast*

have 10: $\vdash ds\ (g \wedge_i di\ f) \equiv_i di\ (g \wedge_i di\ f);skip$

using *DsDi* **by** *blast*

have 11: $\vdash di\ (f \wedge_i di\ g);skip \vee_i di\ (g \wedge_i di\ f);skip \equiv_i ds\ (f \wedge_i di\ g) \vee_i ds\ (g \wedge_i di\ f)$

using 9 10 **by** *auto*

from 4 5 8 11 **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *BsEqvBiMoreImpChop*:

$\vdash bs\ f \equiv_i bi\ (more \supset_i f;skip)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash bs\ f \equiv_i empty \vee_i (bi\ f;skip)$

by (*simp add: bs-d-def*)

have 2: $\vdash \neg_i(\neg_i(bi\ f);skip) \equiv_i empty \vee_i (bi\ f;skip)$

using *NotNotChopSkip* **by** *blast*

have 3: $\vdash \neg_i(\neg_i(bi\ f);skip) \equiv_i \neg_i(di\ \neg_i f;skip)$

by *auto*

have 4: $\vdash \neg_i(di\ \neg_i f;skip) \equiv_i \neg_i((\neg_i f;true_i);skip)$

by (*simp add: di-d-def*)

have 5: $\vdash \neg_i((\neg_i f;true_i);skip) \equiv_i \neg_i(\neg_i f;(true_i;skip))$

using *ChopAssocB prop01* **by** *blast*

have 6: $\vdash \neg_i(\neg_i f;(true_i;skip)) \equiv_i \neg_i(\neg_i f;(skip;true_i))$

using *SkipTrueEqvTrueSkip* **using** *TrueChopSkipEqvSkipChopTrue RightChopEqvChop prop01* **by** *blast*

have 7: $\vdash \neg_i(\neg_i f;(skip;true_i)) \equiv_i \neg_i((\neg_i f;skip);true_i)$

using *ChopAssoc prop01* **by** *blast*

have 8: $\vdash \neg_i((\neg_i f;skip);true_i) \equiv_i \neg_i(di\ (\neg_i f;skip))$

by (*simp add: di-d-def*)

have 9: $\vdash \neg_i(di\ (\neg_i f;skip)) \equiv_i bi\ (\neg_i(\neg_i f;skip))$

using *NotDiEqvBiNot* **by** *blast*

have 10: $\vdash bi\ (\neg_i(\neg_i f;skip)) \equiv_i bi\ (empty \vee_i (f;skip))$

using *NotNotChopSkip* using *BiEqvBi* by *blast*
 have 11: $\vdash bi(\text{empty} \vee_i (f; \text{skip})) \equiv_i bi(\neg_i \text{more} \vee_i (f; \text{skip}))$
 by *auto*
 from 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 show ?thesis by *auto*
 qed

6.4.3 First occurrence

lemma *FstWithAndImp*:

$\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i g \supset_i \triangleright (f \wedge_i g)$
 proof –
 have 1: $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i g \equiv_i f \wedge_i (bs \neg_i f) \wedge_i g$
 by (*simp add: first-d-def*)
 have 2: $\vdash f \wedge_i (bs \neg_i f) \wedge_i g \equiv_i f \wedge_i \neg_i (ds f) \wedge_i g$
 using *NotDsEqvBsNot* using *itl-prop(30)* *prop05* *prop06* by *blast*
 have 3: $\vdash \neg_i (ds f) \supset_i \neg_i (ds(f \wedge_i g))$
 using *DsAndImpElimL* using *prop27* by *blast*
 hence 4: $\vdash f \wedge_i \neg_i (ds f) \wedge_i g \supset_i f \wedge_i g \wedge_i \neg_i (ds(f \wedge_i g))$
 by *auto*
 have 5: $\vdash f \wedge_i g \wedge_i \neg_i (ds(f \wedge_i g)) \equiv_i f \wedge_i g \wedge_i (bs \neg_i (f \wedge_i g))$
 using *NotDsEqvBsNot* using *prop05* by *blast*
 have 6: $\vdash f \wedge_i g \wedge_i (bs \neg_i (f \wedge_i g)) \equiv_i \triangleright (f \wedge_i g)$
 by (*simp add: first-d-def*)
 from 1 2 4 5 6 show ?thesis by *auto*
 qed

lemma *FstWithOrEqv*:

$\vdash \triangleright (f \vee_i g) \equiv_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i bs \neg_i g) \vee_i (\triangleright g \wedge_i bs \neg_i f)$
 proof –
 have 1: $\vdash \triangleright (f \vee_i g) \equiv_i (f \vee_i g) \wedge_i bs \neg_i (f \vee_i g)$
 by (*simp add: first-d-def*)
 have 2: $\vdash \neg_i (f \vee_i g) \equiv_i (\neg_i f \wedge_i \neg_i g)$
 by *auto*
 hence 3: $\vdash bs \neg_i (f \vee_i g) \equiv_i bs (\neg_i f \wedge_i \neg_i g)$
 using *BsEqvRule* by *blast*
 have 4: $\vdash bs (\neg_i f \wedge_i \neg_i g) \equiv_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i bs \neg_i g$
 using *BsAndEqv* *itl-prop(30)* by *blast*
 have 5: $\vdash (f \vee_i g) \wedge_i bs \neg_i (f \vee_i g) \equiv_i (f \vee_i g) \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i bs \neg_i g$
 using 3 4 by *auto*
 have 6: $\vdash (f \vee_i g) \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i bs \neg_i g \equiv_i$
 $(f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i bs \neg_i g) \vee_i (g \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i bs \neg_i g)$
 by *auto*
 have 7: $\vdash (f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i bs \neg_i g) \equiv_i \triangleright f \wedge_i bs \neg_i g$
 by (*simp add: first-d-def*)
 have 8: $\vdash (g \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i bs \neg_i g) \equiv_i (g \wedge_i bs \neg_i g \wedge_i bs \neg_i f)$
 by *auto*
 have 9: $\vdash (g \wedge_i bs \neg_i g \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \equiv_i \triangleright g \wedge_i bs \neg_i f$
 by (*simp add: first-d-def*)
 have 10: $\vdash (f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i bs \neg_i g) \vee_i (g \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i bs \neg_i g) \equiv_i$
 $(\triangleright f \wedge_i bs \neg_i g) \vee_i (\triangleright g \wedge_i bs \neg_i f)$

using 7 8 9 by auto
 from 1 5 6 10 show ?thesis by auto
 qed

lemma FstFstAndEqvFstAnd:

$\vdash \triangleright(\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \equiv_i \triangleright f \wedge_i g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i g \equiv_i f \wedge_i (bs \neg_i f) \wedge_i g$ by (simp add: first-d-def)
 hence 2: $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i g \supset_i (bs \neg_i f)$ by auto
 hence 3: $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i g \supset_i \triangleright f \wedge_i g \wedge_i (bs \neg_i f)$ by auto
 have 4: $\vdash \neg_i f \supset_i \neg_i f \vee_i \neg_i (bs \neg_i f) \vee_i \neg_i g$ by auto
 hence 5: $\vdash bs (\neg_i f) \supset_i bs(\neg_i f \vee_i \neg_i (bs \neg_i f) \vee_i \neg_i g)$ using BslmpBsRule by blast
 have 6: $\vdash \neg_i f \vee_i \neg_i (bs \neg_i f) \vee_i \neg_i g \equiv_i \neg_i (f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i g)$ by auto
 hence 7: $\vdash bs(\neg_i f \vee_i \neg_i (bs \neg_i f) \vee_i \neg_i g) \equiv_i bs(\neg_i (f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i g))$ using BsEqvRule by blast
 have 8: $\vdash f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i g \equiv_i \triangleright f \wedge_i g$ by (simp add: first-d-def)
 hence 9: $\vdash \neg_i (f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i g) \equiv_i \neg_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g)$ by auto
 hence 10: $\vdash bs \neg_i (f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i g) \equiv_i bs \neg_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g)$ using BsEqvRule by blast
 have 11: $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i g \supset_i \triangleright f \wedge_i g \wedge_i bs \neg_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g)$ using 3 5 7 10 by auto
 hence 12: $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i g \supset_i \triangleright(\triangleright f \wedge_i g)$ by (simp add: first-d-def)
 have 13: $\vdash \triangleright(\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \equiv_i \triangleright f \wedge_i g \wedge_i bs \neg_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g)$ by (simp add: first-d-def)
 hence 14: $\vdash \triangleright(\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \supset_i \triangleright f \wedge_i g$ by auto
 from 12 14 show ?thesis using itl-prop(31) by blast
 qed

lemma FstTrue:

$\vdash \triangleright true_i \equiv_i empty$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright true_i \equiv_i true_i \wedge_i bs \neg_i true_i$ by (simp add: first-d-def)
 have 2: $\vdash bs \neg_i true_i \equiv_i empty \vee_i (bi \neg_i true_i);skip$ by (simp add: bs-d-def)
 have 3: $\vdash \neg_i (bi \neg_i true_i)$ by auto
 hence 4: $\vdash \neg_i ((bi \neg_i true_i);skip)$ by auto
 have 5: $\vdash bs \neg_i true_i \equiv_i empty$ using 2 4 by auto
 from 1 5 show ?thesis by auto
 qed

lemma FstFalse:

$\vdash \neg_i (\triangleright false_i)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright false_i \equiv_i false_i \wedge_i bs true_i$ by (simp add: first-d-def)
 from 1 show ?thesis by auto

qed

lemma FstChopFalseEqvFalse:

$\vdash \neg_i (\triangleright f ; false_i)$

by auto

lemma FstEmpty:

$\vdash \triangleright empty \equiv_i empty$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright empty \equiv_i empty \wedge_i bs \neg_i empty$ by (simp add: first-d-def)

have 2: $\vdash bs \neg_i \text{empty} \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i bi \neg_i \text{empty}; \text{skip}$ **by** (simp add: bs-d-def)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma *FstAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*:

$\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i f \wedge_i \text{empty}$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i \text{empty}$ **by** (simp add: first-d-def)

have 2: $\vdash bs \neg_i f \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i bi \neg_i f; \text{skip}$ **by** (simp add: bs-d-def)

from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *FstEmptyOrEqvEmpty*:

$\vdash \triangleright(\text{empty} \vee_i f) \equiv_i \text{empty}$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright(\text{empty} \vee_i f) \equiv_i (\triangleright \text{empty} \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \vee_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i bs \neg_i \text{empty})$ **using** *FstWithOrEqv* **by** blast

have 2: $\vdash \neg_i \text{empty} \equiv_i \text{more}$ **by** auto

hence 3: $\vdash bs \neg_i \text{empty} \equiv_i bs \text{more}$ **using** *BsEqvRule* **by** blast

have 4: $\vdash bs \text{more} \equiv_i \text{empty}$ **using** *BsMoreEqvEmpty* **by** blast

have 5: $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i bs \neg_i \text{empty} \equiv_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i \text{empty})$ **using** 3 4 **by** auto

have 6: $\vdash \triangleright \text{empty} \equiv_i \text{empty}$ **using** *FstEmpty* **by** blast

hence 7: $\vdash (\triangleright \text{empty} \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \equiv_i (\text{empty} \wedge_i bs \neg_i f)$ **by** auto

have 8: $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \equiv_i \text{empty} \wedge_i (\text{empty} \vee_i bi \neg_i f; \text{skip})$ **by** (simp add: bs-d-def)

have 9: $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i (\text{empty} \vee_i bi \neg_i f; \text{skip}) \equiv_i \text{empty}$ **by** auto

have 10: $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \equiv_i \text{empty}$ **using** 8 9 **by** auto

have 11: $\vdash (\triangleright \text{empty} \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \vee_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i bs \neg_i \text{empty}) \equiv_i$
 $\text{empty} \vee_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i \text{empty})$ **using** 7 10 5 **by** auto

have 12: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i \text{empty}) \equiv_i \text{empty}$ **by** auto

from 1 11 12 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *ChopEmptyAndEmpty*:

$\vdash f;g \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i f \wedge_i g \wedge_i \text{empty}$

apply *simp-all*

by (metis interval-prefix-intlen interval-suffix-zero le-zero-eq)

lemma *FstChopEmptyEqvFstChopFstEmpty*:

$\vdash \triangleright f;g \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i \triangleright f; \triangleright g \wedge_i \text{empty}$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright f;g \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i \triangleright f \wedge_i g \wedge_i \text{empty}$ **using** *ChopEmptyAndEmpty* **by** blast

have 2: $\vdash g \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i \triangleright g \wedge_i \text{empty}$ **using** *FstAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty* **using** *itl-prop(30)* **by** blast

hence 3: $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i g \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i \triangleright f \wedge_i \triangleright g \wedge_i \text{empty}$ **by** auto

have 4: $\vdash \triangleright f; \triangleright g \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i \triangleright f \wedge_i \triangleright g \wedge_i \text{empty}$ **using** *ChopEmptyAndEmpty* **by** blast

from 1 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *ChopSkipImpMore*:

$\vdash f; \text{skip} \supset_i \text{more}$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \neg_i(f; \text{skip} \wedge_i \text{empty})$ **by** auto

hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i(f;skip) \vee_i more$ **by** *auto*
 from 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *MoreEqvMoreChopTrue*:

$\vdash more \equiv_i more;true_i$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash more \equiv_i skip;true_i$

using *MoreEqvSkipChopTrue* **by** *blast*

have 2: $\vdash true_i \equiv_i true_i;true_i$

by *auto*

hence 3: $\vdash skip;true_i \equiv_i skip;(true_i;true_i)$

using *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*

have 4: $\vdash skip;(true_i;true_i) \equiv_i (skip;true_i);true_i$

using *ChopAssoc* **by** *blast*

have 5: $\vdash (skip;true_i);true_i \equiv_i more;true_i$

using *MoreEqvSkipChopTrue* **by** (*simp add: more-d-def next-d-def*)

from 1 3 4 5 **show** *?thesis* **using** *prop03* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *BiEmptyEqvEmpty*:

$\vdash bi\ empty \equiv_i empty$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash bi\ empty \equiv_i \neg_i(di\ \neg_i\ empty)$ **by** (*simp add: bi-d-def*)

have 2: $\vdash \neg_i(di\ \neg_i\ empty) \equiv_i \neg_i(\neg_i\ empty;true_i)$ **by** (*simp add: di-d-def*)

have 3: $\vdash \neg_i(\neg_i\ empty;true_i) \equiv_i \neg_i(more;true_i)$ **by** *auto*

have 4: $\vdash more;true_i \equiv_i more$ **using** *MoreEqvMoreChopTrue* **by** *auto*

hence 5: $\vdash \neg_i(more;true_i) \equiv_i \neg_i\ more$ **using** *prop01* **by** *blast*

from 1 2 3 5 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *FstMoreEqvSkip*:

$\vdash \triangleright more \equiv_i skip$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright more \equiv_i more \wedge_i bs\ \neg_i\ more$ **by** (*simp add: first-d-def*)

have 2: $\vdash more \wedge_i bs\ \neg_i\ more \equiv_i more \wedge_i (empty\ \vee_i\ bi\ \neg_i\ more;skip)$ **by** (*simp add: bs-d-def*)

have 3: $\vdash more \wedge_i (empty\ \vee_i\ bi\ \neg_i\ more;skip) \equiv_i more \wedge_i bi\ \neg_i\ more;skip$ **by** *auto*

have 4: $\vdash more \wedge_i ((bi\ \neg_i\ more);skip) \equiv_i ((bi\ \neg_i\ more);skip)$ **using** *ChopSkipImpMore* **by** *auto*

have 5: $\vdash ((bi\ \neg_i\ more);skip) \equiv_i bi\ empty;skip$ **by** *auto*

have 6: $\vdash bi\ empty \equiv_i empty$ **using** *BiEmptyEqvEmpty* **by** *auto*

hence 7: $\vdash bi\ empty;skip \equiv_i empty;skip$ **using** *LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*

have 8: $\vdash empty;skip \equiv_i skip$ **using** *EmptyChop* **by** *blast*

from 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis prop03*)

qed

lemma *FstEqvBsNotAndDi*:

$\vdash \triangleright f \equiv_i bs\ \neg_i\ f \wedge_i di\ f$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash bs\ \neg_i\ f \equiv_i \neg_i(ds\ f)$ **by** (*simp add: ds-d-def*)

hence 2: $\vdash bs\ \neg_i\ f \wedge_i di\ f \equiv_i \neg_i(ds\ f) \wedge_i di\ f$ **by** *auto*

have 3: $\vdash di\ f \equiv_i (ds\ f \vee_i f)$ **using** *OrDsEqvDi* **by** *auto*
hence 4: $\vdash \neg_i(ds\ f) \wedge_i di\ f \equiv_i \neg_i(ds\ f) \wedge_i (ds\ f \vee_i f)$ **by** *auto*
have 5: $\vdash \neg_i(ds\ f) \wedge_i (ds\ f \vee_i f) \equiv_i \neg_i(ds\ f) \wedge_i f$ **by** *auto*
have 6: $\vdash \neg_i(ds\ f) \wedge_i f \equiv_i f \wedge_i bs\ \neg_i f$ **using** 1 **by** *auto*
from 2 4 5 6 **show** ?thesis **by** (*simp add: first-d-def*)
qed

lemma *FstOrDiEqvDi*:

$\vdash \triangleright f \vee_i di\ f \equiv_i di\ f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright f \vee_i di\ f \equiv_i (f \wedge_i bs\ \neg_i f) \vee_i di\ f$ **by** (*simp add: first-d-def*)
have 2: $\vdash (f \wedge_i bs\ \neg_i f) \vee_i di\ f \equiv_i (f \vee_i di\ f) \wedge_i (bs\ \neg_i f \vee_i di\ f)$ **by** *auto*
have 3: $\vdash (f \vee_i di\ f) \equiv_i di\ f$ **by** *auto*
hence 4: $\vdash (f \vee_i di\ f) \wedge_i (bs\ \neg_i f \vee_i di\ f) \equiv_i di\ f \wedge_i (bs\ \neg_i f \vee_i di\ f)$ **by** *auto*
have 5: $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i (bs\ \neg_i f \vee_i di\ f) \equiv_i di\ f$ **by** *auto*
from 1 2 4 5 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *FstAndDiEqvFst*:

$\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i di\ f \equiv_i \triangleright f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i di\ f \equiv_i f \wedge_i bs\ \neg_i f \wedge_i di\ f$ **by** (*simp add: first-d-def*)
have 2: $\vdash f \wedge_i di\ f \equiv_i f$ **by** *auto*
hence 3: $\vdash f \wedge_i bs\ \neg_i f \wedge_i di\ f \equiv_i f \wedge_i bs\ \neg_i f$ **by** *auto*
from 1 3 **show** ?thesis **by** (*simp add: first-d-def*)
qed

lemma *EmptyChopSkipInduct*:

assumes $\vdash empty \supset_i f$

$\vdash prev\ f \supset_i f$

shows $\vdash f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash empty \supset_i f$ **using** *assms(1)* **by** *auto*
have 2: $\vdash prev\ f \supset_i f$ **using** *assms(2)* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash (empty \vee_i prev\ f) \supset_i f$ **using** 1 2 *prop30* **by** *blast*
have 4: $\vdash wprev\ f \equiv_i (empty \vee_i prev\ f)$ **by** *auto*
hence 5: $\vdash wprev\ f \supset_i f$ **using** 3 **using** *itl-prop(31)* *prop02* **by** *blast*
hence 6: $\vdash \neg_i f \supset_i \neg_i (wprev\ f)$ **using** *prop27* **by** *blast*
hence 7: $\vdash \neg_i f \supset_i prev\ (\neg_i f)$ **by** *auto*
hence 8: $\vdash \neg_i \neg_i f$ **by** (*rule PrevLoop*)
from 8 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *DiAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty*:

$\vdash di\ f \wedge_i empty \equiv_i f \wedge_i empty$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash di\ f \equiv_i (f \vee_i di\ f; skip)$ **using** *DiEqvOrDiChopSkipB* **by** *blast*
hence 2: $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i empty \equiv_i (f \vee_i di\ f; skip) \wedge_i empty$ **using** *prop06* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash (f \vee_i di\ f; skip) \wedge_i empty \equiv_i (f \wedge_i empty) \vee_i (di\ f; skip \wedge_i empty)$ **by** *auto*

have 4: $\vdash \neg_i(di\ f;skip \wedge_i empty)$ **by auto**
hence 5 : $\vdash (f \wedge_i empty) \vee_i (di\ f;skip \wedge_i empty) \equiv_i (f \wedge_i empty)$ **by auto**
from 2 3 5 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma MoreImplmpChopSkipEqv:

$\vdash more \supset_i ((f \supset_i g);skip \equiv_i ((f;skip) \supset_i (g;skip)))$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash more \wedge_i (f \supset_i g);skip \equiv_i more \wedge_i (\neg_i f \vee_i g);skip$
by auto
have 2: $\vdash (\neg_i f \vee_i g);skip \equiv_i \neg_i f;skip \vee_i g;skip$
using OrChopEqv by auto
hence 3: $\vdash more \wedge_i (\neg_i f \vee_i g);skip \equiv_i more \wedge_i (\neg_i f;skip \vee_i g;skip)$
by auto
have 4: $\vdash \neg_i(\neg_i f;skip) \equiv_i empty \vee_i (f;skip)$
using NotNotChopSkip by blast
hence 5: $\vdash (\neg_i f;skip) \equiv_i \neg_i(empty \vee_i (f;skip))$
using itl-prop(30) itl-prop(33) itl-prop(4) prop03 by blast
have 6: $\vdash \neg_i(empty \vee_i (f;skip)) \equiv_i (more \wedge_i \neg_i(f;skip))$
by auto
have 7: $\vdash (\neg_i f;skip \vee_i g;skip) \equiv_i ((more \wedge_i \neg_i(f;skip)) \vee_i g;skip)$
using 5 6 by auto
hence 8: $\vdash more \wedge_i (\neg_i f;skip \vee_i g;skip) \equiv_i more \wedge_i ((more \wedge_i \neg_i(f;skip)) \vee_i g;skip)$
by auto
have 9: $\vdash more \wedge_i ((more \wedge_i \neg_i(f;skip)) \vee_i g;skip) \equiv_i more \wedge_i (\neg_i(f;skip) \vee_i g;skip)$
by auto
have 10: $\vdash more \wedge_i (\neg_i(f;skip) \vee_i g;skip) \equiv_i more \wedge_i ((f;skip) \supset_i (g;skip))$
by auto
have 11: $\vdash more \wedge_i (f \supset_i g);skip \equiv_i more \wedge_i ((f;skip) \supset_i (g;skip))$
using 1 2 3 8 9 10 by auto
from 11 show ?thesis using prop31 using MP itl-prop(31) by blast
qed

lemma MoreImplmpPrevEqv:

$\vdash more \supset_i (prev(f \supset_i g) \equiv_i (prev\ f \supset_i prev\ g))$

using MoreImplmpChopSkipEqv by auto

lemma DiEqvDiFst:

$\vdash di\ f \equiv_i di\ (\triangleright f)$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash di\ (\triangleright f) \equiv_i di\ (f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f)$
by (simp add: first-d-def)
have 2: $\vdash di\ (f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \supset_i di\ f \wedge_i di\ (bs \neg_i f)$
using DiAndImpAnd by auto
hence 3: $\vdash di\ (f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \supset_i di\ f$
by auto
have 4: $\vdash di\ (\triangleright f) \supset_i di\ f$ **using 1 3**
by auto
have 5: $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i empty \equiv_i f \wedge_i empty$
using DiAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty by blast


```

have 6:  $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i f \wedge_i \text{empty}$ 
  using FstAndEmptyEqvAndEmpty by auto
have 7:  $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i \text{empty} \supset_i \triangleright f$ 
  using 5 6 by auto
have 8:  $\vdash \triangleright f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f)$ 
  using DilIntro by auto
have 9:  $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i \text{empty} \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f)$ 
  using 7 8 using prop02 by blast
hence 10:  $\vdash \text{empty} \supset_i (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f))$ 
  by auto
have 11:  $\vdash \text{prev}\ (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f)) \supset_i \text{more}$ 
  by auto
have 12:  $\vdash \text{more} \supset_i (\text{prev}\ (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f)) \equiv_i (\text{prev}(di\ f) \supset_i \text{prev}(di\ (\triangleright f))))$ 
  using MoreImplmpPrevEqv by auto
have 13:  $\vdash \text{more} \wedge_i \text{prev}\ (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f)) \equiv_i \text{more} \wedge_i (\text{prev}(di\ f) \supset_i \text{prev}(di\ (\triangleright f)))$ 
  using 12 prop31 by auto
have 14:  $\vdash \text{prev}\ (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f)) \equiv_i \text{more} \wedge_i (\text{prev}(di\ f) \supset_i \text{prev}(di\ (\triangleright f)))$ 
  using 11 by auto
have 15:  $\vdash di\ f \equiv_i f \vee_i ds\ f$ 
  using OrDsEqvDi by auto
have 16:  $\vdash di\ f \equiv_i di\ f \wedge_i (bs \neg_i f \vee_i \neg_i (bs \neg_i f))$ 
  by auto
have 17:  $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i (bs \neg_i f \vee_i \neg_i (bs \neg_i f)) \equiv_i (di\ f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \vee_i (di\ f \wedge_i \neg_i (bs \neg_i f))$ 
  by auto
have 18:  $\vdash (di\ f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \equiv_i (f \vee_i ds\ f) \wedge_i bs \neg_i f$ 
  using 15 by auto
have 19:  $\vdash (f \vee_i ds\ f) \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \equiv_i (f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \vee_i (ds\ f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f)$ 
  by auto
have 20:  $\vdash \neg_i (ds\ f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f)$ 
  by (simp add: ds-d-def)
have 21:  $\vdash (f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \vee_i (ds\ f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \equiv_i (f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f)$ 
  using 20 by auto
have 22:  $\vdash (di\ f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \equiv_i (f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f)$ 
  using 18 19 21 by auto
have 23:  $\vdash (f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \equiv_i \triangleright f$ 
  by (simp add: first-d-def)
have 24:  $\vdash (\triangleright f) \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f)$ 
  using DilIntro by auto
have 25:  $\vdash (f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f)$ 
  using 23 24 by auto
have 26:  $\vdash (di\ f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f)$ 
  using 25 22 by auto
hence 27:  $\vdash (di\ f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i (\text{prev}\ (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f)))) \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f)$ 
  by auto
have 28:  $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i \neg_i (bs \neg_i f) \equiv_i di\ f \wedge_i ds\ f$ 
  by (simp add: ds-d-def)
hence 29:  $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i \neg_i (bs \neg_i f) \wedge_i (\text{prev}\ (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f))) \equiv_i$ 
   $di\ f \wedge_i ds\ f \wedge_i (\text{prev}\ (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f)))$ 
  by auto
have 30:  $\vdash ds\ f \equiv_i \text{prev}(di\ f)$ 

```

using *DsDi* by (*metis prev-d-def*)
 hence 31: $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i ds\ f \wedge_i (prev\ (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f))) \equiv_i$
 $di\ f \wedge_i prev(di\ f) \wedge_i (prev\ (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f)))$
 by *auto*
 have 32: $\vdash prev\ (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f)) \supset_i (prev(di\ f) \supset_i prev(di\ (\triangleright f)))$
 using 14 by *auto*
 hence 33: $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i prev(di\ f) \wedge_i prev\ (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f)) \supset_i$
 $di\ f \wedge_i prev(di\ f) \wedge_i (prev(di\ f) \supset_i prev(di\ (\triangleright f)))$
 by *auto*
 have 34: $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i prev(di\ f) \wedge_i (prev(di\ f) \supset_i prev(di\ (\triangleright f))) \supset_i prev(di\ (\triangleright f))$
 by *auto*
 have 35: $\vdash prev(di\ (\triangleright f)) \equiv_i (di\ (\triangleright f)); skip$
 by (*simp add: prev-d-def*)
 have 36: $\vdash (di\ (\triangleright f)); skip \supset_i di(di\ (\triangleright f))$
 using *ChopImpDi* by *auto*
 have 37: $\vdash di(di\ (\triangleright f)) \equiv_i di\ (\triangleright f)$
 using *DiEqvDiDi* using *itl-prop(30)* by *blast*
 have 38: $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i prev(di\ f) \wedge_i (prev(di\ f) \supset_i prev(di\ (\triangleright f))) \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f)$
 using 37 36 35 34 *itl-prop(31) prop02* by *blast*
 have 39: $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i \neg_i (bs \neg_i f) \wedge_i (prev\ (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f))) \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f)$
 using 29 31 33 38 by (*meson itl-prop(31) prop02*)
 hence 40: $\vdash \neg_i (bs \neg_i f) \wedge_i (prev\ (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f))) \supset_i (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f))$
 using *prop32* by *blast*
 have 41: $\vdash bs \neg_i f \wedge_i (prev\ (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f))) \supset_i (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f))$
 using 27 *prop32* by *blast*
 have 42: $\vdash (\neg_i (bs \neg_i f) \vee_i bs \neg_i f) \wedge_i (prev\ (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f))) \supset_i (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f))$
 using 40 41 *prop33* by *blast*
 have 43: $\vdash (\neg_i (bs \neg_i f) \vee_i bs \neg_i f)$
 by *auto*
 have 44: $\vdash (prev\ (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f))) \supset_i (di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f))$
 using 42 43 *prop34* by *blast*
 have 45: $\vdash di\ f \supset_i di\ (\triangleright f)$
 using 10 44 *EmptyChopSkipInduct* by *blast*
 from 4 45 show ?thesis by *auto*
 qed

lemma *FstDiEqvFst*:

$\vdash \triangleright(di\ f) \equiv_i \triangleright f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright(di\ f) \equiv_i di\ f \wedge_i bs \neg_i (di\ f)$ by (*simp add: first-d-def*)
 have 2: $\vdash \neg_i (di\ f) \equiv_i bi \neg_i f$ by *auto*
 hence 3: $\vdash bs \neg_i (di\ f) \equiv_i bs (bi \neg_i f)$ using *BsEqvRule* by *blast*
 have 4: $\vdash bs (bi \neg_i f) \equiv_i bs (\neg_i f)$ using *BsEqvBsBi* using *itl-prop(30)* by *blast*
 hence 5: $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i bs \neg_i (di\ f) \equiv_i di\ f \wedge_i bs (\neg_i f)$ using 3 by *auto*
 have 6: $\vdash di\ f \equiv_i f \vee_i ds\ f$ using *OrDsEqvDi* using *itl-prop(30)* by *blast*
 hence 7: $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i bs (\neg_i f) \equiv_i (f \vee_i ds\ f) \wedge_i bs (\neg_i f)$ by *auto*
 have 8: $\vdash (f \vee_i ds\ f) \wedge_i bs (\neg_i f) \equiv_i (f \wedge_i bs (\neg_i f)) \vee_i (ds\ f \wedge_i bs (\neg_i f))$ by *auto*
 have 9: $\vdash \neg_i (ds\ f \wedge_i bs (\neg_i f))$ by (*simp add: ds-d-def*)
 have 10: $\vdash (f \wedge_i bs (\neg_i f)) \equiv_i \triangleright f$ by (*simp add: first-d-def*)
 from 1 5 7 8 9 10 show ?thesis by *auto*

qed

lemma *DiAndFstOrEqvFstOrDiAnd*:

$\vdash di\ f \wedge_i (\triangleright f \vee_i g) \equiv_i \triangleright f \vee_i (di\ f \wedge_i g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i (\triangleright f \vee_i g) \equiv_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i di\ f) \vee_i (di\ f \wedge_i g)$ **by** *auto*

have 2: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i di\ f) \equiv_i \triangleright f$ **using** *FstAndDiEqvFst* **by** *blast*

from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *DiOrFstAndEqvDi*:

$\vdash di\ f \vee_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \equiv_i di\ f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash di\ f \vee_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \equiv_i (\triangleright f \vee_i di\ f) \wedge_i (di\ f \vee_i g)$ **by** *auto*

have 2: $\vdash (\triangleright f \vee_i di\ f) \equiv_i di\ f$ **using** *FstOrDiEqvDi* **by** *blast*

from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *FstDiAndDiEqv*:

$\vdash \triangleright (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g) \equiv_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i di\ g) \vee_i (\triangleright g \wedge_i di\ f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g) \equiv_i di\ f \wedge_i di\ g \wedge_i bs\ \neg_i (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g)$ **by** (*simp add: first-d-def*)

have 2: $\vdash \neg_i (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g) \equiv_i bi\ \neg_i f \vee_i bi\ \neg_i g$ **by** *auto*

hence 3: $\vdash bs\ \neg_i (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g) \equiv_i bs\ (bi\ \neg_i f \vee_i bi\ \neg_i g)$ **using** *BsEqvRule* **by** *blast*

hence 4: $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i di\ g \wedge_i bs\ \neg_i (di\ f \wedge_i di\ g) \equiv_i$
 $di\ f \wedge_i di\ g \wedge_i bs\ (bi\ \neg_i f \vee_i bi\ \neg_i g)$ **by** *auto*

have 5: $\vdash bs\ \neg_i f \vee_i bs\ \neg_i g \equiv_i bs\ (bi\ \neg_i f \vee_i bi\ \neg_i g)$ **using** *BsOrBsEqvBsBiOrBi* **by** *blast*

hence 6: $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i di\ g \wedge_i bs\ (bi\ \neg_i f \vee_i bi\ \neg_i g) \equiv_i$
 $di\ f \wedge_i di\ g \wedge_i (bs\ \neg_i f \vee_i bs\ \neg_i g)$ **by** *auto*

have 7: $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i di\ g \wedge_i (bs\ \neg_i f \vee_i bs\ \neg_i g) \equiv_i$
 $(bs\ \neg_i f \wedge_i di\ f \wedge_i di\ g) \vee_i (di\ f \wedge_i bs\ \neg_i g \wedge_i di\ g)$ **by** *auto*

have 8: $\vdash \triangleright f \equiv_i bs\ \neg_i f \wedge_i di\ f$ **using** *FstEqvBsNotAndDi* **by** *blast*

hence 9: $\vdash bs\ \neg_i f \wedge_i di\ f \wedge_i di\ g \equiv_i \triangleright f \wedge_i di\ g$ **by** *auto*

have 10: $\vdash \triangleright g \equiv_i bs\ \neg_i g \wedge_i di\ g$ **using** *FstEqvBsNotAndDi* **by** *blast*

hence 11: $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i bs\ \neg_i g \wedge_i di\ g \equiv_i di\ f \wedge_i \triangleright g$ **by** *auto*

have 12: $\vdash di\ f \wedge_i di\ g \wedge_i (bs\ \neg_i f \vee_i bs\ \neg_i g) \equiv_i$
 $(\triangleright f \wedge_i di\ g) \vee_i (di\ f \wedge_i \triangleright g)$ **using** 7 9 11 **by** *auto*

from 1 4 6 12 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *BiNotFstEqvBiNot*:

$\vdash bi\ \neg_i (\triangleright f) \equiv_i bi\ \neg_i f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash di\ f \equiv_i di\ (\triangleright f)$ **using** *DiEqvDiFst* **by** *blast*

hence 2: $\vdash \neg_i (di\ f) \equiv_i \neg_i (di\ (\triangleright f))$ **by** *auto*

from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **using** *NotDiEqvBiNot* *itl-prop(30)* *prop03* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *BsNotFstEqvBsNot*:

$\vdash bs\ \neg_i (\triangleright f) \equiv_i bs\ \neg_i f$

proof –
have 1: $\vdash bs \neg_i (\triangleright f) \equiv_i empty \vee_i bi \neg_i (\triangleright f);skip$ **by** (simp add: bs-d-def)
have 2: $\vdash bi \neg_i (\triangleright f) \equiv_i bi \neg_i f$ **using** BiNotFstEqvBiNot **by** blast
hence 3: $\vdash bi \neg_i (\triangleright f);skip \equiv_i bi \neg_i f;skip$ **using** LeftChopEqvChop **by** blast
hence 4: $\vdash empty \vee_i bi \neg_i (\triangleright f);skip \equiv_i empty \vee_i bi \neg_i f;skip$ **by** auto
from 1 4 **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: bs-d-def)
qed

lemma BsFalseEqvEmpty:

$\vdash bs false_i \equiv_i empty$

proof –
have 1: $\vdash bs false_i \equiv_i empty \vee_i bi false_i;skip$ **by** (simp add: bs-d-def)
have 2: $\vdash \neg_i(bi false_i;skip)$ **by** auto
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma FstState:

$\vdash \triangleright (init w) \equiv_i empty \wedge_i init w$

proof –
have 1: $\vdash \triangleright (init w) \equiv_i init w \wedge_i bs \neg_i(init w)$ **by** (simp add: first-d-def)
hence 2: $\vdash \triangleright (init w) \supset_i init w$ **by** auto
have 3: $\vdash init w \supset_i bs (init w)$ **using** StateImpBs **by** auto
have 4: $\vdash \triangleright (init w) \supset_i bs (init w)$ **using** 2 3 **by** auto
have 5: $\vdash \triangleright (init w) \supset_i bs \neg_i(init w)$ **using** 1 **by** auto
have 6: $\vdash \triangleright (init w) \supset_i bs (init w) \wedge_i bs \neg_i(init w)$ **using** 4 5 **by** auto
have 7: $\vdash bs (init w) \wedge_i bs \neg_i(init w) \equiv_i bs((init w) \wedge_i \neg_i(init w))$ **using** BsAndEqv **by** blast
have 8: $\vdash (init w) \wedge_i \neg_i(init w) \equiv_i false_i$ **by** auto
hence 9: $\vdash bs((init w) \wedge_i \neg_i(init w)) \equiv_i bs false_i$ **using** BsEqvRule **by** blast
have 10: $\vdash bs false_i \equiv_i empty$ **using** BsFalseEqvEmpty **by** auto
have 11: $\vdash \triangleright (init w) \supset_i empty$ **using** 10 9 7 6 **by** auto
have 12: $\vdash \triangleright (init w) \supset_i empty \wedge_i init w$ **using** 11 2 **by** auto
have 13: $\vdash empty \wedge_i init w \supset_i empty$ **by** auto
hence 14: $\vdash empty \wedge_i init w \supset_i empty \vee_i bi \neg_i(init w);skip$ **by** auto
hence 15: $\vdash empty \wedge_i init w \supset_i bs \neg_i(init w)$ **by** (simp add: bs-d-def)
have 16: $\vdash empty \wedge_i init w \supset_i init w$ **by** auto
have 17: $\vdash empty \wedge_i init w \supset_i init w \wedge_i bs \neg_i(init w)$ **using** 16 15 **by** auto
hence 18: $\vdash empty \wedge_i init w \supset_i \triangleright(init w)$ **by** (simp add: first-d-def)
from 12 18 **show** ?thesis **using** itl-prop(31) **by** blast
qed

lemma FstStateAndBsNotEmpty:

$\vdash \triangleright (init w) \wedge_i bs \neg_i empty \equiv_i \triangleright (init w)$

proof –
have 1: $\vdash \triangleright (init w) \wedge_i bs \neg_i empty \equiv_i \triangleright (init w) \wedge_i bs more$
using BsEqvRule NotEmptyEqvMore prop05 **by** blast
have 2: $\vdash \triangleright (init w) \wedge_i bs more \equiv_i \triangleright (init w) \wedge_i empty$
using BsMoreEqvEmpty prop05 **by** blast
have 3: $\vdash \triangleright (init w) \equiv_i empty \wedge_i (init w)$
using FstState **by** blast
hence 4: $\vdash \triangleright (init w) \wedge_i empty \equiv_i empty \wedge_i (init w) \wedge_i empty$

by auto
 have 5: $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i (\text{init } w) \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i \text{empty} \wedge_i (\text{init } w)$
 by auto
 have 6: $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i (\text{init } w) \equiv_i \triangleright(\text{init } w)$
 using FstState using itl-prop(30) by blast
 from 1 2 4 5 6 show ?thesis by auto
 qed

lemma FstStateImpFstStateOr:

$\vdash \triangleright(\text{init } w) \supset_i \triangleright(\text{init } w \vee_i f)$
 proof –
 have 1: $\vdash \triangleright(\text{init } w) \equiv_i \text{empty} \wedge_i \text{init } w$
 using FstState by blast
 have 2: $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i \text{init } w \equiv_i \text{empty} \wedge_i (\text{empty} \vee_i bi \neg_i f; \text{skip}) \wedge_i \text{init } w$
 by auto
 have 3: $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i (\text{empty} \vee_i bi \neg_i f; \text{skip}) \wedge_i \text{init } w \equiv_i$
 $\text{empty} \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i \text{init } w$
 by (simp add: bs-d-def)
 have 4: $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i \text{init } w \equiv_i \text{empty} \wedge_i \text{init } w \wedge_i bs \neg_i f$
 by auto
 have 5: $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i \text{init } w \equiv_i \triangleright(\text{init } w)$
 using FstState itl-prop(30) by blast
 hence 6: $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i \text{init } w \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \equiv_i \triangleright(\text{init } w) \wedge_i bs \neg_i f$
 by auto
 have 7: $\vdash \triangleright(\text{init } w) \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \supset_i (\triangleright(\text{init } w) \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \vee_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i bs \neg_i(\text{init } w))$
 by auto
 have 8: $\vdash \triangleright(\text{init } w \vee_i f) \equiv_i (\triangleright(\text{init } w) \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \vee_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i bs \neg_i(\text{init } w))$
 using FstWithOrEqv by blast
 from 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 show ?thesis by auto
 qed

lemma FstLenSame:

$(\forall \sigma. (\sigma \models di (\triangleright f \wedge_i \text{len}(i)) \wedge_i di (\triangleright f \wedge_i \text{len}(j))) \longrightarrow (i=j))$
 using FstLenSamesem DiLenFstsem by (metis and-defs)

lemma FstAndLenSame:

$(\forall \sigma. (\sigma \models di (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(i)) \wedge_i di (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2 \wedge_i \text{len}(j))) \longrightarrow (i=j))$
 using DiLenFstAndsem by (metis and-defs linorder-neqE-nat not-defs)

lemma FstLenSameChop:

$(\forall \sigma. (\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(i)); h1 \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2 \wedge_i \text{len}(j)); h2) \longrightarrow (i=j))$
 proof
 fix σ
 show $(\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(i)); h1 \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2 \wedge_i \text{len}(j)); h2) \longrightarrow (i=j)$
 proof
 assume 0: $(\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(i)); h1 \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2 \wedge_i \text{len}(j)); h2)$
 have 1: $(\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(i)); h1)$ using 0 by auto
 have 2: $(\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(i)); h1) \longrightarrow$
 $(\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(i)); \text{true}_i)$ by auto
 have 3: $(\sigma \models di(\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(i)))$ using 1 2 by auto

have 4: $(\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2 \wedge_i \text{len}(j)); h2)$ **using 0 by auto**
 have 5: $(\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2 \wedge_i \text{len}(j)); h2) \longrightarrow$
 $(\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2 \wedge_i \text{len}(j)); \text{true}_i)$ **by auto**
 have 6: $(\sigma \models \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i g2 \wedge_i \text{len}(j)))$ **using 4 5 by auto**
 have 7: $(\sigma \models \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(i)) \wedge_i \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i g2 \wedge_i \text{len}(j)))$ **using 3 6 by auto**
 thus $(i=j)$ **using FstAndLenSame by blast**
 qed
 qed

lemma DilmpExistsOneDiLenAndFst:

$(\forall \sigma. (\sigma \models \text{di } f) \longrightarrow (\exists ! k. (\sigma \models \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i \text{len}(k))))))$
proof
 fix σ
 show $(\sigma \models \text{di } f) \longrightarrow (\exists ! k. (\sigma \models \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i \text{len}(k))))$
proof
 assume 0: $(\sigma \models \text{di } f)$
 have 1: $(\sigma \models \text{di}(\triangleright f))$ **using 0 DiEqvDiFst valid-def by auto**
 have 2: $(\sigma \models \triangleright f) = ((\sigma \models \triangleright f) \wedge (\exists k. (\sigma \models \text{len}(k))))$ **using AndExistsLen valid-def by auto**
 have 3: $((\sigma \models \triangleright f) \wedge (\exists k. (\sigma \models \text{len}(k)))) =$
 $(\exists k. (\sigma \models \triangleright f) \wedge (\sigma \models \text{len}(k)))$ **by auto**
 have 4: $(\sigma \models \text{di}(\triangleright f)) = (\exists k. (\sigma \models \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i \text{len}(k))))$ **using 2 3 DiEqvDi by auto**
 have 5: $(\exists k. (\sigma \models \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i \text{len}(k))))$ **using 1 by auto**
 then obtain i where 6: $(\sigma \models \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i \text{len}(i)))$ **by blast**
 from 5 obtain j where 7: $(\sigma \models \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i \text{len}(j)))$ **by blast**
 have 8: $(\sigma \models \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i \text{len}(i))) \wedge (\sigma \models \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i \text{len}(j)))$ **using 6 7 by auto**
 hence 9: $(\sigma \models \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i \text{len}(i)) \wedge_i \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i \text{len}(j)))$ **by simp**
 hence 10: $i=j$ **using FstLenSame by blast**
 have 11: $\bigwedge j. (\sigma \models \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i \text{len}(j))) \longrightarrow (j=i)$ **using 9 10 using FstLenSame and-defs by blast**
 thus $(\exists ! k. (\sigma \models \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i \text{len}(k))))$ **using 11 5 by blast**
 qed
 qed

lemma LFstAndDist-help:

$(\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); h1 \wedge_i ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g2) \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); h2) =$
 $(\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2) \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); (h1 \wedge_i h2))$
using LFixedAndDistr using itl-eq by blast

lemma LFstAndDist-help-1:

$(\exists k. (\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); h1 \wedge_i ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g2) \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); h2)) =$
 $(\exists k. (\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2) \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); (h1 \wedge_i h2)))$

proof

assume 0: $\exists k. \sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i \text{len } k) ; h1 \wedge_i ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g2) \wedge_i \text{len } k) ; h2$
 obtain k where 1: $\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i \text{len } k) ; h1 \wedge_i ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g2) \wedge_i \text{len } k) ; h2$
using 0 by auto
 hence 2: $(\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2) \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); (h1 \wedge_i h2))$
using LFstAndDist-help by blast
 show $(\exists k. (\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2) \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); (h1 \wedge_i h2)))$
using 2 by auto
 next
 assume 3: $(\exists k. (\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2) \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); (h1 \wedge_i h2)))$

obtain k **where** 4: $(\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2) \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); (h1 \wedge_i h2))$
using 3 **by** *auto*
hence 5: $(\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); h1 \wedge_i ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g2) \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); h2)$
using *LFstAndDist-help* **by** *blast*
show $(\exists k. (\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); h1 \wedge_i ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g2) \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); h2))$
using 5 **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *LFstAndDistrsem*:

$(\forall \sigma. (\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1); h1 \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2); h2 \equiv_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2); (h1 \wedge_i h2)))$

proof

fix σ

show $(\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1); h1 \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2); h2 \equiv_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2); (h1 \wedge_i h2))$

proof –

have 1: $(\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1); h1) = (\exists i. (\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(i)); h1))$

using *AndExistsLenChop* **by** *auto*

have 2: $(\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2); h2) = (\exists j. (\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2 \wedge_i \text{len}(j)); h2))$

using *AndExistsLenChop* **by** *auto*

have 3: $(\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1); h1 \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2); h2) =$
 $(\exists i j. (\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(i)); h1 \wedge_i$
 $(\triangleright f \wedge_i g2 \wedge_i \text{len}(j)); h2))$
 $)$

using 1 2 **by** *auto*

have 4: $(\exists i j. (\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(i)); h1 \wedge_i$
 $(\triangleright f \wedge_i g2 \wedge_i \text{len}(j)); h2))$
 $) =$
 $(\exists k. (\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); h1 \wedge_i$
 $(\triangleright f \wedge_i g2 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); h2))$
 $)$

using *FstLenSameChop* **by** *blast*

have 5: $(\exists k. (\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); h1 \wedge_i ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g2) \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); h2)) =$
 $(\exists k. (\sigma \models ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2) \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); (h1 \wedge_i h2)))$

using *LFstAndDist-help-1* **by** *blast*

have 6 : $(\exists k. (\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \triangleright f \wedge_i g2 \wedge_i \text{len}(k)); (h1 \wedge_i h2))) =$
 $(\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \triangleright f \wedge_i g2); (h1 \wedge_i h2))$

using *AndExistsLenChop* **by** *auto*

have 7 : $(\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i \triangleright f \wedge_i g2); (h1 \wedge_i h2)) =$
 $(\sigma \models (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2); (h1 \wedge_i h2))$

by *auto*

from 3 4 5 6 7 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

qed

lemma *LFstAndDistr*:

$\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1); h1 \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2); h2 \equiv_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2); (h1 \wedge_i h2)$

using *LFstAndDistrsem* **by** *simp*

lemma *LFstAndDistrA*:

$\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1); h \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2); h \equiv_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2); h$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1); h \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2); h \equiv_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2); (h \wedge_i h)$ **using** *LFstAndDistr* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2); (h \wedge_i h) \equiv_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2); h$ **by** *auto*
from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *LFstAndDistrB*:

$\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i g); h1 \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g); h2 \equiv_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g); (h1 \wedge_i h2)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i g); h1 \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g); h2 \equiv_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g \wedge_i g); (h1 \wedge_i h2)$ **using** *LFstAndDistr* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i g \wedge_i g); (h1 \wedge_i h2) \equiv_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g); (h1 \wedge_i h2)$ **by** *auto*
from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *LFstAndDistrC*:

$\vdash (\triangleright f); h1 \wedge_i (\triangleright f); h2 \equiv_i (\triangleright f); (h1 \wedge_i h2)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i true_i); h1 \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i true_i); h2 \equiv_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i true_i \wedge_i true_i); (h1 \wedge_i h2)$
using *LFstAndDistr* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i true_i); h1 \equiv_i (\triangleright f); h1$
by *auto*
have 3: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i true_i); h2 \equiv_i (\triangleright f); h2$
by *auto*
have 4: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i true_i \wedge_i true_i); (h1 \wedge_i h2) \equiv_i (\triangleright f); (h1 \wedge_i h2)$
by *auto*
from 1 2 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *LFstAndDistrD*:

$\vdash di(\triangleright f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i di(\triangleright f \wedge_i g2) \equiv_i di(\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1); true_i \wedge_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2); true_i \equiv_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2); (true_i \wedge_i true_i)$
using *LFstAndDistr* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1); true_i \equiv_i di(\triangleright f \wedge_i g1)$
by (*simp add: di-d-def*)
have 3: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i g2); true_i \equiv_i di(\triangleright f \wedge_i g2)$
by (*simp add: di-d-def*)
have 4: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2); (true_i \wedge_i true_i) \equiv_i di(\triangleright f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2)$
by (*simp add: di-d-def*)
from 1 2 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *LstAndDistr*:

$\vdash h1; (\triangleleft f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i h2; (\triangleleft f \wedge_i g2) \equiv_i (h1 \wedge_i h2); (\triangleleft f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\triangleright(f^r) \wedge_i g1^r); (h1^r) \wedge_i (\triangleright(f^r) \wedge_i (g2^r)); (h2^r) \equiv_i$
 $(\triangleright(f^r) \wedge_i (g1^r \wedge_i (g2^r))); ((h1^r) \wedge_i (h2^r))$ **using** *LFstAndDistr* **by** *blast*
hence 2: $\vdash ((\triangleright(f^r) \wedge_i g1^r); (h1^r) \wedge_i (\triangleright(f^r) \wedge_i (g2^r)); (h2^r))^r \equiv_i$
 $((\triangleright(f^r) \wedge_i (g1^r \wedge_i (g2^r))); ((h1^r) \wedge_i (h2^r)))^r$ **using** 1 *REqvRule* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash ((\triangleright(f^r) \wedge_i g1^r); (h1^r) \wedge_i (\triangleright(f^r) \wedge_i (g2^r)); (h2^r))^r \equiv_i$

$((\triangleright(f^r) \wedge_i g1^r);(h1^r))^r \wedge_i ((\triangleright(f^r) \wedge_i (g2^r));(h2^r))^r$
using *RAnd by blast*
have 4: $\vdash ((\triangleright(f^r) \wedge_i g1^r);(h1^r))^r \wedge_i ((\triangleright(f^r) \wedge_i (g2^r));(h2^r))^r \equiv_i$
 $(h1^r)^r;(\triangleright(f^r) \wedge_i g1^r)^r \wedge_i (h2^r)^r;(\triangleright(f^r) \wedge_i (g2^r))^r$
by *simp*
have 5: $\vdash (h1^r)^r \equiv_i h1$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse itl-prop(30) by blast*
have 6: $\vdash (h2^r)^r \equiv_i h2$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse itl-prop(30) by blast*
have 7: $\vdash (g1^r)^r \equiv_i g1$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse itl-prop(30) by blast*
have 8: $\vdash (g2^r)^r \equiv_i g2$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse itl-prop(30) by blast*
have 9: $\vdash (f^r)^r \equiv_i f$ **using** *EqvReverseReverse itl-prop(30) by blast*
have 10: $\vdash (\triangleright(f^r) \wedge_i g1^r)^r \equiv_i (\triangleright(f^r))^r \wedge_i (g1^r)^r$ **using** *RAnd by blast*
have 11: $\vdash (\triangleright(f^r) \wedge_i g2^r)^r \equiv_i (\triangleright(f^r))^r \wedge_i (g2^r)^r$ **using** *RAnd by blast*
have 12: $\vdash (\triangleright(f^r))^r \equiv_i \triangleleft(f)$ **using** *RRFirstEqvLast by blast*
have 13: $\vdash (\triangleright(f^r))^r \wedge_i (g1^r)^r \equiv_i \triangleleft(f \wedge_i g1)$ **using** 12 7 **by** *auto*
have 14: $\vdash (\triangleright(f^r))^r \wedge_i (g2^r)^r \equiv_i \triangleleft(f \wedge_i g2)$ **using** 12 8 **by** *auto*
have 15: $\vdash (h1^r)^r;(\triangleright(f^r) \wedge_i g1^r)^r \wedge_i (h2^r)^r;(\triangleright(f^r) \wedge_i (g2^r))^r \equiv_i$
 $h1;(\triangleleft(f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i h2;(\triangleleft(f \wedge_i g2))$ **using** 14 13 10 11 5 6 **by** *auto*
have 16: $\vdash ((\triangleright(f^r) \wedge_i (g1^r) \wedge_i (g2^r));((h1^r) \wedge_i (h2^r)))^r \equiv_i$
 $((h1^r) \wedge_i (h2^r))^r;((\triangleright(f^r)) \wedge_i (g1^r) \wedge_i (g2^r))^r$ **by** *simp*
have 17: $\vdash ((\triangleright(f^r)) \wedge_i (g1^r) \wedge_i (g2^r))^r \equiv_i ((\triangleright(f^r))^r \wedge_i (g1^r)^r \wedge_i (g2^r)^r)$ **using** *RAnd by auto*
have 18: $\vdash ((\triangleright(f^r))^r \wedge_i (g1^r)^r \wedge_i (g2^r)^r) \equiv_i \triangleleft(f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2)$ **using** 12 7 8 **by** *auto*
have 19: $\vdash ((h1^r) \wedge_i (h2^r))^r \equiv_i h1 \wedge_i h2$ **using** *RRAnd by auto*
have 20: $\vdash ((h1^r) \wedge_i (h2^r))^r;((\triangleright(f^r)) \wedge_i (g1^r) \wedge_i (g2^r))^r \equiv_i$
 $(h1 \wedge_i h2);(\triangleleft(f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2))$ **using** 19 17 18 **by** *auto*
from 20 15 1 2 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *LstAndDistrA:*

$\vdash h;(\triangleleft(f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i h;(\triangleleft(f \wedge_i g2)) \equiv_i h;(\triangleleft(f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2))$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash h;(\triangleleft(f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i h;(\triangleleft(f \wedge_i g2)) \equiv_i (h \wedge_i h);(\triangleleft(f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2))$
using *LstAndDistr by blast*
have 2: $\vdash (h \wedge_i h);(\triangleleft(f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2)) \equiv_i h;(\triangleleft(f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2))$
by *auto*
from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *LstAndDistrB:*

$\vdash h1;(\triangleleft(f \wedge_i g) \wedge_i h2;(\triangleleft(f \wedge_i g)) \equiv_i (h1 \wedge_i h2);(\triangleleft(f \wedge_i g))$
proof –
have 1: $\vdash h1;(\triangleleft(f \wedge_i g) \wedge_i h2;(\triangleleft(f \wedge_i g)) \equiv_i (h1 \wedge_i h2);(\triangleleft(f \wedge_i g \wedge_i g))$
using *LstAndDistr by blast*
have 2: $\vdash (h1 \wedge_i h2);(\triangleleft(f \wedge_i g \wedge_i g)) \equiv_i (h1 \wedge_i h2);(\triangleleft(f \wedge_i g))$
by *auto*
from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *LstAndDistrC:*

$\vdash h1;(\triangleleft(f) \wedge_i h2;(\triangleleft(f)) \equiv_i (h1 \wedge_i h2);(\triangleleft(f))$
proof –

have 1: $\vdash h1;(\triangleleft f \wedge_i true_i) \wedge_i h2;(\triangleleft f \wedge_i true_i) \equiv_i (h1 \wedge_i h2);(\triangleleft f \wedge_i true_i \wedge_i true_i)$
using *LstAndDistr* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash (h1 \wedge_i h2);(\triangleleft f \wedge_i true_i \wedge_i true_i) \equiv_i (h1 \wedge_i h2);(\triangleleft f)$
by *auto*
have 3: $\vdash h1;(\triangleleft f \wedge_i true_i) \equiv_i h1;(\triangleleft f)$
by *auto*
have 4: $\vdash h2;(\triangleleft f \wedge_i true_i) \equiv_i h2;(\triangleleft f)$
by *auto*
from 1 2 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *LstAndDistrD*:

$\vdash \Diamond(\triangleleft f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i \Diamond(\triangleleft f \wedge_i g2) \equiv_i \Diamond(\triangleleft f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash true_i;(\triangleleft f \wedge_i g1) \wedge_i true_i;(\triangleleft f \wedge_i g2) \equiv_i (true_i \wedge_i true_i);(\triangleleft f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2)$
using *LstAndDistr* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash (true_i \wedge_i true_i);(\triangleleft f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2) \equiv_i \Diamond(\triangleleft f \wedge_i g1 \wedge_i g2)$
by (*simp add: sometimes-d-def*)
have 3: $\vdash true_i;(\triangleleft f \wedge_i g1) \equiv_i \Diamond(\triangleleft f \wedge_i g1)$
by (*simp add: sometimes-d-def*)
have 4: $\vdash true_i;(\triangleleft f \wedge_i g2) \equiv_i \Diamond(\triangleleft f \wedge_i g2)$
by (*simp add: sometimes-d-def*)
from 1 2 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *NotFstChop*:

$\vdash \neg_i(\triangleright f ; g) \equiv_i \neg_i(di(\triangleright f)) \vee_i (\triangleright f ; \neg_i g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash g \supset_i true_i$ **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \triangleright f ; g \supset_i \triangleright f ; true_i$ **using** *RightChopImpChop* **by** *blast*
hence 3: $\vdash \triangleright f ; g \supset_i di(\triangleright f)$ **by** (*simp add: di-d-def*)
hence 4: $\vdash \neg_i(di(\triangleright f)) \supset_i \neg_i(\triangleright f ; g)$ **by** *auto*
have 5: $\vdash (\triangleright f ; \neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i(\triangleright f ; g)) \equiv_i ((\triangleright f ; \neg_i g) \wedge_i (\triangleright f ; g) \supset_i false_i)$ **by** *auto*
have 6: $\vdash (\triangleright f ; \neg_i g) \wedge_i (\triangleright f ; g) \equiv_i \triangleright f ; (\neg_i g \wedge_i g)$ **using** *LFstAndDistrC* **by** *blast*
have 7: $\vdash \neg_i(\triangleright f ; (\neg_i g \wedge_i g))$ **by** *auto*
have 8: $\vdash \triangleright f ; \neg_i g \supset_i \neg_i(\triangleright f ; g)$ **using** 5 6 7 **by** *auto*
have 9: $\vdash \neg_i(di(\triangleright f)) \vee_i (\triangleright f ; \neg_i g) \supset_i \neg_i(\triangleright f ; g)$ **using** 4 8 **by** *auto*
have 10: $\vdash di(\triangleright f) \vee_i \neg_i(di(\triangleright f))$ **by** *auto*
hence 11: $\vdash (\triangleright f ; true_i) \vee_i \neg_i(di(\triangleright f))$ **by** (*simp add: di-d-def*)
hence 12: $\vdash (\triangleright f ; (g \vee_i \neg_i g)) \vee_i \neg_i(di(\triangleright f))$ **by** *auto*
have 13: $\vdash (\triangleright f ; (g \vee_i \neg_i g)) \equiv_i (\triangleright f ; g) \vee_i (\triangleright f ; \neg_i g)$ **using** *ChopOrElseq* **by** *auto*
have 14: $\vdash ((\triangleright f ; g) \vee_i (\triangleright f ; \neg_i g)) \vee_i \neg_i(di(\triangleright f))$ **using** 12 13 **by** *auto*
hence 15: $\vdash \neg_i(\triangleright f ; g) \supset_i \neg_i(di(\triangleright f)) \vee_i (\triangleright f ; \neg_i g)$ **by** *auto*
from 9 15 **show** *?thesis* **using** *itl-prop(31)* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *BsNotFstChop*:

$\vdash bs(\neg_i(\triangleright f ; g)) \equiv_i empty \vee_i \neg_i(di(\triangleright f)) \vee_i (\triangleright f ; bs\neg_i g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash bs(\neg_i(\triangleright f ; g)) \equiv_i empty \vee_i bi\neg_i(\triangleright f ; g);skip$

by (simp add:bs-d-def)
 have 2: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(\triangleright f;g);skip \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(di(\triangleright f;g));skip$
 by auto
 have 3: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(di(\triangleright f;g));skip \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i((\triangleright f;g);true_i);skip$
 by auto
 have 4: $\vdash \neg_i((\triangleright f;g);true_i);skip \equiv_i \neg_i(\triangleright f;(g;true_i));skip$
 using ChopAssocB using LeftChopEqvChop itl-prop(33) by blast
 hence 5: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i((\triangleright f;g);true_i);skip \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(\triangleright f;(g;true_i));skip$
 by auto
 have 6: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(\triangleright f;(g;true_i));skip \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(\triangleright f;di(g));skip$
 by (simp add: di-d-def)
 have 7: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(\triangleright f;di(g));skip \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(\neg_i(\neg_i(\triangleright f;di(g));skip))$
 by auto
 have 8: $\vdash \neg_i(\neg_i(\neg_i(\triangleright f;di(g));skip)) \equiv_i \neg_i(\text{empty} \vee_i (\triangleright f;di(g));skip)$
 using NotNotChopSkip using prop01 by blast
 hence 9: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(\neg_i(\neg_i(\triangleright f;di(g));skip)) \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(\text{empty} \vee_i (\triangleright f;di(g));skip)$
 by auto
 have 10: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(\text{empty} \vee_i (\triangleright f;di(g));skip) \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (\text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i((\triangleright f;di(g));skip))$
 by auto
 have 11: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i (\text{more} \wedge_i \neg_i((\triangleright f;di(g));skip)) \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i((\triangleright f;di(g));skip)$
 by auto
 have 12: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i((\triangleright f;di(g));skip) \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(\triangleright f;(di(g);skip))$
 using ChopAssocB 11 itl-prop(30) prop01 prop03 prop28 by blast
 have 13: $\vdash \neg_i(\triangleright f;(di(g);skip)) \equiv_i \neg_i(\triangleright f;(ds(g)))$
 using DsDi using RightChopEqvChop itl-prop(30) itl-prop(33) by blast
 hence 14: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(\triangleright f;(di(g);skip)) \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(\triangleright f;(ds(g)))$
 by auto
 have 15: $\vdash \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(\triangleright f;(ds(g))) \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(di(\triangleright f)) \vee_i (\triangleright f; \neg_i(ds(g)))$
 using NotFstChop by auto
 have 16: $\vdash (\triangleright f; \neg_i(ds(g))) \equiv_i (\triangleright f;(bs \neg_i g))$
 using NotDsEqvBsNot RightChopEqvChop by blast
 hence 17: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(di(\triangleright f))) \vee_i (\triangleright f; \neg_i(ds(g))) \equiv_i (\text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(di(\triangleright f))) \vee_i (\triangleright f;(bs \neg_i g))$
 by auto
 from 1 2 3 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 14 15 17 show ?thesis by auto
 qed

lemma FstFstChopEqvFstChopFst:

$\vdash \triangleright(\triangleright f;g) \equiv_i \triangleright f; \triangleright g$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright(\triangleright f;g) \equiv_i (\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i bs \neg_i(\triangleright f;g)$

by (simp add: first-d-def)

have 2: $\vdash bs \neg_i(\triangleright f;g) \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(di(\triangleright f)) \vee_i (\triangleright f;bs \neg_i g)$

using BsNotFstChop by auto

hence 3: $\vdash (\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i bs \neg_i(\triangleright f;g) \equiv_i (\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i (\text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(di(\triangleright f)) \vee_i (\triangleright f;bs \neg_i g))$

by auto

have 4: $\vdash (\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i (\text{empty} \vee_i \neg_i(di(\triangleright f)) \vee_i (\triangleright f;bs \neg_i g)) \equiv_i$

$((\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i ((\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i \neg_i(di(\triangleright f))) \vee_i ((\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i (\triangleright f;bs \neg_i g))$

by auto

have 5: $\vdash \neg_i((\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i \neg_i(di(\triangleright f)))$

by auto

hence 6: $\vdash ((\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i ((\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i \neg_i(di(\triangleright f))) \vee_i ((\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i (\triangleright f;bs\neg_i g)) \equiv_i$
 $((\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i ((\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i (\triangleright f;bs\neg_i g))$
by auto
have 7: $\vdash ((\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i (\triangleright f;(bs\neg_i g))) \equiv_i ((\triangleright f;(g \wedge_i (bs\neg_i g))))$
using LFstAndDistrC by blast
hence 8: $\vdash ((\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i ((\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i (\triangleright f;(bs\neg_i g))) \equiv_i$
 $((\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i ((\triangleright f;(g \wedge_i (bs\neg_i g))))$
by auto
have 9: $\vdash ((\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i ((\triangleright f;(g \wedge_i (bs\neg_i g)))) \equiv_i ((\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i \triangleright f;\triangleright g$
by (simp add: first-d-def)
have 10: $\vdash ((\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \equiv_i ((\triangleright f;\triangleright g) \wedge_i \text{empty})$
using FstChopEmptyEqvFstChopFstEmpty by blast
hence 11: $\vdash ((\triangleright f;g) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i \triangleright f;\triangleright g \equiv_i ((\triangleright f;\triangleright g) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i \triangleright f;\triangleright g$
by auto
have 12: $\vdash ((\triangleright f;\triangleright g) \wedge_i \text{empty}) \vee_i \triangleright f;\triangleright g \equiv_i \triangleright f;\triangleright g$
by auto
from 1 3 4 6 8 9 11 12 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma FstFixFst:

$\vdash \triangleright(\triangleright f) \equiv_i \triangleright f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright f \equiv_i (\triangleright f);\text{empty}$ **using ChopEmpty using itl-prop(30) by blast**
hence 2: $\vdash \triangleright(\triangleright f) \equiv_i \triangleright((\triangleright f);\text{empty})$ **using FstEqvRule by blast**
have 3: $\vdash \triangleright((\triangleright f);\text{empty}) \equiv_i \triangleright f;\triangleright \text{empty}$ **using FstFstChopEqvFstChopFst by auto**
have 4: $\vdash \triangleright f;\triangleright \text{empty} \equiv_i \triangleright f;\text{empty}$ **using FstEmpty by auto**
have 5: $\vdash \triangleright f;\text{empty} \equiv_i \triangleright f$ **using ChopEmpty by blast**
from 2 3 4 5 show ?thesis by auto

qed

lemma FstCSEqvEmpty:

$\vdash \triangleright(f^*) \equiv_i \text{empty}$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright(f^*) \equiv_i \triangleright(\text{empty} \vee_i ((f \wedge_i \text{more});f^*))$ **using ChopstarEqv FstEqvRule by blast**
from 1 show ?thesis using FstEmptyOrEqvEmpty by auto

qed

lemma FstIterFixFst:

$\vdash \text{power}(\triangleright f) n \equiv_i \triangleright(\text{power}(\triangleright f) n)$

proof

(*induct n*)

case 0

then show ?case

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \text{power}(\triangleright f) 0 \equiv_i \text{empty}$ **by auto**
have 2: $\vdash \text{empty} \equiv_i \triangleright \text{empty}$ **using FstEmpty by auto**
have 3: $\vdash \triangleright \text{empty} \equiv_i \triangleright(\text{power}(\triangleright f) 0)$ **by auto**
from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by auto

qed

next

```

case (Suc n)
then show ?case
proof -
  have 4:  $\vdash (\text{power } (\triangleright f) (\text{Suc } n)) \equiv_i (\triangleright f) ; (\text{power } (\triangleright f) n)$ 
  using pow-Suc by simp
  have 5:  $\vdash (\triangleright f) ; (\text{power } (\triangleright f) n) \equiv_i (\triangleright f) ; \triangleright (\text{power } (\triangleright f) n)$ 
  using RightChopEqvChop Suc.hyps by blast
  have 6:  $\vdash (\triangleright f) ; \triangleright (\text{power } (\triangleright f) n) \equiv_i \triangleright (\triangleright f ; (\text{power } (\triangleright f) n))$ 
  using FstFstChopEqvFstChopFst by auto
  have 7:  $\vdash \triangleright (\triangleright f ; (\text{power } (\triangleright f) n)) \equiv_i \triangleright (\text{power } (\triangleright f) (\text{Suc } n))$ 
  using pow-Suc by simp
  from 4 5 6 7 show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

lemma DsImpNotFst:
 $\vdash ds\ f \supset_i (\neg_i (\triangleright f))$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash ds\ f \wedge_i \triangleright f \equiv_i ds\ f \wedge_i (f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f)$  by (simp add: first-d-def)
  have 2:  $\vdash ds\ f \wedge_i (f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \equiv_i ds\ f \wedge_i f \wedge_i \neg_i (ds\ f)$  using NotDsEqvBsNot by auto
  from 1 2 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma LFixedAndDistrB1:
 $\vdash len(k); f \wedge_i len(k); g \equiv_i len(k); (f \wedge_i g)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash len(k); f \equiv_i (true_i \wedge_i len(k)); f$ 
  by auto
  have 2:  $\vdash len(k); g \equiv_i (true_i \wedge_i len(k)); g$ 
  by auto
  have 3:  $\vdash len(k); f \wedge_i len(k); g \equiv_i (true_i \wedge_i len(k)); f \wedge_i (true_i \wedge_i len(k)); g$ 
  using 1 2 by auto
  have 4:  $\vdash (true_i \wedge_i len(k)); f \wedge_i (true_i \wedge_i len(k)); g \equiv_i (true_i \wedge_i len(k)); (f \wedge_i g)$ 
  using LFixedAndDistrB by blast
  have 5:  $\vdash (true_i \wedge_i len(k)); (f \wedge_i g) \equiv_i (len(k)); (f \wedge_i g)$ 
  by auto
  from 1 2 3 4 5 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma FstLenAndEqvLenAnd:
 $\vdash \triangleright (len(k) \wedge_i f) \equiv_i len(k) \wedge_i f$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash len(k) \wedge_i f \wedge_i ds(len(k) \wedge_i f) \supset_i ds(len(k))$ 
  using DsAndImpElimL by auto
  hence 2:  $\vdash len(k) \wedge_i f \wedge_i ds(len(k) \wedge_i f) \supset_i (di(len(k))); skip$ 
  using DsDi itl-prop(31) prop02 by blast
  hence 3:  $\vdash len(k) \wedge_i f \wedge_i ds(len(k) \wedge_i f) \supset_i ((len(k); true_i)); skip$ 
  by (simp add: di-d-def)
  hence 4:  $\vdash len(k) \wedge_i f \wedge_i ds(len(k) \wedge_i f) \supset_i (len(k); (true_i; skip))$ 
  using ChopAssocB itl-prop(31) prop02 by blast

```

hence 5: $\vdash \text{len}(k) \wedge_i f \wedge_i \text{ds}(\text{len}(k) \wedge_i f) \supset_i (\text{len}(k); (\text{skip}; \text{true}_i))$
using *SkipTrueEqvTrueSkip TrueChopSkipEqvSkipChopTrue RightChopEqvChop itl-prop(31)*
prop02 by blast
hence 6: $\vdash \text{len}(k) \wedge_i f \wedge_i \text{ds}(\text{len}(k) \wedge_i f) \supset_i (\text{len}(k); (\text{skip}; \text{true}_i)) \wedge_i \text{len}(k)$
by auto
hence 7: $\vdash \text{len}(k) \wedge_i f \wedge_i \text{ds}(\text{len}(k) \wedge_i f) \supset_i (\text{len}(k); (\text{skip}; \text{true}_i)) \wedge_i \text{len}(k); \text{empty}$
using *ChopEmpty by (metis itl-prop(31) itl-prop(32) prop02)*
hence 8: $\vdash \text{len}(k) \wedge_i f \wedge_i \text{ds}(\text{len}(k) \wedge_i f) \supset_i (\text{len}(k); ((\text{skip}; \text{true}_i) \wedge_i \text{empty}))$
using *LFixedAndDistrB1 using itl-prop(31) prop02 by blast*
have 9: $\vdash \neg_i (\text{len}(k); ((\text{skip}; \text{true}_i) \wedge_i \text{empty}))$
by auto
have 10: $\vdash \text{len}(k) \wedge_i f \supset_i \neg_i (\text{ds}(\text{len}(k) \wedge_i f))$
using 8 9 **by auto**
hence 11: $\vdash \text{len}(k) \wedge_i f \supset_i \text{bs } \neg_i (\text{len}(k) \wedge_i f)$
using *NotDsEqvBsNot by auto*
hence 12: $\vdash \text{len}(k) \wedge_i f \supset_i \text{len}(k) \wedge_i f \wedge_i \text{bs } \neg_i (\text{len}(k) \wedge_i f)$
by auto
hence 13: $\vdash \text{len}(k) \wedge_i f \supset_i \triangleright (\text{len}(k) \wedge_i f)$
by (simp add: first-d-def)
have 14: $\vdash \triangleright (\text{len}(k) \wedge_i f) \supset_i \text{len}(k) \wedge_i f$
by (simp add: first-d-def)
from 13 14 **show** *?thesis using itl-prop(31) by blast*
qed

lemma *FstAndElimL*:

$\vdash \triangleright f \supset_i f$
by (simp add: first-d-def)

lemma *FstImpNotDiChopSkip*:

$\vdash \triangleright f \supset_i \neg_i (\text{di } f; \text{skip})$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright f \supset_i \text{bs } \neg_i f$ **by (simp add: first-d-def)**
hence 2: $\vdash \triangleright f \supset_i \neg_i (\text{ds } f)$ **using** *NotDsEqvBsNot by auto*
have 3: $\vdash \text{ds } f \equiv_i \text{di } f ; \text{skip}$ **using** *DsDi by blast*
hence 4: $\vdash \neg_i (\text{ds } f) \equiv_i \neg_i (\text{di } f; \text{skip})$ **by auto**
from 2 4 **show** *?thesis by auto*
qed

lemma *FstImpNotDiChopSkipB*:

$\vdash \triangleright f \supset_i \neg_i (\text{di } (f; \text{skip}))$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright f \supset_i \text{bs } \neg_i f$
by (simp add: first-d-def)
hence 2: $\vdash \triangleright f \supset_i \neg_i (\text{ds } f)$
using *NotDsEqvBsNot by auto*
have 3: $\vdash \text{ds } f \equiv_i \text{di } f ; \text{skip}$
using *DsDi by blast*
have 4: $\vdash \text{di } f ; \text{skip} \equiv_i (f; \text{true}_i); \text{skip}$
by (simp add: di-d-def)
have 5: $\vdash (f; \text{true}_i); \text{skip} \equiv_i f; (\text{true}_i; \text{skip})$

```

    using ChopAssocB by blast
have 6:  $\vdash f;(\text{true}_i;\text{skip}) \equiv_i f;(\text{skip};\text{true}_i)$ 
    using SkipTrueEqvTrueSkip using TrueChopSkipEqvSkipChopTrue RightChopEqvChop by blast
have 7:  $\vdash f;(\text{skip};\text{true}_i) \equiv_i (f;\text{skip});\text{true}_i$ 
    using ChopAssoc by blast
have 8:  $\vdash (f;\text{skip});\text{true}_i \equiv_i \text{di}(f;\text{skip})$ 
    by (simp add: di-d-def)
have 9:  $\vdash \neg_i(ds\ f) \equiv_i \neg_i(\text{di}(f;\text{skip}))$ 
    using 3 4 5 6 7 8 by auto
from 2 9 show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

lemma *FstImpDiEqv*:

$\vdash \triangleright f \supset_i (\text{di}\ f \equiv_i f)$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash \triangleright f \supset_i \neg_i(\text{di}\ f;\text{skip})$  using FstImpNotDiChopSkip by blast
have 2:  $\vdash \text{di}\ f \supset_i f \vee_i (\text{di}\ f;\text{skip})$  using DiEqvOrDiChopSkipB itl-prop(31) by blast
have 3:  $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i \text{di}\ f \supset_i (f \vee_i (\text{di}\ f;\text{skip})) \wedge_i \neg_i(\text{di}\ f;\text{skip})$  using 1 2 by auto
have 4:  $\vdash (f \vee_i (\text{di}\ f;\text{skip})) \wedge_i \neg_i(\text{di}\ f;\text{skip}) \equiv_i f \wedge_i \neg_i(\text{di}\ f;\text{skip})$  by auto
have 5:  $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i \text{di}\ f \supset_i f \wedge_i \neg_i(\text{di}\ f;\text{skip})$  using 3 4 using itl-prop(31) prop02 by blast
hence 6:  $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i \text{di}\ f \supset_i f$  using itl-prop(32) by blast
hence 7:  $\vdash \triangleright f \supset_i (\text{di}\ f \supset_i f)$  using FstAndElimL prop13 prop26 prop32 by blast
have 8:  $\vdash f \supset_i \text{di}\ f$  using DiIntro by auto
hence 9:  $\vdash \triangleright f \supset_i (f \supset_i (\text{di}\ f))$  by auto
from 7 9 show ?thesis by auto

```

qed

lemma *FstAndDiFstAndEqvFstAnd*:

$\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \equiv_i \triangleright f \wedge_i g$

proof –

```

have 1:  $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \supset_i \triangleright f$ 
    by auto
have 2:  $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \supset_i \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i g)$ 
    by auto
have 3:  $\vdash \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \equiv_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \vee_i \text{di}((\triangleright f \wedge_i g);\text{skip})$ 
    using DiEqvOrDiChopSkipA by blast
have 4:  $\vdash \text{di}((\triangleright f \wedge_i g);\text{skip}) \equiv_i ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g);\text{skip});\text{true}_i$ 
    by (simp add: di-d-def)
have 5:  $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \supset_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \vee_i ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g);\text{skip});\text{true}_i$ 
    using 2 3 4 by auto
have 6:  $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i g \supset_i f$ 
    using FstAndElimL by auto
hence 7:  $\vdash ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g);\text{skip});\text{true}_i \supset_i (f;\text{skip});\text{true}_i$ 
    by auto
hence 8:  $\vdash ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g);\text{skip});\text{true}_i \supset_i \text{di}(f;\text{skip})$ 
    by auto
have 9:  $\vdash \triangleright f \supset_i \neg_i(\text{di}(f;\text{skip}))$ 
    using FstImpNotDiChopSkipB by blast
have 10:  $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i \text{di}(\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \supset_i ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \vee_i \text{di}(f;\text{skip}))$ 
    using 5 8 prop35 by blast

```

have 11: $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i di(\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \supset_i \neg_i(di(f;skip)) \wedge_i ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \vee_i di(f;skip))$
using 9 10 1 *itl-prop(32)* *prop02* **by** *blast*
have 12: $\vdash \neg_i(di(f;skip)) \wedge_i ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \vee_i di(f;skip)) \equiv_i \neg_i(di(f;skip)) \wedge_i ((\triangleright f \wedge_i g))$
by *auto*
have 13: $\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i di(\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \supset_i (\triangleright f \wedge_i g)$
using 11 12 **by** *auto*
have 14: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \supset_i \triangleright f$
by *auto*
hence 15: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \supset_i di(\triangleright f \wedge_i g)$
using *DilIntro* **by** *auto*
have 16: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i g) \supset_i \triangleright f \wedge_i di(\triangleright f \wedge_i g)$
using 14 15 **by** *auto*
from 13 16 **show** *?thesis* **using** *itl-prop(31)* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *FstAndDilmpBsNotAndDi:*

$\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i di g) \supset_i (bs \neg_i(di f \wedge_i g))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i di g) \wedge_i \neg_i(bs \neg_i(di f \wedge_i g)) \supset_i ds(di f \wedge_i g)$
by *(simp add: ds-d-def)*
hence 2: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i di g) \wedge_i \neg_i(bs \neg_i(di f \wedge_i g)) \supset_i ds(di f)$
using *DsAndImp* **by** *auto*
hence 3: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i di g) \wedge_i \neg_i(bs \neg_i(di f \wedge_i g)) \supset_i di(di f);skip$
using *DsDi* **using** *itl-prop(31)* *prop02* **by** *blast*
hence 4: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i di g) \wedge_i \neg_i(bs \neg_i(di f \wedge_i g)) \supset_i di f;skip$
using *DiEqvDiDi* **using** *LeftChopImpChop* *itl-prop(31)* *prop02* **by** *blast*
hence 5: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i di g) \wedge_i \neg_i(bs \neg_i(di f \wedge_i g)) \supset_i ds f$
using *DsDi* **using** *itl-prop(31)* *prop02* **by** *blast*
hence 6: $\vdash (\triangleright f \wedge_i di g) \wedge_i \neg_i(bs \neg_i(di f \wedge_i g)) \supset_i \neg_i(\triangleright f)$
using *DslmpNotFst* **using** *itl-prop(31)* *prop02* **by** *blast*
from 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *FstFstOrEqvFstOrL:*

$\vdash \triangleright(\triangleright f \vee_i g) \equiv_i \triangleright(f \vee_i g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright(f \vee_i g) \equiv_i (f \vee_i g) \wedge_i bs \neg_i(f \vee_i g)$
by *(simp add: first-d-def)*
have 2: $\vdash \neg_i(f \vee_i g) \equiv_i (\neg_i f \wedge_i \neg_i g)$
by *auto*
hence 3: $\vdash bs \neg_i(f \vee_i g) \equiv_i bs (\neg_i f \wedge_i \neg_i g)$
using *BsEqvRule* **by** *blast*
have 4: $\vdash bs (\neg_i f \wedge_i \neg_i g) \equiv_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i bs \neg_i g$
using *BsAndEqv* *itl-prop(30)* **by** *blast*
hence 5: $\vdash (f \vee_i g) \wedge_i bs \neg_i(f \vee_i g) \equiv_i (f \vee_i g) \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i bs \neg_i g$
using 4 3 **by** *simp*
have 6: $\vdash (f \vee_i g) \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i bs \neg_i g \equiv_i$
 $((f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \vee_i (g \wedge_i bs \neg_i f)) \wedge_i bs \neg_i g$
by *auto*
have 7: $\vdash ((f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \vee_i (g \wedge_i bs \neg_i f)) \wedge_i bs \neg_i g \equiv_i$

$(\triangleright f \vee_i (g \wedge_i bs \neg_i f)) \wedge_i bs \neg_i g$
by (*simp add: first-d-def*)
have 8: $\vdash (\triangleright f \vee_i (g \wedge_i bs \neg_i f)) \wedge_i bs \neg_i g \equiv_i$
 $((\triangleright f \vee_i g) \wedge_i (\triangleright f \vee_i bs \neg_i f)) \wedge_i bs \neg_i g$
by *auto*
have 9: $\vdash ((\triangleright f \vee_i g) \wedge_i (\triangleright f \vee_i bs \neg_i f)) \wedge_i bs \neg_i g \equiv_i$
 $((\triangleright f \vee_i g) \wedge_i ((f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \vee_i bs \neg_i f)) \wedge_i bs \neg_i g$
by (*simp add: first-d-def*)
have 10: $\vdash ((\triangleright f \vee_i g) \wedge_i ((f \wedge_i bs \neg_i f) \vee_i bs \neg_i f)) \wedge_i bs \neg_i g \equiv_i$
 $(\triangleright f \vee_i g) \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i bs \neg_i g$
by *auto*
have 11: $\vdash (\triangleright f \vee_i g) \wedge_i bs \neg_i f \wedge_i bs \neg_i g \equiv_i$
 $(\triangleright f \vee_i g) \wedge_i bs(\neg_i(\triangleright f)) \wedge_i bs \neg_i g$
using *BsNotFstEqvBsNot* **using** *itl-prop(30) prop05 prop06* **by** *blast*
have 12: $\vdash (\triangleright f \vee_i g) \wedge_i bs(\neg_i(\triangleright f)) \wedge_i bs \neg_i g \equiv_i$
 $(\triangleright f \vee_i g) \wedge_i bs(\neg_i(\triangleright f) \wedge_i \neg_i g)$
using *BsAndEqv* **using** *prop05* **by** *blast*
have 13: $\vdash (\neg_i(\triangleright f) \wedge_i \neg_i g) \equiv_i \neg_i(\triangleright f \vee_i g)$
by *auto*
hence 14: $\vdash bs(\neg_i(\triangleright f) \wedge_i \neg_i g) \equiv_i bs \neg_i(\triangleright f \vee_i g)$
using *BsEqvRule* **by** *blast*
hence 15: $\vdash (\triangleright f \vee_i g) \wedge_i bs(\neg_i(\triangleright f) \wedge_i \neg_i g) \equiv_i (\triangleright f \vee_i g) \wedge_i bs \neg_i(\triangleright f \vee_i g)$
by *auto*
have 16: $\vdash (\triangleright f \vee_i g) \wedge_i bs \neg_i(\triangleright f \vee_i g) \equiv_i \triangleright(\triangleright f \vee_i g)$
by (*simp add: first-d-def*)
from 16 15 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 1 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *FstFstOrEqvFstOrR*:

$\vdash \triangleright(f \vee_i \triangleright g) \equiv_i \triangleright(f \vee_i g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (f \vee_i \triangleright g) \equiv_i (\triangleright g \vee_i f)$ **by** *auto*
hence 2: $\vdash \triangleright(f \vee_i \triangleright g) \equiv_i \triangleright(\triangleright g \vee_i f)$ **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash \triangleright(\triangleright g \vee_i f) \equiv_i \triangleright(g \vee_i f)$ **using** *FstFstOrEqvFstOrL* **by** *blast*
have 4: $\vdash (g \vee_i f) \equiv_i (f \vee_i g)$ **by** *auto*
hence 5: $\vdash \triangleright(g \vee_i f) \equiv_i \triangleright(f \vee_i g)$ **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
from 2 3 5 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *FstFstOrEqvFstOr*:

$\vdash \triangleright(\triangleright f \vee_i \triangleright g) \equiv_i \triangleright(f \vee_i g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright(\triangleright f \vee_i \triangleright g) \equiv_i \triangleright(f \vee_i \triangleright g)$ **using** *FstFstOrEqvFstOrL* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash \triangleright(f \vee_i \triangleright g) \equiv_i \triangleright(f \vee_i g)$ **using** *FstFstOrEqvFstOrR* **by** *blast*
from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *FstLenEqvLen*:

$\vdash \triangleright(\text{len}(k)) \equiv_i \text{len}(k)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright (len(k) \wedge_i true_i) \equiv_i len(k) \wedge_i true_i$ **using** *FstLenAndEqvLenAnd* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash len(k) \wedge_i true_i \equiv_i len(k)$ **by** *auto*
hence 3: $\vdash \triangleright (len(k) \wedge_i true_i) \equiv_i \triangleright (len(k))$ **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
from 1 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *FstSkip*:

$\vdash \triangleright skip \equiv_i skip$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash skip \equiv_i len(1)$ **using** *LenOneEqvSkip* **using** *itl-prop(30)* **by** *blast*
hence 2: $\vdash \triangleright skip \equiv_i \triangleright (len(1))$ **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash \triangleright (len(1)) \equiv_i len(1)$ **using** *FstLenEqvLen* **by** *blast*
from 1 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **using** *LenOneEqvSkip prop03* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *NotChopNotSkip*:

$\vdash \neg_i(f;skip) \equiv_i empty \vee_i ((\neg_i f);skip)$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash \neg_i(\neg_i(\neg_i f);skip) \equiv_i empty \vee_i ((\neg_i f);skip)$ **using** *NotNotChopSkip* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash \neg_i(\neg_i(\neg_i f);skip) \equiv_i \neg_i(f;skip)$ **by** *auto*
from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *BiBoxNotEqvNotTrueChopChopTrue*:

$\vdash bi(\Box \neg_i f) \equiv_i \neg_i((true_i;f);true_i)$

by *auto*

lemma *BoxMoreStateEqvBsFinState*:

$\vdash \Box(more \supset_i \neg_i (init w)) \equiv_i bs(\neg_i(fin(init w)))$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash \Box(more \supset_i \neg_i (init w)) \equiv_i \neg_i(\Diamond(\neg_i(more \supset_i \neg_i (init w))))$
by *auto*
have 2: $\vdash \neg_i(\Diamond(\neg_i(more \supset_i \neg_i (init w)))) \equiv_i \neg_i(true_i;(init w \wedge_i more))$
by *auto*
have 3: $\vdash more \equiv_i true_i; skip$
using *MoreEqvSkipChopTrue SkipTrueEqvTrueSkip prop03* **by** *blast*
have 4: $\vdash init w \wedge_i more \equiv_i init w \wedge_i (true_i; skip)$
using 3 **by** *auto*
have 5: $\vdash init w \wedge_i (true_i; skip) \equiv_i ((init w \wedge_i empty);(true_i;skip))$
using *StateAndEmptyChop itl-prop(30)* **by** *blast*
have 6: $\vdash init w \wedge_i more \equiv_i ((init w \wedge_i empty);(true_i;skip))$
using 4 5 **by** *auto*
have 7: $\vdash (true_i;(init w \wedge_i more)) \equiv_i (true_i;((init w \wedge_i empty);(true_i;skip)))$
using 6 *RightChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
have 8: $\vdash (true_i;(((init w \wedge_i empty);(true_i;skip))) \equiv_i$
 $((true_i;(init w \wedge_i empty));(true_i;skip))$
using *ChopAssoc* **by** *blast*
have 9: $\vdash (((true_i;(init w \wedge_i empty));(true_i;skip))) \equiv_i$
 $((true_i;(init w \wedge_i empty));true_i;skip)$
using *ChopAssoc* **by** *blast*

have 10: $\vdash (true_i; (init\ w \wedge_i\ more)) \equiv_i$
 $(((((true_i; (init\ w \wedge_i\ empty)); true_i); skip))$
using 7 8 9 by auto
hence 11: $\vdash \neg_i (true_i; (init\ w \wedge_i\ more)) \equiv_i$
 $\neg_i (((true_i; (init\ w \wedge_i\ empty)); true_i); skip)$
by auto
have 12: $\vdash \neg_i (((true_i; (init\ w \wedge_i\ empty)); true_i); skip) \equiv_i$
 $empty \vee_i (\neg_i ((true_i; (init\ w \wedge_i\ empty)); true_i); skip)$
using NotChopNotSkip by blast
have 13: $\vdash (\neg_i ((true_i; (init\ w \wedge_i\ empty)); true_i)) \equiv_i bi(\Box \neg_i (init\ w \wedge_i\ empty))$
using BiBoxNotEqvNotTrueChopChopTrue itl-prop(30) by blast
hence 14: $\vdash (\neg_i ((true_i; (init\ w \wedge_i\ empty)); true_i)); skip \equiv_i$
 $(bi(\Box \neg_i (init\ w \wedge_i\ empty)); skip)$
using RightChopEqvChop by auto
hence 15: $\vdash empty \vee_i (\neg_i ((true_i; (init\ w \wedge_i\ empty)); true_i)); skip \equiv_i$
 $empty \vee_i (bi(\Box \neg_i (init\ w \wedge_i\ empty)); skip)$
by auto
have 16: $\vdash \neg_i (((true_i; (init\ w \wedge_i\ empty)); true_i); skip) \equiv_i$
 $empty \vee_i (bi(\Box \neg_i (init\ w \wedge_i\ empty)); skip)$
using 12 15 by auto
have 17: $\vdash empty \vee_i (bi(\Box \neg_i (init\ w \wedge_i\ empty)); skip) \equiv_i$
 $empty \vee_i (bi(\Box (\neg_i (init\ w) \vee_i \neg_i empty)); skip)$
by auto
have 18: $\vdash \Box (\neg_i (init\ w) \vee_i \neg_i empty) \equiv_i \Box (\neg_i empty \vee_i \neg_i (init\ w))$
by auto
have 19: $\vdash \Box (\neg_i empty \vee_i \neg_i (init\ w)) \equiv_i \Box (empty \supset_i \neg_i (init\ w))$
by auto
have 20: $\vdash \Box (empty \supset_i \neg_i (init\ w)) \equiv_i fin(\neg_i (init\ w))$
by (simp add: fin-d-def)
have 21: $\vdash fin(\neg_i (init\ w)) \equiv_i \neg_i (fin(init\ w))$
using FinEqvFin FinNotStateEqvNotFinState Initprop(2) prop03 by blast
have 22: $\vdash bi(\Box (\neg_i (init\ w) \vee_i \neg_i empty)) \equiv_i bi(\neg_i (fin(init\ w)))$
using 18 19 20 21 BiEqvBi using prop03 by blast
hence 23: $\vdash (bi(\Box (\neg_i (init\ w) \vee_i \neg_i empty)); skip) \equiv_i (bi(\neg_i (fin(init\ w))))$
using RightChopEqvChop by auto
hence 24: $\vdash empty \vee_i (bi(\Box (\neg_i (init\ w) \vee_i \neg_i empty)); skip) \equiv_i$
 $empty \vee_i (bi(\neg_i (fin(init\ w))))$
by auto
hence 25: $\vdash empty \vee_i (bi(\neg_i (fin(init\ w))))$
by (simp add: bs-d-def)
from 1 2 11 16 17 24 25 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma HaltStateEqvFstFinState:

$\vdash halt(init\ w) \equiv_i \triangleright (fin(init\ w))$

proof —

have 1: $\vdash halt(init\ w) \equiv_i \Box (empty \equiv_i (init\ w))$ **by (simp add: halt-d-def)**

have 2: $\vdash \Box (empty \equiv_i (init\ w)) \equiv_i \Box ((empty \supset_i (init\ w)) \wedge_i ((init\ w) \supset_i empty))$ **by auto**

have 3: $\vdash \Box ((empty \supset_i (init\ w)) \wedge_i ((init\ w) \supset_i empty)) \equiv_i$
 $\Box ((empty \supset_i (init\ w)) \wedge_i \Box ((init\ w) \supset_i empty))$ **by auto**

have 4: $\vdash ((init\ w) \supset_i empty) \equiv_i (more \supset_i \neg_i (init\ w))$ **by** *auto*
hence 5: $\vdash \square ((init\ w) \supset_i empty) \equiv_i \square (more \supset_i \neg_i (init\ w))$ **using** *BoxEqvBox* **by** *blast*
have 6: $\vdash \square (more \supset_i \neg_i (init\ w)) \equiv_i bs(\neg_i (fin\ (init\ w)))$ **using** *BoxMoreStateEqvBsFinState* **by** *blast*
have 7: $\vdash \square ((empty \supset_i (init\ w))) \equiv_i fin\ (init\ w)$ **by** (*simp add: fin-d-def*)
have 8: $\vdash \square ((empty \supset_i (init\ w))) \wedge_i \square ((init\ w) \supset_i empty) \equiv_i$
 $fin\ (init\ w) \wedge_i bs(\neg_i (fin\ (init\ w)))$ **using** 5 6 7 **by** *auto*
from 1 2 3 8 **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: first-d-def*)
qed

lemma *FstLenEqvLenFst*:

$\vdash \triangleright (len\ k ; f) \equiv_i len\ k ; \triangleright f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash len\ k ; f \equiv_i \triangleright (len\ k) ; f$ **using** *FstLenEqvLen LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *auto*
have 2: $\vdash \triangleright (len\ k ; f) \equiv_i \triangleright (\triangleright (len\ k) ; f)$ **using** 1 *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash \triangleright (\triangleright (len\ k) ; f) \equiv_i \triangleright (len\ k) ; \triangleright f$ **using** *FstFstChopEqvFstChopFst* **by** *blast*
have 4: $\vdash \triangleright (len\ k) ; \triangleright f \equiv_i len\ k ; \triangleright f$ **using** *FstLenEqvLen LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *auto*
from 2 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *FstNextEqvNextFst*:

$\vdash \triangleright (\circ f) \equiv_i \circ (\triangleright f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \triangleright (\circ f) \equiv_i \triangleright (skip ; f)$ **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** (*simp add: next-d-def*)
have 2: $\vdash skip ; f \equiv_i \triangleright skip ; f$ **using** *FstSkip* **by** *auto*
have 3: $\vdash \triangleright (skip ; f) \equiv_i \triangleright (\triangleright skip ; f)$ **using** 2 *FstEqvRule LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
have 4: $\vdash \triangleright (\triangleright skip ; f) \equiv_i \triangleright skip ; \triangleright f$ **using** 3 *FstFstChopEqvFstChopFst* **by** *blast*
have 5: $\vdash \triangleright skip ; \triangleright f \equiv_i skip ; \triangleright f$ **using** 4 *FstSkip LeftChopEqvChop* **by** *blast*
have 6: $\vdash skip ; \triangleright f \equiv_i \circ (\triangleright f)$ **by** (*simp add: next-d-def*)
from 1 2 3 4 5 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *FstDiamondStateEqvHalt*:

$\vdash \triangleright (\diamond (init\ w)) \equiv_i halt\ (init\ w)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \diamond (init\ w) \equiv_i \diamond ((init\ w) \wedge_i true_i)$ **by** *simp*
have 2: $\vdash fin\ (init\ w) ; true_i \equiv_i \diamond ((init\ w) \wedge_i true_i)$ **using** 1 *FinChopEqvDiamond* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash fin\ (init\ w) ; true_i \equiv_i di\ (fin\ (init\ w))$ **using** *di-d-def* **by** *simp*
have 4: $\vdash (\diamond (init\ w)) \equiv_i (di\ (fin\ (init\ w)))$ **using** 1 2 3 **by** *auto*
have 5: $\vdash \triangleright (\diamond (init\ w)) \equiv_i \triangleright (di\ (fin\ (init\ w)))$ **using** 4 *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
hence 6: $\vdash \triangleright (\diamond (init\ w)) \equiv_i \triangleright (fin\ (init\ w))$ **using** *FstDiEqvFst* **by** *auto*
hence 7: $\vdash \triangleright (\diamond (init\ w)) \equiv_i halt\ (init\ w)$ **using** *HaltStateEqvFstFinState* **by** *auto*
from 7 **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *FstBoxStateEqvStateAndEmpty*:

$\vdash \triangleright (\square (init\ w)) \equiv_i (init\ w) \wedge_i empty$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (init\ w) \wedge_i (\square (init\ w))^* \equiv_i \square (init\ w)$
using *BoxCSEqvBox* **by** *blast*
have 2: $\vdash \square (init\ w) \equiv_i (init\ w) \wedge_i (\square (init\ w))^*$

```

using 1 by simp
hence 3:  $\vdash \Box (init\ w) \equiv_i (init\ w) \wedge_i (\Box (init\ w))^*$ 
by blast
have 4:  $\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge_i empty) ; (\Box (init\ w))^* \equiv_i (init\ w) \wedge_i (\Box (init\ w))^*$ 
using StateAndEmptyChop by blast
have 5:  $\vdash (init\ w) \wedge_i (\Box (init\ w))^* \equiv_i ((init\ w) \wedge_i empty) ; (\Box (init\ w))^*$ 
using 4 by simp
have 6:  $\vdash \Box (init\ w) \equiv_i ((init\ w) \wedge_i empty) ; (\Box (init\ w))^*$ 
using 3 5 prop03 by blast
have 7:  $\vdash ((init\ w) \wedge_i empty) ; (\Box (init\ w))^* \equiv_i \triangleright (init\ w) ; (\Box (init\ w))^*$ 
using FstState by auto
have 8:  $\vdash \Box (init\ w) \equiv_i \triangleright (init\ w) ; (\Box (init\ w))^*$ 
using 6 7 prop03 by blast
have 9:  $\vdash \triangleright (\Box (init\ w)) \equiv_i \triangleright (\triangleright (init\ w) ; (\Box (init\ w))^*)$ 
using 8 FstEqvRule by blast
have 10:  $\vdash \triangleright (\triangleright (init\ w) ; (\Box (init\ w))^*) \equiv_i \triangleright (init\ w) ; \triangleright ((\Box (init\ w))^*)$ 
using FstFstChopEqvFstChopFst by blast
have 11:  $\vdash \triangleright (init\ w) ; \triangleright ((\Box (init\ w))^*) \equiv_i \triangleright (init\ w) ; empty$ 
using RightChopEqvChop FstCSeqvEmpty by blast
have 12:  $\vdash \triangleright (init\ w) ; empty \equiv_i \triangleright (init\ w)$ 
using RightChopEqvChop ChopEmpty by blast
have 13:  $\vdash \triangleright (init\ w) \equiv_i (init\ w) \wedge_i empty$ 
using FstState by auto
from 9 10 11 12 13 show ?thesis by auto
qed

end

```

```

theory Monitor
imports First

```

```
begin
```

7 Monitors

The RV monitors language is introduced plus the algebraic properties of the monitor operators.

7.1 Syntax

```

datatype 'a monitor =
  mFIRST-d 'a pitl ((FIRST -))
| mUPTO-d 'a monitor 'a monitor ((- UPTO -) [84,84] 83)
| mTHRU-d 'a monitor 'a monitor ((- THRU -) [84,84] 83)
| mTHEN-d 'a monitor 'a monitor ((- THEN -) [84,84] 83)
| mWITH-d 'a monitor 'a pitl ((- WITH -) [84,84] 83)

```

7.2 Derived Monitors

definition $mHALT-d$ $((HALT -) [84] 83)$

where

$HALT w \equiv FIRST (fin (init w))$

definition $mLEN-d$ $((LEN -) [84] 83)$

where

$LEN k \equiv FIRST (len k)$

definition $mEMPTY-d$ $(EMPTY)$

where

$EMPTY \equiv FIRST empty$

definition $mSKIP-d$ $(SKIP)$

where

$SKIP \equiv FIRST skip$

definition $mGUARD-d$ $((GUARD -) [84] 83)$

where

$GUARD w \equiv EMPTY WITH (init w)$

definition $mFAIL-d$ $(FAIL)$

where

$FAIL \equiv GUARD false;$

primrec $mTIMES-d :: 'a monitor \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a monitor ((- TIMES -) [84,84] 83)$

where

$mTIMES-0 : a TIMES 0 = EMPTY$

| $mTIMES-Suc: a TIMES (Suc k) = a THEN (a TIMES k)$

definition $mALWAYS-d$ $((- ALWAYS -) [84,84] 83)$

where

$a ALWAYS (w) \equiv a WITH (bi (fin (init w)))$

definition $mSOMETIME-d$ $((- SOMETIME -) [84,84] 83)$

where

$a SOMETIME (w) \equiv a WITH (di (fin (init w)))$

definition $mLimit-d$ $((Limit -) [84] 83)$

where

$Limit f \equiv (bs (\neg_i f))$

definition $mWITHIN-d$ $((- WITHIN -) [84,84] 83)$

where

$a WITHIN (f) \equiv a WITH (Limit f)$

definition $mUNTIL-d$ $((- UNTIL -) [84,84] 83)$

where

$w1 UNTIL w2 \equiv (HALT w2) WITH (bm w1)$

7.3 Semantics

fun *semantics-monitor* :: 'a monitor \Rightarrow 'a pitl ((\mathcal{M} -) [80] 80)

where

(\mathcal{M} (*FIRST* a)) = $\triangleright a$
 | (\mathcal{M} (a *UPTO* b)) = $\triangleright ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b))$
 | (\mathcal{M} (a *THRU* b)) = $\triangleright (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))$
 | (\mathcal{M} (a *THEN* b)) = $((\mathcal{M} a);(\mathcal{M} b))$
 | (\mathcal{M} (a *WITH* f)) = $((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i f)$

definition *mAND-d* ((- *AND* -) [84,84] 83)

where

a *AND* $b \equiv a$ *WITH* ($\mathcal{M} b$)

definition *mITERATE-d* ((- *ITERATE* -) [84,84] 83)

where

a *ITERATE* $b \equiv a$ *WITH* ($\mathcal{M} b$)^{*}

definition *mSTAR-d* ((- *STAR* -) [84,84] 83)

where

a *STAR* $f \equiv (\text{FIRST}(\diamond f))$ *ITERATE* (a)

definition *mREPEAT-d* ((- *REPEATUNTIL* -) [84,84] 83)

where

a *REPEATUNTIL* $w \equiv ((\text{HALT } w) \text{ ITERATE } (a \text{ WITH } (\text{keep}(\neg_i (\text{init } w)))) \text{ THEN } a$

7.4 Monitor Laws

lemma *MFixFst*:

$\vdash (\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i \triangleright (\mathcal{M} a)$

proof

(*induct* a)

case (*mFIRST-d* x)

then show ?*case*

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} \text{ FIRST } x) \equiv_i \triangleright x$ **by** *simp*

have 2: $\vdash \triangleright x \equiv_i \triangleright (\triangleright x)$ **using** *FstFixFst* **by** *auto*

have 3: $\vdash \triangleright (\triangleright x) \equiv_i \triangleright (\mathcal{M} \text{ FIRST } x)$ **by** *simp*

from 1 2 3 **show** ?*thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

next

case (*mUPTO-d* $a1$ $a2$)

then show ?*case*

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} (a1 \text{ UPTO } a2)) \equiv_i \triangleright ((\mathcal{M} a1) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a2))$ **by** *simp*

have 2: $\vdash \triangleright ((\mathcal{M} a1) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a2)) \equiv_i \triangleright (\triangleright ((\mathcal{M} a1) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a2)))$ **using** *FstFixFst* **by** *auto*

have 3: $\vdash \triangleright (\triangleright ((\mathcal{M} a1) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a2))) \equiv_i \triangleright (\mathcal{M} (a1 \text{ UPTO } a2))$ **by** *simp*

from 1 2 3 **show** ?*thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

next

case (*mTHRU-d* $a1$ $a2$)

```

then show ?case
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (\mathcal{M} (a1 \text{ THRU } a2)) \equiv_i \triangleright (di (\mathcal{M} a1) \wedge_i di (\mathcal{M} a2))$  by simp
  have 2:  $\vdash \triangleright (di (\mathcal{M} a1) \wedge_i di (\mathcal{M} a2)) \equiv_i \triangleright (\triangleright (di (\mathcal{M} a1) \wedge_i di (\mathcal{M} a2)))$  using FstFixFst by auto
  have 3:  $\vdash \triangleright (\triangleright (di (\mathcal{M} a1) \wedge_i di (\mathcal{M} a2))) \equiv_i \triangleright (\mathcal{M} (a1 \text{ THRU } a2))$  by simp
  from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by auto
qed
next
case (mTHEN-d a1 a2)
then show ?case
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (\mathcal{M} (a1 \text{ THEN } a2)) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a1) ; (\mathcal{M} a2)$ 
    by simp
  have 2:  $\vdash (\mathcal{M} a1) ; (\mathcal{M} a2) \equiv_i \triangleright (\mathcal{M} a1) ; \triangleright (\mathcal{M} a2)$ 
    using ChopEqvChop mTHEN-d.hyps(1) mTHEN-d.hyps(2) by blast
  have 3:  $\vdash \triangleright (\mathcal{M} a1) ; \triangleright (\mathcal{M} a2) \equiv_i \triangleright (\triangleright (\mathcal{M} a1) ; (\mathcal{M} a2))$ 
    using FstFstChopEqvFstChopFst itl-prop(30) by blast
  have 4:  $\vdash \triangleright (\triangleright (\mathcal{M} a1) ; (\mathcal{M} a2)) \equiv_i \triangleright ((\mathcal{M} a1) ; (\mathcal{M} a2))$ 
    using FstEqvRule LeftChopEqvChop itl-prop(30) mTHEN-d.hyps(1) by blast
  have 5:  $\vdash \triangleright ((\mathcal{M} a1) ; (\mathcal{M} a2)) \equiv_i \triangleright (\mathcal{M} (a1 \text{ THEN } a2))$ 
    by simp
  from 1 2 3 4 5 show ?thesis by auto
qed
next
case (mWITH-d a x2)
then show ?case
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash (\mathcal{M} (a \text{ WITH } x2)) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (x2)$ 
    by simp
  have 2:  $\vdash (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (x2) \equiv_i \triangleright (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (x2)$ 
    using mWITH-d.hyps by auto
  have 3:  $\vdash \triangleright (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (x2) \equiv_i \triangleright (\triangleright (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (x2))$ 
    using FstFstAndEqvFstAnd itl-prop(30) by blast
  have 4:  $\vdash \triangleright (\triangleright (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (x2)) \equiv_i \triangleright ((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (x2))$ 
    using 2 FstEqvRule itl-prop(30) by blast
  have 5:  $\vdash \triangleright ((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (x2)) \equiv_i \triangleright (\mathcal{M} (a \text{ WITH } x2))$ 
    by simp
  from 1 2 3 4 5 show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

lemma MGuardFalseEqvFalse:
 $\vdash \mathcal{M} (\text{GUARD } false_i) \equiv_i false_i$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\vdash \mathcal{M} (\text{GUARD } false_i) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} (\text{EMPTY WITH } (init \text{ false}_i))$  by (simp add: mGUARD-d-def)
  have 2:  $\vdash \mathcal{M} (\text{EMPTY WITH } (init \text{ false}_i)) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} (\text{EMPTY}) \wedge_i (init \text{ false}_i)$  by simp
  have 3:  $\vdash false_i \equiv_i (init \text{ false}_i)$  by simp
  have 4:  $\vdash \mathcal{M} (\text{EMPTY}) \wedge_i (init \text{ false}_i) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} (\text{EMPTY}) \wedge_i false_i$  using 3 by simp
  have 5:  $\vdash \mathcal{M} (\text{EMPTY}) \wedge_i false_i \equiv_i false_i$  by simp
  have 6:  $\vdash \mathcal{M} (\text{EMPTY}) \wedge_i (init \text{ false}_i) \equiv_i false_i$  using 4 5 by simp

```


have 7: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(\text{EMPTY WITH } (\text{init false}_i)) \equiv_i \text{false}_i$ **using** 2 6 **by** *simp*
 have 8: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(\text{GUARD false}_i) \equiv_i \text{false}_i$ **using** 1 7 **by** *simp*
 from 8 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *MFirstFalseEqvFalse*:
 $\vdash \mathcal{M}(\text{FIRST false}_i) \equiv_i \text{false}_i$

proof –
 have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(\text{FIRST false}_i) \equiv_i \triangleright \text{false}_i$ **by** *simp*
 have 2: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(\text{FIRST false}_i) \equiv_i \text{false}_i$ **using** *FstFalse* **by** *simp*
 from 2 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *MFailAlt*:
 $\vdash \mathcal{M} \text{ FAIL} \equiv_i \text{false}_i$

proof –
 have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M} \text{ FAIL} \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(\text{GUARD } (\text{false}_i))$ **by** (*simp add: mFAIL-d-def*)
 have 2: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(\text{GUARD } (\text{false}_i)) \equiv_i \text{false}_i$ **using** *MGuardFalseEqvFalse* **by** *auto*
 from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *MFailEqvFirstFalseWithinEmpty*:
 $\vdash (\mathcal{M} \text{ FAIL}) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}((\text{FIRST false}_i) \text{ WITHIN } (\text{empty}))$

proof –
 have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((\text{FIRST false}_i) \text{ WITHIN } (\text{empty})) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}((\text{FIRST false}_i) \text{ WITH } (\text{Limit empty}))$
 by (*simp add: mWITHIN-d-def*)
 have 2: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((\text{FIRST false}_i) \text{ WITH } (\text{Limit empty})) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(\text{FIRST false}_i) \wedge_i (\text{Limit empty})$
 by *simp*
 have 3: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((\text{FIRST false}_i) \text{ WITH } (\text{Limit empty})) \equiv_i \text{false}_i$
 using *MFirstFalseEqvFalse* **by** *auto*
 have 4: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((\text{FIRST false}_i) \text{ WITHIN } (\text{empty})) \equiv_i \text{false}_i$
 using 1 3 **by** *auto*
 have 5: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} \text{ FAIL}) \equiv_i \text{false}_i$
 using *MFailAlt* **by** *simp*
 from 4 5 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *MEmpyAlt*:
 $\vdash (\mathcal{M} \text{ EMPTY}) \equiv_i \text{empty}$

proof –
 have 1: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} \text{ EMPTY}) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M}(\text{FIRST empty}))$ **by** (*simp add: mEMPTY-d-def*)
 have 2: $\vdash (\mathcal{M}(\text{FIRST empty})) \equiv_i \triangleright \text{empty}$ **by** *simp*
 have 3: $\vdash \triangleright \text{empty} \equiv_i \text{empty}$ **using** *FstEmpty* **by** *auto*
 from 1 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *MSkipAlt*:
 $\vdash \mathcal{M} \text{ SKIP} \equiv_i \text{skip}$

proof –
 have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M} \text{ SKIP} \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(\text{FIRST skip})$ **by** (*simp add: mSKIP-d-def*)

have 2: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(\text{FIRST skip}) \equiv_i \triangleright \text{skip}$ **by simp**
 have 3: $\vdash \triangleright \text{skip} \equiv_i \text{skip}$ **using FstSkip by simp**
 from 1 2 3 **show ?thesis by auto**
qed

lemma MGuardAlt:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(\text{GUARD}(w)) \equiv_i \text{empty} \wedge_i \text{init } w$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(\text{GUARD}(w)) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(\text{EMPTY WITH } (\text{init } w))$ **by (simp add: mGUARD-d-def)**
 have 2: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(\text{EMPTY WITH } (\text{init } w)) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} \text{ EMPTY}) \wedge_i (\text{init } w)$ **by simp**
 have 3: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} \text{ EMPTY}) \wedge_i (\text{init } w) \equiv_i \text{empty} \wedge_i (\text{init } w)$ **using MEmptyAlt prop06 by blast**
 have 4: $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i (\text{init } w) \equiv_i \text{empty} \wedge_i \text{init } w$ **by simp**
 from 1 2 3 4 **show ?thesis by auto**

qed

lemma MLengthAlt:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(\text{LEN}(k)) \equiv_i \text{len}(k)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(\text{LEN}(k)) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(\text{FIRST}(\text{len}(k)))$ **by (simp add: mLEN-d-def)**
 have 2: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(\text{FIRST}(\text{len}(k))) \equiv_i \triangleright(\text{len}(k))$ **by simp**
 have 3: $\vdash \triangleright(\text{len}(k)) \equiv_i \text{len}(k)$ **using FstLenEqvLen by blast**
 from 1 2 3 **show ?thesis by auto**

qed

lemma BoxStateEqvBiFinState:

$\vdash \Box (\text{init } w) \equiv_i \text{bi } (\text{fin } (\text{init } w))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \Diamond (\neg_i (\text{init } w)) \equiv_i \text{true}_i ; \neg_i (\text{init } w)$
 by simp
 have 2: $\vdash \Diamond (\text{init } (\neg_i w)) \equiv_i \text{true}_i ; \text{init } (\neg_i w)$
 by simp
 have 3: $\vdash \text{di } (\text{true}_i \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } (\neg_i w))) \equiv_i \text{true}_i ; \text{init } (\neg_i w)$
 using DiAndFinEqvChopState by blast
 have 4: $\vdash \Diamond (\text{init } (\neg_i w)) \equiv_i \text{di } (\text{true}_i \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } (\neg_i w)))$
 using 1 2 3 by simp
 have 5: $\vdash \neg_i (\Diamond (\text{init } (\neg_i w))) \equiv_i \neg_i (\text{di } (\text{true}_i \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } (\neg_i w))))$
 using 4 by simp
 have 6: $\vdash \Box (\text{init } w) \equiv_i \neg_i (\text{di } (\text{true}_i \wedge_i \text{fin } (\text{init } (\neg_i w))))$
 using 5 by auto
 have 7: $\vdash \Box (\text{init } w) \equiv_i \text{bi } (\neg_i (\text{fin } (\text{init } (\neg_i w))))$
 using 6 by auto
 have 8: $\vdash \text{init } (\neg_i w) \equiv_i \neg_i (\text{init } w)$
 by simp
 have 9: $\vdash \text{fin } (\text{init } (\neg_i w)) \equiv_i \text{fin } (\neg_i (\text{init } w))$
 using 8 FinEqvFin by blast
 have 10: $\vdash \text{fin } (\text{init } (\neg_i w)) \equiv_i \neg_i (\text{fin } (\text{init } w))$
 using 8 FinNotStateEqvNotFinState FinEqvFin by blast
 have 11: $\vdash \neg_i (\text{fin } (\text{init } (\neg_i w))) \equiv_i (\text{fin } (\text{init } w))$
 using 10 by simp
 have 12: $\vdash \text{bi } (\neg_i (\text{fin } (\text{init } (\neg_i w)))) \equiv_i \text{bi } (\text{fin } (\text{init } w))$

using 11 by simp
 have 13: $\vdash \Box (init\ w) \equiv_i bi\ (fin\ (init\ w))$
 using 7 12 by simp
 from 13 show ?thesis by simp
 qed

lemma *MAalwaysAlt*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ ALWAYS\ w) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a) \wedge_i \Box (init\ w)$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ ALWAYS\ w) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a\ WITH\ (bi\ (fin\ (init\ w))))$
 by (simp add: mALWAYS-d-def)
 have 2: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ WITH\ (bi\ (fin\ (init\ w)))) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a) \wedge_i (bi\ (fin\ (init\ w)))$
 by simp
 have 3: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a) \wedge_i (bi\ (fin\ (init\ w))) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a) \wedge_i \Box (init\ w)$
 using BoxStateEqvBiFinState by auto
 from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by simp
 qed

lemma *DiamondStateEqvDiFinState*:

$\vdash \Diamond (init\ w) \equiv_i di\ (fin\ (init\ w))$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash \Box (init\ (\neg_i\ w)) \equiv_i bi\ (fin\ (init\ (\neg_i\ w)))$ using BoxStateEqvBiFinState by blast
 have 2: $\vdash \neg_i (\Box (init\ (\neg_i\ w))) \equiv_i \neg_i (bi\ (fin\ (init\ (\neg_i\ w))))$ using 1 by auto
 have 3: $\vdash \Diamond (\neg_i (init\ (\neg_i\ w))) \equiv_i di\ (\neg_i (fin\ (init\ (\neg_i\ w))))$ using 2 by auto
 have 4: $\vdash \Diamond (init\ w) \equiv_i di\ (\neg_i (fin\ (init\ (\neg_i\ w))))$ using 3 by auto
 have 5: $\vdash \Diamond (init\ w) \equiv_i di\ (fin\ (init\ w))$ using 4 FinNotStateEqvNotFinState by auto
 from 1 2 3 4 5 show ?thesis by simp
 qed

lemma *MSometimeAlt*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ SOMETIME\ w) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a) \wedge_i \Diamond (init\ w)$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ SOMETIME\ w) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a\ WITH\ (di\ (fin\ (init\ w))))$
 by (simp add: mSOMETIME-d-def)
 have 2: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ WITH\ (di\ (fin\ (init\ w)))) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a) \wedge_i (di\ (fin\ (init\ w)))$
 by simp
 have 3: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ WITH\ (di\ (fin\ (init\ w)))) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a) \wedge_i \Diamond (init\ w)$
 using DiamondStateEqvDiFinState by auto
 from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by simp
 qed

lemma *MWithinAlt*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ WITHIN\ f) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a) \wedge_i (bs\ (\neg_i\ f))$
proof –
 have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ WITHIN\ f) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a\ WITH\ (bs\ (\neg_i\ f)))$
 by (simp add: mWITHIN-d-def mlimit-d-def)
 have 2: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a\ WITH\ (bs\ (\neg_i\ f))) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a) \wedge_i (bs\ (\neg_i\ f))$
 by simp
 from 1 2 show ?thesis by simp
 qed

lemma *MTimesAlt*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ TIMES } k) \equiv_i \text{power } (\mathcal{M} a) k$

proof

(*induct k*)

case 0

then show ?case

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M} a \text{ TIMES } 0 \equiv_i \mathcal{M} \text{EMPTY}$ **by** *simp*

have 2: $\vdash \mathcal{M} \text{EMPTY} \equiv_i \text{empty}$ **using** *MEEmptyAlt* **by** *simp*

have 3: $\vdash \text{empty} \equiv_i \text{power } (\mathcal{M} a) 0$ **by** *simp*

from 1 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*

qed

next

case (*Suc k*)

then show ?case

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M} a \text{ TIMES } \text{Suc } k \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a \text{ THEN } (a \text{ TIMES } k))$

by *simp*

have 2: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ THEN } (a \text{ TIMES } k)) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a);(\mathcal{M}(a \text{ TIMES } k))$

by *simp*

have 3: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} a);(\mathcal{M}(a \text{ TIMES } k)) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a);(\text{power } (\mathcal{M} a) k)$

using *RightChopEqvChop Suc.hyps* **by** *blast*

have 4: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} a);(\text{power } (\mathcal{M} a) k) \equiv_i \text{power } (\mathcal{M} a) (\text{Suc } k)$

by *simp*

from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*

qed

qed

lemma *MUptoAlt*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ UPTO } b) \equiv_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i ((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} b))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ UPTO } b) \equiv_i \triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b))$

by *simp*

have 2: $\vdash \triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b)) \equiv_i (\triangleright(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} b))) \vee_i (\triangleright(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i (b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} a)))$

using *FstWithOrEqv* **by** *blast*

have 3: $\vdash (\triangleright(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} b))) \vee_i (\triangleright(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i (b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} a))) \equiv_i$

$((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} b) \vee_i \neg_i (\mathcal{M} b)) \wedge_i (b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} b))) \vee_i$

$((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i \neg_i (\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i (b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} a)))$

using *MFixFst* **by** *auto*

have 4: $\vdash ((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} b) \vee_i \neg_i (\mathcal{M} b)) \wedge_i (b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} b))) \vee_i$

$((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i \neg_i (\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i (b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} a))) \equiv_i$

$((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i (\neg_i (\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} b))) \vee_i$

$((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i (\neg_i (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} a)))$

by *auto*

have 5: $\vdash ((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i (\neg_i (\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} b))) \vee_i$

$((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i (\neg_i (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} a))) \equiv_i$

$((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (\triangleright(\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i (\neg_i (\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} b))) \vee_i$

$((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i (\triangleright(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i (\neg_i (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i b \neg_i (\mathcal{M} a)))$

by (*simp add: first-d-def*)

have 6: $\vdash ((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (\triangleright(\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i (\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i bs\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b))) \vee_i$
 $((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i (\triangleright(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i (\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i bs\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a))) \equiv_i$
 $((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i (\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i bs\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b))) \vee_i$
 $((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i (\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i bs\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)))$
using MFixFst by auto
have 7: $\vdash (\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i bs\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b)) \equiv_i bi(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b))$
using AndBsEqvBi by blast
have 8: $\vdash (\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i bs\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i bi(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a))$
using AndBsEqvBi by blast
have 9: $\vdash ((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i ((\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b)) \wedge_i bs(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b)))) \vee_i$
 $((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i ((\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i bs(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)))) \equiv_i$
 $((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i (bi(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b)))) \vee_i$
 $((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i (bi(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a))))$
using 7 8 by auto
have 10: $\vdash ((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i (bi(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b)))) \vee_i$
 $((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i (bi(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)))) \equiv_i$
 $((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i bi(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b))) \vee_i$
 $((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i ((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i bi(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)))$
by auto
have 11: $\vdash ((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i bi(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b))) \vee_i$
 $((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i ((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i bi(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a))) \equiv_i$
 $((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i bi\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i ((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i bi\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} b))$
by auto
from 1 2 3 4 5 6 9 10 11 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma MThruAlt:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ THRU } b) \equiv_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i ((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ THRU } b) \equiv_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))$

by simp

have 2: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \equiv_i (\triangleright(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i (\triangleright(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a))$

using FstDiAndDiEqv by auto

have 3: $\vdash (\triangleright(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i (\triangleright(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i$

$((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i ((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a))$

using MFixFst by auto

from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by auto

qed

lemma MHaltAlt:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \equiv_i \text{halt}(\text{init } w)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(\text{FIRST } (fin(\text{init } w)))$ **by** (simp add: mHALT-d-def)

have 2: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(\text{FIRST } (fin(\text{init } w))) \equiv_i \triangleright(fin(\text{init } w))$ **by simp**

have 3: $\vdash \triangleright(fin(\text{init } w)) \equiv_i \text{halt}(\text{init } w)$ **using HaltStateEqvFstFinState by auto**

from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by simp

qed

lemma MFailUpto:

$\vdash (\mathcal{M}(\text{FAIL UPTO } a)) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} (\text{FAIL UPTO } a)) \equiv_i \triangleright((\mathcal{M} \text{ FAIL}) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a))$ **by** *simp*
have 2: $\vdash \mathcal{M} \text{ FAIL} \vee_i \mathcal{M} a \equiv_i \text{false}_i \vee_i \mathcal{M} a$ **using** *MFailAlt* **by** *auto*
have 3: $\vdash \triangleright((\mathcal{M} \text{ FAIL}) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i \triangleright(\text{false}_i \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a))$ **using** 2 *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
have 4: $\vdash \text{false}_i \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} a$ **by** *simp*
have 5: $\vdash \triangleright(\text{false}_i \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i \triangleright(\mathcal{M} a)$ **using** 4 *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
have 6: $\vdash \triangleright(\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} a$ **using** *MFixFst* **by** *auto*
from 1 2 3 4 5 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *MFailThru*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(\text{FAIL THRU } a) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} \text{ FAIL}$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(\text{FAIL THRU } a) \equiv_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} \text{ FAIL}) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a))$
by *simp*
have 2: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} \text{ FAIL}) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i \triangleright(di(\text{false}_i) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a))$
using *MFailAlt DiEqvDi FstEqvRule prop06* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash di \text{false}_i \equiv_i \text{false}_i$
by *simp*
hence 4: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\text{false}_i) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i \triangleright(\text{false}_i \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a))$
using *FstEqvRule prop06* **by** *blast*
have 5: $\vdash \triangleright(\text{false}_i \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i \triangleright \text{false}_i$
using *FstEqvRule itl-prop(19)* **by** *blast*
have 6: $\vdash \triangleright \text{false}_i \equiv_i \text{false}_i$ **using** *FstFalse*
by *auto*
have 7: $\vdash \text{false}_i \equiv_i \mathcal{M} \text{ FAIL}$
using *MFailAlt* **by** *auto*
from 1 2 4 5 6 7 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *MFailAnd*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M} (\text{FAIL AND } a) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} \text{ FAIL}$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M} (\text{FAIL AND } a) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} \text{ FAIL}) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} a)$ **by** (*simp add: mAND-d-def*)
have 2: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} \text{ FAIL}) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i \text{false}_i \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} a)$ **using** *MFailAlt* **by** *auto*
have 3: $\vdash \text{false}_i \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i \text{false}_i$ **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash \mathcal{M} (\text{FAIL AND } a) \equiv_i \text{false}_i$ **using** 1 2 3 **by** *auto*
have 5: $\vdash \text{false}_i \equiv_i \mathcal{M} \text{ FAIL}$ **using** *MFailAlt* **by** *auto*
from 1 2 3 4 5 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *MThenFail*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M} (a \text{ THEN FAIL}) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} \text{ FAIL}$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M} (a \text{ THEN FAIL}) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a);(\mathcal{M} \text{ FAIL})$ **by** *simp*
have 2: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} a);(\mathcal{M} \text{ FAIL}) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a);\text{false}_i$ **using** *MFailAlt* **by** *auto*
have 3: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} a);\text{false}_i \equiv_i \text{false}_i$ **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash \text{false}_i \equiv_i \mathcal{M} \text{ FAIL}$ **using** *MFailAlt* **by** *auto*
from 1 2 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *MFailThen:*

$\vdash \mathcal{M} (FAIL THEN a) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} FAIL$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M} (FAIL THEN a) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} FAIL);(\mathcal{M} a)$ **by** *simp*

have 2: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} FAIL);(\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i false_i;(\mathcal{M} a)$ **using** *MFailAlt* **by** *auto*

have 3: $\vdash false_i;(\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i false_i$ **by** *auto*

have 4: $\vdash false_i \equiv_i \mathcal{M} FAIL$ **using** *MFailAlt* **by** *auto*

from 1 2 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *MFailWith:*

$\vdash \mathcal{M} (FAIL WITH f) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} FAIL$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M} (FAIL WITH f) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} FAIL) \wedge_i f$ **by** *simp*

have 2: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} FAIL) \wedge_i f \equiv_i false_i \wedge_i f$ **using** *MFailAlt* **by** *auto*

have 3: $\vdash false_i \wedge_i f \equiv_i false_i$ **by** *simp*

have 4: $\vdash false_i \equiv_i \mathcal{M} FAIL$ **using** *MFailAlt* **by** *auto*

from 1 2 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *MEmpyUpto:*

$\vdash \mathcal{M} (EMPTY UPTO a) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} EMPTY$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M} (EMPTY UPTO a) \equiv_i \triangleright((\mathcal{M} EMPTY) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a))$ **by** *simp*

have 2: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} EMPTY) \equiv_i empty$ **using** *MEmpyAlt* **by** *auto*

hence 3: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} EMPTY) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i empty \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a)$ **by** *auto*

hence 4: $\vdash \triangleright((\mathcal{M} EMPTY) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i \triangleright(empty \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a))$ **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*

have 5: $\vdash \triangleright(empty \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i empty$ **using** *FstEmptyOrEqvEmpty* **by** *blast*

have 6: $\vdash empty \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} EMPTY)$ **using** *MEmpyAlt* **by** *auto*

from 1 4 5 6 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *MEmpyThru:*

$\vdash \mathcal{M} (EMPTY THRU a) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M} (EMPTY THRU a) \equiv_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} EMPTY) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a))$ **by** *simp*

have 2: $\vdash di(\mathcal{M} EMPTY) \equiv_i di empty$ **using** *MEmpyAlt DiEqvDi* **by** *blast*

hence 3: $\vdash di(\mathcal{M} EMPTY) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i di empty \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a)$ **by** *auto*

hence 4: $\vdash di empty \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i di(\mathcal{M} a)$ **by** *auto*

have 5: $\vdash di(\mathcal{M} EMPTY) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i di(\mathcal{M} a)$ **using** 3 4 **by** *auto*

hence 6: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} EMPTY) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a))$ **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*

have 7: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i \triangleright(\mathcal{M} a)$ **using** *FstDiEqvFst* **by** *blast*

have 8: $\vdash \triangleright(\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a)$ **using** *MFixFst* **by** *auto*

from 1 6 7 8 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *MThenEmpty:*

$\vdash \mathcal{M} (a THEN EMPTY) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M} (a \text{ THEN } \text{EMPTY}) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a);(\mathcal{M} \text{ EMPTY})$ **by simp**
have 2: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} a);(\mathcal{M} \text{ EMPTY}) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a); \text{empty}$ **using MEmptyAlt by auto**
have 3: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} a); \text{empty} \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a)$ **using ChopEmpty by auto**
from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma MEmptyThen:

$\vdash \mathcal{M} (\text{EMPTY THEN } a) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M} (\text{EMPTY THEN } a) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} \text{ EMPTY});(\mathcal{M} a)$ **by simp**
have 2: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} \text{ EMPTY});(\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i \text{empty}; (\mathcal{M} a)$ **using MEmptyAlt by auto**
have 3: $\vdash \text{empty};(\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a)$ **using ChopEmpty by auto**
from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma MEmptyIterate:

$\vdash \mathcal{M} (\text{EMPTY ITERATE } b) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} \text{ EMPTY})$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M} (\text{EMPTY ITERATE } b) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} (\text{EMPTY WITH } (\mathcal{M} b)^*)$
by (simp add: mITERATE-d-def)
have 2: $\vdash \mathcal{M} (\text{EMPTY WITH } (\mathcal{M} b)^*) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} \text{ EMPTY} \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} b)^*$
by simp
have 3: $\vdash \mathcal{M} \text{ EMPTY} \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} b)^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} b)^*$
using MEmptyAlt by auto
have 4: $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} b)^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \wedge_i (\text{empty} \vee_i (((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i \text{more});(\mathcal{M} b)^*))$
using ChopstarEqv by auto
have 5: $\vdash \text{empty} \wedge_i (\text{empty} \vee_i (((\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i \text{more});(\mathcal{M} b)^*)) \equiv_i \text{empty}$
by auto
have 6: $\vdash \mathcal{M} (\text{EMPTY ITERATE } b) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} \text{ EMPTY}$
using 1 2 3 4 5 MEmptyAlt by auto
from 6 show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma FstAndFstStarEqvFst:

$\vdash \triangleright f \wedge_i (\triangleright f)^* \equiv_i \triangleright f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash (\triangleright f)^* \equiv_i \text{empty} \vee_i (\triangleright f);(\triangleright f)^*$ **using CSeqvOrChopCS by simp**
have 2: $\vdash (\triangleright f)^* \wedge_i \triangleright f \equiv_i (\text{empty} \vee_i (\triangleright f);(\triangleright f)^*) \wedge_i \triangleright f$ **using 1 prop06 by blast**
have 3: $\vdash (\text{empty} \vee_i (\triangleright f);(\triangleright f)^*) \wedge_i \triangleright f \equiv_i (\text{empty} \wedge_i \triangleright f) \vee_i ((\triangleright f);(\triangleright f)^* \wedge_i \triangleright f)$ **by auto**
have 4: $\vdash (\triangleright f)^* \wedge_i \triangleright f \equiv_i (\text{empty} \wedge_i \triangleright f) \vee_i ((\triangleright f);(\triangleright f)^* \wedge_i \triangleright f)$ **using 2 3 by simp**
have 5: $\vdash (\triangleright f);(\triangleright f)^* \wedge_i \triangleright f \equiv_i (\triangleright f);(\triangleright f)^* \wedge_i \triangleright f; \text{empty}$ **using ChopEmpty by auto**
have 6: $\vdash (\triangleright f);(\triangleright f)^* \wedge_i \triangleright f; \text{empty} \equiv_i (\triangleright f);((\triangleright f)^* \wedge_i \text{empty})$ **using LFstAndDistrC by blast**
have 7: $\vdash (\triangleright f)^* \wedge_i \text{empty} \equiv_i \text{empty}$ **using EmptyImpCS by auto**
have 8: $\vdash (\triangleright f);((\triangleright f)^* \wedge_i \text{empty}) \equiv_i \triangleright f$ **using 7 RightChopEqvChop ChopEmpty by auto**
have 9: $\vdash (\triangleright f);(\triangleright f)^* \wedge_i \triangleright f \equiv_i \triangleright f$ **using 5 6 8 by simp**
have 10: $\vdash (\triangleright f)^* \wedge_i \triangleright f \equiv_i (\text{empty} \wedge_i \triangleright f) \vee_i \triangleright f$ **using 4 9 by simp**
have 11: $\vdash (\text{empty} \wedge_i \triangleright f) \vee_i \triangleright f \equiv_i \triangleright f$ **by auto**
have 12: $\vdash (\triangleright f)^* \wedge_i \triangleright f \equiv_i \triangleright f$ **using 10 11 by simp**
from 12 show ?thesis by auto

qed

lemma *MIterateldemp*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M} (a \text{ ITERATE } a) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} a$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M} (a \text{ ITERATE } a) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} (a \text{ WITH } (\mathcal{M} a)^*)$ **by** (simp add: mITERATE-d-def)

have 2: $\vdash \mathcal{M} (a \text{ WITH } (\mathcal{M} a)^*) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} a \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} a)^*$ **by** simp

have 3: $\vdash \mathcal{M} a \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} a)^* \equiv_i \triangleright(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (\triangleright(\mathcal{M} a))^*$ **using** MFixFst **by** auto

have 4: $\vdash \triangleright(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (\triangleright(\mathcal{M} a))^* \equiv_i \triangleright(\mathcal{M} a)$ **using** FstAndFstStarEqvFst **by** simp

have 5: $\vdash \triangleright(\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} a$ **using** MFixFst **by** auto

from 1 2 3 4 5 **show** ?thesis **using** prop03 **by** blast

qed

lemma *MUptoldemp*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M} (a \text{ UPTO } a) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} a$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M} (a \text{ UPTO } a) \equiv_i \triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a))$ **by** simp

have 2: $\vdash \triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i \triangleright(\mathcal{M} a)$ **using** FstEqvRule itl-prop(27) **by** blast

have 3: $\vdash \triangleright(\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a)$ **using** MFixFst **by** auto

from 1 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** simp

qed

lemma *MThruIdemp*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M} (a \text{ THRU } a) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M} (a \text{ THRU } a) \equiv_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a))$ **by** simp

have 2: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a))$ **using** FstEqvRule itl-prop(20) **by** blast

have 3: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i \triangleright(\mathcal{M} a)$ **using** FstDiEqvFst **by** blast

have 4: $\vdash \triangleright(\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a)$ **using** MFixFst **by** auto

from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** simp

qed

lemma *MAndIdemp*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ AND } a) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ AND } a) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} a)$ **by** (simp add: mAND-d-def)

have 2: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a)$ **by** auto

from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *MWithIdemp*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M} ((a \text{ WITH } f) \text{ WITH } f) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a \text{ WITH } f)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M} ((a \text{ WITH } f) \text{ WITH } f) \equiv_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (f)) \wedge_i (f)$ **by** simp

have 2: $\vdash ((\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (f)) \wedge_i (f) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (f)$ **by** auto

have 3: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (f) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a \text{ WITH } f)$ **by** simp

from 1 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** auto

qed

lemma *MUptoCommut*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ UPTO } b) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(b \text{ UPTO } a)$

proof –
 have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ UPTO } b) \equiv_i \triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b))$ **by** *simp*
 have 2: $\vdash ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b)) \equiv_i ((\mathcal{M} b) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a))$ **by** *auto*
 hence 3: $\vdash \triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b)) \equiv_i \triangleright((\mathcal{M} b) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a))$ **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
 have 4: $\vdash \triangleright((\mathcal{M} b) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(b \text{ UPTO } a)$ **by** *simp*
 from 1 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *MThruCommut*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ THRU } b) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(b \text{ THRU } a)$

proof –
 have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ THRU } b) \equiv_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))$ **by** *simp*
 have 2: $\vdash (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \equiv_i (di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a))$ **by** *auto*
 hence 3: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \equiv_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a))$ **using** *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
 have 4: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(b \text{ THRU } a)$ **by** *simp*
 from 1 3 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *MAndCommut*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ AND } b) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(b \text{ AND } a)$

proof –
 have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ AND } b) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} b)$ **by** (*simp add: mAND-d-def*)
 have 2: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} b) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} a)$ **by** *auto*
 have 3: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(b \text{ AND } a)$ **by** (*simp add: mAND-d-def*)
 from 1 2 3 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *MWithCommut*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}((a \text{ WITH } f) \text{ WITH } g) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}((a \text{ WITH } g) \text{ WITH } f)$

proof –
 have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((a \text{ WITH } f) \text{ WITH } g) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (f) \wedge_i (g)$ **by** *simp*
 have 2: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (f) \wedge_i (g) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}((a \text{ WITH } g) \text{ WITH } f)$ **by** *auto*
 from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *MWithAbsorp*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}((a \text{ WITH } f) \text{ WITH } g) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a \text{ WITH } (f \wedge_i g))$

proof –
 have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((a \text{ WITH } f) \text{ WITH } g) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (f) \wedge_i (g)$ **by** *simp*
 have 2: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (f) \wedge_i (g) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (f \wedge_i g)$ **by** *auto*
 from 1 2 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *MUptoAssoc*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}((a \text{ UPTO } b) \text{ UPTO } c) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a \text{ UPTO } (b \text{ UPTO } c))$

proof –
 have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((a \text{ UPTO } b) \text{ UPTO } c) \equiv_i \triangleright(\mathcal{M}(a \text{ UPTO } b) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c))$
 by *simp*
 have 2: $\vdash \triangleright(\mathcal{M}(a \text{ UPTO } b) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c)) \equiv_i \triangleright(\triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c))$
 by *simp*

have 3: $\vdash \triangleright(\triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c)) \equiv_i \triangleright(((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c))$
using *FstFstOrEqvFstOrL* **by** *blast*
have 4: $\vdash (((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c)) \equiv_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i ((\mathcal{M} b) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c)))$
by *auto*
hence 5: $\vdash \triangleright(((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c)) \equiv_i \triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i ((\mathcal{M} b) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c)))$
using *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
have 6: $\vdash \triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i ((\mathcal{M} b) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c))) \equiv_i \triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i \triangleright((\mathcal{M} b) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c)))$
using *FstFstOrEqvFstOrR* *itl-prop(30)* **by** *blast*
have 7: $\vdash \triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i \triangleright((\mathcal{M} b) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c))) \equiv_i \triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i \mathcal{M}(b \text{ UPTO } c))$
by *simp*
have 8: $\vdash \triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i \mathcal{M}(b \text{ UPTO } c)) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a \text{ UPTO } (b \text{ UPTO } c))$
by *simp*
from 1 2 3 5 6 7 8 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma *MThruAssoc:*

$\vdash \mathcal{M}((a \text{ THRU } b) \text{ THRU } c) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a \text{ THRU } (b \text{ THRU } c))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((a \text{ THRU } b) \text{ THRU } c) \equiv_i \triangleright(di(\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c))$
by *simp*
have 2: $\vdash di(\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i di((di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$
using *DiEqvDiFst* *itl-prop(30)* **by** *blast*
have 3: $\vdash di((di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)$
using *DiDiAndEqvDi* **by** *blast*
have 4: $\vdash di(\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)$
using 2 3 **by** *auto*
hence 5: $\vdash di(\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c) \equiv_i di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c)$
by *auto*
have 6: $\vdash di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c) \equiv_i di(di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c))$
using *DiDiAndEqvDi* *itl-prop(30)* **by** *blast*
have 7: $\vdash di(di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c)) \equiv_i di(\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c)))$
using *DiEqvDiFst* **by** *blast*
have 8: $\vdash di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c) \equiv_i di(\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c)))$
using 6 7 **using** *prop03* **by** *blast*
hence 9: $\vdash di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c) \equiv_i di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c)))$
by *auto*
have 10: $\vdash di(\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c) \equiv_i$
 $di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c)))$
using 5 9 **by** *auto*
hence 11: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c)) \equiv_i$
 $\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c))))$
using *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
have 12: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c)))) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a \text{ THRU } (b \text{ THRU } c))$
by *simp*
from 1 11 12 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma *MAndAssoc:*

$\vdash \mathcal{M}((a \text{ AND } b) \text{ AND } c) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a \text{ AND } (b \text{ AND } c))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((a \text{ AND } b) \text{ AND } c) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} c)$ **by** (simp add: mAND-d-def)
have 2: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} c) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a \text{ AND } (b \text{ AND } c))$ **by** (simp add: mAND-d-def)
from 1 2 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma MThenAssoc:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}((a \text{ THEN } b) \text{ THEN } c) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a \text{ THEN } (b \text{ THEN } c))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((a \text{ THEN } b) \text{ THEN } c) \equiv_i ((\mathcal{M} a);(\mathcal{M} b));(\mathcal{M} c)$ **by** simp
have 2: $\vdash ((\mathcal{M} a);(\mathcal{M} b));(\mathcal{M} c) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a);((\mathcal{M} b);(\mathcal{M} c))$ **using** ChopAssocB **by** blast
have 3: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} a);((\mathcal{M} b);(\mathcal{M} c)) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a \text{ THEN } (b \text{ THEN } c))$ **by** simp
from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma OrDiEqvDi:

$\vdash f \vee_i di f \equiv_i di f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i di f$ **using** DilIntro **by** blast
from 1 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma AndDiEqv:

$\vdash f \wedge_i di f \equiv_i f$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash f \supset_i di f$ **using** DilIntro **by** blast
from 1 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma MUptoThruAbsorp:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ UPTO } (a \text{ THRU } b)) \equiv_i \mathcal{M} a$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ UPTO } (a \text{ THRU } b)) \equiv_i \triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$
by simp
have 2: $\vdash \triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$
 $\triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$
using FstFstOrEqvFstOrR **by** auto
have 3: $\vdash ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$
 $((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b))$
by auto
have 4: $\vdash (((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$
 $((di(\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$
using OrDiEqvDi **by** auto
have 5: $\vdash ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$
 $((di(\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$
using 3 4 **by** auto
hence 6: $\vdash \triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$
 $\triangleright((di(\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$
using FstEqvRule **by** blast
have 7: $\vdash \triangleright((di(\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$
 $(di(\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b))$

$$bs \neg_i (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$$
 by *(simp add: first-d-def)*
 have 8: $\vdash (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$

$$(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))$$
 by *auto*
 hence 9: $\vdash \neg_i((di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$

$$\neg_i((di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$$
 using *prop01* by *blast*
 have 10: $\vdash \neg_i((di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$

$$\neg_i(((\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$$
 using *AndDiEqv* by *auto*
 have 11: $\vdash \neg_i(((\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$

$$\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i \neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))$$
 by *auto*
 have 12: $\vdash \neg_i((di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$

$$\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i \neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))$$
 using 9 10 11 by *auto*
 hence 13: $\vdash bs \neg_i((di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$

$$bs (\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i \neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$$
 using *BsEqvRule* by *blast*
 have 14: $\vdash bs ((\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i \neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$

$$bs ((\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a))) \wedge_i bs(\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$$
 using *BsAndEqv* using *itl-prop(30)* by *blast*
 have 141: $\vdash bs \neg_i((di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$

$$bs ((\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a))) \wedge_i bs(\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$$
 using 13 14 by *auto*
 hence 15: $\vdash (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \wedge_i$

$$bs \neg_i((di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$$

$$(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \wedge_i$$

$$bs ((\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a))) \wedge_i bs(\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$$
 by *auto*
 have 16: $\vdash (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \wedge_i$

$$bs ((\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a))) \wedge_i bs(\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$$

$$(bs ((\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a))) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \wedge_i$$

$$bs(\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$$
 by *auto*
 have 17: $\vdash (bs ((\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a))) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \wedge_i$

$$bs(\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$$

$$(\triangleright(\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \wedge_i$$

$$bs(\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$$
 using *FstEqvBsNotAndDi* *itl-prop(30)* *prop06* by *blast*
 have 18: $\vdash (\triangleright(\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \wedge_i$

$$bs(\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$$

$$((\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \wedge_i$$

$$bs(\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$$
 using *MFixFst* *itl-prop(30)* *prop06* by *blast*
 have 19: $\vdash ((\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \wedge_i$

$$bs(\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$$

$$((\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i bs(\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$$
 by *auto*

have 20: $\vdash (\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i (\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i \neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} b)))$
by auto
have 21: $\vdash (\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i \neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i ((bi \neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i (bi \neg_i(\mathcal{M} b)))$
by auto
have 22: $\vdash (\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i ((bi \neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i (bi \neg_i(\mathcal{M} b)))$
using 20 21 by auto
hence 23: $\vdash bs(\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i bs((bi \neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i (bi \neg_i(\mathcal{M} b)))$
using BsEqvRule by blast
have 24: $\vdash bs((bi \neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i (bi \neg_i(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i bs(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i bs(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b))$
using BsOrBsEqvBsBiOrBi itl-prop(30) by blast
have 25: $\vdash bs(\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i bs(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i bs(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b))$
using 23 24 by auto
hence 26: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i bs(\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$
 $(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (bs(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i bs(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b)))$
by auto
have 27: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (bs(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i bs(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$
 $\triangleright(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (bs(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i bs(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b)))$
using MFixFst prop06 by blast
have 28: $\vdash \triangleright(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (bs(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i bs(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$
 $(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i bs \neg_i(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (bs(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i bs(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b)))$
by (simp add: first-d-def)
have 29: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i bs \neg_i(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (bs(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i bs(\neg_i(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$
 $(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i bs \neg_i(\mathcal{M} a)$
by auto
have 30: $\vdash (\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i bs \neg_i(\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i \triangleright(\mathcal{M} a)$
by (simp add: first-d-def)
have 31: $\vdash \triangleright(\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a)$
using MFixFst by auto
have 32: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ UPTO } (a \text{ THRU } b)) \equiv_i$
 $(di(\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \wedge_i$
 $bs \neg_i((di(\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$
using 1 2 6 7 by auto
have 33: $\vdash (di(\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \wedge_i$
 $bs \neg_i((di(\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i ((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i$
 $((\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i bs(\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$
using 15 16 17 18 19 by auto
have 34: $\vdash ((\mathcal{M} a)) \wedge_i bs(\neg_i(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a)$
using 26 27 28 29 30 31 using prop03 by blast
from 32 33 34 show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma MThruUptoAbsorp:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ THRU } (a \text{ UPTO } b)) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ THRU } (a \text{ UPTO } b)) \equiv_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b))))$
by simp
have 2: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b)))) \equiv_i$
 $\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b))))$
using DiEqvDiFst using FstEqvRule itl-prop(30) prop05 by blast
have 3: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b)))) \equiv_i$

$\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (di(\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b)))$
using *DiOrEqv* **using** *FstEqvRule prop05* **by** *blast*
have 4: $\vdash (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (di(\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i (di(\mathcal{M} a))$
by *auto*
hence 5: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (di(\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b))) \equiv_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a))$
using *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
have 6: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i \triangleright(\mathcal{M} a)$
using *FstDiEqvFst* **by** *blast*
have 7: $\vdash \triangleright(\mathcal{M} a) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a)$
using *MFixFst* **by** *auto*
from 1 2 3 5 6 7 show ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *MUptoThruDistrib:*

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ UPTO } (b \text{ THRU } c)) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}((a \text{ UPTO } b) \text{ THRU } (a \text{ UPTO } c))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((a \text{ UPTO } b) \text{ THRU } (a \text{ UPTO } c)) \equiv_i$
 $\triangleright(di(\triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b))) \wedge_i di(\triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c))))$
by *simp*
have 2: $\vdash (di(\triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b))) \wedge_i di(\triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c)))) \equiv_i$
 $(di(((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b))) \wedge_i di(((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c))))$
using *DiEqvDiFst* **by** (*metis itl-prop(31) prop22*)
have 3: $\vdash (di(((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b))) \wedge_i di(((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c)))) \equiv_i$
 $(di(\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \wedge_i (di(\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} c))$
using *DiOrEqv* **by** *auto*
have 4: $\vdash (di(\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \wedge_i (di(\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} c)) \equiv_i$
 $di(\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c))$
by *auto*
have 5: $\vdash (di(\triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b))) \wedge_i di(\triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c)))) \equiv_i$
 $di(\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c))$
using 2 3 4 **by** *auto*
hence 6: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} b))) \wedge_i di(\triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c)))) \equiv_i$
 $\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c)))$
using *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
have 7: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i (di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c))) \equiv_i$
 $\triangleright(\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c)))$
using *FstFstOrEqvFstOr* **by** *auto*
have 8: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i \triangleright((\mathcal{M} a))$
using *FstDiEqvFst* **by** *blast*
have 9: $\vdash \triangleright((\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a)$
using *MFixFst* **by** *auto*
have 10: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a)) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M} a)$
using 8 9 **by** *auto*
hence 11: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c)) \equiv_i$
 $(\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c))$
by *auto*
hence 12: $\vdash \triangleright(\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a)) \vee_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c))) \equiv_i$
 $\triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c)))$
using *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*
have 13: $\vdash \triangleright((\mathcal{M} a) \vee_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} b) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c))) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a \text{ UPTO } (b \text{ THRU } c))$

by simp
 from 1 6 7 12 13 show ?thesis by auto
 qed

lemma *MThruUptoDistrib*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ THRU } (b \text{ UPTO } c)) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}((a \text{ THRU } b) \text{ UPTO } (a \text{ THRU } c))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((a \text{ THRU } b) \text{ UPTO } (a \text{ THRU } c)) \equiv_i$
 $\triangleright(\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c)))$ by simp
 have 2: $\vdash \triangleright(\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c))) \equiv_i$
 $\triangleright((di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c)))$ using *FstFstOrEqvFstOr* by auto
 have 3: $\vdash ((di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c))) \equiv_i$
 $(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (di(\mathcal{M} b) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} c)))$ by auto
 have 4: $\vdash (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i (di(\mathcal{M} b) \vee_i di(\mathcal{M} c))) \equiv_i$
 $(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di((\mathcal{M} b) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c)))$ using *DiOrEqv* by auto
 have 5: $\vdash (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di((\mathcal{M} b) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c))) \equiv_i$
 $(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\triangleright((\mathcal{M} b) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c))))$ using *DiEqvDiFst prop05* by blast
 have 6: $\vdash ((di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c))) \equiv_i$
 $(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\triangleright((\mathcal{M} b) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c))))$ using 3 4 5 by auto
 hence 7: $\vdash \triangleright((di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} b)) \vee_i (di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M} c))) \equiv_i$
 $\triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\triangleright((\mathcal{M} b) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c))))$ using *FstEqvRule* by blast
 have 8: $\vdash \triangleright(di(\mathcal{M} a) \wedge_i di(\triangleright((\mathcal{M} b) \vee_i (\mathcal{M} c)))) \equiv_i$
 $\mathcal{M}(a \text{ THRU } (b \text{ UPTO } c))$ by simp
 from 1 2 7 8 show ?thesis by auto

qed

lemma *MWithAndDistrib*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}((a \text{ AND } b) \text{ WITH } f) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}((a \text{ WITH } f) \text{ AND } (b \text{ WITH } f))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((a \text{ AND } b) \text{ WITH } f) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a \text{ AND } b) \wedge_i f$
 by simp
 have 2: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ AND } b) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a \text{ WITH } (\mathcal{M} b))$
 by (simp add: *mAND-d-def*)
 have 3: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ AND } b) \wedge_i f \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a \text{ WITH } (\mathcal{M} b)) \wedge_i f$
 using 2 *prop06* by simp
 have 4: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ WITH } (\mathcal{M} b)) \wedge_i f \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a) \wedge_i \mathcal{M}(b) \wedge_i f$
 by simp
 have 5: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a) \wedge_i \mathcal{M}(b) \wedge_i f \equiv_i (\mathcal{M}(a) \wedge_i f) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M}(b) \wedge_i f)$
 by auto
 have 6: $\vdash (\mathcal{M}(a) \wedge_i f) \wedge_i (\mathcal{M}(b) \wedge_i f) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(a \text{ WITH } f) \wedge_i \mathcal{M}(b \text{ WITH } f)$
 by simp
 have 7: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(a \text{ WITH } f) \wedge_i \mathcal{M}(b \text{ WITH } f) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}((a \text{ WITH } f) \text{ WITH } (\mathcal{M}(b \text{ WITH } f)))$
 by simp
 have 8: $\vdash \mathcal{M}((a \text{ WITH } f) \text{ WITH } (\mathcal{M}(b \text{ WITH } f))) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}((a \text{ WITH } f) \text{ AND } (b \text{ WITH } f))$
 by (simp add: *mAND-d-def*)
 from 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 show ?thesis by simp

qed

lemma *MHaltWithAndDistrib*:

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } f) \text{ AND } ((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } g)) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } (f \wedge_i g))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } f) \text{ AND } ((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } g)) \equiv_i$
 $\mathcal{M}(((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } f) \text{ WITH } (\mathcal{M}((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } g)))$

by (*simp add: mAND-d-def*)

have 2: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } f) \text{ WITH } (\mathcal{M}((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } g))) \equiv_i$
 $\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i f \wedge_i \mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i g$

by (*simp add: mHALT-d-def*)

have 3: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i f \wedge_i \mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i g \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i f \wedge_i g$

by *auto*

from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by auto

qed

lemma *MHaltWithUptoHaltWithEqvHaltWithOr:*

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } f) \text{ UPTO } ((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } g)) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } (f \vee_i g))$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash \mathcal{M}(((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } f) \text{ UPTO } ((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } g)) \equiv_i$
 $\triangleright(\mathcal{M}((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } f) \vee_i \mathcal{M}((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } g))$

by *simp*

have 2: $\vdash \triangleright(\mathcal{M}((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } f) \vee_i \mathcal{M}((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } g)) \equiv_i$
 $\triangleright((\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i f) \vee_i (\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i g))$

by *simp*

have 3: $\vdash (\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i f) \vee_i (\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i g) \equiv_i (\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i (f \vee_i g))$

by *auto*

have 4: $\vdash \triangleright((\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i f) \vee_i (\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i g)) \equiv_i \triangleright(\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i (f \vee_i g))$

using 3 *FstEqvRule* **by** *blast*

have 5: $\vdash \triangleright(\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i (f \vee_i g)) \equiv_i \triangleright(\mathcal{M}((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } (f \vee_i g)))$

by *simp*

have 6: $\vdash (\mathcal{M}((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } (f \vee_i g))) \equiv_i \triangleright(\mathcal{M}((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } (f \vee_i g)))$

using *MFixFst* **by** *blast*

from 1 2 3 4 5 6 show ?thesis by simp

qed

lemma *DiHaltAndDiHaltAndEqvDiHaltAndAnd:*

$\vdash di(\text{halt } (init \ w) \wedge_i f) \wedge_i di(\text{halt } (init \ w) \wedge_i g) \equiv_i di(\text{halt } (init \ w) \wedge_i f \wedge_i g)$

proof –

have 1: $\vdash di(\text{halt } (init \ w) \wedge_i f) \wedge_i di(\text{halt } (init \ w) \wedge_i g) \equiv_i$
 $di(\triangleright(fin \ (init \ w)) \wedge_i f) \wedge_i di(\triangleright(fin \ (init \ w)) \wedge_i g)$

using *HaltStateEqvFstFinState* **by** *auto*

have 2: $\vdash di(\triangleright(fin \ (init \ w)) \wedge_i f) \wedge_i di(\triangleright(fin \ (init \ w)) \wedge_i g) \equiv_i$
 $di(\triangleright(fin \ (init \ w)) \wedge_i f \wedge_i g)$

using *LFstAndDistrD* **by** *simp*

have 3: $\vdash di(\triangleright(fin \ (init \ w)) \wedge_i f \wedge_i g) \equiv_i di(\text{halt } (init \ w) \wedge_i f \wedge_i g)$

using *HaltStateEqvFstFinState* **by** *auto*

from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by simp

qed

lemma *MHaltWithThruHaltWithEqvHaltWithAndHaltWith:*

$\vdash \mathcal{M}(((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } f) \text{ THRU } ((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } g)) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } f) \text{ AND } ((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } g))$

proof —

```

have 1:  $\vdash \mathcal{M}(((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } f) \text{ THRU } ((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } g)) \equiv_i$ 
 $\triangleright (di(\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i f) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i g))$ 
  by simp
have 2:  $\vdash di(\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i f) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i g) \equiv_i$ 
 $di(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \wedge_i f) \wedge_i di(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \wedge_i g)$ 
  using MHaltAlt DiEqvDi by auto
have 3:  $\vdash di(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \wedge_i f) \wedge_i di(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \wedge_i g) \equiv_i$ 
 $di(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \wedge_i f \wedge_i g)$ 
  using DiHaltAndDiHaltAndEqvDiHaltAndAnd by simp
have 4:  $\vdash di(\text{halt}(\text{init } w) \wedge_i f \wedge_i g) \equiv_i di(\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i f \wedge_i g)$ 
  using MHaltAlt by auto
have 5:  $\vdash di(\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i f) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i g) \equiv_i di(\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i f \wedge_i g)$ 
  using 2 3 4 by simp
have 6:  $\vdash \triangleright (di(\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i f) \wedge_i di(\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i g)) \equiv_i \triangleright (di(\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i f \wedge_i g))$ 
  using 5 FstEqvRule by blast
have 7:  $\vdash \triangleright (di(\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i f \wedge_i g)) \equiv_i \triangleright (\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i f \wedge_i g)$ 
  using FstDiEqvFst by simp
have 8:  $\vdash \triangleright (\mathcal{M}(\text{HALT } w) \wedge_i f \wedge_i g) \equiv_i \triangleright (\mathcal{M}(((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } (f \wedge_i g))))$ 
  by simp
have 9:  $\vdash \mathcal{M}(((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } (f \wedge_i g))) \equiv_i \triangleright (\mathcal{M}(((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } (f \wedge_i g))))$ 
  using MFixFst by blast
have 10:  $\vdash \mathcal{M}(((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } f) \text{ THRU } ((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } g)) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } (f \wedge_i g)))$ 
  using 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 by simp
have 11:  $\vdash \mathcal{M}(((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } f) \text{ AND } ((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } g)) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } (f \wedge_i g)))$ 
  using MHaltWithAndDistrib by simp
have 12:  $\vdash \mathcal{M}(((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } (f \wedge_i g))) \equiv_i \mathcal{M}(((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } f) \text{ AND } ((\text{HALT } w) \text{ WITH } g))$ 
  using 11 by simp
from 10 12 show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

end

theory *ITA*

imports *ITL*

begin

```

sledgehammer-params [minimize=true,preplay-timeout=10,timeout=60,verbose=true,
  provers=cvc4 z3 e spass vampire ]

```

8 Interval Temporal Algebra

8.1 Definition of fuse operator

The *fuse* operation corresponds to the chop operation of ITL at semantic level. Although *fuse* is not needed to define the semantics of the ITL fusion/chop operation, it is introduced to link with the work of [1]. The ITL proof system is derived from a collection of algebraic laws. This work is a continuation of

[2].

primrec *fuse* :: 'a interval \Rightarrow 'a interval \Rightarrow 'a interval **where**
 fuse-St : *fuse* (*St* *x*) *ys* = *ys*
 | *fuse-Cons* : *fuse* (*x* \odot *xs*) *ys* = *x* \odot (*fuse* *xs* *ys*)

8.1.1 Fuse lemmas

lemma *interval-fuse-leftneutral* :
 fuse (*St* (*intfirst* *xs*)) *xs* = *xs*
by *simp*

lemma *interval-fuse-rightneutral* :
 fuse *xs* (*St* (*intlast* *xs*)) = *xs*
by (*induct* *xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intfirst-fuse* :
 assumes *intlast* *xs* = *intfirst* *ys*
 shows *intfirst* (*fuse* *xs* *ys*) = *intfirst* *xs*
using *assms* **by** (*induct* *xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intlast-fuse* :
 assumes *intlast* *xs* = *intfirst* *ys*
 shows *intlast* (*fuse* *xs* *ys*) = *intlast* *ys*
using *assms* **by** (*induct* *xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-FusionAssoc* :
 assumes (*intlast* *xs*) = (*intfirst* *ys*) \wedge (*intlast* *ys*) = (*intfirst* *zs*)
 shows (*fuse* *xs* (*fuse* *ys* *zs*)) = (*fuse* (*fuse* *xs* *ys*) *zs*)
using *assms* **by** (*induct* *xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-fuse-intlen* :
 assumes *intlast* *xs* = *intfirst* *ys*
 shows *intlen* (*fuse* *xs* *ys*) = (*intlen* *xs*) + (*intlen* *ys*)
using *assms* **by** (*induct* *xs*) *simp-all*

lemma *interval-intlast-intfirst*:
 (*intlast* (*prefix* *i* *xs*)) = (*intfirst* (*suffix* *i* *xs*))
by (*induct* *xs* *arbitrary*: *i*, *simp*, *simp* *add*: *Nitpick.case-nat-unfold*)

lemma *interval-fuse-pref-suf*:
 (*fuse* (*prefix* *i* *xs*) (*suffix* *i* *xs*)) = *xs*
by (*induct* *xs* *arbitrary*: *i*, *simp*, *simp* *add*: *Nitpick.case-nat-unfold*)

lemma *interval-prefix-fuse* :
 assumes *intlast* *xs* = *intfirst* *ys*
 shows (*prefix* (*intlen* *xs*) (*fuse* *xs* *ys*)) = *xs*
using *assms* **by** (*induct* *xs* *arbitrary*: *ys*, *simp*, *simp*)

lemma *interval-suffix-fuse* :
 assumes *intlast* *xs* = *intfirst* *ys*

shows $(\text{suffix } (\text{intlen } xs) (\text{fuse } xs \ ys)) = ys$
using *assms* **by** (*induct xs arbitrary: ys, simp, simp*)

lemma *chop-fuse-1* :

$(\exists \sigma1 \ \sigma2. \sigma = \text{fuse } \sigma1 \ \sigma2 \wedge$
 $(\sigma1 \models f) \wedge (\sigma2 \models g) \wedge$
 $(\text{intlase } \sigma1 = \text{intfirst } \sigma2)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists i. 0 \leq i \wedge i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma \wedge (\text{prefix } i \ \sigma \models f) \wedge (\text{suffix } i \ \sigma \models g))$

by (*metis interval-fuse-intlen interval-fuse-pref-suf interval-intlast-intfirst*
interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-prefix-fuse interval-suffix-fuse le-add-same-cancel1)

lemma *chop-fuse-2* :

$(\exists \sigma1 \ \sigma2. \sigma = \text{fuse } \sigma1 \ \sigma2 \wedge$
 $(\sigma1 \in X) \wedge (\sigma2 \in Y) \wedge$
 $(\text{intlase } \sigma1 = \text{intfirst } \sigma2)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma. (\text{prefix } i \ \sigma) \in X \wedge (\text{suffix } i \ \sigma) \in Y)$

by (*metis interval-fuse-intlen interval-fuse-pref-suf interval-intlast-intfirst*
interval-prefix-fuse interval-suffix-fuse le-add1)

lemma *chop-fuse*:

$(\exists \sigma1 \ \sigma2. \sigma = \text{fuse } \sigma1 \ \sigma2 \wedge$
 $(\sigma1 \models f) \wedge (\sigma2 \models g) \wedge$
 $(\text{intlase } \sigma1 = \text{intfirst } \sigma2)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\sigma \models f;g)$

using *chop-fuse-1* **by** (*simp add: chop-fuse-1*)

8.2 Definition of Set of intervals and Operations on them

type-synonym *'a intervals* = *'a interval set*

definition *lan*:: *'a pitl* \Rightarrow *'a intervals*

where *lan f* = $\{ \sigma . (\sigma \models f) \}$

definition *fusion*:: *'a intervals* \Rightarrow *'a intervals* \Rightarrow *'a intervals* (**infixl** 70)

where $X \cdot Y = \{ \text{fuse } \sigma1 \ \sigma2 \mid \sigma1 \ \sigma2. \sigma1 \in X \wedge \sigma2 \in Y \wedge \text{intlase } \sigma1 = \text{intfirst } \sigma2 \}$

definition *reverse*:: *'a intervals* \Rightarrow *'a intervals* (*(SRev -)* [85] 85)

where (*SRev X*) = $\{ \text{intrev } \sigma \mid \sigma. \sigma \in X \}$

definition *empty*:: *'a intervals* (*SEmpty*)

where

SEmpty \equiv *range St*

definition *smore*:: *'a intervals* (*SMore*)

where

SMore \equiv $- \text{SEmpty}$

definition *sskip*:: *'a intervals* (*SSkip*)

where

SSkip \equiv $-(\text{SEmpty} \cup (\text{SMore} \cdot \text{SMore}))$

definition *sfalse* :: 'a intervals (SFalse)

where

SFalse $\equiv \{\}$

definition *strue* :: 'a intervals (STrue)

where

STrue $\equiv -\{\}$

definition *sinit* :: 'a intervals \Rightarrow 'a intervals ((SInit -) [85] 85)

where

SInit *X* $\equiv (X \cap SEmpty) \cdot STrue$

definition *ssometime* :: 'a intervals \Rightarrow 'a intervals ((SSometime -) [85] 85)

where

SSometime *X* $\equiv STrue \cdot X$

definition *salways* :: 'a intervals \Rightarrow 'a intervals ((SAlways -) [85] 85)

where

SAlways *X* $\equiv -(SSometime (-X))$

definition *sdi* :: 'a intervals \Rightarrow 'a intervals ((SDi -) [85] 85)

where

SDi *X* $\equiv X \cdot STrue$

definition *sbi* :: 'a intervals \Rightarrow 'a intervals ((SBi -) [85] 85)

where

SBi *X* $\equiv -(SDi (-X))$

definition *sda* :: 'a intervals \Rightarrow 'a intervals ((SDa -) [85] 85)

where

SDa *X* $\equiv STrue \cdot X \cdot STrue$

definition *sba* :: 'a intervals \Rightarrow 'a intervals ((SBa -) [85] 85)

where

SBa *X* $\equiv -(SDa (-X))$

definition *snext* :: 'a intervals \Rightarrow 'a intervals ((SNext -) [85] 85)

where

SNext *X* $\equiv SSkip \cdot X$

definition *swnext* :: 'a intervals \Rightarrow 'a intervals ((SWnext -) [85] 85)

where

SWnext *X* $\equiv -(SSkip \cdot (-X))$

definition *sprev* :: 'a intervals \Rightarrow 'a intervals ((SPrev -) [85] 85)

where

SPrev *X* $\equiv X \cdot SSkip$

definition *swprev* :: 'a intervals \Rightarrow 'a intervals ((SWprev -) [85] 85)

where

$$SW_{prev} X \equiv (-((-X) \cdot SSkip))$$

primrec *spower* :: 'a intervals \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a intervals ((*SPower* -) [88,88] 87)

where

$$pwr-0 : SPower X 0 = SEmpty$$

$$| pwr-Suc: SPower X (Suc n) = ((X \cap SMore) \cdot (SPower X n))$$

definition *sstar* :: 'a intervals \Rightarrow 'a intervals ((*SStar* -) [85] 85)

where

$$SStar X \equiv (\bigcup n. SPower X n)$$

8.3 Simplification Lemmas

lemma *snot-elim* :

$$x \in -X \longleftrightarrow x \notin X$$

by *simp*

lemma *sor-elim* :

$$x \in (X \cup Y) \longleftrightarrow (x \in X \vee x \in Y)$$

by *simp*

lemma *sand-elim* :

$$x \in (X \cap Y) \longleftrightarrow (x \in X \wedge x \in Y)$$

by *simp*

lemma *sfalse-elim* :

$$\sigma \notin SFalse$$

by (*simp add: sfalse-def*)

lemma *strue-elim* :

$$\sigma \in STrue$$

by (*simp add: strue-def*)

lemma *semt-elim* :

$$\sigma \in SEmpty \longleftrightarrow \text{intlen } \sigma = 0$$

by (*simp add: image-iff interval-st-intlen semt-def*)

lemma *smore-elim* :

$$\sigma \in SMore \longleftrightarrow \text{intlen } \sigma > 0$$

by (*simp add: semt-elim smore-def*)

lemma *fusion-iff*:

$$\sigma \in X \cdot Y \longleftrightarrow (\exists \sigma1 \sigma2. \sigma = \text{fuse } \sigma1 \sigma2 \wedge \sigma1 \in X \wedge \sigma2 \in Y \wedge \text{intlast } \sigma1 = \text{intfirst } \sigma2)$$

by (*unfold fusion-def*) *auto*

lemma *fusion-iff-1*:

$$\sigma \in X \cdot Y \longleftrightarrow (\exists i \leq \text{intlen } \sigma. (\text{prefix } i \sigma) \in X \wedge (\text{suffix } i \sigma) \in Y)$$

by (*simp add: chop-fuse-2 fusion-iff*)

lemma *smore-fusion-smore* :

$\sigma \in (SMore \cdot SMore) \longleftrightarrow \text{intlen } \sigma > 1$

using *fusion-iff-1*

by (*metis interval-prefix-length-good interval-suffix-length-good less-one not-less not-less-iff-gr-or-eq smore-elim zero-less-diff*)

lemma *sstrip-elim* :

$\sigma \in SStrip \longleftrightarrow \text{intlen } \sigma = 1$

using *sstrip-def smore-fusion-smore*

by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-less1 Un-iff less-numeral-extra(4) empty-elim smore-def smore-elim sstrip-elim zero-neq-one*)

lemma *spower-elim-zero* :

$\sigma \in SPower\ X\ 0 \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in SEmpty$

by *simp*

lemma *spower-elim-suc* :

$\sigma \in SPower\ X\ (Suc\ n) \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SPower\ X\ n)$

by *simp*

lemma *spower-elim-suc-1* :

$\sigma \in (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SPower\ X\ n) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists \sigma1\ \sigma2. \sigma = \text{fuse } \sigma1\ \sigma2 \wedge \sigma1 \in X \wedge \text{intlen } \sigma1 > 0 \wedge \sigma2 \in (SPower\ X\ n) \wedge$
 $\text{intlast } \sigma1 = \text{intfirst } \sigma2)$

by (*meson IntD1 IntD2 IntI smore-elim fusion-iff*)

lemma *sstar-elim* :

$\sigma \in SStar\ X \longleftrightarrow (\exists n. \sigma \in SPower\ X\ n)$

by (*simp add: sstar-def*)

lemma *sstar-elim-1* :

$(\exists n. \sigma \in SPower\ X\ n) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\sigma \in SPower\ X\ 0 \vee (\exists n. \sigma \in SPower\ X\ (Suc\ n)))$

by (*metis not0-implies-Suc*)

lemma *spower-suc* :

$(\exists n. \sigma \in SPower\ X\ (Suc\ n)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists n. \sigma \in (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SPower\ X\ n))$

by *simp*

lemma *spower-suc-1* :

$(\exists n. \sigma \in (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SPower\ X\ n)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\sigma \in (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SStar\ X)$

by (*metis fusion-iff sstar-elim*)

lemma *sstar-equiv* :

$\sigma \in SStar\ X \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\sigma \in SEmpty \vee \sigma \in (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SStar\ X))$

by (*metis spower.simps(1) spower-elim-suc spower-suc-1 sstar-elim sstar-elim-1*)

lemma *spower-skip-elim* :
 $(\sigma \in \text{SPower } \text{SSkip } n) \longleftrightarrow \text{intlen } \sigma = n$
by (*induct n arbitrary: σ , simp add: empty-elim, smt chop-fuse diff-Suc-1 interval-fuse-intlen more-d-def more-defs next-d-def plus-1-eq-Suc skip-defs spower-elim-suc spower-elim-suc-1 skip-elim zero-less-Suc zero-less-one*)

lemma *srev-elim*:
 $\sigma \in (\text{SRev } X) \longleftrightarrow \text{intrev } \sigma \in X$
by (*smt interval-rev-rev-ident mem-Collect-eq reverse-def*)

8.4 Algebraic Laws

8.4.1 Commutative Additive Monoid

lemma *UnionCommute*:
 $(X :: 'a \text{ intervals}) \cup Y = Y \cup X$
by (*simp add: Un-commute*)

lemma *UnionSFalse*:
 $X \cup \text{SFalse} = X$
by (*simp add: sfalse-def*)

lemma *UnionAssoc*:
 $(X :: 'a \text{ intervals}) \cup (Y \cup Z) = (X \cup Y) \cup Z$
by (*simp add: sup-assoc*)

8.4.2 Boolean algebra

lemma *Huntington*:
 $(X :: 'a \text{ intervals}) = \neg(\neg X \cup \neg Y) \cup \neg(\neg X \cup Y)$
by *auto*

lemma *Morgan*:
 $(X :: 'a \text{ intervals}) \cap Y = \neg(\neg X \cup \neg Y)$
by *auto*

— identities

lemma *STrueTop*:
 $\text{STrue} = X \cup \neg X$
by (*simp add: strue-def*)

lemma *SFalseBottom*:
 $\text{SFalse} = X \cap \neg X$
by (*simp add: sfalse-def*)

8.4.3 multiplicative monoid

lemma *FusionSEmptyL* [*simp*]:
 $\text{SEmpty} \cdot X = X$
using *fusion-iff-1 set-eql* [*of SEmpty · X X*]

by (*metis interval-intlen-gr-zero interval-prefix-length-good interval-suffix-zero empty-elim*)

lemma *FusionSEmptyR* [*simp*]:

$$X \cdot S\text{Empty} = X$$

using *fusion-iff-1 set-eql*[*of X · SEmpty X*]

by (*metis add-cancel-right-right fusion-iff interval-fuse-intlen interval-fuse-pref-suf interval-intlast-intfirst interval-prefix-fuse interval-prefix-intlen empty-elim*)

lemma *FusionAssoc* [*simp*]:

$$X \cdot (Y \cdot Z) = (X \cdot Y) \cdot Z$$

using *set-eql*[*of X · (Y · Z) (X · Y) · Z*]

by (*smt fusion-iff interval-intfirst-fuse interval-FusionAssoc interval-intlast-fuse*)

— left and right distributivity

lemma *FusionUnionDistL*:

$$(X \cup Y) \cdot Z = X \cdot Z \cup Y \cdot Z$$

using *fusion-iff set-eql*[*of (X ∪ Y) · Z X · Z ∪ Y · Z*]

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) sor-elim*)

lemma *FusionUnionDistR*:

$$X \cdot (Y \cup Z) = X \cdot Y \cup X \cdot Z$$

using *fusion-iff set-eql*[*of X · (Y ∪ Z) X · Y ∪ X · Z*]

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) sor-elim*)

— left and right annihilation

lemma *SFalseFusion*:

$$S\text{False} \cdot X = S\text{False}$$

by (*simp add: fusion-def sfalse-def*)

lemma *FusionSFalse*:

$$X \cdot S\text{False} = S\text{False}$$

by (*simp add: fusion-def sfalse-def*)

— idempotency

lemma *UnionIdem*:

$$(X :: 'a \text{ intervals}) \cup X = X$$

by *simp*

8.4.4 Subsumption order

lemma *Subsumption*:

$$((X :: 'a \text{ intervals}) \subseteq Y) = (X \cup Y = Y)$$

by *auto*

8.4.5 Helper lemmas

lemma *FusionRuleR*:

$$\text{assumes } X \subseteq Y$$

$$\text{shows } Z \cdot X \subseteq Z \cdot Y$$

using *assms FusionUnionDistR by (metis Subsumption)*

lemma *FusionRuleL*:

assumes $X \subseteq Y$

shows $X \cdot Z \subseteq Y \cdot Z$

using *assms* **by** (*metis FusionUnionDistL subset-Un-eq*)

lemma *spower-commutes*:

$(X \cap SMore) \cdot (SPower X n) = (SPower X n) \cdot (X \cap SMore)$

by (*induct n, simp, simp*)

lemma *fusion-inductl*:

assumes $Y \cup X \cdot Z \subseteq Z$

shows $(SPower X n) \cdot Y \subseteq Z$

using *assms*

by (*induct n, auto, smt IntD1 UnI2 FusionAssoc fusion-iff pwr-Suc subset-eq*)

lemma *fusion-inductr*:

assumes $Y \cup Z \cdot X \subseteq Z$

shows $Y \cdot (SPower X n) \subseteq Z$

using *assms*

by (*induct n, simp, smt abel-semigroup commute FusionAssoc FusionUnionDistL FusionUnionDistR le-iff-sup pwr-Suc spower-commutes sup.abel-semigroup-axioms sup-assoc sup-inf-absorb*)

lemma *sstar-contl*:

$Y \cdot (SStar X) = (\bigcup n. Y \cdot (SPower X n))$

using *set-eql*[*of* $Y \cdot (SStar X) (\bigcup n. Y \cdot (SPower X n))$]

by (*smt UN-iff fusion-iff sstar-def*)

lemma *sstar-contr*:

$(SStar X) \cdot Y = (\bigcup n. (SPower X n) \cdot Y)$

using *set-eql*[*of* $(SStar X) \cdot Y (\bigcup n. (SPower X n) \cdot Y)$]

by (*smt UN-iff fusion-iff sstar-def*)

8.4.6 Kleene Algebra

— left unfold

lemma *UnfoldL*:

$SEmpty \cup X \cdot (SStar X) = (SStar X)$

proof —

have 1: $(SStar X) = SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SStar X)$

by (*meson Un-iff set-eql sstar-eqv*)

have 2: $(X \cap SMore) \cdot (SStar X) \subseteq X \cdot (SStar X)$

by (*simp add: FusionRuleL*)

have 3: $(SStar X) \subseteq SEmpty \cup X \cdot (SStar X)$

using 1 2 **by** *blast*

have 4: $SEmpty \subseteq (SStar X)$

using 1 **by** *auto*

have 5: $X \subseteq SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore)$

by (*simp add: Un-Int-distrib smore-def*)

have 6: $X \cdot (SStar X) \subseteq (SStar X) \cup (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SStar X)$

```

    using 5 by (metis FusionRuleL FusionUnionDistL FusionSEmptyL)
have 7:  $(SStar\ X) \subseteq SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SStar\ X)$ 
    using 1 by auto
have 8:  $X \cdot (SStar\ X) \subseteq SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SStar\ X)$ 
    using 6 7 by blast
hence 9:  $X \cdot (SStar\ X) \subseteq (SStar\ X)$ 
    using 1 by auto
have 10:  $SEmpty \cup X \cdot (SStar\ X) \subseteq (SStar\ X)$ 
    using 9 4 by simp
from 3 10 show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

— Left induction

```

lemma SStarInductL:
  assumes  $Y \cup X \cdot Z \subseteq Z$ 
  shows  $(SStar\ X) \cdot Y \subseteq Z$ 
by (metis UN-least assms fusion-inductl sstar-contr)

```

— Right induction

```

lemma SStarInductR:
  assumes  $Y \cup Z \cdot X \subseteq Z$ 
  shows  $Y \cdot (SStar\ X) \subseteq Z$ 
using sstar-contl assms fusion-inductr by blast

```

8.4.7 Time reversal

```

lemma SRevSEmpty:
   $(SRev\ SEmpty) = SEmpty$ 
using set-eql[of  $(SRev\ SEmpty)\ SEmpty$ ]
by (simp add: srev-elim)

```

```

lemma SRevSNot:
   $(SRev\ (-\ X)) = (-\ (SRev\ X))$ 
using set-eql[of  $(SRev\ (-\ X))\ (-\ (SRev\ X))$ ]
by (simp add: srev-elim)

```

```

lemma SRevFusion:
   $(SRev\ (X \cdot Y)) = (SRev\ Y) \cdot (SRev\ X)$ 
using set-eql[of  $(SRev\ (X \cdot Y))\ (SRev\ Y) \cdot (SRev\ X)$ ]
using fusion-iff-1
by (smt interval-intrev-intlen interval-intrev-prefix interval-intrev-suffix
    interval-prefix-length interval-suffix-length-good order-refl srev-elim)

```

```

lemma SRevUnion:
   $(SRev\ (X \cup Y)) = (SRev\ X) \cup (SRev\ Y)$ 
using set-eql[of  $(SRev\ (X \cup Y))\ (SRev\ X) \cup (SRev\ Y)$ ]
using srev-elim by auto

```

```

lemma SRevSPower:

```

```

  (SRev (SPower X n)) = (SPower (SRev X) n)
proof
  (induct n)
  case 0
  then show ?case by (simp add: SRevSEmpty)
  next
  case (Suc n)
  then show ?case
  by (smt Morgan SRevFusion SRevSEmpty SRevSNot SRevUnion pwr-Suc smore-def spower-commutes)
qed

```

```

lemma SRevSStar:
  (SRev (SStar X)) = (SStar (SRev X))
proof -
  have 1: (SRev (SStar X)) = (SRev (⋃ n. SPower X n)) by (simp add: sstar-def)
  have 2: (SRev (⋃ n. SPower X n)) = (⋃ n. SPower (SRev X) n)
    using set-eqI[of (SRev (⋃ n. SPower X n)) (⋃ n. SPower (SRev X) n)]
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) SRevSPower UN-iff srev-elim)
  have 3: (⋃ n. SPower (SRev X) n) = (SStar (SRev X)) by (simp add: sstar-def)
  from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma SRevSRev:
  (SRev (SRev X)) = X
using set-eqI[of (SRev (SRev X)) X]
by (simp add: srev-elim)

```

8.4.8 ITL specific Laws

```

lemma PwrFusionInterL:
  (((SPower SSkip n) ∩ X) · V) ∩ (((SPower SSkip n) ∩ Y) · W)) =
  (((SPower SSkip n) ∩ X ∩ Y) · (V ∩ W))
using set-eqI[of (((SPower SSkip n) ∩ X) · V) ∩ (((SPower SSkip n) ∩ Y) · W))
  (((SPower SSkip n) ∩ X ∩ Y) · (V ∩ W))]
using fusion-iff
by (smt interval-prefix-fuse interval-suffix-fuse sand-elim spower-skip-elim)

```

```

lemma PwrFusionInterR:
  ((V · ((SPower SSkip n) ∩ X)) ∩ ((W · ((SPower SSkip n) ∩ Y)))) =
  ((V ∩ W) · ((SPower SSkip n) ∩ X ∩ Y))
using set-eqI[of ((V · ((SPower SSkip n) ∩ X)) ∩ ((W · ((SPower SSkip n) ∩ Y))))
  ((V ∩ W) · ((SPower SSkip n) ∩ X ∩ Y))]
using fusion-iff
by (smt add-right-imp-eq interval-fuse-intlen interval-prefix-fuse
  interval-suffix-fuse sand-elim spower-skip-elim)

```

```

lemma SSkipFusionImpSMore:
  SSkip · STrue ⊆ SMore
by (metis fusion-iff gr0I interval-fuse-intlen nat.distinct(1) plus-1-eq-Suc
  smore-elim sskip-elim subsetI)

```

lemma *SStarSkip*:
 $(SStar\ SSkip) = STrue$
using *set-eql*[of (*SStar SSkip*) *STrue*]
by (*simp add: strue-def spower-skip-elim sstar-elim*)

8.5 Derived Laws

8.5.1 Helper Lemmas

lemma *B01*:
assumes $(X:: 'a\ intervals) \subseteq Y$
shows $\neg Y \subseteq \neg X$
using *assms by auto*

lemma *B04*:
 $((X:: 'a\ intervals) = Y) \longleftrightarrow (X \subseteq Y) \wedge (Y \subseteq X)$
by *auto*

lemma *B09*:
assumes $\neg X \cup Y = STrue$
shows $(X:: 'a\ intervals) \subseteq Y$
using *assms using strue-def by auto*

lemma *B20*:
 $(X:: 'a\ intervals) \subseteq Y \cup Z \longleftrightarrow X \cap \neg Y \subseteq Z$
by *auto*

lemma *B28*:
 $((X:: 'a\ intervals) \cap Y) \cup (X \cap Z) = X \cap (Y \cup Z)$
by *auto*

lemma *CH01*:
 $STrue \cdot STrue = STrue$
by (*metis FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistR Int-commute SStarSkip STrueTop UnfoldL inf-sup-absorb*)

lemma *CH07*:
 $((Sskip \cap X) \cdot V) \cap ((Sskip \cap Y) \cdot W) = ((Sskip \cap X \cap Y) \cdot (V \cap W))$
using *PwrFusionInterL*[of 1 *X V Y W*]
by (*simp add: inf-commute smore-def sskip-def*)

lemma *CH08*:
 $((V \cdot (Sskip \cap X)) \cap (W \cdot (Sskip \cap Y))) = ((V \cap W) \cdot (Sskip \cap X \cap Y))$
using *PwrFusionInterR*[of *V 1 X W Y*]
by (*simp add: inf-commute smore-def sskip-def*)

lemma *CH09*:
 $((X \cap SEmpty) \cdot V) \cap ((Y \cap SEmpty) \cdot W) = (((X \cap Y) \cap SEmpty) \cdot (V \cap W))$
using *PwrFusionInterL*[of 0 *X V Y W*]
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) inf-assoc inf-commute pwr-0*)

lemma CH10:

$$((V \cdot (X \cap SEmpty)) \cap ((W \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty)))) = ((V \cap W) \cdot ((X \cap Y) \cap SEmpty))$$

using *PwrFusionInterR*[of *V 0 X W Y*]

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *inf-assoc inf-commute pwr-0*)

lemma ST13:

$$((X \cap SEmpty) \cdot Z) \cap ((Y \cap SEmpty) \cdot Z) = ((X \cap Y) \cap SEmpty) \cdot Z$$

by (*simp add: CH09*)

lemma ST15:

$$(SStar (X \cap SEmpty)) = SEmpty$$

by (*metis* *FusionSEmptyL inf.right-idem inf-le2 UnfoldL*

SStarInductR sup.orderE sup-inf-absorb)

lemma ST21:

$$((-X) \cap SEmpty) \cup (X \cap SEmpty) = SEmpty$$

by *blast*

lemma ST24:

$$(SInit X) \cap (SInit Y) = (SInit (X \cap Y))$$

by (*simp add: ST13 sinit-def*)

lemma ST25:

$$(SInit STrue) = STrue$$

by (*simp add: sinit-def strue-def*)

lemma ST26:

$$(SInit (-X)) \cup (SInit X) = STrue$$

by (*metis* *Compl-disjoint2 ST21 ST25 Un-Int-distrib compl-bot-eq FusionUnionDistL*

sinit-def strue-def sup-bot.right-neutral sup-top-right)

lemma ST28:

$$(SDi (SInit X)) = (SInit X)$$

by (*metis* *compl-bot-eq FusionAssoc FusionUnionDistR FusionSEmptyR sdi-def*

sinit-def strue-def sup-top-right UnionCommute)

lemma ST33:

$$(STrue \cap SEmpty) \cdot SEmpty = SEmpty$$

by (*simp add: strue-def*)

lemma ST36:

$$(SInit (-X)) \subseteq -(SInit X)$$

by (*metis* *Compl-disjoint ST24 compl-bot-eq disjoint-eq-subset-Compl double-complement*

inf.coboundedI2 inf.orderE sfalse-def SFalseFusion sinit-def strue-def)

lemma ST37:

$$-(SInit X) \subseteq (SInit (-X))$$

using *B09 ST26 by auto*

lemma ST38:

$-(S\text{Init } X) = (S\text{Init } (-X))$
using *ST37 ST36 by auto*

lemma *ST47*:
 $X \cup Y \cdot X = (S\text{Empty} \cup Y) \cdot X$
by (*simp add: FusionUnionDistL*)

lemma *SStar01*:
assumes $X \cdot (S\text{Star } Y) \cup S\text{Empty} \subseteq (S\text{Star } Y)$
shows $(S\text{Star } X) \subseteq (S\text{Star } Y)$
using *assms*
by (*metis Un-commute FusionSEmptyR SStarInductL*)

lemma *SStar03*:
 $(S\text{Star } X) \cdot (S\text{Star } X) \subseteq (S\text{Star } X)$
by (*metis SStarInductL Un-absorb UnfoldL sup.absorb-iff1 sup.right-idem*)

lemma *SStar05*:
assumes $((S\text{Star } X) \cdot (S\text{Star } X)) \cup S\text{Empty} \subseteq (S\text{Star } X)$
shows $(S\text{Star } (S\text{Star } X)) \subseteq (S\text{Star } X)$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: SStar01*)

lemma *SStar12*:
 $(S\text{Empty} \cup (X \cdot (S\text{Star } X))) \subseteq (S\text{Star } X)$
using *UnfoldL by blast*

lemma *SStar06*:
 $((S\text{Star } X) \cdot (S\text{Star } X)) \cup S\text{Empty} \subseteq (S\text{Star } X)$
using *SStar03 SStar12 by force*

lemma *SStar07*:
 $(S\text{Star } X) \subseteq (S\text{Star } (S\text{Star } X))$
by (*metis FusionUnionDistR FusionSEmptyR Subsumption Un-commute UnfoldL ST47 sup.right-idem*)

lemma *SStar08*:
 $(S\text{Star } X) = (S\text{Star } (S\text{Star } X))$
by (*meson B04 SStar05 SStar06 SStar07*)

lemma *SStar15*:
 $S\text{Empty} \subseteq (S\text{Star } S\text{Skip})$
by (*simp add: SStarSkip strue-def*)

lemma *SStar16*:
 $S\text{Skip} \subseteq (S\text{Star } S\text{Skip})$
by (*simp add: SStarSkip strue-def*)

lemma *SStar22*:
 $(S\text{Empty} \cap X) \cdot (S\text{Star } (S\text{Empty} \cap X)) = (S\text{Empty} \cap X)$
by (*metis ST15 FusionSEmptyR inf-commute*)

lemma *SStar23*:
 $(SStar (SEmpty \cap X)) = SEmpty$
using *SStar22 UnfoldL* **by** *auto*

lemma *SStar25*:
 $(SStar STrue) = STrue$
by (*metis SStar08 SStarSkip*)

lemma *SStar28*:
 $(SStar X) \cdot X \subseteq X \cdot (SStar X)$
by (*metis B04 FusionUnionDistR FusionSEmptyR UnfoldL SStarInductL*)

lemma *SStar29*:
 $X \cdot (SStar X) \subseteq (SStar X) \cdot X$
by (*metis B04 SStar28 SStarInductR UnfoldL FusionRuleL ST47 sup.mono*)

lemma *SStar17*:
 $(SStar SSkip) \cdot SSkip \subseteq SSkip \cdot (SStar SSkip)$
by (*simp add: SStar28*)

lemma *SStar18*:
 $SSkip \cdot (SStar SSkip) \subseteq (SStar SSkip) \cdot SSkip$
by (*simp add: SStar29*)

lemma *SStar19*:
 $(SStar SSkip) \cdot SSkip = SSkip \cdot (SStar SSkip)$
using *SStar17 SStar18* **by** *auto*

lemma *SStar30*:
 $X \cdot (SStar X) = (SStar X) \cdot X$
using *SStar28 SStar29* **by** *auto*

lemma *SStar34*:
assumes $SEmpty \cup (X \cup Y) \cdot ((SStar X) \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot (SStar X)))) \subseteq (SStar X) \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot (SStar X)))$
shows $(SStar (X \cup Y)) \subseteq (SStar X) \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot (SStar X)))$
by (*metis assms FusionSEmptyR SStarInductL*)

lemma *SStar35*:
 $SEmpty \cup (X \cup Y) \cdot ((SStar X) \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot (SStar X)))) \subseteq (SStar X) \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot (SStar X)))$
by (*smt B04 FusionAssoc FusionUnionDistL FusionSEmptyL UnfoldL UnionAssoc UnionCommute*)

lemma *SStar36*:
 $(SStar (X \cup Y)) \subseteq (SStar X) \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot (SStar X)))$
using *SStar34 SStar35* **by** *blast*

lemma *SStar46*:
 $(SStar X) \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot (SStar X))) \subseteq (SStar (X \cup Y))$
proof –
have $(SEmpty \cup SStar (X \cup Y) \cdot Y) \cdot SStar X \subseteq SStar (X \cup Y)$

by (metis (no-types) FusionUnionDistR SStar12 SStar30 SStarInductR sup.bounded-iff)
 then show ?thesis by (simp add: SStarInductR ST47)
 qed

lemma SStar47:

$(SStar\ Z) = (SStar\ (Z \cap SMore))$

proof –

have 1: $(SStar\ Z) = (SStar\ ((SEmpty \cap Z) \cup (SMore \cap Z)))$

by (metis Int-Un-distrib2 compl-bot-eq inf-top.left-neutral smore-def strue-def STrueTop)

have 2: $(SStar\ ((SEmpty \cap Z) \cup (SMore \cap Z))) =$

$(SStar\ (SEmpty \cap Z)) \cdot (SStar\ ((SMore \cap Z) \cdot (SStar\ (SEmpty \cap Z))))$

by (simp add: SStar36 SStar46 subset-antisym)

have 3: $(SStar\ (SEmpty \cap Z)) \cdot (SStar\ ((SMore \cap Z) \cdot (SStar\ (SEmpty \cap Z)))) =$

$(SStar\ (Z \cap SMore))$ by (simp add: SStar23 inf-commute)

from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by auto

qed

lemma SStar48:

$(SStar\ SMore) = STrue$

by (metis Compl-Un Compl-disjoint2 SStar25 SStar47 ST21 ST33 FusionSEmptyR
 inf.right-idem smore-def strue-def)

lemma SStar50:

assumes $SSkip \cdot ((-X) \cup ((SStar\ SSkip) \cdot (X \cap (SSkip \cdot (-X))))) \cup (-X)$

$\subseteq (-X) \cup (SStar\ SSkip) \cdot (X \cap (SSkip \cdot (-X)))$

shows $((SStar\ SSkip) \cdot (-X)) \subseteq ((-X) \cup ((SStar\ SSkip) \cdot (X \cap (SSkip \cdot (-X)))))$

using SStarInductL assms by blast

lemma SStar51:

$SSkip \cdot ((-X) \cup ((SStar\ SSkip) \cdot (X \cap (SSkip \cdot (-X))))) \cup (-X)$

$\subseteq (-X) \cup (SStar\ SSkip) \cdot (X \cap (SSkip \cdot (-X)))$

by (smt B20 double-compl FusionAssoc FusionUnionDistR inf-commute le-sup-iff
 UnfoldL ST47 Subsumption sup-ge2)

lemma SStar52:

$(SStar\ X) \subseteq SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SStar\ X)$

by (metis B04 SStar47 UnfoldL)

lemma SStar53:

$SEmpty \cup (X \cap SMore) \cdot (SStar\ X) \subseteq (SStar\ X)$

by (metis SStar12 SStar47)

lemma BD45:

$(SBI\ ((-X) \cup X1)) \cap (X \cdot Y) \subseteq X1 \cdot Y$

proof –

have 1: $(SBI\ ((-X) \cup X1)) = -(-((-X) \cup X1) \cdot (Y \cup (-Y)))$

by (metis sbi-def sdi-def STrueTop)

have 2: $-(-((-X) \cup X1) \cdot (Y \cup (-Y))) \cap (X \cdot Y) \subseteq$

$-(-((-X) \cup X1) \cdot (Y)) \cap (X \cdot Y)$

by (smt B01 B28 FusionUnionDistR inf-commute sup.absorb-iff1 sup-ge1)

have 3: $\neg(\neg((\neg X) \cup X1) \cdot (Y)) \cap (X \cdot Y) \subseteq (((\neg X) \cup X1) \cap X) \cdot Y$
by (*smt B09 Compl-disjoint2 FusionUnionDistL Huntington Morgan STrueTop UnionAssoc UnionCommute compl-inf sup-bot.left-neutral*)
have 4: $((\neg X) \cup X1) \cap X \cdot Y \subseteq X1 \cdot Y$
by (*metis B20 double-compl FusionRuleL inf.right-idem inf-le1*)
from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** blast
qed

lemma BD46:

$(SAlways ((\neg Y) \cup Y1)) \cap (X1 \cdot Y) \subseteq (X1 \cdot Y1)$

proof –

have 1: $(SAlways ((\neg Y) \cup Y1)) = \neg((X1 \cup (\neg X1)) \cdot (\neg((\neg Y) \cup Y1)))$

by (*metis salways-def sosome-time-def STrueTop*)

have 2: $\neg((X1 \cup (\neg X1)) \cdot (\neg((\neg Y) \cup Y1))) \cap (X1 \cdot Y) \subseteq$
 $\neg(X1 \cdot (\neg((\neg Y) \cup Y1))) \cap (X1 \cdot Y)$

by (*smt B01 B28 FusionUnionDistL inf-commute sup.absorb-iff2 sup-ge1*)

have 3: $\neg(X1 \cdot (\neg((\neg Y) \cup Y1))) \cap (X1 \cdot Y) \subseteq X1 \cdot (((\neg Y) \cup Y1) \cap Y)$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) B20 B04 compl-inf FusionUnionDistR Huntington Morgan Subsumption sup-ge1 UnionCommute*)

have 4: $X1 \cdot (((\neg Y) \cup Y1) \cap Y) \subseteq (X1 \cdot Y1)$

by (*metis B20 double-compl FusionRuleR inf.right-idem inf-le1*)

from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** blast

qed

8.5.2 ITL Axioms derived

lemma SBoxGen:

assumes $X = STrue$

shows $(SAlways X) = STrue$

using *assms*

by (*metis double-compl FusionSFalse salways-def sfalse-def sosome-time-def strue-def*)

lemma SBiGen:

assumes $X = STrue$

shows $(SBi X) = STrue$

using *assms*

by (*metis double-compl sbi-def sdi-def sfalse-def SFalseFusion strue-def*)

lemma SMP:

assumes $X \subseteq Y$

assumes $X = STrue$

shows $Y = STrue$

using *assms(1) assms(2)*

using *strue-def* **by** blast

lemma SChopAssoc:

$X \cdot (Y \cdot Z) = (X \cdot Y) \cdot Z$

by *simp*

lemma SOrChopImp:

$(X \cup Y) \cdot Z \subseteq (X \cdot Z) \cup (Y \cdot Z)$
by (*simp add: FusionUnionDistL*)

lemma *SChopOrImp*:
 $X \cdot (Y \cup Z) \subseteq (X \cdot Y) \cup (X \cdot Z)$
by (*simp add: FusionUnionDistR*)

lemma *SEmptyChop*:
 $SEmpty \cdot X = X$
by *simp*

lemma *SChopEmpty*:
 $X \cdot SEmpty = X$
by *simp*

lemma *SStatImpBi*:
 $(SInit X) \subseteq (SBI (SInit X))$
by (*simp add: ST28 ST38 sbi-def*)

lemma *SNextImpNotNextNot*:
 $(SNext X) \subseteq \neg(SNext (\neg X))$
proof –
have 1: $((SNext X) \subseteq \neg(SNext (\neg X))) = (((SNext X) \cap (SNext (\neg X))) \subseteq SFalse)$
by (*simp add: disjoint-eq-subset-Compl sfalse-def*)
have 2: $((SNext X) \cap (SNext (\neg X))) = SSkip \cdot (X \cap (\neg X))$
by (*metis CH07 SStar16 inf.orderE snext-def*)
have 3: $(SSkip \cdot (X \cap (\neg X))) = SSkip \cdot SFalse$
by (*simp add: sfalse-def*)
have 4: $SSkip \cdot SFalse = SFalse$ **by** (*simp add: FusionSFalse*)
from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *SBoxChopImpChop*:
 $(SBI ((\neg X) \cup X1)) \cap (SAlways ((\neg Y) \cup Y1)) \cap (X \cdot Y) \subseteq (X1 \cdot Y1)$
using *BD45 BD46* **by** *blast*

lemma *SBoxInduct*:
 $(SAlways (\neg X \cup (SWnext X))) \cap X \subseteq (SAlways X)$
proof –
have 1: $((SAlways (\neg X \cup (SWnext X))) \cap X \subseteq (SAlways X)) =$
 $((SSometime (\neg X)) \subseteq ((\neg X) \cup (SSometime (X \cap (SNext (\neg X))))))$
by (*smt Compl-subset-Compl-iff compl-sup double-compl inf-commute*
salways-def snext-def swnext-def)
have 2: $((SSometime (\neg X)) \subseteq ((\neg X) \cup (SSometime (X \cap (SNext (\neg X)))))) =$
 $((SStar SSkip) \cdot (\neg X) \subseteq ((\neg X) \cup ((SStar SSkip) \cdot (X \cap (SSkip \cdot (\neg X))))))$
by (*simp add: SStarSkip snext-def ssometime-def*)
have 3: $((SStar SSkip) \cdot (\neg X) \subseteq ((\neg X) \cup ((SStar SSkip) \cdot (X \cap (SSkip \cdot (\neg X))))))$
using *SStar51 SStar50* **by** *blast*
from 1 2 3 **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

```

lemma SChopstarEqv:
  (SStar X) = SEmpty  $\cup$  (X  $\cap$  SMore). (SStar X)
using SStar52 SStar53 by blast

```

8.6 Extra Laws

8.6.1 Boolean Laws

```

lemma B02:
  assumes  $\neg Y \subseteq \neg X$ 
  shows (X:: 'a intervals)  $\subseteq Y$ 
using assms by auto

```

```

lemma B03:
  ((X:: 'a intervals) = Y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\neg X = \neg Y$ )
by auto

```

```

lemma B05:
  assumes (X:: 'a intervals)  $\cup Y \subseteq Z$ 
  shows  $X \subseteq Z \wedge Y \subseteq Z$ 
using assms by auto

```

```

lemma B06:
  assumes  $X \subseteq Z \wedge Y \subseteq Z$ 
  shows (X:: 'a intervals)  $\cup Y \subseteq Z$ 
using assms by auto

```

```

lemma B07:
  (X:: 'a intervals)  $\cup Y \subseteq Z \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $X \subseteq Z \wedge Y \subseteq Z$ 
by auto

```

```

lemma B08:
  assumes (X:: 'a intervals)  $\subseteq Y$ 
  shows  $\neg X \cup Y = STrue$ 
using assms
using strue-def by auto

```

```

lemma B10:
  (X:: 'a intervals)  $\subseteq Y \longleftrightarrow \neg X \cup Y = STrue$ 
using strue-def by auto

```

```

lemma B11:
  assumes (X:: 'a intervals)  $\subseteq Y$ 
  shows  $X \cap \neg Y = SFalse$ 
using assms sfalse-def by auto

```

```

lemma B12:
  assumes  $X \cap \neg Y = SFalse$ 
  shows (X:: 'a intervals)  $\subseteq Y$ 

```

using *assms sfalse-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *B13*:

$(X:: 'a \text{ intervals}) \subseteq Y \longleftrightarrow X \cap -Y = SFalse$

using *sfalse-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *B14*:

assumes $(X:: 'a \text{ intervals}) \subseteq Y$

shows $X \cap Y = X$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *B15*:

assumes $(X:: 'a \text{ intervals}) \subseteq Y \cap Z$

shows $X \subseteq Y \wedge X \subseteq Z$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *B16*:

assumes $X \subseteq Y \wedge X \subseteq Z$

shows $(X:: 'a \text{ intervals}) \subseteq Y \cap Z$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *B17*:

$(X:: 'a \text{ intervals}) \subseteq Y \cap Z \longleftrightarrow X \subseteq Y \wedge X \subseteq Z$

by *auto*

lemma *B18*:

assumes $(X:: 'a \text{ intervals}) \subseteq Y \cup Z$

shows $X \cap -Y \subseteq Z$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *B19*:

assumes $X \cap -Y \subseteq Z$

shows $(X:: 'a \text{ intervals}) \subseteq Y \cup Z$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *B21*:

$(X:: 'a \text{ intervals}) \cup (Y \cap Z) \subseteq (X \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z) \longleftrightarrow$

$X \cup (Y \cap Z) \subseteq (X \cup Y) \wedge X \cup (Y \cap Z) \subseteq (X \cup Z)$

by *auto*

lemma *B22*:

$(X:: 'a \text{ intervals}) \cup (Y \cap Z) \subseteq X \cup Y$

by *auto*

lemma *B23*:

$(X:: 'a \text{ intervals}) \cup (Y \cap Z) \subseteq X \cup Z$

by *auto*

lemma *B24*:

$((X:: 'a \text{ intervals}) \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z) \subseteq X \cup (Y \cap Z) \longleftrightarrow$

$((X \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z)) \cap \neg X \subseteq Y \cap Z$
by *auto*

lemma B25:
 $((X:: 'a \text{ intervals}) \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z) \cap \neg X \subseteq Y \cap Z \longleftrightarrow$
 $((X \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z)) \cap \neg X \subseteq Y \wedge$
 $((X \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z)) \cap \neg X \subseteq Z$
by *auto*

lemma B26:
 $((X:: 'a \text{ intervals}) \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z) \cap \neg X \subseteq Y$
by *auto*

lemma B27:
 $((X:: 'a \text{ intervals}) \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z) \cap \neg X \subseteq Z$
by *auto*

lemma B29:
 $(X:: 'a \text{ intervals}) \cup (Y \cap Z) = (X \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Z)$
by *auto*

8.6.2 Chop

lemma CH02:
 $X \cdot Y \cap \neg(X \cdot Z) \subseteq X \cdot (Y \cap \neg Z)$
by (*metis B20 FusionRuleR FusionUnionDistR inf.right-idem inf-le1*)

lemma CH03:
 $X \cdot Y \cap \neg(Z \cdot Y) \subseteq (X \cap \neg Z) \cdot Y$
by (*metis B20 FusionRuleL FusionUnionDistL inf.right-idem inf-le1*)

lemma CH04:
 $X \cdot Y \cap \neg(X \cdot \neg Z) \subseteq X \cdot (Y \cap Z)$
using CH02 **by** *fastforce*

lemma CH05:
 $X \cdot Y \cap \neg(\neg Z \cdot Y) \subseteq (X \cap Z) \cdot Y$
using CH03 **by** *fastforce*

lemma CH06:
assumes $X \subseteq X1$
 $Y \subseteq Y1$
shows $X \cdot Y \subseteq X1 \cdot Y1$
using *assms(1) assms(2)*
by (*smt FusionUnionDistL FusionUnionDistR UnionAssoc le-iff-sup*)

8.6.3 Next

lemma N01:
 $(SNext SEmpty) = SSkip$
by (*simp add: snext-def*)

lemma N02:

$(SNext\ SFalse) = SFalse$

by (*simp add: FusionSFalse snext-def*)

lemma N03:

$(SNext\ X) \cdot Y = (SNext\ (X \cdot Y))$

by (*simp add: snext-def*)

lemma N04:

$(SNext\ (X \cup Y)) = (SNext\ X) \cup (SNext\ Y)$

by (*simp add: FusionUnionDistR snext-def*)

lemma N05:

$(SNext\ (X \cap Y)) = (SNext\ X) \cap (SNext\ Y)$

by (*metis CH07 SStar16 inf.orderE snext-def*)

lemma N06:

assumes $X \subseteq Y$

shows $(SNext\ X) \subseteq (SNext\ Y)$

using *assms*

by (*metis FusionUnionDistR Subsumption snext-def*)

lemma N07:

$(SNext\ ((-X) \cup Y)) = (SNext\ (-X)) \cup (SNext\ Y)$

by (*simp add: N04*)

lemma N08:

$SMore \subseteq SSkip \cdot STrue$

by (*smt B09 Morgan SStar15 SStarSkip UnfoldL compl-inf inf.absorb2 smore-def*)

lemma N23:

$(SWprev\ X) \subseteq (SEmpty \cup (SPrev\ X))$

by (*metis B09 FusionUnionDistL SStar30 SStarSkip STrueTop UnfoldL UnionAssoc
abel-semigroup commute double-compl spreprev-def sup.abel-semigroup-axioms swprev-def*)

lemma N24:

$(SEmpty) \subseteq (SWprev\ X)$

by (*metis B10 B02 FusionRuleL SSkipFusionImpSMore SStar30 SStarSkip UnfoldL
compl-bot-eq double-compl smore-def strue-def subset-antisym swprev-def top-greatest*)

lemma N25:

$(SPrev\ X) \subseteq (SWprev\ X)$

proof —

have 1: $((SPrev\ X) \subseteq (SWprev\ X)) = (((SPrev\ X) \cap (SPrev\ (-X))) \subseteq SFalse)$

by (*simp add: B10 sfalse-def spreprev-def swprev-def*)

have 2: $((SPrev\ X) \cap (SPrev\ (-X))) = (X \cap (-X)) \cdot SSkip$

by (*metis CH08 SStar16 inf.orderE spreprev-def*)

have 3: $(X \cap (-X)) \cdot SSkip = SFalse \cdot SSkip$

by (*simp add: sfalse-def*)

have 4: $SFalse \cdot SSkip = SFalse$
by (*simp add: SFalseFusion*)
from 1 2 3 4 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma N26:
 $(SWprev\ X) = (SEmpty \cup (SPrev\ X))$
using N23 N24 N25 **by** blast

lemma N09:
 $SSkip \cup SMore \cdot SSkip \subseteq SMore$
proof —
have 1: $SSkip \subseteq SMore$ **by** (*simp add: smore-def sskip-def*)
have 2: $SMore \cdot SSkip \subseteq SMore$
by (*metis N26 UnionCommute compl-le-swap1 smore-def sup-ge2 swprev-def*)
from 1 2 **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

lemma N10:
assumes $SSkip \cup SMore \cdot SSkip \subseteq SMore$
shows $SSkip \cdot (SStar\ SSkip) \subseteq SMore$
using *assms*
using *SStarInductR N09* **by** blast

lemma N11:
 $SSkip \cdot STTrue \subseteq SMore$
by (*metis SStarSkip N09 N10*)

lemma N12:
 $(SNext\ X) = \neg(SWnext\ (\neg X))$
by (*simp add: snext-def swnext-def*)

lemma N13:
 $SMore \cdot STTrue = SMore$
by (*metis FusionAssoc N11 N08 SStar48 SStarSkip ST47 UnfoldL subset-antisym sup.right-idem*)

lemma N14:
 $STTrue \cdot SSkip \subseteq SMore$
by (*metis N11 SStar19 SStarSkip*)

lemma N15:
 $SMore \subseteq STTrue \cdot SSkip$
by (*metis N08 SStar19 SStarSkip*)

lemma N19:
 $(SWnext\ X) \subseteq (SEmpty \cup (SNext\ X))$
by (*smt B02 B20 B09 FusionUnionDistR Morgan SStarSkip STTrueTop UnfoldL compl-inf inf-sup-absorb snext-def swnext-def*)

lemma N20:

$(SEmpty) \subseteq (SWnext\ X)$
proof –
have 1: $((SEmpty) \subseteq (SWnext\ X)) = (\neg(SWnext\ X) \subseteq SMore)$
by (*simp add: smore-def*)
have 2: $(\neg(SWnext\ X) \subseteq SMore) = ((SNext\ (\neg X)) \subseteq SMore)$
by (*simp add: snext-def swnext-def*)
have 3: $(SNext\ (\neg X)) \subseteq SSkip \cdot STrue$
by (*metis FusionUnionDistR STrueTop snext-def sup.orderI sup.right-idem*)
hence 4: $(SNext\ (\neg X)) \subseteq SMore$ **using** *SSkipFusionImpSMore* **by** *auto*
from 1 2 4 **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *N21*:
 $(SEmpty \cup (SNext\ X)) \subseteq (SWnext\ X)$
using *N20*
by (*metis B06 SNextImpNotNextNot snext-def swnext-def*)

lemma *N22*:
 $(SWnext\ X) = (SEmpty \cup (SNext\ X))$
using *N21 N19* **by** *blast*

lemma *N16*:
 $(SNext\ X) = SMore \cap (SWnext\ X)$
using *N12 N22 smore-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *N17*:
 $(SWnext\ (X \cap Y)) = (SWnext\ X) \cap (SWnext\ Y)$
by (*simp add: N05 N22 Un-Int-distrib*)

lemma *N18*:
 $(SWnext\ (X \cup Y)) = (SWnext\ X) \cup (SWnext\ Y)$
by (*smt CH07 SStar16 compl-sup double-compl inf.orderE swnext-def*)

lemma *N27*:
 $(SNext\ ((\neg X) \cup Y)) \subseteq (\neg(SNext\ X) \cup (SNext\ Y))$
by (*metis N12 N16 N18 Un-Int-distrib double-compl sup-ge2 sup-left-idem*)

lemma *N28*:
 $(SPrev\ ((\neg X) \cup Y)) \subseteq (\neg(SPrev\ X) \cup (SPrev\ Y))$
by (*metis B01 B05 B06 FusionUnionDistL Huntington N25 double-compl sprev-def sup-ge2 swprev-def*)

lemma *N29*:
 $(SPrev\ X) = \neg(SWprev\ (\neg X))$
by (*simp add: sprev-def swprev-def*)

8.6.4 SInit

lemma *ST01*:
 $(X \cap SEmpty) \cdot Y \subseteq Y$
by (*metis Int-commute FusionUnionDistL FusionSEmptyL le-iff-sup sup-inf-absorb UnionCommute*)

lemma ST02:

$(X \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot Y \subseteq (X \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot S\text{True}$
by (*simp add: FusionRuleR strue-def*)

lemma ST03:

$(X \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot (X \cap S\text{Empty}) \subseteq (X \cap S\text{Empty})$
using ST01 by auto

lemma ST04:

$(X \cap S\text{Empty}) \subseteq (X \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot (X \cap S\text{Empty})$
by (*metis B04 Int-commute FusionSEmptyL FusionSEmptyR inf.right-idem
inf-top.right-neutral CH10*)

lemma ST05:

$(X \cap S\text{Empty}) \subseteq -((-X) \cap S\text{Empty})$
by blast

lemma ST06:

$((-X) \cap S\text{Empty}) \subseteq -(X \cap S\text{Empty})$
by auto

lemma ST07:

$(X \cap S\text{Empty}) \cap (Y \cap S\text{Empty}) \subseteq (X \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot S\text{True}$
using ST02 FusionSEmptyR by blast

lemma ST08:

$(X \cap S\text{Empty}) \cap (Y \cap S\text{Empty}) \subseteq (S\text{True} \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot (Y \cap S\text{Empty})$
by (*simp add: le-infl2 strue-def*)

lemma ST09:

$((X \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot S\text{True}) \cap (S\text{True} \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot (Y \cap S\text{Empty}) \subseteq (X \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot (Y \cap S\text{Empty})$
by (*metis compl-bot-eq eq-refl FusionSEmptyR inf commute inf-top.left-neutral
CH09 strue-def*)

lemma ST10:

$(X \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot (Y \cap S\text{Empty}) \subseteq (X \cap S\text{Empty})$
by (*metis FusionRuleR FusionSEmptyR inf-le2*)

lemma ST11:

$(X \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot (Y \cap S\text{Empty}) \subseteq (Y \cap S\text{Empty})$
using ST01 by blast

lemma ST12:

$(X \cap S\text{Empty}) \cap (Y \cap S\text{Empty}) = (X \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot S\text{Empty} \cap (Y \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot S\text{Empty}$
by simp

lemma ST14:

$((X \cap Y) \cap S\text{Empty}) \cdot S\text{Empty} = ((X \cap Y) \cap S\text{Empty})$
by auto

lemma ST16:

$(X \cap SEmpty) \cap (Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq SEmpty$
by (*simp add: le-infl2*)

lemma ST17:

$(X \cap SEmpty) \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq SEmpty$
using ST10 by auto

lemma ST18:

$\neg((X \cap SEmpty) \cup (Y \cap SEmpty)) = \neg(X \cap SEmpty) \cap \neg(Y \cap SEmpty)$
by auto

lemma ST19:

$(X \cap SEmpty) \cdot (\neg X \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty)$
using ST10 by blast

lemma ST20:

$(X \cap SEmpty) \cdot (\neg X \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (\neg X \cap SEmpty)$
using ST01 by auto

lemma ST22:

$((X \cap SEmpty) \cdot SSkip) \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty) \cdot SSkip$
using FusionRuleR FusionSEmptyR by blast

lemma ST23:

$((X \cap SEmpty) \cdot SSkip) \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq SSkip \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty)$
by (*simp add: ST01 FusionRuleL*)

lemma ST27:

$(SInit X) \cap (Y \cdot Z) \subseteq ((SInit X) \cap Y) \cdot Z$
by (*metis B04 compl-bot-eq FusionAssoc FusionSEmptyL inf-commute inf-top.left-neutral CH09 sinit-def strue-def*)

lemma ST29:

$(SInit X) \cdot Y \subseteq (SInit X)$
using ST02 FusionAssoc sinit-def by fastforce

lemma ST30:

$(SInit X) \cap (SDi Y) = (SDi ((SInit X) \cap Y))$
by (*metis FusionAssoc FusionSEmptyL CH09 compl-bot-eq inf-top.left-neutral sdi-def sinit-def strue-def*)

lemma ST31:

$(X \cdot (STrue \cap SEmpty)) \cap (STrue \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty)) = X \cdot (Y \cap SEmpty)$
by (*metis Int-commute compl-bot-eq inf-top.right-neutral CH10 strue-def*)

lemma ST32:

$(STrue \cap SEmpty) \cdot SEmpty \cap (SInit X) = (X \cap SEmpty)$
by (*metis Compl-empty-eq Int-commute CH09 ST14 inf-top.right-neutral*)

sinit-def strue-def)

lemma *ST34*:

$((X \cap SEmpty) \cdot Y) = (SInit X) \cap Y$

by (*metis FusionSEmptyL Int-commute CH09 compl-bot-eq inf-top-right sinit-def strue-def*)

lemma *ST35*:

$((SInit X) \cap Y) \cdot Z \subseteq (SInit X) \cap (Y \cdot Z)$

by (*metis B04 ST34 FusionAssoc*)

lemma *ST39*:

$SEmpty \cap (SInit X) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty)$

using *ST32* **by** *blast*

lemma *ST40*:

$(X \cap SEmpty) \subseteq SEmpty \cap (SInit X)$

using *ST32* **by** *auto*

lemma *ST41*:

$SEmpty \cap (SInit X) = (X \cap SEmpty)$

using *ST40 ST39* **by** *auto*

lemma *ST42*:

$(X \cap SEmpty) \subseteq ((X \cup Y) \cap SEmpty)$

by *blast*

lemma *ST43*:

$(Y \cap SEmpty) \subseteq ((X \cup Y) \cap SEmpty)$

by *blast*

lemma *ST44*:

$(X \cap SEmpty) \cap ((-X) \cap SEmpty) = SFalse$

by (*simp add: sfalse-def*)

lemma *ST45*:

$((X \cup Y) \cap SEmpty) \subseteq (X \cap SEmpty) \cup (Y \cap SEmpty)$

by *auto*

lemma *ST46*:

$(SInit X) \cup (SInit Y) = (SInit (X \cup Y))$

by (*simp add: Int-Un-distrib2 FusionUnionDistL sinit-def*)

8.6.5 SStar

lemma *SStar02*:

assumes $X \subseteq Y$

shows $X \cdot (SStar Y) \cup SEmpty \subseteq (SStar Y)$

using *assms*

by (*smt FusionUnionDistL semigroup.assoc UnfoldL Subsumption
sup.semigroup-axioms sup-left-idem UnionCommute*)

lemma *SStar04*:

$(SStar\ X) \subseteq (SStar\ X) \cdot (SStar\ X)$

by (*metis Un-absorb UnfoldL UnionAssoc ST47 sup.absorb-iff2*)

lemma *SStar09*:

assumes $(X \cdot (SEmpty \cup (X \cdot (SStar\ X)))) \cup SEmpty \subseteq (SEmpty \cup (X \cdot (SStar\ X)))$

shows $(SStar\ X) \subseteq SEmpty \cup (X \cdot (SStar\ X))$

using *assms*

by (*simp add: UnfoldL*)

lemma *SStar10*:

$(X \cdot (SEmpty \cup (X \cdot (SStar\ X)))) \subseteq (SEmpty \cup (X \cdot (SStar\ X)))$

by (*metis UnfoldL sup-ge2*)

lemma *SStar11*:

$SEmpty \subseteq (SEmpty \cup (X \cdot (SStar\ X)))$

by *auto*

lemma *SStar13*:

$(SStar\ SSkip) = STrue$

by (*simp add: SStarSkip*)

lemma *SStar14*:

$(SSometime\ X) = (SStar\ SSkip) \cdot X$

by (*simp add: SStarSkip ssometime-def*)

lemma *SStar20*:

$(SStar\ SEmpty) = SEmpty$

by (*metis FusionSEmptyR ST15 ST33*)

lemma *SStar21*:

$(SStar\ (SEmpty \cap X)) \cdot (SEmpty \cap X) = (SEmpty \cap X)$

by (*metis ST15 FusionSEmptyL inf-commute*)

lemma *SStar24*:

$(SStar\ SFalse) = SEmpty$

by (*metis SStar20 SStar47 inf-compl-bot sfalse-def smore-def*)

lemma *SStar26*:

$X \subseteq (SStar\ X)$

by (*smt FusionUnionDistR FusionSEmptyR SStar03 SStar04 Subsumption UnfoldL UnionAssoc UnionCommute*)

lemma *SStar27*:

$SEmpty \subseteq (SStar\ X)$

using *UnfoldL* **by** *blast*

lemma *SStar31*:

assumes $X \cup (X \cdot Y) \cdot (X \cdot (SStar\ (Y \cdot X))) \subseteq X \cdot (SStar\ (Y \cdot X))$

shows $(SStar\ (X \cdot Y)) \cdot X \subseteq X \cdot (SStar\ (Y \cdot X))$

using *assms SStarInductL* **by** *blast*

lemma *SStar32*:

$X \cup (X \cdot Y) \cdot (X \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot X))) \subseteq X \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot X))$

by (*metis B06 SStar10 SStar11 FusionAssoc FusionRuleR FusionSEmptyR UnfoldL*)

lemma *SStar33*:

$(SStar (X \cdot Y)) \cdot X \subseteq X \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot X))$

using *SStar31 SStar32* **by** *blast*

lemma *SStar37*:

assumes $X \cdot Z \subseteq Z \cdot Y$

shows $(SStar X) \cdot Z \subseteq Z \cdot (SStar Y)$

by (*smt Un-commute assms FusionAssoc FusionUnionDistL FusionUnionDistR FusionSEmptyR semigroup.assoc UnfoldL SStarInductL Subsumption sup.right-idem sup.semigroup-axioms*)

lemma *SStar38*:

assumes $Z \cdot X \subseteq Y \cdot Z$

shows $Z \cdot (SStar X) \subseteq (SStar Y) \cdot Z$

by (*smt SStar30 assms FusionAssoc FusionUnionDistL FusionUnionDistR FusionSEmptyL semigroup.assoc UnfoldL SStarInductR Subsumption sup.right-idem sup.semigroup-axioms UnionCommute*)

lemma *SStar39*:

$Y \cdot (SStar ((SStar X) \cdot Y)) \subseteq (SStar (Y \cdot (SStar X))) \cdot Y$

by (*simp add: SStar38*)

lemma *SStar40*:

$(SStar (Y \cdot (SStar X))) \cdot Y \subseteq Y \cdot (SStar ((SStar X) \cdot Y))$

by (*simp add: SStar33*)

lemma *SStar41*:

$Y \cdot (SStar ((SStar X) \cdot Y)) = (SStar (Y \cdot (SStar X))) \cdot Y$

using *SStar39 SStar40* **by** *blast*

lemma *SStar42*:

$Z \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot Z)) \subseteq (SStar (Z \cdot Y)) \cdot Z$

by (*simp add: SStar38*)

lemma *SStar43*:

$(SStar (Z \cdot Y)) \cdot Z \subseteq Z \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot Z))$

by (*simp add: SStar33*)

lemma *SStar44*:

$Z \cdot (SStar (Y \cdot Z)) = (SStar (Z \cdot Y)) \cdot Z$

using *SStar42 SStar43* **by** *blast*

lemma *SStar49*:

$(SStar X) = SEmpty \cup (SStar X) \cdot X$

using *SStar30 UnfoldL* **by** *blast*

8.6.6 Box and Diamond

lemma *BD01*:

$$(SSometime SEmpty) = STrue$$

by (*simp add: ssometime-def*)

lemma *BD02*:

$$X \subseteq (SSometime X)$$

by (*metis FusionUnionDistL SEmptyChop STrueTop Subsumption Un-absorb semigroup.assoc ssometime-def sup.semigroup-axioms*)

lemma *BD03*:

$$(SNext (SSometime X)) \subseteq (SSometime X)$$

by (*metis FusionUnionDistL N03 SStar16 SStar03 SStar04 SStarSkip snext-def ssometime-def sup.absorb-iff2 sup.orderE*)

lemma *BD04*:

$$(SSometime (SNext X)) \subseteq (SSometime X)$$

by (*metis CH01 FusionAssoc FusionUnionDistL FusionUnionDistR SStar16 SStarSkip snext-def ssometime-def sup.absorb-iff2*)

lemma *BD05*:

$$(SSometime X) \cup (SSometime Y) = (SSometime (X \cup Y))$$

by (*simp add: FusionUnionDistR ssometime-def*)

lemma *BD06*:

$$(SSometime STrue) = STrue$$

by (*simp add: CH01 ssometime-def*)

lemma *BD07*:

$$(SSometime (X \cap Y)) \subseteq (SSometime X) \cap (SSometime Y)$$

by (*simp add: FusionRuleR ssometime-def*)

lemma *BD08*:

$$(SAlways STrue) = STrue$$

by (*simp add: SBoxGen*)

lemma *BD09*:

$$\neg(SAlways X) = (SSometime (\neg X))$$

by (*simp add: salways-def*)

lemma *BD10*:

$$(SAlways X) \subseteq (SSometime X)$$

by (*metis B02 BD02 BD09 set-rev-mp subsetI*)

lemma *BD11*:

$$(SSometime (SSometime X)) = (SSometime X)$$

by (*simp add: CH01 ssometime-def*)

lemma *BD12*:

$$(SAlways X) \subseteq X$$

by (*simp add: B02 BD02 BD09*)

lemma *BD13:*

$(SDi\ STrue) = STrue$

by (*simp add: CH01 sdi-def*)

lemma *BD14:*

$(SDi\ SEmpty) = STrue$

by (*simp add: sdi-def*)

lemma *BD15:*

$(SBi\ STrue) = STrue$

by (*simp add: SBiGen*)

lemma *BD16:*

$(SDi\ (X \cup Y)) = (SDi\ X) \cup (SDi\ Y)$

by (*simp add: FusionUnionDistL sdi-def*)

lemma *BD17:*

assumes $X \subseteq Y$

shows $(SDi\ X) \subseteq (SDi\ Y)$

using *assms*

by (*metis FusionUnionDistL Subsumption sdi-def*)

lemma *BD18:*

$(SDi\ (SDi\ X)) = (SDi\ X)$

by (*metis CH01 FusionAssoc sdi-def*)

lemma *BD19:*

$(SDa\ SEmpty) = STrue$

by (*simp add: CH01 sda-def*)

lemma *BD20:*

$(SDa\ STrue) = STrue$

by (*simp add: CH01 sda-def*)

lemma *BD21:*

$(SBa\ STrue) = STrue$

by (*metis BD15 BD08 BD09 sba-def sbi-def sda-def sdi-def ssometime-def*)

lemma *BD22:*

$(SDa\ (X \cup Y)) = (SDa\ X) \cup (SDa\ Y)$

by (*simp add: FusionUnionDistL FusionUnionDistR sda-def*)

lemma *BD23:*

assumes $X \subseteq Y$

shows $(SDa\ X) \subseteq (SDa\ Y)$

using *assms*

by (*metis BD22 Subsumption*)

lemma *BD24:*

assumes $X \subseteq Y$

shows $(SDa (-Y)) \subseteq (SDa (-X))$

using *assms*

by (*simp add: BD23*)

lemma *BD25:*

$(SDi X) \subseteq (SDa X)$

by (*metis BD02 FusionAssoc sda-def sdi-def ssometime-def*)

lemma *BD26:*

$(SSometime X) \subseteq (SDa X)$

by (*metis BD01 BD02 FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistR SStar14 le-iff-sup sda-def*)

lemma *BD27:*

$(SBa X) \subseteq (SBi X)$

by (*simp add: BD25 sba-def sbi-def*)

lemma *BD28:*

$(SBa X) \subseteq (SAlways X)$

by (*simp add: B02 BD26 BD09 sba-def*)

lemma *BD29:*

$(SAlways X) \cap (SAlways Y) = (SAlways (X \cap Y))$

by (*metis BD05 BD09 Morgan compl-inf salways-def*)

lemma *BD30:*

$(SAlways X) \cup (SAlways Y) \subseteq (SAlways (X \cup Y))$

using *BD07*

by (*metis B02 BD09 compl-sup*)

lemma *BD31:*

$(SDi (X \cap Y)) \subseteq (SDi X) \cap (SDi Y)$

by (*simp add: BD17*)

lemma *BD32:*

$(SBi X) \cup (SBi Y) \subseteq (SBi (X \cup Y))$

using *BD31*

by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) B02 compl-sup double-compl sbi-def*)

lemma *BD33:*

$(SDa (X \cap Y)) \subseteq (SDa X) \cap (SDa Y)$

by (*simp add: BD23*)

lemma *BD34:*

$(SBa X) \cup (SBa Y) \subseteq (SBa (X \cup Y))$

using *BD33*

by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) B02 compl-sup double-compl sba-def*)

lemma *BD35:*

$(SAlways\ SEmpty) = SEmpty$
by (metis N13 SStar14 SStar30 SStar48 SStarSkip double-complement salways-def smore-def)

lemma BD36:
 $(SBI\ SEmpty) = SEmpty$
using N13 sbi-def sdi-def smore-def **by** fastforce

lemma BD37:
 $(SBA\ SEmpty) = SEmpty$
by (metis N13 SStar30 SStar48 double-complement sba-def sda-def smore-def)

lemma BD38:
assumes $X \subseteq Y$
shows $(SAlways\ X) \subseteq (SAlways\ Y)$
using assms
by (simp add: BD29 inf.absorb-iff2)

lemma BD39:
assumes $X \subseteq Y$
shows $(SBI\ X) \subseteq (SBI\ Y)$
using assms
by (simp add: BD17 sbi-def)

lemma BD40:
assumes $X \subseteq Y$
shows $(SBA\ X) \subseteq (SBA\ Y)$
using assms
by (simp add: BD24 sba-def)

lemma BD41:
 $(SBI\ (SBI\ X)) = (SBI\ X)$
by (simp add: BD18 sbi-def)

lemma BD42:
 $(SAlways\ (SAlways\ X)) = (SAlways\ X)$
by (simp add: BD11 salways-def)

lemma BD43:
 $(SDa\ (SDa\ X)) = (SDa\ X)$
by (metis CH01 FusionAssoc sda-def)

lemma BD44:
 $(SBA\ (SBA\ X)) = (SBA\ X)$
by (simp add: BD43 sba-def)

lemma BD47:
 $(SAlways\ ((-X) \cup Y)) \subseteq ((- (SAlways\ X)) \cup (SAlways\ Y))$
by (metis B20 BD12 BD29 BD38 BD42 double-compl)

lemma BD48:

$(SAlways\ X) \subseteq X \cap (SWnext\ (SAlways\ X))$
by (*metis B02 B16 BD03 BD09 BD12 N12 salways-def*)

lemma *BD49*:
 $(SBi\ ((-X) \cup Y)) \subseteq (-(SBi\ X) \cup (SBi\ Y))$
by (*smt B20 FusionUnionDistL Huntington Subsumption compl-inf double-compl inf-commute sbi-def sdi-def sup-ge2 sup-left-commute*)

lemma *BD50*:
 $(SPrev\ (SDi\ X)) \subseteq (SDi\ X)$
by (*metis BD13 FusionAssoc FusionUnionDistR SStar16 SStarSkip Subsumption sdi-def sprev-def*)

lemma *BD51*:
 $-(SBi\ X) = (SDi\ (-X))$
by (*simp add: sbi-def*)

lemma *BD52*:
 $X \subseteq (SDi\ X)$
by (*metis FusionSEmptyR FusionUnionDistR ST33 Subsumption UnionCommute sdi-def sup-inf-absorb*)

lemma *BD53*:
 $(SBi\ X) \subseteq X$
by (*simp add: B02 BD51 BD52*)

lemma *BD54*:
 $(SBi\ X) \subseteq X \cap (SWprev\ (SBi\ X))$
by (*metis B02 B16 BD50 BD51 BD53 N29 sbi-def*)

8.6.7 Time Reversal

lemma *TR01*:
 $(SRev\ SMore) = SMore$
by (*simp add: SRevSEmpty SRevSNot smore-def*)

lemma *TR02*:
 $(SRev\ SSkip) = SSkip$
by (*metis SRevFusion SRevSEmpty SRevSNot SRevUnion TR01 sskip-def*)

lemma *TR03*:
 $(SRev\ STrue) = STrue$
by (*metis SRevSStar SStar48 TR01*)

lemma *TR04*:
 $(SRev\ SFalse) = SFalse$
by (*metis B03 SRevSNot TR03 sfalse-def strue-def*)

lemma *TR05*:
 $(SRev\ (SSometime\ X)) = (SDi\ (SRev\ X))$
by (*simp add: SRevFusion TR03 sdi-def ssometime-def*)

lemma *TR06*:

$$(SRev (SAlways X)) = (SBI (SRev X))$$

by (*simp add: SRevSNot TR05 salways-def sbi-def*)

lemma *TR07*:

$$(SRev (SDi X)) = (SSometime (SRev X))$$

by (*simp add: SRevFusion TR03 sdi-def ssometime-def*)

lemma *TR08*:

$$(SRev (SBI X)) = (SAlways (SRev X))$$

by (*metis SRevSRev TR06*)

lemma *TR09*:

$$(SRev (SNext X)) = (SPrev (SRev X))$$

by (*simp add: SRevFusion TR02 snext-def sprev-def*)

lemma *TR10*:

$$(SRev (SWnext X)) = (SWprev (SRev X))$$

by (*simp add: SRevFusion SRevSNot TR02 swnext-def swprev-def*)

lemma *TR11*:

$$(SRev (SPrev X)) = (SNext (SRev X))$$

by (*simp add: SRevFusion TR02 snext-def sprev-def*)

lemma *TR12*:

$$(SRev (SWprev X)) = (SWnext (SRev X))$$

by (*metis SRevSRev TR10*)

lemma *TR13*:

$$(SRev (SDa X)) = (SDa (SRev X))$$

by (*simp add: SRevFusion TR03 sda-def*)

lemma *TR14*:

$$(SRev (SBa X)) = (SBa (SRev X))$$

by (*simp add: SRevSNot TR13 sba-def*)

lemma *TR15*:

$$(SRev (SPower SSkip n)) = (SPower SSkip n)$$

by (*simp add: SRevSPower TR02*)

8.7 Link between Set of Intervals and ITL

lemma *interval-lan [simp]*:

$$\sigma \in (lan f) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models f)$$

by (*simp add: lan-def*)

lemma *valid-lan-equiv* :

$$((lan f) = (lan g)) \longleftrightarrow (\vdash f \equiv_i g)$$

using *interval-lan lan-def* **by** *force*

lemma *valid-lan-imp* :
 $((lan\ f) \subseteq (lan\ g)) \longleftrightarrow (\vdash f \supset_i g)$
by (*meson implies-defs interval-lan subset-eq valid-def*)

lemma *valid-strue* :
 $((lan\ f) = STrue) \longleftrightarrow (\vdash f)$
using *strue-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *strue-true*:
 $\sigma \in STrue \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models true_i)$
by (*simp add: strue-elim*)

lemma *strue-true-1*:
 $STrue = (lan\ true_i)$
using *lan-def strue-true* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *sfalse-false*:
 $\sigma \in SFalse \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models false_i)$
by (*simp add: sfalse-def*)

lemma *sfalse-false-1*:
 $SFalse = (lan\ false_i)$
using *sfalse-false* **using** *lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *not-negation*:
 $\sigma \in \neg(lan\ f) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models \neg_i f)$
by *simp*

lemma *not-negation-1*:
 $\neg(lan\ f) = (lan\ (\neg_i f))$
using *interval-lan lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *inter-and*:
 $(\sigma \in ((lan\ f) \cap (lan\ g))) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models f \wedge_i g)$
by (*simp add: lan-def*)

lemma *inter-and-1*:
 $((lan\ f) \cap (lan\ g)) = (lan\ (f \wedge_i g))$
using *inter-and lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *union-or*:
 $(\sigma \in ((lan\ f) \cup (lan\ g))) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models f \vee_i g)$
by (*simp add: lan-def*)

lemma *union-or-1*:
 $((lan\ f) \cup (lan\ g)) = (lan\ (f \vee_i g))$
using *union-or lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *subset-impl*:
 $(\sigma \in \neg(lan\ f) \cup (lan\ g)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models f \supset_i g)$

by *simp*

lemma *subset-impl-1*:

$$(\neg(\text{lan } f) \cup (\text{lan } g)) = (\text{lan } (f \supset_i g))$$

using *subset-impl lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fusion-chop*:

$$(\sigma \in ((\text{lan } f) \cdot (\text{lan } g))) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models f;g)$$

by (*simp add: chop-fuse fusion-iff*)

lemma *fusion-chop-1*:

$$((\text{lan } f) \cdot (\text{lan } g)) = (\text{lan } (f;g))$$

using *fusion-chop lan-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *empty-empty*:

$$\sigma \in S\text{Empty} \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models \text{empty})$$

by (*simp add: empty-elim*)

lemma *empty-empty-1*:

$$S\text{Empty} = (\text{lan } \text{empty})$$

using *empty-empty lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *smore-more*:

$$\sigma \in S\text{More} \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models \text{more})$$

by (*simp add: smore-elim*)

lemma *smore-more-1*:

$$S\text{More} = (\text{lan } \text{more})$$

using *smore-more lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *sskip-skip*:

$$\sigma \in SSkip = (\sigma \models \text{skip})$$

by (*simp add: sskip-elim*)

lemma *sskip-skip-1*:

$$SSkip = (\text{lan } \text{skip})$$

using *sskip-skip lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *snext-next*:

$$\sigma \in (S\text{Next } (\text{lan } f)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models \bigcirc f)$$

by (*metis snext-def fusion-chop next-d-def sskip-skip-1*)

lemma *snext-next-1*:

$$(S\text{Next } (\text{lan } f)) = (\text{lan } (\bigcirc f))$$

using *snext-next lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *swnext-wnext*:

$$\sigma \in (S\text{Wnext } (\text{lan } f)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models \text{wnext } f)$$

by (*simp add: swnext-def fusion-chop-1 next-d-def not-negation-1 sskip-skip-1 wnext-d-def*)

lemma *swnext-wnext-1*:

$(SWnext\ (lan\ f)) = (lan\ (wnext\ f))$

using *swnext-wnext lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *sprev-prev*:

$\sigma \in (SPrev\ (lan\ f)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models prev\ f)$

by (*metis fusion-chop prev-d-def sprev-def sskip-skip-1*)

lemma *sprev-prev-1*:

$(SPrev\ (lan\ f)) = (lan\ (prev\ f))$

using *sprev-prev lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *swprev-wprev*:

$\sigma \in (SWprev\ (lan\ f)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models wprev\ f)$

by (*simp add: fusion-chop-1 not-negation-1 prev-d-def sskip-skip-1 swprev-def wprev-d-def*)

lemma *swprev-wprev-1*:

$(SWprev\ (lan\ f)) = (lan\ (wprev\ f))$

using *swprev-wprev lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *sinit-init*:

$\sigma \in Sinit\ (lan\ f) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models init\ f)$

by (*simp add: Int-commute fusion-chop-1 init-d-def inter-and-1 sempty-empty-1 sinit-def strue-true-1*)

lemma *sinit-init-1*:

$Sinit\ (lan\ f) = (lan\ (init\ f))$

using *sinit-init lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *and-inter-more*:

$\sigma \in (((lan\ f) \cap SMore)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models (f \wedge_i more))$

using *smore-more inter-and* **by** *auto*

lemma *and-inter-more-1*:

$\sigma \in (((lan\ f) \cap SMore)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \in (lan\ (f \wedge_i more)))$

using *and-inter-more lan-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *and-inter-more-2*:

$((lan\ f) \cap SMore) = (lan\ (f \wedge_i more))$

using *and-inter-more-1* **by** *blast*

lemma *and-chop*:

$\sigma \in (((lan\ f) \cap SMore) \cdot (lan\ g)) \longleftrightarrow (\sigma \models (f \wedge_i more);g)$

by (*metis fusion-chop inter-and-1 smore-more-1*)

lemma *and-chop-1*:

$((((lan\ f) \cap SMore) \cdot (lan\ g)) = (lan\ ((f \wedge_i more);g))$

using *and-chop lan-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *spower-chop-power*:

$(SPower\ (lan\ f)\ n) = (lan\ (power-chop-d\ f\ n))$

by (*induct n, simp add: empty-empty-1, simp add: and-chop-1*)

lemma *sstar-spower*:

$\sigma \in SStar\ (lan\ f) \longleftrightarrow (\exists\ n.\ \sigma \in SPower\ (lan\ f)\ n)$

by (*simp add: sstar-def*)

lemma *sstar-chopstar*:

$\sigma \in (SStar\ (lan\ f)) \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in (lan\ (f^*))$

using *chopstar-equiv-power-chop sstar-spower interval-lan spower-chop-power* **by** *blast*

lemma *chopstar-sstar-1*:

$(SStar\ (lan\ f)) = (lan\ (f^*))$

using *sstar-chopstar lan-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *chopstar-seqv*:

$\sigma \in (lan\ (f^*)) \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in (lan\ (empty\ \vee_i\ (f\ \wedge_i\ more);\ f^*))$

using *ChopstarEqv valid-lan-equiv* **by** *blast*

lemma *chopstar-seqv-1*:

$(lan\ (f^*)) = (lan\ (empty\ \vee_i\ (f\ \wedge_i\ more);\ f^*))$

using *chopstar-seqv lan-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *srev-rev*:

$\sigma \in (SRev\ (lan\ f)) \longleftrightarrow \sigma \in (lan\ (f^r))$

by (*metis TimeReverseSem interval-lan interval-rev-rev-ident srev-elim*)

lemma *srev-rev-1*:

$(SRev\ (lan\ f)) = (lan\ (f^r))$

using *srev-rev lan-def* **by** *blast*

end

References

- [1] A. Armstrong, G. Struth, and T. Weber. Kleene algebra. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2013. http://isa-afp.org/entries/Kleene_Algebra.shtml, Formal proof development.
- [2] A. Cau. Interval temporal algebra, 2009. <http://antonio-cau.co.uk/ITL/itl-atp/index.html>.
- [3] B. Moszkowski. A Hierarchical Completeness Proof for Propositional Interval Temporal Logic with Finite Time. *Journal of Applied Non-Classical Logics*, 14(1–2):55–104, 2004.
- [4] B. Moszkowski. Compositional reasoning using intervals and time reversal. *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence*, 71(1-3):175–250, 2014.
- [5] B. C. Moszkowski. Imperative reasoning in interval temporal logic. Technical report, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, 1996.
- [6] D. Smallwood. *ITL Monitor: Compositional Runtime Analysis with Interval Temporal Logic*. PhD thesis, De Montfort University, 2018.